Case	L:18-CF-UUZU4-NGG-VMS-DOCUM	ent 351 Filed 02/19/19 Page 1 of 14 PageID #: 3400
		1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT CO EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW Y	
2		x 18-CR-204 (NGG)
3 4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	, United States Courthouse Brooklyn, New York
4 5	-against-	February 06, 2019
6 7	KEITH RANIERE, ALLISON MU CLARE BRONFMAN, KATHY RUSSELL, LAUREN SALZMAN, NANCY SALZMAN,	
8 9	Defendants.	
10 11 12	BEFORE THE HON	x INAL CAUSE FOR STATUS CONFERENCE WORABLE NICHOLAS G. GARAUFIS ES SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE
13	APPEARANCES	
14	For the Government:	UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE Eastern District of New York
15		271 Cadman Plaza East Brooklyn, New York 11201
16		BY: MOIRA KIM PENZA, ESQ. TANYA HAJJAR, ESQ.
17		MARK LESKO, ESQ. KEVIN TROWEL, ESQ.
18 19		SHANNON JONES, ESQ. Assistant United States Attorneys
20	For Keith Raniere:	BRAFMAN & ASSOCIATES 767 Third Avenue
21		New York, New York 10017 BY: MARC AGNIFILO, ESQ. TENY ROSE GERAGOS, ESQ.
22		DEROHANNESIAN & DEROHANNESIAN
23		677 Broadway Albany, New York 12207
24 25	(Continued following page	BY: PAUL DEROHANNESIAN, II, ESQ.
4 J	(concrined rorrowing page	≂•/

.

Case	1:18 cr 00204 NCC VMS Docum	ent 351 Filed 02/19/19 Page 2 of 14 PageID #: 3404
		Z
1	(Appearances Continued.)	
2	For Allison Mack:	KOBRE & KIM LLP 800 Third Avenue
3		New York, New York 10022 BY: SEAN STEPHEN BUCKLEY, ESQ.
4		STEVEN KOBRE, ESQ. WILLIAM McGOVERN, ESQ.
5	For Clare Bronfman:	HAFETZ & NECHELES LLP
6		10 East 40th Street New York, New York 10016
7		BY: SUSAN R. NECHELES, ESQ. KATHLEEN CASSIDY, ESQ.
8		SHAPIRO ARATO BACH LLP
9		500 Fifth Avenue New York, New York 10110
10		BY: ALEXANDRA SHAPIRO, ESQ.
11	For Kathy Russell:	SHER TREMONTE LLP 90 Broad Street
12		New York, New York 10004 BY: JUSTINE HARRIS, ESQ.
13		BY: AMANDA RAVICH, ESQ.
14	For Lauren Salzman:	QUARLES & BRADY LLP Two North Central Avenue
15		Phoenix, Arizona 85004 BY: HECTOR DIAZ
16	For Nancy Salzman:	ROTHMAN, SCHNEIDER, SOLOWAY,
17		& STERN P.C. 100 Lafayette Street
18		New York, New York 10013 BY: DAVID STERN, ESQ.
19		ROBERT SOLOWAY, ESQ.
20		
21	Court Reporter:	Rivka Teich, CSR, RPR, RMR, FCRR Phone: 718-613-2268
22		Email: RivkaTeich@gmail.com
23	Proceedings recorded by produced by computer-aid	
24		
25		

Case	1:18 cr 00204 NGC VMS Document 351 Filed 02/19/19 Page 3 of 14 PageID #: 340
	3 STATUS CONFERENCE
1	(In open court.)
2	COURTROOM DEPUTY: United States versus Raniere.
3	Counsel state your appearances.
4	MS. PENZA: Moira Penza, Tanya Hajjar, Mark Lasko
5	and Kevin Trowel for the United States. Good morning. Also
6	at counsel table we have Shannon Jones who is a member of our
7	firewall team.
8	THE COURT: She's a member of your firewall team?
9	MS. PENZA: Yes, your Honor and she's an Assistant
10	United States Attorney.
11	THE COURT: Thank you.
12	MR. AGNIFILO: Mark Agnifilo, Teny Geragos, Paul
13	DerOhannesian for Keith Raniere, who is with us.
14	THE COURT: Thank you.
15	MR. DIAZ: Good morning. Hector Diaz for Lauren
16	Salzman who is to my left.
17	MR. BUCKLEY: Sean Buckley and William McGovern for
18	Ms. Allison Mack.
19	MS. HARRIS: Justine Harris and Amanda Ravich for
20	Ms. Russell.
21	MS. NECHELES: Susan Necheles, Alexandra Shapiro,
22	Kathleen Cassidy, and Fabien Thayamballi for Ms. Bronfman, who
23	is with us.
24	THE COURT: Please be seated.
25	MS. PENZA: Your Honor

Case i STATUS CONFERENCE 1 THE COURT: Go ahead. 2 -- I do note that Ms. Salzman and her MS. PENZA: 3 attorneys are not present. 4 THE COURT: I guess I can count the five but not 5 six. 6 (Counsel arrived.) 7 THE COURT: Appearance. 8 MR. STERN: David Stern and Robert Soloway for 9 Ms. Salzman. 10 THE COURT: The Court has reviewed the submissions 11 of the defense with regard to the Trust Indenture and 12 Mr. Walker's sworn declaration being provided to the 13 Government, and the Court believes that it is helpful and 14 appropriate for the Government to receive a redacted version 15 of both documents. And therefore, I'm directing, since Mr. Agnifilo is seems to be the providing liaison, I'll do two 16 17 things. 18 One, I'm going to ask Mr. Agnifilo to advise 19 Mr. Walker that the Court is going to direct Mr. Agnifilo to 20 provide these documents to the Government, but I'll give 21 Mr. Walker until Friday to advise the Court if the Trustee has 22 any objection to what the Court is planning to do. I think 23 it's only fair. Mr. Walker has been cooperative, but I don't 24 see there is any harm done by having the Government receive 25 these documents ahead of a Curcio discussion for the five

Case	1:18 cr 00204 NCC VMS Document 351 Filed 02/19/19 Page 5 of 14 PageID #: 3407
	STATUS CONFERENCE
1	defendants who will be having Curcio hearing.
2	What I'm directing Mr. Agnifilo to do by next Monday
3	is, as long as there hasn't been an objection that I haven't
4	ruled on if I rule on the objection you'll know about it by
5	the end of the day Friday with regard to the Trust
6	Indenture I'm directing that Schedules B and C be redacted
7	before the Indenture is provided to the Government.
8	And with regard to Mr. Walker's January 14, 2019,
9	sworn declaration, I direct that that be provided to the
10	Government with the redaction of paragraphs three and six. I
11	think that will do it.
12	Do you want to check three and six?
13	MR. AGNIFILO: We're checking it. So Schedules B
14	and C are redacted in total.
15	THE COURT: Yes.
16	MR. AGNIFILO: And then paragraphs three and six are
17	redacted in total.
18	THE COURT: That's right.
19	MR. AGNIFILO: Okay, Judge.
20	THE COURT: That should pretty much do it. That
21	will deal with some of the specific objections that counsel
22	for the defendants have had about the release of these
23	documents.
24	With regard to Mr. Raniere's and Clare Bronfman's
25	motions to suppress, I'm referring those motions to Judge

Case 1:18 cr 99204 NCC VMS Document 351 Filed 92/19/19 Page 6 of 14 PageID #: 34

STATUS CONFERENCE

Scanlon for report and recommendation pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
 636(b)(1)(B) and Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 59(b)(1)
 because the motions appear to be intertwined with the
 privilege and discovery issues that Judge Scanlon has been
 working on and reviewing with the parties.

6

7

8

9

10

The Court is also reviewing Kathy Russell's motion to dismiss; and the two motions to sever, one by Lauren Salzman the other by Clare Bronfman, Kathy Russell and Nancy Salzman. These motions appear to be fully briefed, the Court will decide them without oral argument.

11 With regard to Curcio counsel for Mr. Raniere, 12 Allison Mack, Clare Bronfman, Nancy Salzman and Lauren 13 Salzman, the Court directs that each, if and when I appoint 14 Curcio counsel, I direct each defendant's retained counsel to 15 provide their client's Curcio counsel with copies of the Trust 16 Indenture, Mr. Walker's January 14 sworn declaration, retain 17 counsel's exparte letter to the Court file on February 1st, 18 that contains information about their arrangements with the 19 Trust, and any other materials needed by Curcio counsel before 20 we have a Curcio hearing. 21 So that's my agenda. Unless anyone has anything to

22 raise, I'm done, but I'm sure you might have something.
23 MR. AGNIFILO: May I ask one clarifying question?
24 THE COURT: I'm so glad you said you had something
25 to say. Go ahead.

Case	1:18 cr 00204 NGC VMS Document 351 Filed 02/19/19 Page 7 of 14 PageID #: 3409
	STATUS CONFERENCE
1	MR. AGNIFILO: So the materials to the Curcio
2	counsel are not with redactions, that's in total.
3	THE COURT: Not with redactions because in effect
4	they represent your client.
5	MR. AGNIFILO: I want to make sure.
6	THE COURT: I just wanted to make sure, although I
7	expected that you would do this any way, that Curcio counsel
8	would receive these materials in order to have a fulsome
9	discussion with his or her client before we have a hearing.
10	MR. AGNIFILO: Understood, Judge. Thank you.
11	THE COURT: Is there something else from you at the
12	moment? You can you can wait while I hear from the
13	Government, you're patient.
14	MR. AGNIFILO: I'm very patient. I'm fine.
15	THE COURT: Yes.
16	MS. PENZA: The Government would like to raise one
17	issue regarding the privilege review process that is going on
18	before Judge Scanlon. We have, the prosecution team the
19	full prosecution team does have a scheduled conference before
20	Judge Scanlon next Monday at 12:30. But we have been
21	concerned about certain issues being brought before Judge
22	Scanlon in the absence of, to the exclusion of the trial team.
23	So for example, last week without copying the trial
24	team on the e-mail, the Mr. Raniere's counsel sought to have
25	the bail issues regarding MDC heard before Judge Scanlon at an

Case 1:18 or 00204 NGC VMS Document 351 Filed 02/19/19 Page 8 of 14 PageID #: 34

8

STATUS CONFERENCE

appearance where only our firewall team would be present. Yesterday at a conference where the trial team understood that it was just our firewall team that was supposed to appear before Judge Scanlon, we understand that a number of issues were raised regarding privilege issues but that did not touch upon privileged documents, and we had already understood that the trial team would be arguing those issues.

8 And so in light of that, we wanted to raise that 9 concern with the Court. We obviously are before Judge Scanlon 10 again on Monday. The issue that is particularly ripe that we 11 wanted to discuss with you is that the trial team would like 12 to review the transcript from yesterday's appearance before 13 Judge Scanlon. We understand that it was not a closed 14 courtroom, our understanding is there were no privileged 15 materials discussed. But we believe it would be useful for us 16 to review that transcript prior to our appearance before Judge 17 Scanlon.

But just because of the way things have been operating and the fact that it seemed that Judge Scanlon wanted to meet with the firewall team without us yesterday, we wanted to raise that with the Court before we just reviewed the transcript.

23 MS. CASSIDY: I have no objection to the Government 24 prosecution team reviewing the transcript from yesterday's 25 conference. It was an open hearing, nothing privileged was

Case	1:18-cr-00204-NCC-VMS_Document 351_Filed 02/19/19_Page 9 of 14 PageID #; 3411
	STATUS CONFERENCE
1	discussed.
2	MS. PENZA: Thank you, your Honor, so we would
3	MR. AGNIFILO: I think my colleague is under the
4	misapprehension of what we were trying to do, which was this,
5	we wanted to be able to see Mr. Raniere before today's court
6	appearance. We asked Judge Scanlon, because she had a court
7	appearance yesterday, to produce Mr. Raniere so we could see
8	him yesterday since we haven't been able to see him for eight
9	days so we could discuss things that would be relevant at this
10	court appearance. We weren't trying to bring an issue of bail
11	to Judge Scanlon. I didn't.
12	THE COURT: I didn't say you did.
13	MR. AGNIFILO: You gave me a look that you thought I
14	might have, that's not what I was doing at all.
15	THE COURT: I don't know, I'm trying to avoid doing
16	something like that. I'm not Nancy Pelosi at the State of the
17	Union. I'm not applauding; I'm just looking. Go ahead.
18	MR. AGNIFILO: Your Honor's looks speak so loudly
19	and clearly.
20	THE COURT: That's why I can't play poker. Go
21	ahead.
22	MR. AGNIFILO: What we were trying to do, all we
23	were trying to do, is have access to Mr. Raniere before today.
24	THE COURT: But he was here yesterday.
25	MR. AGNIFILO: But we didn't know that at the time.

Case 1	:18 cr 00204 NCC VMS_Document 351_Filed 02/19/19_Page 10 of 14 PageID_#: 341 2
	10 STATUS CONFERENCE
1	THE COURT: Wasn't I here with you and him?
2	MR. AGNIFILO: This was before your Honor's
3	scheduling. I thanked your Honor for scheduling that on short
4	notice. We didn't know we were going to have a proceeding
5	with your Honor on Tuesday, that's what we were trying to do.
6	Not to try to take the issue of bail away from your Honor.
7	And your Honor ruled, and that's where we are. Thank you.
8	THE COURT: All right. You got your answer?
9	MS. PENZA: I believe so.
10	THE COURT: Is there any objection from anybody on
11	the defense side? Hearing none.
12	MS. PENZA: And the only further thing, your Honor.
13	We expect we may seek a status conference following that
14	conversation on Monday with Judge Scanlon.
15	THE COURT: I believe I'll be here.
16	MS. PENZA: Thank you, your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Please give us give some notice so that
18	everyone can be, everyone needs who needs to be here can be
19	here. If we don't need certain defendants and their counsel
20	to be here, they will let us know. But everyone should be
21	given notice so they can make their own decisions as to
22	whether to be here for whatever it is that you want to
23	discuss.
24	MS. PENZA: Understood, thank you.
25	THE COURT: Other issues?

Case 1	:18 cr 00204 NCC VMS Document 351 Filed 02/19/19 Page 11 of 14 PageID #: 341
	11 STATUS CONFERENCE
1	MS. SHAPIRO: We put in a request, which I believe
2	Government extended our time, to respond to their enterprise
3	evidence motion to February 18. I may have missed it but I
4	don't believe the Court ruled on that.
5	THE COURT: Is there an objection?
6	MS. PENZA: There wasn't an objection, your Honor.
7	We had a reply date in there as well, I understand it was
8	going to be ruled on.
9	THE COURT: That's fine. So ordered.
10	MS. SHAPIRO: Thank you.
11	MR. AGNIFILO: Yes, thank you, Judge. The
12	Government has offered certain dates, dates for 3500, witness
13	lists, exhibit lists, I don't now how your Honor wants to
14	handle it. If you want us to go back to the Government and
15	convince them to do this a little earlier than they proposed,
16	it's not something that we need to necessarily argue about,
17	unless we really end up finding that we have disagreement and
18	unwilling to move the date. So I don't know that I want to
19	take up the Court's time now over a discussion over dates. It
20	might be the most productive thing to go back to the
21	Government, arrive at a set of dates, then present that to
22	your Honor.
23	THE COURT: I would like you to do that. To the
24	extent that you can't agree on mutually on acceptable set of
25	dates, I would ask the defense to provide me with a proposal.

Case 1	<mark>:18 cr 00204 NCC VMS Document 351 Filed 02/19/19 Page 12 of 14 PageID #: 341</mark>
	STATUS CONFERENCE 12
1	MR. AGNIFILO: Thank you, Judge.
2	THE COURT: Then I'll look at both the Government's
3	dates and your dates and I'll work something out.
4	MR. AGNIFILO: Very good.
5	THE COURT: Is that all right?
6	MS. PENZA: Of course, your Honor.
7	MR. DIAZ: We filed a motion extending, requesting
8	the extension of Ms. Salzman's contact with her mother at the
9	table.
10	THE COURT: I think there was no objection to that
11	by the Government.
12	MS. PENZA: That's correct.
13	THE COURT: My only problem with your request was
14	you indicated there were two requests basically. After the
15	second request you said the Government didn't object. And I
16	didn't know whether that was for request one, request two, or
17	both requests? And I was going to raise that before we finish
18	today.
19	You have no objection to either of the requests?
20	MS. PENZA: That's correct.
21	THE COURT: That's so ordered, your application is
22	so ordered.
23	I wasn't sure but I knew you'd be here today so I
24	thought we would resolve that uncertainty in my mind today.
25	MR. DIAZ: Thank you, Judge.

Case 1	:18 cr 00204 NCC VMS Document 351 Filed 02/19/19 Page 13 of 14 PageID #: 3415
	STATUS CONFERENCE
1	THE COURT: Other issues from the defense? From the
2	Government?
3	MS. PENZA: Your Honor, could I just have one moment
4	to confer with counsel for Ms. Bronfman on an issue that we
5	were discussing?
6	THE COURT: Yes, of course.
7	(Brief pause.)
8	MS. PENZA: Thank you, your Honor. I believe we ARE
9	going to be able to agree on that issue; it's not something we
10	need to raise before, your Honor.
11	THE COURT: The issue you were just discussing.
12	MS. PENZA: Yes.
13	THE COURT: Fine. I'm going to schedule Curcio
14	hearings in the next few weeks. Ms. Bronfman won't need a
15	Curcio conference.
16	MS. NECHELES: That's my understanding.
17	THE COURT: I mentioned her on the list, but she's
18	not going to have a Curcio.
19	MS. NECHELES: I understood that, your Honor.
20	THE COURT: I wanted to clarify that.
21	We need to set a date for another status conference.
22	And is there a suggestion from the Government as to when we
23	should hold that status conference or from the defense?
24	MS. PENZA: I think right now, your Honor, 30 days
25	is appropriate.

Case 1	
	STATUS CONFERENCE
1	THE COURT: Any objection to 30 days?
2	MR. AGNIFILO: We would like three weeks from today.
3	THE COURT: Let's see what we have. The 27th of
4	February.
5	MR. AGNIFILO: That's our preference one second,
6	I'm sorry. Your Honor, could we do the 28th?
7	MS. PENZA: That's fine, your Honor. We're before
8	you at noon, but other than that we're fine.
9	THE COURT: Let's do 11:00 a.m., 28th of February
10	for status conference and hopefully all Curcio hearings will
11	be done by that time.
12	Is there anything further before I exclude time?
13	MS. PENZA: Not from the Government.
14	THE COURT: From any defendant?
15	MR. AGNIFILO: Nothing from us.
16	THE COURT: I'm excluding time until February 28,
17	2019, as this case has been designated a complex case, the
18	Speedy Trial Act purposes. We'll see you on the 28th of
19	February, have a nice day.
20	(Whereupon, the matter was concluded.)
21	* * * * *
22	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
23	record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
24	Rivka Teich, CSR RPR RMR FCRR Official Court Reporter
25	Eastern District of New York