## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

# CHRISTINA CLEMENT and HH EMPRESS QUEEN CHRISTINA LOCS IS OUR ARTIFACT OF FAITH

Plaintiff,

v.

Attorney General Merrick Garland; Chief Justice John Roberts Jr., Secretary General of the United Nations; Registrar-Peace Palace Carnegie Pleinz et al

Defendant,

Civil No: 1:24-cv-00479-RC

## MOTION FOR ENTRY OF FINAL JUDGMENT

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(b), the Plaintiff, Christina Clement, hereby moves this Honorable Court for an order entering final judgment in the above-captioned case, granting all relief within courts power to Grant. In support of this motion, Plaintiff states as follows:

1. Default Judgment:

- On April 14, 2024, this Court failed to enter as per Rule 55, upon receipt of Affidavit of Service, a Default Judgment in favor of the Plaintiff, Christina Clement, against the Defendants, Attorney General Merrick Garland, Chief Justice John Roberts Jr., Secretary General of the United Nations, Registrar-Peace Palace Carnegie Pleinz et al., in their official capacities, in Case No. 1:24-cv-00479 RC. This judgment was based on proper service and compliance with Rule 55(a).



JUN 17 2024 Clerk, U.S. District & Bankruptcy Court for the District of Columbia 2. Rule 54(b) - No Just Reason for Delay:

- Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(b), when multiple claims or parties are involved in an action, the Court may direct the entry of a final judgment as to one or more, but fewer than all, claims or parties only if the Court expressly determines that there is no just reason for delay.

- The Court has resolved all claims between the Plaintiff and the Defendants listed above. There are no remaining issues requiring further adjudication between these parties.

3. Submitted Evidence:

- The Plaintiff has submitted all necessary facts and evidence to the complaint, including admissible victim statements and supplemental documents. These submissions support the Plaintiff's claims and justify the relief granted by the Default Judgment. The evidence has been reviewed and found sufficient to support the entry of final judgment.

4. Precedents and Judicial Economy:

- The expeditious entry of final judgment in this matter serves the interests of judicial economy and avoids unnecessary delays in the enforcement of the Court's ruling.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Christina Clement respectfully requests that this Court:

1. Enter a final judgment in favor of the Plaintiff, Christina Clement, against the Defendants in Case No. 1:24-cv-00479 RC.

2. Declare the judgment final and enforceable, pursuant to Rule 54(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, as there is no just reason for delay.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. Should the Court require any further information or documentation, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Respectfully submitted,

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

CUL

Rev. Dr. Christina Clement, Presidential Candidate of the US 2024 8 The Green, Suite A

Dover, DE 19901

678-780-5557

### Case 1:24-cv-00479-RC Document 25 Filed 06/17/24 Page 3 of 3

Rule 5 (c) Signing. A filing made through a person's electronic –filing account and authorized by that person, together with that person's name on a signature block, constitutes the person's signature.

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on June 17, 2024, I electronically emailed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the email address dcd\_cmecf@dcd.uscourts.gov and dcd\_intake@dcd.uscourts.gov, which clerk will send notice to all parties.

### "CHRISTINA CLEMENT