Can Validation Keep Pace With AI?

The rapid integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in the Life Sciences industry brings both opportunities and regulatory challenges. This white paper explores best practices for validating AI systems, especially those reliant on Machine Learning (ML), to ensure regulatory compliance and efficient AI tool development.

Understanding AI and Machine Learning

AI encompasses diverse technologies like Machine Learning (ML), Natural Language Processing (NLP), Expert Systems, Vision systems, Robotics, and Neural Networks. This whitepaper focuses on ML, where algorithms enable systems to learn and improve from data that it is provided. Unlike traditional programming with explicit instructions, ML systems iteratively enhance their performance based on large datasets. For example, an AI-driven email spam filter continuously evolves as it evaluates more emails and refines its criteria for identifying spam.

Perceptions and Risks of AI

The Life Sciences industry is risk-averse regarding new technologies. Cultural depictions like HAL 9000 from *2001: A Space Odyssey* and Skynet from *The Terminator* have influenced public skepticism about AI. These portrayals emphasize AI's potential for unforeseen harmful behaviors, fostering fear and mistrust. However, AI, when designed with controlled and well-defined directives, can significantly enhance efficiency, accuracy, and decision-making. Effective management, stringent development guidelines, and robust validation processes are essential to mitigate AI risks and harness its full potential.

Risks Mitigation Strategies

AI-driven tools, if not properly validated, pose risks such as erroneous decision-making, data breaches, and failure to identify malicious activities. Continuous monitoring and periodic re-validation ensure AI tools operate within intended parameters and comply with regulatory requirements. This includes implementing stringent data access restrictions, precise rules for data processing, and clear boundaries for AI directives.

For example, the AI spam filter improves its ability to detect spam over time. This makes maintaining a validated state challenging due to its continuous learning. Validation must ensure the system's decisions remain transparent, unbiased, and compliant with regulatory standards, despite its evolving nature.

AI Validation

Ensuring the reliability and compliance of AI systems is crucial for their successful integration into an organization's operations. The following are essential components of AI validation:

1. Scope and Auditing:

Define the intended use of AI-generated data with documented requirements. Clearly document how AI outputs will be used in decision-making processes and ensure clarity on whether outputs will be used directly for quality decisions or as inputs for human-based decisions.

2. Data Integrity and Control:

Ensure the tool's training data is complete, unbiased, and securely stored to prevent data leaks or misuse. Cross-functional team reviews ensure the datasets are relevant to real-world scenarios. Implement data validation protocols, ethical review boards, and regular audits/periodic reviews to maintain data integrity.

3. Testing:

Define validation methods and implement AI-specific testing frameworks to thoroughly evaluate the system's performance. Automated testing can be used to execute predefined test cases, while manual testing allows for the exploration of edge cases and nuanced scenarios. Additionally, it's essential to consider the evolution of the AI system over time, adjusting testing procedures and criteria as methods, parameters, and data variability evolve.

4. Code Documentation and Review:

Follow robust documentation practices covering AI algorithm development, training processes, and performance metrics. Develop a comprehensive checklist to ensure all aspects of the AI system are documented, facilitating audits and periodic reviews.

Validation Strategy

The validation of AI systems includes the following elements:

1. Development and Documentation:

Document the AI's operational and technical logic, including algorithms, data sources, training processes, and performance metrics.

2. Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs):

Define AI test methodology, code review processes, vendor qualifications, and security protocols. Include ML-specific documentation for coding random values, seed values, and approved models like decision trees and random forests.

3. Testing and Performance Evaluation:

Conduct both automated and manual testing, including unit testing, to evaluate AI system performance. Include predefined probability tolerances and performance thresholds during testing.

4. Training and Resource Allocation:

Having the right resources in place is critical – the AI team should include statisticians, data engineers, data scientists, and business process SMEs knowledgeable in AI technology, regulatory norms, and business processes.

5. Post-Deployment Monitoring:

Implement continuous monitoring to detect deviations from expected results, address data drift, and ensure models maintain agreed-upon performance levels. Use real-time monitoring systems to facilitate ongoing validation and quick response to issues.

Ethical Considerations, Security and Privacy

Addressing ethical considerations and bias detection is critical. Implement strategies to ensure AI decision-making is transparent, explainable, and unbiased. Regular audits and ethical review boards help mitigate biases and maintain ethical standards. Implement stringent security measures to safeguard against breaches and ensure compliance with data integrity requirements.

Conclusion

Validating AI in FDA-regulated environments is a complex endeavor requiring comprehensive knowledge of technological needs and regulatory requirements. Implementing stringent development controls defining AI-specific validation processes ensures reliable and secure AI tools. Addressing ethical considerations and following established procedures enables organizations to leverage AI innovations while maintaining compliance and ensuring product and patient safety.

Authors

Brian Verrico is a Quality Assurance and Validation professional with over twenty-five years of experience in the Life Sciences industry. As a Senior Consultant and CSV/CSA Center of Excellence Lead at Azzur Group, he ensures Azzur consultants are equipped with knowledge of industry best practices, provides training on industry trends, and manages projects and resources to help clients meet their CSV needs.

William Ricci is a serial entrepreneur with over twenty-five years of experience in IT and system architecture, development, and data platforms. As founder of Willow River Solutions, he provides fully-integrated and AI solutions to customers across many industries.