

Semblance with Noise: The Statistic

by: Adam Gogacz (*d-Analytics*)

(1) GENERAL SETUP

The semblance statistic for a collection of n traces (time-series) $\{G_1, \dots, G_n\}$ is defined as (<https://wiki.seg.org/wiki/Semblance>),

$$\mathcal{S}[j_0] := \frac{\sum_{j=j_0}^{j_0+m} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n G_i[t_{ij}] \right)^2}{n \sum_{j=j_0}^{j_0+m} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n G_i[t_{ij}]^2 \right)}$$

where by Cauchy–Schwarz inequality $0 \leq \mathcal{S}[j] \leq 1$. After some rearrangement and some (temporarily) simplifying notation,

$$\mathcal{S}[j_0] = \frac{\frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j=j_0}^{j_0+m} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n G_i[t_{ij}] \right)^2}{\frac{1}{n^2} n \sum_{j=j_0}^{j_0+m} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n G_i[t_{ij}]^2 \right)} = \frac{\sum_{j=j_0}^{j_0+m} M_1[j]^2}{\sum_{j=j_0}^{j_0+m} M_2[j]}$$

where $G_i[t_{ij}]$ denotes an amplitude measurement by the i -th data recorder and at t_{ij} -th time increment

$$M_1[j] := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n G_i[t_{ij}] \quad (\text{sample mean/first moment})$$

$$M_2[j] := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n G_i[t_{ij}]^2 \quad (\text{sample raw second moment})$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^2[j] := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (G_i[t_{ij}] - M_1[j])^2 = M_2[j] - M_1[j]^2 \quad (\text{biased sample variance})$$

and we end up with,

$$\mathcal{S}[j_0] = \frac{\sum_{j=j_0}^{j_0+m} (M_2[j] - \hat{\sigma}^2[j])}{\sum_{j=j_0}^{j_0+m} M_2[j]} = 1 - \frac{\sum_{j=j_0}^{j_0+m} \hat{\sigma}^2[j]}{\sum_{j=j_0}^{j_0+m} M_2[j]}$$

Assuming that we carried out normal move-out (NMO) correction/alignment and all the traces are *imperfectly aligned* (also assuming insignificant amplitude variation along trace dimension), then,

$$G_i[t_{ij}] = a_{t_j} s_{t_j} + \varepsilon_{i t_j} + \gamma_{i t_j}$$

where

- s_t : transmitted signal
- a_t : decreasing function capturing all depth-dependent amplitude decay processes
- ε_{it} : independent zero-mean Gaussian random variables (background noise) with σ^2 variance
- γ_{it} : random variables due to NMO misalignment (independent of each other and of the background noise) following some unknown distribution \mathcal{F}_γ

so that,

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{i t_j} \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_\varepsilon^2) &\implies \mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_{i t_j}^2] = \sigma_\varepsilon^2 \quad \forall i, j \\ \gamma_{i t_j} \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} \mathcal{F}_\gamma \text{ s.t. } \mathbb{E}[\gamma_{i t_j}] = \mu_j &\implies \mathbb{E}[\gamma_{i t_j}^2] = \text{Var}[\gamma_{i t_j}] = \sigma_{\gamma_j}^2 \quad \forall i, j \end{aligned}$$

then,

$$\begin{aligned} M_1[j] &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (a_{t_j} s_{t_j} + \varepsilon_{i t_j} + \gamma_{i t_j}) = a_{t_j} s_{t_j} + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i t_j} + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_{i t_j} \\ \hat{\sigma}^2[j] &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (G_i[t_{ij}] - M_1[j])^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\varepsilon_{i t_j} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i t_j} + \gamma_{i t_j} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_{i t_j} \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the semblance statistic for NMO corrected (aligned) traces is,

$$\mathcal{S}[j_0] = 1 - \frac{\sum_{j=j_0}^{j_0+m} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\varepsilon_{i t_j} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i t_j} + \gamma_{i t_j} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_{i t_j} \right)^2}{\sum_{j=j_0}^{j_0+m} \sum_{i=1}^n (a_{t_j} s_{t_j} + \varepsilon_{i t_j} + \gamma_{i t_j})^2}$$

For n large enough the Law of Large Numbers (LLN) provides us with the approximations,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i t_j} &\approx \mathbb{E} [\varepsilon_{i t_j}] = 0 \quad \forall i, j \\
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_{i t_j}^2 &\approx \mathbb{E} [\varepsilon_{i t_j}^2] = \sigma_\varepsilon \quad \forall i, j \\
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_{i t_j} &\approx \mathbb{E} [\gamma_{i t_j}] = \mu_j \quad \forall i, j \\
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\gamma_{i t_j} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_{i t_j} \right)^2 &\approx \mathbb{E} [(\gamma_{i t_j} - \mathbb{E}[\gamma_{i t_j}])^2] = \sigma_{\gamma_j} \quad \forall i, j
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, for n sufficiently large LLN gives,

$$\boxed{S[j_0] \approx 1 - \frac{\sum_{j=j_0}^{j_0+m} \mathbb{E} [(\varepsilon_{i t_j} + \gamma_{i t_j} - \mu_j)^2]}{\sum_{j=j_0}^{j_0+m} \mathbb{E} [(a_{t_j} s_{t_j} + \varepsilon_{i t_j} + \gamma_{i t_j})^2]}}$$

(2) SPECIAL CASE: $m = 0$ and no background noise ($\varepsilon_{i t_j} = 0$)

In a (background) noise-free and imperfectly NMO corrected traces,

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_{i t_j} &= 0 \\
\gamma_{i t_j} &\neq 0
\end{aligned}$$

and n large enough, the boxed equation reduces to,

$$S[j] \approx 1 - \frac{\text{Var} [\gamma_{i t_j}]}{\mathbb{E} [(a_{t_j} s_{t_j} + \gamma_{i t_j})^2]} = 1 - \frac{\sigma_{\gamma_j}^2}{a_{t_j}^2 s_{t_j}^2 + \sigma_{\gamma_j}^2}$$

The above equation shows that for $s_{t_j} \neq 0$ the semblance statistic is *maximized* whenever the perturbations in the alignment (variance of the perturbation) tend to zero; that is, whenever $\sigma_{\gamma_j}^2 \rightarrow 0$. Therefore, in low or no noise condition the semblance statistic is maximized whenever the tested velocity model converges to the true model (perfectly NMO corrected traces).

(3) SPECIAL CASE: $m = 0$ and perfect NMO alignment ($\gamma_{i t_j} = 0$)

For perfectly NMO corrected traces,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} [\gamma_{i t_j}] &= \mu_j = 0 \\
\text{Var} [\gamma_{i t_j}] &= 0
\end{aligned}$$

the boxed equation reduces to,

$$S[j] \approx 1 - \frac{\mathbb{E} \left[(\varepsilon_i t_j)^2 \right]}{\mathbb{E} \left[(a_{t_j} s_{t_j} + \varepsilon_i t_j)^2 \right]} = 1 - \frac{\sigma_\varepsilon^2}{a_{t_j}^2 s_{t_j}^2 + \sigma_\varepsilon^2}$$

The above equation shows that for n large enough the semblance statistic is always less than 1 and decays towards 0 as $a_{t_j} s_{t_j} \rightarrow 0$. Moreover, as the signal-to-background noise ratio decreases, the performance of the semblance statistic diminishes.

(4) DISCUSSION

The above analysis shows that where signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) is low, the semblance statistic performs quite poorly. Note that low S/N might occur in two different ways, 1. scattering from weak or deep objects (i.e. reflectors, spatially confined anomalies) 2. wavelet is close to its zero-crossing (wavelets are assumed to be zero-mean)

Hence, semblance analysis is best carried out on peaks of the transmitted wavelet or the length of the time-gate (m) should be about $1/4$ of the dominant wavelength.