UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

CHRISTINA CLEMENT and HH EMPRESS QUEEN CHRISTINA LOCS IS OUR ARTIFACT OF FAITH

Plaintiff.

v.

MERRICK GARLAND; et al in his official capacity Attorney General of the United States

Defendant,

Civil No: 1:24-cv-00479-RC

Response to "Objection to Bill of Costs due 9/7/2024

Bill of Costs Clarification: Whereas the plaintiff asserts the legal claims, submitted in case 1:24 cv 00479 RC and are part of record, and requests that the court grant the relief sought:

Reasonableness and Necessity of Costs: ECF 47: Eaqual Access to Justice Act

- The costs and administrative actions detailed in the Bill of Costs are deemed reasonable and essential for this litigation. A default judgment; summary judgment and Injunctive relief in favor of the plaintiff is to be granted, allowing all relief sought as itemized in the Bill of Costs.

The presented evidence includes undisputed facts, numerous victim statements, and relevant legal foundations such as relevant US codes, statues, Fed Rules, treaties, customary international law, general principles recognized globally, and judicial precedents.

Disbursements for the monetary award are due from the Judgment Fund, established under 31 U.S.C. § 1304, for the meritorious claim in case 1:24 cv 000479 RC, et al., with allocated funds referencing from HR 40, Chicago Mayor's Executive Order 2024-1, and other state orders pertinent to the evidence and research presented in this case related to the Trans-Atlantic Slave Trade and the damaging after effects of the illegal kidnapping which proves the market value of amounts listed in (a)-(f).

The legal framework is supported by statutes including but not limited to,28 USC 1505 (Treaties), Title VII addressing discrimination during federal campaigns, 28 USC 1491(2) governing Court of Federal Claims jurisdiction, and 28 USC 2677 covering miscellaneous provisions.et al presented in this case.

Compensatory/Punitive Relief

The costs included in Pro se litigant fee (a)-(g) of the Bill of Costs, 42 USC 1988 (c):

are announced allocated for the research specific to this case. HR 40, Chicago's Executive Order 2024-1, and allocations from California and Washington DC have all earmarked funds for researching and addressing events related to the transatlantic slave trade. These orders, along with others, demonstrate the market value for such research as presented in case 1:24 cv 00479-rc. Reviewing these orders facilitates adherence to Rule 56(e)(d)(1) and 28 USC 1920(1-6) for expedient Pro se fee coverage under 31 U.S.C. § 1304, alongside various HR bills, state and mayoral orders, and international entities as documented in Volume 2 (court-recorded large book received). These funds have already been allocated for the information presented to the court. I consent to sharing this five-year research effort to support task forces, saving time, energy, and future litigation efforts. This includes itemized costs such as filing complaints, certified or tracked mailings to courts and government agencies, PACER fees for retrieving stamped filings online, research into laws, statutes, documentaries, books, victim testimonies, historical research, time spent on emails, phone calls to courts and government offices, interviews, meetings, travel to and from FedEx and USPS, law library usage, and other related expenses.

Punitive Damages

(f) Each additional state:

This provision was included due to uncertainty regarding the court's decision on granting the prayer for relief. In the event that another state allocates funds for the same research while awaiting the granting for plaintiff relief, the clerk may include the allocated amount from other states in the final total. The state chart at the end of the Bill of Costs serves as a reference or checklist of all states who may eventually participate in funding my research. The determination of the final total may be based on the new executive order or by applying the formula used in the previous stage, matching the newly allocated funds from other states with the size and amount previously offered. For instance, HR 40 and Chicago each offered \$12 million, and any state offering a comparable amount could also contribute.

• Section titled: Federal Rules of Civil Procedure:

This section outlines entitlement for relief as requested by Rule 8.

• Section titled: Relief Sought

Case 1:24 cv 00479 RC presents three grievances: Contest of Oath; Contest of Election; and Class Action for restitution for kidnapping during the transatlantic Slave trade. 28 USC 2412 (c)

Injunctive, Equitable Relief;

• Number 1: Contest of Oath, relief sought:

This section details all administrative actions to remedy this grievance. The documents submitted justify and present claims for relief as itemized here. In summary, it seeks for State of Loc Nation to submit majority law to be incorporated into federal law using powers from "SOLN The Act" and "SOLN The Charter" as per the cited documents of this case. (28 USC 1505 Treaty)

Injunctive and Equitable Relief:

• Number 2: Contest of Election, relief sought:

This section lists all administrative actions intended to address this grievance. (28 USC 1491(2))

Restitution; Injunctive and Equitable Relief

• Number 3: Class Action, relief sought:

This section outlines all administrative actions aimed at remedying this grievance.

Restitution:

(g) "Make right all Wrongs."

Submitted in the case for the restitution of 500 years of grievance is cited. Also included is an itemization of all parties' equal share to pay, totaling to Sum noted in part (g) "Make right all Wrongs."

Calculation: Case 1:24 cv 00479 RC Document 28 Filed 06/26/2024 Page 3 of 13

Allocated sanctions: Case 1:24 cv 00479-RC Document 29 Filed 06/23/2024 Page 3 of 8

Injunctive; Equitable and General Relief:

• Number 4: Special Damages - Relief Sought:

This section lists all administrative actions necessary to remedy the wrongs.

Restitution

• Due Upon Receipt

This is the total restitution calculated from (a)-(g)

This is the total injunctive, punitive, general, special, equitable, compensatory reliefs.

Proper Documentation and additional summary

- Attached are the various governmental correspondence to prove my usage of dollar amounts for the research are publicly announced market rates for the level of research, evidence and defense of this grievance.

Case 1:24 cv 00479-RC Document 28-1 Filed 6/26/2024 Page 1-4 of 26

Rule 56 (a)(c)(d)(1); Rule 65.1

• Additional rule entitling to relief

Thank you for your attention to this matter. Should the Court require any further information or documentation, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Respectfully submitted,

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

CUE

"Rev. Dr. Christina Clement, Presidential Candidate of the US 2024 8 The Green, Suite A

Dover, DE 19901

678-780-5557

Rule 5 (c) Signing. A filing made through a person's electronic –filing account and authorized by that person, together with that person's name on a signature block, constitutes the person's signature.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on August 25, 2024, I electronically emailed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the email address dcd_cmecf@dcd.uscourts.gov, which clerk will send notice to all parties.

press@cityofchicago.org Response to Executive Order 2024-1 Black Reparations Task Force and Agenda, et al

- Proposal presented also to customerservice@fultoncountyga.gov response to Fulton Reparations Task Force
- Proposal presented in response to California Assembly Bill 3121 California Reparations Task Force reparationstaskforce@doj.ca.gov
- Proposal presented in response to Detroit Reparations Task Force legislativepolicy@detroitmi.gov
- Proposal presented in response to Boston Reparations Task Force reparations@boston.gov
- Proposal presented in response to Philadelphia Reparations Task Force kelsey.hubbell@phila.gov
- Proposal presented in Response to H.R. 40-117th Congress (2021-2022)

"CHRISTINA CLEMENT