

SAO Work Session Concept Summary – 1/15/20

- **Height Explosion Redefines Personality of Village**
 - **4X Height (East) and Extra 25' (West) is Unjustified**
- **Comprehensive Plan Specifies Height to 5 Stories – NOT 12!**
- **Seems Aimed at Change of Use in ID Zone**
- **Current Height Limits Are Fine - Leave Them “As Is”**
 - **Leave Heights as in "Underlying Zone"**
- **Infrastructure Limits (Traffic, Parking, Schools, etc.) Must Be Planned**
- **Limited Resource Goes to First Applicant at Expense of Later Projects**
- **Don't Make the “H” Bridge Situation Worse**
- **Developers Address “What is Needed?”**
 - **Outsources BOT's Responsibility to Set Direction**
- **Hotel Proposal Shows Developer's Concept of “Exceptional”**
- **If Village is Not Going to Sell or Lease Public Land, Say So . . . In Writing**
- **Score Factors are Arbitrary & Inconsistent**
- **Developers Easily Achieve Passing Score by Spending Money**
- **“Bonus” is a Sell-Out of Principle - Lowers Passing Score to 60.**
- **Anticipate *MAJOR* Conflict & Law Suits**
- **Monitoring Viewpoints Cannot “See” Relevant Buildings**
- **Allowing Roof Obstructions Provides a “Wedge” for Additional Height**
 - **Unused Since 1960s & Unneeded**
- **Hudson Harbor Purchasers Reasonably Expect Adherence to WGBD Terms**
 - **Why Give 25' More Without Anything in Return?**
- **Abrogates Hard Won WGBD Benefits**
- **Zoning Redesign Needs More than 5 Minutes' Feedback Twice a Month**
- **Drastic Change - Used Once in New York State**

Dolf Beil, 108 Main