

 $\begin{array}{c} \alpha \rightarrow \gamma \\ \text{transformation} \\ \text{of a} \\ \text{martensitic} \\ \text{stainless steel.} \end{array}$ 

The Materia

Experimenta characteriza tion

The model

Results

Conclusions and outlook Modelling the  $\alpha \to \gamma$  transformation of a low carbon martensitic stainless steel.

C. Dessolin, M. Perez, C. Hutchinson







▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

 $A\ell EMI$  - June 24-25th 2013- Delft



# Context and challenges

 $\begin{array}{c} \alpha \rightarrow \gamma \\ \text{transformation} \\ \text{of a} \\ \text{martensitic} \\ \text{stainless steel.} \end{array}$ 

The Material

Experimenta characterization

The model

Results

Conclusions and outlook

#### The material :

• Good yield strength, without Hardening Precipitation (welding and aging) and good resilience.





# Context and challenges

 $\begin{array}{c} \alpha \rightarrow \gamma \\ \text{transformation} \\ \text{of a} \\ \text{martensitic} \\ \text{stainless steel.} \end{array}$ 

The Material

Experimenta characteriza tion

The model

Results

Conclusions and outlook

#### The material : X4CrNiMo16.5.1 or APX4

• Good yield strength, without Hardening Precipitation (welding and aging) and good resilience.

 $\Rightarrow$  Due to lamellar residual austenite



#### During welding

Stability of residual austenite?



# Outline...

transformation of a martensitic stainless steel.

#### (1The Material

2 Experimental characterization



Results



**(5)** Conclusions and outlook



# The material : APX4

 $\begin{array}{c} \alpha \ \rightarrow \ \gamma \\ {\rm transformation} \\ {\rm of \ a} \\ {\rm martensitic} \\ {\rm stainless \ steel.} \end{array}$ 

#### The Material

Experimenta characterization

The model

Results

Conclusions and outlook

#### Chemical composition

| Element | Cr   | Ni   | Mo   | Mn   | Cu   | Si   | C    | Ν    | Р     | S     |
|---------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|
| wt%     | 15.5 | 4.75 | 0.97 | 0.93 | 0.1  | 0.24 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.017 | 0.001 |
| at%     | 16.5 | 4.48 | 0.56 | 0.94 | 0.09 | 0.47 | 0.28 | 0.16 | 0.024 | 0.002 |







・ロト・西ト・西ト・日・ 日・ シュウ



# The material : APX4

#### Microstructure : martensitic matrix

- 3% vol. delta
- 6% vol.  $\gamma$  (Morphology by ASTAR <sup>a</sup>)
- a. Courtesy of M. Veron, Grenoble INP

 $\alpha 
ightarrow \gamma$ transformation of a martensitic stainless steel.

#### The Material

Experimenta characterization

The model

Results

Conclusions and outlook



# Experimental characterization

 $\begin{array}{c} \alpha \rightarrow \gamma \\ \text{transformation} \\ \text{of a} \\ \text{martensitic} \\ \text{stainless steel.} \end{array}$ 

The Material

Experimental characteriza-tion

The model

Results

Conclusions and outlook

### Austenite fraction during heating

- Adamel-Lhomargy DT1000 quench dilatometer (CEA Saclay/SRMA)
- Continuous Heating : 0.1, 1, 10 and 50°C/s,  $T_{max} = 1050^{\circ}$ C
- Samples : as-quenched state, L = 10 mm ;  $s = 1 \text{ mm}^2$
- Lever rule to determine %  $\gamma$  :





# Experimental characterization

Austenite fraction vs heating rate

 $\begin{array}{c} \alpha \rightarrow \gamma \\ \text{transformation} \\ \text{of a} \\ \text{martensitic} \\ \text{stainless steel.} \end{array}$ 

#### The Material

Experimental characteriza-tion

The model

Results

Conclusions and outlook



"To win a race, the swiftness of a dart. Availeth not without a timely start" <sup>a</sup>

a. J. de La Fontaine, 1688



 $\begin{array}{c} \alpha \rightarrow \gamma \\ \text{transformation} \\ \text{of a} \\ \text{martensitic} \\ \text{stainless steel.} \end{array}$ 

The Material

Experimenta characterization

#### The model

Results

Conclusions and outlook

#### Hypothesis

- Interface motion is driven by nickel diffusion
- LE for Ni and full equilibrium for C
- linear 1D problem





 $\begin{array}{c} \alpha \rightarrow \gamma \\ \text{transformation} \\ \text{of a} \\ \text{martensitic} \\ \text{stainless steel.} \end{array}$ 

The Material

Experimenta characterization

The model

Results

Conclusions and outlook

#### Hypothesis

- Interface motion is driven by nickel diffusion
- LE for Ni and full equilibrium for C
- linear 1D problem





 $\begin{array}{c} \alpha \rightarrow \gamma \\ \text{transformation} \\ \text{of a} \\ \text{martensitic} \\ \text{stainless steel.} \end{array}$ 

The Material

Experimenta characterization

The model

Results

Conclusions and outlook Kinetics equations

• Flux between adjacent layers

$$J = -D\frac{\partial C}{dx}$$

• mass balance on a layer of surface S

$$(-J^{lpha}+J^{\gamma})Sdt=(C^{\gamma}-C^{lpha})Sdx$$

interface velocity

$$v = \frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{J^{\gamma} - J^{\alpha}}{C^{\gamma} - C^{\alpha}}$$



 $\alpha \rightarrow \gamma$ transformation of a martensitic stainless steel.

The Materia

Experimenta characteriza tion

The model

Results

Conclusions and outlook

### Interfacial compositions : $T_1$





 $\alpha \rightarrow \gamma$ transformation of a martensitic stainless steel.

The Materia

Experimenta characteriza tion

The model

Results

Conclusions and outlook

#### Interfacial compositions : $T_2 > T_1$





 $\alpha \rightarrow \gamma$ transformation of a martensitic stainless steel.

The Materia

Experimenta characteriza tion

The model

Results

Conclusions and outlook

### Interfacial compositions : $T_3 > T_2 > T_1$





 $\alpha \rightarrow \gamma$ transformation of a martensitic stainless steel.

The Materia

Experimenta characteriza tion

The model

Results

Conclusions and outlook

### Interfacial compositions : $T_3 > T_2 > T_1$



• Massive transformation : impossible !



 $\begin{array}{c} \alpha \rightarrow \gamma \\ \text{transformation} \\ \text{of a} \\ \text{martensitic} \\ \text{stainless steel.} \end{array}$ 

The Materia

Experimenta characteriza tion

The model

Results

Conclusions and outlook

#### Interfacial composition : the idea

• Activity of carbon remains the same between LE and FE



at a given T, calculate activity of C with FE conditions
calculate the composition of α (constrained LE)
calculate the composition of γ(constrained LE)



transformation

of a martensitic stainless steel.

# The model

#### Interfacial composition : results

30 XNi Aust Data XNi Fer Data XNi Aust Fit 25 XNi Fer Fit 20 Ni Content 15 10 5 0 800 900 1000 1100 1200 1300 700 Temperature (K)

#### The model

・ロト ・聞 ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト



 $\begin{array}{c} \alpha \rightarrow \gamma \\ \text{transformation} \\ \text{of a} \\ \text{martensitic} \\ \text{stainless steel.} \end{array}$ 

The Materia

Experiment characteriza tion

#### The model

Results

Conclusions and outlook

#### Parameters

• Diffusion of Ni in martensite?

$$D^{lpha'} = D^{lpha} A \exp\left[Q/(RT)
ight]$$

• A = 0.1 and Q = 75 kJ/mol

• Diffusion of Ni in martensite and austenite





 $\begin{array}{c} \alpha \rightarrow \gamma \\ \text{transformation} \\ \text{of a} \\ \text{martensitic} \\ \text{stainless steel.} \end{array}$ 

The Materia

Experiment characteriza tion

The mode

Results

Conclusions and outlook





 $\begin{array}{c} \alpha \rightarrow \gamma \\ \text{transformation} \\ \text{of a} \\ \text{martensitic} \\ \text{stainless steel.} \end{array}$ 

The Materia

Experimenta characterization

The model

Results

Conclusions and outlook



#### Isothermal

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ★ 国▶ ▲ 国▶ - 国 - ののの



 $\begin{array}{c} \alpha \rightarrow \gamma \\ \text{transformation} \\ \text{of a} \\ \text{martensitic} \\ \text{stainless steel.} \end{array}$ 

#### The Materia

Experimenta characteriza tion

The mode

#### Results

Conclusions and outlook





# $\begin{array}{c} \alpha \rightarrow \gamma \\ \text{transformation} \\ \text{of a} \\ \text{martensitic} \\ \text{stainless steel.} \end{array}$

The Materia

Experimenta characteriza tion

The mode

Results

Conclusions and outlook



(ロト 《聞 と 《臣 と 《臣 と 三臣 二の文)



### Conclusions and outlook

 $\begin{array}{c} \alpha \rightarrow \gamma \\ \text{transformation} \\ \text{of a} \\ \text{martensitic} \\ \text{stainless steel.} \end{array}$ 

The Material

Experimenta characterization

The model

Results

Conclusions and outlook

#### Conclusions

- Simple LE model
- Interface motion limited by Ni diffusion
- Needs for thermodynamical data
- Explanation of the two regimes for interface migration
- low temperature austenite acts as Ni reservoir

#### Outlook

• Transition between diffusion limited and interface limited migration

• Massive transformation during couling