

Expanding the U.S. Treasury T-List: Integrating Legal Entity Identifiers (LEIs) and URLs for Digital Surety Bond Validation in Federal Infrastructure

Introduction

The U.S. Department of the Treasury's T-List (Circular 570) is central to the authorization and validation of surety companies eligible to provide bonds on federal contracts, particularly in the construction sector. However, as both the requirements for infrastructure oversight and the operational landscape of construction management become increasingly digital, the traditional methods of surety bond validation face inefficiencies and risks. This report explores the potential benefits and strategic rationale for expanding the T-List to include Legal Entity Identifiers (LEIs) and URLs, enabling real-time, digital validation of surety bonds. This proposed expansion would tie directly into the broader federal digital infrastructure initiatives, such as those advanced by the Highway Engineering Exchange Program (HEEP), specifically within their Advanced Digital Construction Management Systems (ADCMS) grant proposal.

The integration of unique digital identifiers and verifiable URLs seeks to accelerate digital adoption in construction management, bolster risk management, promote transparency and efficiency, and unlock opportunities for small and disadvantaged businesses. This comprehensive report addresses the current state of the T-List, reviews the fundamentals of LEIs, assesses the use of URLs in secure digital verification, explains how these enhancements align with the ADCMS program under HEEP, and analyzes technical, regulatory, and adoption considerations for successful implementation.

1. Context and Overview

1.1 The U.S. Treasury T-List (Circular 570): Purpose and Evolution

The U.S. Treasury's Circular 570, or the T-List, is an annual publication listing companies holding certificates of authority as acceptable sureties on federal bonds, as well as acceptable reinsuring companies. The list underpins project risk management and legal compliance for federal construction and procurement, citing which sureties are authorized to underwrite or reinsure such bonds. Companies on the T-List must meet legal, financial, and operational requirements defined under 31 CFR Part 223.

Circular 570 is foundational to the verification practices of federal bond-approving officers, contractors, and obligees in both construction and service contracts. The list is increasingly made available in digital formats but remains primarily a downloadable document or static web page, with occasional mid-year updates attached as addenda. Recent regulatory revisions (August 2024) have codified longstanding practices, introduced new publication dates, and expanded the classes of authorized reinsurers, but have not fundamentally altered the data structure of the T-List.

1.2 The Surety Bond Validation Process: Current State

Traditionally, validating a surety bond involves multiple manual steps:

- **Checking company authorization:** Contractors, obligees, and procurement officers confirm that the issuing surety is listed in Circular 570 and, if applicable, licensed in the relevant state.
- **Direct confirmation:** Obligees often verify bond validity by calling or emailing the surety or using proprietary portals when available.
- **Review of bond documents:** Ensuring bond forms are correctly executed, signed, and—when required—accompanied by a power of attorney.

Challenges in the current process include delays due to manual checks, the risk of relying on outdated lists, and vulnerability to fraud (e.g., forged bonds, misrepresentation of surety status, or counterfeiting of certificates). For small businesses, the complexity can be prohibitive, resulting in lost opportunities or administrative hurdles when bidding for federal contracts.

1.3 The Push for Digital Construction Management

Federal policy has increasingly supported the digital transformation of construction management and infrastructure delivery. The ADCMS program, funded through the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), seeks to promote advanced digital construction management systems, enhance stakeholder interoperability, streamline project delivery, and maximize efficiency, quality, and safety. The Highway Engineering Exchange Program (HEEP), a leader in construction technology collaboration, is both a promoter and a beneficiary of this transition, focusing on standards-based, interoperable ecosystems for the public and private sectors.

2. Legal Entity Identifiers (LEIs): Foundation for Digital Trust

2.1 Understanding LEIs

A Legal Entity Identifier (LEI) is a globally unique, 20-character alphanumeric code based on the ISO 17442 standard, assigned to distinct legal entities participating in financial and commercial transactions. The LEI system was designed in response to global regulatory demands

for improved transparency, anti-money laundering (AML), and Know-Your-Customer (KYC) controls, especially in the wake of the 2008 financial crisis.

Key characteristics of the LEI include:

- **Uniqueness:** Each LEI is permanently assigned to a single legal entity.
- **Globally recognized:** Valid across regulatory jurisdictions in over 200 countries.
- **Public reference data:** LEI details (official entity name, address, country, registration authority, and—where available—parent/subsidiary relationships) are accessible via the Global LEI Index maintained by the Global Legal Entity Identifier Foundation (GLEIF).
- **Use in regulation:** Required by major regulatory regimes for capital markets, banking, payments, and more (e.g., Dodd-Frank, MiFID II, EMIR).

2.2 Benefits of LEI Integration

The integration of LEIs into surety bond processes and lists such as the T-List offers multifaceted benefits:

- **Counterparty identification:** Reduces ambiguity and risk of doing business with fraudulent or misrepresented entities.
- **Transparency:** Enables real-time, automated verification of the bona fides of surety providers and, eventually, subcontractors or other parties within a project ecosystem.
- **KYC and regulatory alignment:** Streamlines compliance with global anti-money laundering and customer due diligence requirements.
- **Process efficiency:** Facilitates digital onboarding and rapid validation in real-time systems, reducing manual intervention and paperwork for all stakeholders.
- **Interoperability:** Allows data to flow freely between national, state, and private sector databases, supporting digital construction management and supply chain traceability.

2.3 International Adoption and Best Practices

The adoption of LEIs has become a best practice for payment modernization, AML control, and transparency in both the US and international markets. The European Union, for instance, now requires LEIs for AML-regulated transactions and in instant payments regulations, and the inclusion of LEIs in ISO 20022 payment messages is becoming standard by the end of 2025. Major financial infrastructures (e.g., India's RTGS, UK's CHAPS, EU's TFR) have adopted or will soon mandate the use of LEIs in core payment systems. The LEI has also been embraced for corporate invoice reconciliation, vendor onboarding, fraud detection, and supply chain transparency.

2.4 Application to Surety Bonds

The potential application of LEIs to the surety bond industry—especially for integration into the T-List—includes:

- **Unambiguous identification** of each surety company and, where relevant, their parent or affiliated entities (important when similar or duplicate names cause confusion).
 - **Faster validation:** Using public LEI APIs to automate the confirmation of entity status, controlling party, and licensing status.
 - **Standardized data fields:** Supporting interoperability with construction management platforms, regulatory systems, and payment rails.
-

3. URLs and Digital Verification Systems

3.1 The Rise of URL-based Digital Credentialing

Web URLs (Uniform Resource Locators) have become a standard mechanism for accessing, presenting, and verifying digital credentials. In the context of surety bonds, URLs point to:

- **API endpoints** or portals for real-time bond validation or surety company status checks.
- **Digital bond documents**, packaged with cryptographically secure signatures or hashes.
- **Profiles for companies**, linking to licensing, rating, and financial strength data.

Modern digital credentialing and identity frameworks, including the recently standardized W3C Verifiable Credentials 2.0, leverage URLs to enable decentralized, cryptographically secure, machine-verifiable credentials, including corporate certificates, licenses, and trade documents.

3.2 Verification Workflows Leveraging URLs

Digital surety bond validation with URLs can involve:

- **Direct lookup:** Bond verifiers (e.g., a federal procurement officer) input a bond reference or code on a surety's API-driven portal to confirm its validity and terms.
- **QR codes and hyperlinks:** Printed or digital bonds include scannable codes or links pointing to an immutable validation endpoint—for example, a record on the surety's platform or a permissioned blockchain node.
- **API-driven validation:** Third-party applications (such as ADCMS platforms) automatically query a surety's endpoint to verify bond status for workflow automation.

3.3 Security and Standardization

URLs, when properly managed, can provide a secure front door to machine-verifiable evidence of:

- **Bond issuance**
- **Certificate status**

- **Company authority** They can be coupled with authentication and cryptographic proofs that assure the data's integrity—a best practice now emergent in W3C and enterprise blockchain adoption for digital credentials.
-

4. The HEEP ADCMS Grant Proposal: Digital Ecosystem Modernization

4.1 ADCMS Program Goals

The FHWA's Advanced Digital Construction Management Systems (ADCMS) program was created to accelerate the adoption of digital construction management systems across the entire project lifecycle. Its goals and grant requirements as articulated in federal documentation include:

- **Maximize interoperability** with other systems, tools, and applications.
- **Boost productivity** and manage project complexity through digital transformation.
- **Reduce project delays** and cost overruns.
- **Enhance safety, quality, and transparency** by enabling real-time monitoring, reporting, and stakeholder information sharing.
- **Encourage technology adoption**, training, and workforce development.

Eligible applicants for these grants include state DOTs, and collaborative projects with local agencies and private industry partners are encouraged.

4.2 HEEP's Role and ADCMS Grant Objectives

The Highway Engineering Exchange Program (HEEP) is a pivotal convener in the U.S. and internationally for the promotion of technology and software solutions in highway transportation agencies. HEEP's ADCMS grant proposal is designed to:

- Promote a trusted, secure, and interoperable digital construction management ecosystem.
- Collaborate on technology standards (including digital bond validation, electronic document exchange, and API adoption).
- Support smaller and disadvantaged firms (by removing barriers to entry associated with manual or legacy validation processes).
- Facilitate integration across state, federal, and industry platforms.

4.3 The Case for T-List Expansion in Collaboration with ADCMS

Modernizing the T-List by adding LEIs and digital validation URLs is synergistic with the objectives of the ADCMS grant:

- **Interoperability:** Digital identifiers and endpoints allow T-List data to flow into ADCMS platforms, enabling automated bond validation directly within project workflows.
 - **Transparency and Trust:** Stakeholders gain immediate assurance of surety bond authorization and validity, reducing fraud risk and administrative delay.
 - **Risk Management:** The system supports dynamic, API-driven checks for company certification, licensing, and bond status.
 - **Inclusion:** Small businesses, often hindered by manual paperwork and burdensome validation requirements, benefit from simplified access and proof of compliance.
-

5. Benefits Analysis: T-List Expansion with LEIs and URLs

5.1 Improved Transparency

- **Machine-verifiable authenticity:** By providing a unique LEI and a URL for direct validation, obligees and procurement officials can instantly confirm a surety company's status, reducing opportunities for fraud or misrepresentation.
- **Public record linkage:** LEIs ensure linkage between the T-List, state insurance registers, and international regulatory databases, expanding the visibility of entity status and relationships.
- **Digital audit trail:** URL-based validation services generate logs and provenance for every check, supporting compliance, dispute resolution, and future audits.

5.2 Enhanced Efficiency

- **Automated verification:** ADCMS platforms (or any construction management software) can, via API, immediately verify bond issuance and surety status, eliminating days of manual phone or email validation and reducing FTE labor requirements.
- **Streamlined onboarding:** Contractors, especially small and minority firms, are able to validate their compliance in seconds, making it easier to participate in bidding and execution.
- **Reduced errors:** Digital processes reduce lost paperwork, misfiled documents, and clerical mistakes inherent in manual systems.

5.3 Superior Risk Management

- **Real-time validation:** If a surety is suspended, has its authority revoked, or the bond is compromised, digital systems can provide instant status changes communicated to all stakeholders.
- **Fraud reduction:** LEIs and verifiable URLs make it much harder to submit forged or counterfeited bond documents, as validation must align with canonical records on official systems.

- **Data integrity:** Tighter controls on digital identifiers and links support traceability and liability management in case of disputes, claims, or defaults.

5.4 Small Business Empowerment

- **Lower compliance burden:** Small businesses benefit from simplified, automated proof of bonding—critical for meeting the requirements of public contracts and for participation in programs such as those run by the Small Business Administration (SBA).
- **Faster time-to-contract:** Digital validation shortens the lag between award and performance—vital for cash-constrained or resource-limited enterprises.
- **Level playing field:** Transparent, open, and standardized validation removes hidden barriers and reduces dependence on insider knowledge, favoring merit-based competition.

5.5 Alignment with Federal Digital Infrastructure Initiatives

- **Open data mandates:** Expanding the T-List with LEIs and URLs aligns with the federal government's open data strategy, digital government ambitions, and mandates for API-driven information systems.
- **Consolidation of standards:** The adoption of ISO 17442 LEIs, W3C verifiable credentials, and secure URL validation positions the T-List within a global ecosystem, keeping pace with regulatory trends in payments, AML, and supply chain security.
- **Cybersecurity priorities:** Digitizing the validation process allows for stronger security measures and rapid deployment of patches or updates as required by evolving cybersecurity Executive Orders.

Table: Proposed T-List Enhancements and Expected Impact

Enhancement	Description	Impact on Digital Construction Management	Risk Mitigation	Small Business Support
Legal Entity Identifier (LEI)	ISO 17442-compliant code identifying each surety/reinsurer	Enables automated, unambiguous surety identification in workflows	Prevents fraud, supports AML/KYC	Simplifies compliance for procurement
Validation URL	Official web link or API endpoint for real-time surety/bond validation	Enables instant verification within ADCMS, exports to 3rd party platforms	Eliminates paper forgery, tracks authenticity	No need for phone/email validation, saves time

Enhancement	Description	Impact on Digital Construction Management	Risk Mitigation	Small Business Support
API-Enabled Data Access	Data feed providing T-List updates (including LEI, URL) in machine-readable formats	Automated bond and surety integration, workflow triggers	Real-time risk alerts, suspension blocks	Opens up digital procurement to more businesses
W3C Verifiable Credential	Option to issue T-List "entry" as a digitally signed tamper-evident credential/document	Supports digital bond issuance, smart contract integration	Guarantees integrity, enables instant revocation	Reduces errors, supports third-party validation
Small Business Tagging	Mark small/disadvantaged business sureties/surety agents with LEIs and digital profiles	Data-driven reporting for ADCMS grant outcomes, equity tracking	Enhanced monitoring, better program reporting	Better visibility and access to federal opportunities

These enhancements collectively unlock new efficiencies, mitigate risk more effectively, and empower a broader, more inclusive spectrum of industry stakeholders to compete and perform in the digital federal construction market.

6. Implementation Considerations

6.1 Technical Requirements: APIs, Data Standards, and Security

API and Data Exchange

- **RESTful APIs:** The T-List should be exportable via secure, RESTful APIs adhering to widely adopted JSON or XML schemas, aligned with standards used in the surety industry and ADCMS systems.
- **Machine-readable fields:** All T-List records should include structured fields for LEI, company name, operating jurisdictions, URL endpoints, business status indicators, and contact details.
- **Event-driven updates:** APIs should allow for push notifications of status changes (suspension, revocation, annual updates) to subscribing stakeholders.

Data Standards

- **XBRL:** Leverage existing insurance industry standards such as XBRL and FDX for bond data exchange and financial reporting.
- **W3C Verifiable Credentials:** Adopt credential standards for machine-verifiable certificates, especially as states and large owners move towards digital credentialing in contracts and procurement.

- **ISO 20022 compatibility:** Align message layouts with payment and invoice processing standards, supporting integrations with banking and supply chain platforms.

Security

- **HTTPS and API keys:** Use secure encryption for all web-based endpoints. Authentication via rotating API keys or OAuth mechanisms to control access.
- **Integrity and Non-Repudiation:** All data exports and credential endpoints must be cryptographically signed.
- **Privacy:** Public data only; no sensitive personal information is included in T-List schemas, aligning with open government requirements and privacy mandates.

6.2 Governance and Stakeholder Engagement

Stakeholder Roles

- **U.S. Treasury (Fiscal Service):** Owner and publisher of the official T-List, responsible for data quality, LEI mapping, and URL validation.
- **FHWA/HEEP:** Key partners, ensuring requirements for ADCMS integration, adoption standards for state DOTs, and providing feedback to Treasury.
- **State Insurance Regulators:** Alignment and data crosswalks for state-level surety licensing, supporting one-stop verification across jurisdictions.
- **Surety companies and industry associations** (e.g., SFAA, NASBP): Responsible for pilot adoption and governance forums.
- **Small business advocacy and support organizations:** Providing feedback on accessibility, usability, and impact on minority and disadvantaged businesses.

Governance Model

- **Joint technical advisory group:** Treasury, FHWA, HEEP, and industry partners co-develop technical specifications and adoption roadmaps.
- **Change management process:** Clearly defined for updating T-List records, resolving disputes, error correction, and handling regulatory incidents (e.g., suspensions, revocations).
- **Continuous feedback loops:** Open comment periods for stakeholders, user testing, and regular progress reviews.

6.3 Legal and Regulatory

- **Federal Register update:** Formal rulemaking or notice to expand the required fields for surety company applications/renewals to include LEI, API/URL, and to authorize electronic publication as canonical.
- **Privacy and open government compliance:** All data made available must comply with open data policies; private or sensitive information remains excluded.

- **International compatibility:** Align with global best practices for LEI adoption in payments, contracts, and AML reporting to support U.S. competitiveness and cross-border trade.

6.4 Barriers and Mitigation Strategies

- **Data completeness:** Not all U.S. surety companies may currently have LEIs. Treasury may need to provide onboarding assistance or incentives, as some regulators have done in financial markets.
 - **Resource constraints:** Smaller surety firms or agencies may lack digital infrastructure to provide resilient URL or API endpoints. National-level assistance, templates, or partnerships (e.g., industry consortia or shared validation platforms) can address the gap.
 - **Adoption inertia:** Encourage rapid state-level and private sector adoption through demonstration pilots, inclusion in eligibility criteria for federal projects or grants, and integration toolkits in ADCMS RFPs.
 - **Cybersecurity threats:** Regular penetration testing, zero-trust authentication for APIs, defensive monitoring, and rapid incident response plans.
-

7. Alignment with Federal and International Digital Policy

7.1 Federal Digital Infrastructure Strategy

The modernization of the T-List is directly aligned with federal policies promoting a "Digital Government" and resilient, secure digital infrastructure. These priorities have been codified in executive branch memoranda, open data policies, and cybersecurity executive orders that call for:

- **API-centric, machine-readable government data sets.**
- **Cyber resilience** in the face of expanding digital threats to critical infrastructure and procurement processes.
- **Open data for transparency, efficiency, and accountability.**
- **Scalable digital credentialing for public and private sector transactions.**

7.2 International Interoperability and Best Practices

By integrating LEIs and URLs, the U.S. federal surety ecosystem will converge with global best practices already present in financial payments, KYC, AML, trade finance, and cross-border procurement:

- **European Union:** Mandate of LEIs in AML and instant payments; planned requirement for LEI inclusion in all payment messages by November 2025.
- **ISO 20022 Messaging:** International banking/payment standard now includes LEI fields for party and transaction identification.

- **G20/FSB and international oversight:** U.S. participation in regulatory frameworks for digital assets, unique identifiers, and secure payments, meaning U.S. T-List reforms will have global resonance and influence.
 - **Blockchain and verifiable credentials:** Ledgers and credentialing frameworks established in Europe, Asia, and North America for streamlining trade documents, supply chain compliance, and government-issued certificates.
-

8. International Case Studies and Industry Precedents

8.1 Digital Bond Markets and Blockchain

Several major economies are piloting or implementing the issuance and management of digital bonds on blockchain-based platforms, improving transparency, settlement speed, and security through digital identifiers, cryptographic proof, and API-based validation. Notable examples include:

- **European Investment Bank and Eurozone central banks:** Digital bond pilots using smart contracts, instant settlement, embedded compliance checks, and on-chain validation.

8.2 W3C Verifiable Credentials and Digital Identity

The W3C has released Verifiable Credentials 2.0 as a standard for tamper-evident, cryptographically secure digital certificates applicable in government, finance, supply chain, and construction sectors. These standards are rapidly gaining ground in digital government pilots and may underpin the next generation of bond and surety credentialing.

8.3 Trade Finance, KYC, and AML

International payments increasingly use LEIs for sanctions screening, cross-border KYC, invoice reconciliation, and financial crime prevention, with data showing improvements in risk reduction, faster transaction times, and better client experience.

9. Conclusion and Strategic Recommendations

The integration of Legal Entity Identifiers (LEIs) and verifiable URLs into the U.S. Treasury T-List represents a crucial modernization step for the federal construction ecosystem. This transition—aligned with HEEP’s ADCMS grant objectives and broader federal digital infrastructure policy—will dramatically improve trust, transparency, and efficiency in surety bond validation, provide superior risk management, and remove hidden barriers for small and disadvantaged businesses.

Key strategic directions for implementation:

- **Mandate LEI registration** for all T-List applicants, providing onboarding support during the transition period.
- **Require a verifiable URL/API endpoint** as a T-List data field, backed by security best practices and continuous monitoring.
- **Adopt and publish standardized, machine-readable T-List data feeds**, updated in real-time and consumable by ADCMS platforms, state DOT systems, and public stakeholders.
- **Engage a public-private technical advisory board**, including small business representation, to guide standards adoption, API interoperability, and continuous improvement.
- **Pilot digital bond issuance and verification** with W3C Verifiable Credentials, supporting a future-proof foundation for document integrity and cross-sector interoperability.
- **Integrate these reforms with small business assistance**, technology training, and outreach, ensuring universal accessibility and economic inclusivity.