

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

CHRISTINA CLEMENT and
HH EMPRESS QUEEN CHRISTINA LOCS IS OUR ARTIFACT OF FAITH

Plaintiff,

v.

Attorney General Merrick Garland;
Chief Justice John Roberts Jr.,
Secretary General of the United Nations;
et al in their capacity

Defendant,

Civil No: 1:24-cv-00479-RC

MOTION for Establish Justice in the Court and Rule of LawAct

Your Honor,

I virtually stand before you today to address the critical balance between majority (The communities I represent mentioned in this case) and minority rights in our society, as underscored by the principles embodied in the Great Seal of the United States.

On one hand

As established by Charles Thomson, the Secretary of Congress in 1782, the pyramid on the back of the USD, symbolizes; my forefather; The Temple of Solomon a symbol of wisdom and divine guidance, often associated with the foundations of Loc Community Association, State of Loc nation global public benefit corporation and its affiliates. “Annuity Coeptis” which translates He (God) has favored our undertakings. It can also symbolize strength and stability. All describes the community I am apart of.

On the other hand

On the other side of the back of the same USD \$1 dollar bill shows the great seal of the eagle Novus Ordo Sectorum reflecting the aspiration for a unified nation where all voices are valued. “New order of the Ages” reflecting the founding principles of liberty and democracy. Historically, our society has grappled with significant disparities in how these ideals were

RECEIVED

SEP 27 2024
Clerk, U.S. District & Bankruptcy
Court for the District of Columbia

realized. While the Founding Fathers envisioned a liberal world grounded in liberty and equality, the reality has often fallen short, with systemic injustices persisting across generations, over 500 years.

However, we have made strides toward a more equitable society, and it is within your capacity as the judiciary to further close this gap.

In case 1:24 cv 00479 RC, the discussions surrounding the protection of both majority and minority rights highlight the need for a legal framework that safeguards against the tyranny of any group. Victim statements filed in this case illustrate the profound impact of discrimination and inequality, underscoring the urgent need for a judicial response that reflects our collective commitment to justice.

New Victims during delayed decision

Furthermore, recent events, such as the horrifying incident where a child had the N-word carved into his chest, starkly illustrate the ongoing violence and racism that persists in our society. This tragic incident serves as a chilling reminder that we are not yet free from the shadows of hatred and discrimination.

Additionally, the recent execution of Marcellus Williams in Missouri, despite evidence proving his innocence, exemplifies the grave consequences of systemic failures in our justice system.

Additionally, all the mothers and fathers flooding social media tirelessly seeking help for the wrongful murder of their child.

These events collectively highlight the necessity for your ruling in favor of the plaintiff, sending a powerful message that such acts will not be tolerated and that the court stands firmly against racism and injustice in all its forms.

Supporting Statutes and Case Law To reinforce this argument,

I reference several key statutes and case laws:

1. Civil Rights Act of 1964: This landmark legislation prohibits discrimination based on race, color, religion, sex, or national origin, providing a strong legal framework for addressing inequalities.
2. Voting Rights Act of 1965: This act aims to eliminate racial discrimination in voting, reinforcing the importance of fair representation for all citizens.
3. Equal Protection Clause of the 14th Amendment: This constitutional provision prohibits states from denying any person within their jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws, which is fundamental to ensuring justice for both majority and minority groups.

4. *Brown v. Board of Education* (1954): This landmark Supreme Court case declared racial segregation in public schools unconstitutional, emphasizing the need for equal treatment under the law.
5. *Loving v. Virginia* (1967): This case struck down laws banning interracial marriage, reinforcing the principle that state laws cannot infringe on individual rights based on race.
6. *United States v. Morrison* (2000): This case involved the Violence Against Women Act, which the Court ruled could not be enforced against states, illustrating the tension between federal authority and state rights, highlighting the need for protections regardless of majority or minority status.
7. *Shelby County v. Holder* (2013): This case addressed voting rights and the importance of safeguarding against discrimination, emphasizing that even majority groups can face disenfranchisement.
8. *Clement v. Garland* (2024): Our case can serve as a landmark decision in closing the gap between the ideals of justice and the realities faced by marginalized communities. It has the potential to reinforce the importance of equal protection under the law.

Solutions to Address Concerns

1. Complexity: To simplify the argument, I propose focusing on clear legal precedents that explicitly address the need for protections against discrimination, using victim statements as concrete examples of the harm caused by imbalances in rights.
2. Subjectivity: By providing specific definitions and legal interpretations of majority as enclosed in this case and minority rights, we can establish a clear framework that addresses the needs of all citizens.
3. Potential Resistance: To mitigate resistance, I recommend emphasizing how the ruling aligns with evolving societal norms and values, reinforcing the idea that justice is a dynamic process.
4. Risk of Ambiguity: By grounding the argument in both historical context and contemporary events, we can create a compelling narrative that leaves little room for ambiguity about the urgency and necessity of the court's action. As we stand at this pivotal moment, your decision can affirm that we are now collectively ready to uphold the principles of fairness and justice envisioned by our founders. It is your role to ensure that these ideals are not merely aspirational but are manifest in our legal system, thus fostering a society where harmony prevails. America has the potential to be a living role model for the world, demonstrating the importance of doing what is right.

Conclusion

Establishing a binding interpretation in this matter is crucial not only for the parties involved but also for the broader community impacted by similar circumstances. Additionally, I seek an injunction to prevent actions that may violate established rights, ensuring that this ruling can serve as a precedent for future cases. Furthermore, I request the court's consideration of the bill of costs, as appropriate, to ensure fair compensation for the expenses incurred throughout this litigation along with the other reliefs. I believe the court's guidance in these matters will contribute significantly to the development of the law and provide much-needed balance of justice.

Thank you for considering this essential aspect of justice in your binding ruling for the plaintiff.

INSTRUCTION TO THE CLERK

COMES NOW, the Plaintiff, respectfully requests that the Court Clerk add this MOTION FOR Justice in the Court and Rule of Law as an amendment to the previously filed relief in Case No. 1:24 cv 00479 RC. This motion expands upon the existing request for Default Judgement along with the Bill of Cost and provides additional context regarding the inclusion of Rev. Dr. Christina Clement on all state ballots and the necessity for equal press coverage. The Plaintiff appreciates the Court's attention to this matter and requests that all relevant parties be notified of this addition to ensure timely compliance.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. Should the Court require any further information or documentation, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Respectfully submitted,

Thank you for your attention to this matter.



Rev. Dr. Christina Clement, Presidential Candidate of the US 2024
8 The Green, Suite A

Dover, DE 19901

678-780-5557

Rule 5 (c) Signing. A filing made through a person's electronic –filing account and authorized by that person, together with that person's name on a signature block, constitutes the person's signature.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

- I hereby certify that on September 27, 2024, I electronically emailed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the email address dcd_intake@dcd.uscourts.gov, which clerk will send notice to all parties
_CHRISTINA CLEMENT, PM