**The Story of a Great Delusion**

By William White, 1885

This book provides a *critical springboard*, reaching back to the history and foundation of “Modern Medicine” (Dr. Mendelsohn). It takes the researcher from its Pasteurian publishing date, and from there, reaches back to the beginning of the introduction of ‘variolation’ to the U.K. in 1714. The horrendous lack of sanitary living conditions of the 18th century is *more than evident*. And the resultant *unsubstantiated assumption* that the symptoms from these crippling circumstances were from exogenous pathogenic microorganisms via infectious contagion remains to be the 100+ year foundation of today’s medical establishment. The implication & importance of this evidence should be obvious.

This document contains enough notes, extracted from William White’s book, to fulfill the clear understanding of the false foundation that modern-day allopathic medicine is built upon. The book link (contained herein) goes to a photocopied (630 pages), which attests to its rarity if not possibly also its suppression (in keeping with a multitude of other events & circumstances).

Points relevant to today’s understanding in [brackets].

Introduction

ix There are few matters among educated people upon which opinion is so absolute and so ill-informed as vaccination.

x It has been said that beliefs and observances in themselves most irrational wear a different aspect when viewed in the light of their origin and history. It is so with vaccination.

 Vaccination was the successor of Inoculation (or, more precisely, Variolation), entering into a possession already acquired in the human mind.

xi Smallpox as naturally developed (so to speak) is a crisis of impurity in the blood, and if the requisite conditions are absent, it cannot be adequately excited. [Terrain – Microzymian Model] Hence variolation was an uncertain and hazardous operation… Nor were the variolated secure from smallpox.

“At the close of last century [18th], variolation had become the custom of the upper and middle classes of England. The trouble and the peril were disliked, but were accepted in the name of duty. The variolation of their children was an anxiety that weighed like lead on the hearts of affectionate parents; and glad and grateful they were when the operation was accomplished without serious mishap.”

 “Unless we realize the inconveniences, the uncertainties, the disasters and the horrors of the practice of variolation, albeit minimized, excused and denied by its professors, we can never understand the enthusiasm with which vaccination was received as its substitute. The promise conveyed in vaccination was a relief inexpressible, bearing with it a show of reason that was well-nigh irresistible. The argument ran thus: No one can have smallpox twice, and the mildest attack is as protective from subsequent attack as the severest…”

xii “… professing at the same time immeasurable horror at the profanation to humanity by infection with bovine disease.”

Variolators vs. Vaccinators

**xiii** “… we have to recognize the service rendered by the variolators in observing the results of vaccination – the persistency with which they traced and exposed its failure to prevent smallpox and the injuries and deaths it caused.”

 “So far as the maintenance of variolous inoculation was concerned, they fought a losing battle; but they drove the vaccinators from post to post… and at last compelled the admission that their infallible preventative could not be guaranteed to prevent, but only to make smallpox milder – a safer assertion because unverifiable…”

xv Jenner’s *Inquiry*, published in 1798 – xiv “horsegrease cowpox” – “summarily & ignominiously rejected – was absolutely rejected…” horsegrease – afflicting the heels of horses

 “Why, that was not Jenner’s discovery! It was the notion of the dairymaids, and, so far as concerned spontaneous cowpox, was known by Jenner to be untrue. Yet, strange to say, the claim was in a measure allowed by the House of Commons, and 10,000 ‘lbs’ awarded to the imposter, and subsequently 20,000 ‘lbs’ in 1807.”

xviii Jenner died in 1823 – “Which shall it be?”

 [1923] “…three kinds of virus were “in use”; first, cowpox from horsegrease or horsepox; second, cowpox; third, horsepox. These of course were subject to inscrutable modification in transmission from arm to arm…”

What more need I say? Such was Jenner; such were his tactics; and whoever assumes his defense will assume a task in which he is not to be envied.

xx “Dr. Charles Cameron, “proved incontestably that smallpox can no more be converted into cowpox by passing it through a cow than by stunting an oak it can be converted into a gooseberry bush.”

**xxi** “and Dr. Cameron boldly attributes the recent increase of smallpox to the use of smallpox cowpox for vaccination.”

 [dairy maids claim] “… and that Jenner was much impressed with their belief until he discovered that it was untrue…”

xxii “… but if anything was certain, this was certain, that cowpox did not avert smallpox.”

xxiii “Wherein is the advantage to disbelieve in witchcraft and to believe that epidemics of smallpox can be stopped with cowpox?”

“It is, we admit, convenient for the administrators of the rite that it should pass for uniform [alluding to the inconsistencies of the practice], however multiform; for the practice has become a great and lucrative business.”

xix “The original assertion that vaccination conferred life-long immunity from smallpox was unwillingly abandoned under stress of experience, until no respectable practitioner pretended that the rite afforded more than a partial or temporary security.”

xxiv The story of vaccination is a story of failures, and as each failure has become manifest, it has been more or less artfully apologized for.

 “People like infallible prescriptions. They prefer an unequivocal lie to an equivocal answer. This adventurers understand, and discourse accordingly.”

 “It was needless to point out that Jenner was without warrant for his assertions. His experience did not cover more than a few years; he could not, therefore, know that his specific would secure its subjects from smallpox for life.”

 “It so happened that prior to the introduction of vaccination, a marked decline in the prevalence of smallpox had set in, and for the continuance of this decline the vaccinators took credit. “See,” they cried, “see what *we* are doing!” But they failed to observe that the decline prevailed among the millions who did not participate in the cowpox salvation.”

xxv “Persons vaccinated by Jenner himself caught smallpox and died of smallpox.” Jenner changed his story to meet the situation, denying all previous claims. “Such was Jenner; such his inconsistency; and such the admissions he was driven to make under stress of failures many and manifest.”

xxvi “Such was Jenner; such his inconsistency; and such the admissions he was driven to make under stress of failures many and manifest.”

“As vaccination failed to afford the protection originally guaranteed, various explanations were devised to enable those who had talked too loftily to eat humble pie without painful observation. One of the commonest excuses was that if vaccination did not prevent smallpox, it made it milder… There are many assertions with which there is no reckoning… Let us beware of such assertions. Let us neither make them, nor suffer ourselves to be imposed upon by them.”

“Another excuse was advanced in the report of the National Vaccine Establishment in 1814. It was said the failures in vaccination appeared to result from the practice of making only one puncture…” [many stories of variations of multiple punctures continued… “Marson offered no explanation of his statement”]

xxx Mr. Robinson’s Opinion – “Good [vaccination] marks are simply notes of good constitutions, and the rest follows. Aught beyond is mere medical rubbish, on a par with faith in omens and divination in tea cups.”

 “Cruelty of marking” – 4 marks each child – “… by the thousands” – “Mr. Young was called the other day to see a dying infant vaccinated… Previous to vaccination it was perfectly healthy, but never afterwards… In its coffin…”

 “Dr. Allnatt (published article – *Times*) … protesting against the cruelty of vaccination as practiced upon the children of the poor…”

xxxi “The case is altered now, says Dr. Allnatt; but why is the case altered now? Why, because, under the old terms vaccination was more and more seen to be no defense against smallpox; and to preserve the rite, and the gains from the rite, the marks doctrine was invented, or rather revived, and hailed as a sort of revelation from heaven.”

 “When vaccination was seen to be no preventative of smallpox, it was conjectured that it might require renewal, a suggestion which distressed Jenner exceedingly… Whatever the experience, however, and whatever the analogy, there was the indisputable fact, that vaccination in most unimpeachable form **did not avert smallpox**…”

**xxxii** “Vaccinisation” – We must not stop at a single vaccination. We must establish the firm conviction in the public mind… If their insurance were valid, the premium would exceed the principal, whilst there is no reason to believe the new security is a whit better than the old. In these frantic prescriptions we see the quackery in its death-throes.”

 “Absurdity of revaccination” – “… and as the statistics of the army & navy prove, our soldiers & sailors are no more exempt from smallpox than the unrevaccinated civil population of corresponding years…” “In this matter, the old words stand true, populus vult decipi; decipiatur – [trans from Latin - the people wants to be deceived; so let them be deceived].”

xxiii “… smallpox was declining before vaccination was introduced, and that too, in spite of the extensive culture of the disease by variolation; and the decline continued during the first part of the present century whilst as yet 9/10 of the people were unvaccinated. Several diseases once common have abated or disappeared. Leprosy, once extensively prevalent in England, has disappeared…”

xxxiv “What was a mystery to [Dr. Robert] Watt is less of a mystery since the development of sanitary science.”

**xxxv** “Over and over again has it been proved that vaccinated patients dead of smallpox have been registered as unvaccinated, their death being taken as evidence of the absence of the saving rite. Again in severe smallpox, when vaccination marks are invisible, the sufferer is frequently set down as unvaccinated.”

**xxxvi** “We are continually adjured in the newspapers to confess our folly and repent, because 40 or 60 or 80 per cent of the unvaccinated have perished in this or that hospital because unvaccinated. To us such statistics have fraud written on their face, and the more they are sworn to, the more unscrupulous do their vendors reveal themselves…”

xxxvii “The sick-room was made pestiferous by the exclusion of air and the maintenance of high temperature.” [Florence Nightingale would have agreed with this statement.]

xxxviii “… smallpox was falling off toward the close of last century, irrespective of vaccination… Inasmuch as not 10% of the population were vaccinated in 1831, the claim made for vaccination was absurd…”

xxxix “… It shows how prone we are to fancy we have seen what we think we ought to have seen [stories of “when I was young…”]… There is matter for reflection as well as for laughter in the hallucination… Those who will take the pains to inquire will find that almost invariably they have been vaccinated, and some of them repeatedly, the vaccination having as it were induced the smallpox.”

 “Thus far we have chiefly dealt with vaccination as if its fault were limited to failure to prevent smallpox; but vaccination is more than an ineffective incantation. It is the induction of an acute specific disease…”

xl [“vaccinia” defn. – a variant of the cowpox ‘virus’ that became established in vaccines from cowpox inoculated humans.] “Here we have Vaccinia defined as disease with precautions for its safe reception; yet withal it is allowed it may assert itself with virulence…. Hence it is that vaccination is dreaded and detested by the poor on whom it is inflicted without parley or mitigation; in itself a bearer of illness…”

**xli** “Dr. Stevens, a well-known familiar of the vaccination office, says he has seen more vaccination than any man, and has yet to witness the least injury from the practice. Variolators used to say the same of their practice until vaccinators arose and convicted them of lying. Coroner Lankester held that vaccination was not a cause of death “recognized by law”, and was therefore an *impossible cause*. Such prevarication is mockery.”

 “The Sangrado of the [Dr.] Stevens pattern is never without shuffle.”

 Coroners’ inquests – child vaccine death – produce other children vaxed at same time and declare that the dead child could not have died of the vaccine(!).

**xlii** “… as Dr. Mead observed more than a century ago, “It is more material into what kind of body smallpox is infused than out of what is taken.” Proven with the Confederate Army.

 “Parents often plead in vain for exemption from the rite on the ground that they have already had children injured or slain by its performance; the brutal and unscientific argument running, “How can vaccination hurt your children when it does not hurt other people’s children?””

xliii “… At last the conflict is at an end [1885ish?]. The evidence has grown too multitudinous and deadly for evasion.”

**xliv** Registrar General Returns (vaccine mortality) – “These Returns, charged with curious and authentic information, are little known, and have been treated with significant silence by the **press**.” **[1847]** [Obscurantism] Records confirm Leicester chart – vax up; mortality up.

“The record of infant mortality from fifteen specified diseases related to vaccination stands thus” – [introduction of a sanitary sewage system – Leicester, 1850]

* Prior to Vaccination Act – 1847-1853

 Infants died, 1847 – 62,619 (pop. 17,917,609 – 0.35%)

* Vaccination Obligatory – 1854-1867

 Infants died, 1854 – 73,000 (pop. 20,066,224 – 0.36%)

 1867 – 92,827 (0.46%)

* Vaccination Enforced – 1868-1875

 Infants died, 1868 – 96,282 (pop. 22,712,266 – 0.42%)

 1875 – 106,173 (0.47%)

“The infancy of a country cannot be systematically diseased, that is vaccinated, without exciting and aggravating other maladies, and thereby enlarging the harvest of death.”

xlvi “… a compulsory Vaccination Act in 1853.” [Noted here is that a proper sewer system was installed (Leicester) in 1850 – compulsory vaxing would have resumed the prior death figures, give or take.]

 “… but vaccination touches every household, and can be fought wherever a child is claimed as a victim for the rite. We abhor the rite. We detest it as an imposture [fraudulent imposition]. We refuse it on any terms. We encourage, we justify, we insist on the duty of rejection …”

xlviii “Many allow [politicians] that a serious mistake was made when legislation was enacted for *medical advantage* at *medical dictation*.”

“The medical support is still weaker; and is chiefly confined to those who represent the trade element of the profession – men who would defend any abuse however flagrant if established and lucrative.”

xlix “… these we say recognize how impossible it is for vaccination to be brought under discussion and survive.” [Jenner failures, et.al.]

 “They [medical men] excuse their acquiescence in the delusion… by the exigencies of professional loyalty.” [“Just doin’ my job.]

 “The fortifications are undermined; the bulwarks are rotten through and through. Over all, we place our confidence in the omnipotent favour of the truth. Goliath, mighty and vaunting, is evermore laid low by a smooth stone shapen in the waters of verity.”

DR. GARTH WILKINSON’S CATECHISM

Q. When Whooping-Cough is not rife, what is that due to?

A. Nature.

Q. When Scarlatina is not rife, what is that due to?

A. Nature.

Q. When Cholera is not rife, what is that due to?

A. Nature.

Q. When Smallpox is not rife, what is that due to?

A. Vaccination.

Q. When other diseases in the course of time have become mild or dies out, what is that due to?

A. Nature.

Q. And when Smallpox has become mild or died out, what is that due to?

A. Vaccination.

PART 1 – VARIOLOUS INOCULATION

1 “… inducing smallpox artificially…” Variolation first brought to England – Emanuel Timoni (Greek physician) – Dec. 1713

2 “… there had been no smallpox in Boston for 19 years – a fact worth noting by those who imagine smallpox was an omnipresent ailment until the advent of Edward Jenner.”

3 “… whence the belief now begins to prevail among us, that they who have had the Plague will never have the smallpox after it.” [F. Nightingale’s observations apply here.]

4 “What a masterly touch of the quack have we in these words [from Cotton Mather] – ‘I cannot learn that one has died of it [smallpox]. Five or six have dies upon it, or after it, but from other diseases or accidents; chiefly from having taken infection in the common way by inspiration before it could be given in the way of transplantation.’”

 “… it was the temptation of the inoculators [inoculator = variolator] to magnify the numbers of the afflicted and of their antagonists to minimize.”

 “… inoculating the smallpox…” – Boston – 21 July 1721 – “It appears by numerous instances, that it has proved the death of many persons soon after the operation, and brought distempers upon many others which have in the end proved deadly to ‘em.”

5 “Dr. Fleuart of Boston wrote to London that of 70 inoculated, 14 or 15 had died; and that at Roxbury, where there was no smallpox, 5 inoculated had died.”

**7** “… but it serves to point the truth that human nature in 1726 was much the same as human nature at this day, and that the same arts of audacious assertion and rowdy rhetoric were in practice then as now.” [The seamless link of audacious/God-complex attitude stretching back ‘the’ 308 years!]

 “Indeed, whoever is sufficiently wicked to presume on the natural trustfulness of mankind, and will lie loud enough and long enough, may attain an appalling success – as our story, alas! will prove.”

10 “In History we have always to suspect the picturesque, for mankind have a fatal preference for handsome error over uncomely fact.”

11 Maitland confirms inoculation in the East… “some hundreds years before.” *A Short Account of Inoculation.* London 1723

 Inoculation – “The operation took in both arms…”

12 “If quackery be assertion in absence of knowledge of or evidence, then we may accurately stigmatise [sic] Maitland and Montagu a couple of quacks….”

16 “A learned author has given an account of the practice of sowing smallpox, as they call it, known to the Chinese above three hundred years [so back to 1420 then?], which is this. They take the skins of some of the dried pustules, which are fallen from the body, and put them into a porcelain bottle, stopping the mouth of it very close with wax. When they have a mind to infect anyone, they make up three or four of these skins, putting between them with one grain of musk into a tent with cotton, which they put up the nostrils.”

 “… The thing has been successful on all the five, far beyond my expectation… So Maitland asserted, but others were of a different opinion… Dr. Wagstaffe - … there was nothing like the smallpox, either in symptoms, appearances, advance of pustules, or in the course of distemper.”

18 “To divine Maitland’s character – to determine how far he was deceiver or deceived is not easy. He obviously made professions in vast excess of his knowledge… as if he had had twenty years’ experience without any miscarriage, which made those who heard him justly suspect he was more concerned for the employ than for the success of it.” [The financial card played back in 1722.]

20 “It was under cover of such seductive assurances that inoculation was introduced to England, and established in perversity and quackery.”

23 Alludes to the complete lack of mortality associated with smallpox as it takes its natural place within large concentrations of young folk. [1722]

24 Comparative mortality rates from ‘all diseases’ vs. ‘smallpox’ 1700-1722 in response to the statement, “… it is a matter of common belief that prior to inoculation and Jenner people were mown down with smallpox…” [London – population – 1701 500,000; 1720 600,000]

**25** Further – conditions of London at this time – “… for unless universal mankind dwelt in conditions similar to Londoners, it was idle to infer a common rate of disease and mortality… It was closely packed and lodged over cess-pools; the water supply was insufficient, and there was no effective drainage… Little attention was paid to personal cleanliness, and still less to ventilation, to light, to exercise. The condition of a large urban community a century ago is almost inconceivable at the present day [1885]… Londoners were then only slowly and blindly rising out of those modes of existence which made the Plague of 1665, and other plagues, possible… Hence we need not be astonished that smallpox was a common and persistent affliction; but it was less prevalent and less deadly than it is the custom to assert; and had the disease not been attended with injury to feminine beauty, there might have been no more fuss made about it than about any other form of eruptive fever.” [which of course, is simply a detox through the skin]

26 “It has also to be observed, that smallpox as a cause of death was probably much exaggerated in the Bills of Mortality… Many distempers which prove mortal, are mistaken for the smallpox, namely scarlet and malignant fevers with eruptions, etc…. which if they destroy in three or four days (as frequently happeneth) the distemper can only be guessed at, yet is generally put down by the searchers as smallpox, especially if they are told the deceased never had them.” [deja-vu? – 2020 style]

 The lack of care and basic necessities of the sick… “… this sufficiently shows what little help and care are taken of the poor sick, which so much abound in all those places. Of course there lurks a fallacy in all statistics of disease wherein condition of life are not discriminated.”

27 “It would appear that in sound constitutions, and with fair treatment, smallpox in 1721 was by no means deadly, whilst in bad constitutions, and with exposure and neglect, it was extensively fatal. Yet of these differences, little account was taken by the Inoculators, and the malady was measured and discussed as though it were something uniform like water or gold.” [“germ theory” vs. the Terrain model]

 “The notion that virus with a complex of qualities can be transferred from on body to another, and operate with the single quality the operator is pleased to favour, is a notion that might pass muster in a manual of magic or folk-lore, but which never can have any warrant in human physiology.”

 “… the folly of incurring a certain injury for an uncertain advantage. Whatever the risk of smallpox to those who have it, yet large numbers, it was argued, pass through life untouched; and why should they make themselves sick, and risk their lives in order to obtain a superfluous security!”

28 Rev. Massey to Maitland… “… what human or divine authority you have to set a man’s house on fire, that is, put a man who is in perfect health in danger of his life by a fit of illness?”

29 “I shall now proceed to show that the practice of inoculation introduced by Cotton Mather to New England, and by Maitland to England, collapsed in a few years under stress of the mischiefs and fatalities which attended it; *that it was revived in a subsequent generation*; that it proved a curse wherever practiced; and that finally it was abandoned with execration in the Western world.” [until ‘*it was revived in a subsequent generation*’………..]

31 “… whilst smallpox in the natural way very rarely affects life where the habit of body and constitution are good.”

34 “Fortunately for the public, several of the mishaps occurred in “good society”, and were too conspicuous to be hushed up or denied.” [another familiarization; deja-vu]

36 [1728-1748 – inoculation abandoned] “The practice of inoculation, thus discredited, revived… The revival was gradual, and may be said to have acquired definition about 1748.”

37 “Our forefathers had no clear conception of the connection of physical well-being with physical well-doing, and many of the essential conditions of health were unknown to them.”

38 [1739] “Fashions in medicine are on a par with fashions in dress, and have only occasional reference to the permanence and veracity of nature.”

42 “Inoculation I maintain to be, in a religious and moral view, a self-destructive, inhuman, and impious machination, and in a physical one an unreasonable, unnatural, unlawful, most hazardous, ineffectual, fruitless, uncertain, unnecessary device; in a word, a practice which nature recoils at, which reason opposes and which religion condemns.” Rev. Theodore Delafaye

43 “In 1754 inoculation obtained full recognition from the London College of Physicians… Opposition was chiefly confined to the lower orders, who objected to have the inoculated at large among them, and in some places threatened to demolish the houses where inoculation was performed.”

47 Daniel Sutton “… lived to recognize a successor in Jenner.”

49 “In 1775 a Society was formed for General Inoculation.”

 “… of all the faculties given to man, the most awful in its consequences is the power of standing amid a number of facts, and seeing such as we please to see, and being blind to the rest.”

 “Specially remarkable in connection with the smallpox of last century was the exaggerated terror expressed for it by professional inoculators, and the little real terror manifested by the multitude. It was by no means the most fatal of diseases, nor was it a large factor in the common mortality… the dreadful and desolating malady from which Jenner delivered his country is merely a fiction continued by the vaccinators from the inoculators.” [fear mongering used relentlessly during the past 308 years]

50 Edinburgh, Scotland – “… the practice was disliked in Scotland as “a tempting of Providence”, an unwarrantable risk of life for an uncertain advantage.” [shows statistics – 1744-1763 – ‘burials from all diseases vs. from smallpox – “Here we have a piece of valid experience with every advantage to the smallpox terrorist” - 10%; population 55,000 – poor living conditions – avg. small pox deaths/year = 122; 122/55,000 = .22%]

51 Trongate, Glasgow – a girl, entering boarding school, was asked if she had smallpox. Answer – “Yes mem, I’ve had the sma’pox, the nirls (measles), the blabs (nettle-rash), the scaw (itch), the kink-host (whooping-cough), the fever and the branks (mumps), and the worm (toothache).”

52 Epitaph – Lady Mary Wortley Montagu – “Whilst we do not resort to epitaphs for truth, we may discover in them what was taken for truth, or what was wished to be taken for truth… The practice is convenient, but it taints all history with fable.”

 “It may be said that the practice of inoculation met with no active resistance in England during the last thirty years of last century.”

55 “How familiar have vaccinators rendered this line of adjuration! If you do not comply with our prescription, and your children catch smallpox, then are you their murderers.” [fear-based coercion]

 Buchan (inoculator) – “When a person enjoys the blessing of health, he ought never to meddle with medicine on any account whatever.” Author – “No: nor with half an inch of thread dipped in pox.” [… that is applied to the skin and bandaged up for 8 days]

59 “France was slow to accept inoculation. After its introduction in 1723, about thirty years elapsed without any serious movement in its favour…” 14 May 1755 – “… a young man… submitting himself to the operation in the interest of the common welfare.” [realizing that the false “germ theory” assertion, for 200 years now, would not be officially proven false for another 100 years!]

**60** “… in 1763 an outbreak of smallpox in Paris made an end of the practice. An inquiry was instituted by the authorities, and the evidence left no doubt that the epidemic had been diffused, if it did not originate, with the artificially poxed; and inoculation was thenceforth prohibited in Paris.”

 Louis XV – “He had smallpox unquestionably in his 14th year, and of unquestionable smallpox he died in 1774 in his 64th year. Notwithstanding, the assertion was perpetuated that there was no possibility of smallpox after smallpox, and it was only when it became necessary to maintain the credit of vaccination that the facts were admitted; and in this form – Smallpox after vaccination if no more common or extraordinary than smallpox after smallpox – a falsehood on the back of a former falsehood.”

65 “In perusing the literature of inoculation, nothing impresses a reader… [great paragraph]… The true problem to be set and solved in all epidemics, whether of influenza or smallpox, is why some are susceptible and some insusceptible, and whether it is not practicable so to modify conditions as to carry over the susceptible to the ranks of the insusceptible.” [undeniable wisdom from <1885!]

69 “With the close of the 18th century, Inoculation with smallpox to avert smallpox was accepted as sound practice throughout England. Its safety and efficiency were extolled by medical writers in terms curiously identical with those applied to Vaccination.” [author William White, publishing his book in 1885 would have been is the perfect position to observe this detail]

 “The strong objection to Inoculation was, that it diffused the disease generally which it was supposed to avert individually. Inoculators tried to minimize and deny the danger, but in vain.”

71 “Indeed, when we consider how Inoculation was commended for its efficiency and harmlessness by the same medical authorities who, within a year or two after Jenner’s appearance, denounced the practice for its difficulties and dangers, their tergiversation appears little short of shameless.”

 “… allowing the murderers to kill the rising generation… Nothing can show the supineness and ignorance of the Government more than legalizing these Variolous Murders.” [Dr. Lettsom, 02 July 1805]

 “… the conquest of the inoculators by the vaccinators… debate in the House of Commons, 1806.”

 “Inoculation, he said, was a frequent cause of disfigurement and of death in its most awful form…” [Dr. Matthews]

72 Jenner denounces inoculation – letter 1807

73 20 June 1822 – “… the inoculation of in-patients cease.”

74 1840 – Act of Parliament – inoculation outlawed

**76** “The exact truth as to the prevalence of smallpox in the 18th century is not attainable; **vital statistics were undeveloped**; and in the absence of precision the imaginative revel.”

79 1736-1799 – Chart – mortality figures “… an average of all the years, smallpox was accountable for something less than a tenth of the total mortality.” And…

80 “If smallpox was bad, fevers were worse…”

 “That smallpox should have been constantly present in London throughout last century [1700s] was in nowise surprising. The citizens lived in a manner to invite and maintain fevers…”

81 “… and in short it comes down to this, that the Londoners of last century [1700s] lived from year to year *in* their houses, and had no outdoor exercise… there were no water-closets [toilets]… Even in well-ordered households, stenches were dreadful… Horrible cesspools lay behind or beneath most of the houses… Out of doors, the streets were scarcely less noisome…”

82 Rain & flood yielded a multitude of debris and dead objects in its flow… “Nor in estimating the sanitary condition of 18th century London is the influence of the dead on the living to be forgotten.”

**83** “… but to convert the London rate of smallpox into the common rate of England, of Europe, and of the world, and to use the appalling result as a **whip of terror** wherewith to enforce universal inoculation, and afterwards vaccination, was sheer absurdity, if not something worse.”

 “… previous to the practice of inoculation there were many parts of the country where smallpox was unknown for periods of twenty, thirty, and even forty years… Wherever we inquire, we are driven to the conclusion that the prevalence and fatality of smallpox in the 18th century were grossly exaggerated by quacks and panic mongers.” [Interesting to note is the Reality of Bechamp at play here, along with the same tactical manipulation today to obfuscate the fact and propagandize illusion.]

84 “Thus we are taught that people may eat, drink as they like, live in darkness, neglect personal cleanliness, take little exercise, breathe air polluted by respiration, filth, and putrefaction, and that whatever disease overtakes them, they will be proof against smallpox.” [To me, this profound analysis, revealing a surprisingly high level of cognizance & consciousness, is something that I would not have expected from those 137 years ago, and further… which lends itself to the credit of folks back then, even if only a minority of them.]

85 “It would hardly be too bold to say, that the fatal treatment of this disease, for two centuries, by warming the chamber, and by stimulating and heating cordials, was the cause of 2/3 of the mortality which ensued.” John Birch, London surgeon, 1814

87 The recognition of the cause of scurvy – Dr. Buchan – 1783 [The rich could be persuaded to eat vegetables as the cure, but the poor thought the advise as “… a sort of mockery.” – p. 88]

88 “Were we to say that the diet of the English for the greater part of the last century consisted of Bread, Beef, and Beer, we should not go far wrong.”

**90** “Wherefore to run a parallel between the Londoners of the 18th century and the English of the 19th in the matter of smallpox, and to ascribe any difference between them to Jenner’s specific, is to display ignorance that is inexcusable, or craft unscrupulous.”

PART 2 – VACCINATION

91 “But I neither propose to write a Life of Jenner, nor do I believe it essential to insight to sympathise where compelled to reprobate. In Jenner’s case we have to deal with an accident rather than with a vigorous personification of evil.” [This statement lends itself, at least somewhat, to the integrity of the author.]

Edward Jenner – born 17 May 1749. <1770 – apprenticed with surgeon/apothecary; 1170 went to London for 2 years (Captain Cook returned from voyage 1771 – Jenner assigned detail to his specimens); 1772 had aspirations of “commerce business as a country surgeon”, and returned to his native vale to practice at discretion (age 23).

**92** “… for a century ago [~1785] medical knowledge was largely absurdity, and practice mischief…” [Distinctly alludes to the *foundational aspects* of germ theory generally and specifically the practice of introducing suspected pathogenic particles into the human bloodstream, whether by inoculation or vaccination.]

 Further on absurdity/guesswork: {Sir Benjamin Brodie serving, when a young man, with a general practitioner} “His treatment of disease seemed to be very simple. He had in his shop five large bottles, which were labelled Mistura Salina, Mistura Cathartica, Mistura Astringens, Mistura Cinchonae, and another of which I forget the name… and it seemed to me that out of these five bottles he prescribed for 2/3 of his patients… I have observed… that while young members of the medical profession generally deal in a great variety of remedies, they commonly discard the greater number of them as they grow older…” [The ‘profession’ of trial & error, at this time and all things considered.]

95 “We know”, said the jovial doctors, “that an attack of cowpox is reputed to prevent smallpox, but we know that it does not, and that should end the matter.” Baron’s *Life of Jenner*

97 Jenner’s first publication – *The Inquiry* 1798

 1787 – “Jenner took his nephew into a stable to look at a horse with diseased heels… “There”, said he, pointing to the horse’s heels, “is the source of smallpox. I have much to say on that subject, which I hope in due time to give to the world.” Baron’s *Life of Jenner*

 1792 – Jenner applies to the University of St. Andrews for the Doctor of Physic – cost 15 pounds

98 1789 – inoculates son Edward – 18 mos. – “He was inoculated with cowpox?” O, no! “Then with the grease from a horse’s heel?” Not at all! “With what then?” Why, with swinepox; and it answered! The child sickened on the eighth day; a few pustules appeared… The poor child was then put through what was styled as a Variolous Test: … five or six times at various intervals, he was inoculated with smallpox without other obvious effect than local inflammation and erysipelas…” [This supposed scientific procedure, from start to finish, if viewed purely from a common-sense standpoint would be ludicrous; if viewed with the knowledge of the ‘fundamentals of life’ discoveries perspective, as confirmed by Bechamp, Naessens, etc., then this procedure would be both retarded & criminal. Noted also is the *fact* that the infant did experience erysipelas, which denotes a *systemic fever* response – the *fact* that he did not break out in pustules (assumed) does not conclusively qualify the benefit of inoculation.]

99 “… Jenner placed his trust in swinepox rather than cowpox, at least as late as 1789.”

100 “Jenner at this juncture [1798] had staked his hope on the identification of horsegrease with cowpox.”

109 “… The observation in presence of the principle to be established was nothing short of imbecile. If Smallpox prevented Smallpox, and Cowpox was one with Smallpox, and Cowpox did not avert Cowpox, how was Cowpox to avert Smallpox?”

**110 Jenner’s** assertion/speculation that smallpox originates with horse grease (“The Grease”) – “Thus the disease makes its progress from the Horse (as I conceive) to the nipples of the Cow, and from the Cow to the Human Subject [all sic].” [There you have it – need anything more be stated?... maybe… see **112**]

111 “Thus Cowpox was to be extinguished by forbidding milkers to handle Horses’ greasy heels. Jenner himself tried to produce Cowpox in the manner described, but without success…”

 Subsequent to this failure to produce, Jenner states, “What is requisite for success, he concluded, was the limpid fluid from the Horse’s heel at an early stage of the disease, and that it should be applied to the Cow’s nipples at a certain season…”

 “… Nor am I certain that the nipples of the Cows are at all times in a state to receive the infection…”

**112 “Yet** without proof, he argued as if he had proof, saying – “With respect to the opinion adduced, that the source of the infection is a peculiar morbid matter arising in the Horse, although I have not been able to prove it from actual experiments conducted immediately under my own eye, **yet the evidence I have adduced appears sufficient to establish it**.” (P. 43)

115 Jenner – “Although the absorption of matter from the sores on the heels of Horses, secures, ***or nearly secures***, the system from variolous infection, yet it is possible that this cannot be entirely relied upon, until a disease has been generated by morbid matter from the Horse on the nipple of the Cow, and passed through that medium to the human subject.” [Wow!]

116 Further here from Jenner – “The active quality of the virus from the Horse’s heels is greatly increased after it has acted on the nipples of the Cow; as it rarely happens that the Horse affects his dresser with sores; and as rarely that a milkmaid escapes infection when she milks infected Cows.” (P. 45) [Even if one were to believe in “germ theory”, this *claim* bears little resemblance to common logic.]

**118** [After inoculating “several children & adults…”] “… but in 3 of the number as *secondary indisposition* arose in consequence of an extensive erysipelatous inflammation which appeared on the inoculated arms. By the application of *mercurial* ointment to the inflamed parts…” [A couple of points here – 1) “a secondary indisposition arose” – from a logic perspective that includes basic knowledge of physiology, local ‘infections’ and the microzymian doctrine, this term suggests only one thing – a lack of understanding of Reality on the part of the person who stated it (Jenner). This closely aligns with the understanding of the term “artifact” by allopathic medicine today, to attribute a description to ‘that which is unknown’, and 2) “the application of mercurial ointment” – while it could be posited that the folks of the 18th & 19th century did not have the knowledge that mercury is one of the 5 most noxious substances known to mankind, it could also be posited that the use of mercury in dental amalgams (consistent through to present day – i.e. 70 – 80 millions amalgams placed annually in the U.S.) and in vaccines (again consistent to present day) by way of *organic* mercury (Thimerosol) to make it “more bio-available”, completely removes the notion that it was only by ignorance ‘back then’ it was used in different applications, because the very same ignorance prevails today, in spite of this knowledge!?!]

 Further as to Jenner’s precision – “Excell (Hannah) was inoculated in three places on her arm. “This”, said Jenner, “was not done intentionally, but from the accidental touch of the lancet, *one puncture being always sufficient*.”

**119** “Whilst there is nothing too great for the credulity of those who are in the disposition of belief, yet facts are facts [Note: Common Law reference], an there is the stone-wall of the *Inquiry* with its authentic details whereon to crack the skulls of romancers. In Jenner’s story as recited to the vulgar, we have the advantage of witnessing the development of myth in the light of *our own age* under *our own eyes*.”

120 “Taking Jenner’s Inquiry at the utmost. What was it? A suggestion to substitute Horsegrease Cowpox for Smallpox in inoculation. That was all. Beyond this there was no point of novelty… There was a mild form of Smallpox occasionally prevalent in London called “pearly pox”, and Dr. Adams and others kept it going from patient to patient; and the virus from the body of a healthy variolated child was in constant request by timid folk…”

 Jenner – “He considered that some of the diseases which afflict men are derived from their domestication of animals [origins of thought here]” and “Is it difficult to imagine that measles, scarlet fever, and ulcerous sore throat with a spotted skin, have all sprung from the same source?” Author – “About the imagination, there might be little difficulty; the difficulty lay in the production of proof that any disease in man was derived from disease in animals…” and “He adduced no evidence, however to connect outbreaks of Smallpox with Cowpox; nor did he ever suggest that dairymaids caught Smallpox from Cows, or farriers from Horses. His identification of Horsegrease Cowpox with Smallpox was the resemblance of their pustules, and on the ground of this resemblance he affirmed the equivalence of the diseases.” [Update March 2022 – knowing that the skin is one of 4 (for men) or 5 (for menstruating women) avenues of elimination, along with the knowledge of the horrid living conditions of the 18th century, Jenner’s conclusion (given the lack of suitable viewing equipment to confirm it) remains to be weak speculation, at best.]

121 “The observation an the conclusion are worth notice, being characteristic of Jenner’s loose and illogical mind.”

{**Note**: Transcription was stopped here due to the following: The information gathered, including environmental conditions and the lack of suitable microscopy instruments, is sufficient to conclude that the practice of variolation/inoculation was little more than speculation, before it gave way to the equally foundationless practice of vaccination (up to current day). For further information, for anyone interested in “the foundations of science”, as researched by William White’s book *The Story of a Great Delusion*, see link below.}

424 “Anti-Vaccinators have no statistics. Their statistics are the statistics of the Vaccinators, in which they provide the material for their own condemnation.”

425 “… the ranks of the poor, among whom smallpox has always its chief seat.”

541 [1871 – remembering Jenner died in 1823] “The Jennerian tradition was so rooted in the public mind that to question it savoured of paradox or profanity. There were occasional manifestations of scepticism [sic] when smallpox attacked the vaccinated, but doubt was crushed down as impious and dangerous to established confidence. Mr. Herbert Spencer, for example, in *Social Studies*, published in 1851, observed – “The measures enjoined by the Vaccination Act of 1840 were to have exterminated Smallpox; yet the reports of the Registrar General show that deaths from Smallpox have been increasing.””

**546** “Next I saw that no Parliament or King has, or can have, any right (*on medical theory*) to stick a poisoned lancet into a *healthy* person; and that to fancy that Human Health can be improved is an *imbecile contemptible fancy*. Moreover, that unless Vaccination is believed to *remove the causes* of smallpox, those causes would entail disease in other ways, and perhaps worse, by suppressing *the natural eruption*, which eruption alone is called ‘Smallpox’. My mind was thus decided.”

 “I did not learn till some years later (*what alone concerns Parliament*) that **the more active is smallpox, the less is the Total Mortality of any year**; and conversely, the less active the smallpox, the greater is the Total Mortality. This is the only form of statistics worth attending to. All the rest is dust thrown in our eyes.”

 “Statistics not founded on a scientific principle are the commonest *nidus* of fallacy; but if *any* statistics are to be listened to, those of Total Mortality are the least open to suspicion [i.e. the definitive nature of…]. The *prima facie* evidence is, that instead of Vaccination saving yearly 80,000 lives (Sir Lyon Playfair’s monstrous assertion) Vaccination does only harm; but that Smallpox *saves* every year many lives (some hundreds of thousands) by a natural eruption, under the morbid circumstances *desirable*.”

546 The Countess de Noailles efforts on the vax movement and her liaison with Dr. Garth Wilkinson… “the only one who lent an ear to the sad tale…”

 Wilkinson: “The early history… I had not considered Vaccination a question; but practiced it when required… Vaccination is an infinitesimal affair…” Follow up: “After-studies extending over 18 years have convinced me that I was wrong in my estimate of the smallness of the Vaccination question compared with other Evils. As forced upon every British Cradle, I see it as a Monster instead of a Poisonous Midge; a Devourer of Nations. As a Destroyer of the Honesty and Humanity of Medicine, which is through it a deeply-degraded Profession. As a Tyrant which is the Parent of a brood of Tyrants, and through Pasteur and his like a Universal Pollution Master. As a Ghoul which sits upon Parliament, and enforces Contamination by Law, and prepares the way for endless violations of personal liberty and sound sense at the bidding of cruel experts. Not denying other forms of Social Wickedness, I now, after careful study, regard Vaccination as one of the greatest and deepest forms, abolishing the last hope and resort of races, the new-born soundness of the Human Body.”

574 Mrs. Gibbs – Mother’s Anti-Compulsory Vaccination League.

 1872 – Mr. John Pickering & Mr. Henry Pitman – *The Anti-Vaccinator*, fortnightly…

 “… To overthrow the trade in vaccination, established, endowed and enforced, is to encounter the enmity and opposition of the organized profession at whose instigation and for whose advantage vaccination was established, endowed and enforced; and to effect the overthrow, it is necessary to raise up a countervailing force among a public apathetic, ignorant and credulous as to medical mysteries… There is no preaching like such practice, for which the evil law itself provides opportunity.”

 “Mr. Pickering was fined over and over again, and in his Anti-Vaccinator he proved abundantly that he had reason and science without end for his steadfast resistance to the legalized superstition…”

578 Gibbs death 1871 – revival of League – Mr. William Hume-Rothery – the *National Anti-Compulsory Vaccination Reporter*

580 1880: The London Society for the Abolition of Compulsory Vaccination

 1879: *The Vaccination Inquirer* – Mr. William Tebb

584 “Venesection [phlebotomy] was once in vogue; now it is scarcely known; but if in its heyday a law had been passed for its performance at the public expense, a ring of official venesectors would have been created to justify the practice against all gainsayers; to deny or explain away every disaster and fatality; and at all hazards preserve its credit from reproach;…” “… the endowment of the National Vaccine Establishment in 1808.”

 “The enforcement of vaccination supplies a yet stronger reason for public interference. A church endowed by the State…”

585 “Thus vaccination is translated to politics and made every man’s business…”

 “To the standard assertion of the vaccinators, that sanitation is good against all febrile affections, except smallpox, for which there is no preventative save vaccination…”

 The first publication of Mr. Gibbs – *The Evils of Vaccination* – March, 1884

586 Mr. Alexander Wheeler (lecturer, debater – “Knowing far more of vaccination, its history, varieties, consequences and statistics than his adversaries…) – “Unsatisfied as to what Vaccination was, or what the Vaccinator effected, I clearly saw that the State had no right to enforce a practice by no means harmless, nor preventative of Smallpox, nor easy to explain the use of.” (after reading “Baron’s *Life of Dr. Jenner*”)

**587** Mr. William Tebb – refused to have daughter vaccinated – “… His refusal was followed by prosecution after prosecution…” [proving a tripartite collusion between medicine, press and the courts]

 Wheeler articles – *Vaccination in the Light of History*. London 1878

 - *Vaccination – Opposed to Science and a Disgrace to English Law*. London 1879

 - *Vaccination – 1883*. London 1884

588 “Indeed, none know better than those responsible for vaccination as a medical interest, that the less it is brought under discussion the more likely it is to endure.”

591 “Vaccination is hated, and rightly hated, and the law is set to overcome that hatred. Multitudes submit because they either know not how, or dread to do otherwise; but an honourable and increasing number prefer the better part – holding by what they recognize for right, resolved to obey God rather than man.”

 “… these medical non-conformists… have been prosecuted with all the malice and pertinacity of petty authority… have been insulted from the judgment seat… have had their goods and furniture distrained, and their homes broken up… have been sent to jail… ”

592 “Many are discovering that in union is strength…”

593 “Vaccination is a medical monopoly established, endowed and enforced – a tyranny to be overthrown. Those who profit by it will never consent to its surrender, whatever the evidence of its inutility and mischief… There is, therefore, but one way in which to get rid of the incubus, and that way is outright resistance…

594 “… which attacks the blood directly, and attacks it to poison it… Hence it is that vaccination is stigmatized as unnatural…”

 Dr. Garth Wilkinson – excerpt from “*On Human Science, Good and Evil, and its Works; and on Divine Revelation and its Works and Sciences*.” London, 1876

596 G.F. Kolb, Member of the Royal Statistical Commission of Bavaria – “… when a medical friend supplied me with a mass of statistics in favour of Vaccination, in his opinion, conclusive and unanswerable. This awoke the statistician within me. On inspection, I found the figures delusive; and closer examination left no shadow of doubt in my mind that the statistical array of proof represented a *complete failure*. My investigations were continued, and my judgment was confirmed… in the epidemic of 1871, of 30,472 cases of Smallpox, no less than 29,429 were vaccinated…”

610 Jenner letter to Richard Dunning, 01 March 1806 – “… As failures in the latter [Variolation] are constantly presenting themselves, we must expect to find them in the former [Vaccination] also.”

611 The whole of Jenner’s claims re-asserted for Smallpox Cowpox by Mr. John Simon, after Inoculations with which – 1857 – “Neither renewed vaccination, nor inoculation with Smallpox, nor the closest contact and cohabitation with smallpox patients, will occasion him to betray any remnant of susceptibility to infection.” – *Papers relating to the History and Practice of Vaccination*: 1857.
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