Client Risk Assessment

XYZ Ltd

Address: undefined Registration Number: xxx Licence Type: undefined

Risk Assessment Summary

Risk Rating: High

Assessment Date: 15 Aug 2025

Trigger: Onboarding

Details: Risk assessment conducted during the onboarding process to determine the client's risk profile.

Risk Assessment Details

| A. Business Activity | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------|--------|-------|--------|--|--|
| Question | Answer | Weight | Score | Risk | | |
| A.1 Principal Activity | Asset Management | 1 | 7 | Medium | | |
| Asset Management (Risk: 7): Moderate to high risk; involves managing client funds, which can attract criminal elements if not regulated adequately. | | | | | | |
| A.2 Industry Sector | Financial Services | 1 | 8 | High | | |
| Financial Services (Risk: 8): Medium-high risk due to exposure to international businesses entailing cross-border flows of funds and cash-intensive activities of its customers. | | | | | | |
| A.3 Countries of Operation | South Africa | 1 | 9 | High | | |
| South Africa: High risk; corruption and organized crime present vulnerabilities despite a developed financial system. Identified as having strategic AML deficiencies by FATF. (Source: FATF, status last updated by regulator on June 26, 2025; Know Your Country, February 27, 2025; EU High List Countries, status last updated June 10, 2025). | | | | | | |
| A.4 Legal Structure | GBL | 1 | 4 | Low | | |
| GBL (Risk: 4): Medium-low risk, as Global Business License companies operate under regulatory oversight but may engage in cross-border activities that require enhanced due diligence. | | | | | | |

Risk Rating: High

Page 1 of 7 Printed: 8/18/2025

| Section Risk | | 4 | 70 % | Mediun |
|--|---|---------------|-------------|------------|
| B. Corporate Structure, Governance and Ownership | | | | |
| Question | Answer | Weight | Score | Risk |
| B.1 | | | | |
| Lower risk as the transparent corporate structure allows for better understanding of operations, governance, and finance | cial relationships, aiding in effective ris | k manager | nent. | |
| B.2 | | | | |
| Moderate risk as additional layers can add complexity and potential opacity, increasing the difficulty in verifying UBO in | nformation. | | | |
| B.3 | | | | |
| Lower risk as having all KYC documents on file indicates thorough due diligence, facilitating transparency and complia | nce with Anti-Money Laundering (AMI | _) regulation | ns. | |
| B.4 | | | | |
| Lower risk as the absence of legal issues or negative publicity suggests a stable management team, reducing concern | ns over reputational harm and operatio | nal challen | ges. | |
| B.5 | | | | |
| Lower risk as the absence of legal issues or negative publicity suggests a stable management team, reducing concern | ne over reputational harm and operation | nal challen | nee | |
| | s over reputational flami and operation | _ | yes. | |
| B.6 | | | | |
| Higher risk, as FATF promotes standards to prevent money laundering and terrorist financing. High-risk jurisdictions are | re often non-compliant or only partially | | with these | standards. |
| B.7 | | | | |
| Lower risk, because the ownership structure is more transparent, making it easier to conduct due diligence and verify t | the true beneficial owners. | _ | | |
| B.8 | | | | |
| Lower risk, as entities not engaged in cash-intensive sectors are generally less likely to be used for money laundering. | | | | |
| B.9 | | | | |

Page 2 of 7 Printed: 8/18/2025

| Continue Disk | | 10.5 | E40/ | N/I o oli : |
|---|---|-----------------|------------|-------------|
| Section Risk | | 10.5 | 51% | Medium |
| C. Source of Funds / Wealth | | | | |
| Question | Answer | Weight | Score | Risk |
| C.1 | | | | |
| Lower risk, as money being used in transactions can be traced back to legitimate activities. | | | | |
| C.2 | | | | |
| Higher risk, as high-risk countries are often associated with weak regulatory environments, corruption, or no harder to trace and potentially illegal. | on-compliance with international financial st | andards, making | the origin | of funds |
| C.3 | | | | |
| Section Risk | | 2 | 65% | Medium |
| | | | | |
| D. Transactions | | | | |
| | Answer | Weight | Score | Risk |
| D. Transactions Question D.1 | Answer | Weight | Score | Risk |
| Question D.1 | Answer | Weight | Score | Risk |
| Question | Answer | Weight | Score | Risk |
| Question D.1 Lower risk, as it is less likely that the company is engaged in tax evasion or financial manipulation. D.2 | | | Score | Risk |
| D.1 Lower risk, as it is less likely that the company is engaged in tax evasion or financial manipulation. D.2 Lower risk, as by restricting third-party payments, the institution reduces the potential for illicit activity or nor | | | Score | Risk |
| D.1 Lower risk, as it is less likely that the company is engaged in tax evasion or financial manipulation. D.2 Lower risk, as by restricting third-party payments, the institution reduces the potential for illicit activity or nor D.3 | n-compliance with regulatory obligations. | | Score | Risk |
| Question D.1 Lower risk, as it is less likely that the company is engaged in tax evasion or financial manipulation. | n-compliance with regulatory obligations. | | Score | Risk |

| Question | Answer | Weight | Score | Risk |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|--------------|
| E.1 | | | | |
| Lower risk, as in-person interaction helps verify the client's identity, business activities, and financial status. | | | | |
| E.2 | | | | |
| Lower risk, as fewer electronic-only interactions reduce the likelihood of cyber fraud and technological risks. | | | | |
| E.3 | | | | |
| Lower risk, as direct face-to-face meetings with the client provide greater control and transparency. | | | | |
| | | | | |
| E.4 | | | | |
| E.4 Higher risk, as prolonged lack of contact with principals can signal potential abandonment, fraudulent activity, or lack of transparent | parency regarding the bus | | _ | S. |
| <u> </u> | parency regarding the bus | | _ | s. Medium |
| Higher risk, as prolonged lack of contact with principals can signal potential abandonment, fraudulent activity, or lack of transport | parency regarding the bus | siness's ongoing | operations | |
| Higher risk, as prolonged lack of contact with principals can signal potential abandonment, fraudulent activity, or lack of transposed lack of transposed lack of contact with principals can signal potential abandonment, fraudulent activity, or lack of transposed lack of transposed lack of contact with principals can signal potential abandonment, fraudulent activity, or lack of transposed lack of transposed lack of contact with principals can signal potential abandonment, fraudulent activity, or lack of transposed lack of transposed lack of contact with principals can signal potential abandonment, fraudulent activity, or lack of transposed lack of tran | parency regarding the bus | siness's ongoing | operations | |
| Higher risk, as prolonged lack of contact with principals can signal potential abandonment, fraudulent activity, or lack of transportation Risk F. Technological Risks | | siness's ongoing 4 | operations | Medium |
| Higher risk, as prolonged lack of contact with principals can signal potential abandonment, fraudulent activity, or lack of transposed section Risk F. Technological Risks Question | Answer | siness's ongoing 4 Weight | operations | Medium |
| Higher risk, as prolonged lack of contact with principals can signal potential abandonment, fraudulent activity, or lack of transport section Risk F. Technological Risks Question F.1 | Answer | siness's ongoing 4 Weight | operations | Medium |
| Higher risk, as prolonged lack of contact with principals can signal potential abandonment, fraudulent activity, or lack of transpose. Section Risk F. Technological Risks Question F.1 Lower risk, as avoiding complex, cutting-edge technologies can reduce some of the complexity and associated AML compliance. | Answer | weight | operations | Medium |
| Higher risk, as prolonged lack of contact with principals can signal potential abandonment, fraudulent activity, or lack of transposed section Risk F. Technological Risks Question F.1 Lower risk, as avoiding complex, cutting-edge technologies can reduce some of the complexity and associated AML compliance. | Answer | weight | operations | Medium |

Page 4 of 7 Printed: 8/18/2025

Risk Assessment Summary

| Summary | | | | | |
|--|--------|-------|--------|--|--|
| Section | Weight | Score | Risk | | |
| A. Business Activity | 1 | 70% | Medium | | |
| B. Corporate Structure, Governance and Ownership | 1 | 51% | Medium | | |
| C. Source of Funds / Wealth | 1 | 65% | Medium | | |
| D. Transactions | 0.5 | 40% | Low | | |
| E. Business Relationship / Delivery Channel | 1 | 53% | Medium | | |
| F. Technological Risks | 0.5 | 40% | Low | | |
| Computed Risk Score | | 56% | Medium | | |

Suggested Risk High

1. Client operates in one or more high-risk jurisdictions, this typically indicates a high risk profile for the client.

- 2. UBO/shareholder is a citizen and/or resident of one or more high-risk jurisdictions, this typically indicates a high risk profile for the client.
- 3. Funds of the client are generated in high-risk jurisdictions, this typically indicates a high risk profile for the client.

Risk Assessment Conclusion

Risk Rating: High

Assessor's Conclusion:

Client operates in SA

Page 5 of 7 Printed: 8/18/2025