

TYPICAL COMMENTS ABOUT ISLAM FROM DETRACTORS

&

WHAT YOUR RESPONSES SHOULD BE

1. Islam is a religion of Peace

All the doctrinal texts of Islam divide the entire world into two parts – the Dar al Islam, the house or abode of Peace where sharia is the law of the land, and the Dar al Harb, the house or abode of war. Any land which is not governed by Islamic Law is considered the Dar al Harb and all inhabitants are “harbi” or “enemy personnel, persons from the territory of war.” There exists in Islamic Law the permanent command for all Muslims to reduce the Dar al Harb to non-existence until the entire world is subordinated to Islamic Law. The vehicle for accomplishing this is called “Jihad” which is only defined as warfare against non-muslims until the world is claimed for Islam. There has never been any other definition of Jihad in Islamic Law at any time in history. There is no disagreement among Islamic scholars throughout history on this matter. When the Dar al Islam, the house of “Peace,” reigns in the entire world, meaning all humans on earth are subordinated to Islamic Law, then, according to Islam, there is “Peace.” Since Islamic Law requires the subjugation of non-muslims as “dhimmis” who must pay a non-Muslim tax (jizya) and take on second-class status within society without the same rights as Muslims under the law, when Islam claims it is a “religion of peace” they are correct. However, their definition of “peace” is equivalent of the Western definition of “slavery.”

2. Islam believes in “Freedom” and “Justice” for all people

As noted above, one must always receive information about Islam and analyze it based on the filter of Islamic Law. Words and meanings can only be used as they are defined in Islamic Law. In Islam, the term “Freedom” refers to the community being liberated from anything that is not strict adherence to Islamic Law. “Justice” is justice only as defined under Islamic Law. This changes the meaning of someone fighting for freedom and justice significantly.

3. You must read the entire Koran to get its full understanding

The Koranic concept of abrogation states the verses in the Koran which were revealed to Mohammed chronologically later, abrogate (overrule) earlier verses with which there are inconsistencies. “When We substitute one revelation for another - and Allah knows best what He reveals in stages - They say, “Thou art but a forger”: But most of them understand not.” (Koran

16:101) “None of Our revelations do we abrogate or cause to be forgotten, but we substitute something better or similar; knowest thou not that Allah hath power over all things?” (Koran 2:106)

Islamic scholars have determined the chronological order of the chapters. Only suras (chapters) in the Koran from Medina have any bearing on sharia (Islamic Law). Sura (Chapter) 5 is the last Sura in the Koran (chronologically) to discuss relations between Muslims and non-muslims, and Sura 9 (Sura of the Sword) is the last Sura to discuss “Jihad.”

“Take not the Jews and Christians as friends and protectors, they are but friends and protectors of each other. And he amongst you that turns to them for friendship is of them. Verily, Allah guideth not the unjust.” (Koran 5:51) Islamic Law defines this to mean Muslims cannot take Jews or Christians to be friends, and Muslims who do will go to hell.

“Fight and slay the unbeliever wherever ye find them and lie and wait for them in every strategem of war. But if they repent and establish regular prayers and practice regular charity, then open the way for them for Allah is Oft-forgiving and Most Merciful.” (Koran 9:5 – the Verse of the Sword) This verse is for pagans – they have two choices: convert to Islam or be killed.

“Fight those who believe not in Allah nor the Last Day, nor hold that forbidden which hath been forbidden by Allah and His Apostle, nor acknowledge the religion of truth, even if they are of the people of the Book, until they pay the jizya with willing submission, and feel themselves subdued.” (Koran 9:29) This is the last word on Jihad in the Koran and permanently obligates Jihad against all non-believers for all time. This command gives “People of the Book” (Jews, Christians, Zoroastrians) the option of becoming a Dhimmi - second class ruled by Islamic Law – who must pay the non-Muslim tax known as “jizya.”

4. Jihad is a personal struggle

In Islamic Law, Jihad has only ever been defined as “warfare against non-muslims,” and is required until all the world is subordinated to Islamic Law. There are different levels of jihad – jihad with the sword, with the pen, with the mouth, with the heart (hate and despise all non-muslims). This is all warfare. There are different requirements for Offensive jihad (only Caliph or regional leader can order this), and Defensive Jihad (obliged to fight). The concept of a “Defensive war” in Islam is very different from the Western military concept. Land that has ever been claimed for Islam may be retaken under a “defensive” jihad. When any nation is seen by the Muslim world as repressing the Muslims’ ability to practice Islam (ie implement Sharia) a “defensive” jihad can be fought in defense of the Muslim community. In Islam, Jihad is total

war. It is, primarily, total war intended to dislocate someone's faith – faith in their system, their leaders, their God, and all else in their lives. This is why this enemy comes at the West with violence, political warfare, subversion, propaganda, influence operations, and everything else in the warfare trick-bag. They are fighting a total war against us, and we still don't know the only definition in Islamic Law for "Jihad."

5. Jihad as "holy war" is the lesser Jihad. The greater Jihad is an inner personal struggle

In Islamic Law Jihad is only defined as "warfare against non-muslims." Jihad as fighting can be found in the Koran, as noted above, and is found on numerous occasions in the most strictly authenticated Hadiths by Bukhari and Muslim – the two most authoritative Hadith scholars. The only Hadiths discussing the "Greater Jihad" are weak or false Hadith. There is no recognition of the Greater Jihad in Islamic Law.

6. Osama bin Laden did not have the authority to call for a Jihad

Osama bin Laden needed no authority to remind the Muslim community of its obligation under Islamic Law, which is what he did. The duty upon all Muslims to participate in Jihad when non-muslims are in Muslim lands is clear and obligatory in Islamic Law. It is a "weighty matter" that has to be addressed immediately under Islamic Law. Bin Laden continued to make the Muslim communities aware of the law – as any Muslim is allowed to do.

7. You cannot understand Koran unless you speak "Classical Arabic"

Like all other reading material written in a language other than English, the Koran can be authoritatively translated into English. We can officially translate Mandarin Chinese, Spanish, Uzbek, and many other languages into English. Is Arabic some kind of magical language which cannot be translated into English? 80% of the Islamic world does not speak Arabic. Of those who do speak Arabic, very few speak "classical" Arabic. Are these 80% of the Islamic population not real Muslims? Can they understand the Koran?

8. If Islam is so violent, why are there so many peaceful muslims?

All Islamic Law requires Jihad, only defined as "warfare against non-muslims" and is obligatory for all Muslims for all time. However, Muslims can only wage Jihad when they have the power and resources to do so and win. Otherwise, they must wait until the Muslim community is in a position to win a Jihad. Whether or not all or most Muslims follow the requirements of Islamic Law (Sharia) does not change its requirements. It is not worth speculating why some Muslims

adhere to the requirements of Islamic Law and others do not. The fact of the matter is Islamic Law exists, all of it requires Jihad until the entire world is submitted to Sharia, and thousands of Muslims around the world are killing non-muslims and doing so in furtherance of implementing Sharia.

As Islam takes greater root in a community and the number of Muslims increase, we see: the construction of more mosques and cultural centers; the creation of organizations and businesses to support only the Islamic community; and know from their doctrine and a multitude of comments by the leadership that wherever an Islamic community exists Sharia must be the law used by that community; as Sharia takes hold in the Islamic community the greater the likelihood that some number of its adherents will act on the requirements of the Sharia to use violent Jihad as the vehicle to further Islam; and the community is required to support the jihad and Jihadis.

9. There is also a lot of violence in Jewish and Christian holy books

There may be violence in a variety of religions, systems, and groups, and there are sometimes stories of violence in other religious holy books. However, neither Christian nor Jewish law mandates violence, genocide, or murder against other groups or religions, as is required by muslims against Christians and Jews in Islamic Law, which comes directly from the Koran and the example of the Prophet Mohammad. Neither does this point, in any way, deal with the present danger to the West from the Global Islamic Movement.

10. All Islam needs is a “Reformation”

Making this statement necessarily assumes there is something wrong with Islam – if not, why does it need a reformation? As should be plain to anyone who has examined the Islamic sources, to take the violence out of Islam would require it to jettison two things: the Koran as the word of Allah, and Muhammad as Allah's prophet. In other words, to pacify Islam would require its transformation into something that it is not. There is also jurisprudence in Sharia to prevent a reformation from occurring. Scholarly consensus or “Ijma” sealed the declarations of laws until the prophet comes back again. Believing otherwise is a crime against Sharia and has legal consequences. Specifically, the following Koranic verses make clear the Koran reveals a perfect and complete message, therefore, no more information or reformation is required:

5:3 (“...Today, the disbelievers have given up concerning (the eradication of) your religion; do not fear them and fear Me instead. Today, I have completed your religion, perfected My blessing upon you, and I have decreed Submission as the religion for you.”); **6:38** (All the creatures on earth, and all the birds that fly with wings, are communities like you. We did not leave anything out of this book...”); and **16:89** (The day will come when we will raise from every community a witness from among them, and bring you

as the witness of these people. We have revealed to you this book to provide explanations for everything, and guidance, and mercy, and good news for the submitters.)

11. Most terrorists come from poverty stricken areas – the root of terrorism is economic deprivation

While economic issues may affect some revolutionary and terrorist organizations in the world, there is absolutely no factual basis for this statement as it relates to Islamic Jihad. The leadership of the Jihadi movement and many of the Jihadis have graduate level academic degrees and come from middle or upper class backgrounds. Furthermore, Islamic doctrine requires Jihad, regardless of economic situation.

12. The Crusades were an unprovoked attack on Muslims

By mentioning the Crusades, the hope of the Islamic apologist is to draw attention away from Islamic violence and paint religions in general as morally equivalent. The Crusades were ordered in 1095 by Pope Urban II at the Council of Clermont after over 450 years of Muslim incursion into Western lands. The muslims under Caliph Umar seized Jerusalem in 638 AD, which was the Jewish and Christian Holy Land until that point. The attack on Jerusalem was initiated by the muslims against the Christians and Jews before any acts of warfare were taken against the Muslims. Also, the Crusades were a response to a request by the Byzantine Emperor to provide assistance after the attack into Byzantine lands in the Battle of Manzikurt. The Crusaders were sent to fight the Muslims to defend the Christian pilgrims in the Holy Land. Violence committed in the name of other religions is logically unconnected to the question of whether Islam mandates Jihad until the world is claimed for Islam.

13. Jews and Christians have lived for centuries in muslim societies without any difficulty

Under sharia, non-muslims are required to obey the law as second-class citizens. They are not allowed to build new churches or synagogues, nor repair those that already exist. They cannot have jobs which put them in positions where they are superior to any muslims. Throughout history, per sharia, Muslims have allowed non-muslims who have skills good for the community to continue their efforts as long as they abide by sharia. Under this law, a person who is not a muslim must pay the “jizya” or non-muslim poll tax and become a sub-citizen to Islamic society. Major achievements under these conditions in science, math, and other areas are always credited to the “muslim society” despite the fact that they were actually accomplished by non-muslims.

14. Jews also have stoning in their law – Jewish law (Halakha) is allowed in the U.S, why can't we have Islamic Law here?

Jewish law specifically states that its adherents (Jews) must follow the laws of the land in which they live. Islamic Law specifically states sharia is the “law of the land.” Islamic Law specifically forbids Muslims to follow laws which contradict sharia.

15. Islam is one of the world's great religions – One God Three Abrahamic Faiths!

Islam requires all non-muslims who do not convert to Islam or submit to Islamic Law and pay the jizya to be killed. Sacred Hadith Scholars Bukhari and Muslim both recorded Mohammed saying the Islamic prophet “Jesus” will return and cast all Christians into hell for not converting to Islam, and will kill all Jews so Muslims may go to heaven (Bukhari 2222: Book 34, Hadith 169). The question must be posed: “How can the God of the Old Testament who called the Jews God's ‘chosen people’ call for their holocaust at the hands of the Muslims so Muslims can go to heaven?” Can the Jesus who said “I am the Way, the Truth, and the Life...he who abides in me I will abide in him...I and the Father are one” be the same Jesus/God who will cast all Christians into hell for not converting to Islam? None of these statements can be logically aligned with the same deity.

16. Muslims are also followers of Jesus

From a point of logic, the Christian Jesus cannot be understood to be equivalent to the Islamic Jesus.

Christian doctrine unequivocally states: Jesus was fully man and is the Son of God, one in a Trinity with God the Father and the Holy Spirit; he died and was resurrected to life on the third day; and he ascended into heaven where he sits at the right hand of God the Father. The Koran states Jesus was born of his virgin mother Mary and performed miracles, yet he is not viewed as the son of God, merely another prophet. Islam teaches Jesus was not crucified nor was he raised from the dead. Hadiths by Bukhari and Muslim (the most prominent Hadith scholars) state Jesus will return to earth at the end of days, break all the crosses, and cast all Christians into hell for failing to convert to Islam. Muslim leaders seek to get Christian leaders to find common ground at the point that they say they are “followers of Jesus.” This is the point on which Muslim leaders are able to get Christian leaders to blaspheme against Jesus, and accept him in the same vein Muslims understand Jesus.

17. In the Bible, Jesus said, “Go make Christians of all nations.” This is simply what Muslims are doing - spreading Islam.

Islam defines itself as a complete way of life governed by Islamic Law. While religion is a part of Islam, Islam is not merely a religion. All texts about Islam for Muslims state this. Muslims are mandated to spread sharia (Islamic Law), which is real law. The purpose is to subordinate all people to Islamic Law until the entire world is subordinated to it. The vehicle to do this is called “Jihad” and is only defined as “warfare against non-muslims” in ALL Islamic Law. This can never be rationally equated “sharing the gospel” with the world.

18. If the Palestinian-Israeli issue is resolved, or the United States revamped its foreign policy, there would be less terrorism internationally as well as domestically.

These are simply diversions. The Global Islamic Movement is energized because it has the capacity to act and sharia requires Muslims to do so. While certain foreign policies might exacerbate the situation in a local area or nation, this is not the **cause** of terrorism. How does it explain Jihad (terrorism) in places like the Philippines, Indonesia, or India? Sharia law does not mandate the stopping of killing infidels and apostates after Palestine is taken again. Only after the whole world is subordinated to sharia under a caliphate will the requirement for Jihad end.

19. Most Muslims in America are “moderate”

How does one come to a measurable definition of “moderate?” A 2007 Pew Research poll indicates that 27% of American Muslim males from the age of 18-35 support Al Qaeda. Since nearly all Muslim organizations in America are a part of the U.S. Muslim Brotherhood network, most mosques and Islamic Schools are owned/controlled by the MB, and Islamic Schools teach Jihad as fighting unbelievers, and that it is the duty of Muslims to be loyal only to the Islamic State, it is difficult to factually prove most Muslims in America support a moderate Islamic worldview. As the leaders of “moderate” Turkey and Jordan have stated, “There is no moderate Islam. There is no radical Islam. There is only Islam.”

20. Stoning is not even in the Koran

Simply answered, there are 34 instances in authoritative hadith where Mohammed commands someone to be killed by stoning. Since Mohammad is the most perfect example of a Muslim, this punishment is sunnah - it is law. Hadith from Bukhari and Muslim - the two most authoritative hadith scholars - states: 'Umar said, "I am afraid that after a long time has passed, people may say, "We do not find the Verses of the Rajam (stoning to death) in the Holy Book,"

and consequently they may go astray by leaving an obligation that Allah has revealed. Lo! I confirm that the penalty of Rajam be inflicted on him who commits illegal sexual intercourse, if he is already married and the crime is proved by witnesses or pregnancy or confession." Sufyan added, "I have memorized this narration in this way." `Umar added, "Surely Allah's Messenger (ﷺ) carried out the penalty of Rajam, and so did we after him." (Bukhari 6829, Book 86, Hadith 56 / Muslim 1691, Book 29, Hadith 21)

21. Islam reveres women. There is actually a chapter in the Koran called “women”

There are Suras in the Koran called “cow,” “ant” and “spider” also. The names of the suras (chapters) generally have nothing to do with the content. The practical reality of how women are treated in societies where Islamic Law is the law of the land is prima facia evidence there is harsh treatment for women under Islamic rule and not equality. Women have a value that is equal to half that of a man. Women can be beaten by their husbands and are considered “property” under sharia. It should be noted that all Muslim nations in the world are members of the Organization of Islamic Cooperation (OIC) which has served legal documents to the United Nations defining “human rights” as rights defined under sharia. Therefore, Western concepts of “human rights” do not come into play when discussing this issue with sharia compliant Muslims or leaders of any Muslim nations.

22. Regarding Koran 4:34, Mohammed said if a Muslim beats his wife, he should do so with a toothbrush

First, this comes from weak Hadith. Secondly, there is no limiting factor for beating one’s wife in sharia. Muslim men beat their wives in large numbers in the Muslim world and in the West. Finally, it is illegal to beat one’s wife with anything in the United States, therefore, this is not a “religious” issue, but a legal one. Under U.S. law it is illegal.

23. My friend is a Korani and doesn’t believe in Sharia

Koranis have historically been killed in Muslim societies because they are apostates. Sharia comes from Allah and the words/actions of his prophet Mohammed, and must be obeyed. The Koran states Muslims are to obey Mohammed, but does not provide specific behavioral guidance - that is found in authoritative hadith. How can you obey if you do not know the behavior? Muslims are required to read hadith. Mohammed spoke constantly regarding the necessity of Muslims to follow allah’s law (sharia).

24. My friend is Muslim, and he says... (fill in the blank..."Islam doesn't stand for this")

That is nice. However, Islam is what its published doctrines say it is - and you can buy it and read it in English. Thomas Jefferson did! If your friend disagrees that means he/she either does not know or simply chooses not to conform to sharia here in the U.S. and, therefore, is not a threat. There is also Islamic Jurisprudence that requires Muslims to lie if it furthers the cause of Islam; if your friend just convinced you sharia is neither important nor valid, then he/she just furthered the cause of Islam.

25. The root of terrorism is Saudi Arabia – the Wahabbis

Saudis practice Hanbali Islamic Law, considered to be the most rigid of the four schools. If we only use the other three schools of Islamic Jurisprudence (Maliki, Shafi, and Hanafi), Jihad is still defined as "warfare against non-muslims" until the world is claimed for Islam, and the treatment of Christians and Jews is the same as Hanbali law. Ibn Wahabb was executed for being a heretic. Most non-Wahabbi Sunnis do not concur with the Wahabbis. They allow them to get along because Saudi Arabia is funding the global jihad. This statement is also easily dismissed when we look at support for the global implementation of Islamic Law by ALL Muslim countries per the Organization of Islamic Conference's (www.oic.org) documents, signed by the leadership of every Muslim nation on earth.

26. The violence we are seeing from the Muslim populations is the natural result of previous globalization by the Western Colonial powers

See Answer to question #1.

27. Timothy McVeigh was a Christian and a terrorist.

Nothing Timothy McVeigh espoused in attacking the Murrah Federal Building in Oklahoma is supported in Christian doctrine. At no time did Timothy McVeigh ever base his actions on Christianity nor say he did. Furthermore, Christian doctrine does not legitimize McVeigh's actions. ISIS and Al Qaeda are correct about what Islam requires - jihad until the world is under Islamic rule.

28. There are 1.2 billion Muslims in the world – what can you do?

This is a talking point provided by the Muslim Brotherhood. The intent is to get us to freeze and fail to act because the problem appears so overwhelming. In fact, regardless of the appearance of the problem, American leadership has an affirmative duty to protect and defend the U.S.

Constitution against all enemies, foreign and domestic, at all costs. If we want to live free, we must engage as well.

29. Islam is not monolithic and doesn't have a Pope

Islam has a universal doctrine - Sharia (Islamic Law). Additionally, all Muslim nations are Member States of the OIC, an organization that officially self-identifies itself as representing the Global Islamic community - Ummah. The collective leader of the Ummah is called the Caliph. The OIC calls for the implementation of Sharia globally and the re-establishment of the Global Islamic State (Caliphate). Islam is monolithic. 100% of its doctrine mandates jihad until Islam rules the world.

30. A Mosque is just like a church

A Mosque is used as it was used by the most perfect example in Islam - Mohammed. Mohammed built the first mosque in Medina, and it served as the seat of the Islamic government. It is a community meeting place, an operations center for war planning, a place to adjudicate law, a place to store food/water/weapons/ammunition, a place to pray/worship, a place to train Jihadis, and was the center of the Islamic community. The mosque is the place from which jihad was launched. When Muslims build a mosque, they are claiming ground for Islam that is forever Islamic land. A mosque is more accurately likened to an military outpost or embassy.

31. Most major Muslim organizations have condemned "terrorism" and are, therefore, trying to help the U.S. government

The entire Muslim world, via official documents of the OIC, defines "terrorism" as the "killing of a Muslim without right." Therefore, when Muslims or Muslim organizations condemn terrorism, they are using their terms, not yours. The most prominent Islamic organizations in the United States have offered nothing but condemnation of U.S. policies and open support for arrested and convicted terrorists.

32. There is no such thing as Sharia that is written down

Since Islamic Law can be purchased in every Mosque/Islamic bookstore in the world as well as on www.amazon.com in English, and is referenced and quoted by Islamic scholars across the globe, this is nonsense that should be called such when heard.

33. Thomas Jefferson revered Islam, which is why he bought a Koran. President Obama's Chief of Staff Denis McDonough, in a speech stated President Jefferson held the first Iftar Dinner at the White House

These statements are historically false with no basis of truth whatsoever. When first sworn in as the first Muslim Congressman, Keith Ellison used President Jefferson's Bible and stated he did so because President Jefferson respected Islam. President Jefferson did, in fact, respect Islam - as an enemy of the United States. In 1786, Thomas Jefferson, then the ambassador to France, and John Adams, then the ambassador to Britain, met in London with Sidi Haji Abdul Rahman Adja, the ambassador to Britain from Tripoli. The Americans asked Adja why his government was hostile to American ships, even though there had been no provocation. The ambassador's response was reported to the Continental Congress:

"That it was founded on the Laws of their Prophet, that it was written in their Koran, that all nations who should not have acknowledged their authority were sinners, that it was their right and duty to make war upon them wherever they could be found, and to make slaves of all they could take as Prisoners, and that every Musselman [Muslim] who should be slain in Battle was sure to go to Paradise."

This letter can be found today in the Library of Congress. The first war America fought after the Revolution was against the Muslims of the Barbary States. That is historical fact. President Jefferson obtained a Koran in order to know his enemy subsequent to the exchange with the Ambassador Adja from Tripoli.

Comments 34-37 refer to the US v Holy Land Foundation for Relief and Development Trial

34. You cannot say all the major Muslim organizations in America are bad

Actually, we do not say they are "bad" we say they exist to destroy the United States and are necessarily hostile to our way of life. Evidence entered in the US v HLF trial - the largest terrorism financing and Hamas trial ever successfully prosecuted in U.S. history (Dallas 2008) – demonstrates the most prominent Muslim organizations in North America are Muslim Brotherhood organizations to include: Islamic Society of North America (ISNA), Muslim Student Association (MSA), North American Islamic Trust (NAIT), International Institute of Islamic Thought (IIIT), Islamic Circle of North America (ICNA), Islamic Medical Association (IMANA), and many others. Evidence further demonstrates the Council for American-Islamic Relations (CAIR) is a Hamas organization. Evidence in the HLF trial revealed ISNA & NAIT directly funded Hamas, a designated terrorist organization. CAIR, ISNA, and NAIT are all unindicted co-conspirators in this case. Other evidence and facts reveal the Muslim American

Society (MAS), the Muslim Public Affairs Council (MPAC), the Muslim Legal Fund of America (MLFA), and many other prominent Islamic organizations are part of the Muslim Brotherhood's jihadi network in America.

35. The information you are speaking of is over 20 years old - you cannot continue to taint these fine Islamic organizations with old information

The HLF trial was adjudicated in November of 2008 and hearings in the case continued after 2014. This information is still pertinent today. There is no evidence which indicates any of these organizations have ceased doing what they were created to do - act on behalf of the Muslim Brotherhood in North America with their stated objective is to wage Civilization Jihad against Western Civilization to destroy us.

36. The author of the much-quoted Muslim Brotherhood "strategic document" is an obscure author who has no relevance in the Muslim community

The MB's "An Explanatory Memorandum" is the Muslim Brotherhood's strategy for North America. It was discovered during an FBI raid of the residence of a senior MB/Hamas operative in Annandale, Virginia in 2004 (Ismail Elbarasse) where the archives of the Muslim Brotherhood in North America were discovered. Elbarasse worked directly with the leader of Hamas in the United States. Many of the documents found at the Elbarasse residence were entered into evidence in the largest terrorism financing and Hamas trial ever successfully prosecuted in U.S. History (US v HLF, Dallas, 2009). An Explanatory Memorandum was written by Mohammed Akram. Documents entered during the HLF trial revealed Akram is a senior Hamas leader and a member of the Board of Directors for the Muslim Brotherhood in North America. Both of these men were prominent leaders in the Islamic Movement.

37. Everything you are saying is a lie. You obviously hate all Muslims. Everything presented here is factually untrue and biased. This is a ploy to create hate for Islam and all Muslims.

It is not an emotional endeavor to state facts about Islamic Law and the Muslim Brotherhood directly from published sources reflecting either published Islamic Law put out by the Muslim community or in documents admitted into evidence at a federal trial regarding Muslim Brotherhood front organizations in the United States. As a patriotic American, how can you support the implementation of foreign law here in the U.S.? For some of you who have taken oaths to protect and defend the Constitution against all enemies as a part of your duties in your profession, how can you allow to happen what you took oaths to prevent?

Every piece of information presented about Islamic Law and the MB in our presentations is based on trial evidence and Islamic doctrine in widely used attainable sources. This is not a ploy to create hate or ruin for Islam; this is meant to inspire protection of and patriotism to the Constitution, and the values our nation was created on. Implementation of sharia into United States jurisprudence means failure for any American who values individual liberty. Please challenge this information using Islamic literature written by a Muslim, for a Muslim audience, recognized as authority in the Muslim community.

In other words, demonstrate where the facts used in this discussion are incorrect.