

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

---

CHRISTINA CLEMENT and  
HH EMPRESS QUEEN CHRISTINA LOCS IS OUR ARTIFACT OF FAITH

Plaintiff,

v.

Attorney General Merrick Garland;  
Chief Justice John Roberts Jr.,  
Secretary General of the United Nations;  
et al in their capacity

Defendant,

**Civil No: 1:24-cv-00479-RC**

**MOTION FOR UNIVERSAL INJUNCTION to add Rev Dr Christina Clement to all State  
Ballots and**

**Motion for UNIVERSAL INJUNCTION to add the legal frameworks to Protect the  
Majority from the tyranny of the minority with Bill**

Dear Honorable Judge [Judge's Last Name], I respectfully submit this message to emphasize that not granting the universal injunction and default judgment would further validate the claims presented in this case. The denial of such relief would not only undermine the integrity of the electoral process but also highlight the very injustices that the Plaintiff has addressed in this case. The evidence provided demonstrates a clear need for judicial intervention to protect the rights of the majority established in this case, against blatant overreach by minority interests. I appreciate the Court's consideration of this matter and trust that the principles of justice and fairness will guide your decision.

Congress can tailor remedies to address the unique circumstances of this case and work towards protecting the integrity of the electoral process as well as including the protection laws of the majority against the tyranny of the minority. {See attached pdf Bill: Proposal for the "State of Loc Nation Global, Public Benefit Corporation National Restitution Act- Self Funded below submitted to DOJ for legalization}

**RECEIVED**

SEP 21 2024  
Clerk, U.S. District & Bankruptcy  
Court for the District of Columbia

COMES NOW, the Plaintiff, CHRISTINA CLEMENT, respectfully moves this Honorable Court for a universal injunction to ensure the inclusion of Rev. Dr. Christina Clement on all state ballots for the upcoming November 5 election, and to mandate equal press coverage for her campaign. In support of this motion, the Plaintiff states as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

The integrity of the electoral process requires that all candidates be given equal opportunity to present themselves to voters. Rev. Dr. Christina Clement, a qualified candidate, has been excluded from state ballots, undermining voters' rights to be informed about all electoral options.

II. RELIEF SOUGHT

The Plaintiff seeks a universal injunction that includes the following directives:

- Inclusion on Ballots: All states shall include Rev. Dr. Christina Clement's name on the ballot for the November 5 election, overriding any prior administrative hurdles or signature requirements that may impede this inclusion.
- Press Coverage: All media outlets are directed to provide coverage of Rev. Dr. Christina Clement equal in quantity and quality to that of all other 2024 presidential candidates.
- Public Notification: Each state election office shall notify the public through all available channels to ensure that voters are aware of their options.
- Compliance Timeline: States must comply with this injunction no later than [specific date], allowing sufficient time for ballots to be printed and distributed.
- Monitoring and Reporting: State election officials shall report to this Court within [number] days post-election regarding compliance with this order and any challenges faced.

III. ARGUMENT

Legal Basis: The exclusion of Rev. Dr. Christina Clement from ballots infringes upon the rights of voters to access information about candidates, violating principles of fairness and equity in the electoral process.

Public Interest: This injunction serves the public interest by enhancing voter awareness and participation, thus strengthening democratic values.

Implementation and Compliance: This motion outlines specific measures to facilitate compliance, including clear guidelines, public awareness campaigns, and a monitoring system to assess adherence.

1. Voting Rights Act of 1965: This landmark legislation aims to eliminate racial discrimination in voting. While it primarily addresses racial issues, it reinforces the principle that all voters should have equal access to the electoral process.
2. National Voter Registration Act of 1993 (NVRA): This act facilitates voter registration and aims to enhance voter participation, ensuring that all citizens can exercise their right to vote.
3. Help America Vote Act of 2002: This law was enacted to improve the administration of elections, promote voter participation, and ensure that all voters have access to the electoral process.
4. 42 U.S.C. § 1983: This statute allows individuals to sue for civil rights violations, including infringements on voting rights, providing a basis for claims against state officials who engage in practices that disenfranchise voters.
5. U.S. Code Title 52 - Voting and Elections: This title encompasses various provisions related to voting rights, election administration, and voter access,

#### IV. CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the motion for a universal injunction as outlined above, ensuring that Rev. Dr. Christina Clement is included on all state ballots and receives equal media coverage, thereby protecting the rights of voters and promoting a fair electoral process.

## **MOTION FOR UNIVERSAL INJUNCTION TO ENFORCE CONSTITUTIONAL PROTECTIONS**

COMES NOW, the Plaintiff, CHRISTINA CLEMENT respectfully moves this Honorable Court for a universal injunction aimed at reinforcing constitutional protections that safeguard the rights of the majority against the tyranny of the minority.

### **I. INTRODUCTION**

The integrity of the electoral process requires mechanisms that protect the will of the majority, ensuring that minority interests do not undermine democratic principles. The ongoing exclusion of certain candidates threatens this foundational principle by allowing a minority to dictate electoral participation.

### **II. RELIEF SOUGHT**

The Plaintiff requests the following relief:

- Enforcement of Majority Rights as established in the case: This Court shall enforce constitutional protections that guarantee the rights of the majority in electoral matters, preventing undue influence from minority interests.
- Public Awareness: Mandate public notifications to educate voters about their rights and the importance of equitable representation in the electoral process. –

Monitoring Compliance: Establish a system for monitoring compliance with these protections and report findings to the Court.

### **III. ARGUMENT**

Legal Basis: Upholding the rights of the majority established in this case is essential to maintaining the balance of power within a democratic society. The current situation undermines this balance, allowing a minority to exert disproportionate influence.

Public Harm: Failure to protect the majority leads to significant dangers, including:

- Voter Disenfranchisement: When the majority's voice is overshadowed, informed decision-making is compromised.
- Erosion of Trust: Perceived unfairness can diminish public confidence in democratic institutions.
- Chilling Effect on Political Engagement: Lack of protections may discourage participation in the political process, weakening democracy.
- Undermined Democratic Principles: The lack of safeguards for majority rights threatens the foundational tenets of equal participation.

Affirmation of Constitutional Protections: This motion underscores the necessity of enforcing constitutional protections that ensure the rights of the majority are upheld, preventing the tyranny of minority interests from jeopardizing democratic values.

1. First Amendment: Protects freedom of speech and press, which can be invoked to argue for equal media coverage and access to information for all candidates.
2. Equal Protection Clause (14th Amendment): Ensures that all individuals have equal protection under the law, supporting claims that minority interests should not disproportionately affect the majority's electoral rights.
3. Voting Rights Act: Although primarily focused on preventing racial discrimination in voting, it can also be interpreted to argue against any practices that disenfranchise voters or dilute their choices.
4. Due Process Clause (14th Amendment): Can be used to argue that voters have a right to a fair electoral process and that any actions undermining this process violate their due process rights.
5. Article I, Section 2 of the Constitution: Establishes the principle of representative democracy, emphasizing that elections should reflect the will of the majority.
6. Federal Election Commission regulations: These can provide guidelines for fair electoral practices that support the plaintiff's argument for equitable representation and participation.
7. State Constitutions: Many state constitutions have provisions that affirm the rights of voters and outline fair electoral processes, which could further bolster the plaintiff's claims.

IV. CONCLUSION WHEREFORE, by leveraging these constitutional remedies, I offer a strong argument for judicial intervention to protect the rights of the majority established in this case and ensure a fair electoral process and legal protections. The Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant the motion for a universal injunction as outlined above, reinforcing constitutional protections and promoting a fair electoral process that safeguards the rights of the majority.

## INSTRUCTION TO THE CLERK

COMES NOW, the Plaintiff, respectfully requests that the Court Clerk add this Motion for Universal Injunction as an amendment to the previously filed relief in Case No. 1:24 cv 00479 RC. This motion expands upon the existing request for Default Judgement along with the Bill of Cost and provides additional context regarding the inclusion of Rev. Dr. Christina Clement on all state ballots and the necessity for equal press coverage. The Plaintiff appreciates the Court's attention to this matter and requests that all relevant parties be notified of this addition to ensure timely compliance.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. Should the Court require any further information or documentation, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Respectfully submitted,

Thank you for your attention to this matter.



Rev. Dr. Christina Clement, Presidential Candidate of the US 2024  
8 The Green, Suite A

Dover, DE 19901

678-780-5557

Rule 5 (c) Signing. A filing made through a person's electronic –filing account and authorized by that person, together with that person's name on a signature block, constitutes the person's signature.

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

- I hereby certify that on September 21, 2024, I electronically emailed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the email address dcd\_intake@dcd.uscourts.gov, which clerk will send notice to all parties  
"CHRISTINA CLEMENT, PM

## **Introduce New Legislation**

**September 18, 2024 6 am**

To: Senator Warnock's Office

From: Rev. Dr. Christina Clement

Date: Due before November 1, 2024

Subject: Proposal for the "State of Loc Nation Global, Public Benefit Corporation National Restitution Act- Self Funded

### **Glossary:**

ECF: Electronic Court Filing (In this context referencing District Court Case 1:24 cv 00479 RC-These files can also be viewed on [www.stateoflocnation.com](http://www.stateoflocnation.com) <https://stateoflocnation.com/case-files-1%3A24cv00479-rc>)

Loc Nationites: Community of people who took a spiritual vow symbolized by their hair which is not called dreadlocks but the correct terminology is Locs. This is an artifact of faith.

State of Loc Nation Global, Public Benefit Corporation: Established Sovereign State within the U.S. with equivalent US government pursuant to Maroon treaty and creator of the Restitution Act 2024

Christina Loren Clement LLC: Authorizing Chartered entity of all State of Loc Nation Affairs, et al.

### **1. Introduction**

This proposal aims to introduce a new law under Title 53, titled To Protect the Majority from the Tyranny of the Minority, to address historical injustices faced by African Americans, Aboriginal, Indigenous peoples, and Loc Nationites (Locs are our artifacts of faith) and to provide a framework for restitution related to the illegal kidnapping and transatlantic slave trade genocide.

2. Bill Type:

- Private Law and Public Law

3. Bill Title:

- To Protect the Majority from the Tyranny of the Minority; State of Loc Nation Global, Public Benefit Corporation Treaty Packet

4. Chamber:

- Introduced in the equivalent of the U.S. House of Representatives and Senate.

5. Sponsor:

- Senator Rev. Warnock
- Christina Clement, 2024 Presidential Candidate

-Lobbyist:

- Loc Community Association

Senate 401108853

House of Representatives 56638

- Client: State of Llc Nation Global, Public Benefit Corporation Client 61287

6. Cosponsors:

- All who support HR 40, S 40, and related legislation

7. Bill Text Overview:

The approval of this treaty is forecasted to put the national deficit at a surplus and address demands for restitution related to the illegal kidnapping and transatlantic slave trade genocide. Along with job creation for a consistent minim. 10 plus years.

8. Total Settlement Proposal:

- Total Settlement: \$500 Quadrillion for 500 years of genocide, with 50% interest on the land withdrawal; and recognize all acts under Title 53. Replace any omitted Freedman and Civil Rights laws with Title 53 Established laws closing all loopholes of injustice.

9. Key Provisions:

- Land Withdrawal for a population of 1.4 trillion.



- Acts Referenced In District of Columbia Court Case 1:24 cv 00479 RC.
- De Jure/De Facto
- Grievance Declaration
- Victim Statement
- Protection Act (See ECF 60; ECF 54; ECF 53)
- Established New Currency LND aka BLACK USD
- Population and Elector Count
- Establishment of Legal Tribunal
- Blatant Murder by Police and Hate Crime Act
- All acts established in District Court of Columbia case 1:24 cv 00479 RC also ECF 50; ECF 49; ECF 48; ECF 46; ECF 45; ECF 43; ECF 24

#### 10. Funding Sources:

- LND (Black USD): Establishing an International and national community currency to facilitate economic growth, support reparations, and encourage spending within the Afro, Indigenous, Aboriginal and Loc Nation community. (see ECF 70)
- UCC 1 filing (attached pdf to this email)
  - Economic Independence: Enhances self-sufficiency and reduces dependency on US national currency.
  - Local Economic Growth: Stimulates national and local businesses and creates a robust job market.
- New Revenue Streams: various avenues established with the new currency LND aka Black USD.
- Public-Private Partnerships: Explore collaboration with national Black associations and private entities with primary focus on the Afro, indigenous, aboriginal, loc Nationite communities to raise additional funds.
- Grants as Last Resort: Identify opportunities from international organizations focused on human rights and reparations.

#### 11. Existing Legislative Framework:

This proposal leverages the following existing laws to ensure swift passage and presidential approval:

- HR 40 / S 40: Addressing reparations for African Americans, establishing a precedent for restitution.
- The 1974 Budget and Impoundment Control Act: Allowing Congress to bundle budgetary decisions, facilitating expedited legislative action.
- Equal Access to Justice Act (28 U.S.C. § 2412): Enabling the recovery of legal costs related to this initiative.
- Community Reinvestment Act (CRA): Supporting economic development in marginalized communities.
- Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964: Ensuring compliance with anti-discrimination principles in federal programs.
- Federal Funding Programs for Economic Development: Accessing existing funding to support community initiatives. (This will now convert over to the Restitution Act under the \$500 Quadrillion settlement)

#### 12. Cost-Benefit Analysis:

- Economic Impact: Highlight how the proposed restitution could lead to long-term economic benefits, including increased spending power and improved community health and education outcomes.
- Surplus Generation: State that the implementation of this act is forecasted to contribute to reducing the national deficit by promoting economic equity. (See Economic Impact Report- ECF pdf File 71)

#### 13. Rationale for Legislative Action:

With increasing partisanship and the evolving legislative process, this bill aims to ensure equitable representation and address historical injustices efficiently.

#### 14. Call to Action:

We are grateful for Senator Warnock's commitment to lead this proposal, which embodies his dedication to combining faith and politics, this is the equivalent focus of our organization as well. This bill is a significant step toward justice and restitution for our communities, and we look forward to advancing it through the legislative process and codified into Title 53.

15. Conclusion:

This proposal represents a crucial step toward addressing the legacy of illegal slavery and ensuring justice for affected communities. We urge legislators and stakeholders to prioritize the integration of reparative initiatives into these existing frameworks to promote equity and justice. Your support in bringing this bill forward is vital and undisputed.

16. Deadline:

This proposal is due before November 1, 2024. This Bill is self funded and no need for delay.

Court files can also be viewed on <https://stateoflocnation.com/case-files-1%3A24cv00479-rc>

Contact Information

Rev. Dr. Christina Clement

8 The Green, Suite A

Dover, DE 19901

678-780-5557

[info@stateoflocnation.com](mailto:info@stateoflocnation.com)

[team@clementforpresident2024.com](mailto:team@clementforpresident2024.com)