

Without looking at anything on the outside, without looking at anyone who is "pro" or "anti" vaccine, we can find a lot of extremely interesting information from the MMR vaccine insert. Search up "MMR vaccine insert" and you should find a PDF through Merck you can download. (If you don't see it, use DuckDuckGo instead... there's a lot less censoring with that search engine)

Read through the insert, but I would like to point out the following:

- on the first page, the last sentence under the second paragraph, (I count that first sentence as its own paragraph) notice where it says WI-38 human diploid lung fibroblasts. Google WI-38, and see what it says. Look at any website, but here is a quote from Wikipedia (simply because it is easy to read. If you don't trust wiki, click on one of the many other websites to see) "WI-38 is a diploid human cell line composed of fibroblasts derived from lung tissue of a 3-month-gestation aborted female fetus." <https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/WI-38> The "pro" crowd often tries to say there aren't aborted babies used, but immediately the insert says otherwise. This is not the only vaccine to use it either. If we have to make sure blood and organs match between two people, why is that not the case with vaccines?
- Read the third paragraph/sentence, see where it says fetal bovine serum? A bovine is a mammal such as a cow, ox or buffalo. Fetus I'm sure we all know, as well as serum. Google what a fetal bovine serum is. Wiki says FBS "comes from the blood drawn from a bovine fetus via a closed system of collection at the slaughterhouse." Scroll down further and look under ethics. "Ethical questions have been raised regarding the blood collection process due to the potential suffering caused to the fetus. Although anoxia or active slaughter could be used to induce unconsciousness or death prior to serum harvesting, exposure of live unborn calves to oxygen can cause them to gain awareness before being killed,[2] resulting in active debate about the ethics of harvesting serum." If you aren't quite sure what that is saying, it's saying the baby calf is alive as it has its fluids pumped out. https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fetal_bovine_serum
- On the second page, look under "Indications and Usage" under the second paragraph (first sentence is it's own paragraph) "revaccination is intended to seroconvert those who do not respond to the first dose" what does that mean? Well, the "pro" crowd would tries to say all vaccines are "safe and effective" yet this sentence is saying that the vaccine may very well not be effective.
- The very first sentence of the third page states "Women of childbearing age should not become pregnant after vaccination and should be informed of the reasons for this precaution" once again, saying there are risks associated with the vaccine. Just as there are risks to absolutely everything you may receive from a doctor.
- The third paragraph/sentence on the third page states "post pubertal females should be of the frequent occurrence of generally self limited arthralgia and or arthritis beginning 2 to 4 weeks after vaccination"
- Under the 8th paragraph on page 3 notice what it says. "According to the ACIP recommendations, most people born in 1956 or earlier are likely to have been infected

with measles and need not be considered susceptible." This should hint that measles is not that scary, if it was the norm for people to have it and they all survived.

- According to <https://www.healthline.com/nutrition/common-food-allergies> eggs are considered the second most common allergy. Yet, on the fourth page of the MMR insert under "WARNINGS" under the second paragraph, it states that the "Live measles vaccine and live mumps vaccine are produced in chick embryo cell culture. Persons with a history of anaphylactic, anaphylactoid or other immediate reactions (e.g., hives, swelling of the mouth and throat, difficulty breathing, hypotension, or shock) subsequent to egg ingestion may be at an enhanced risk of immediate-type hypersensitivity reactions after receiving vaccines containing traces of chick embryo antigen. The potential risk to benefit ratio should be carefully evaluated before considering vaccination in such cases." If this is the case, why do doctors not ask about egg allergies before vaccinating, or inform the parents of said risks? If there is an increased risk, do parents not deserve to make the best, more informed decision for their family?
- On page five, the second paragraph/sentence says "vaccination should be deferred for three months or longer following blood or plasma transfusions, or administration of immune globulin" Yet when is the last time a doctor has asked if that has occurred before trying to vaccinate someone?
- On the fifth page, under the third paragraph, it states "excretion of small amounts of the live attenuated rubella virus from the nose or throat has occurred in the majority of susceptible individuals 7 to 28 days after vaccination." What does this mean? Only that someone that has been recently vaccinated is able to infect others with the virus. The last sentence of that paragraph states "transmission of the rubella vaccine virus to infants via breast milk has been documented" and if that is the case, why do some health care employees try to push the MMR on a woman who has just given birth?
- On page five... on the seventh paragraph it states "As for any vaccine, vaccination with MMR II may not result in protection in 100 % of vaccines" I feel this does not need explaining
- First sentence of page six states "MMR II has not been evaluated for carcinogenic or mutagenic potential, or potential to impair fertility"
- On page five, paragraph five it states "safety and effectiveness of measles vaccine in infants below the age of six months has not been established" yet some doctors will try to push it, and there are plenty of cases of health care professionals "accidentally" giving a child the wrong vaccine. Can you imagine the outcry if a child was given the wrong drug for any other issue? People would be freaking out.
- Adverse reactions. Read all of it. Google each word you don't know. Some of the side effects listed include arthritis, encephalitis, convulsions, seizures, Steven's-Johnson's syndrome (LOOK UP PICTURES OF THIS ONE!!!!), nerve deafness and more. (yes, death can be a side effect)

..... if vaccines were truly "safe and effective" as many try to say, why are so many parents bullied? Why don't health care professionals sit down, listen and talk openly and

honestly with parents? They act like side effects are impossible, yet on the vaccine insert they are listed as being very possible. People would believe there are side effects to drugs, antibiotics and other pharmaceuticals. So why not for vaccines? There are clearly risks, as there are with anything. So why are they trying to deny people the right to opt out? Why are they trying to force everyone to purchase these products? In California doctors are not allowed to write more than five medical exemptions a year, yet they very well may have more patients than that who need them. They are no longer giving them to those who need them either, out of fear of being questioned by big brother.

Every parent has the right to make the best, most informed decision possible. Yet with vaccines they are not given that.

I hope this has been somewhat helpful in allowing some to further understand the risks and to question the MMR a bit more. We each do our best with our families, and we all need to stand strong for our loved ones. Never allow yourself to be bullied, wait until you feel comfortable with whatever decision you come up with.