The Legal Intelligencer PALM 2011 LEGAL DEPARTMENTS OF THE YEAR Awards Dinner — October 6, 2011



|Legal Web|

LAW.COM

Home

Welcome to the New Law.com. Click here to register and get started

Judges

Sign Out | My Account



News

The Legal Intentgencer

THE OLDEST LAW JOURNAL IN THE UNITED STATES

■ Contact Facebook **Public Notices**

NSS RSS

Twitter

Advertise

Subscribe

Home > Committee Fights for Equal Access to Justice

Firms & Lawyers

Font Size: + -

Verdicts

Committee Fights for Equal Access to Justice

Courts

Reprints & Permissions

Jeffrey Campolongo Special to the Legal

Pennsylvania Law Weekly | May 23, 2002

Share

Fmail

Write to the Editor

Surveys/Lists

Committee Fights for Equal Access to Justice By Jeffrey Campolongo

Special to the Legal

Print

The legal rights of persons with disabilities committee (LRPWDC) is a committee of the public interest section of the Philadelphia Bar Association. The committee's members are a diverse group of practitioners specializing in, and committed to, representing persons with disabilities. The committee tries to meet once a month to discuss emerging trends in the law, developments affecting persons with disabilities, possible resolutions for the Board of Governors, as well as practical pointers in navigating these ever-changing areas of the law.

The LRPWDC was recently successful in authoring a resolution that was passed by the Board of Governors, impacting voter accessibility for persons with disabilities. In recent months, the LRPWDC has been spear-heading the effort to pass a resolution concerning the effects of the Supreme Court's decision in Buckhannon Board and Care Home v. West Virginia Dep't of Health and Human Services, 532 U.S. 598 (2001).

Public interest law took on a dramatic new shape on May 29, 2001. It has now been a year since the Supreme Court's landmark decision in Buckhannon, and federal courts around the nation are scurrying to determine exactly what the high court meant when it narrowly defined the term "prevailing party" for the purposes of awarding counsel fees.

In its 5-4 decision, the Supreme Court held that plaintiffs may only recover counsel fees from defendants if they have been awarded relief by a court, and not if they prevailed through a voluntary change in the defendants' behavior or a private settlement. The much-anticipated decision came as a surprise to many public interest lawyers inasmuch as every federal court of appeals to address the issue prior to 1994 allowed an award of counsel fees even without a judgment or consent decree.

The Buckhannon company operated assisted care homes in West Virginia and challenged a state safety regulation that would have forced it to evict residents who could not evacuate the building on their own during fires. The company claimed the state law violated the Americans with Disabilities Act and the Fair Housing Act barring discrimination against persons with disabilities. During the litigation, and in apparent response to the litigation, the

Advertisement

Get not just MORE but **MORE** of what matters most.

Learn MORE >>

Comparison data is based on information available as of May 2011.



Find similar content

Companies, agencies mentioned

Key categories

Most viewed stories

Same-Sex Marriage Fight at Heart of Cozen O'Connor Benefits Case

E-Discovery Drives \$576K Costs Levied on Plaintiffs

Drinker Biddle's Melinson to Serve as HP's Deputy GC

Prosecutors: Stradley Ronon Shouldn't Represent Archdiocese

The 2011 Lawyers on the Fast Track

Advertisement

West Virginia legislature repealed the state regulation, effectively allowing the disabled residents to stay. Buckhannon's lawyers' filed a fee petition as a "prevailing party" seeking over \$100,000 in fees. The request was denied and ultimately ended up on the steps of the Supreme Court.

In a spirited dissent, Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg decried the decision, arguing it allowed a defendant to escape a statutory obligation to pay a plaintiff's counsel fees, even though the suit's merit led the defendant to abandon the fray, to switch rather than fight on, to accord plaintiff sooner rather than later the principal redress sought in the complaint. A former civil rights attorney herself, Ginsburg reasoned that fee shifting in favor of prevailing plaintiffs enhances both incentives to comply with legal rules and incentives to settle disputes.

Although it may appear at first blush that *Buckhannon* would have presented an obstacle to settling cases, in practice most defendants are cognizant of the plaintiff's counsel fees, and will consider the fees in settlement negotiations. Civil rights practitioners should nevertheless be cautious when structuring settlements which may include court-imposed relief. This practice is somewhat different from the customary practice in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania whereby district judges routinely issue voluntary dismissal orders pursuant to Local Rule 41(1)(b) upon notice from one of the parties "that the case has been settled."

In the aftermath of *Buckhannon*, practitioners have shown reluctance to settle disputes without some specific provision made for the payment of counsel fees. This would seem to underscore the chilling effect *Buckhannon* has had on civil rights litigation. Nevertheless, the better practice in situations where a defendant has voluntarily brought itself into compliance with the law, is to memorialize the terms of the settlement to be included in a consent decree or in a court-ordered voluntary dismissal.

As one court has pointed out, even absent the entry of a formal consent decree, if the district court either incorporates the terms of the settlement into its final order of dismissal or expressly retains jurisdiction to enforce a settlement, it may thereafter enforce the terms of the parties' agreement. (See *American Disability Ass'*. *Inc. v*. *Chmielarz*, 2002 WL 820676 (11th Cir. May 1, 2002).)

Our own 3rd Circuit recently interpreted *Buckhannon* to permit counsel fees where a stipulated settlement between the parties (1) contained mandatory language (e.g. "The [PHA] shall provide . . ."); (2) was entitled "Order"; and (3) bore the signature of the district court judge, not the parties' counsel. (See *Truesdell v. Philadelphia Housing Authority*, 2002 WL 917611 (3rd Cir. May 7, 2002).)

In the end, courts will be looking for some indicia of a change in the legal relationship of the parties to satisfy the *Buckhannon* standard. But as Ginsburg stated, "a lawsuit's ultimate purpose is to achieve actual relief from an opponent [and] at the end of the rainbow lies not a judgment but some action." When it is a lawsuit that brings about the actual action at the end of the rainbow, it should not matter what label we place on the pot of gold awaiting the advocate who fought so vigorously to get there.

Jeffrey Campolongo specializes in plaintiff's employment discrimination matters. He is the co-chairman of the legal rights of persons with disabilities Committee of the Philadelphia Bar Association.

Subscribe to Pennsylvania Law Weekly

Print Share Email Reprints & Permissions Write to the Editor

CELEBRATING 15 YEARS IN THE US

IRISH LEGAL ADVISOR OF CHOICE TO INTERNATIONAL COMPANIES AND FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Join the first webinar in the series to hear experts from Matheson Ormsby Prentice discuss

"Why Ireland is the centre of excellence for Aviation".

7 September 2011 1pm - 2pm EST

Register now at: www.law.com/ireland

AMERICAN LAWYER

MATHESON ORMSBY PRENTICE

TOP JOBS

Lease Administrator / Paralegal / Law Clerk / Attorney Colliers International

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

JUNIOR IP ASSOCIATE CONFIDENTIAL SEARCH Philadelphia, PA

MORE JOBS POST A JOB

Advertisement

From the Law.com Network

New Jersey Law Journal











The Legal Intelligencer







Superior Court Backlogs Swell, in Part Fueled by **Steady Rise in Mass Torts**

ABA Panel Suggests Attorney Discipline Be Wrested From District Committees



The 2011 Electronic AmLaw

These reports have become the industry standard for determining benchmarks for success within law firms.



Few Sex Offenders Are **Choosing Trial Before** Confinement

New Law Expected to Accelerate Pace of E-Filing Across the State



Drinker Biddle's Melinson to Serve as HP's Deputy GC

Phila. Judge: City Council **Calendars Are Not Public** Records

How Legitimate I **Latest Labor Vote**

Injured Woman F Pro-Religion Dec

THE LEGAL INTELLIGENCER

HELP & INFORMATION CENTER Customer Service | Submit An Article | Submit A Verdict | Letters to the Editor | PICS Order Form

THE LEGAL INTELLIGENCER.COM About Us | Contact Us | Privacy Policy | Terms & Conditions

SUBSCRIBE Click Here For Subscription Options

ADVERTISE Place An Ad | View Jobs | View Real Estate Listings | View Experts | Professional Announcements | Editorial Calendar

OTHER RESOURCES Events | Reprints & Permissions | Legal Products | Retail Marketplace | Public Notices | RSS Feed

the LAW.COM network

LAW.COM Newswire

Special Reports International News Small Firms Lists, Surveys & Rankings

Legal Blogs

Site Map

ALM NATIONAL

The American Lawyer The Am Law Litigation Daily Corporate Counsel Law Technology News Minority Law Journal The National Law Journal

ALM REGIONAL

Connecticut Law Tribune Daily Business Review (FL) Delaware Law Weekly Daily Report (GA) The Legal Intelligencer (PA) New Jersev Law Journal New York Law Journal GC New York New York Lawver The Recorder (CA) Texas Lawyer

DIRECTORIES

ALM Experts LegalTech® Directory In-House Law Departments at the Top 500 Companies New York's Women Leaders in the Law Corporate Counsel: Best

Lawyers® Annual Guides The American Lawyer: Best Lawyers® Annual Guides The National Law Journal Leadership Profiles

BOOKS & NEWSLETTERS

Best-Selling Books Publication E-Alerts Law Journal Newsletters LawCatalog Law Journal Press Online

RESEARCH

ALM Legal Intelligence Court Reporters MA 3000 Verdict Search ALM Experts Legal Dictionary Smart Litigator

EVENTS & CONFERENCES

ALM Events LegalTech® Virtual LegalTech® Virtual Events Webinars & Online Events Insight Information

REPRINTS

Reprints

ONLINE CLE

CLE Center

CAREER Lawjobs

About ALM | About Law.com | Customer Support | Reprints | Privacy Policy | Terms & Conditions Copyright 2011. ALM Media Properties, LLC. All rights reserved.

