ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY:					RA-015
NAME: Daniel Summers	STATE BAR NU	JMBER:			
STREET ADDRESS: 40495 Calle Madero					
CITY: Temecula					
TELEPHONE NO.:951-522-7552					
EMAIL ADDRESS:Dan@summersregroup.com	STATE: FAX NO.:	ZIP CODE:		SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNI COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF				APR 0 4 2022	APR
STREET ADDRESS: Southwest Justice Center				AINOIL	
MAILING ADDRESS: 30755 D Auld Rd					07
CITY AND ZIP CODE: Auto Rd BRANCH NAME: Murrieta,Ca 92563				DEPEAST	
				PRENDERGAS	2022
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Summers v. Meac DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT:	lowview HOA#	1			
OTHER CASE NAME:					S
	OTE PROCE	EDING			
AT EVIDENTIARY HEARING OR TRIAL			CASE NUMBER:		
	ARING OR I			SCSW2200137	
Unless the court has an online process court why a remote appearance or test hearing in which a person may testify action, use form RA-030.) See page 2 of this form for more infor	stimony should under oath. (Fo	not be allowed	ed at a trial or a remote appea	n evidentiary hearing, which is a rance in a juvenile dependency	
1. Person opposing remote appearance or test	imony is (check	k and comple	te all that apply	<i>ı</i> ):	-
X Plaintiff/Petitioner (name):					
Defendant/Respondent (name):					
Other (name and role in case):					
2. The trial or evidentiary proceeding with a ren	note appearanc	ce or testimor	ny set is for (de	scribe):	
am					
set on (date): April 18th at	(time):	8:00	in (departn	nent): S101	
before (name of judicial officer, if known):					
3. The reasons why remote appearance or testimony should not be allowed are ( <i>describe the reasons here, including who would be appearing, or, if more space is required, attach form MC-25</i> ): Explanation is on form MC-025, titled as Attachment 3.					

Date: 4/1/2022

٩

¥

١,

8,

**Daniel Summers** 

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

39 man (SIGNATURE) . Page 1 of 2

OPPOSITION TO REMOTE PROCEEDING AT EVIDENTIARY HEARING OR TRIAL Code of Civil Procedure, § 367.75(d); Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.672

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY: DANIEL SUMMERS 40495 CALLE MADERO TEMECULA, CA 92591	FOR COURT USE ONLY
TELEPHONE NO.: (951) 522-7552 EMAIL ADDRESS: dan@summersgroup.com ATTORNEY FOR: Plaintiff	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE STREET ADDRESS: 30755-D Auld Road, Suite 1226 MAILING ADDRESS: CITY AND ZIP CODE: Murrieta, 92563 BRANCH NAME: Murrieta	
PLAINTIFF: SUMMERS DEFENDANT: MEADOWVIEW HOA #1, et al	CASE NUMBER: SCSW2200137 COURT DATE: 3/16/2022 8:00 am
PROOF OF SERVICE	Ref. No. or File No.:

1. I am over 18 years of age and not a party to this action.

4

\*1

- 2. Received by Cal Process Servers on 4/1/2022 at 5:05 pm to be served on MEADOWVIEW HOA 31, 15241 LAGUNA CANYON RAOD, IRVINE, CA 92618-3152.
- Deponent completed service by mailing a true copy of the SC-150-REQUEST TO POSTPONE TRIAL; OPPOSITION TO REMOTE PROCEEDING AT EVIDENTIARY HEARING OR TRIAL in a postpaid envelope addressed to the Party to be served:

## FIRST SERVICE AGENT CORPORATION 15241 LAGUNA CANYON RAOD, IRVINE, CA 92618-3152.

The envelope was mailed by First Class Mail on 4/2/2022 from LAGUNA HILLS, CA and placed in an official depository of the United State Postal Service.

- Date and Time of service: 4/2/2022 at 11:10 am
- 5. I am a registered California process server.
- 6. My name, address, telephone number, and, if applicable, county of registration and number are:

Name: Richard Steiber Firm: Cal Process Servers Address: 14271 Jeffrey Road, #308, Irvine, CA 92620 Telephone number: (949) 295-8028 Registration Number: 1852 County: Orange The fee for the service was: \$25.00

PLAINTIFF: SUMMERS	CASE NUMBER:
DEFENDANT: MEADOWVIEW HOA #1, et al	SCSW2200137

7. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date:

ъ

4/02/2022

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF PERSON WHO SERVED THE PAPERS)

١,

6

mint

(SIGNATURE OF PERSON WHO SERVED THE PAPERS)

CASE NUMBER:

## SHORT TITLE: OPPOSITION TO REMOTE PROCEEDING AT HEARING

1 .

SCSW2200137

ATTACHMENT (Number): #1

(This Attachment may be used with any Judicial Council form.)

Plaintiffs oppose Defendant s (Deanna Casillas, The Managing Agent for Meadowview HOA#1) motion for a remote appearance.

Due to the complexity of this trial and the substantial amount of evidence and exhibits that will be offered during the trial, a remote appearance would be impractical for Defendant to examine and review all the physical evidence remotely.

Secondly, Ms. Casillas will not be able to testify or respond to all the evidence presented to this court since she was not always present or employed by the Defendants at certain times. An order denying the request for a remote hearing will avoid any future need for a continuance of this hearing.

The Plaintiffs respectfully request that this court also order all Director of the Meadowview Board currently serving as officers who held a Director seat the day before the 2/16/2021 election. And ordered those Defendants to be present in court so that they may respond to Plaintiff s allegations in this case and offer testimony to the physical evidence that will be presented during this hearing.

Defendant Ms. Casillas maintains a fully staffed office with one full-time assistant manager at 41050 Avenida Verde Temecula, Ca, 17 minutes (6.4) miles from the Superior Court located at 30755 D Auld Rd. Murrieta, Ca. Therefore, her appearance would be a minimal interruption to the daily business of the Meadowview HOA office.

(If the item that this Attachment concerns is made under penalty of perjury, all statements in this Attachment are made under penalty of perjury.)

2 2 of Page

(Add pages as required)