er and get started.

Sign Out | My Account



The Legal Intelligencer

The 2012 PENNSYLVANIA TAX HANDBOOK is Here!

Columns

Verdicts

Font Size: + -

Click Here to Order Or Call 800-722-7670 x2453

The Legal Intelligencer

THE OLDEST LAW JOURNAL IN THE UNITED STATES

Surveys/Lists

This Site Law.com Network Legal Web

Advertise

Twitter

NSS RSS

Contact Facebook

Public Notices

Subscribe

Home > ABA Opinions Clarify Ethical Obligations in E-Mail Interception

Firms & Lawyers

Employment Law

Day FREE

ABA Opinions Clarify Ethical Obligations in E-Mail Interception

Courts

Jeffrey Campolongo

Contact All Articles

The Legal Intelligencer | September 23, 2011

Email









Reprints & Permissions

Post a Comment

Judges



Jeffrey Campolongo

One of the "trending" topics in employment law circles and blogs revolves around employees communicating by e-mail with their lawyers while on the clock and the extent to which such communications may be privileged. Recent judicial decisions have been defining the appropriate means for employers to monitor employees' computer and phone usage, including when an employer's interception of attorneyclient communications violates employees' privacy.

On Aug. 4, the American Bar Association Standing Committee on Ethics and Professional Responsibility issued two opinions that address attorneys' ethical obligations concerning these issues based on an analysis of the ABA Model Rules of Professional Conduct.

RELATED ITEMS

- Interactive Process Critical to ADA **Accommodation Case**
- Internal Review Does Not Relieve Employer of 'Cat's Paw' Liability

Formal Opinion 11-460, "Duty when Lawyer Receives Copies of a Third Party's E-mail Communications with Counsel," explains that ABA Model Rule 4.4(b) does not impose any requirement on the employer's counsel to notify one of its employees of the requisition of attorneyclient e-mails. According to Formal Opinion 11-459, "Duty to Protect the Confidentiality of E-mail Communications with One's Client," lawyers have a duty to warn and advise clients about the risks of third

parties intercepting or later finding privileged e-mail correspondence.

As many practitioners know, ABA Model Rule 1.6(a) prevents attorneys from revealing information about a client without consent and requires attorneys to protect confidential client information. Comments to the rule require lawyers to safeguard client information from inadvertent or unauthorized disclosure, and to take reasonable precautions to prevent information from reaching unintended recipients.

As a general rule, the ABA explains, lawyers should advise clients on protecting the confidentiality of communications and instruct them not to use an employer-issued computer, phone or other electronic device to receive or transmit confidential communications. Despite e-mail becoming a common replacement for letters and inperson meetings, the risks inherent in e-mail communications without safeguards can be the same as having a confidential conversation in a setting where it can be overheard.

The ABA pointed to various factors that an attorney should keep in mind that would increase the importance of warning clients against using business devices for communications with their own counsel. Clients should be warned if they have engaged in e-mail communications; if the client's employment provides access to workplace communication devices; if by some circumstances a third party has access to e-mail; or if the client's employer does not have sufficient policies in place to protect those communications.



Advertisement



Click Here

Find similar content

Companies, agencies mentioned

Key categories

Most viewed stories

FJD Paid Another \$1.79 Mil. for Family Court Expenses

Democrats See 'Unique' Opportunity in AG Race

Dechert Takes Another Stab at Frankfurt Office

Baldwin Ready to Hand Over Reins as Penn State GC

Comments at Attorney Meeting Privileged; Slander Case Tossed

Advertisement

It has become standard practice to include a disclaimer on e-mail communications that the recipient should inform the sender if an e-mail was inadvertently sent to the wrong person. There is no express requirement in the Model Rules, however, that counsel for an employer who finds an e-mail or document must alert the sender. Model Rule 4.4(b) only requires counsel to alert the sender of an inadvertently sent communication. An e-mail is "inadvertently sent" when it is accidentally transmitted to an unintended recipient.

The rule does not apply when an e-mail is retrieved by a third person from where it was stored or left. However, if an employer's counsel finds an employee's confidential communications, the employer should be consulted about whether to disclose the information. The ABA opinion makes it clear that using that information, however, may be a violation of state laws or ethical rules.

The ABA opinions provide guidance as to how to interpret rules of professional conduct. However, the opinions are not entirely consistent with the various judicial rulings on this subject.

The opinions specifically point to *Stengart v. Loving Care Agency Inc.* as an example of this. In *Stengart*, which I discussed in an April 23, 2010, article, the court found that the discovery of and use of confidential employee e-mails violated New Jersey's version of Model Rule 4.4(b). The employee used her employer's computer to send e-mails to her attorney through her personal e-mail account. The employer's attorney later discovered the e-mails and used them to defend the case. Under the New Jersey rule, employer-attorneys must notify the employee's counsel of finding this information.

The Model Rules and the ABA formal opinions provide guidance, but the local laws, ethical rules and case law are controlling. Attorneys should pay attention to developments on these issues, particularly in the employment law context where it is common for employers to have access to employees' electronic communications.

For those practitioners who have not already started cautioning clients about the dangers of e-mail interception, I make the simple suggestion to include the following language in your engagement letters:

"Many employers monitor their employees' e-mails, Internet usage, voicemails and other electronic storage media. To preserve the attorney-client privilege and to avoid having any third parties gaining access to our confidential communications, please do not e-mail us from work and do not e-mail anyone else about your matter/dispute from work — this includes logging into your private e-mail account from work, from a work laptop computer or from any company-owned equipment (e.g., Blackberry, PDA, cell phone, etc.). In addition, do not post anything related to your employment on the Internet, such as postings on blogs, social networking sites, chat rooms, Facebook or Twitter."

Jeffrey Campolongo is the founder of the Law Office of Jeffrey Campolongo, a boutique firm focusing on employee rights and counseling aspiring and established entertainers. He can be reached at jcamp@jcamplaw.com.

Subscribe to The Legal Intelligencer

Print

Email

Reprints & Permissions

Post a Comment



The Legal Intelligencer

Allegheny & Westmoreland County Court Rules

Click Here to Order

Or Call 800-722-7670 x2453

TOP JOBS

Commercial Litigator CONFIDENTIAL SEARCH Philadelphia, PA

ASSOCIATE CONFIDENTIAL SEARCH Philadelphia, PA

MORE JOBS POST A JOB

Advertisement



The Legal Intelligencer's
2012 Pennsylvania
Judicial Directory &
County Courthouse
Guide

The Judicial Directory & Courthouse Guide contains biographical profiles and statistical information on every federal, state, county court, district/administrative law judge and magistrate in the Commonwealth, and other critical information for any legal professional preparing for court.

Click Here or Call 800-722-7670 x2453

From the Law.com Network

The Legal Intelligencer



Sexual Harassment by Police Officer Not Covered by PHRA, Court Rules

Total Case Filings Dropped Last Year In Eastern District

LawTribune



Lawyer Seeks Witness Access

Lights, Camera, Actions

lawjobs.com



The Zombies Are Happy

Judge Spanks Former Kasowitz Associate

TEXAS LAWYER



Steady as She Goes: Interim Dean Takes the Helm at a Tumultuous Time for the UT Law School

Draft Forms for Pro Se Divorce Litigants Create Controversy

\mathcal{D}_{AILY}



Judicial ethi horizon

Doctor who prescription

THE LEGAL INTELLIGENCER

HELP & INFORMATION CENTER Customer Service | Submit An Article | Submit A Verdict | Letters to the Editor | PICS Order Form

THE LEGAL INTELLIGENCER.COM About Us | Contact Us | Privacy Policy | Terms & Conditions

SUBSCRIBE Click Here For Subscription Options

ADVERTISE

Place An Ad | View Jobs | View Real Estate Listings | View Experts | Professional Announcements | Editorial Calendar

OTHER RESOURCES Events | Reprints & Permissions | Legal Products | Retail Marketplace | Public Notices | RSS Feed

the LAW.COM network

LAW.COM Newswire

Special Reports International News Lists, Surveys & Rankings Legal Blogs Site Map

ALM NATIONAL

The American Lawyer
The Am Law Litigation Daily
Corporate Counsel
Law Technology News
The National Law Journal

ALM REGIONAL

Connecticut Law Tribune
Daily Business Review (FL)
Delaware Law Weekly
Daily Report (GA)
The Legal Intelligencer (PA)
New Jersey Law Journal
New York Law Journal
GC New York
The Recorder (CA)
Texas Lawyer

DIRECTORIES

ALM Experts
LegalTech® Directory
In-House Law Departments at the
Top 500 Companies
New York's Women Leaders in the
Law
The National Law Journal
Leadership Profiles

National Directory of Minority

BOOKS & NEWSLETTERS

Best-Selling Books
Publication E-Alerts
Law Journal Newsletters
LawCatalog Store
Law Journal Press Online

RESEARCH

ALM Legal Intelligence Court Reporters MA 3000 Verdict Search ALM Experts Legal Dictionary Smart Litigator

EVENTS & CONFERENCES

ALM Events
LegalTech®
Virtual LegalTech®
Virtual Events
Webinars & Online Events
Insight Information

REPRINTS

Reprints

ONLINE CLE

CLE Center

CAREER

Lawjobs

About ALM | About Law.com | Customer Support | Reprints | Privacy Policy | Terms & Conditions Copyright 2012. ALM Media Properties, LLC. All rights reserved.

