



1 After granting Nano Filter Inc.'s ("Nano Filter") Motion for Terminating Sanctions (the  
2 "Motion") and ordering the Answer and Second Amended Cross-Complaint of Defendant/Cross-  
3 Complainant Optec International, Inc. ("Optec") stricken and a default entered against Optec on Nano  
4 Filter's Complaint, and upon the Court's review of Nano Filter's Default Prove-Up submissions, and  
5 for good cause appearing therefor, the Court now enters final Judgment in this Action as follows:


6 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

7 1. Final Judgment is hereby entered in favor of Nano Filter and against Optec on all claims  
8 and causes of action.

9 2. Judgment is hereby entered against Optec in the amount of **\$3,499,197.51**. Interest on  
10 the Judgment amount shall accrue at 10% per annum from the date of entry of Judgment, and Nano  
11 Filter shall be entitled to recover reasonable fees and costs it incurs enforcing or collecting on the  
12 Judgment.

13 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

14 DATED: July 25, 2023

15   
16 Honorable Blaine K. Bowman  
17 Judge of the Superior Court  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

28

1  
2 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

3 At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and not a party to this action. I am employed  
4 in the County of Orange, State of California. My business address is 9160 Irvine Center Drive, Suite  
5 200, Irvine, CA 92618.

6 On July 24, 2023, I served true copies of the following document(s) described as

7 **[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT IN FAVOR OF ELEMENT OF CHOICE**

8 on the following parties:

9 Steven C. Valerio  
10 Kaila M. Bradley  
11 Lagerlof LLP  
12 155 North Lake Ave  
13 11th Floor  
Pasadena, CA 91101  
svalerio@lagerlof.com  
kbradley@lagerlof.com

14 **BY MAIL:** I placed a true copy in a sealed envelope addressed as indicated above, on  
15 the above-mentioned date. I am familiar with the firm's practice of collection and  
16 processing correspondence for mailing. It is deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on  
17 that same day in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of party  
served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is  
more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

18 **X BY E-MAIL OR ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION:** Based on a court order, statute,  
19 the Judicial Council Rules or an agreement of the parties to accept service by e-mail or  
20 electronic transmission, I caused the documents to be sent to the persons at the e-mail  
21 addresses listed below via One Legal's electronic service function. I did not receive,  
within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other  
indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.

22 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is  
23 true and correct.

24 Executed on July 24, 2023 at Irvine, California.

25  
26 

27  
28 \_\_\_\_\_  
Jeff Augustini