

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

---

CHRISTINA CLEMENT and  
HH EMPRESS QUEEN CHRISTINA LOCS IS OUR ARTIFACT OF FAITH

Plaintiff,

v.

Attorney General Merrick Garland;  
Chief Justice John Roberts Jr.,  
Secretary General of the United Nations;  
Registrar-Peace Palace Carnegie Pleinz et al in their official capacity

Defendant,

**Civil No: 1:24-cv-00479-RC**

**Respondent MOTION FOR Supplemental Pleadings**

**Response to “LEAVE TO FILE GRANTED”**

**Case 1:24cv 00479-RC Document 13 Filed 5/28/2024 Page 1 of 8**

Dear Members of Congress, et al

[Cwcvendors@mail.house.gov](mailto:Cwcvendors@mail.house.gov)

Office of the historian, Foreign Service Institute US, et al

[History@state.gov](mailto:History@state.gov)

**RECEIVED**

JUN 2 2024  
Clerk, U.S. District & Bankruptcy  
Court for the District of Columbia

**“State of Loc Nation the hyper power of the United States Superpowers together will make the world great again”**

“Rule by law” Speech by HH Empress Queen Christina Clement, PM

Sunday June 2, 2024

For the past 5 years, I have researched, emailed, certified mail, called, went on websites and used contact forms, text, visited public meetings, sent more emails, filed court case (Clement vs Garland) 1:24 cv 00479 RC, went back and forth via email with the DC clerks finally received a court case Feb 2024 no response from the Judge until May 15,2024 only to deny my login access and then no response to my motion of Default on May 19,2024 which Defendants were in Default April 13.2024. Judge responds to admissible testimonials of over 100+ victims on May 28, 2024 signing “LEAVE TO FILE GRANTED” and did not properly serve all parties. I had to check my email daily for any correspondence. It was not until I googled the case and logged into [www.pacermonitor.com](http://www.pacermonitor.com) and entered the page number did I notice the response of #13.

The courts play an integral role in maintaining the rule of law, particularly when they hear the grievances voiced by minority groups or by those who may hold minority opinions. Equality before the law is such an essential part of the American system of government that, when a majority, whether acting intentionally or unintentionally, infringes upon the rights of a minority, the Court may see fit to hear both sides of the controversy in court.

But what does the rule of law say about the majority when the minority is the tyrant? There is no answer other than consistent injustices.

In Clement vs Garland 1:24 cv 00479 RC Christina Clement/ HH Empress Queen Christina Clement submitted the details for this gap in the rules of law introducing the hyper power State of Loc Nation. Providing various documents from Population Census, Defacto/Dejure; Grievances both international and national; Affidavit of mailings with all tracking numbers and outcomes; motion for default judgements, etc,

The rule of law requires the equal application of laws. This is often challenging to the Afro/loc nationite/ Indigenous communities. This unbalanced failure of equal protection of the law is a systematic attempt and target to create a failing society. To date this attempt have succeeded to the point there is an epidemic of middle school children overdosing on drugs, high prison rate, disparities on health and wealth and an endless number of grievances. Any person with social media access can witness the numbers of grievances or I like to call Victim Statements from the very society it claims to govern. At what point in the name of democracy does the elected officials, law makers, educators, judges who interpret laws that govern the conduct of the society and decision by parliament warrant the acceptance of such harm to children and to the citizens it vowed to protect?

The rule of law requires that people know what the law is, however it has been used to weaponize the governed. "Government "is not supposed to obscure the law and then purport to apply it at a later date against an unsuspecting citizen. That is bad faith. Jesus said in Matthew 16:23 "get behind me Satan", and I find that to be fitting for this act. because the current leadership is not thinking Gods thoughts but human thoughts literally. How is this possible with being the Divine supreme law of the land? That is not a Godly example other than to spur the very disaster faced in society to date. However, I would commend we are not all bad because we in America can honorably say that we are more civil than most, however as the supreme leader we have room for much improvement, this improvement is the acknowledgment and stance of the power State of Loc Nation with a global population and a strong hand, feet and blood in the Civil war and every fight known to man. It is with our participation the greatest in position was won and remained.

So as President of the United States of US and America, and HH Empress Queen Christina Loren Clement of State of Loc Nation, "rule by law" declare State of Loc Nation as the Hyper power and United States remaining as Superpower, together operating separate, equal and in harmony will make amends to the damages, and lead towards a better tomorrow as our various forefather and mothers earned that right even during the years of honorable positions and still enduring the injustices in society globally earned our right as the hyper power, recalling memory of the African American man power contribution during the Civil war leading to the successful win together.

Rev Dr Christina Clement representing the Afro Community, Loc Nation and various other indigenous societies coupled with the United States makes State of Loc Nation Triple what America is known for. Double in superior military, and triple in economic might.

Moving forward in this fact, separate, equal and in harmony treatment of these groups who for years have undergone various injustices and for that we are sorry and intend on mending those wrongs collectively.

How will this be done? Since that black and brown "citizens" cannot be ignored on the world stage and without whose cooperation no world problem can be solved it is fitting throughout history our neighboring states and countries will agree to this fact.

The Cold War was won not by hostile acts from our part, but with the contribution of our "African American troops in positions as infantrymen, pilots, tanker, medics and officers in increasing numbers in wars we didn't start yet were included while we are daily war at home and still are to date. Would you agree that this is dishonorable behavior to continue injustices to the people who are a pivotal part of your success? Today marks a new beginning. Your forefathers were men who would have taken this act and tied it into the Monroe Doctrine warning

“international police power” to curb such “chronic wrongdoing” according to Roosevelt’s Corollary to the Monroe Doctrine. Oh, but there is a question with (one of the main points of the Monroe Doctrine (2) the United States recognized and would not interfere with existing colonies in the Americas”

You see your forefathers ran away from home and found refuge on our land. Once befriended, the traits they ran from is the same traits used on our forefathers. To date it still is present in the undertone of books authored like “The 48 Laws of Power”; “The secret Government”, etc. The heart of your forefathers very much exists as do ours. We continuously overcome every act of bondage to date earning the lead. To date the current state of emergency the world is in demands a new day. No longer will ego play a role but what’s right. What is godly and the true leaders of Divine.

Ladies and Gentlemen, I look forward to repairing and serving the nation into the world God intended, let us stand united in doing so.

Thank you

With deepest sincerity and unwavering resolve,

President Candidate Christina Clement aka

HH Empress Queen Christina Loren Clement

From the Rule of Law and Lawyer Independence Advisory Committee DM2787936

1. Brown v. Board of Education 347 US 486 (1954) - Ended legal segregation in public schools.
2. Roe v. Wade 410 US 113 (1973) - Legalized abortion nationwide.
3. Marbury v. Madison 5 US 137 (1803) - Established the principle of judicial review.
4. Miranda v. Arizona 384 US 436 (1966) - Established the Miranda rights for criminal suspects.
5. United States v. Nixon 418 US 683 (1974) - Limited the power of the president and upheld the rule of law.
6. Loving v. Virginia 388 US 1 (1967) - Struck down bans on interracial marriage.

7. Obergefell v. Hodges 56 US 644 (2015) - Legalized same-sex marriage nationwide.
8. Plessy v. Ferguson 163 US 537 (1896) - Upheld racial segregation under the "separate but equal" doctrine (later overturned by Brown v. Board of Education).
9. Gideon v. Wainwright 372 US 335 (1963) - Established the right to counsel for criminal defendants.
10. Citizens United v. FEC 558 US 310 (2010) - Struck down limits on corporate and union political spending, leading to the rise of Super PACs.
11. Dred Scott v. Sandford 60 US 393 (1857) - Denied citizenship and ruled that Congress could not prohibit slavery in the territories, contributing to tensions that led to the Civil War.
12. Brown v. Board of Education 347 US 483 (1954) - Ended legal segregation in public schools, overturning Plessy v. Ferguson.
13. Shelley v. Kraemer 334 US 1 (1948) - Ruled that racially restrictive housing covenants were unenforceable in court.
14. Heart of Atlanta Motel v. United States 379 US 241 (1964) - Upheld the Civil Rights Act of 1964, prohibiting racial discrimination in public accommodations.
15. Regents of the University of California v. Bakke 438 US 265 (1978) - Addressed affirmative action by ruling that race could be considered as one of many factors in college admissions but quotas were unconstitutional.
16. Grutter v. Bollinger 539 US 306 (2003) - Upheld the University of Michigan Law School's affirmative action admissions policy, allowing race to be considered as a factor in admissions to achieve diversity.
17. Shelby County v. Holder 570 US 529 (2013) - Struck down a key provision of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, leading to changes in voting laws and procedures in some states.
18. Sweatt v. Painter 339 US 629 (1950) - Ordered the integration of the University of Texas Law School, laying the groundwork for the Brown v. Board decision.
19. Hernandez v. Texas 347 US 475 (1954) - Extended protection against discrimination to Hispanics under the 14th Amendment's equal protection clause.
20. United States v. Virginia 518 US 515 (1996) - Struck down the Virginia Military Institute's male-only admissions policy, establishing that state-sponsored military education cannot exclude women.
21. Obergefell v. Hodges 576 US 644 (2015)- Legalized same-sex marriage nationwide, affirming the rights of LGBTQ+ individuals to marry.

22. Masterpiece Cakeshop v. Colorado Civil Rights Commission 584 US 617 (2018)- Addressed the balance between religious freedom and anti-discrimination laws by ruling in favor of a baker who refused to make a wedding cake for a same-sex couple, but on narrow grounds.

23. Bostock v. Clayton County 590 US 644(2020)- Ruled that Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 protects employees from discrimination based on sexual orientation and gender identity.

24. Fisher v. University of Texas at Austin 570 US 297 (2013)- Reaffirmed the constitutionality of affirmative action in college admissions, allowing universities to consider race as one factor among many in their holistic review process.

25. Shelby County v. Holder 570 US 529 (2013)- Struck down a key provision of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, which required certain states and localities with a history of voter discrimination to get federal approval before changing their voting laws.

26. Trump v. Hawaii 585 US Docket no 17-965 (2018)- Upheld President Trump's travel ban, which restricted entry into the United States from several predominantly Muslim countries, sparking debates about religious discrimination and executive power. Increasing immigrants

27. O'Connor v. Oakhurst Dairy 851 F.3d 69 (2017)-Addressed the use of the Oxford comma in a Maine law governing overtime pay, illustrating how legal interpretation can impact workers' rights.

28. Brnovich v. Democratic National Committee 594 docket 19-1257 & docket 19-1258 (2021)- Upheld Arizona voting restrictions, including a law that invalidated ballots cast in the wrong precinct, prompting concerns about voting rights and access.

29. United States v. Windsor 570 US 744 (2013)- Struck down a key section of the Defense of Marriage Act (DOMA), which had defined marriage as between one man and one woman for federal purposes, marking a significant victory for LGBTQ+ rights.

30. *Whole Woman's Health v. Hellerstedt* 579 US 582 (2016) - Invalidated a Texas law that imposed strict requirements on abortion clinics, reaffirming the constitutional right to abortion established in *Roe v. Wade*.

### Legal Basis and Precedents

The United Nations has recognized the distinct identities and rights of Afro-descendant communities through the International Decade for People of African Descent (2015-2024), emphasizing the need for recognition, justice, and development. Furthermore, various nations have implemented legal frameworks to support the rights and autonomy of Afro-descendant communities:

- Brazil's 1988 Constitution: Recognizes the rights of Quilombola communities, granting them collective land ownership and cultural preservation.
- Colombia's Law 70 of 1993: Acknowledges the rights of Afro-Colombians to their collective territories and cultural heritage.
- Ecuador's 2008 Constitution: Ensures the rights of Afro-Ecuadorians to maintain their cultural identity, traditions, and land.
- Canada's Emancipation Day: Recognized federally since 2021, Emancipation Day marks the abolition of slavery in the British Empire, acknowledging the contributions and history of Afro-Canadians.
- United Kingdom's Race Relations Act 1965 and Subsequent Amendments: These laws were among the first to address racial discrimination, setting a legal framework that acknowledged the rights and protections needed for Afro-descendants and other racial minorities.
- Costa Rica's Constitutional Reforms: In 2015, Costa Rica amended its constitution to officially recognize the Afro-Costa Rican population and their cultural contributions.
- Peru's Law No. 28761: Enacted in 2006, this law declares June 4th as the Day of Afro-Peruvian Culture, recognizing the contributions of Afro-Peruvians to the nation's history and culture.
- Mexico's Constitutional Amendment: In 2019, Mexico amended its constitution to recognize Afro-Mexicans as a distinct ethnic group, granting them greater visibility and protection under the law.

- Uruguay's Law No. 19122: Enacted in 2013, this law promotes the inclusion of Afro-Uruguayans in the workforce and mandates affirmative action measures to address historical disadvantages.
- Dominican Republic's Recognition of Afro-Dominican Identity: Efforts to address and acknowledge the Afro-Dominican population have been ongoing, including cultural recognition and anti-discrimination measures.
- Argentina's National Institute Against Discrimination, Xenophobia, and Racism (INADI): This institute works to promote equal rights and combat discrimination, including that faced by Afro-Argentines.
- Venezuela's Law Against Racial Discrimination (2011): This law specifically aims to prevent and punish racial discrimination, with provisions to protect the rights of Afro-Venezuelans.
- Bolivia's Constitutional Recognition: Bolivia's 2009 Constitution acknowledges Afro-Bolivians as a distinct group with rights to their cultural identity and collective territories.

#### Admission of State of Loc Nation

The State of Loc Nation operates as a hyper power of United Nations superpower, providing governance and advocacy for Indigenous and Afro-descendant communities. This state would focus on the following objectives amongst other matters as addressed:

1. Cultural Preservation: Protect and promote the cultural heritage, languages, and traditions of Indigenous and Afro-descendant communities.
2. Economic Development: Implement programs to address economic disparities and promote sustainable development within these communities.
3. Education and Health: Ensure access to quality education and healthcare, tailored to the specific needs of our communities.
4. Political Representation: Provide a platform for political representation and participation at local, state, and national levels.
5. Legal and Social Justice: Advocate for the rights and protections of Indigenous and Afro-descendant individuals, addressing systemic discrimination and historical injustices.

#### State of Loc Nation Admissions Act as hyper power

In addition to the legal precedents and support outlined above, we acknowledge enactment of an Admissions Act that formally recognizes the establishment of the State of Loc Nation and its representation of Indigenous and Afro-descendant individuals within the United States and global countries. See submitted Defacto De Jure logged with the District Court of Columbia on



May 19, 2024 File #12 Att:1 Exhibit Case 1:24-cv-00479-RC Document 12-1 Filed 5/19/2024  
page 1 of 55

And total population of all categories listed on Case 1:24 cv 00479-RC Document 1-1 Filed  
2/13/2024page 31-34; attached to this motion Volume 1 with SOLN Census and International  
Practitioners guide.

- Judge Rudolph Contreras, thank you for your services and please proceed with granting  
all relief mentioned so I may begin serving this great nation as mentioned previously.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. Should the Court require any further information or  
documentation, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Respectfully submitted, Thank you for your attention to this matter.



Rev. Dr. Christina Clement, Presidential Candidate of the US 2024  
8 The Green, Suite A

Dover, DE 19901

678-780-5557

Rule 5 (c) Signing. A filing made through a person's electronic –filing account and authorized  
by that person, together with that person's name on a signature block, constitutes the person's  
signature.

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on June 2, 2024, I electronically emailed the foregoing with the Clerk of  
the Court using the email address dcd\_cmecf@dcd.uscourts.gov and  
dcd\_intake@dcd.uscourts.gov, which clerk will send notice to all parties.

CHRISTINA CLEMENT, PM