

ORAL ONCOLOGY

http://intl.elsevierhealth.com/journals/oron/

REVIEW

# The status of in vivo autofluorescence spectroscopy and imaging for oral oncology $\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle \times}{\sim}$

D.C.G. De Veld<sup>a,b,c</sup>, M.J.H. Witjes<sup>a,\*</sup>, H.J.C.M. Sterenborg<sup>b</sup>, J.L.N. Roodenburg<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Division of Oncology, University Hospital Groningen, P.O. Box 30 001, Groningen 9700, The Netherlands

<sup>b</sup> Photodynamic Therapy and Optical Spectroscopy Research Programme, P.O. Box 2040, CA 3008, USA <sup>c</sup> Department of Radiation Oncology, Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

Received 1 July 2004; accepted 12 July 2004

#### **KEYWORDS**

Autofluorescence spectroscopy; Autofluorescence imaging; In vivo; Humans; Oral cancer; Cancer detection; Review **Summary** Autofluorescence spectroscopy and imaging have been studied for the early detection and classification of (pre)malignancies of the oral mucosa. In the present review we will give an overview of the literature on autofluorescence imaging and spectroscopy for various clinical questions. From the studies performed so far we hope to conclude whether autofluorescence spectroscopy and imaging are helpful in the diagnosis of lesions of the oral mucosa, and if this is the case: for which clinical questions they are suitable. A strong emphasis is put on in vivo human studies of the oral mucosa.

© 2004 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

### Introduction

The common procedure for detecting (pre)malignant lesions consists of visual inspection, followed by biopsy of any suspicious lesions found. However, benign lesions—which are very common and diverse (lichen planus, candida infections, inflammation, hyperkeratosis, ulcerations and so on)—may present very similarly to early malignant or premalignant lesions, which makes it difficult to distinguish them even for experienced clinicians. Therefore, a technique that can distinguish between different lesion types in a reliable and noninvasive way would be very useful. Such a device providing in vivo lesion classification would be particularly useful for finding the optimal—i.e. most dysplastic—biopsy site, so that the risk of underdiagnosis and need for repeated biopsies are avoided. Another important clinical improvement would be to detect premalignant changes and lesions in an

<sup>\*</sup> Contract grant sponsor: Dutch Cancer Society (Nederlandse Kanker Bestrijding); Contract grant number: RUG-99-1869.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>°</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +31 50 361 1055; fax: +31 50 361 1136.

E-mail address: m.j.h.witjes@kchir.azg.nl (M.J.H. Witjes).

<sup>1368-8375/\$ -</sup> see front matter  $\, \textcircled{0}$  2004 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.oraloncology.2004.07.007

earlier stage, preferably before visual detection is possible. It has been claimed that autofluorescence spectroscopy and imaging can assist in oral oncology for the detection and classification of lesions. In this paper, we will give an overview of the literature on autofluorescence imaging and spectroscopy for various clinical questions. What we intend to conclude from the studies performed so far is whether autofluorescence spectroscopy and imaging are helpful in the diagnosis of lesions of the oral mucosa, and if this is the case: for which clinical questions they are suitable. Therefore, a strong emphasis is put on in vivo human studies.

### A short history of fluorescence spectroscopy and imaging

Autofluorescence for the detection of malignant lesions emanated from photodynamic therapy, a technique for cancer treatment.<sup>1,2</sup> In this therapy, the light-sensitive drug ('photosensitizer') is localized in a tumor, either through systemic or topical application or by administration of a precursor, such as protoporphyrin IX (PpIX). The photosensitizer produces singlet oxygen upon excitation with light of a certain wavelength, which damages vital cell organelles inducing death of cells in the direct environment. Since some of the sensitizers were believed to accumulate in malignant tissues, they could possibly serve for diagnostics as well. The use of exogenous fluorescence for tumor detection has been investigated for various organs.<sup>3–8</sup> For the oral cavity, some promising results have been obtained.<sup>9-15</sup> However, the use of exogenous fluorophores has some major drawbacks. A certain waiting time after application is necessary for the fluorophore to reach its optimal fluorescence intensity. Furthermore, the application of photosensitizers leaves the patient temporarily sensitive for light, which negatively affects his daily life. This makes the technique impractical, especially for use in regular screenings of high-risk patient groups. Finally, the specificity of the photosensitizers appeared to be less than expected.

In the late 1970s, it was discovered that autofluorescence (also called natural or endogenous fluorescence), which had until then been regarded only as a disturbing background signal in exogenous fluorescence detection, could be used for cancer detection as well.<sup>16</sup> In the following years, numerous study on autofluorescence have been performed. Interesting results have been obtained for autofluorescence images as well as for autofluorescence spectroscopy, in which the tissue fluorescence spectral shape is used for the classification of lesions.

### The biological origins of tissue autofluorescence

Autofluorescence of tissues is produced by fluorophores that naturally occur in living cells after excitation with a suitable wavelength. The fluorophores can be located in the tissue matrix or in cells (e.g. collagen, elastin, keratin and NADH). The invoked intrinsic autofluorescence profile is altered by absorption and scattering events in the tissue before measurement. Absorption in tissue is mainly attributed to oxy- and deoxyhemoglobin, which have different absorption profiles. Scattering is due to inhomogeneities of refraction index caused by cell nuclei and cell organelles. The presence of disease changes the concentration of the fluorophores as well as the light scattering and absorption properties of the tissue, due to changes in a.o. blood concentration, nuclear size distribution, collagen content and epithelial thickness. For example, the epithelial layer shields the strongly fluorescent collagen layer and therefore the recorded fluorescence signal will be lower in the case of hyperplasia. Conversely, excessive keratin production by lesions may produce an increase in autofluorescence intensity. Cell metabolism may increase with malignant changes, which changes the balance between the fluorescent NADH (increase) and non-fluorescent NAD+ (decrease). Although autofluorescence spectra contain only broad features in overlapping spectral regionswhich makes it virtually impossible to extract quantitative knowledge of fluorophores, scatterers, blood concentration and oxygenation in the tissue—there is sufficient proof that tissue alterations are reflected in autofluorescence spectral shape and intensity.

# Autofluorescence imaging for oral oncology

### Development of autofluorescence imaging

Autofluorescence imaging techniques are capable of sampling several square centimeters at a time. Tissue is illuminated with a light source, mostly in the near-UV to green range of the spectrum, and images of the fluorescence produced in the tissue and altered by absorption and scattering events are recorded using a camera. Imaging techniques typically reduce the information contained in spectral shape because only a scalar is available for each sample point (no wavelength dependence). However, imaging has the advantage of

providing two-dimensional information, which allows spotting of lesion-specific features such as (in)homogeneities, while the recording of large areas makes the technique potentially useful for localizing new lesions. Much use of autofluorescence imaging for detection of cancer has been made in the lungs, where it could be performed easily by making some adjustments to a normal bronchoscope.<sup>17–22</sup> Autofluorescence bronchoscopy has been shown to achieve a higher specificity and sensitivity than white light bronchoscopy. Nowadays, several commercially available autofluorescence bronchoscopes are used in the clinic. Studies of autofluorescence imaging of (pre)malignant lesions in other organs, including the bladder,<sup>23,24</sup> the gastrointestinal tract<sup>6,25-29</sup> and colon, 30-36 have also shown encouraging results for autofluorescence imaging.

Since the discovery of the potential of autofluorescence imaging for the detection of oral cancer, many studies have been performed for the oral cavity and the rest of the upper aerodigestive tract. These studies have grossly addressed three clinical questions. The first one is whether autofluorescence imaging is capable of providing a higher contrast between a lesion and (surrounding) healthy tissue than white light inspection. The second is whether autofluorescence imaging is helpful in differentiating between different lesion types, in particular between benign, dysplastic and malignant lesions. The third research question is aimed at the detection of unknown lesions and unknown extensions of known lesions, which is useful for tumor demarcation. Since most studies address several questions at a time, we will discuss them collectively.

## Studies on autofluorescence imaging in oral oncology

In the human oral cavity, the first investigation into in vivo autofluorescence imaging has been performed by Harris and Werkhaven.<sup>37</sup> They noticed endogenous autofluorescence around 630nm in tumors of the oral mucosa, but also in healthy oral mucosa. This fluorescence is associated with porphyrins, as has been established later on.<sup>38,39</sup> According to Harris and Werkhaven, the occurrence of red autofluorescence could explain false-positives when applying photosensitizers for tumor detection. They therefore thought of autofluorescence as a disturbing rather than as a contributing factor. When Yang et al. studied autofluorescence spectra from the oral cavity, they suggested the possibility of detecting cancer by means of autofluorescence itself. They proposed to detect tumors by the 630 and 690 nm peaks that are associated with porphyrins, which they believed to be localized and retained in malignant tumors.<sup>40</sup>

Interesting work on autofluorescence imaging of the human oral mucosa in vivo has since then been performed by Onizawa et al. Applying an instant photography camera with ultraviolet flash lamp and a 480 nm longpass filter, an orange fluorescence was observed in 14 of 16 malignant tumors and in only one of 16 benign lesions.<sup>41</sup> This porphyrin-like fluorescence was probably produced by microorganisms living on ulcerating or necrotic surfaces, which is consistent with the observation that the fluorescent materials could be wiped off. In a study with a larger patient population, autofluorescence photographs were acquired with a similar set-up in 130 patients (79 malignant tumors and 51 benign lesions).<sup>42</sup> They found 91.1% sensitivity and 84.3% specificity for distinguishing malignant from benign lesions. When dysplastic lesions were regarded as precancerous, these numbers increased to 93.8% and 95.5%. In view of these results, one could suggest that the appearance of orange autofluorescence accompanies the transformation from benign into dysplastic lesions, rather than from dysplastic into malignant lesions. In a following study, Onizawa et al. showed that autofluorescence of malignant lesions tends to shift from yellow-orange to red-orange with further degrees of malignancy.<sup>38</sup> However, large overlaps between values for squamous cell carcinoma, dorsum of tongue and dental plague were observed.

In a study primarily devoted to in vitro demarcation of lesions with autofluorescence microscopy, Fryen et al. also investigated cancerous lesions of the upper aerodigestive tract in vivo using a blue light detection system.<sup>43</sup> Thin, normal epithelium was nearly invisible, while connective tissue was strongly fluorescent. Premalignant and cancerous lesions varied between irregular opaque light and darker reddish areas. Even small cancerous lesions that were hard to visualize were well detectable using autofluorescence imaging, which is a step towards invisible lesion detection. No benign lesions were included.

Kulapaditharom et al. studied 31 normal sites and 35 inflammations, 4 granulomas, 15 dysplastic and 13 neoplastic lesions in the head and neck region through an endoscope using 442 nm excitation.<sup>44</sup> Nineteen of these lesions were located in the oral cavity, of which eight were either dysplastic or neoplastic. They compared the results to white light endoscopy using receiver—operator characteristics curves and found that autofluorescence imaging raised sensitivity from 68% to 93%, and specificity from 70% to 79% for all head-neck locations combined. In the oral cavity, a 100% sensitivity and a 73% specificity was achieved. For these numbers, dysplasia and neoplasia were considered as positives, and normal mucosa, granuloma and inflammation were considered as negatives. Diagnosis was performed not only by looking for brown or brownish red areas, probably associated with porphyrins, but also by spotting different types of dark areas, of which a focal dark area was the strongest indication for malignancy. The authors note that autofluorescence imaging is especially useful for detection of lesions because of the low number of false-negatives. They state that due to its relatively low specificity, especially for granulomas and inflammation, autofluorescence imaging is to be used as a diagnostic rather than as a confirmatory test.

Betz et al. studied autofluorescence imaging in 30 patients with a tumor of the oral mucosa or oropharynx.<sup>45</sup> Using 375–440 nm excitation they found sufficient to excellent demarcation by lower fluorescence intensity in 20 tumors. However, 10 tumors were not distinguishable from their host tissues. These tumors were all located at the tongue, the soft palate or the tonsillar sinus. In general, flat epithelial lesions were found to be outlined subjectively better by autofluorescence imaging than large, exophytic tumors. The authors state that porphyrin-like fluorescence is not a good diagnostic indicator. According to them, the porphyrins are microbially synthesized and therefore limited to the necrotic surface of exulcerated tumors. Also, porphyrin-like fluorescence has been observed on the dorsums of tongues and gingival plaques. Furthermore, only 33% of the tumors were mostly covered by strongly red fluorescing material. The observed red spots did not seem to be spread homogeneously over the lesion's surfaces, which makes porphyrin fluorescence unsuitable for the demarcation of oral cancer.

In a later study, Betz et al. compared normal inspection, 5-ALA-induced PpIX fluorescence imaging and autofluorescence imaging with the

Author, year	Excitation wavelength (nm)	Emission wavelength (nm)	Number of lesions (benign, dysplastic, malignant)	Method	Results
Onizawa et al., 1996	UV flash lamp	>480	34 (18, 0, 16)	Porphyrin-like fluorescence	Observed in 14 (16) malignant and 1 (16) benign
Onizawa et al., 1999	UV flash lamp	>480	130 (79, 7, 44)	Porphyrin-like fluorescence	94% sens. and 96% spec. for malignant vs. benign + dysplastic lesions
Fryen et al., 1997	Unclear (halogen source)	Unclear	Unknown	Irregular opaque light and darker reddish areas	Even not clearly visible tumors detectable using autofluorescence
Betz et al., 1999	375–440	>515	30 (0, 0, 30)	Dark areas, porphyrin-like fluorescence	Sens. 67%, especially flat lesions. Porphyrin fluorescence not useful
Betz et al., 2002	375–440	>515	56 (0, 0, 56)	Dark areas	Sens. 63% as compared to 91% for WLE. Flat early-stage lesions well detectable
Kulapaditharom et al., 2001	442	480—520 + >630	67 (39, 15, 13) (different head–neck regions combined)	Reddish, brown fluorescence + dark areas	Compared to WLE: sens. raised from 68% to 93%, spec. from 70% to 79% (combined locations)
Paczona et al., 2003	375–440	>515	11 (0, 0, 11)	Diminished green, shift to reddish-blue, violet auto- fluorescence	Sens. 100% for autofluorescence and WLE. Two additional dysplasias, three lesion extensions spotted

same set-up in 56 patients.<sup>9</sup> Autofluorescence imaging alone gave correct detection of malignancies in 63% of cases (compared with 91% for white light inspection). The demarcation showed considerable flaws. However, the early stage lesions were well detected and demarcated, probably due to their flat appearance. The authors therefore suggest that autofluorescence imaging is especially suitable for screening of premalignant and early malignant oral lesions.

Paczona et al. recently investigated the use of autofluorescence videoendoscopy for diagnosis of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma.<sup>46</sup> They measured 48 patients, of whom 8 had oropharyngeal and 3 had oral cancer, and compared the results with white light videoendoscopy. All oral and oropharyngeal lesions were visible by autofluorescence as well as white light videoendoscopy. Using autofluorescence, tumors presented as darker areas with a more or less accentuated reddishblue color. However, in three patients with oropharyngeal cancer, the lesions turned out to be more extended using autofluorescence endoscopy. In two patients, an additional lesion was found by autofluorescence videoendoscopy (one severe dysplasia, one moderate dysplasia). A summary of the literature on autofluorescence imaging in the oral cavity is given in Table 1.

# Autofluorescence spectroscopy for oral oncology

Generally, autofluorescence spectroscopy systems consist of a light source—usually in the near-UV to visible wavelength range—that excites the tissue through a fiber. The fluorescence that is produced in the tissue is analyzed by a spectrograph, while the reflected excitation light is filtered out. The recorded fluorescence spectra can be saved to a computer, which allows mathematical spectral analysis of many types. Much use has been made of multivariate techniques like Principal Components Analysis (PCA), but also of emission wavelength ratios and artificial neural networks.

Many studies have been performed to distinguish oral lesions (cancerous, dysplastic or benign) from healthy oral mucosa using autofluorescence spectroscopy. Fewer studies have tried to distinguish between benign and (pre)malignant lesions. In this section, we will give an overview of studies on autofluorescence spectroscopy on the oral mucosa for both questions separately.

### Distinguishing lesions from healthy oral mucosa

In 1995, Kolli et al. studied the use of autofluorescence spectra for the detection of neoplastic upper aerodigestive mucosa.<sup>47</sup> They studied 31 patients with 27 malignant tumors and 4 potentially premalignant lesions, and found significant differences in fluorescence intensity ratios between healthy mucosa and the lesions. Two emission scans (excitation 300 and 340 nm) and two excitation scans (emission 380 and 450 nm) were applied. For all scans wavelength ratios were calculated. Three of the four scans showed significant differences between lesions and healthy tissue, one excitation scan did not. In another study, Kolli et al. found that changes in autofluorescence emission and excitation characteristics correlated with epithelial thickness.<sup>48</sup> Both studies were only aimed at finding significant differences in autofluorescence spectra between tumors and healthy mucosa, and did not attempt to classify yet.

Chen et al. performed an ex vivo study of oral mucosa and observed significant differences (p < 0.001) between healthy oral mucosa on the one hand, and malignant plus premalignant lesions on the other hand.<sup>49</sup> The best results were obtained for the 470/330-nm emission ratio at 300 nm excitation. This technique was able to classify abnormal tissue with a positive predictive value (PPV) of 94%, and normal oral mucosa with a PPV of 93%. However, the classification of premalignant vs. malignant lesions was not possible. Next to that, they studied ex vivo autofluorescence in normal and malignant oral tissues.<sup>50</sup> After excitation with 330 nm, they found significant differences in fluorescence intensity around 380 and 460 nm between the 15 normal and 15 malignant oral tissue samples from 15 oncology patients. However, standard deviations in fluorescence intensities were approximately five times larger than the differences between the two groups. Therefore, reliable classification on this basis would not be possible. Since the studies by Chen et al. have been performed ex vivo, they were not included in Table 2.

Gillenwater et al. recorded oral mucosa autofluorescence spectra from 8 healthy volunteers and 15 patients with premalignant or malignant lesions at 337, 365 and 410 nm excitation in vivo.<sup>51</sup> For lesions, they noticed a decreased intensity in the blue spectral regions, and an increased fluorescence around 635 nm (porphyrin-like fluorescence). Based on the ratio between these values, they achieved a sensitivity of 82% and a specificity of 100% for distinguishing lesions from healthy mucosa.

Author, year	Excitation wavelength(s) (nm)	Emission wavelength(s) (nm)	Number of lesions (benign, dysplastic, malignant)	Method	Results
Kolli et al., 1995	Different combinations (200–430)	Different combinations (300–660)	31 (unknown, unknown, 27)	Emission and excitation wavelength ratios	Significant differences between healthy and lesions
Kolli et al., 1995	Different combinations (200–430)	Different combinations (300–660)	19 (unknown, unknown, 18)	Emission wavelength ratios	Correlation found with epithelial thickness
Gillenwater et al., 1998	337, 365, 410	380—680 <sup>′</sup>	33 sites (>0, >0, >0)	635/(445–490) ratio	Sens. 82, spec. 100
Schantz et al., 1998	290–415	450	35 (0, 0, 35)	Excitation maximum	Loss of tumor differentiation $\rightarrow$ lower excitation maximum
Betz et al., 1999	375–440	470–600	36 (0, 0, 36)	511 nm intensity	34 tumors showed lower intensity
Inaguma et al., 1999	410	605–700	121 (43, 0, 78)	Porphyrin-like peak	66 of 78 tumors and 3 of 43 benign lesions: porphyrin-like fluorescence
Heintzelman et al., 2000	330–500 in 10nm increments	(Exc + 10) to 700	14 (0, 6, 8)	PCA on concatenated spectra	100% sens., 90% spec. using 350, 380 and 400 nm excitation spectra in concatenation
van Staveren et al., 2000	420	465—650	22 (9, 13, 0)	Artificial neural network	86% sens., 100% spec.
Majumder et al., 2000	337	350-700	25 (0, 0, 25)	PCA + logistic discrimination	86% sens., 63% spec.
Majumder et al., 2003	337	375–700	16 (0, 0, 16)	Non-linear maximum presentation and discrimination feature	95% sens., 96% spec.
De Veld et al., 2003	6 $\lambda$ between 365 and 450	467—867	134 (106, 12, 17)	PCA with various classifiers, artificial neural networks, emission wavelength ratios	ROC-area 0.88 for lesions vs. healthy, 0.97 for malignant tumors vs. healthy mucosa (ROC-area 1 = perfect)
Muller et al., 2003	11 $\lambda$ between 337 and 610	350700	27 sites in 15 patients (0, 19, 12)	Logistic regression	96% sens., 96% spec.

Large, unexplained variations in fluorescence intensity were observed between individuals.

Schantz et al. measured autofluorescence at a fixed detection wavelength (450 nm) that they believe to represent mainly NADH fluorescence, while scanning the excitation wavelength from 290 to 415 nm in 35 oral cancer patients.<sup>52</sup> They noticed that a loss of tumor differentiation is associated with a lower maximum excitation wavelength. Also, they found a correlation between the excitation maximum of cancerous and contralateral healthy oral mucosa in the same patient. No classification was attempted and values for the excitation maxima showed overlaps between the different tumor groups.

Betz et al.<sup>45</sup> performed autofluorescence spectroscopy under broad blue excitation in 36 patients with a cancerous tumor of the oral cavity or oropharynx, and in one healthy volunteer. In 34 of the 36 patients, neoplastic tissue showed lower overall and peak autofluorescence intensities than surrounding tissue, while spectral shapes were similar. Large inter- and intraindividual variances were found in autofluorescence intensities for healthy as well as diseased mucosa. The dorsal side of the tongue showed a relatively high intensity in the red region.

Inaguma et al. investigated porphyrin-like fluorescence in oral cancer.<sup>53</sup> Of 78 oral carcinomas studied in vivo under 410 nm excitation, 66 carcinomas (85%) produced red fluorescence around 630 nm, accompanied by a smaller peak at a slightly higher wavelength. From the 43 benign lesions that were measured as well, porphyrin-like fluorescence was observed in only three. These were two leukoplakias and one acute necrotizing ulcerative gingivitis. A capillary electrophoretic method was used to analyze the fluorescing substances in three of the tumors. Inaguma et al. conclude that several porphyrin compounds are responsible for the fluorescence, among which PpIX.

Heintzelman et al. tried to establish the optimal excitation wavelength for the detection of oral lesions.<sup>54</sup> They measured excitation—emission maps using 330—500nm excitation wavelengths in 10-nm increments. The training set consisted of nine healthy volunteers, in whom 41 clinically normal sites were measured, and 17 patients with a known or suspected premalignant or malignant oral lesion, in whom 47 sites (10 lesion sites) were measured. The validation set consisted of 53 healthy volunteers, in whom 274 clinically normal sites were measured, and 3 patients in whom 7 sites (4 lesion sites) were measured. All spectra suitable for analysis turned out to correspond to lesions that ranged from mildly dysplastic to cancerous, so no benign

lesions were included in the analysis. Therefore, effectively the distinction between lesions and healthy oral mucosa has been made. Using different combinations of concatenated vectors normalized by the peak intensity, the authors achieved 100% sensitivity and 90% specificity for the training set and similar results for the full data set. When spectra were normalized before concatenation, the results were less satisfactory. The addition of porphyrin-like fluorescence information to the algorithm was not useful. The authors note that this is explained by its low specificity, since red fluorescence is observed at some normal, some dysplastic and some cancerous sites.

Majumder et al. studied human oral cavity cancers and found a sensitivity of 86% and a specificity of 63% for distinguishing mostly advanced tumors from visually uninvolved sites.<sup>55</sup> They used 337 nm excitation and investigated 25 patients with oral cancer. Discrimination was attempted using Principal Components Analysis (PCA). In a later study, Majumder et al. compared PCA and non-linear algorithms for classification of cancerous and normal tissue. This time, 16 patients with cancer of the oral cavity as well as 13 normal volunteers were included. The non-linear algorithm provided a sensitivity of 93% and a specificity of 96% for the training set, and 95% and 96% for the validation set. For PCA, these values were lower.<sup>56</sup> We noticed that the preprocessing of the data introduces some foreknowledge of the lesion type, because after the mean healthy spectrum has been subtracted from all spectra, the resultants are divided by the standard deviation of the spectral category from which these originate. The authors remark that patient selection may influence algorithm performance, but explain that the main object of this paper was to compare the different algorithms. A study with more than two classes, including benign lesions, in a less biased patient population will be performed at a later stage.

Muller et al. excited 91 tissue sites (normal, dysplastic and cancerous) in 15 patients and 8 healthy volunteers in vivo<sup>57</sup> with 11 excitation wavelengths between 337 and 610 nm. Benign lesions were measured but left out of the analysis because of the small size of these categories. Autofluorescence spectra recorded at 337 and 358 nm excitation were analyzed by fitting a linear combination of collagen and NADH fluorescence to the intrinsic autofluorescence as obtained by a model. The fluorescent spectral components were extracted from the intrinsic autofluorescence by means of multivariate curve resolution. In combination with diffuse reflectance spectroscopy and light scattering spectroscopy, they achieved a sensitivity of 96% and a specificity of 96% for distinguishing cancerous and dysplastic lesions from normal tissue. The distinction between cancerous and dysplastic tissue was made with a sensitivity of 64% and a specificity of 90%.

The literature on distinguishing lesions from healthy oral mucosa in vivo using autofluorescence spectroscopy has been summarized in Table 2.

#### Classification of different lesion types

In this section, we will discuss several studies in which it was attempted to classify oral lesions using autofluorescence spectroscopy. Dhingra et al. studied healthy oral mucosa of 10 volunteers and a combination of 19 oral and oropharyngeal lesions in vivo by means of 370 and 410 nm excitation.<sup>58</sup> The lesions comprised hyperplasia and/or hyperkeratosis (n = 8), lichen planus (n = 1), dysplasia (n = 4), carcinoma in situ (n = 1) and invasive squamous cell carcinoma (n = 5). For 410 nm excitation, the average ratio of the fluorescence intensity at the peak intensity centered around 490 nm of contralateral normal mucosa to that of malignant lesions was 6, while for benign lesions this ratio was less than 2. Also, they noticed a porphyrin-like peak at 640 nm under excitation with 410nm for the neoplastic lesions, while this peak did not occur in healthy mucosa or benign lesions. Using these two characteristics in a scatter plot and drawing a straight line between neoplastic lesions on the one hand and benign and healthy mucosa on the other, they achieved fairly good separation. Of the 19 lesions, 17 were diagnosed correctly and two were false-positives. The separation line was drawn such that only the information in the blue spectral part was used for classification, and not the porphyrin-like peak. An interesting detail is that Dhingra et al. chose to use a relatively high pressure of the probe onto the tissue  $(300 \text{ g/cm}^2)$ , because this gave the highest fluorescence intensity. This is probably explained by the pushing away of blood by the fiber, which is consistent with their autofluorescence spectra showing no blood absorption dips. Their results therefore do not rely on blood absorption information, but only on fluorophore concentrations, tissue architecture and the distribution of scatterers. The authors believe that the decreased blue fluorescence intensity probably relies on epithelial thickening and cellular proliferation, which shelters the highly fluorescent collagen layer and thus reduces the measured fluorescence intensity. The good results as achieved by Dhingra et al. are in our opinion not very reliable. There was no validation set but only a small dataset that has been classified afterwards, which makes their results very sensitive to overfitting.

Ingrams et al. performed an in vitro study on 10 dysplastic or malignant and 12 healthy or benign human biopsy specimens from clinically suspicious lesions and healthy oral mucosa using various excitation wavelengths.<sup>59</sup> The exact numbers of dysplastic, malignant, benign and healthy specimens are not given. The most prominent difference found between dysplastic or malignant and healthy or benign mucosa was the porphyrin-like peak centered at 635 nm and accompanied by a smaller peak at 690 nm. Ingrams et al. established 90% sensitivity and 91% specificity based on this peak. They note that if the observed fluorescence corresponds to Protoporphyrin IX, they have in effect equated the presence of a certain concentration of this compound in cells with the presence of dysplasia or malignancy. They also note that they cannot be sure whether these porphyrin-like substances correspond to dysplasia or malignancy because of accumulation of PpIX due to a lack of ferrochelatase in tumor cells, or because of bacteria that produce porphyrins. The latter would make the method less reliable, since bacteria can live at benign lesions as well. Since this study was performed in vitro, it has not been included in Table 3.

van Staveren et al. investigated 22 visible lesions (9 benign, 13 dysplastic) in 21 patients suffering from oral leukoplakia, and from 12 locations in two healthy volunteers.<sup>60</sup> Autofluorescence spectra were recorded under 420 nm excitation and analyzed using an artificial neural network. The separation of abnormal from normal tissue resulted in 86% sensitivity and 100% specificity and has been added to Table 1, but classification according to the grade of dysplasia was not feasible. Surprisingly, the border of lesions seemed to contain more relevant information than the center of the lesion.

Wang et al. have performed various studies on autofluorescence spectroscopy for oral cancer detection.<sup>61–65</sup> They performed studies in hamsters as well as in humans, both in vivo and ex vivo. In their in vivo human study, they measured 15 healthy volunteers, 30 patients with oral submucous fibrosis, 39 patients with oral leukoplakia (of which 26 histologically diagnosed as epithelial hyperkeratosis and 13 as epithelial dysplasia) and 13 patients with oral squamous cell carcinoma.<sup>64</sup> For their distinction of premalignant and malignant from benign lesions under 330nm excitation, they established a sensitivity of 81% and a specificity of 96% using a partial least squares and artificial neural network (PLS-ANN) classification

Author, year	Excitation wavelength(s) (nm)	Emission wavelength(s) (nm)	Number of lesions (benign, dysplastic, malignant)	Method	Results
Dhingra et al., 1996	370 and 410	380-700	19 (9, 4, 6)	Intensity ratio lesion to contralateral	100% sens., 80% spec.
Tsai et al., 2003	330	340—601	104 (57, 27, 20)	480/360nm emission ratio	81% sens., 87% spec.
van Staveren et al., 2000	420	465–650	22 (9, 13, 0)	Artificial neural network	Classification not feasible
Wang et al., 2003	330	340–601	82 (56, 13, 13)	Partial least squares + artificial neural network	81% sens., 96% spec.
De Veld et al., 2003	6 λ between 365 and 450	467—867	134 (106, 12, 17)	PCA with various classifiers, artificial neural networks, emission wavelength ratios	Classification not feasible

Table 3Results from the literature for in vivo autofluorescence spectroscopy of oral lesions: distinction betweenmalignant and dysplastic lesions on the one hand, and benign lesions on the other

algorithm. The test results were calculated on the basis of leave-one-out, so that the risks of overfitting were strongly reduced. All lesions measured by Wang et al. were located at the oral buccal mucosa, and all were induced by areca quid chewing and smoking habits. Their lesion set thus was quite homogeneous, which may have facilitated the classification. For use in the clinic, the algorithm would also have to be applicable for different lesion types and at other anatomical locations.

Tsai et al. studied the influence of oral submucous fibrosis (OSF) on autofluorescence spectra.<sup>66</sup> They measured 15 healthy volunteers and 149 patients with clinically suspected oral submucous fibrosis, epithelial hyperkeratosis, epithelial dysplasia and squamous cell carcinoma. All lesions were located at the buccal mucosa and induced by areca quid chewing. Using 330nm excitation, they found that the 460/380nm ratio increased from fibrosis to healthy mucosa to hyperkeratosis to dysplasia and finally to cancer (p < 0.01 for all)comparisons). However, the presence of OSF made hyperkeratosis and dysplasia indistinguishable from healthy mucosa. Furthermore, the standard deviations for the ratio were largely overlapping for hyperkeratosis and dysplasia in both OSF and non-OSF patients (non-OSF:  $0.97 \pm 0.17$  and  $1.01 \pm$ 0.19, respectively; OSF:  $0.77 \pm 0.26$  and  $0.68 \pm$ 0.22, respectively). This means that dysplastic and hyperkeratotic lesions are indistinguishable.

In a study that we performed ourselves, we recorded autofluorescence spectra from 97 healthy volunteers and from 155 patients with 172 benign, dysplastic and malignant oral lesions.<sup>67</sup> We excluded all measurements from the dorsal side of the tongue and the vermilion border of the lip from the analysis because of the differences established between healthy locations.<sup>68</sup> These differences, that are irrelevant to our clinical question, could possibly disturb lesion classification. This left 134 lesions to be included in the spectral analysis. Applying various algorithms including PCA and emission wavelength ratios to spectra recorded under six different excitation wavelengths, we found excellent separation between healthy mucosa and oral cancer (area under the ROC-curve up to 0.97, with ROC-area = 0.5 corresponding to random classification and ROCarea = 1 to flawless classification). The separation between healthy mucosa and lesions of any kind was good (area under the ROC-curve up to 0.88). These results are included in Table 2. We found no strong dependency of the results on the excitation wavelength. Separation between benign lesions on the one hand and dysplastic and cancerous on the other was not feasible (ROC areas <0.65 for all methods and excitation wavelengths). We have explained this by noting the enormous variations in autofluorescence spectra, which overlap between the different classes and especially between benign and dysplastic lesions. In Fig. 1 the median spectra for different categories are plotted, both non-normalized and normalized to the blue peak intensity. It is clear that in both cases benign and dysplastic lesion spectra are intertwined.



**Figure 1** (a) Median autofluorescence spectra of lesions of the oral mucosa of different types, excitation wavelength 405 nm and (b) normalized spectra.

The literature on classification of oral lesions in vivo using autofluorescence spectroscopy has been summarized in Table 3.

### Discussion

### Autofluorescence imaging

The experimental approaches reported for autofluorescence imaging in the oral cavity are quite similar. The images are usually recorded by means of a CCD camera and occasionally by photography. Most of the times, the tissue is excited with light in the 375–440 nm range. This is due to the commercially available systems for fluorescence imaging that can be used for the lungs as well. Therefore, no studies have been performed to decide on the most favorable excitation wavelengths for the imaging of oral malignancies. However, this information can potentially be deduced from spectroscopy, in which excitation wavelength studies have been performed.

The criteria used for labeling areas as lesions were diminished fluorescence intensity (in the blue or green) or increased red fluorescence that is probably associated with porphyrins. Although high sensitivities and specificities have been obtained using porphyrin-like fluorescence,<sup>41,42</sup> others studies have claimed that red fluorescence is not specific for malignancies.<sup>45</sup> This discrepancy can possibly be explained by the differences in patient population. Porphyrins have been associated mainly with ulcerating tumors, because of the bacteria that grow in these tissues. Therefore, a higher fraction of advanced tumors may increase the sensitivity and specificity of porphyrin-based tumor detection.

The first clinical question that we have asked was whether autofluorescence imaging can improve the contrast between lesions. For the answer, a comparison has to be made between white light inspection and autofluorescence imaging. A few studies have made this comparison and gave varying results. Kulapaditharom et al. found increased sensitivity and specificity as compared to white light inspection in a large and varied patient population.<sup>44</sup> On the other hand, Betz et al. found a lower sensitivity for autofluorescence imaging than for white light inspection in a large patient population consisting of only malignant tumors.<sup>9,45</sup> Therefore, we think that more research on the contrast between lesions and healthy oral mucosa should be performed that take special care in relating the results to the patient population. From the studies performed so far, we can only conclude that autofluorescence imaging of the oral mucosa possibly improves lesion contrast and that this is certainly the case for flat, early lesions.9,45

The second question we asked was whether autofluorescence imaging is helpful in differentiating between different lesion types. This question has been addressed with good results by means of porphyrin-like fluorescence.41,42 However, this fluorescence has been shown to be not very specific for malignant lesions.<sup>45</sup> Probably, this inconsistency is related to differences in the lesion dataset—for example, ulcerating tumors are known to very frequently produce porphyrin-like fluorescence. Using differences in autofluorescence intensity, Kulapaditharom et al. found a 100% sensitivity for distinguishing dysplastic and malignant from benign lesions in the oral cavity, but the specificity was only 73%.<sup>44</sup> However, this was still a higher value than for white light endoscopy. Overall, the specificity of autofluorescence imaging for distinguishing (pre)malignant from benign lesions does not seem to be very promising.

The third research question concerned the detection of previously unknown and invisible lesions and of unknown extensions of visually detected lesions. Indications have indeed been found that autofluorescence imaging is capable of detecting invisible lesions or invisible tumor extensions.<sup>43,46</sup> However, these results concern only small numbers and therefore the question requires further research. The detection of invisible tumor extensions is probably the most practical way to establish the potential of the method for detection of invisible tissue alterations. This approach does not require complete scanning of the oral cavity and the taking of validation biop-

sies on visually healthy-looking tissue. If the detection of invisible tumor extensions using autofluorescence imaging gives promising results, the scanning of high-risk groups, e.g. patients who have suffered from oral cancer in the past, could be the next step.

### Autofluorescence spectroscopy

In autofluorescence spectroscopy of the oral cavity, many different approaches have been chosen. The excitation wavelengths used span a wide range from 200 to 610nm, and often spectra recorded at several excitation wavelengths have been combined for lesion classification. Regarding the classification methods used, a similar variety is observed. Multivariate statistical techniques are popular, in particular PCA. Emission wavelength (red/green) ratios exploiting the relative decrease in green autofluorescence intensity in lesions have been applied, as well as fluorescence intensity. neural networks and porphyrin-like peaks. For distinguishing lesions from healthy mucosa, several studies have tried to establish the most successful excitation wavelengths, while others have adopted optimal excitation wavelengths from earlier studies ex vivo or in other organs. Those that searched for optimal wavelengths, found various results. The combination of 350, 380 and 440 nm as well as single wavelengths 337 nm, 410 nm and six wavelengths between 365 and 450nm have been reported. 51,54,58,67 In view of these inconsistent results, we suggest that the exact wavelength used for excitation might not be so relevant for the method's effectiveness as is often believed. We believe that this is due to the broad excitation bands of the most important fluorophores, like collagen.

The methods used for classification of spectra have also given varying results. For example, porphyrin-like fluorescence gave good results in some studies, but in others turned out to be worthless. Therefore, further research is required to validate different methods for distinguishing between healthy and diseased oral mucosa. For an honest comparison of methods, we believe that ROC curve areas should be calculated because these do not rely on an arbitrarily chosen threshold. Furthermore, we believe that it is very important to look at the characteristics of the lesion dataset. In general, more benign lesions and more variation in the dataset complicate the classification. However, the reality in oral pathology is just that: relatively many benign lesions and much variation in lesion type. A useful classification algorithm therefore

must be able to function well in these complex circumstances.

From the literature, we can conclude that autofluorescence spectroscopy seems to be very accurate for distinguishing lesions from healthy oral mucosa (sensitivity 82–100%, specificity 63– 100%). This is especially true for distinguishing malignant tumors from healthy mucosa, for which sensitivities and specificities >95% are no exception. However, the method seems to be less specific for classification of different lesion types. In our own study, we found that classification between different lesion types was not feasible. Other studies have claimed good results, but to our opinion these studies were not convincing, as discussed above. We therefore believe that at this moment, performing an optical biopsy using in vivo autofluorescence spectroscopy is not possible for the oral cavity.

We can think of various reasons for the problems arising in discriminating between benign lesions on the one hand and dysplastic and malignant lesions on the other hand. In general, tumors as well as benign and dysplastic lesions can be of various degrees of keratinization, hyperplasia and blood content. All these factors influence the shape and intensity of autofluorescence spectra, and since large variances are observed within different lesion categories, a mixing of categories by means of autofluorescence spectroscopy classification can easily result. Information provided by other fluorophores like NADH and FAD may be more specific for (pre)malignancy, but in our opinion it has not been convincingly shown that NADH and FAD fluorescence are measurable from oral mucosa in vivo. We believe that it is not feasible to extract the exact intrinsic autofluorescence from the recorded signal, because all methods for doing this—e.g. using reflectance spectra-require prior knowledge of the tissue under investigation. If it were feasible, the question would still remain whether intrinsic fluorescence spectra would give better results. To our opinion, it has not been convincingly shown that scattering and blood absorption artifacts play a less important role for classification than the presence of certain fluorophores. Furthermore, many other factors than disease have been shown to influence autofluorescence spectra as well, such as gender, pigmentation, tobacco and alcohol consumption habits and the wearing of dentures.<sup>69</sup> These influences might be disturbing factors in lesion classification. However, we do not know of any reliable way to correct autofluorescence spectra for these individual factors.69

# The place of autofluorescence diagnostics in oral oncology—conclusions

In summary, both autofluorescence imaging and spectroscopy give good results for the distinction of lesions from normal mucosa. In the case of imaging, this is very useful because it gives the clinician a tool to scan the oral cavity for new lesions, and possibly to assess invisible extensions of visually detected lesions. In fact, there are indications that autofluorescence imaging can be used to find lesions that are not or not easily noticed by visual inspection.

We believe that in contrast to imaging, autofluorescence spectroscopy is for practical reasons not suitable to detect new lesions or to demarcate lesions. In our opinion, it is not feasible to scan the complete oral cavity using the small sampling area that results from the use of an optical fiber. Beginning lesions are very small and therefore each and every part of the mucosa would have to be measured separately. We have performed an unpublished pilot follow-up study of patients with a history of oral cancer. These patients, who are at a high risk for developing second primary tumors, were scanned at anatomical locations that are prone to develop oral cancer, in particular the lateral border of the tongue. However, we soon found that it would be impossible to scan the complete oral cavity-not only because of the time-consuming nature of the measurements, but also because it would be impossible to mark which locations have already been measured.

Because scanning the complete oral cavity using point spectroscopy is impossible, the measurement probe will in practice only be placed at lesions that have already been found by visual inspection or another technique, which explains the excellent figures for sensitivity and specificity found in many studies. As a consequence, the relevant question will not be whether the tissue at this location is normal or not, because it has already been established to be abnormal. The relevant guestion will instead be whether the visible tissue alterations are of a benign or a (pre)malignant nature. We believe that this is the most relevant clinical question for classification of visible lesions, because it is crucial for the treatment planning. If possible, autofluorescence spectroscopy could be used to find the optimal, most dysplastic location for biopsy. Unfortunately, the literature shows that autofluorescence is not specific enough for this purpose.

We therefore believe that autofluorescence imaging might be appropriate as an easy-to-use,

sensitive and inexpensive method for lesion detection, although further research is still necessary.

### References

- Moan J, Peng Q. An outline of the hundred-year history of PDT. Anticancer Res 2003;23:3591-600.
- Nauta JM, van Leengoed HL, Star WM, Roodenburg JL, Witjes MJ, Vermey A. Photodynamic therapy of oral cancer. A review of basic mechanisms and clinical applications. *Eur J Oral Sci* 1996;104:69–81.
- Andersson-Engels S, Canti G, Cubeddu R, Eker C, Klinteberg A, Pifferi A et al. Preliminary evaluation of two fluorescence imaging methods for the detection and the delineation of basal cell carcinomas of the skin. *Lasers Surg Med* 2000;26:76–82.
- Bogaards A, Aalders MC, Zeyl CC, de Blok S, Dannecker C, Hillemanns P, et al. Localization and staging of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia using double ratio fluorescence imaging. J Biomed Opt 2002;7:215–20.
- Liessmann F, Stepp H, Baumgartner R, Hillemans P, Hillemans M. Fluorescence microsopy study on cervical intraepithelial neoplasia after topical application of 5-ALA. Biomedical optics: new concepts in therapeutic laser applications novel biomedical optical spectroscopy imaging and diagnotics, advances in optical imaging, photon migration, and tissue optics. Washington: Optical Society of America; 1999. p. 398–401.
- 6. Prosst RL, Gahlen J. Fluorescence diagnosis of colorectal neoplasms: a review of clinical applications. *Int J Colorectal Dis* 2002;**17**:1–10.
- Sinaasappel M, Zeyl CC, de Blok S, Sterenborg HJCM. Staging of preinvasive lesions in the cervix using ALA induced PpIX fluorescence. Biomedical optics: new concepts in therapeutic laser applications novel biomedical optical spectroscopy imaging and diagnotics, advances in optical imaging, photon migration, and tissue optics. Washington: Optical Society of America; 1999. p. 381–3.
- Wagnieres GA, Star WM, Wilson BC. In vivo fluorescence spectroscopy and imaging for oncological applications. *Photochem Photobiol* 1998;68:603–32.
- Betz CS, Stepp H, Janda P, Arbogast S, Grevers G, Baumgartner R, et al. A comparative study of normal inspection, autofluorescence and 5-ALA-induced PPIX fluorescence for oral cancer diagnosis. *Int J Cancer* 2002;97:245-52.
- Leunig A, Betz CS, Mehlmann M, Stepp H, Arbogast S, Grevers G, et al. Detection of squamous cell carcinoma of the oral cavity by imaging 5-aminolevulinic acid-induced protoporphyrin IX fluorescence. Laryngoscope 2000;110:78–83.
- Leunig A, Rick K, Stepp H, Gutmann R, Alwin G, Baumgartner R, et al. Fluorescence imaging and spectroscopy of 5aminolevulinic acid induced protoporphyrin IX for the detection of neoplastic lesions in the oral cavity. *Am J Surg* 1996;172:674–7.
- Leunig A, Mehlmann M, Betz C, Stepp H, Arbogast S, Grevers G, et al. Fluorescence staining of oral cancer using a topical application of 5-aminolevulinic acid: fluorescence microscopic studies. J Photochem Photobiol B 2001;60:44–9.
- Ossoff RH, Pelzer HJ, Atiyah RA, Berktold RE, Sisson GA. Potential applications of photoradiation therapy in head and neck surgery. *Arch Otolaryngol* 1984;110:728–30.

- 14. Wagnieres GA, Studzinski AP, Braichotte DR, Monnier P, Depeursinge C, Chatelain A, et al. Clinical imaging fluorescence apparatus for the endoscopic photodetection of early cancers using photofrin II. *Appl Opt* 1997;**36**:5608–20.
- Zheng W, Soo KC, Sivanandan R, Olivo M. Detection of squamous cell carcinomas and pre-cancerous lesions in the oral cavity by quantification of 5-aminolevulinic acid induced fluorescence endoscopic images. *Lasers Surg Med* 2002;31:151–7.
- Profio AE, Doiron DR. A feasibility study of the use of fluorescence bronchoscopy for localization of small lung tumors. *Phys Med Biol* 1977;22:949–57.
- Kennedy TC, Lam S, Hirsch FR. Review of recent advances in fluorescence bronchoscopy in early localization of central airway lung cancer. *Oncologist* 2001;6:257–62.
- Kurie JM, Lee JS, Morice RC, Walsh GL, Khuri FR, Broxson A, et al. Autofluorescence bronchoscopy in the detection of squamous metaplasia and dysplasia in current and former smokers. J Natl Cancer Inst 1998;90:991–5.
- Lam S, Kennedy T, Unger M, Miller YE, Gelmont D, Rusch V, et al. Localization of bronchial intraepithelial neoplastic lesions by fluorescence bronchoscopy. *Chest* 1998;113: 696–702.
- Sato M, Sakurada A, Sagawa M, Minowa M, Takahashi H, Oyaizu T, et al. Diagnostic results before and after introduction of autofluorescence bronchoscopy in patients suspected of having lung cancer detected by sputum cytology in lung cancer mass screening. *Lung Cancer* 2001;32: 247–53.
- Sutedja TG, Codrington H, Risse EK, Breuer RH, van Mourik RP, Golding RP, et al. Autofluorescence bronchoscopy improves staging of radiographically occult lung cancer and has an impact on therapeutic strategy. *Chest* 2001;**120**:1327–32.
- Venmans BJW, Van Boxem TJM, Smit EF, Postmus PE, Sutedja TG. Bronchial intraepithelial neoplastic lesions in head and neck cancer patients: results of autofluorescence bronchoscopy. Ann Otol, Rhinol Laryngol 2001;110:635–8.
- D'Hallewin MA, Baert L, Vanherzeele H. Fluorescence imaging of bladder cancer. Acta Urol Belg 1994;62:49–52.
- Zheng W, Lau W, Cheng C, Soo KC, Olivo M. Optimal excitation-emission wavelengths for autofluorescence diagnosis of bladder tumors. *Int J Cancer* 2003;104:477–81.
- Abe S, Izuishi K, Tajiri H, Kinoshita T, Matsuoka T. Correlation of in vitro autofluorescence endoscopy images with histopathologic findings in stomach cancer. *Endoscopy* 2000;**32**:281–6.
- Kobayashi F, Tajiri H, Seike E, Shitaya M, Tounou S, Mine M, et al. Detection of early gastric cancer by a real-time autofluorescence imaging system. *Cancer Lett* 2001;165: 155–9.
- Dacosta RS, Wilson BC, Marcon NE. Light-induced fluorescence endoscopy of the gastrointestinal tract. *Gastrointest Endosc Clin N Am* 2000;10:37–69.
- Ell C. Improving endoscopic resolution and sampling: fluorescence techniques. *Gut* 2003;52:30–3.
- Zeng HS, Weiss A, Cline R, MacAulay CE. Real-time endoscopic fluorescence imaging for early cancer detection in the gastrointestinal tract. *Bioimaging* 1998;6:151–65.
- Bottiroli G, Croce AC, Locatelli D, Marchesini R, Pignoli E, Tomatis S, et al. Natural fluorescence of normal and neoplastic human colon: a comprehensive ex vivo study. *Lasers Surg Med* 1995;16:48–60.
- Chwirot BW, Michniewicz Z, Kowalska M, Nussbeutel J. Detection of colonic malignant lesions by digital imaging of UV laser-induced autofluorescence. *Photochem Photobiol* 1999;69:336–40.

- Chwirot BW, Kowalska M, Sypniewska N, Michniewicz Z, Gradziel M. Spectrally resolved fluorescence imaging of human colonic adenomas. J Photochem Photobiol B 1999;50:174–83.
- Cothren RM, Sivak Jr MV, Van Dam J, Petras RE, Fitzmaurice JM, Crawford JM, et al. Detection of dysplasia at colonoscopy using laser-induced fluorescence: a blinded study. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1996;44:168–76.
- Eker C, Montan S, Jaramillo E, Koizumi K, Rubio C, Andersson-Engels S, et al. Clinical spectral characterisation of colonic mucosal lesions using autofluorescence and delta aminolevulinic acid sensitisation. *Gut* 1999;44:511–8.
- Izuishi K, Tajiri H, Fujii T, Boku N, Ohtsu A, Ohnishi T, et al. The histological basis of detection of adenoma and cancer in the colon by autofluorescence endoscopic imaging. *Endoscopy* 1999;31:511–6.
- Wang TD, Crawford JM, Feld MS, Wang Y, Itzkan I, Van Dam J. In vivo identification of colonic dysplasia using fluorescence endoscopic imaging. *Gastrointest Endosc* 1999;49:447–55.
- 37. Harris DM, Werkhaven J. Endogenous porphyrin fluorescence in tumors. *Lasers Surg Med* 1987;7:467–72.
- Onizawa K, Okamura N, Saginoya H, Yusa H, Yanagawa T, Yoshida H. Analysis of fluorescence in oral squamous cell carcinoma. Oral Oncol 2002;38:343–8.
- Onizawa K, Okamura N, Saginoya H, Yoshida H. Characterization of autofluorescence in oral squamous cell carcinoma. Oral Oncol 2003;39:150–6.
- 40. Yang YL, Ye YM, Li FM, Li YF, Ma PZ. Characteristic autofluorescence for cancer diagnosis and its origin. *Lasers Surg Med* 1987;7:528–32.
- Onizawa K, Saginoya H, Furuya Y, Yoshida H. Fluorescence photography as a diagnostic method for oral cancer. *Cancer Lett* 1996;108:61–6.
- Onizawa K, Saginoya H, Furuya Y, Yoshida H, Fukuda H. Usefulness of fluorescence photography for diagnosis of oral cancer. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1999;28:206–10.
- Fryen A, Glanz H, Lohmann W, Dreyer T, Bohle RM. Significance of autofluorescence for the optical demarcation of field cancerisation in the upper aerodigestive tract. *Acta Otolaryngol Stockh* 1997;117:316–9.
- 44. Kulapaditharom B, Boonkitticharoen V. Performance characteristics of fluorescence endoscope in detection of head and neck cancers. *Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol* 2001;**110**:45–52.
- 45. Betz CS, Mehlmann M, Rick K, Stepp H, Grevers G, Baumgartner R, et al. Autofluorescence imaging and spectroscopy of normal and malignant mucosa in patients with head and neck cancer. *Lasers Surg Med* 1999;25:323–34.
- 46. Paczona R, Temam S, Janot F, Marandas P, Luboinski B. Autofluorescence videoendoscopy for photodiagnosis of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol.*
- Kolli VR, Savage HE, Yao TJ, Schantz SP. Native cellular fluorescence of neoplastic upper aerodigestive mucosa. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 1995;121:1287–92.
- Kolli VR, Shaha AR, Savage HE, Sacks PG, Casale MA, Schantz SP. Native cellular fluorescence can identify changes in epithelial thickness in-vivo in the upper aerodigestive tract. Am J Surg 1995;170:495–8.
- 49. Chen CT, Wang CY, Kuo YS, Chiang HH, Chow SN, Hsiao IY, et al. Light-induced fluorescence spectroscopy: a potential diagnostic tool for oral neoplasia. *Proc Natl Sci Counc Repub China B* 1996;20:123–30.
- Chen CT, Chiang HK, Chow SN, Wang CY, Lee YS, Tsai JC, et al. Autofluorescence in normal and malignant human oral tissues and in DMBA-induced hamster buccal pouch carcinogenesis. J Oral Pathol Med 1998;27:470–4.

- Gillenwater A, Jacob R, Ganeshappa R, Kemp B, El Naggar JL, Palmer JL, et al. Noninvasive diagnosis of oral neoplasia based on fluorescence spectroscopy and native tissue autofluorescence. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 1998;124:1251–8.
- 52. Schantz SP, Kolli V, Savage HE, Yu G, Shah JP, Harris DE, et al. In vivo native cellular fluorescence and histological characteristics of head and neck cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 1998;4:1177–82.
- 53. Inaguma M, Hashimoto K. Porphyrin-like fluorescence in oral cancer: In vivo fluorescence spectral characterization of lesions by use of a near-ultraviolet excited autofluorescence diagnosis system and separation of fluorescent extracts by capillary electrophoresis. *Cancer* 1999;86:2201–11.
- Heintzelman DL, Utzinger U, Fuchs H, Zuluaga A, Gossage K, Gillenwater AM, et al. Optimal excitation wavelengths for in vivo detection of oral neoplasia using fluorescence spectroscopy. *Photochem Photobiol* 2000;**72**:103–13.
- Majumder SK, Mohanty SK, Ghosh N, Gupta PK, Jain DK, Khan F. A pilot study on the use of autofluorescence spectroscopy for diagnosis of the cancer of human oral cavity. *Curr Sci* 2000;**79**:1089–94.
- Majumder SK, Ghosh N, Kataria S, Gupta PK. Nonlinear pattern recognition for laser-induced fluorescence diagnosis of cancer. *Lasers Surg Med* 2003;33:48–56.
- 57. Muller MG, Valdez TA, Georgakoudi I, Backman V, Fuentes S, Kabani S, et al. Spectroscopic detection and evaluation of morphologic and biochemical changes in early human oral carcinoma. *Cancer* 2003;**97**:1681–92.
- Dhingra JK, Perrault Jr DF, McMillan K, Rebeiz EE, Kabani S, Manoharan R, et al. Early diagnosis of upper aerodigestive tract cancer by autofluorescence. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 1996;122:1181–6.
- Ingrams DR, Dhingra JK, Roy K, Perrault Jr DF, Bottrill ID, Kabani S, et al. Autofluorescence characteristics of oral mucosa. *Head Neck* 1997;19:27–32.
- van Staveren HJ, van Veen RL, Speelman OC, Witjes MJ, Star WM, Roodenburg JL. Classification of clinical autofluorescence spectra of oral leukoplakia using an artificial neural network: a pilot study. *Oral Oncol* 2000;36:286–93.
- Wang CY, Chen CT, Chiang CP, Young ST, Chow SN, Chiang HK. Partial least-squares discriminant analysis on autofluorescence spectra of oral carcinogenesis. *Appl Spectrosc* 1998;52(9):1190–6.
- Wang CY, Chiang HK, Chen CT, Chiang CP, Kuo YS, Chow SN. Diagnosis of oral cancer by light-induced autofluorescence spectroscopy using double excitation wavelengths. Oral Oncol 1999;35:144–50.
- 63. Wang CY, Chen CT, Chiang CP, Young ST, Chow SN, Chiang HK. A probability-based multivariate statistical algorithm for autofluorescence spectroscopic identification of oral carcinogenesis. *Photochem Photobiol* 1999;69:471–7.
- Wang CY, Tsai T, Chen HM, Chen CT, Chiang CP. PLS-ANN based classification model for oral submucous fibrosis and oral carcinogenesis. *Lasers Surg Med* 2003;32:318–26.
- 65. Wang CY, Tsai T, Chen HC, Chang SC, Chen CT, Chiang CP. Autofluorescence spectroscopy for in vivo diagnosis of DMBA-induced hamster buccal pouch pre-cancers and cancers. J Oral Pathol Med 2003;32:18–24.
- 66. Tsai T, Chen HM, Wang CY, Tsai JC, Chen CT, Chiang CP. In vivo autofluorescence spectroscopy of oral premalignant and malignant lesions: Distortion of fluorescence intensity by submucous fibrosis. *Lasers Surg Med* 2003;33:40–7.
- 67. De Veld DC, Skurichina M, Witjes MJ, Duin RP, Sterenborg DJ, Star WM, et al. A clinical study for classification of benign, dysplastic and malignant oral lesions using autofluorescence spectroscopy. J Biomed Opt, in press.

- De Veld DC, Skurichina M, Witjes MJ, Duin RP, Sterenborg WM, Star WM, et al. Autofluorescence characteristics of healthy oral mucosa at different anatomical sites. *Lasers Surg Med* 2003;32:367–76.
- 69. De Veld DC, Sterenborg HJ, Roodenburg JL, Witjes MJ. Effects of individual characteristics on healthy oral mucosa autofluorescence spectra. *Oral Oncol* 2004;**40**(8):815–23.

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

