NHANES 2017-2018: The Comorbidity Between **Osteoporosis and Diabetes**

BIOS 6102: Biostatistical Methods II Spring 2021 Sarah Grunblatt, MS, MS, MEd, MEd, MA

BACKGROUND: OSTEOPOROSIS

- Osteoporosis means "porous bone," and is a chronic disease.
- The National Osteoporosis Foundation defines osteoporosis as "a bone disease that occurs when the body loses too much bone, makes too little bone, or both."
- As a result, bones become weak and may break from a fall or, in serious cases, from sneezing or minor bumps.
- Viewed under a microscope, healthy bone looks like a honeycomb.
- Possible risk factors:
 - 1. Age 50 and above
 - **2.** Female
 - **3.** Smoking / tobacco exposure
 - 4. Drinking / alcohol consumption
 - 5. Race (Non-Hispanic White and Asian)



https://www.spineuniverse.com/conditions/osteoporosis/osteoporosis-silent-thief

BACKGROUND: DIABETES

- Diabetes mellitus (diabetes) is a chronic health condition that occurs when the **body creates too much sugar** while trying to turn food into energy.
- There are 3 main types:
 - **Type 1** -- Immune system destroys the cells that release insulin.
 - **Type 2** -- Body isn't able to use insulin properly.
 - **Gestational** -- Diabetes diagnosed during pregnancy (often temporary)
- According to Health Central (Barros):
 - Type 1 diabetes is the most common chronic disease in children.
 - 95% of diabetes patients have type 2.
 - 7 million people live with undiagnosed diabetes
 - **30 million Americans live with diabetes.**
 - 80 million American adults have prediabetes.
- Possible risk factors:
 - 1. Age 45 and above (Type II)
 - 2. Overweight / physical inactivity
 - 3. Smoking / tobacco exposure
 - 4. High blood pressure
 - 5. Race (African-American, Hispanic, Native American, Asian-American race, or Pacific Islander)



ttps://healthcise.com/researchers-claim-type-2-diabetes-is-reversible/



RATIONALE: OSTEOPOROSIS & DIABETES

- In 2010, osteoporosis and low bone mass were estimated to be a major public health threat for almost 54 million U.S. women and men aged 50 and older, and that number has only grown.
 - Among the 54 million, 10.2 million adults are estimated to have osteoporosis, of which more than 80% were women (Wright, 2014).
- Economic burden was estimated at **17 billion USD** in 2005 (Burge, 2007).
- In a study based on almost 380,000 fractures in female Medicare beneficiaries, 10% had another fracture within 1 year, 18% within 2 years, and 31% within 5 years (Balasubramanian, 2019).

 "Although bone health is primarily associated with age, recent studies have shown that individuals with <u>diabetes mellitus (DM)</u> have up to <u>6 times higher incidence</u> of <u>osteoporotic fractures</u> compared to the general population." (Dumic-Cule, et al., 2018) To reduce this alarming public health burden, additional research regarding the risk factors for and causal pathway associated with both diabetes and osteoporosis is needed.

ALL VARIABLES* OF INTEREST

<u>NHANES VARIABLE NAME</u>	<u>SAS LABEL</u>	<u>ENGLISH TEXT</u>	TARGET	<u>TYPE</u>	<u>CODED VALUES</u>
0\$Q060	Ever told had osteoporosis/brittle bones	Has a doctor ever told {you/SP} that {you/s/he} had osteoporosis, sometimes called thin or brittle bones?	Both males and females 50 YEARS - 150 YEARS	Categorical	1: Osteoporosis 2: No Osteoporosis
242010010	Doctor told you have	The next questions are about specific medical conditions. (Other than during prognancy, /have you/bas SP)//Have you/Has SP) ever been told by a	Both males and females	Categorical	1: Diabetes (Including Borderline)
DIQUIUUNU	diabetes	doctor or health professional that {you have/{he/she/SP} has} diabetes or sugar diabetes?	1 YEARS - 150 YEARS	Uategorical	2: No Diabetes
RIAGENDR	Gender	Gender of the participant	Both males and females 0 YEARS - 150 YEARS	Categorical	1: Male 2: Female
RIDAGEYR	Age in years at screening	Age in years of the participant at the time of screening. Individuals 80 and over are topcoded at 80 years of age.	Both males and females O YEARS - 150 YEARS	Categorical	2: reinate 1: 1-9 years old 2: 10-19 years old 3: 20-29 years old 4: 30-39 years old 5: 40-49 years old 6: 50-59 years old 7: 60-69 years old 8: 70-79 years old 9: 80 years old and above 1: Mexican American
RIDRETH3	Race/Hispanic origin w/ NH Asian	Recode of reported race and Hispanic origin information, with Non-Hispanic Asian Category	Both males and females O YEARS - 150 YEARS	Categorical	2: Other Hispanic 3: Non-Hispanic White 4: Non-Hispanic Black 5: Non-Hispanic Asian 6: Other Race - Including multiracial
ALQ111 &	Ever had a drink of any kind of alcohol = "No"	The next questions are about drinking alcoholic beverages. Included are liquor (such as whiskey or gin), beer, wine, wine coolers, and any other type of alcoholic beverage. In {your/SP's} entire life, {have you/has he/has she} had at least 1 drink of any kind of alcohol, not counting small tastes or sips? By a drink, I	Both males and females		O: Never Drinker 1: Former Drinker
ALQ121	& Past 12 mo how often have alcohol drink	mean a 12 oz. beer, a 5 oz. glass of wine, or one and a half ounces of liquor. & During the past 12 months, about how often did {yo/SP} drink any type of alcoholic beverage? PROBE: How many days per week, per month, or per year did {you/SP} drink?	18 YEARS - 150 YEARS	Categorical	2: Occasional Drinker 3: Frequent Drinker
SMDANY	Used any tobacco product last 5 days?	Used any tobacco product last 5 days?	Both males and females 12 YEARS - 150 YEARS	Categorical	1: Tobacco 2: No Tobacco

*From 2017-2018 NHANES - National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey

METHODS

- **TARGET POPULATION:** The NHANES target population is the noninstitutionalized civilian resident population of the United States.
- **SAMPLE POPULATION:** Noninstitutionalized U.S. civilian population of all ages residing in all 50 states and Washington D.C.
- **ANALYTICAL SAMPLE SIZE:** All 2017-2018 NHANES participants who completed the interview (n = 9,254)
 - Eligibility criteria for both outcomes:
 - Above age 50 (minimum target for osteoporosis variable): n = 3,069
 - Responded yes/no to osteoporosis/brittle bone question (variable OSQ060): n = 3,053
 - Because diabetes typically onests after the age of 45, these parameters also fit well with the second outcome (diabetes).
 - Exclusion criteria for both outcomes:
 - Osteoporosis response = refused, don't know, missing: n = 16
 - Final Analytical Sample Size: n = 3,053



https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/participant.htm

STATISTICAL ANALYSES PERFORMED

All variables are coded as **categorical** (2 outcomes each with 6 predictors). Therefore, **logistic regression** methods were utilized.

- **1. Osteoporosis** with predictor variables age (primary), diabetes, gender, race, alcohol consumption, and tobacco use
- 2. Diabetes with predictor variables age (primary), osteoporosis, gender, race, alcohol consumption, and tobacco use

Stepwise regression analysis was not necessary as the chosen predictors are known to have an association with the outcomes.

METHODS UTILIZED FOR BOTH OUTCOMES:

- 1. Descriptive statistics
- 2. Bivariate analyses: Wald chi-square
- 3. Logistic regression modeling: Wald 95% confidence intervals and chi-square
 - Univariate model
 - Multivariable model



All outputs were generated using SAS software version 9.4 with the significance level set at a 2-sided P-value < 0.05 and a 95% Confidence Interval. Copyright[©] 2021 SAS Institute Inc. SAS and all other SAS Institute Inc. product or service names are registered trademarks or trademarks of SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA.

OUTCOME #1: OSTEOPOROSIS

OUTCOME #1: STUDY OBJECTIVES

PRIMARY PREDICTOR VARIABLE:

1. Examine the association of **age** and being told by a physician that you have **osteoporosis/brittle bones**.

SECONDARY PREDICTOR VARIABLES:

- 2. Examine the association of **diabetes** and being told by a physician that you have **osteoporosis/brittle bones**.
- **3.** Examine the association of **gender** and being told by a physician that you have **osteoporosis/brittle bones**.
- 4. Examine the association of **race** and being told by a physician that you have **osteoporosis/brittle bones**.
- 5. Examine the association of **alcohol consumption** and being told by a physician that you have **osteoporosis/brittle bones**.
- Examine the association of tobacco use and being told by a physician that you have osteoporosis/brittle bones.

OSTEOPOROSIS: DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS & BIVARIATE

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics & Bivariates Analysis of NHANES 2017-2018 Participants Regarding "Has a doctor ever told {you/SP} that {you/s/he} had osteoporosis, sometimes called thin or brittle bones?"

Characteristic (n = 3,069***)	Total (n = 3,051)	Osteoporosis (n = 396)	Not Osteoporosis (n = 2,655)	Wald Chi-Square P-value
Age**				<.0001*
50 to 59 Years Old, n (%)	915 (29.97)	57 (6.23)	858 (93.77)	
60 to 69 Years Old, n (%)	1,100 (36.03)	120 (10.91)	980 (89.09)	
70 to 79 Years Old, n (%)	617 (20.21)	119 (19.29)	498 (80.71)	
80+ Years Old, n (%)	421 (13.79)	100 (23.75)	321 (76.25)	
Diabetes Status	28	20 - 10 - 10 - 20 - 20 - 20 - 20 - 20 -		0.4344
Diabetes & Borderline, n (%)	874 (28.65)	120 (13.73)	754 (86.27)	
No Diabetes, n (%)	2,177 (71.35)	276 (12.68)	1,901 (87.32)	
Gender				<.0001*
Male, n (%)	1,513 (49.56)	54 (3.57)	1,459 (96.43)	
Female, n (%)	1,540 (50.44)	342 (22.21)	1,198 (77.79)	
Race/Ethnicity				0.0010*
Mexican American, n (%)	347 (11.37)	34 (9.80)	313 (90.20)	
Other Hispanic, n (%)	290 (9.50)	40 (13.79)	250 (86.21)	
Non-Hispanic White, n (%)	1,172 (38.39)	188 (16.04)	984 (83.96)	
Non-Hispanic Black, n (%)	725 (23.75)	72 (9.93)	653 (90.07)	
Non-Hispanic Asian, n (%)	391 (12.81)	50 (12.79)	341 (87.21)	
Other Race - Including Multi-Racial, n (%)	128 (4.19)	12 (9.38)	116 (90.63)	
Alcohol Consumption		107 - 58		0.0005*
Never Drinker, n (%)	291 (10.89)	47 (16.15)	244 (83.85)	
Former Drinker, n (%)	787 (29.46)	114 (14.49)	673 (85.51)	
Occasional Drinker, n (%)	456 (17.07)	33 (7.24)	423 (92.76)	
Frequent Drinker, n (%)	1,137 (42.57)	153 (13.46)	984 (86.54)	
Tobacco Use				0.0225*
Tobacco, n (%)	495 (18.51)	49 (9.90)	446 (90.10)	
No Tobacco, n (%)	2,179 (81.49)	299 (13.72)	1,880 (86.28)	

*Statistically significant (p < 0.05).

** NHANES Target: Both males and females 50 YEARS - 150 YEARS

 *** Responses of "refused", "don't know," and missing were excluded from analyses (n = 16).

<u>RESULTS</u>

According to the variables selected to measure the predictors for **osteoporosis** utilizing Wald chi-square p-values at alpha = 0.05, the results were:

1. AGE (PRIMARY PREDICTOR):

- p = <0.0001, statistically significant 2. DIABETES STATUS:
- p = 0.4344, <u>not</u> statistically significant **3. GENDER:**
- p = <0.0001, statistically significant 4. RACE/ETHNICITY:
- p = 0.0010, statistically significant 5. ALCOHOL CONSUMPTION:
- p = 0.0005, statistically significant 6. TOBACCO USE:
 - p = 0.0225, statistically significant

OSTEOPOROSIS: UNIVARIATE & MULTIVARIATE LOGISTIC ANALYSES

Table 2. Univariate & Multiv	ariate Logistic Analy	ses of NHAN	ES 2017-2018 Parti	cipants
Characteristic (n = 3,069***)	Univariate Crude Odds Ratio (95% Wald CI)	Univariate Wald P-Value	Multivariate Crude Odds Ratio (95% Wald CI)	Multivariate Wald P-Value
Age**		111112		
50 to 59 Years Old	0.213 (0.150-0.303)*	<.0001*	0.195 (0.127-0.301)*	<.0001*
60 to 69 Years Old	0.393 (0.293-0.527)*	<.0001*	0.401 (0.275-0.583)*	<.0001*
70 to 79 Years Old	0.767 (0.568-1.036)	0.0838	0.871 (0.598-1.267)	0.4694
80+ Years Old	1.0		1.0	
Diabetes Status				
Diabetes & Borderline	1.096 (0.871-1.380)	0.4345	1.193 (0.910-1.565)	0.2024
No Diabetes	1.0		1.0	
Gender	THE PROPERTY OF MARKED	10122200	and the second second	1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.
Male	0.130 (0.096-0.174)*	<.0001*	0.110 (0.079-0.153)*	<.0001*
Female	1.0	a realitation in	1.0	2024 1947
Race/Ethnicity				
Mexican American	0.569 (0.386-0.837)*	0.0042*	0.724 (0.461-1.137)	0.1611
Other Hispanic	0.837 (0.579-1.210)	0.3453	1.086 (0.704-1.678)	0.7087
Non-Hispanic White	1.0		1.0	
Non-Hispanic Black	0.577 (0.432-0.771)*	0.0002*	0.719 (0.514-1.007)	0.0549
Non-Hispanic Asian	0.767 (0.549-1.073)	0.1218	1.041 (0.681-1.593)	0.8515
Other Race - Including Multi-Racial	0.541 (0.293-1.001)	0.0504	0.892 (0.458-1.738)	0.737
Alcohol Consumption	2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 2011 - 201			
Never Drinker	1.239 (0.868-1.768)	0.2379	0.763 (0.514-1.133)	0.18
Former Drinker	1.089 (0.839-1.415)	0.5211	0.919 (0.689-1.227)	0.5671
Occasional Drinker	0.502 (0.339-0.743)*	0.0006*	0.725 (0.474-1.111)	0.1397
Frequent Drinker	1.0		1.0	
Tobacco Use	manual sector memory	000000000	a su mayou ta ata a	
Tobacco	0.691 (0.502-0.951)*	0.0233*	1.263 (0.878-1.816)	0.2086
No Tobacco	1.0		1.0	

Statistically significant.

** NHANES Target: Both males and females 50 YEARS - 150 YEARS

*** Responses of "refused", "don't know," and missing were excluded from analyses (n = 16).

Univariate and multivariate logistic analyses for each predictor versus outcome was utilized to obtain the odds ratios (OR), 95% Wald confidence intervals (CI), and Wald p-values (alpha = 0.05).

STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT RESULTS

1. AGE (PRIMARY PREDICTOR):

 50 to 59 Years Old (protective)
 UNIVARIATE: OR = 0.213 (0.150-0.303) and p = <.0001
 MULTIVARIATE: OR = 0.195 (0.127-0.301) and p = <.0001
60 to 69 Years Old (protective)
 UNIVARIATE: OR = 0.393 (0.293-0.527) and p = <.0001
 MULTIVARIATE: OR = 0.401 (0.275-0.583) and p = <.0001
2. DIABETES STATUS: No statistically significant results
3. GENDER:
Male (protective)
 UNIVARIATE: OR = 0.130 (0.096-0.174) and p = <.0001
 MULTIVARIATE: OR = 0.110 (0.079-0.153) and p = <.0001
4. RACE/ETHNICITY:
Mexican American
 UNIVARIATE: OR = 0.569 (0.386-0.837) and p = 0.0042
• MULTIVARIATE: not statistically significant
Non-Hispanic Black (almost protective)
 UNIVARIATE: OR = 0.577 (0.432-0.771) and p = 0.0002
 (close) MULTIVARIATE: OR = 0.719 (0.514-1.007) and p = 0.0549
5. ALCOHOL CONSUMPTION:
Occasional Drinker

- UNIVARIATE: OR = 0.502 (0.339-0.743) and p = 0.0006
- MULTIVARIATE: <u>not</u> statistically significant

6. TOBACCO USE:

- Tobacco (Yes) •
 - UNIVARIATE: OR = 0.691 (0.502-0.951) and p = 0.0233
 - MULTIVARIATE: not statistically significant

OUTCOME #1: RESULTS

According to the variables selected as predictors with respect to the outcome of **osteoporosis**:

- 1. PRIMARY PREDICTOR: Compared to individuals 80 years old and above, people age **50 to 59** and **60 to 69** years old had lower odds (OR = 0.195, p = <.0001 and OR = 0.401, p = <.0001) of being told by a physician that they have osteoporosis/brittle bones after adjusting for other selected factors.
- 2. There was **no statistically significant association between diabetes** status (compared to no diabetes status) and being told by a physician that you have osteoporosis/brittle bones.
- **3.** Compared to females, **males had lower odds** of being told by a physician that they have **osteoporosis/brittle bones** (OR = 0.110, p = <.0001) after adjusting for other selected factors.
- **4.** Compared to non-Hispanic whites, **non-Hispanic blacks** were the only race that came *close* to having statistically significant results.
 - This group had reduced odds (OR = 0.719, 95% CI = 0.514-1.007, p = 0.0549) of being told by a physician that they have osteoporosis/brittle bones after adjusting for other selected factors.
- 5. After adjusting for other selected factors, there was no statistically significant association between amount of alcohol consumption (compared to frequent drinking) and being told by a physician that you have osteoporosis/brittle bones.
- 6. After adjusting for other selected factors, there was **no statistically significant association between tobacco** (yes) use (compared to no tobacco use) and being told by a physician that you have osteoporosis/brittle bones.

OUTCOME #2: DIABETES

(Bonus for Midterm)

OUTCOME #2: STUDY OBJECTIVES PRIMARY PREDICTOR VARIABLE:

1. Examine the association of **age** and being told by a physician that you have **diabetes** (including borderline).

SECONDARY PREDICTOR VARIABLES:

- 2. Examine the association of **osteoporosis** and being told by a physician that you have **diabetes (including borderline)**.
- **3.** Examine the association of **gender** and being told by a physician that you have **diabetes (including borderline).**
- 4. Examine the association of **race** and being told by a physician that you have **diabetes** (including borderline).
- 5. Examine the association of **alcohol consumption** and being told by a physician that you have **diabetes (including borderline)**.
- 6. Examine the association of **tobacco use** and being told by a physician that you have **diabetes (including borderline)**.

DIABETES: DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS & BIVARIATE ANALYSIS

Table 3. Descriptive Statistics and Bivariate Analysis of NHANES 2017-2018 Participants Regarding "Other than during pregnancy, {have you/has SP}/{Have you/Has SP}} ever been told by a doctor or health professional that {you have/{he/she/SP} has} diabetes or sugar diabetes?"

		Diabetes &		Wald	
	Total	Borderline	Not Diabetes	Chi-Square	
Characteristic (n = 3,069***)	(n = 3,051)	(n = 874)	(n = 2,177)	P-value	
Age				<.0001*	
50 to 59 Years Old, n (%)	918 (10.32)	175 (19.06)	743 (80.94)		
60 to 69 Years Old, n (%)	1,104 (12.41)	355 (32.16)	749 (67.84)		
70 to 79 Years Old, n (%)	619 (6.96)	226 (36.51)	393 (63.49)		
80+ Years Old, n (%)	426 (4.79)	127 (29.81)	299 (70.19)		
Osteoporosis Status**				0.4344	
Osteoporosis, n (%)	396 (12.98)	120 (30.30)	276 (69.70)		
No Osteoporosis, n (%)	2,655 (87.02)	754 (28.40)	1,901 (71.60)		
Gender	Contraction of Manager	1.100		0.0002*	
Male, n (%)	1,520 (49.56)	485 (31.91)	1,035 (68.09)		
Female, n (%)	1,547 (50.44)	398 (25.73)	1,149 (74.27)		
Race/Ethnicity				0.0141*	
Mexican American, n (%)	347 (11.31)	118 (34.01)	229 (65.99)		
Other Hispanic, n (%)	294 (9.59)	75 (25.51)	219 (74.49)		
Non-Hispanic White, n (%)	1,177 (38.38)	308 (26.17)	869 (73.83)		
Non-Hispanic Black, n (%)	726 (23.67)	211 (29.06)	515 (70.94)		
Non-Hispanic Asian, n (%)	395 (12.88)	127 (32.15)	268 (67.85)		
Other Race - Including Multi-Racial, n (%)	128 (4.17)	44 (34.38)	84 (65.63)		
Alcohol Consumption		and a second second second	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	<.0001*	
Never Drinker, n (%)	292 (10.89)	83 (28.42)	209 (71.58)		
Former Drinker, n (%)	790 (29.47)	285 (36.08)	505 (63.92)		
Occasional Drinker, n (%)	458 (17.08)	91 (19.87)	367 (80.13)		
Frequent Drinker, n (%)	1,141 (42.56)	315 (27.61)	826 (72.39)		
Tobacco Use	11. 11.11.1		1	0.0258*	
Tobacco, n (%)	497 (18.52)	123 (24.75)	374 (75.25)		
No Tobacco, n (%)	2,187 (81.48)	651 (29.77)	1,536 (70.23)		

*Statistically significant (p < 0.05).

** NHANES Target: Both males and females 50 YEARS - 150 YEARS

*** Responses of "refused", "don't know," and missing were excluded from analyses (n = 16).

<u>RESULTS</u>

According to the variables selected to measure the predictors for **diabetes** utilizing Wald chi-square p-values at alpha = 0.05, the results were:

1. AGE (PRIMARY PREDICTOR):

- p = <0.0001, statistically significant 2. OSTEOPOROSIS STATUS:
- p = 0.4344, <u>not</u> statistically significant **3. GENDER:**
- p = 0.0002, statistically significant 4. RACE/ETHNICITY:
- p = 0.0141, statistically significant 5. ALCOHOL CONSUMPTION:
- p = <0.0001, statistically significant 6. TOBACCO USE:
 - p = 0.0258, statistically significant

DIABETES: UNIVARIATE & MULTIVARIATE LOGISTIC ANALYSES

Table 4. Univariate & Multivariate Logistic Analyses of NHANES 2017-2018 Participants

Regarding Factors Associated with Diabetes

Characteristic (n = 3.069)	Univariate Crude Odds Ratio (95% Wald CI)	Univariate Wald P-Value	Multivariate Crude Odds Ratio (95% Wald CI)	Multivariate Wald P-Value
	(3570 Water)	P-value	(55% Wate ci)	r-value
Age	0 555 (0 435 0 733)*	< 0001*	0 515 /0 272 0 700*	< 0001*
So to So Years Old	0.555 (0.425-0.723)	<.0001"	0.515 (0.373-0.709)"	<.0001
30 to 39 Years Old	1.116 (0.875-1.423)	0.3705	1.020 (0.700-1.374)	0.8644
70 to 79 years Old	1.354 (1.039-1.764)*	0.0247*	1.256 (0.928-1.700)	0.1404
80+ Years Old	1.0		1.0	
Osteoporosis Status**				
Osteoporosis	1.096 (0.871-1.380)	0.4345	1.157 (0.885-1.514)	0.2858
No Osteoporosis	1.0		1.0	
Gender				
Male	1.353 (1.156-1.583)*	0.0002*	1.622 (1.344-1.958)*	<.0001*
Female	1.0		1.0	
Race/Ethnicity				
Mexican American	1.454 (1.124-1.881)*	0.0044*	1.516 (1.129-2.036)*	0.0057*
Other Hispanic	0.966 (0.721-1.295)	0.8181	1.021 (0.736-1.416)	0.8994
Non-Hispanic White	1.0		1.0	
Non-Hispanic Black	1.156 (0.940-1.421)	0.1685	1.270 (1.007-1.602)*	0.0433*
Non-Hispanic Asian	1.337 (1.043-1.713)*	0.0217*	1.551 (1.154-2.084)*	0.0036*
Other Race - Including Multi-Racial	1.478 (1.003-2.177)*	0.048*	1.591 (1.033-2.449)*	0.035*
Alcohol Consumption				
Never Drinker	1.041 (0.783-1.385)	0.7808	1.045 (0.772-1.415)	0.7767
Former Drinker	1.480 (1.218-1.798)*	<.0001*	1.380 (1.127-1.689)*	0.0018*
Occasional Drinker	0.650 (0.499-0.846)*	0.0014*	0.633 (0.482-0.833)*	0.0011*
Frequent Drinker	1.0		1.0	
Tobacco Use				
Tobacco	0.776 (0.621-0.970)*	0.0261* 0.833 (0.654-1.063)		0.1418
No Tobacco	1.0		1.0	

*Statistically significant.

** NHANES Target: Both males and females 50 YEARS - 150 YEARS

*** Responses of "refused", "don't know," and missing were excluded from analyses (n = 16).

Univariate and multivariate logistic analyses for each predictor versus outcome was utilized to obtain the odds ratios (OR), 95% Wald confidence intervals (CI), and Wald p-values (alpha = 0.05).

STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT RESULTS

1. AGE (PRIMARY PREDICTOR):

- 50 to 59 Years Old (protective) UNIVARIATE: OR = 0.555 (0.425-0.723) and p = <.0001 MULTIVARIATE: OR = 0.515 (0.373-0.709) and p = <.0001 70 to 79 Years Old UNIVARIATE: OR = 1.354 (1.039-1.764) and p = 0.0247 MULTIVARIATE: not statistically significant **2. DIABETES STATUS:** No statistically significant results 3. GENDER: Male (harmful) • UNIVARIATE: OR = 1.353 (1.156-1.583) and p = 0.0002 MULTIVARIATE: OR = 1.622 (1.344-1.958) and p = <.0001 4. RACE/ETHNICITY: Mexican American (harmful) UNIVARIATE: OR = 1.454 (1.124-1.881) and p = 0.0044 MULTIVARIATE: OR = 1.516 (1.129-2.036) and p = 0.0057 Non-Hispanic Black (harmful) UNIVARIATE: not statistically significant MULTIVARIATE: OR = 1.270 (1.007-1.602) and p = 0.0433 Non-Hispanic Asian (harmful) • UNIVARIATE: OR = 1.337 (1.043-1.713) and p = 0.0217 MULTIVARIATE: OR = 1.551 (1.154-2.084) and p = 0.0036
 - Other Race Including Multi-Racial (harmful)
 - UNIVARIATE: OR = 1.478 (1.003-2.177) and p = 0.048
 - MULTIVARIATE: OR = 1.591 (1.033-2.449) and p = 0.035

DIABETES: UNIVARIATE & MULTIVARIATE LOGISTIC ANALYSES

Table 4. Univariate & Multivariate Logistic Analyses of NHANES 2017-2018 Participants

Regarding Factors Associated with Diabetes

Characteristic (n = 3.069)	Univariate Crude Odds Ratio (95% Wald CI)	Univariate Wald P-Value	Multivariate Crude Odds Ratio (95% Wald CI)	Multivariate Wald P-Value
	(35% Wald Cl)	F-Value	(5570 Wate Ci)	r vatue
Age	0 555 /0 435 0 733*	< 0001*	0 515 (0 373 0 700)*	< 0001*
So to So Years Old	0.555 (0.425-0.723)	<.0001	0.515 (0.373-0.709)*	<.0001
60 to 69 Years Old	1.116 (0.875-1.423)	0.3765	1.026 (0.766-1.374)	0.8644
70 to 79 Years Old	1.354 (1.039-1.764)*	0.0247*	1.256 (0.928-1.700)	0.1404
80+ Years Old	1.0		1.0	
Osteoporosis Status**		a response of		100720-1243
Osteoporosis	1.096 (0.871-1.380)	0.4345	1.157 (0.885-1.514)	0.2858
No Osteoporosis	1.0		1.0	
Gender				
Male	1.353 (1.156-1.583)*	0.0002*	1.622 (1.344-1.958)*	<.0001*
Female	1.0		1.0	
Race/Ethnicity				
Mexican American	1.454 (1.124-1.881)*	0.0044*	1.516 (1.129-2.036)*	0.0057*
Other Hispanic	0.966 (0.721-1.295)	0.8181	1.021 (0.736-1.416)	0.8994
Non-Hispanic White	1.0		1.0	
Non-Hispanic Black	1.156 (0.940-1.421)	0.1685	1.270 (1.007-1.602)*	0.0433*
Non-Hispanic Asian	1.337 (1.043-1.713)*	0.0217*	1.551 (1.154-2.084)*	0.0036*
Other Race - Including Multi-Racial	1.478 (1.003-2.177)*	0.048*	1.591 (1.033-2.449)*	0.035*
Alcohol Consumption				
Never Drinker	1.041 (0.783-1.385)	0.7808	1.045 (0.772-1.415)	0.7767
Former Drinker	1.480 (1.218-1.798)*	<.0001*	1.380 (1.127-1.689)*	0.0018*
Occasional Drinker	0.650 (0.499-0.846)*	0.0014*	0.633 (0.482-0.833)*	0.0011*
Frequent Drinker	1.0		1.0	
Tobacco Use				
Tobacco	0.776 (0.621-0.970)*	0.0261*	0.833 (0.654-1.063)	0.1418
No Tobacco	1.0	The second second	1.0	
*Statistically significant				

** NHANES Target: Both males and females 50 YEARS - 150 YEARS

*** Responses of "refused", "don't know," and missing were excluded from analyses (n = 16).

Univariate and multivariate logistic analyses for each predictor versus outcome was utilized to obtain the odds ratios (OR), 95% Wald confidence intervals (CI), and Wald p-values (alpha = 0.05).

STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT RESULTS

5. ALCOHOL CONSUMPTION:

- Former Drinker (harmful)
 - UNIVARIATE: OR = 1.480 (1.218-1.798) and p = <.0001
 - MULTIVARIATE: OR = 1.380 (1.127-1.689) and p = 0.0018
- Occasional Drinker (protective)
 - UNIVARIATE: OR = 0.650 (0.499-0.846) and p = 0.0014
 - MULTIVARIATE: OR = 0.633 (0.482-0.833) and p = 0.0011

6. TOBACCO USE:

- Tobacco (yes)
 - UNIVARIATE: OR = 0.776 (0.621-0.970) and p = 0.0261
 - MULTIVARIATE: <u>not</u> statistically significant

OUTCOME #2: RESULTS

According to the variables selected as predictors with respect to the outcome of diabetes:

- 1. **PRIMARY PREDICTOR:** Compared to individuals 80 years old and above, people age **50 to 59 years old had lower odds** (OR = 0.515, p = <.0001) of being told by a physician that they have **diabetes (including borderline)** after adjusting for other selected factors.
- 2. There was **no statistically significant association between osteoporosis status** (compared to no osteoporosis status) and being told by a physician that they have diabetes (including borderline) after adjusting for other selected factors.
- **3.** Compared to females, **males had increased odds** of being told by a physician that they have **diabetes** (including borderline) (OR = 1.622, p = <.0001) after adjusting for other selected factors.
- 4. Compared to non-Hispanic whites, four racial groups had increased odds of being told by a physician that they have diabetes (including borderline) after adjusting for other selected factors: Mexican Americans (OR = 1.516, p = 0.0057), non-Hispanic blacks (OR = 1.270, p = 0.0433), Non-Hispanic Asians (OR = 1.551, p = 0.0036), and other races including multi-racial (OR = 1.591, p = 0.035).
- 5. Compared to frequent drinkers, former drinkers had increased odds (OR = 1.380, p = 0.0018) while occasional drinkers had reduced odds (OR = 0.633, p = 0.0011) of being told by a physician that they have diabetes (including borderline) after adjusting for other selected factors.
- 6. After adjusting for other selected factors, there was **no statistically significant association between tobacco** (yes) use (compared to no tobacco use) and being told by a physician that you have diabetes (including borderline).

DISCUSSION & RECOMMENDATIONS

- The primary predictor of age did show an overall relationship with both osteoporosis and diabetes, which was expected.
- According to the variables selected for this study, neither osteoporosis nor diabetes were predictors for each other.
- Because the osteoporosis question was only asked to individuals over 50 years old, the multivariate analysis for diabetes had to be coded to exclude ages 1-49. For consistency, the univariate analysis for diabetes was also coded this way.
- Some results were very unexpected:
 - This may be because many variables selected from the NHANES study were from the questionnaire data, which could have resulted in bias and/or error.
 - A better measure could have been to use DEXA bone density scans (for osteoporosis) and fasting glucose levels (for diabetes) from the **laboratory data**, for example, which are "gold standards."
 - This would have been **more objective**, but it would further <u>reduce the sample size</u>.
- Crude odds ratios were used, and many confidence intervals contained 1.0. <u>Age adjusted odds ratios</u> would likely have provided results closer to what is typically expected for these variables.
- As with all cross-sectional studies, causation (risk ratio) cannot be determined.
- Many other potential predictors for osteoporosis and diabetes could potentially be studied in the future.
 - These include blood iron levels, physical activity, marital status, education, triglycerides, blood pressure, etc.

REFERENCES

- Balasubramanian, A., et al., *Risk of subsequent fracture after prior fracture among older women*. Osteoporos Int, 2019. 30(1): p. 79-92.
- Barros, Rodrigo. (n.d.). *Diabetes Signs, Symptoms, Types, Causes, Treatments and More.* Health Central. [https://www.healthcentral.com/condition/diabetes.]
- Burge, R., et al., *Incidence and economic burden of osteoporosis-related fractures in the United States, 2005-2025.* J Bone Miner Res, 2007. 22(3): p. 465-75.
- Dumic-Cule, I., Ivanac, G., Lucijanić, T., Katičić, D., Jurin, I., Birkić, D., Rahélic, D., & Blaslov, K. (2018). Type 2 diabetes and osteoporosis: Current knowledge.
- *Learn what osteoporosis is and what it's caused by*. National Osteoporosis Foundation. [https://www.nof.org/patients/what-is-osteoporosis/.]
- National Center for Health Statistics: *National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey.* [http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes.htm]
- SAS Institute Inc 2013. SAS/ACCESS® 9.4. Cary, NC
- Roy B. Biomolecular basis of the role of diabetes mellitus in osteoporosis and bone fractures. World J Diabetes 2013; 4(4): 101-113
- Wright, N.C., et al., *The recent prevalence of osteoporosis and low bone mass in the United States based on bone mineral density at the femoral neck or lumbar spine*. J Bone Miner Res, 2014. 29(11): p. 2520-6.

SAS CODE

not know'

nun:

* Sarah Grunblett DATA DUPLICATE SPRING 2021 BIOS 6102 Finel Project - SAS Code */ * Import data sata * RUN : LIENAME R XPORT "C/UsersisarahiDesktopiBIOS 2 -- Final Project/DEMO_J.XPT LIENAME D 'C:/Users/aarah/Desktop/BIOS 2 - Final Project'... PROC COPY IN = R OUT = D. PROC CONTENTS DATA = D.DEMO_J; RUN BUN -LIENAME R XPORT "C/Users/sarsh/Desktoo/BIOS 2 -- Final Project/OSQ_JXPT" LIBNAME D'C-Userslaggtj/Desktop/BIOS 2 - Final Project[_; PROC COPY IN = R OUT = D; PROCIONTENTS DATA = D OSO J RUN -LIENAME R XPORT "C:/Users/sareh/Desktop/BIOS 2 -- Final Project/ALQ_J.XPT".; LIBNAME D 'C:Users/gggb/Desktop/BIOS 2 - Final Project(_; PROC COPY IN = R CUT = D.: RUN PROC CONTENTS DATA = D.ALQ_L LIENAME R XPORT "C:/Users/sarsh/Desktoo/BIOS 2 -- Final Project/SMQRTU_JXPT_; LIENAME D 'C-Users' agapt/Desktop/BIOS 2 - Final Project_; PROC COPY IN = R OUT = D; PROC CONTENTS DATA = D SMORTU J -RUN LIENAME R XPORT "C:/Users/sersh/Desklop/BIOS 2 -- Final Project/DIQ_J XPT".; LIBNAME D 'C:/Users/gapgt/Desktop/BIOS 2 - Final Project(_; PROC COPY IN = R OUT = D.: RUN. PROC CONTENTS DATA = D.DIQ_L RUN * Check for duplicates * PROC SORT DATA = D.DEMO J BY SEON -PROC SORT DATA = D.OSQ_L BY SEON -PROC SORT DATA = D.ALQ_L BY SEON -PROC SORT DATA = D SMORTH . BY SEON -PROC SORT DATA = D.DIQ_L BY SEON RUN-DATA DUPLICATE SET D.DEMO_J; BY SEON IF NOT (FIRST.SEQN AND LAST.SEQN), THEN OUTPUT DUPLICATE ; RUN : DATA DUPLICATE BY SEON ; else eventick=lt; IF NOT (FIRST.SEQN AND LAST.SEQN)_THEN OUTPUT DUPLICATE ; RUN -DATA DUPLICATE SET D.ALQ_L; else gurdnis=1 BY SEON : F NOT (FIRST.SEQN AND LAST.SEQN), THEN OUTPUT DUPLICATE ; RUNelse di DATA DUPLICATE BY SEON IF NOT (FIRST.SEQN AND LAST.SEQNL THEN OUTPUT DUPLICATE ;

RUN -

SET D.DIQ_L; BY SEGN. IF NOT (FIRST.SEGN AND LAST.SEGNLITHEN OUTPUT DUPLICATE ; * Horizontally combine datasets to add columns. */ DATA COMEINED NHANES 1718 MERGE D. DEMO_J(in=ipg) D. OSQ_J(in=ipg) D. ALQ_J(in=ipg) D. SMQRTU_J(in=ipg) D. DIQ_J(in=ipg); BY SEON :

"Keep the variables of interest according to how coded in NHANES for OSTED outcome.* data diselect set COMBINED_NHANES_1718 (keep= seen OSOGED_RIAGENDR_RIDAGEYR RIDRETH3_DMDEDUC2_ALQ111_ALQ121_SMDANY_DIQ010); where RIDAGEYR >= 50;

/* Changing age to categorical */ if RIDAGEYR ... then Age ... else if RIDAGEYR <10 then Age ... else if RIDAGEYR=10 and RIDAGEYR<20 then Age ="2; else if RIDAGEYR = 20 end RIDAGEYR < 30 then Age = 3 else if RIDAGEYR>=30 and RIDAGEYR<40 then Age ="4" else if RIDAGEYR>=40 and RIDAGEYR<50 then Age ="5 else if RIDAGEYR>=50 and RIDAGEYR<60 then Age =16 else if RIDAGEYR>=60 and RIDAGEYR<70 then Age =" else if RIDAGEYR>=70 and RIDAGEYR<80 then Age ="8" else Ane= "9"-

(* Numerio to text Osteoponess...*) if OSQ060 in (...7, 9) then OsteoStatus=* '} else if OSQ050=1 then OsteoStatus="Onte else OsteoStatua="No Osteoporosis";

"Numeric to text Rece " if RIDRETH3=_ then Roce=" 1; else if RIDRETH3=1_then Roce="1" else if RIDRETH3=2 then Rece="2" else if RIDRETH3=3 then Rece=" else if RIDRETH3=4 then Rece="4" else if RIDRETH3=6 then Rece="6" else Race= '7'

/* Changing Diabetes to categorical */ if D(Q010 in (.7,9) then DisbstraStatus=; else if D(Q010 in (1.3) then DisbstraStatus=1; else if DIQ010=2 then DiabetesStatus=2;

"Numerio to text gender " if RIAGENDR=_ then Gender=" else if RIAGENDR=1 then Gender="Mele: else if RIAGENDR=2 then Gender="Female":

" Numeric to text Toheoon " if SMDANY in (, 7, 9) then Tobacco=" else if SMDANY=1 then Tobecco="Tobecco". else Tobacco="No Tobacco";;

" integrate two unrishles create drinking status; 1. never, ever <uppage: everdok> 2 marine former orre anel, frequent <verperge: dak>*/ if ALQ111 in (...7, 9) then everyok=___ else if ALQ111=1 then everyok=1;

if ALQ121 in (... 77, 99) then curdick= : else if ALQ121 in (1,2,3,4) then cutdok=1; else if ALQ121 in (5,6,7,8,9,10) then curdink=2;

if everydok=, then dok=:: else if everydok=0 then dok=0; if curdink=, then drik= ... else if gurdnk=0 then dak=1 else if gudok=1 then dak=2 else dric=3;

end,

RIDAGEYR=Age in years at screening Age="Age,1_1-9), 2(10-19), 3(20-29), 4(30-39), 5(40-49), 6(50-59), 7:[60-69], 8:[70-79], 9:>80'

RIAGENDR='Gender, 1: male, 2.female' Gender='Gender, 1:Male, 2:Female'

RIDRETH3='Race: 1: Mexican American, <u>2:Other</u> Hapanic, 3:Non-Hispanic White, 4:Non-Hispanic Elack, 6: Non-Hispanic Asian, 7: Other Race & Multi-Racial Race=Race: 1: Mexican American, 2:Other Hispanic, 3:Non-Hispanic White, 4:Non-Hispanic Black, 6: Non-Hispanic Asian, 7: Other Race & Multi-Racial'

OSQ060="Ever told had osteoporosis/brittle bones: 1_es, 2:no, 7: Refused, 9: Do not lenne'

OsteoStatua='Osteoporosis Status: 1-Osteoporosis, 2: No Osteoporosis'

DIQ010SAS='Doctor told you have diabetes: 1.yes, 2.no, 3:bordenine, 7: Refused, 9 Do not know?

Diabetes Status= Diabetes Status: 1.(Diabetes boolerine), 2: No Diabetes

SMDANY='Used any tobacco product last 5 days2: 1;yes, 2:no, 7: Refused, 9: Do Tobacco=Tobacco: 1-xes, 2.no, 7: Refused, 9: Do not know

run: /* Integrate two variables alcohol */ ALQ111=Ever had a drink of any kind of alcohol: 1:ves. 2 no. 7: Refused. 9: Do not ALQ121="Past 12 gg, how often have algted, drink, 0: Never in the last year, 1: Every day, 2: Nearly every day, 3: 3 to 4 times a week, 4: 2 times a week, 5: Once a week, 6: 2 to 3 times a month, 7: Once a month, 8: 7 to 11 times in the last year, 9-3 to 5 times in the last user 10-1 to 2 times in the last user 77: Refused, 99: Do not know eventick=ever drinker, 1. eno' Gundok='ourrent drinker, 1-yes, 0:no' drik=drinking status, @Never Drinker, 1:Former Drinker, 2:Occasional Drinker 3: Frequent Drinker';

(* Chi Squared Des. Stat. / Big. Analy. for OSTEO*) proc freg dets = d select tables Age*CateoStatus / chisg: min: proc freg dets = d aelect tables Gender*DateoStatus (chiao; min. proc freg dets = d select tables RIDRETH3*DateoStatus (chico: min; proc freg data = d aelect tables Diebetes Status*Osteo Status / obiso proc freg dets = d select tables Tobecco"OsteoStatus / chiso. mm. proc freg deta = d aelect tables Dok*OsteoStetus / chiso: mun; /* Chi Squared Des. Stat. / Ex. Analy. for DIABETES*/ proc freg dets = d select tables Age*Diabetes Status / chist; proc freg data = d.aelect tables Gender*DisbatesStatus / chiso.

proc freg deta = d select tables RIDRETH3*DiebetesStatus / chiso run; proc freg deta = d.aelect tables CaleoStatus*DinbetesStatus / chiao proc fren dete = d select tables Tobacco "Diabetes Status, I chian; **mm**; procifices data = diselect

tables Dok*DiabatesStatus / chiego

min;

no diabetes <u>REF</u> = 2: no diabetes (highest frequency) NO OSTEO <u>REF</u> = 2: no cateo (highest frequency) female <u>REF</u> = 2: female (highest frequency) 80+ years - REE = 9 (oldest age bio outcome increases with age) no tobacco - RFE = 2 no (highest frequency) * " Logistic Regression is used to predict the CATEGORICAL dependent variable

* REFERENCES

run;

(* Universide Analysis for Osteo */ proc logistic dete-d select. class Age (ref='9')' param = cef; model CateoStatus(event='0]_ = Age ; run; proc logistic data=d select class Gender (ref=F)/ param = ref; proc logistic data=d adject class Race (ref='3')/ param = ref; model DateoStatus(event='00...= Race : run; proc logistic dete=d select

model CateoStatua(event='0) = DiabetesStatua

proc logistic data=d.aclast class Qck (ref='3')' param = cat

(* Univariate Analysis for Diabetes */ proc logistic data=d select proc logistic data=d select class Gender (ref='F')/ param = ref;

> proc logistic dete=d.select class Race (ref='3') param = ref. model DiabetesStatus/event=11 = Race : run: proc logistic deta=d.aclest; class ObteoStatus (ref='N')/ param = eff. model Diabetes Status event="1"] = Osteo Status

proc logistic data=d aclest

proc logistic data=d aslect; class Dok (ref='3')' param = <u>cef:</u> model DiabatesStatus(event='1'L = Dok : run;

/* Multivariate Analysis for Osteo */ proc logistic deta=d acted class Ace. (ref='9') Gender (ref='F') Race (ref='3') DiabatesStatus (ref='2') Tobacco (ref='N') Dok (ref='3')/ param = ref, model DatesStatus(vent='0') = Ape Gender Race

" Multivariate Analysis for Diabetes V " Cannot use youngest age as reference when using OsteoStatus SMDANY Doc. as councietes. All these three unrightes do not have unlives at the youngest age. For example, OsteoStatus only have values for age over 50. Must either delete those three covariates or use Age=6 as reference. Elected to use Age=6 as reference. */ proc logistic data=d select

diss <u>Apr. (ref=</u>%) Gender (ref=%) Race (ref=%) OsteoStatus (ref=%) Tobecco (ref=%) Opk (ref=%) param = ref; model DabetesStatus (event=11_= Age Gender Race OsteoStatus Tobacco Onk ; run;

/* Save SAS output as Word file??? */

using a given set of independent variables. */ model OsteoStatus(event='00...= Gender ; run; class DiabetesStatus (ref='2') param = ref;

> proc logistic date=d_acted; class Tobecco (ref='N)/ param = ref; model CategStatus(event='C()_= Tobecco ; nun; model CateoStatus(event='0] = Dok ; run;

> > class Age (ref='9') param = <u>ref;</u> model DiabetesStatug(event='1']_= Age ; run; model DiabetesStatus(event=11 = Gender : run;

dess Tobacco (ref='N')/ param = tef; model DiabetesStatus(event='1')_= Tobacco ; run;

DisbetesStatus Tobacco Disk : nun:

NHANES 2017-2018: The Comorbidity Between **Osteoporosis and Diabetes**

BIOS 6102: Biostatistical Methods II Spring 2021 Sarah Grunblatt, MS, MS, MEd, MEd, MA

BONE MASS

- Many factors affect bone mass.
- Examples include:
 - Nutrition (Macronutrients in addition to micronutrients such as Vitamin D, Iron, etc.)
 - \circ Age
 - \circ Hormones
 - Body Composition
 - \circ Physical Activity



- Appropriate nutrition is one important strategy for maximizing bone growth (achieving peak bone mass) and achieving bone maintenance (forestalling postmenopausal and age-related bone loss).
- Nutrition improves bone mass as well as reducing falls through extraskeletal actions, thus reducing the risk of osteoporosis.

BACKGROUND: BLOOD IRON LEVELS

- **COLLECTION:** A blood sample is drawn to perform a serum iron test, which measures how much iron is in the blood.
- Normal value range is:
 - Iron: 60 to 170 micrograms per deciliter (mcg/dL), or 10.74 to 30.43 micromoles per liter (micromol/L)
 - Total iron binding capacity (TIBC): 240 to 450 mcg/dL, or 42.96 to 80.55 micromol/L
 - Transferrin saturation: 20% to 50%

WHAT ABNORMAL RESULTS MEAN:

Higher-than-normal iron level may be a sign of:

- Too much iron in the body (hemochromatosis)
- Anemia due to red blood cells being destroyed too quickly (hemolytic anemia)
- Liver tissue death
- Inflammation of the liver (hepatitis)
- Iron poisoning
- Frequent blood transfusions

Lower-than-normal level may be a sign of:

- Long-term digestive tract bleeding
- Heavy menstrual bleeding
- Intestinal conditions that cause poor absorption of iron
- Not enough iron in the diet
- Pregnancy

ASSOCIATIONS OF IRON METABOLISM AND BONE HOMEOSTASIS

- (A) Association between iron metabolism and bone homeostasis.
- (B) The effect of iron overload on differentiation and function of osteoclasts.
- (C) Osteoblasts differentiate from multipotent mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs).

Δ							
	IRON METABOLISM	Bone homeostasis					
	Iron uptake > iron excretion Iron overload	BONE RESORPTION > BONE FORMATION BONE LOSS					
	IRON UPTAKE = IRON EXCRETION IRON SUFFICIENCY	Bone resorption = Bone formation Balanced bone homeostasis					
	Iron uptake < iron excretion Iron deficiency	Bone resorption > Bone formation Bone loss					
В	M-CSF Iron Monocyte Osteoclas precurso	RANKL Iron overload Osteoclast Increased bone resorption					
C	Osteogenic stimuli Mesenchymal stem cell Osteoprogenitor cell Osteoprogenitor cell Osteoprogenitor cell						
	https://www.mdni.com/1424-8247/11/4/107						