PARC: Placement And Readiness Checklists for Students who are Deaf and Hard of Hearing Part 1 Readiness Checklists

PARC is a set of placement and readiness checklists designed to assist IEP teams, including students, teachers, specialists, parents and school administrators, when making decisions about programming and placement for students who are deaf and hard of hearing (DHH). Most DHH students are considered for placement in the general education classroom for at least part of their school day. Ultimately, inclusion in the general education classroom for these students should mean that when provided the necessary accommodations, modifications, and supports, they have the ability to actively and meaningfully participate in the communication, instruction, and social activities of their class using their identified communication mode(s). There are two components that should be considered when evaluating placement and service delivery; first, the *skills of the student* and, second, the *learning environment*. Specifically, students should be matched for the learning environment by 1) demonstrating a set of prerequisite skills that are based on their identified individual goals and 2) documenting that the instructional environment is designed to support the student to achieve those goals.

These checklists may be used as tools to assist the IEP team in examining the many factors that influence how well a student is able to function and perform in various classroom settings. Thorough assessment in academic, communication and social areas to identify strengths and challenge areas as well as frequent monitoring of performance is always necessary to ensure that student skills, services and placement are aligned. In some cases, students may be "ready" for some classes or situations while not "ready" for others.

Part 1, the *Readiness Checklists*, focus on essential skills that students require in order to actively and meaningfully participate in their education programs with the intended communication approach. There are four checklists: *General Education Inclusion Readiness, Interpreted/Transliterated Education Readiness, Captioning/Transcribing Readiness*, and *Instructional Communication Access*. These checklists can be used in combination or independently, depending on the student and the purpose of the review. The *General Education Inclusion Readiness Checklist* may be used to evaluate overall readiness for inclusion in the general education classroom and is appropriate for most students. The *Interpreted/Transliterated Education* and the *Captioning/Transcribing Readiness Checklists* identify skills that students need in order to fully benefit from these services in the general education classroom. The *Instructional Communication Access Checklist* contains indicators that analyzes how a student accesses instruction using listening and spoken language, sign or cues, or both, and how proficient the student is with that approach. This checklist may help determine what communication approach and supports a student may need for their instructional environment whether it is in the general education classroom, a resource room, or a special classroom. These checklists are intended to emphasize skills that may be needed for DHH students to have successful learning experiences. The *Readiness Checklists* can also be used to identify IEP goals that will assist a student with acquisition of the necessary skills as well as a tool to monitor the acquisition of the desired skills.

Part 2, the *Placement Checklist*, assists the IEP team in evaluating the accessibility and appropriateness of the general education setting to support students who are DHH. The appropriate age level checklist is selected (2A-Preschool/Kindergarten, 2B-Elementary, or 2C-Secondary) and then completed through observation, interview and discussion among team members. The Checklist considers the physical environment, the general learning environment, the instructional style of the teacher, the school culture, and how well the learning environment is matched to the student's communication, language, and social needs. The *Placement Checklist* is intended to be used as often as the classroom environment changes or other needs suggest monitoring.

Acknowledgements: These checklists were compiled and adapted from existing materials. I would like to recognize the original authors of the respective "readiness" checklists (Mary Ellen Nevins & Pat Chute, Brenda Schick, and the team at Children's Hospital of Boston) and the contributors and reviewers for the development of the placement checklists: Dinah Beams, the Colorado Home Intervention Program; Arlene Stedler Brown, formerly with the Colorado Home Intervention Program; Arlene Stedler Brown, formerly with the Colorado Home Intervention Program; Andy Darr, deaf education consultant, Denver CO; Susan Elliott, teacher of the deaf/hard of hearing, Douglas County School District, Highlands Ranch, CO; Heather Abraham, previously Director of Outreach, Washington School for the Deaf, and Debbie Pfeiffer, Virginia Department of Education. Please contact Cheryl DeConde Johnson, Ed.D. at <u>cheryl@colorado.edu</u> or <u>cdj1951@gmail.com</u> with comments or for further information.

PARC: Placement and Readiness Checklists, C.D. Johnson, Revised 2011. Reproduction is permitted.

PARC: General Education Inclusion Readiness Checklist¹

Each year during the Individual Education Program (IEP) process, discussion of whether a student is benefitting from, or ready to benefit from, placement in the general education classroom should be based on analysis of pertinent skills as well as the student's academic performance. The items in this scale include ones suggested by Nevins and Chute in the Mainstream Checklist they designed for children with hearing impairments. The scale represents some of the basic critical skills and behaviors that are thought to contribute to successful participation and inclusion.

<u>Directions</u>: Rate each item using the rubric scale of 1 to 5 that best describes the student's performance. <u>Interpretation</u>: Students with higher ratings (mostly 4s and 5s) will likely be able to participate more successfully in the general education classroom. Ratings in the 2-3 range indicate that the skill is emerging but still requires significant support to benefit from the general education setting. Students with lower ratings (mostly 1 and 2) will likely require specialized instruction from a teacher of the deaf with focus on language, communication, concept, and academic skill development. Participation should be determined on a class by class basis.

General Education Inclusion Readiness Checklist											
Name:			Date:								
Completed by:											
1	2	2 3 4									
1. Knowledge of clas	sroom routines and a	ability to handle transi	tions:								
🗅 Appears unaware	🗆 Makes	Makes transitions	🗆 Makes	Aware of							
of routine/does not	transitions with	by observing others	transitions with	routines/makes							
make transitions	adult assistance		verbal/sign	transitions							
			prompting	independently							
2. Following Directio	ns:										
Does not follow	Follows	Follows directions	Follows	Follows directions							
directions	directions with	by observing others	directions	independently							
	adult assistance		verbal/sign prompt								
3. Attention to class	sroom instruction (as	compared to classmate	es):								
Student is	Attends less	Attends 50%	🛛 Attends 75%	Attends 100% of							
disengaged	that 25% of the	of the time	of the time	the time							
	time										
4. Comprehension of	classroom instructio	n:									
Example:											
Does not	Appears to	Appears to	Appears to	Appears to have							
comprehend	understand	understand	understand most	complete							
	information that	information that is	information	understanding of all							
	is familiar/highly	familiar/highly	presented	information							
	structured	structured and some									
		information that is									
		new or less									
		structured									
5. Typical behavior		understood:	1								
🖵 Drops	Facial cues	Looks to another	Asks for	Indicates							
out/engages in	indicate lack of	student for	assistance from	specific content not							
irrelevant activity	understanding	assistance	teacher	understood							

¹ Adapted with permission from M.E. Nevins and P. Chute (1996). Mainstream Checklist: Checklist for observing classroom participation of hearing impaired student. In *Children with Cochlear Implants in Educational Settings*, (Appendix J). Singular Publishing Group, Inc.

1	2	3	4	5
6. Typical response	behavior:		·	
Student is	Does not	Answers when	Answers when	Volunteers
disengaged	respond when	called on but	called on with	response/comment
	called on	response is not	response on topic	and is on topic
		related to topic		
7. Student's respons	e and comments in l	ecture/teacher directe	d activities:	
Example:				
□ None made	Not related to	Incorrect but	Correct and	Enriching to the
	the topic	related to the topic	related to the topic	discussion
8. Student's particip	bation in group discus	sion and cooperative le	earning:	
Describe contex	t :		-	
Student is	Attentive	Attentive;	🛛 Attentive;	🖵 Participates
disengaged.	initially; gives up	participation not	comments	constructively
	, , , ,	productive	appropriately some	
		•	of the time	
9. Attends and proc	esses chain of comm	unication:		
Does not	Aware of	Follows chain of	Follows chain of	Follows chain of
acknowledge	multiple speakers	communication	communication	communication
speaker	in chain of	understanding 50%	understanding 75%	understanding 90%
	communication	of information or	of information or	of information or
		less	more	more
10. Independently in	nitiates communicatio	n interaction within the	e classroom or self-ini	tiates a comment:
Does not initiate	🗆 Initiates	🗆 Initiates	🗆 Initiates	🗆 Initiates
	inappropriately	appropriately 50-	appropriately 70-	appropriately 90%
		70% of the time	90% of the time	of the time or more
11. Academic Perfor	mance (reading, wri	ting, math):		
More than 3 years	2-3 years below	1-2 years below	Within 1 year of	At or above grade
below grade level:	grade level:	grade level:	grade level:	level:
reading	reading	reading	reading	reading
□ writing	□ writing	□ writing	□ writing	□ writing
🗆 math	🗆 math	🗆 math	🗆 math	🗆 math
12. Language Skills:				
more than 3 years	2-3 years below	1-2 years below age	Within 1 year of age	At or above age
below age level:	age level:	level:	level:	level:
receptive	□ receptive	□ receptive	□ receptive	□ receptive
<pre>expressive</pre>	<pre> expressive </pre>	<pre>expressive</pre>	□ expressive	<pre>expressive</pre>
13. Self-Advocacy S				
□ does not know	does not usually	does not usually	□ most of the time	consistently
when information is	know <i>when</i>	know when	recognizes when	recognizes when
misunderstood,	information is	information is	information is	information is
does not know how	misunderstood;	misunderstood, but	misunderstood, how	misunderstood, how
to ask for	knows <i>how</i> to ask	knows <i>how</i> to ask for	to ask for	to ask for
assistance	for assistance but	assistance	assistance, and <i>when</i>	assistance, and
	manner is not	appropriately when	it is appropriate to	when it is
	appropriate	needed	ask for repetition	appropriate to ask
				for repetition
	1		1	

Notes:

PARC: Interpreted/Transliterated Education Readiness Checklist¹

For students who utilize educational interpreting/transliterating services (oral, sign language, cued speech), access to instruction in the general education classroom is dependent on the ability of the interpreter/transliterator and classroom teacher to work together to support the student, the accuracy with which the information is interpreted/transliterated, and the skills of the student to utilize the interpreter/transliterator. This checklist contains skills that have been found to be important for a student to be a successful consumer of educational interpreting/transliterating services.

Each year during the Individual Education Program (IEP), discussion of a student's ability to fully benefit from placement in the general education classroom should be based on analysis of several skills as well as the student's academic performance.

<u>Directions</u>: Rate each of the following skills according to the scale of *always* (>90%), *frequently* (70-90%), *sometimes* (40-69%), *rarely* (10-39%) or *never* (<10%).

<u>Interpretation</u>: Students with mostly ratings of "always" and "frequently" are most likely to benefit from an interpreted/transliterated education program. Areas with ratings of "sometimes" indicate the skill is emerging but still may need significant support. Students with many ratings of "rarely" or "never" will require a program that utilizes more direct instruction from a teacher for students who are Deaf/HH. This checklist can also be used to identify student goals to develop interpreter/transliterator readiness skills as well as to monitor developing student competence with the skills.

PARC Part 1 Readiness Checklists: Interpreted Education Readiness Checklist, C.D. Johnson, 2010.

¹ Adapted with permission. Schick, B., (2004). Interpreter Use Inventory. In *Colorado Department of Education Educational Interpreter Handbook*, 2nd Edition.

	Interpreted/Transliterated Education Readiness Checklist									
Na	me:		Date:							
Cor	npleted by:									
		NEVER	RARELY	SOME	FREQ A	WAYS				
		<10%			70-90%					
1.	Does the student demonstrate the ability to:									
	a. learn abstract and/or decontextualized material with									
	minimal expansion?									
	b. learn new vocabulary from typical classroom exposure?									
	c. comprehend class content and instructions?									
	d. understand what to do without the									
	interpreter/transliterator directing?									
	e. make age-appropriate progress without excessive									
	assistance?									
	f. complete class material and tests without interpreter assistance?									
	 g. store, retrieve, and organize information received through an interpreter/transliterator? 									
2.	Does the student demonstrate understanding of the role of									
	the interpreter/transliterator by:									
	a. having appropriate expectations (based on the student's									
	age) for the interpreter/transliterator?									
	b. maintaining appropriate boundaries with the									
	interpreter/transliterator?									
	 allowing the interpreter/transliterator to mostly interpret/transliterate? 			_	-					
	interpret/transiterate?									
3.	Does the student demonstrate how to use the									
	interpreter/transliterator by:									
	a. recruiting interpreting assistance when needed?									
	b. understanding when an interpreter is needed?									
	c. advocating for communication needs?									
	d. speaking up about missing information?									
	e. requesting clarification from the interpreter?									
	f. participating in class discussion?									
	g. participating in small group discussion?									
4	Dear the student demonstrate ennonniete social									
4.	Does the student demonstrate appropriate social development by:									
	a. interacting with peers about class materials?									
	 b. interacting with peers about social issues? 									
	 c. appreciating a variety of traits and personalities? 									
	d. experiencing authentic peer relationships?									
	e. arguing, playing, preferring, negotiating, or persuading		-	-	-	-				
	peers?									
	f. participating appropriately in class?									
			4	-		-				
5.	Attentional Factors - Does the student									
	a. attend to the interpreter/transliterator and the teacher?									
	b. manage the multiple visual and/or auditory demands of			_	-	-				
	complex visual and auditory environments?									

PARC: Captioning/Transcribing Readiness Checklist¹

Captioning and transcribing are real time speech to text services that provide critical access to instruction for many students with hearing loss as well as English Language Learners (ELL) and other students with listening, attention, or learning disabilities. Before implementing these services, careful consideration must be made regarding the student's literacy skills and ability to use written information as well as social skills. An individual's reading rate is likely to be affected by the level of difficulty of the material² and also on the purpose for reading as well as other factors³. Depending upon the student's language and reading skills, a choice between a verbatim and a meaning-for-meaning service must be determined.

Captioning is a verbatim, word for word, transcription service while the meaning-for-meaning system adapts and condenses the vocabulary and modifies the language so that it is understandable to the user. CART (Computerized Access in Real-time) is an example of a formal captioning system with highly trained captioners delivering material. Meaning-for-meaning transcription systems utilize special equipment and/or software that assist with inputting the text with an on-site notetaker adapting the vocabulary and language. TypeWell (www.typewell.com) and C-Print (www.ntid.rit.edu/cprint) are examples of these types of systems. The delivery of captioning and transcribing requires specific training. The services can be provided with the captioner or transcriber in the classroom or remotely. Some systems may also have an option of using speech recognition software in place of a transcriber or captioner although at this time the accuracy of speech recognition software is highly variable. All systems utilize a laptop computer or hand-held device for the user; remote systems require internet access and some may also require a phone line. In addition to these formal programs, captioning may also be provided informally in the classroom as long as the captioners/transcribers are trained to appropriately provide the service.

In addition to a formal training in captioning and transcribing, an individual providing these services to a student should have a good understanding of the student's language, reading, and communication levels. Transcripts are useful tools for reviewing material discussed in class: for parents to review with their children to assist with homework; for teachers to reinforce concepts taught in class, and to help students who are not deaf or hard of hearing, but who may have missed class. Schools using these services should develop policy regarding who has access to the transcript.

For additional information on captioning and transcription services, see *Providing Real-time Captioning, C-Print®*, Speech to Print Transcription and Assistive Listening Devices - Questions and Answers: A handbook that answers questions related to real-time captioning, C-Print and assistive listening devices. Produced by MCPO. 2000. Item #: 109. Available from: http://pdc.pepnet.org/content/PDFforms/PEPNetCatalog3.pdf.

<u>Directions</u>: Rate each of the following skills according to the scale of *always* (>90%), *frequently* (70-90%), *sometimes* (40-69%), *rarely* (10-39%) or *never* (<10%).

<u>Interpretation</u>: Students with mostly ratings of "always" and "frequently" are most likely to benefit from the use of captioning/transcribing services with consideration given to the type of service that is most appropriate. Areas with ratings of "sometimes" indicate the skill is emerging, but still may need significant support. Students with many ratings of "rarely" or "never" should not utilize these services and will require other accommodations. This checklist can also be used to identify student goals to prepare students to use captioning/transcribing services in the future.

² Carver (1974) as cited in Shroyer & Birch, 1980

¹ Adapted from Interpreter Use Inventory (B. Schick, 2004) by C.D. Johnson, D. Pfeiffer, and B.Parrish-Nowicki.

³ Shroyer & Birch, 1980

PARC Part 1 Readiness Checklists: Captioning/Transcribing Readiness Checklist, C.D. Johnson, 2011.

	 a. read English at the instructional language level used by the teacher? b. learn abstract and/or decontextualized material with minimal expansion? c. learn new vocabulary from typical classroom exposure? d. comprehend written class content and instructions? e. understand what to do without continuous prompting from a teacher or other adult? f. make age-appropriate progress without excessive assistance? g. complete class material and tests without frequent teacher assistance? h. store, retrieve, and organize transcription information received through captioning or transcribing? Communication strengths/preferences. Does the student: a. prefer reception of academic information in print? b. require captioning/transcription services? c. display motivation to read captions/transcripts of class content? (Note: studies show this to be an important factor in successful use of captioning/transcription services?) d. understand classmates' questions/comments in class? c. communicate his/her own questions/comments in class? c. communicate for the student. f. need technology that would allow two-way communication with the transcriber? g. read at a speed on linguistic level that would require or benefit from condensing strategies? r. <i>TRANSCRIPTION is recommended in this situation (Type Well and C-print provide this service).</i> g. read at a speed on linguistic level that would enable the 						
Na	me:		D	oate:			
Co	mple	eted by:					
			<10%	10-39%	40-69%	70-90%	» 9 0%
1.	Do						
	α.						
						u	
	b.						
		•					
			_	—			
		•					
	e.						
	~			_	_	_	_
	t.		U	L	4		
	~						
	y.	•		-	-	-	
	h						
			-	-	-	-	-
2	C -1						
2.							
				u		4	
	D.		_	_	_	_	_
	-						
	C.						
						_	_
	d				U		
	u.						
	0			_			_
	С.		-	-	-	-	-
		•					
	f.						
			_	_	_	_	_
	a.						
	5						
		reduced language and reading levels.					
	h.	read at a speed and linguistic level that would enable the					
		student to receive the teacher's speech verbatim, using full					
		text captioning.					
		CAPTIONING is recommended in this situation.					
3.	Do	es the student demonstrate how to use					
		tioning/transcribing services by:					
	a. '	asking for assistance when needed?					
	b.	understanding when additional support is needed (e.g., an					
		interpreter, or teacher of the deaf)?					
	c.	advocating for communication needs?					

PARC Part 1 Readiness Checklists: Captioning/Transcribing Readiness Checklist, C.D. Johnson, 2011.

	d.	speaking up about missing information?					
	e.	requesting clarification from the teacher?					
	f.	participating in class discussion?					
	g.	participating in small group discussion?					
	h.	utilizing captions/transcripts as notes for study after					
		class?					
	i.	utilizing highlighting/notetaking tools included in newer					
		transcription software during class, if available?					
	j.	using messaging technology to communicate		-	-	-	-
	v	questions/comments to captioner during class (if available in					
		transcription software)?					
4.	Doe	es the student demonstrate adequate social development to:					
	а.	interact with peers about class materials without support?					
	b.	interact with peers about social issues without support?					
	с.	participate appropriately in class?					
	d.	take the responsibility to doublecheck spelling of new					
		vocabulary in notes after class?					
	e.	benefit from remote captioning/transcribing?					
5.	4++	rentional Factors - Does the student					
J.		attend to the captioning/transcribing and the teacher?	_	-			
	а. ь						
	b.	manage the multiple visual and/or auditory demands of					
		complex visual and auditory environments (reading					
		captions/transcript; looking at teacher; looking at					
		classmates to get the mood of the class; review graphics					
		from board/book, etc)?					

Notes:

PARC: Instructional Communication Access Checklist¹

The Instructional Communication Access Checklist contains indicators that are thought to represent skills necessary for students to access and fully participate in their learning environment. The checklist is designed to analyze the communication approaches used by a student to access instruction and how proficient the student is using that approach whether it is listening and spoken language (LSL), sign/cue, or both. The General Skills section considers competencies that lead to learner independence and may assist with Individual Education Program (IEP) team decisions regarding the level of instructional support needed. Responses to the remaining questions should be based on the student's present learning environment or the one being considered for the student. Examples of typical learning environments are: a special class with direct instruction from a teacher of the deaf or other special educator, a general education classroom with most instruction delivered by the classroom teacher that may also include an interpreter/transliterator, or a setting where class size is smaller and instruction, though provided by the classroom teacher, is more individualized for each student.

The growing number of students who have gained effective use of their listening and spoken language skills with cochlear implants and advanced hearing instrument technology has increased the emphasis on placements in typical learning environments. One aim of this checklist is to help the IEP team determine a student's readiness for instruction through a LSL approach as well as to monitor progress in these critical skill areas through the transition processes from sign/cue.

Due to changing student, instruction, and environmental conditions, consideration of whether a student is ready to benefit from placement and services in the general education classroom, or other setting, as well as the communication competencies of the student that are necessary to sustain meaningful participation in the desired learning environment, should be reconsidered each year through the IEP review process.

<u>Directions</u>: Using the column that represents the communication approach used by the student, rate each of the following skills according to the scale of *always* (>90%), *frequently* (70-90%), *sometimes* (40-69%), *rarely* (10-39%) or *never* (<10%). Some students may only use one approach and other students may use a combination. Then determine how proficiently the student is functioning by identifying the communication approach used and selecting a rating using a scale of 1 to 6 (1= not at all proficient; 6= completely proficient). Multiple colors or symbols can be used to rate proficiency with each approach if desired.

<u>Interpretation</u>: Compare the proficiency level of the student to the approach used to determine if that approach is appropriate or if adjustments may be needed to increase student access to instruction. Students with mostly ratings of "always" or "frequently" are most likely to be successful in the identified communication approach if their proficiency level is high. Areas with ratings of "sometimes" indicate the skill is emerging but still may need significant support. Students with several ratings of "rarely" or "never" in the LSL column will likely require a program that utilizes more sign-supported or cue-supported speech or other direct instruction support for clarification of information. Students with several ratings of "rarely" or "never" in the sign/cue column may require additional direct instruction support for clarification of information. Students with general skills at these rating levels ("rarely" or "never") likely have insufficient skills to be able to function in general education classes without significant support. This checklist can also be used to identify student goals to develop specific skills in the desired approach as well as to monitor developing competence with these skills.

¹ Adapted with permission. *Competencies for Transition from Manual to Oral Instruction, the Child with a Cochlear Implant.* Boston Center for Deaf and Hard of Hearing Children, Children's Hospital of Boston, 2003.

PARC Part 1 Readiness Checklists: Instructional Communication Access Checklist, C.D. Johnson, Revised 2011.

Instructional Communication Access Checklist

	LI	STEN	ING &	SPO	KEN		🗆 SIG	N/CUE	E ONL	.y	HOW
		LANG	UAGE	(LSL))		LSL W	ITH S	5IGN/	CUE	PROFICIENT?
Name:				%					%		APPROACH:
	%	%6	%6	0-0	%(%	%6	%6	0-0	%(🗆 LSL
Date: Grade:	,10 ,	0-3	0-6	х Х	6	9	0-3	0-6	к Х	<u>6</u>	□ SIGN/CUE
Completed by:	Never~10%	Rarely 10-39%	Some 40-69%	⁻ requently 70-0%	Always >90%	Never<10%	Rarely 10-39%	Some 40-69%	² requently 70-0%	Always >90%	LSL WITH
Title:	Ne	are	Mo	ane	Alw	Ze	are	ų	ane	Alw	SIGN/CUE
1111e		ă	S	Fre			ă	S	Fre		Not at all-Completely 1 2 3 4 5 6
1. General Skills											123450
Does the student demonstrate the ability to											
a. learn abstract and/or decontextualized material with minimal											123456
expansion?											123456
b. store, retrieve, and organize information received?											123456
c. learn new vocabulary from typical classroom exposure?											
d. understand what to do without on-on-one direction?											123456
e. make age-appropriate progress without excessive assistance?											123456
f. complete class material and tests independently?											123456
g. self-advocate for communication and learning needs?											
2. Receptive Language											
Does the student											
a. understand connected discourse used by adults in the proposed											
education setting?											1 2 3 4 5 6
b. comprehend and follow directions related to the curriculum?											123456
c. understand the language of instructional activities and posses a											
language base strong enough to learn topics in depth?											1 2 3 4 5 6
d. attend to group conversations?											123456
e. demonstrate incidental learning?											1 2 3 4 5 6
f. exhibit relative speed in processing of new information comparable											
to classroom peers? g. demonstrate the above receptive skills for language in the											123456
g. demonstrate the above receptive skills for language in the following settings:											
■ one-on-one?											1 2 3 4 5 6
small group?											123456
 large group? 											123456

PARC Part 1 Readiness Checklists: Instructional Communication Access Checklist, C.D. Johnson, Revised 2011.

	LISTENING & SPOKEN LANGUAGE (LSL)													
Name:		. 0		%(. 0		%(A	PPRC		ł:
Date: Grade:	%0	Rarely 10-39%	Some 40-69%	Frequently 70-0%	Always >90%	%0	Rarely 10-39%	Some 40-69%	⁻ requently 70-0%	Always >90%		⊔∟ SIGN		IC .
	Never<10%	10-	-0 1	Ę 1	و ہ د	Never<10%	10-	- 6	₹ 2	و s و		LSL		
Completed by:	eve	e /	ne 4	luar	vay:	eve	e∕~	ne 4	luar	vay:		IGN		
Title:	Z	Ran	Sor	reg L	Alv	Z	Ran	Sor	regu	Alv				- pletely
				Ē					ű		1 2	2 3	4	56
3. Expressive Language														
Does the student														
a. express him/herself in a manner that is easily understood by												_		
familiar adults?											12	3 ·	45	6
less familiar adults?												-	45	6
peers in academic and social conversations?											1 2	3 ·	45	6
b. use no more that 2 to 3 repairs/rephrases per conversation with														
an adult?											12	3 ·	45	6
c. carry on a conversation with one peer														
independently?												-		6
with assistance?											1 2	3 ·	45	6
d. participate in group conversation (NOTE: group number should be														
comparable to the typical size in the proposed educational setting)														
independently?											1 2	3 ·	45	6
with facilitation by an adult?											1 2	3 ·	45	6
e. express ideas and convey a meaningful message using connected														
language?											1 2	3	45	6
f. adjust expressive style (pragmatics) to match environmental	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	—				
conditions?											1 2	3 ·	45	6
4. Written Language Skills (reading and writing)														
Does the student														
a. demonstrate reading skills comparable to potential														
classmates/peers?											1 2	3 ·	45	6
b. demonstrate written skills comparable to potential														
classmates/peers?											12	3	45	6
		-	-	-	-		-	-	_	-		-		-

Notes: