

They Tried to Criminalize Care. She Helped Change History.

Honoring Sandy Lepp's courage, compassion, and place in the living legacy of California Cannabis

Mar 27, 2026





Photo Courtesy of Carlos Frazier of C. Green Holistic Education

Long before Cannabis became a business category, a branding niche, or a ballot-line talking point, it was a risk. It was a raid. It was handcuffs. It was a courtroom. And for sick people and their caregivers, it was often the only thing that helped when the system had failed them.

This Substack is reader-supported. To receive new posts and support my work, consider becoming a free or paid subscriber.

That is the world Sandy Lepp stepped into.

California voters approved Proposition 215 in 1996, creating the Compassionate Use Act and exempting certain patients and defined primary caregivers from state criminal liability for possessing or cultivating marijuana for medical use with a physician's recommendation. At the time, that was not a settled social norm. It was a legal fault line. The law existed, but its meaning was still being fought over in police encounters, prosecutions, and courtrooms across the state.

According to later Cannabis-community sources and podcast documentation, Sandy Lepp, also identified as Sandra Castaneda Lepp, is remembered as California's first cannabis caregiver acquitted of criminal charges under Proposition 215. Those same sources describe her as a pioneering caregiver, later the widow of OG Eddy Lepp, and a co-founder of C-Green Holistic Education, a nonprofit Cannabis training effort for patients and caregivers.

What makes that courage so significant is not just that she was arrested. It is that she stood inside the uncertainty of a brand-new law when almost nobody could promise what would happen next.

In the late 1990s, Proposition 215 had opened a door, but it had not yet stabilized the room. The state had legalized medical use under certain circumstances, yet implementation was uneven, local authorities were often hostile, and people asserting medical necessity or caregiver protections still faced arrest and prosecution. Even years later, California courts were still clarifying that Prop 215 functioned as a defense in criminal cases rather than a shield preventing arrest in the first place.

That context matters, because history often remembers laws as if they arrived fully formed. They did not. Rights had to be tested by real people with real bodies on the line.

Archival reporting from that period documents the closely related Lake County legal struggle surrounding Charles "Eddy" Lepp, who was acquitted in December 1997 after being charged with cultivation. A Los Angeles Times archive later cited him as an example of a defendant acquitted under the new law, while a Prop 215 chronology records that a Lake County jury found him not guilty after a nine-day trial. Those records help establish just how early and volatile that era was in Northern California medical-Cannabis history.

That is why Sandy Lepp's story carries more than personal meaning. It represents a phase of the movement when caregivers were not protected by culture, only by conviction. When you stood up for plant medicine, you were not standing in an industry. You were standing in a line of fire.

And Sandy did more than survive that pressure. She turned it into education.

By her own account, she went on to help build Lake County's first Cannabis training facility, CGreen Holistic Education. Later public descriptions of her work describe C-Green as a free or nonprofit training program serving patients and caregivers, focused on teaching cultivation within California's medical framework. That matters because movements do not endure on symbolism alone. They endure when people who were punished for knowing something turn around and teach others anyway.

That is legacy work.

It is one thing to win in court. It is another to come back and make the knowledge public.

Sandy's story also intersects with another legendary figure in California cannabis history: Eddy Lepp, the activist and grower who became one of the movement's most well-known political prisoners. Multiple sources note that after his release from prison, he married Sandra Castaneda Lepp; they also document her role as his partner and caregiver during his battle with Stage 4 cancer. Eddy Lepp passed away in August 2021, and public reporting at the time identified Sandra as the person who shared news of his passing.

That chapter adds another dimension to her life: not only legal courage, but caregiving in its deepest form. Not only activism, but devotion. Not only resistance to the state, but tenderness in the face of suffering.

There is a temptation in modern Cannabis culture to focus only on scale, licenses, branding, and market share. But the freedoms people now speak about so casually were purchased, in part, by people like Sandy Lepp, who endured the criminalization of compassion when the future was still unwritten.



Her legacy is not just that she was early.

It is that she was brave early.

She stood where the law was untested.

She held her ground where the culture was hostile.

She helped carry cannabis from accusation to legitimacy.

And then, instead of retreating into private survival, she helped teach others how to grow.

That is the mark of a real movement builder.

Not someone who arrived after the victory.

Someone who helped make victory possible.

So when we honor Sandy Lepp, we are honoring more than one woman's biography. We are honoring a category of courage that built the road before there was a road: caregivers, teachers, patient advocates, underground educators, and all those who risked punishment to defend healing before healing was respectable.

History often remembers the loudest names.

But movements are also shaped by the people who kept showing up, kept caring, kept teaching, and kept refusing to let compassion be treated like a crime.

Sandy Lepp belongs in that history.

And stories like hers deserve to be told now, not only because they are moving, but because they remind us what courage actually looks like when it is still inconvenient, still costly, and still dangerous.

That is the kind of courage that changes the course of history.