Interface Facets in Systems with Large Lattice Difference

W.-Z. Zhang, Z.-Z. Shi & X.-P. Yang

Department of Materials Science & Engineering Tsinghua University



March 11-15, 2012 – Orlando, Florida

• Lattice difference (misfit) vs Interfacial misfit







Bollmann, 1982, book

Primary preferred state (PS) vs Secondary PS



O-lattice (O-L) vs good matching sites (GMS)
 (A point is GMS: if Δx < 15%a_s, modified from SL & NCS models)



Singular interface vs Vicinal interface



Outline

- Introduction
- Understanding interface facets based on
 - Singular structures in real space (defects)
 - > Δg parallelism rules —in reciprocal space
 - > Good matching site distribution in real space
- Discussion and Summary



Singular structures

 In terms of dislocation (disl.) defects, it contains two singular directions, defined by

> Free of disl. = secondary invariant line, \mathbf{x}_{in}^{II}

> 1 set of disl. = principal O-lattice vector, \mathbf{x}_i^{O-II}



∆g parallelism rules

- Orientation relationship (OR) permitting a singular structure must obey one or more ∆g parallelism rules:
 - I: $\Delta g_{P-II} // g_{\alpha} // g_{\beta} \implies 2\mathbf{x}_{i}^{II-O} + \mathbf{L}\mathbf{f}$
 - $III: \Delta g_{P-II} / / \Delta g_{ReI-CCSL} \Rightarrow \mathbf{x}_{in}^{II} (or \mathbf{x}_{in}^{q}) + \mathbf{x}_{i}^{II-O}$
- $v_{\alpha}^{L}//v_{\beta}^{L}$ in ledge-free interface or along kink-free ledges
- A singular interface ⊥ parallel reciprocal vectors



• OR following >1 rule is possible with special lattice param.

Principal 2dary O-lattice plane $\perp \Delta g_{P-11}$, when it contains 2 of x_i^{II-O} (or x_{in}^{II}) Modified from Zhang and Weatherly, 2005, Prog. Mater. Sci.

Applications to morphologies of precipitates in Mg alloys



March 11-15, 2012 - Orlando, Florida

Relation of Δg with Moiré planes Δg_{p-1} Principal gp-B O-lattice planes gp-a

Edge to edge matching of two sets of planes in the interface parallel to the Moiré planes if two g's are not parallel



Distribution of GMS

DII

- Major side facet, free of long range strain
 - Exhibits singularity $(\mathbf{x}_1^{O-II}(//v_{\alpha}^{L}//v_{\beta}^{L}) \& \mathbf{x}_{in}^{q}$ lying in plane)
 - Obeys rule III (D^{II}) ~0.5° from Burgers OR
 - Contains continue and dense GMS clusters along x_{in}^q
- Minor side facet (~D^{II}), carrying a slight residual strain



Secondary CSL model (τ-Mg₃₂(AI, Zn)₄₉, bcc) (with slight residual strain)



Secondary CSL model (Mg₅₄Ag₁₇, bco) (with slight residual strain)

Secondary CSL model (β- Mg₂Sn, fcc) (with slight residual strain)

Irrational OR4: $(111)_{\beta} // (0001)_{\alpha}$, $[2-20]_{\beta} \wedge [2-1-10]_{\alpha} = 9.2^{\circ}$ Habit plane //(111)_{β} (E^{II})+ edge facets //{0.4 7 -7.4}_{β} (D^{II})

Three GMS rules for facets—

- 1. Dense GMS in a cluster (Priority: Preferred state) !
- 2. Dense GMS clusters (Prin. O-L plane $\perp \Delta g_{P-11}$)
- 3. Large GMS cluster (Small 2ndary misfit)

 $[0001]_{\alpha}$

Singular structures in A and B
 Overall GMS per unit area
 B (0.75) > A (0.31)

what if they are conflict?

Z.-Z. Shi, F.-Z. Dai, W.Z. Zhang submitted for publication

Continuity of GMS clusters (β- Mg₂Sn, fcc)

OR2 ~Burgers: $(110)_{\beta}$ // $(0001)_{\alpha}$, $[-11-1]_{\beta}$ ^ $[2-1-10]_{\alpha} = 0.22^{\circ}$ F1// (-1 1 0.7) $_{\beta}$ & F2 // (-1 1 -3.1) $_{\beta}$ (both E^{II})

F1 satisfies 1&2 GMS rules: Dense (continuous) clusters GMS resembling the preferred state

Shi, Zhang, Gu, 2012 Phil Mag.

Row matching in real and reciprocal space for type C_{II} singular interface in AI alloys

Habit plane normal of Al₆(Fe,Mn)// matching rows // **b**^{II} $(001)_{p} // (3-15)_{AI} // [(1-11)_{AI} - (113)_{P}] // [(022)_{AI} - (-3-33)_{P}]$ [-110] p // $[21-1]_{A1}$ $v_d \perp rows$ Effective secondary O-line $(1-11)_{AI}$ 30 (022)_{AI} 25 v_r // v_d $b^{\parallel} = [-110]_{p}/2$, or $[21-1]_{Al}/2$ 20 Secondary screw dislocation 15 row matching row matching, 0.2% misfit Y.J. Li et al. 2012 25 30 5 10 15 20

Facet of Al₂CuMg in Al-Cu-Mg alloys—revisit

A simple analysis of GMS for misfit in 1D, 2D and 3D from small to large lattice misfit

Yang X.-P., ZHANG W.-Z. "A Systematic Analysis of Good Matching Sites between Two Lattices" SCIENCE CHINA Technological Sciences, in press

March 11-15, 2012 – Orlando, Florida

Singularity in distribution of GMS

Near constant GMS density for nD, except for presence of CSL, independent of lattice misfit !!! (counted in larger lattice)

GMS Density in Large Lattice Misfit systems

- GMS density in facet in 2ndary pref. state depends on:
 - $1/\Gamma_{\beta}$ = Density of CSL points in plane of preferred state
 - No. of $\mathbf{x}_{in}^{\ \ \ } \otimes \mathbf{x}_{i}^{\ \ \ \ \ }$ in the interface, or
 - $1/\Gamma_{\beta}^{II}$ (2ndary CSL) x No. in GMS cluster (e.g. 7/63~11% >7%)
 - $\mathbf{b}_{\alpha}^{\ \ \ \ }$ compared with \mathbf{a}_{α}

(under Isotropic assumption)

Misfit dimens	sion 0	1		2	3
~ GMS densi	ty $1/\Gamma_{\beta}$	~30%a_α/(Γ	$\Gamma_{\beta} \mathbf{b}_{\alpha}^{ })$	~7% $a_{\alpha}^{2}/(\Gamma_{\beta} \mathbf{b}_{\alpha}^{ } $	²) ~1.4%
Singular vect	Ors $x_{in1}^{II} + x_{in2}^{II}$	$\mathbf{I} = \mathbf{X}_{in}^{II} + \mathbf{X}_{in}$	II-O	$x_1^{\text{II-O}} + x_2^{\text{II-O}}$	$\mathbf{x_1^{II-O}} \text{ or } \mathbf{x_{in}^{II}} \leq 1$
Singular inter	f. ⊥ 3∆g_{II}'s	∕ 2∆g _{II}	'S	1∆g _I	0
b _α ^{II} must be cluster featu to be promin	large (<a<sub>α/3 re (singulari ent</a<sub>	s) for ty) 🕇	a _α ²/((Γ _β	$\Gamma_{\beta} \mathbf{b}_{\alpha}^{ } ^{2}) \approx 1$ $\beta/\Gamma_{\text{DSC}\alpha}=1)$	$\square \Gamma_{\beta} \leq 4$

Summary

- Faceted interfaces are often observed in systems consisting between intermetallic compounds and metal matrix. They can be interpreted in terms of singularity in interfacial structure, possible only at special OR and interface orientations
- Parameters to describe structure singularity include
 - * Dislocation structure—identified by $\mathbf{x}_{i}^{\text{II-O}}$ and/or $\mathbf{x}_{in}^{\text{II}}$
 - Δg arrangement—parallelism rule I &/or III (app. rowing matching)
 - Distribution of GMS—discrete density values
- Special properties, compared to primary preferred state (I)
 - Multiple preferred states (I: one), & corresponding OR and facets
 - Alignment of GMS rows in almost all facets (I: no always)
 - Existence of slight long-range strain, for more singular features
 (I: no often)

Support of NSFC

March 11-15, 2012 - Orlando, Florida