

Taylor, Bob (Thune)

From: Beauchamp, Arthur, CIV, WSO-BRAC [Arthur.Beauchamp@wso.whs.mil]

Sent: Tuesday, August 09, 2005 2:06 PM

To: Taylor, Bob (Thune)

Subject: RE: Dyess info

Bob, thanks. According to the Air Force the scoring methodology for IR considered the relative distance of entry and exit points to an installation. But, routes should counted only once. The more routes within 300 NW the more points an installation received. Art

From: Taylor, Bob (Thune) [mailto:Bob_Taylor@thune.senate.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, August 09, 2005 1:55 PM

To: Beauchamp, Arthur, CIV, WSO-BRAC

Subject: FW: Dyess info

Art, we had some analysis done on the claimed Dyess routes and MOAs. One thing we noted is that the entry point for IR-178 just happens to be listed as 299 miles from Dyess just coming under the wire for scoring. That seeming to be just too much of a coincidence for us, so we had the mileage checked. We calculate it at closer to 335 miles, representing a 10 % error if true. You may want to check that one out. That apparently would affect the MCI score. We also noted some routes being counted twice when used in opposite directions. Is that permitted?