



THE UNSEEN VULNERABILITY

CLOSING THE ENTERPRISE FILE INTEGRITY GAP

Abstract v1.4

The file integrity gap represents a massive, often ignored attack surface within the modern enterprise. Relying solely on encryption, access controls, or PKI is insufficient when facing threats designed to manipulate data from the inside out.





About VeraSec Technologies LLC

VeraSec Technologies is a pioneer in digital artifact integrity, focused on moving the security context from the infrastructure directly to the file itself. While traditional security models rely on centralized systems to establish and maintain trust, VeraSec's patent-pending technologies empower files to carry their own verifiable identity - preparing them for an actual Zero Trust world.

Operating at the forefront of Portable File Identity (PFI), VeraSec invented the Validation Integrity Key (VIK) process. This innovation patches a fundamental vulnerability in traditional PKI that has persisted for decades. By cryptographically binding a file's content and metadata into a compact, human-readable identifier embedded directly within the artifact, VeraSec helps organizations establish durable, portable trust in every digital asset, signed or un-signed - wherever it travels.

About The Author

Brian Hajost (bhajost@verasec.net) is a cybersecurity entrepreneur and technologist with more than 30 years of experience in information security, compliance automation, and trusted computing systems.

As the founder of SteelCloud, he pioneered the automation of DISA STIG and CIS benchmark compliance for the DoD, federal agencies, and enterprise customers. Brian holds 15 patents in information technology and mobile security. In 2022 he was named one of the "Top 10 Most Influential People in Cyber Security" by CIO Views.

His current work focuses on solving what he describes as the Artifact Identity Problem - the absence of durable identity for files as they move across distributed infrastructures and untrusted networks.



The Unseen Vulnerability: *Closing the Enterprise File Integrity Gap*

Executive Summary

In the modern enterprise, perimeter defenses and encryption at rest are mature disciplines. Yet, a fundamental vulnerability remains largely unaddressed: the lack of verifiable data integrity for the vast majority of stored information. Unstructured data, - spanning server logs, daily communications, automation scripts, and application telemetry, comprises roughly 80% to 90% of all enterprise data.

An analysis of typical enterprise environments reveals a stark reality: over 95% of enterprise files exist without cryptographic proof of origin or structural integrity. While Public Key Infrastructure (PKI) remains the gold standard for securing targeted, high-value assets and establishing network trust, it fundamentally fails to scale across the sheer volume, velocity, and variety of everyday enterprise data.

This white paper examines the structural limits of traditional PKI, the necessity of defense-in-depth strategies for signed files, the hidden costs of "silent" data manipulation, and the necessary evolution toward modern, keyless integrity solutions. By decoupling integrity validation from the burdensome lifecycle of cryptographic key management, organizations can finally secure the remaining 95% of their unstructured data without compounding administrative overhead.

The Enterprise Data Landscape: *A Crisis of Volume*

Understanding the file integrity gap requires categorizing how data is generated, stored, and secured across a representative enterprise network. The following breakdown illustrates the estimated distribution of file categories and the rate at which discrete PKI signatures are applied within each.

1. Logs & Machine Data (35% – 45% of total files)

- PKI Adoption: < 1%
- The Challenge: Server telemetry, application logs, and security events represent the largest and fastest-growing data segment. The velocity of log generation makes discrete PKI signing computationally prohibitive.
- The Risk: Threat actors frequently alter or delete logs to cover their tracks. Without verifiable integrity, security operations centers (SOCs) cannot



mathematically prove that their SIEM data hasn't been tampered with prior to ingestion.

2. Office Files & Daily Documentation (20% – 30% of total files)

- PKI Adoption: < 2%
- The Challenge: The bulk of daily unstructured data consists of internal drafts, spreadsheets, and presentations. PKI signing is generally reserved for highly specialized approval workflows due to the friction of issuing certificates to every employee for everyday tasks.
- The Risk: Internal phishing, manipulated financial spreadsheets, and altered policy documents can cause significant financial and reputational damage without ever triggering a malware alert.

3. Other (Media, Archives, Backups) (15% – 20% of total files)

- PKI Adoption: < 1%
- The Challenge: Large-format media, database dumps, and cold-storage archives are frequently encrypted for privacy, but rarely secured with discrete, file-level PKI certificates for integrity.
- The Risk: Ransomware actors increasingly target backup repositories. If backups are subtly corrupted rather than outright encrypted, organizations may unknowingly restore compromised data.

4. PDFs & Formal Documentation (10% – 15% of total files)

- PKI Adoption: 5% – 10%
- The Challenge: Driven by formal e-signature platforms for contracts and compliance, PDFs see the highest rate of business-process signing. However, the denominator is massive; the vast majority of PDFs (manuals, internal reports, scanned receipts) remain unsigned.
- The Risk: Fraudulent invoices and manipulated vendor agreements often mimic legitimate PDFs, bypassing perimeter security by relying on human error.

5. Software & Scripts (5% – 10% of total files)

- PKI Adoption: 40% – 60%
- The Challenge: Commercial binaries are highly regulated by OS-level execution policies requiring trusted Certificate Authority (CA) signatures. However, the internal adoption rate drops significantly. Internal automation scripts (Bash, PowerShell) and rapid deployment microservices are



frequently left unsigned by IT and DevOps teams to avoid deployment friction.

- **The Risk:** Unsigned automation scripts are a primary vector for lateral movement. If an attacker modifies a deployment script, they can distribute malware natively through the organization's own trusted CI/CD pipeline.

Data Type	% of Files	PKI Coverage
Logs & Machine Data	35 – 45%	<1%
Office Files / CUI	20 – 30%	<2%
Backups & Archives	15 – 20%	<1%
PDFs	10 – 15%	5 – 10%
Software / Scripts	5 – 10%	40 – 60%

The PKI Bottleneck: *Why Traditional Trust Fails at Scale*

Public Key Infrastructure is constrained by its own architecture when applied to high-volume, unstructured data. The primary barrier is not cryptographic strength, but the administrative and computational burden of the certificate lifecycle.

1. **Lifecycle Overhead:** Issuing, rotating, and revoking cryptographic keys for millions of daily files creates an untenable management overhead.
2. **Infrastructure Costs:** True PKI requires secure enclaves (Hardware Security Modules or HSMs), strict access protocols, and continuous auditing.
3. **Revocation Latency:** Relying on Certificate Revocation Lists (CRLs) or the Online Certificate Status Protocol (OCSP) introduces latency and potential failure points in high-speed environments.
4. **DevOps Friction:** For high-velocity environments, the friction introduced by pausing to request, retrieve, and apply a key often outweighs the perceived security benefits, leading developers to bypass signing protocols entirely.

Defense in Depth: Securing the PKI Foundation

While extending PKI to ubiquitous unstructured data is administratively unfeasible, vulnerabilities persist even within the 5% to 10% of files that *are* successfully signed. A digital signature authenticates the origin and integrity of a payload at the exact moment of



signing, but it does not inherently protect the file object itself from subsequent environmental manipulation.

Advanced persistent threats (APTs) frequently target the "soft underbelly" of PKI-secured environments using techniques designed to bypass signature validation entirely:

- **Signature Stripping:** Many operating systems and security agents are configured to block execution or flag files with *invalid* signatures. However, if an attacker carefully strips the signature block from a binary or script, the system may treat it as a standard, unsigned internal file, allowing it to bypass strict PKI validation checks.
- **Downgrade and Rollback Attacks (File Replacement):** An attacker may replace a newly patched, securely signed executable with an older, vulnerable version of that exact same file. Because the older file still bears a mathematically valid PKI signature from the organization's Certificate Authority, traditional security controls will allow it to execute, re-introducing known vulnerabilities into the environment.
- **Certificate Compromise:** If an organization's private keys or internal Certificate Authority (CA) are compromised, attackers can mint valid signatures for malicious payloads, rendering the entire PKI trust model blind to the intrusion.

The Keyless Overlay: A Decoupled Safety Net

To protect high-value, PKI-signed assets from these evasion tactics, organizations are overlaying keyless integrity solutions as a secondary layer of defense. Technologies like VeraSec's VeraFile act as an independent auditor for the PKI infrastructure itself.

Because this architecture completely circumvents the PKI bottleneck, operating without using or managing any cryptographic keys - it establishes a decoupled trust anchor. This provides three critical security advantages for already-signed files:

1. **Tamper-Evident Signatures:** A keyless integrity monitor continuously validates the state of the *entire* file object, including the embedded PKI signature payload. If an attacker attempts signature stripping, the overall mathematical state of the file changes, triggering an immediate, undeniable alert even if the OS ignores the missing signature.
2. **Stateful Anti-Rollback Protection:** Keyless ledgers establish chronological proof of a file's state. If a threat actor attempts a rollback attack by replacing a modern signed file with a legitimately signed but vulnerable older version, the keyless solution immediately flags the state regression, halting the attack.



3. **Resilience Against CA Compromise:** Because the keyless overlay is technologically isolated from the PKI infrastructure, an attacker who compromises the organization's private keys cannot alter the keyless integrity proofs. The independent ledger will instantly highlight discrepancies between the newly forged PKI signatures and the historical, verified file states.

By overlaying a keyless integrity platform, enterprises transform PKI from a single point of failure into a hardened, defense-in-depth architecture, ensuring that even perfectly forged or manipulated signed files cannot move silently through the network.

The Cost of Inaction: Silent Data Corruption

The assumption that internal network security negates the need for file-level integrity is a dangerous remnant of perimeter-based security thinking.

Modern cyberattacks, particularly advanced persistent threats (APTs) and sophisticated ransomware variants, are evolving from simple "smash and grab" encryption to subtle data extortion and manipulation. Threat actors dwell in networks for months, subtly altering financial records, tweaking deployment scripts, or deleting specific audit logs. When integrity is compromised silently, organizations face:

- **Prolonged Incident Response:** Inability to trust system logs extends the time required to scope and remediate a breach.
- **Compliance Failures:** Regulatory frameworks (HIPAA, PCI-DSS, GDPR) increasingly demand proof of data immutability.
- **Loss of Operational Trust:** If executives cannot mathematically verify that a financial report or a critical backup is in its original state, business operations grind to a halt.

Bridging the Gap: *The Shift to Keyless Integrity Validation*

Because traditional PKI is too heavy for ubiquitous file-level signing, organizations must adopt modern data-proofing and File Integrity Monitoring (FIM) strategies. The goal is to achieve cryptographic certainty without the traditional overhead.

Next-generation integrity platforms focus on creating immutable, mathematically verifiable proofs of file states by decoupling validation from Certificate Authorities. Instead of relying on a complex web of public and private keys, these solutions utilize advanced hashing



algorithms combined with distributed ledger technology or scalable cryptographic registries.

Technologies like VeraSec's VeraFile represent a critical architectural shift. This approach provides irrefutable file integrity validation while entirely circumventing the traditional PKI bottleneck, operating seamlessly without using or managing cryptographic keys. By eliminating the need to secure, rotate, or revoke keys, enterprises can continuously prove the exact state and origin of high-volume, highly volatile data at scale.

This keyless approach provides the assurance of data integrity for server logs, rapid-iteration code, and everyday office files without adding friction to IT administration or disrupting established DevOps pipelines.

Conclusion

The file integrity gap represents a massive, often ignored attack surface within the modern enterprise. Relying solely on encryption and access controls is insufficient when facing threats designed to manipulate data from the inside out.

By acknowledging the inherent scaling limitations of traditional PKI and adopting modern, keyless integrity validation methods, enterprises can secure the remaining 95% of their unstructured data. In an era of zero-trust architecture, proving the mathematical integrity of every file is no longer optional; it is a fundamental requirement for operational resilience.