

## Kinetic of Martensite/austenite interface migration and carbon partitioning during the Q&P process

Zongbiao Dai, Ran Ding, Zhigang Yang, Chi Zhang, Hao Chen

Key laboratory for Advanced Materials of Ministry of Education, School of Materials Science and Engineering, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China



### Introduction

- Vehicle emissions and exhaust
- Fuel consumption
- Safety and Lightweight





**Retained Austenite** 

#### Retained Austenite + Martensite (Q&P)

Retained Austenite + Ferrite + Bainitic Ferrite Ferrite + Martensite

### **Quenching & Partitioning Process**



#### Scientific questions:

- 1. Is the  $\alpha'/\gamma$  interface mobile or not? How?
- 2. Is there partitioning of substitutional alloying elements during the Q&P process?
- **3.** Will partitioning of substitutional alloying elements affect the interface migration and carbon partitioning?



### **The Interface Migration or not?!**

#### □ Immobile Interface : (CCE Theory)

- □ Toji et al, Acta Mater., 65 (2014) 215
- □ Seo et al, Acta Mater., 107 (2016) 354
- □ Seo et al, Acta Mater., 113 (2016) 124
- □ Bigg et al, JAC, 577S (2013) 695
- □ Thomas et al, MST, 30 (2014) 998
  - • •

#### □ Mobile Interface :

□ Zhong et al, JMST, 22 (2006) 751.

#### □ Interface migrates from BCC to FCC:

- □ Santofimia et al, MSEA, 527 (2010)
- □ Santofimia et al, Acta Mater., 59 (2011)
- □ Allain et al, Scripta Mater., 131 (2017)
  - • •

#### □ Interface migrates from FCC to BCC:

- De Knijf et al, Acta Mater., 90 (2015)
- □ Thomas et al, MST, 30 (2014) 998

Dilatometer Dilatometer Dilatometer In-situ Neutron diffractometry EBSD

**Ex-situ TEM** 

Dilatometer Dilatometer In-situ HE-XRD

In-situ HR-TEM EBSD

# **Complex and Controversial** !

### **Mn and Cr Partition or not?!**





Toij et al. Acta Mater., 2014



Zhu et al. Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2014



Seo et al. Acta Mater. ,2016



Seo et al. Acta Mater. ,2016



### **Models**



#### **CCE**—Constrain Carbon Equilibrium:

$$\mu_C^{\gamma} = \mu_C^{\alpha}$$

Martensite/austenite interface is immobile



### **Experiments**

#### Fe-0.25C-2.1Mn-1.1Si (wt.%)



#### Calculation of relative dilatation strain:

 $\frac{\Delta L}{L_0} = \frac{L - L_0}{L_0} \approx \frac{1}{3} \frac{V - V_0}{V_0}$  $\frac{\Delta L}{L_0} = \frac{2V_{\alpha'}(1 - x_c^{\alpha'})a_{\alpha'}^2 c_{\alpha'} + [1 - V_{\alpha'} - (x_{C0}^{\gamma} - V_{\alpha'} x_c^{\alpha'})]a_{\gamma}^3 - (1 - x_{C0}^{\gamma})a_{\gamma 0}^3}{3(1 - x_{C0}^{\gamma})a_{\gamma 0}^3}$ 





### **Case for martensite growth**



### **Case for martensite growth**

Stage I: NPLE-( $\alpha \rightarrow \gamma$ )





#### Stage II: PLE-( $\alpha \rightarrow \gamma$ )





#### Stage III: NPLE-( $\gamma \rightarrow \alpha$ )



Controlled by Carbon diffusion in austenite



#### Stage IV: PLE-( $\gamma \rightarrow \alpha$ )





### **Case for Immobile interface**





### **Case for Immobile interface**

#### Stage I: NPLE-( $\alpha \rightarrow \gamma$ )

#### Stage II: PLE-( $\alpha \rightarrow \gamma$ )



Carbon concentration in austenite (*Point P1*) will be located between NPLE/PLE- $(\alpha \rightarrow \gamma)$  (i.e. *Point b*) and NPLE/PLE- $(\gamma \rightarrow \alpha)$  (i.e. *Point C*)





### ) **洋洋大学** Extreme cases for austenite growth

Stage I: NPLE-( $\alpha \rightarrow \gamma$ )

Fe-0.59C-2.9Mn-2.0Si (wt.%)





QT=Room temperature Vγ≈ 8% at QT

$$v = \frac{J_{\rm C}^{\gamma/\alpha} - J_{\rm C}^{\alpha/\gamma}}{x_{\rm C}^{\gamma/\alpha} - x_{\rm C}^{\alpha/\gamma}} \approx -\frac{J_{\rm C}^{\alpha/\gamma}}{x_{\rm C}^{\gamma/\alpha}}$$

Controlled by Carbon diffusion in martensite

### Extreme cases for austenite growth



### **業大会 Extreme cases for austenite growth**



### **Extreme cases for austenite growth**



19



- Interfacial partitioning of substitutional alloying elements plays a significant role in interface migration behavior and C content in austenite.
- Control Kinetics of interface migration. Based on the LE model, the kinetics of interface migration can be generally divided into four stages: NPLE-(α'→γ) → PLE-(α'→γ) → NPLE-(γ→α') → PLE-(γ→α'). Presence of the γ→α' stages or not depends on QT.
- □ Alloying elements partitioning. After the partitioning process, C content in austenite could be located between the NPLE/PLE boundaries for the  $\gamma \rightarrow \alpha'$  and  $\alpha' \rightarrow \gamma$  transformation. Substitutional alloying elements partitioning across the interface is predicted to occur.



# Thank You !



QT=261°C ( $f_{7}$ =45%), PT=400 °C



### **Simulations by the QP-LE Model**





#### QT=261 / 226 / 98 °C, PT=400 °C



### **Simulations by the QP-LE Model**





C content in austenite is between 
$$c_{\gamma}^{NPLE/PLE\gamma o lpha'}$$
 and  $c_{\gamma}^{NPLE/PLElpha' o \gamma}$  !



### **QP-LE Model**

(2)

### **QP-LE--Local equilibrium:**

$$\mu_i^{\gamma} = \mu_i^{\alpha}$$

### Negligible Partitioning-Local Equilibrium (NP-LE) : C diffusion controlled



#### Partitioning-Local Equilibrium (P-LE) : M diffusion controlled



#### **Diffusion Controlled Kinetic Process :**

$$\frac{c_{i,j+1} - c_{i,j}}{\Delta t} = D \frac{c_{i-1,j} - 2c_{i,j} + c_{i+1,j}}{\Delta x^2} + v \frac{N - i}{N - 1} \frac{c_{i+1,j} - c_{i-1,j}}{2\Delta x}$$

### **QP-PE--Paraequilibrium:**

$$\mu_C^{\gamma} = \mu_C^{\alpha}$$

$$\left(\mu_M^{\gamma} - \mu_M^{\alpha}\right) = -\frac{X_{Fe}}{X_M} \left(\mu_{Fe}^{\gamma} - \mu_{Fe}^{\alpha}\right)$$

#### PE : C diffusion AND Mn indiffusible



$$\frac{\partial c}{\partial t} = \nabla \Box \left( D \nabla c \right)$$
(1)  
$$v = \left( D^{\alpha'} \frac{\partial c^{\alpha'}}{\partial x} - D^{\gamma} \frac{\partial c^{\gamma}}{\partial x} \right) / \left( c^{\gamma/\alpha'} - c^{\alpha'/\gamma} \right)$$
(3)