Project Manager's Name: Crystal Barrand

Project Management Plan

Company Name

Final Project Plan

Project Management Plan

Submitted to:

David Lendry, PMP

Technical Feasibility

Technical feasibility assesses whether Hightower has the resources, expertise, and infrastructure to execute the HighLEARN project. The organization benefits from a skilled team, including IT specialists like Steve Quan and Shannon Valley, who possess the technical knowledge to develop and secure the intranet. Content specialists Monica Ianucci and Jonathan Brant bring expertise in creating engaging and informative material. However, challenges exist, such as the delayed availability of key IT personnel until mid-July 2019. This delay could hinder the early stages of the project, such as infrastructure setup and portal administration.

Concerns for ensuring there is no external access beyond the firewall show as a technical risk that requires mitigation. However, challenges exist, such as the delayed availability of key IT personnel until mid-July 2019. This delay could hinder the early stages of the project, such as infrastructure setup and portal administration (Mind Edge Inc., 2014).

Economic feasibility examines whether the financial benefits of the project outweigh its costs. The project has been given a $1.5 million budget. The expected outcomes of the project include improved sales performance, reduced training costs, and enhanced product knowledge among sales associates. Supporting Hightower's goals. With needing to teach the sales teams the information to present and sell a variety of products effectively, the project is poised to drive revenue growth.

Financial assessment methods, such as cost-benefit analysis (CBA) and return on investment (ROI), can provide a picture of the project's long-term value. Based on future

improvements in sales and client retention. For HighLEARN, the estimated increase in sales efficiency and client retention supports economic feasibility. Making emergency budgets for potential issues makes sure financial risks are managed right.

Organizational Feasibility

Organizational feasibility considers whether the project works with Hightower's structural and cultural readiness. The benefits from strong top-down support, with CEO Carol Bailey and Sales Head Peter McKenzie carrying the initiative. McKenzie's enthusiasm for blended learning and interactive training platforms has created an organizational commitment to the project. (HighLearn Case Study, 2024)

However, organizational risks include resistance from the Marketing department, which favors adopting Microsoft® SharePoint® instead of developing a custom solution. This shows how important cross-departmental collaboration is to project success. (Asana, n.d.)

Despite the issues, the project supports Hightower's emphasis on innovation and global market competitiveness. By providing sales associates with a platform to help increase their knowledge and collaboration, HighLEARN will support the organization's objectives of growth and meet those aggressive sales targets.

The project shows feasibility across technical, economic, and organizational dimensions. While challenging delay resource availability, security concerns, and departmental resistance exist, these issues can be addressed through project management. The relationship of HighLEARN with Hightower's strategic goals, paired with strong organizational support and a realistic budget.

How Feasibility was Assessed

1. **Technical Feasibility Assessment**

   - Review available technical resources such as hardware, software, and personnel expertise.

   - Analyze timelines and dependencies to identify potential bottlenecks.

   - Assess risks such as delayed availability of team members and security vulnerabilities.

2. **Economic Feasibility Assessment**

   - **Financial Models and Methods**:

     Cost-Benefit Analysis (CBA): Compare the total costs of development and maintenance against the projected benefits such as sales increases.

     Return on Investment (ROI): Calculate the profitability ratio based on project returns divided by costs.

     Payback Period: Estimate how long it will take to recover the initial investment.

     The estimated increase in sales efficiency and client retention would be weighed against the $1.5 million budget.

   - Develop emergency budgets for potential overruns.

3. **Organizational Feasibility Assessment**

   - Identify support and resistance among key stakeholders.

   - Assess goals and make sure they continue to support the companies

- Evaluate resource allocation and team readiness for cross-functional collaboration.

Part B Strategic Goals

A traceability matrix establishes clear connections between project deliverables and organizational objectives, ensuring that each component of the project contributes to the company's broader vision.

1. **Improved Sales Performance**

2. **Global Competitiveness**

3. **Adaptability to Acquisitions**

4. **Innovative Training**

5. **Collaboration and Knowledge Sharing**

Connecting projects with organizational goals is important for several reasons. Projects that connect with strategic goals ensure resources are directed toward initiatives that drive measurable outcomes. For Hightower, HighLEARN directly supports sales growth and global competitiveness. When projects contribute to strategic objectives, they gain stronger support from stakeholders, making smoother execution. In this case, CEO Carol Bailey and Sales Head Peter McKenzie strongly advocate for HighLEARN. projects with goals for financial, human, and technical resources are utilized efficiently. HighLEARN's focuses on blended learning to reduce reliance on expensive traditional training methods. Projects linked to strategic priorities are less likely to be deprioritized or fail due to a lack of relationships.

Bringing projects with organizational goals ensures resources are directed toward initiatives that drive measurable outcomes. When projects contribute to the objectives, there is stronger support from stakeholders, ensuring smoother execution. In this case, CEO Carol Bailey and Sales Head Peter McKenzie strongly advocate for HighLEARN. .

Traceability Metrix

Strategic Goals	Project Deliverables	Alignment Explanation
Improve sales performance	Development of an interactive sales training intranet	HighLEARN provides sales associates with comprehensive product knowledge, improving their ability to meet sales targets.
Support global market competitiveness	Integration of webinars, blogs, forums, and training videos	This enables geographically dispersed teams to access standardized resources, maintaining global sales effectiveness.
Facilitate rapid adaptation to acquisitions	Content creation to include new product modules and sales case studies	HighLEARN helps sales teams quickly learn about newly acquired products, allowing for seamless integration.
Promote innovation in training methods	Blended learning combining traditional and online training approaches	The project aligns with McKenzie's vision for innovative and efficient sales training strategies.
Enhance collaboration and knowledge sharing	Inclusion of social features such as forums and blogs	HighLEARN fosters cross-team collaboration, empowering associates to share tips and feedback globally.

Index

Project Charter
Project: HighLEARN Sales Training Intranet

Project ID: **HL001**

Link to Project Documentation: **Case Study Documentation - HighLEARN**

Publication Date: **December 12, 2024**

Project Sponsor: **Peter McKenzie, Head of Sales**

Revision History

Version number	Date	Originator	Reason for change	Approval
1.0	12/12/2024	Tony DiBello	Initial Project Charter	Approved
			.	

Introduction

The HighLEARN project will develop a state-of-the-art sales training intranet for Hightower Global Solutions to support its 350 geographically dispersed sales associates. The platform will integrate blended learning tools to enhance sales performance, improve product knowledge, and facilitate collaboration

Business Problem / Opportunity

Sales associates struggle to keep up with the company's diverse product offerings and newly acquired products, causing missed opportunities. A unified training platform is important to

address these challenges, improve productivity, and sustain growth in competitive global markets.

Summary Project Recommendations

Develop the HighLEARN intranet with a $1.5 million budget, rolling out by January 1, 2020. The platform will feature interactive learning tools, including webinars, videos, and collaborative forums. Project risks, such as resource availability delays and security concerns, will be managed through proactive planning.

Scope and Objectives

Business Objectives
- Improve sales performance and efficiency.
- Facilitate rapid adaptation to acquisitions and new product launches.
- Enhance global collaboration among sales associates.

Project Objectives
- Develop an interactive training intranet by January 1, 2020.
- Integrate security measures to prevent external access.
- Provide comprehensive training modules and resources for all sales associates.

Project Scope
- Design, develop, and deploy the HighLEARN intranet.
- Include content creation, IT infrastructure setup, and testing.
- Integrate collaboration tools like blogs and forums.

Project Success Criteria
- Successful rollout by the deadline.
- Positive feedback from 90% of the sales team.
- Measurable improvement in sales metrics within six months.

Project Dependencies
- Project Manager: Tony DiBello (tony.dibello@hightower.com)
- IT Specialist: Steve Quan (steve.quan@hightower.com)
- Content Lead: Monica Ianucci (monica.ianucci@hightower.com)

Project	Description	Contact:
IT Specialist Availability	Ensure timely availability of IT personnel to set up infrastructure and test systems.	Steve Quan
Content Development and Uploads	Create and upload sales training modules, webinars, and videos to the intranet.	Monica Ianucci
Marketing and Security Team Collaboration	Coordinate with Marketing and Security teams to gain design approval and meet compliance.	Sasha Fiercer, Shannon Valley
Security Infrastructure Implementation	Implement robust firewalls and perform stress testing to secure the intranet.	Shannon Valley
Testing and Quality Assurance	Conduct end-to-end testing to ensure all system functionalities meet user requirements.	Jonathan Brant
Stakeholder Engagement	Ensure frequent updates and alignment with department heads to support project goals.	Peter McKenzie

Stakeholders / Project Team Major Stakeholders

Department	Contact Name	Role	Involvement
Sales	Peter McKenzie	Sponsor	Oversees project vision and funding
Executive Leadership	Carol Bailey	CEO	Strategic oversight
Marketing	Sasha Fiercer	Stakeholder	Approval and endorsement. Provides input on marketing alignment
Learning and Development	Ricardo Contin	Director of Learning	Aligns training goals with organizational strategy
IT Support	Harold Williams	IT Support Specialist	Supports infrastructure testing and maintenance

Project Team –Technical Team Leaders w/Area of Project Responsibility

Department	Contact Name	Role	Responsibility
IT Operations	Steve Quan	IT Specialist	Infrastructure setup
IT Security	Shannon Valley	Security Specialist	Security measures and testing
Content	Monica Ianucci	Content Specialist	Training module creation
Content	Jonathan Brant	Content Specialist	Video and webinar uploads
Training Department	Dianne Harrison	Trainer	Oversees training delivery and engagement
Training Department	Tyler Burns	Trainer	Manages user training adaptation
SME Collaboration	Sales Team Reps	Subject Matter Expert	Provides insights for training module content

Constraints, Assumptions, and Exclusions

Constraints
- $1.5 million budget.
- Limited availability of IT personnel until July 15, 2019.

Assumptions
- Sales associates will adapt to the new system within three months.
- Marketing department will support the custom intranet approach.

Exclusions
- Does not include in-person training sessions.

Communications

Stakeholder	What	When	How
Project Sponsor	Weekly updates	Weekly	Email/Meetings
Project Team	Progress Reports	Bi-weekly	Team meetings
Sales Associates	Training announcements	Monthly	Internal memos

Project Milestones

Note: All milestones and due dates identified during this, early, stage of the Project must be presented as preliminary or tentative.

Milestone	Due Date
Project Initiation	June 1, 2019
Infrastructure Setup Completion	September 1, 2019
Content Creation Completion	November 15, 2019
System Testing and Final Approval	December 15, 2019
HighLEARN Rollout	January 1, 2020

Project Risks

Description	Owner	Action Item
Delayed availability of IT personnel	Tony DiBello	Adjust project schedule
Security vulnerabilities in intranet design	Shannon Valley	Conduct thorough security testing
Marketing department resistance	Peter McKenzie	Facilitate cross-department collaboration

Why we should have a project charter.

A project charter is an essential document for any project, as it serves as the foundation for all aspects of the project's execution and management. First and foremost, it clearly defines the project's objectives, scope, and deliverables, ensuring that all stakeholders have a shared understanding of the project goals. For instance, in the HighLEARN project, the charter outlines the goal of creating an interactive intranet to enhance sales performance.

Moreover, the charter formalizes the authority of the project manager and team members, providing them with the autonomy needed to allocate resources, make decisions, and lead the project effectively. For example, it designates Tony DiBello as the project manager, ensuring he has the necessary support to execute the project plan.

Another benefit of the project charter is its role in creating alignment among stakeholders. It identifies key stakeholders, such as Peter McKenzie and Shannon Valley, and clarifies their responsibilities and contributions to the project's success. This relationship helps ensure smoother collaboration and reduces the likelihood of conflicts or misunderstandings.

The charter also acts as a central communication tool, providing a single reference point for all project details, timelines, and expectations. This is particularly valuable in complex

projects like HighLEARN, where multiple departments, including IT, Content, and Training, must work together seamlessly.

Finally, the charter establishes a baseline for monitoring and controlling the project. It allows for the tracking of progress against initial plans and facilitates adjustments when needed. For example, the charter highlights dependencies such as IT specialist availability and outlines contingency measures to address potential delays.

The project charter is not just a formality; it is a vital tool that guides the project team, aligns stakeholders, and ensures that the project remains focused on its objectives. Its importance cannot be overstated in achieving project success.

Project Authorization

The goal of the Project Authorization section is to receive sign-off on the Project. Sign-off infers that the Sponsors/Stakeholders have accepted all information within this Charter, specifically the Project's Scope. Once the Charter has been signed, all changes in scope or requirements will require a revision of the Project Charter and will require the approval of the Stakeholders.

I agree with the scope and deliverables of the project as described in this Project Charter and request that it proceeds. I am aware that any supplementary requirement or scope change may cause a delay or postponement to the project schedule or require additional funding.

X

_____ _____ _____

Business Unit Representative   Dated

Peter MCKenzie

_____

Sponsor   Dated

X

_____ _____ _____

Sponsor Delegate   Dated

Tony DiBello

_____

Project Manager   Dated

Part D High-Level Timeline

Project Task Number	Description of Task	Planned Duration in Days	Planned Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Estimated Labor, Material, Etc.	Number of Units Required	Estimated Cost per Unit	Estimated Cost per Unit in Dollars
1	Initial Planning and Scoping	15	1-Jun-19	15-Jun-19	Project manager and stakeholders' time	15 labor days	$1,200 per day	$18,000
2	IT Infrastructure Setup	60	16-Jun-19	15-Aug-19	IT specialists, servers, software tools	60 labor days + equipment	$1,500 per day	$90,000
3	Content Development and Uploads	90	1-Jul-19	30-Sep-19	Content specialists, training modules, video production	90 labor days	$1,000 per day	$90,000
4	Security Implementation	30	1-Sep-19	30-Sep-19	Security specialist and firewall installation	30 labor days + hardware	$1,800 per day	$54,000
5	Testing and Quality Assurance	30	1-Oct-19	30-Oct-19	QA testers, end-to-end testing resources	30 labor days	$1,000 per day	$30,000
6	Rollout and Training	30	1-Nov-19	30-Nov-19	Trainers, sales associate onboarding materials	30 labor days		
Total Estimation: $318,000								

High-Level Timeline and Cost Estimate

Creating a high-level timeline and cost estimate during the initiation phase of the HighLEARN project is important. By outlining the major tasks, estimated costs, and durations, the project team can ensure connection with organizational goals and set realistic expectations for delivery.

For instance, the first task involves initial planning and scoping, which is scheduled to take 15 days. This phase sets the foundation for the entire project. During this time, the project manager and stakeholders will come together to define the project's scope, identify key objectives, and clarify deliverables. Estimating the cost for this phase at $18,000 ensures that adequate resources are allocated to kickstart the project effectively.

Moving forward, the IT infrastructure setup will take approximately 60 days. This phase involves IT specialists configuring servers, implementing software tools, and laying the groundwork for the intranet. Given the technical nature of this task, the estimated cost of $90,000 shows the resources and expertise required. Similarly, content development and uploads will run concurrently and span 90 days. This makes sure that the training modules, webinars, and videos are ready for the sales team. The $90,000 budget for this covers the work of content specialists and production costs.

As the project progresses, security implementation becomes a key focus. Scheduled for 30 days, this phase involves installing firewalls and conducting security tests to protect the intranet from external threats. With a cost estimate of $54,000, this task ensures that the platform remains secure and reliable. Following this, testing and quality assurance will take another 30 days. During this time, QA testers will conduct end-to-end evaluations to ensure the system meets user

requirements. At an estimated cost of $30,000, this phase is critical for identifying and resolving any issues before rollout.

Finally, the rollout and training phase will take place over 30 days. During this period, trainers will work closely with sales associates to familiarize them with the new platform. By setting aside $36,000 for this phase, the project should have a smooth transition.

By breaking down the project into major tasks with estimated costs and durations, the team can outline the overall scope and set realistic expectations. This helps everyone be on the same page from the beginning. Additionally, it secures resources. When stakeholders understand the financial and resource commitments required, they are better positioned to create budgets and approve necessary resources.

Moreover, a high-level timeline and cost estimate support decision-making. For example, knowing that the project will cost an estimated $318,000 enables stakeholders to evaluate whether the investment is worth the expected benefits, such as improved sales performance and enhanced training efficiency. Lastly, this step helps identify risks early. By estimating timelines and costs, potential challenges like resource availability or budget constraints can be flagged and addressed before they impact on the project.

Part E Stakeholder Concerns

Identifying stakeholder concerns during the initiation phase of a project is important. Engaging stakeholders early make sure that expectations are clearly defined, risks are mitigated, and trust is built. Stakeholders often have different perspectives that can help shape the project's scope and objectives, reducing the likelihood of "scope creep" or unforeseen challenges. Addressing concerns at this stage collaboration among stakeholders, gain support and

commitment. Early engagement also allows the project team to allocate resources and support between stakeholder priorities and project goals.

Creating a stakeholder register is a step in managing stakeholders systematically. This registers information, such as stakeholder roles, levels of influence, and interest in the project, creating a project team tailored to communication and engagement strategies. All parties remain informed and engaged throughout the project's lifecycle. This approach minimizes the risk of misunderstandings that could hinder project progress.

In the HighLearn case study, understanding stakeholder support is important due to the different groups involved, such as university staff, IT teams, faculty, and students. Each group has specific needs and concerns that must be addressed. For example, faculty and students, as primary users, are adopting and utilizing the new system. By addressing their concerns and fostering buy-in, the project team can reduce resistance to better implementation.

Description of Concern	Impact on Project (High, Medium, Low)	Date Identified	Due Date for Completion	Stakeholder that Identified Concern	Internal or External Stakeholder	Project Team Member Concern Assigned To
Faculty resistance to new platform adoption	High	2024-12-14	2024-12-30	Faculty members	Internal	Project Manager
Lack of adequate training for IT staff	High	2024-12-14	2024-12-20	IT Department	Internal	Training Coordinator
Concerns about system compatibility with legacy tools	Medium	2024-12-14	2024-12-25	IT Department	Internal	Technical Lead
Student dissatisfaction with user interface	High	2024-12-14	2024-12-30	Students	External	UI/UX Designer
Insufficient communication about project benefits	Medium	2024-12-14	2024-12-22	Administrative Staff	Internal	Communications Manager
Budgetary constraints impacting timely delivery	High	2024-12-14	2024-12-28	Project Sponsor	Internal	Finance Manager
Limited availability of technical support during rollout	Medium	2024-12-14	2024-12-27	IT Department	Internal	Support Lead
Data security concerns from stakeholders	High	2024-12-14	2024-12-26	Legal/Compliance Team	Internal	Security Analyst

Identifying and addressing stakeholders' concerns early in the initiation phase helps create project goals, reduces resistance, and fosters a collaborative environment for project success.

In the HighLearn, addressing concerns such as faculty resistance or IT staff training gaps early to avoid delays. Faculty members, for instance, may resist adopting the new system due to a lack of familiarity or perceived disruption to existing workflows. Identifying and mitigating this concern through targeted training and communication strategies will reduce opposition and enhance project adoption. Similarly, ensuring IT staff are adequately trained in addressing potential compatibility or operational challenges will minimize disruptions during the transition.

A stakeholder register organizes, and documents all concerns systematically, providing clarity on who the stakeholders are, their roles, and their influence on the project. For HighLearn, this register would help the project team prioritize concerns such as student dissatisfaction with the user interface, ensuring that UI/UX improvements support end-user expectations. Additionally, the register creates good communication with stakeholders, making them be remain informed and engaged

Addressing student dissatisfaction with the interface and ensuring compatibility with legacy tools directly impacts user adoption rates and the system's overall effectiveness. Proactively managing these concerns creates a collaborative environment.

By documenting and addressing these concerns systematically, the HighLearn project team can implement and adopt, meeting the needs of all stakeholders.

Last Name	First Name	Title	Organization	Primary Phone Number	Email Address	Physical Address
Smith	Jane	Faculty	HighLearn University	555-123-4567	jane.smith@university.edu	123 University Ave, TX
Doe	John	IT Specialist	HighLearn IT Department	555-234-5678	john.doe@highlearn.com	456 IT Drive, TX
Roberts	Emily	Student Representative	HighLearn Student Body	555-345-6789	emily.roberts@student.edu	789 Student Lane, TX
Johnson	Sarah	Administrative Staff	HighLearn Administration	555-456-7890	sarah.johnson@university.edu	321 Admin Blvd, TX
Lee	Michael	Legal/Compliance Officer	HighLearn Compliance Team	555-567-8901	michael.lee@highlearn.com	654 Legal St, TX
Green	Rachel	Project Sponsor	HighLearn Executive Board	555-678-9012	rachel.green@highlearn.com	987 Sponsor Ave, TX
Thompson	Mark	IT Manager	HighLearn IT Department	555-789-0123	mark.thompson@highlearn.com	123 IT Manager Lane, TX
Evans	Linda	Training Coordinator	HighLearn Training Dept.	555-890-1234	linda.evans@university.edu	456 Training Circle, TX
Taylor	Kevin	Student IT Support	HighLearn IT Support	555-901-2345	kevin.taylor@student.edu	789 IT Support Lane, TX

PM ProjectManager

Stakeholder Analysis

Project Name				Project Description				Project Manager				
Wall St. Pedestrian Walkway				Create street connecting office building				Edith Miser				

Basics				Project Information					Commitment Level				
									C - Current Level			R - Required Level	
Stakeholder	**Title**	**Email**	**Influence**	**Priority**	**SME?**	**Decision-Maker**	**Comm. Freq.**	**Comm. Method**	**Against**	**Passive**	**Neutral**	**Help**	**Notes**
Dr. Jane Smith	Faculty	jane.smith@university.edu	High	High	Yes	Yes	Weekly	Email, Meetings	No	No	No	Yes	Needs regular updates on adoption progress.
John Doe	IT Specialist	john.doe@highlearn.com	Medium	Medium	Yes	No	Bi-weekly	Teams Chat, Email	No	Yes	No	Yes	Prefers technical details and clear deadlines.
Emily Roberts	Student Representative	emily.roberts@student.edu	Low	Low	No	No	Monthly	Surveys, Forums	No	No	Yes	No	Represents student usability concerns.

Sarah Johnson	Administrative Staff	sarah.johnson@university.edu	Medium	Medium	No	Yes	Weekly	Meetings, Memos	No	Yes	No	Yes	Focus on communication of benefits to department
Michael Lee	Legal/Compliance Officer	michael.lee@highlearn.com	High	High	Yes	No	As Needed	Email, Compliance Reports	No	No	No	Yes	Advises on regulatory and security compliance.
Rachel Green	Project Sponsor	rachel.green@highlearn.com	High	High	Yes	Yes	Weekly	Meetings, Reports	No	No	No	Yes	Oversees resource allocation and overall progress.

Planning

Part A Business Requirements:

Understanding what the stakeholders need in the final platform supports teaching, enhances the student experience, and connects with administrative goals. Requirements are categorized into business, functional, and technical to provide clear structure and direction for the project team. Capturing these requirements also minimizes misunderstandings, reduces the risk of failure, and ensures that stakeholder expectations are met throughout the process.

These objectives provide the "why" behind the project and set the foundation for what the system needs to achieve. Faculty members, for example, need tools to manage and deliver course content, while students require seamless access to assignments and resources. Administrators rely on reporting tools to monitor performance, and compliance teams demand strong data security measures. These high-level needs drive the entire development process.

The functional requirements define the specific features the platform must deliver to meet these goals. For instance, faculty require tools for creating and managing course materials, students need an intuitive and accessible interface, and administrators need customizable reporting and analytics. The system must integrate with existing tools like Learning Management Systems (LMS) while offering role-based access for different users. Functional requirements ensure that the platform operates in a way that solves real challenges for each stakeholder group.

Finally, the technical requirements provide the backbone of the project, ensuring it performs reliably and securely. This means guaranteeing 99.9% uptime, mobile compatibility, and scalability to handle large numbers of users. Strong data encryption, compliance with data privacy regulations, and backup and recovery systems are also necessary to protect sensitive

information and trust. These technical details may seem "behind the scenes," but they are for keeping the system functional, secure, and efficient.

Of the most effective approaches workshops allow for collaborative discussions, where stakeholders can brainstorm features and identify priorities together. For larger groups, surveys and questionnaires provide a quick and easy way to gather feedback, particularly from students. Observing current workflows helps uncover inefficiencies and gaps, while prototyping allows stakeholders to interact with mockups of the system and provide real-time feedback on design and functionality.

By capturing business, functional, and technical requirements, the HighLearn team can continue to support stakeholder needs, solve existing challenges, and deliver real value. Using a mix of interviews, workshops, surveys, and prototyping creates a well-rounded understanding of what the platform must achieve.

Part B Subject Matter Experts

The project goals are the project's foundation, defining what the system must achieve to support teaching, enhance the student experience, and streamline administrative processes. To get the right input, it's important to involve the right people who understand the day-to-day challenges and goals of the platform. This includes faculty members, administrative staff, project sponsors, and representatives from IT and compliance teams. Together, they will provide the insights needed to align the project with the broader organizational vision.

The faculty members: role is to define requirements related to teaching and course management. Since they are the ones delivering content, their input is on tools for creating materials, managing assignments, and engaging students. For example, they might highlight the

need for an intuitive platform that saves time and reduces frustration. Similarly, administrative staff will focus on efficiency. They are responsible for reporting, data management, and overall communication within the organization, so their input will center on features like customizable dashboards, analytics tools, and simplified workflows.

At a higher level, the project sponsor helps the relationship between strategic goals like improving learning outcomes, optimizing resources, and keeping costs manageable. While IT and compliance teams aren't the primary owners of business requirements their business goals remain technically feasible and compliant with regulations and the organization. Together, these groups bring a well-rounded perspective that balances user needs, administrative efficiency, and organizational priorities.

To gather these insights, a mix of approaches will be used to make sure everyone's voice is heard. One-on-one interviews will allow faculty, administrative staff, and project sponsors to share their needs and challenges in detail. These interviews will uncover specific pain points, like difficulty managing course materials or the need for better reporting tools. For a more collaborative approach, workshops will bring groups of stakeholders together to brainstorm ideas and prioritize what matters most. Workshops are particularly helpful because they allow stakeholders to bounce ideas off one another and clarify needs in real-time.

For feedback, surveys and questionnaires will be distributed to faculty and staff who might not be directly involved in interviews or workshops. This will help the quieter voices to be heard. Additionally, observation and job shadowing will provide valuable insights into how tasks are currently performed, helping the project team identify inefficiencies that the new platform can address. Once the initial requirements are gathered, prototypes and wireframes will be shared

with stakeholders for validation. This iterative feedback loop ensures the system stays connected with its expectations.

In the end, capturing business requirements is about listening, collaborating, and validating. By engaging key stakeholders like faculty, administrative staff, and project sponsors and using tools like interviews, workshops, surveys, and prototypes the HighLearn team can ensure the platform delivers exactly what the organization needs. This structured but flexible approach not only builds trust but also creates a shared sense of ownership in the project, laying the groundwork for its success.

Part C Standard Requirements Template

REQUIREMENTS TRACEABILITY MATRIX

PROJECT MANAGER:	Andrew Smith		PROJECT ID:	AX-EMEA-000302
PROJECT SPONSOR:	Mark Jones		PROJECT NAME:	Orion

REQUIREMENT INFORMATION					RELATIONSHIP TRACEABILITY			
ID	CATEGORY	REQUIREMENT	PRIORITY	SOURCE	BUSINESS OBJECTIVE	DELIVERABLE(S	VERFICATION	VALIDATION
REQ-001	Mandatory	Ability for customers to search the knowledge base for solutions to broadband problems.	High	CTO	Increase self-service resolution rate by 13%	Knowledge base module Analytics module	Achievement of business objective within 1 yr from go live.	Unit test and UAT.
REQ-002	Should have	My account area with ability for customers to register their product.	Medium	CCO	Increase registration rate of products to 50% in 2 yrs	My account module Product registration plug-in	Achievement of business objective.	Unit test and UAT.
REQ-003	Nice to have	The ability for customers to see recently viewed knowledge articles in my account area.	Low	Service Desk	Increase CSAT by 3% by 2nd half of FY.	My account module and customization to save viewed knowledge articles.	Up tick of CSAT.	Unit test and UAT.
REQ-004	Should have	User-friendly interface accessible on desktop, tablet, and mobile.	Medium	Students (Emily Roberts)	Enhance user experience for students and faculty.	Fully responsive UI/UX design across devices.	Positive usability testing and feedback scores.	Unit test and UAT

REQ-005	Nice to have	Ability to view previously accessed content in the student account area.	Low	Students (Emily Roberts)	Increase user engagement and platform usability.	Custom account module, history tracking for viewed materials.	Uptick in engagement metrics by the 2nd half of FY.	Unit test and UAT
REQ-006	Mandatory	Strong encryption and compliance with data protection regulations.	High	Compliance Team (Michael Lee)	Ensure data privacy and regulatory compliance.	Data security module, encryption tools, compliance reports.	Passing all regulatory audits within 3 months of go-live.	Unit test and UAT
REQ-007	Should have	Ability to back up and recover data automatically.	Medium	IT Specialist (John Doe)	Maintain system reliability and data integrity.	Backup and recovery tools with automatic scheduling.	Successful test of data recovery procedures.	Unit test and UAT

Explanation

1. **Categories**: Requirements were prioritized into Mandatory, Should Have, and Nice to Have to reflect their impact on the HighLearn project.

2. **Source**: Stakeholders like faculty, IT, administrative staff, and compliance officers provided the requirements based on their roles.

3. **Business Objectives**: Each requirement ties back to objectives like improving efficiency, ensuring system compatibility, enhancing user experience, and maintaining compliance.

4. **Deliverables**: Specific modules, tools, or functionalities are identified as outputs.

5. **Verification and Validation**: Unit tests, user acceptance testing (UAT), and performance metrics confirm that the requirements are met.

Part D Format

In any project, knowing exactly what stakeholders need and how those needs are being addressed is key. That's where requirements traceability comes in. It's the process of tracking requirements throughout the entire project lifecycle, ensuring that every single one is accounted for, implemented correctly, and tied back to a clear business objective. Simply put, traceability connects the dots between what's needed, what's delivered, and how success is measured. Without it, projects can easily drift off course, leaving stakeholders frustrated and goals unmet.

One of the biggest reasons requirements traceability is so important creates the relationship between the project and the end goals. In a project like HighLearn, stakeholders, whether faculty, IT teams, or students, each have their priorities. For example, faculty want tools for managing course content, while students care about usability and accessibility. By mapping each requirement to its business objective and deliverables, the team can make sure nothing gets overlooked. This not only keeps everyone on the same page but also helps ensure that the project delivers what it promises.

Another reason to prioritize traceability is its ability to help manage changes. Let's face it: changes are bound to happen in any project. New priorities may emerge, or technical challenges might require adjustments. When changes occur, a requirements traceability matrix makes it easier to see the ripple effects, what other parts of the project will be impacted, and how those changes affect the overall goal. For instance, if a decision is made to add more reporting features for administrators, the team can quickly trace how this change impacts timelines, testing, and other deliverables. This prevents scope creeps and keeps the project focused.

Traceability also plays a huge role in verification and validation, which is all about making sure the project works as intended. By linking each requirement to testing processes like unit tests and user acceptance testing (UAT), the team can systematically check off each one to confirm it's been met. In the HighLearn project, this means verifying that the platform integrates seamlessly with existing systems, the user interface works smoothly, and security features comply with regulations. Without this clear process, it's easy to miss important details that could cause problems later.

Finally, requirements traceability makes it easier to maintain accountability and transparency. When requirements are traced back to their sources, whether it's faculty, IT specialists, or compliance teams, everyone knows who contributed what and how it fits into the big picture. This not only keeps communication clear but also helps resolve misunderstandings or conflicts quickly. If, for example, faculty have concerns about how course management tools are implemented, the team can reference the original requirements to address the issue directly.

In the end, requirements traceability is like a roadmap for the project. It connects stakeholder needs to actual results, helps manage changes without losing focus, and ensures that every requirement has been met and validated. For a project like HighLearn, where so many different stakeholders are involved, it's the key to staying organized, delivering on promises, and ensuring long-term success. By keeping requirements traceable, the team can confidently deliver a platform that meets everyone's needs and aligns with the project's bigger goals.

Part E Project Schedule

Project Tasks	Resource Assigned	Duration Days	Rate per Day	Estimated New Cost	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	April	May	June	July	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan
Platform Requirements Gathering	Project Manager	15	$500	$7,500	⊠			▓	▓										
Stakeholder Interviews & Workshops	Business Analyst	20	$450	$9,000		⊠		▓	▓	▓	▓	▓	▓	▓	▓				
Technical Feasibility Assessment	IT Specialist	10	$400	$4,000			□		▓	▓									
LMS Integration Design	Technical Lead	30	$600	$18,000					⊠		▓	▓	▓	▓					
UI/UX Design and Prototyping	UI/UX Designer	40	$550	$22,000						⊠					▓	▓	▓		
System Development – Phase 1	Development Team	60	$700	$42,000						⊠									
System Testing – Phase 1	QA Analyst	20	$500	$10,000							□	⊠							
System Development – Phase 2	Development Team	45	$700	$31,500									⊠	⊠					
System Testing – Phase 2	QA Analyst	20	$500	$10,000									□	⊠					
Faculty & Admin Training	Training Coordinator	25	$400	$10,000											□	□	□	⊠	
Final Deployment	Project Manager	15	$500	$7,500															⊠

34

The Project Schedule Process

The project schedule provides a roadmap for completing tasks, allocating resources, and meeting deadlines. It breaks down project activities into specific tasks, assigns responsibilities, and establishes timelines to ensure the project progresses efficiently. The schedule helps project teams understand what needs to be done, by whom, and when, ensuring alignment with project goals and stakeholder expectations.

The project scheduling process involves several key steps, beginning with identifying project tasks, estimating their durations, and sequencing them in a logical order. By developing a clear and realistic schedule, project managers can ensure resources are used efficiently, risks are identified early, and stakeholders remain informed about project progress.

Steps to Create the Project Schedule

1. **Define the Project Tasks**

   The first step is to break the project scope into smaller, manageable tasks. These tasks represent the specific activities required to achieve project deliverables. For example, in the HighLearn project, tasks include *"Platform Requirements Gathering," "Stakeholder Interviews," "System Development,"* and *"System Testing."* Creating a **Work Breakdown Structure (WBS)** helps organize these tasks hierarchically, ensuring nothing is missed.

2. **Identify Task Dependencies**

   Once tasks are defined, it's essential to determine how they are connected. Some tasks must be completed before others can begin, while others can run in parallel. For example, *"Technical Feasibility Assessment"* must occur before *"LMS Integration Design"* can

begin, and *"System Development"* must be completed before testing phases can start. These dependencies are crucial for sequencing activities and avoiding delays.

3. **Estimate Task Durations**

   Each task's duration is estimated based on its complexity, resources required, and prior experience with similar projects. Subject Matter Experts (SMEs) and team members provide input to ensure accuracy. For instance, tasks like *"System Development Phase 1"* may require 60 days due to their technical complexity, while *"Technical Feasibility Assessment"* might only need 10 days.

4. **Assign Resources**

   Next, resources such as team members, tools, and budgets are allocated to each task. Roles like Project Manager, Business Analyst, UI/UX Designer, Development Team, and QA Analyst are matched with tasks based on their expertise. Resource allocation ensures that team members are not overburdened, and tasks are completed efficiently.

5. **Sequence the Tasks and Develop the Timeline**

   Using tools like **Gantt Charts** or project scheduling software (e.g., MS Project, Primavera, or Excel), tasks are sequenced on a timeline. Milestones, such as the completion of requirements gathering, development phases, or testing phases, are marked to indicate significant points in the project. In the HighLearn project, tasks are sequenced logically across the months from **November 2012 to February 2014** to ensure steady progress.

6. **Review and Optimize the Schedule**

   Once the schedule is created, it is reviewed for accuracy, resource conflicts, and

feasibility. The project manager works with the team to identify risks, such as overlapping tasks or resource shortages and adjusts the schedule to avoid bottlenecks. For instance, if *"System Development Phase 2"* overlaps with testing, adjustments are made to ensure smooth handoffs.

7. **Baseline and Communicate the Schedule**

After finalizing the schedule, it is approved by the project sponsor and stakeholders. This version becomes the baseline schedule, which is used to track progress and measure performance throughout the project. Regular communication ensures all team members are aware of their responsibilities and deadlines.

The process of creating a project schedule involves defining tasks, identifying dependencies, estimating durations, and assigning resources. By sequencing tasks logically and reviewing for conflicts, project managers can create a realistic and effective timeline. In the HighLearn project, this makes sure that all phases, from requirements gathering to deployment, are completed on time, resources are used efficiently, and stakeholders remain confident in the project's progress. A project schedule is not just a plan; it's a tool that keeps the project on track and drives it to success.

The estimating process is a fundamental step in developing a project schedule because it determines how long each task will take and how much it will cost. Accurate estimates the project remains on track and within budget while meeting its deadlines. For the HighLearn project, estimating involves breaking tasks into manageable components, using expert knowledge, and applying estimation techniques for realistic timeframes and costs.

1. Estimating Task Durations

The duration of tasks is estimated based on their complexity, available resources, and input from Subject Matter Experts (SMEs). The following methods are typically used to estimate task durations:

- **Expert Judgment**: SMEs, such as IT Specialists, Project Managers, and Designers, provide insights based on their experience with similar tasks. For example, the *Technical Feasibility Assessment* is estimated at 10 days based on past experience with similar evaluations.

- **Analogous Estimating**: Durations are compared to similar tasks completed in previous projects. If *System Development Phase 1* took 60 days in a similar system, a similar estimate is applied here.

- **Bottom-Up Estimating**: Larger tasks are broken into smaller, more manageable sub-tasks, and estimates are developed for each. These are then aggregated to determine the total duration. For example, the "UI/UX Design and Prototyping" task may be divided into design sketches, wireframing, and testing phases to create a more precise estimate.

- **Three-Point Estimating**: To account for uncertainty, the team estimates three scenarios: Optimistic (O), Pessimistic (P), and Most Likely (M). The formula used is:

  E= (O+M+P)/3

- This method ensures that potential risks are factored into the time estimate.

For the HighLearn project, each task duration is reviewed in collaboration with SMEs, adjusted for resource availability, and sequenced logically to ensure that dependencies are accounted for.

Estimating the Duration of the Project

To estimate the total duration of the HighLearn project, we follow these key steps:

1. **Sequence Tasks**: Tasks are arranged in a logical sequence, accounting for dependencies. For example, *LMS Integration Design* cannot start until the *Technical Feasibility Assessment* is complete.

2. **Critical Path Method (CPM)**: The critical path is identified to determine the **longest sequence of tasks** that will dictate the project's total duration. Tasks on the critical path such as system development, testing, and final deployment are closely monitored because delays here will directly impact the project end date.

3. **Resource Availability**: The schedule accounts for resource availability and productivity levels. For example, the development team's time is factored in realistically, allowing for downtime and resource constraints.

4. **Buffer Time**: Contingency buffers are added to account for unexpected delays, such as technical issues or resource shortages.

By combining task durations, critical path analysis, and contingency planning, the HighLearn project is estimated to span **15 months**, from November 2012 to February 2014.

Estimating the Cost of the Project

Estimating the cost of the project involves calculating the expenses associated with labor, resources, and tools required to complete each task

Identify Cost Components: Costs are broken into three primary components:

- **Labor Costs**: Calculated based on the duration of tasks and labor rates. For example, the *Development Team* working for 60 days at $700/day results in a cost of **$42,000**.

- **Material/Tool Costs**: If any software, licenses, or tools require LMS integration tools, their costs are estimated and included.

- **Contingency Costs**: An additional buffer (10-15% of total cost) is added to account for unexpected expenses.

1. **Bottom-Up Estimating**: The cost of each task is estimated by multiplying labor rates by task durations and summing these to get the overall cost. For example:

   - *Platform Requirements Gathering*: 15 days × $500/day = $7,500

   - *Stakeholder Interviews*: 20 days × $450/day = $9,000

2. **Expert Input and Historical Data**: Costs are compared with similar past projects to validate estimates. Input from SMEs ensures accuracy in labor and resource requirements.

3. **Aggregation of Costs**: Once individual task costs are calculated, they are summed to determine the total project cost. For example:

- Development, testing, and design tasks form the bulk of the cost due to their durations and resource rates.

- Administrative tasks, like training and deployment, are relatively low but still important for success.

4. **Validation and Review**: The cost estimates are reviewed and validated with the project sponsor and stakeholders to ensure they align with the available budget.

The task estimating process uses expert judgment, analogous and bottom-up estimating, and critical path analysis to determine both task durations and total project timelines. Costs are calculated by multiplying labor rates by duration, factoring in contingency for unforeseen risks. For the HighLearn project, this structured approach creates accurate estimates, keeping the project on schedule and within budget while meeting stakeholder expectations.

Example of Cost Breakdown for HighLearn

Task	Duration (Days)	Labor Rate/Day	Cost
Platform Requirements Gathering	15	$500	$7,500
Stakeholder Interviews & Workshops	20	$450	$9,000
LMS Integration Design	30	$600	$18,000
System Development – Phase 1	60	$700	$42,000
System Testing – Phase 1	20	$500	$10,000
System Development – Phase 2	45	$700	$31,500
System Testing – Phase 2	20	$500	$10,000
Faculty & Admin Training	25	$400	$10,000
Final Deployment	15	$500	$7,500
Total Estimated Cost			**$145,500**

Creating a project schedule is just the beginning. As the project progresses, new information and unexpected developments often arise, requiring the schedule to be refined. Refining the project schedule ensures that tasks stay on track, resources are allocated efficiently, and deadlines remain realistic. Without regular adjustments, delays and miscommunications can derail the entire project. For the HighLearn project, refining the schedule is a natural and necessary part of keeping everything aligned with the project's goals.

The first step in refining the schedule is to review progress regularly. It's imperative to check how each task is moving along compared to the original plan. For example, if *System Development Phase 1* is taking longer than expected, this delay will affect subsequent tasks like *System Testing*. Regular check-ins with the team and status reports help identify whether tasks are on track, ahead, or falling behind. If something is running late, adjustments can be made early to minimize further disruptions.

Once progress is reviewed, the next step is to incorporate new information. New details often emerge as the project moves forward. Maybe a key resource becomes unavailable, or technical challenges take longer to resolve. For example, if the *LMS Integration Design* task hits an unexpected obstacle, the team will need to extend its timeline while re-sequencing dependent tasks. Similarly, stakeholders might request changes during workshops or testing phases, which could add new tasks or expand existing ones. Staying flexible allows the team to adapt to these changes without losing momentum.

From there, the team can adjust task durations and resources. If a task is falling behind, the project manager may decide to add more resources, like developers or testers, to speed things

up. For example, if testing phases take longer due to unforeseen bugs, assigning extra QA analysts can help keep the project on track. On the other hand, if some tasks are completed faster than planned, the schedule can be updated to pull forward subsequent activities. This kind of resource balancing keeps delays in one area don't cause a ripple effect across the entire timeline.

Another important part of refining the schedule is addressing new risks. As the project unfolds, new risks might surface that weren't originally considered. Technical challenges, stakeholder delays, or resource shortages can all impact the timeline. To address this, the team can update the risk register, add buffer time to critical tasks, or prioritize high-risk activities. By staying proactive, the team reduces the chances of small delays snowballing into major setbacks.

Finally, the communication schedule changes clearly to stakeholders. Refining the schedule doesn't happen in isolation, it requires everyone to be on the same page. The project manager will share updates with the team and stakeholders, explaining why adjustments are needed and how they affect the overall timeline. For example, if the *System Testing Phase 2* needs more time, stakeholders will need to understand the impact on the final deployment date. Transparent communication builds trust and keeps everyone aligned toward the same goals.

In the end, refining the schedule is about staying flexible and realistic. Projects rarely go exactly as planned, but by regularly reviewing progress, incorporating new information, and adjusting as needed, the schedule can evolve to fit the project's needs. For the HighLearn project, this means ensuring tasks like development, testing, and training are completed efficiently, even when challenges arise. By refining the schedule, the team can keep the project on track, deliver high-quality results, and meet stakeholder expectations.

Project Tasks	Resource Assigned	Duration Days	Rate per Day	Estimated New Cost	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	April	May	June	July	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan
Platform Requirements Gathering	Project Manager	15	$500	$7,500	☐			☒											
Stakeholder Interviews & Workshops	Business Analyst	20	$450	$9,000		☐		☒											
Technical Feasibility Assessment	IT Specialist	10	$400	$4,000			☐		☒										
LMS Integration Design	Technical Lead	30	$600	$18,000					☐		☒								
UI/UX Design and Prototyping	UI/UX Designer	40	$550	$22,000						☐	☒								
System Development – Phase 1	Development Team	60	$700	$42,000							☐		☒						
System Testing – Phase 1	QA Analyst	20	$500	$10,000							☐			☒					
System Development – Phase 2	Development Team	45	$700	$31,500								☐		☒					
System Testing – Phase 2	QA Analyst	20	$500	$10,000									☐		☒				
Faculty & Admin Training	Training Coordinator	25	$400	$10,000											☐	☒			
Final Deployment	Project Manager	15	$500	$7,500												☐		☒	

Project Execution

Part A Implementation approach

When deciding on the best implementation approach for the HighLearn project, it's important to consider both Agile and Waterfall methodologies, as each offers distinct advantages and challenges. Agile is known for its flexibility and iterative nature, while Waterfall is more structured and predictable. To determine the right fit, it's important to evaluate the characteristics of the project and weigh the pros and cons of each approach.

Agile's greatest strength lies in its adaptability. This methodology allows for continuous iteration, enabling teams to adjust to changes in requirements as they arise. For the HighLearn project, where stakeholder input is a critical driver of success, Agile provides the opportunity for regular collaboration and feedback. Faculty, IT teams, and students can contribute to the platform's design and functionality through iterative cycles, ensuring the final product aligns with their needs. Additionally, Agile's focus on early deliverables means functional parts of the system, such as the LMS integration module, can be tested and refined early in the process. However, Agile does come with challenges. Its flexibility can make timelines less predictable, and the iterative approach requires consistent stakeholder engagement, which may be difficult to maintain over a long-term project. Budget control is another consideration, as continuous iterations can lead to scope creep if not managed carefully.

On the other hand, the Waterfall methodology offers a structured and linear approach that provides clear timelines and deliverables. It is ideal for projects where requirements are well-defined upfront and unlikely to change. Waterfall's focus on documentation ensures every phase is thoroughly planned, providing a clear roadmap from start to finish. For HighLearn, this might

simplify coordination among stakeholders, as they would have less involvement after the initial planning phase. However, the rigidity of Waterfall can be a disadvantage for this project. Changes or additional requirements discovered during development or testing would likely result in costly rework and delays. Additionally, Waterfall lacks the opportunity for incremental feedback, meaning the team would only know whether the platform meets expectations at the end of the project.

Conversely, Waterfall offers clear, phase-based execution, making it suitable for projects with well-defined requirements. For example, Waterfall methodology is particularly effective for tasks such as system development and security testing, where sequential phases ensure thorough documentation and planning (Forbes Advisor, n.d.). Despite these strengths, Waterfall lacks the iterative feedback opportunities provided by Agile (ECI, 2015).

Given the HighLearn project's dynamic nature and need for stakeholder collaboration, Agile is the better choice. The ability to incorporate feedback from workshops, prototyping sessions, and testing phases makes Agile particularly well-suited to this project. Furthermore, Agile's iterative development cycles reduce the risk of major issues going unnoticed until the later stages, as might happen with Waterfall. To address Agile's challenges, the team can mitigate scope creep by clearly defining the minimum viable product (MVP) upfront and holding regular sprint reviews.

While Waterfall offers predictability and structure, its rigidity makes it less suitable for the evolving needs of the HighLearn project. Agile's flexibility, focus on collaboration, and ability to deliver incremental value pair more closely with the project's objectives and stakeholder-driven nature. By adopting Agile, the HighLearn team can make the platform develop efficiently, meet user expectations, and adapt to changes along the way.

Agile vs. Waterfall: Pros and Cons

Aspect	Agile	Waterfall
Pros		
Flexibility	Allows for continuous iteration, adapting to changes in requirements as they arise.	Structured and predictable, with clear phases and defined deliverables.
Stakeholder Collaboration	Encourages frequent communication and collaboration with stakeholders through iterative cycles.	Stakeholders define requirements upfront and are less involved until the final deliverable.
Early Deliverables	Produces functional parts of the system early, providing quick value and feedback.	Requires complete deliverable at the end of the project lifecycle.
Risk Management	Reduces risk by addressing issues early during iterative testing and feedback.	Risks may not be apparent until later in the development process, potentially causing rework.
Innovation	Allows for creativity and adjustments during development.	Focuses on following the original plan with limited room for changes or innovation.
Cons		
Complexity	Requires frequent collaboration and ongoing adjustments, which may increase coordination challenges.	Changes are difficult to accommodate once development begins.
Timeline Predictability	Flexible timelines can make it harder to predict when the project will finish.	Fixed timelines ensure predictability but may lead to delays if changes are needed.
Documentation	May prioritize working products over extensive documentation, leading to gaps in future reference.	Strong emphasis on documentation ensures clear project records but can slow down development.
Stakeholder Involvement	Demands consistent stakeholder engagement, which may be challenging to maintain.	Minimal stakeholder involvement after the planning phase, reducing feedback opportunities.
Budget Control	Iterative changes can lead to scope creep, increasing costs.	Fixed scope helps control costs but doesn't adapt well to new requirements.

In project management, staying on schedule can sometimes require creative adjustments, especially when unexpected delays or challenges happen. That's where techniques like crashing, fast-tracking, and resource leveling come in. Each method has its strengths and drawbacks, and the choice depends on the specific needs and constraints of the project. Let's break them down and see which would work best for the HighLearn project.

Crashing is all about speeding up tasks by adding extra resources. For example, if a development task is taking longer than planned, bringing in additional developers or paying overtime can help get it done faster. The advantage of crashing is that it directly reduces task durations, which can help keep the project on schedule. However, crashing comes with higher costs since more resources or extended work hours typically mean increased expenses. For the HighLearn project, crashing might be useful in critical phases like system development or testing, where delays could impact the entire timeline. That said, it's important to use this method sparingly to avoid budget overruns.

Fast-tracking, on the other hand, involves doing tasks simultaneously that were originally scheduled to happen one after the other. For instance, in the HighLearn project, UI/UX prototyping and early phases of system development could overlap if designs are approved incrementally. The biggest benefit of fast-tracking is that it speeds up the project without necessarily increasing costs. However, it also increases the risk of errors, as tasks that are meant to be sequential might not have all the necessary information completed. For HighLearn, fast-tracking could work well in areas where dependencies are low and parallel progress is feasible, like overlapping system testing with some administrative setup tasks.

Lastly, resource leveling focuses on optimizing resource usage without overwhelming the team or causing burnout. Instead of adding resources like in crashing, resource leveling adjusts the schedule so team members aren't overbooked. For example, if the development team is stretched thin between two phases, resource leveling might stagger tasks to give them more breathing room. While this approach helps prevent exhaustion and maintains quality, it may lead to longer project timelines since it prioritizes balancing workloads over speeding things up. For HighLearn, resource leveling might be most helpful in managing resources across the project's later stages, like training and deployment, where delays wouldn't drastically impact earlier milestones.

Recommendation for HighLearn

For the HighLearn project, a combination of fast-tracking and resource leveling would likely work best. Fast-tracking can help speed up progress in areas with low dependencies, such as overlapping design and early development tasks. This allows the team to stay flexible and deliver key components sooner without adding significant costs. Meanwhile, resource leveling will be important to ensure the team isn't stretched too thin, especially in critical phases like testing and training, where quality is essential.

While crashing could be a backup option if the project falls seriously behind, its high cost makes it less practical for now. By using fast-tracking and resource leveling together, the HighLearn team can stay on schedule while maintaining balance and quality. This combination will make sure that tasks progress happen without compromising the budget or overwhelming resources.

Part C Keep Leadership Apprised

Regular updates help support the project team and decision-makers, allowing management to monitor progress, address risks, and make decisions to keep the project on track. Without effective communication, management might be blindsided by delays, budget overruns, or unforeseen risks, which could jeopardize the project's success.

The process of keeping management informed begins with establishing clear communication protocols at the start of the project. This includes identifying what information needs to be shared, how often updates should occur, and which communication channels will be used. For example, in a project like HighLearn, updates might include milestones reached, issues encountered, and budget utilization. These updates should be tailored to management's focus on high-level outcomes, while still providing enough detail to assess risks and opportunities.

The frequency of communication depends on the project's complexity and timeline. For a long-term project like HighLearn, bi-weekly status meetings might be ideal for routine updates, while monthly reports could provide a broader overview of progress. For critical phases, such as system development or deployment, more frequent updates may be necessary to address emerging challenges.

The types of communication should vary based on the purpose and audience. Formal reports, such as status updates or progress summaries, are essential for documenting key achievements, risks, and budget updates. Visual aids like Gantt charts or dashboards can help convey progress at a glance, making it easier for management to grasp the overall status. Meetings or presentations offer opportunities for real-time discussions, where management can ask questions or provide guidance. For urgent issues, quick emails or messages ensure that decision-makers are informed immediately.

Keeping management informed is more than just a formality it builds trust, ensures accountability, and enables proactive problem-solving. When decision-makers are aware of a project's status, they can allocate resources effectively, resolve bottlenecks, and make informed decisions that align with the project's goals. For the HighLearn project, clear and consistent communication will ensure that management is fully engaged, reducing risks and fostering confidence in the project's success.

Communications Plan

Project Name: HighLearn Learning Platform Development

Document Author

Name	Position	Phone	E-mail
Jane Doe	Project Manager	123-456-7890	
			jane.doe@highlearn.com

Introduction

This Communication Plan identifies all possible audiences for project communication as well as content and medium of those communications. This communication matrix describes all project communications for the project.

Document Distribution

Name	Position	Phone	E-mail
Andrew Smith	Project Sponsor	123-555-1212	andrew.smith@highlearn.com
Mary Johnson	Faculty Representative	123-565-1256	mary.johnson@highlearn.edu
John Lee	IT Specialist	123-987-9087	john.lee@highlearn.com
Emily Roberts	Student Representative	123-234-5678	emily.roberts@students.edu
Sarah Brown	Admin Staff Representative	123-345-6789	sarah.brown@highlearn.com
Robert Greene	Compliance Officer	123-456-7899	robert.greene@highlearn.com
Lisa Carter	Compliance Officer	123-543-3210	lisa.carter@lmsvendor.com

Item #	Required Time Frame	Communication Title	Objective of Communication	Audience Required to Receive Report	Required Method of Sharing Report (email, text, phone call, paper report, etc.)	Frequency	Team Member Assigned Task to produce communication
1	Kickoff Meeting (One-time)	Project Kickoff Meeting	Introduce project scope, objectives, key stakeholders, and roles.	Project Sponsor, Faculty, IT, Admin Staff	In-person/Virtual Meeting	One-time	Project Manager
2	Bi-Weekly	Project Status Report	Update on progress, risks, milestones, and budget status.	Project Sponsor, IT Teams, Faculty, Admin	Email/Shared Dashboard	Bi-Weekly	Project Manager
3	Weekly	Development Progress Update	Share updates on technical progress, challenges, and next steps.	Project Sponsor, IT Teams	Email/Meeting	Weekly	Technical Lead
4	After Each Sprint	Prototyping Feedback Session	Gather stakeholder feedback on designs and system functionality.	Faculty, Students	Interactive Demo/Focus Group	After Each Sprint	UI/UX Designer
5	Monthly	Stakeholder Progress Update	Provide project highlights, upcoming phases, and gather feedback.	Faculty, Admin Staff, Students	Email/Newsletter/Virtual Meeting	Monthly	Business Analyst
6	As Needed (Monthly Min.)	Risk Management Report	Identify risks, mitigation strategies, and roadblocks.	Project Sponsor, IT Teams	Email/Virtual Meeting	As Needed	IT Specialist

7	After Testing Phases	Testing Feedback Report	Collect results and feedback from QA and end-users.	QA Analysts, Faculty, Admin Staff, Students	Survey/Virtual Meeting	After Testing	QA Analyst
8	Pre-Deployment	Training Session Report	Train stakeholders on platform functionality and resolve concerns.	Faculty, Admin Staff	In-person/Virtual Training	Pre-Deployment	Training Coordinator
9	At Deployment	Final Deployment Briefing	Confirm project completion and post-deployment support.	Project Sponsor, Faculty, IT, Admin Staff	Virtual/In-person Meeting	One-time	Project Manager
10	Post-Deployment (1 Month)	Post-Deployment Review	Assess project outcomes, gather feedback, and identify improvements.	Project Sponsor, Faculty, IT Teams, Admin	Survey/Follow-up Virtual Meeting	1 Month Post	Project Manager

Project Control

Part A Change Management

Without a structured approach, unexpected changes can lead to "scope creep", cost overruns, and missed deadlines, ultimately jeopardizing the project's success. Documenting and managing changes properly creates transparency, accountability, and support among all stakeholders.

For changes in scope, the process begins with identifying and documenting the change. If faculty or IT teams request additional features during the prototyping or testing phases, these changes will be formally submitted using a Change Request Form. This form will outline the nature of the change, its rationale, and the expected benefits. Once documented, the Project Manager, in collaboration with the team, will evaluate the change's impact on the timeline, resources, and deliverables. Small changes can be approved internally, but significant scope adjustments will require approval from the Project Sponsor. Once approved, the change will be communicated to all stakeholders, and the project plan will be updated to reflect the adjustments.

When it comes to budget changes, they will be managed with the same level of care. Any additional costs, such as hiring extra developers or extending testing phases, will be documented and assessed for their impact on the overall budget. The Project Manager and Financial Analyst will determine if the change can be absorbed within the contingency budget or if additional funding is required. For minor adjustments, approvals can be handled internally, but major changes that exceed budget thresholds will need formal approval from the Project Sponsor. Once approved, the budget will be updated, and stakeholders will be notified of the new financial implications to maintain transparency.

Changes in the schedule require careful handling, as even small delays can affect critical milestones. Schedule changes, such as extending development or testing phases, will be formally documented and analyzed for their effect on task dependencies, the critical path, and overall project delivery. The Project Manager will evaluate whether tasks can be adjusted through techniques like fast-tracking (overlapping tasks) or resource leveling (balancing workloads). Minor delays can be handled within the team, but significant changes impacting deadlines will require approval from the Project Sponsor. Once approved, the updated schedule will be shared with the team and reflected in project tools like Gantt charts to keep everyone informed and aligned.

The overall change management process for HighLearn will include clear steps: identifying the change, documenting it through a Change Request Form, evaluating its impact, seeking formal approval, and implementing the change into the project plan. Key information required for all change requests includes a description of the change, its reason, anticipated benefits, risks, and its effect on scope, budget, or schedule. All changes will be logged in a Change Control Log to ensure that progress is tracked, and the history of approvals and decisions is well-documented.

Final Project Change Order Log				
Row ID	Change Request Description	Estimate of Level of Effort in Dollars	Change Request Approver	Approved Yes or No
CHG-001	Add a product registration feature in the "My Account" area.	$9,000	Project Sponsor	Yes
CHG-002	Extend stakeholder workshops to gather additional input.	$4,500	Project Sponsor	yes
CHG-003	Implement additional UI/UX changes based on prototype feedback.	$5,500	Project Manager	yes
CHG-004	Expand system testing to cover more modules for quality assurance.	$10,000	Project Sponsor	pending
CHG-005	Add extra training sessions for faculty and administrative staff.	$4,000	Project Sponsor	yes

In any project, risks are inevitable, and the HighLearn project is no exception. Whether it's delays in development, stakeholder feedback gaps, or unexpected resource shortages, a structured approach to managing risks ensures that challenges are addressed proactively. By identifying, assessing, and monitoring potential risks, the team can stay on track and deliver a successful outcome.

The process begins with identifying risks. Risks can come from various sources, such as technical challenges during development, stakeholder engagement issues, or even testing delays. To uncover these risks, the team will hold brainstorming sessions, stakeholder workshops, and regular team discussions. For example, during prototyping feedback sessions, faculty or students might point out gaps in functionality, which could turn into a risk if not addressed. All identified risks will be logged in a Risk Register, a central document that describes each risk, its cause, and the potential impact on the project.

Once risks are identified, the next step is analyzing and prioritizing them. Not all risks are created equal, so the team will use a simple approach to determine which risks need immediate attention. Risks will be categorized based on their likelihood of happening and their impact on the project. For example, a delay in testing could have a high impact and medium likelihood, making it a priority. On the other hand, something like low engagement during a faculty training session might have a lower likelihood and impact. This prioritization helps the team focus on what matters and budgeting resources.

After the risks are prioritized, the team will create a response plan to deal with them. There are several ways to handle risks: they can be avoided, mitigated, transferred, or accepted.

For instance, if a delay in system testing is a concern, the team can mitigate the risk by building buffer time into the schedule. If faculty feedback is delayed, clear deadlines and follow-ups can help avoid bottlenecks. For high-impact risks, such as development setbacks, the team might allocate additional resources to keep things moving. Each risk will have a risk owner, and a team member responsible for implementing the response and keeping an eye on progress.

Of course, risks don't just disappear after the initial plan is made, so ongoing monitoring is essential. The Project Manager will review risks regularly during status meetings to check their status and identify any new challenges. Tools like the updated Risk Register will help track progress and keep stakeholders informed. If a risk begins to escalate, such as testing falling behind schedule, the team will take immediate action, like fast-tracking overlapping tasks or escalating the issue to the Project Sponsor for support.

Finally, documentation ties the entire process together. The Risk Register will act as the project's central risk log, and regular reports will be shared during bi-weekly updates to keep stakeholders in the loop. At the end of the project, the team will reflect on how risks were managed, what worked well, and where improvements can be made. This "lessons learned" step ensures that future projects benefit from the team's experiences.

The risk management process for the HighLearn project is built on identifying risks early, understanding their impact, and developing clear strategies to address them. By monitoring risks throughout the project and communicating openly with stakeholders, the team can handle challenges without letting them derail progress. This structured yet flexible approach will help the HighLearn project stay on schedule, within budget, and aligned with its overall goals

Sample Risk Log

Instruction: Click on each column heading for input instructions.

#	Risk Statement		(Scale)	(Scale)		Mitigation				
	Description / Condition	Consequence	Probability	Impact	Exposure	Mitigation Method	Mitigation Strategy	Contingency	Contingency Triggers	Assignee
1	Testing delays due to resource shortages among QA analysts. Insufficient QA analysts are available to complete system testing tasks on time.	Delayed testing will extend project delivery timelines and affect deployment readiness.	40	6	240	Mitigate	Hire additional QA analysts or reallocate existing resources to ensure testing tasks are completed on schedule.	Schedule buffer time into the testing phase to recover from delays.	The testing timeline exceeds critical milestones for Phase 1.	Project Manager
2	Low faculty participation during training sessions. Faculty are not engaging in scheduled training sessions to prepare for system adoption.	The project must purchase and install a new rack for the Office Project 2003 servers. This adds extra cost to the business required faculty are not trained, the platform may not be fully adopted, reducing its overall value and effectiveness .elements.	50	5	250	Mitigate	Offer flexible training schedules and virtual options. Personalize communication to emphasize importance.	Schedule make-up training sessions and provide on-demand resources for faculty.	Faculty participation remains below 60% after the first two training sessions.	Training Coordinator

#	Risk	Impact				Response	Mitigation Action	Escalation Action	Trigger	Owner
3	Unexpected technical challenges during LMS module integration. Integration of LMS modules may take longer than estimated due to unforeseen complexities.	Delays in integration will push project deadlines and increase costs.	60	7	420	Avoid	Conduct a detailed technical feasibility assessment before integration. Prioritize critical-path tasks.	Fast-track overlapping development tasks to compensate for integration delays.	Integration issues are unresolved after two attempts by the development team.	Technical Lead
4	Stakeholder feedback delays during the prototyping phase. Faculty and student representatives are slow to provide feedback on UI/UX designs.	Delayed feedback will impact the project timeline and require rework during later phases.	30	4	120	Mitigate	Set clear deadlines for stakeholder feedback and follow up regularly to ensure timely input.	Schedule additional feedback workshops and escalate delays to project sponsors.	Stakeholders miss feedback deadlines by more than one week.	Business Analyst
5	Budget overruns due to additional system development and testing requirements. Scope changes identified during development require additional development and testing.	Budget overruns may exceed project limits and delay funding for subsequent project phases.	70	8	560	Mitigate	Use contingency funds to manage additional costs and evaluate non-critical tasks for possible scope reduction.	Escalate to Project Sponsor for approval of contingency funds or re-scope to align with budget limits.	Costs exceed contingency budget allocation or additional development needs are identified during testing.	Project Sponsor

Instructions

Risks	Mitigation
Delay in system testing due to resource shortages.	Allocate additional QA resources or reassign tasks to balance workloads.
Low stakeholder participation during feedback sessions.	Send reminders, schedule follow-up workshops, and set clear deadlines for input.
Integration of LMS modules exceeding the estimated timeline.	Fast-track overlapping tasks and identify critical path dependencies.
Faculty and admin staff not engaging in training sessions.	Personalize communication, provide flexible training options, and gather feedback.
Critical bugs found during deployment delaying delivery.	Schedule additional testing sprints to resolve issues before deployment.

Issues	Mitigation
Delayed stakeholder workshops resulted in incomplete feedback.	Follow-up sessions were scheduled, and deadlines for feedback submissions were extended.
shortages during testing led to Phase 1 delays.	Additional QA analysts were brought in, and testing timelines were adjusted.
Faculty engagement during training sessions was low.	Additional training sessions were offered with flexible schedules to boost participation.
Unexpected integration challenges delayed module deployment.	Technical leads prioritized critical tasks and applied fast-tracking techniques.

Sample Issues Log

#	Issue Description / Condition	Date Identified	Who Identified	Priority	Status	Assignee	Target Date	Status Text
1	Risk of delay in system testing due to resource shortages.	3/12/2023	IT Specialist	High	In Progress	Project Manager	3/30/2023	03/12/2023 - Identified risk of insufficient QA analysts for testing. 03/14/2023 - Requested additional QA resources. 03/20/2023 - Contingency plan initiated: Resource leveling to avoid delays.
2	Feedback delays during stakeholder workshops due to low engagement.	3/15/2023	Business Analyst	Medium	Open	Business Analyst	3/25/2023	03/15/2023 - Identified low workshop participation from faculty. 03/17/2023 - Sent follow-up invites with deadlines for input. 03/20/2023 - Scheduled additional sessions to ensure feedback collection.
3	UI/UX prototype revisions extend design phase timeline.	3/20/2023	Faculty Representative	High	In Progress	UI/UX Designer	4/5/2023	03/20/2023 - Feedback on the user interface requires additional revisions. 03/22/2023 - Prioritized revisions based on impact. 03/25/2023 - Scheduled a two-day sprint to complete the updates.
4	Training session resources not yet confirmed for faculty/admin.	4/1/2023	Admin Representative	Medium	Open	Training Coordinator	4/15/2023	04/01/2023 - Identified risk of missing training resource confirmation. 04/02/2023 - Contacted vendor to confirm availability of trainers. 04/04/2023 - Follow-up scheduled to finalize training session details.

5	Integration of LMS modules may exceed the estimated timeline.	4/3/2023	Technical Lead	High	Open	Technical Lead	4/20/2023	04/03/2023 - Technical feasibility challenges identified during integration. 04/05/2023 - Conducted impact analysis on remaining tasks. 04/07/2023 - Contingency plan initiated: Fast-tracking overlapping tasks to recover timeline.
6	System deployment may be delayed due to incomplete testing results.	4/10/2023	QA Analyst	High	Open	Project Manager	4/30/2023	04/10/2023 - Testing results showed critical bugs unresolved. 04/12/2023 - Additional testing sprint scheduled. 04/15/2023 - QA team working overtime to resolve the remaining issues.
7	Low faculty participation during user training could affect adoption.	4/12/2023	Training Coordinator	Medium	Open	Training Coordinator	4/25/2023	04/12/2023 - Identified lack of faculty engagement in initial training sign-ups 04/13/2023 - Sent personalized invites and reminders.04/17/2023 - Scheduled follow-up training sessions to boost attendance.

The Issue Management Process for the HighLearn Project

In any project, issues are bound to come up, and the HighLearn project is no exception. Whether it's delays in testing, resource shortages, or challenges with stakeholder engagement, it's important to have a clear process to handle these problems. A well-structured issue management process helps the team identify, analyze, and resolve issues before they get out of hand. This approach keeps the project on track while making sure everyone is aware of the progress and solutions being implemented.

The process starts with identifying issues as soon as they arise. Issues can come from anywhere – technical delays, team resource problems, or even low participation from stakeholders. For example, if the QA analysts don't have enough time or resources to complete testing, that becomes an issue that needs immediate attention. These issues will be documented in a central Issue Log, which helps the team track what's happening and what needs to be done.

Once an issue has been identified and logged, the next step is to document and prioritize it. Each issue needs to be described clearly so the team knows exactly what they're dealing with. Details like who identified the issue, when it was found, and how critical it is all get recorded in the log. Not all issues are created equal, so the team will analyze them based on their impact on the project and how urgently they need to be resolved. For example, a delay in testing that might push back deployment will get a high priority, while a small design tweak might not be as urgent. Prioritizing issues helps the team focus on the most critical problems first.

After prioritization, it's time to develop and implement solutions. This is where the team works together to find the best way to resolve the issue. For example, if testing delays are caused by a lack of resources, the Project Manager might decide to bring in additional QA analysts or

adjust the team's workload. If faculty members aren't participating in training sessions, the Training Coordinator could offer more flexible options, like virtual training or extra sessions at different times. The goal is to solve the problem efficiently while minimizing the impact on the project's timeline and budget.

Sometimes, however, issues can't be resolved at the team level. In those cases, the problem may need to be escalated to the Project Sponsor or higher management. Escalating critical issues ensures that nothing stands in the way of project success. Throughout this process, the Issue Log will be updated to reflect the progress being made and any actions taken.

Finally, once the issue has been resolved, it's time to close it out and document the solution. Closing an issue isn't just about marking it "done" – it's also an opportunity to learn from what happened. The team will analyze the root cause of the issue and document what worked well in resolving it. By identifying, documenting, analyzing, and resolving issues quickly, the team can prevent small problems from turning into major setbacks

Project Closeout

Part A Close Project Process

Closing a project is just as important as starting one. The project closure process ensures that all deliverables are completed, the objectives are met, and nothing gets left behind. For the HighLearn project, the closure process will help wrap everything up in a smooth and organized way.

The first step in closing the project is verifying all deliverables. For example, the HighLearn team must confirm that the LMS platform is fully developed, integrated, and functioning as planned. This includes verifying key milestones, such as completed system

testing, training sessions for faculty and staff, and full deployment of the platform. A final

project checklist will help ensure no step is missed. The checklist will include tasks like

confirming that all modules are tested, stakeholder feedback has been addressed, and

documentation is finalized. By ticking off each item on the list, the team can minimize the risk of

missing any steps in the closure process.

Once deliverables are verified, the next step is to obtain stakeholder acceptance. This

involves presenting the final product to key stakeholders, such as the Project Sponsor, faculty

representatives, and IT teams, to ensure they are satisfied with the results. If the faculty requests

a "My Account" area, the team will confirm that the feature is working as intended and meets

their needs. A formal sign-off document will be used to gain approval from stakeholders,

confirming that the project objectives have been achieved.

The third step is to conduct a final project review. This is an opportunity for the project

team to reflect on what went well and identify areas for improvement. For example, if resource

shortages during testing cause delays, the team will document this in a Lessons Learned Report

so future projects can plan for similar challenges. During this review, the team will also evaluate

the project's overall success against its goals, such as whether faculty adoption increased or the

LMS platform improved. Capturing lessons learned ensures that the organization benefits from

the experience and avoids repeating mistakes in future projects.

Another key part of project closure is finalizing all project documentation. This includes

updating documents like the Risk Register, Issue Log, and user manuals to reflect the final

project state. Any risks that were resolved or outstanding issues that were addressed will be

recorded as part of the final project file. This documentation serves as a historical record for

future reference and ensures the project team leaves a clear, organized trail for stakeholders.

Finally, the last step in closing the project is releasing project resources. Once tasks are completed and approved, team members can be reassigned to other projects, and any external vendors or contractors will be officially released. QA analysts who were brought in during testing will no longer need to be involved, and the budget allocated for their work will be finalized. Releasing resources efficiently helps the organization manage its teams and budgets effectively.

Nothing is to be overlooked during the closure process, the team will use a project closure checklist. This checklist will include all the key steps: verifying deliverables, obtaining approvals, conducting reviews, finalizing documentation, and releasing resources. Regular communication with stakeholders during the closure phase.

Part B Warranty Period Process

As the HighLearn project ends, A warranty process is needed during this handoff because it provides a period of stability and support to address any remaining issues or adjustments needed after the system goes live. This process minimizes disruptions, builds confidence among users, and ensures the product delivers the intended value. Without a warranty process, any overlooked problems could lead to frustration for users, unplanned downtime, or costly fixes.

The warranty process acts as a bridge between the project team and the operational team that will maintain the platform. It allows the project management team to remain temporarily available to resolve post-deployment issues while the business unit gets accustomed to the changes.

Part C Lessons Learned Template

Date	ID #	Experience or Issue Logged	Impact on Project	Description of Lessons Learned	Best Practice to Follow for Future Projects
3/20/2023	LL-001	Delayed feedback during stakeholder workshops.	Delayed the prototyping phase by 2 weeks.	Stakeholder engagement was lower than expected due to unclear schedules.	Schedule workshops with firm deadlines, send reminders and set clear expectations.
4/1/2023	LL-002	Insufficient QA resources during testing phase.	Testing was delayed, requiring resource reallocation.	Testing resources were not allocated appropriately for the project timeline.	Conduct resource planning early and include contingencies for testing phases.
4/10/2023	LL-003	Successful adoption of flexible training options for faculty.	Improved faculty participation and training outcomes.	Offering virtual sessions and flexible schedules improved engagement.	Always provide multiple training options (virtual, on-demand, and in-person).
4/18/2023	LL-004	Unexpected integration challenges with LMS modules.	Integration required additional time, delaying delivery.	Initial technical assessments were not detailed enough to catch issues.	Conduct thorough technical feasibility assessments before integration begins.
4/25/2023	LL-005	Strong stakeholder support for final product handoff.	The transition to the operational team was seamless.	Keeping stakeholders involved throughout the process ensured alignment.	Maintain open communication with stakeholders for smoother handoffs.

References

Asana. (n.d.). Waterfall vs. Agile vs. Kanban vs. Scrum. Retrieved

from https://asana.com/resources/waterfall-agile-kanban-scrum

ECI. (2015). PM4 fast-track manual. Retrieved

from https://www.eci-online.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/12/ECI-PM4-Fast-Track-

Manual.pdf

Forbes Advisor. (n.d.). What is Waterfall methodology? Retrieved

from https://www.forbes.com/advisor/business/what-is-waterfall-methodology/

HighLearn Case Study. (2024). Case study on HighLearn learning

 platform development

Mind Edge Inc. (2014). QSO-640: Project management (custom) 2014 [e-learning resource].

Retrieved from https://snhu.mindedgeonline.com/content.php?cid=51027