Many people believe that the Bible is outdated. However, Scripture has much to say about what God intended us to eat. God has a perfect plan for humans, and it started at the very beginning of time. Y'shua Himself created this planet to be inhabited and enjoyed by humankind. He wants us be happy and healthy. What was The Original Human Diet? In the beginning, God created everything perfect. There were no weeds and no diseases.

(Genesis 1:11). 11 And God said, Let the earth bring forth grass, the herb yielding seed, and the fruit tree yielding fruit after his kind, whose seed is in itself, upon the earth: and it was so. (Genesis 1:26). And God said, Let us make man in our image, after our likeness: and let them have dominion over the fish of the sea, and over the fowl of the air, and over the cattle, and over all the earth, and over every creeping thing that creepeth upon the earth.

After Yahweh created the perfect world, he gave Adam and Eve instructions about their diet. He said, (Genesis 1:29). "I give you every seed-bearing plant on the face of the whole earth and every tree that has fruit with seed in it". Now this proves that it was not an apple that Eve and Adam ate from tree of knowledge of good and evil because apple has seed in it!

Our human digestive system is geared toward the diet God set out for us in Genesis 1. The length of the digestive tract is a strong indicator of what type of food is normally eaten, and our digestive tract is 12-14 times our shoulder-to-hip trunk length, the same as fruit-eating animals.

Herbivores, such as cattle, have a gut-length 20 times their body length, since it takes longer to digest the fiber content in their diet. The shortest tracts are found in meat-eaters.

After the Fall, humans were also permitted to eat vegetables. This may have been because the original foods were not as available as they were before. Satan's work is to destroy God's creation whenever he can. When Adam and Eve disobeyed God, Satan stole their dominion of the planet, and the whole of creation has been groaning ever since. God told Adam that the earth would be cursed—that it would produce thorns and thistles—and that he "will eat the plants of the field" (Genesis 3:18 Thorns also and thistles shall it bring forth to thee; and thou shalt eat the herb of the field;).

Vegetables are very rich in elemental sulfur and are good cleansers of the digestive tract. Vegetables also take longer to digest than the fruits, grains, and seeds that God originally gave humans to eat. As I researched on this subject- It has been proven that if one eats vegetables with any of the original foods at the same meal, fermentation takes place in the gut. This is not a healthy practice. This fermentation consists largely of fatty acids that have a detrimental effect on the body's immune system. We should Try to avoid eating vegetables and fruits at the same meal.

This is from{Counsels on Diets and Foods, p 112.3} It is not well to eat fruit and vegetables at the same meal. If the digestion is feeble, the use of both will often cause distress, and inability to put forth mental effort. It is better to have the fruit at one meal, and the vegetables at another (Manuscript Releases, Volume 18, 84.1) Too great a variety of food at one meal causes a disturbance in the digestive organs. Weakly children who eat vegetables and fruit at the same meal often become fretful and peevish. These children are regarded as having a very bad disposition, when the real cause of their irritability is the food that is provided for them by their parents.

Fruits can be eaten with grains, seeds, and nuts; and vegetables can be eaten with grains, seeds, and nuts.

Fruit is digested quickest, followed by grains, then seeds and then nuts. Vegetables take longer to digest than all these. Even today, everything our body requires is found in a grain kernel. Most bread these days lacks the basic natural ingredients. Much of the whole food that is still available is chemically treated. Nevertheless, if we all ate more whole grains we would have far fewer diseases.

Meat entered our diet after the Flood. After the floodwaters had receded, the earth had little vegetation. Perhaps Noah had seeds with him and planted these for this first food. However, there would have been no fruit or nuts for a number of years. So, the Lord said, (Genesis 9:3)." Every moving thing that liveth shall be meat for you; even as the green herb have I given you all things."

In **Genesis 7:2** there is reference to clean and unclean animals going into the ark. Thus, the concept of clean and unclean is not a Jewish concept and has no ceremonial connotations, but came from the time before the Flood. **Genesis 7:2** Of every clean beast thou shalt take to thee by sevens, the male and his female: and of beasts that are not clean by two, the male and his female. God wants us to be healthy, and sets out specific guidelines about which animals we should and should not eat.

Lets look at clean animals that are discussed in the book of Leviticus.

(Leviticus 11:1-3). And the Lord spake unto Moses and Aaron, saying unto them, Speak unto the children of Israel, saying, These are the beasts which ye shall eat among all the beasts that are on the earth. Whatsoever parteth the hoof and is clovenfooted, and cheweth the cud among the beasts, that shall ye eat

According to Leviticus 11, all clean animals are cud-chewers—cows, goats, deer, and other game.

They all have a pre-stomach, or rumen, in which the food is predigested. The bacteria in the rumen is able to convert all the material into digestible matter. Only after this food has been thoroughly digested does it enter the real stomach. Also, clean animals are all herbivores. Birds that have a crop are also clean, since their food is well-digested and does not ferment. A bird's crop is an expandable "muscular pouch near the gullet or throat." It is used to store excess food for later digestion. The chicken is listed as clean since it originally ate only seeds. Today, however, the average commercially reared chicken is fed on fishmeal or carcass meal (reject material from the abattoir or slaughter house), and can no longer be regarded as acceptable.

I found this Article that made me think! June 09, 2011 by Mike Adams, the Health Ranger Editor of NaturalNews.com After years of sweeping the issue under the rug and hoping no one would notice, the FDA has now finally admitted that **chicken meat sold in the USA contains arsenic**, a cancer-causing toxic chemical that's fatal in high doses. But the real story is where this arsenic comes from: *It's added to the chicken feed on purpose!* Even worse, the FDA says its own research shows that **the arsenic added to the chicken feed ends up in the chicken meat** where it is consumed by humans.

So for the last sixty years, American consumers who eat conventional chicken have been swallowing arsenic, a known cancer-causing chemical.

(http://www.phillyburbs.com/news/local/burlington\_county\_times \_news/fd...) Until this new study, both the poultry industry and the FDA denied that arsenic fed to chickens ended up in their meat. The fairytale excuse story we've all been fed for sixty years is that "the arsenic is excreted in the chicken feces." There's no scientific basis for making such a claim... it's just what the poultry industry wanted everybody to believe.

But now the evidence is so undeniable that the manufacturer of the chicken feed product known as **Roxarsone** has decided to pull the product off the shelves (http://www.grist.org/food-safety/2011-06-08-fda-admits-supermarket-ch...). And what's the name of this manufacturer that has been putting arsenic in the chicken feed for all these years?

**Pfizer**, of course -- the very same company that makes vaccines containing chemical adjuvants that are injected into children. Technically, the company making the Roxarsone chicken feed is a subsidiary of Pfizer, called *Alpharma LLC*. Even though Alpharma now has agreed to pull this toxic feed chemical off the shelves in the United States, it says it won't necessarily remove it from feed products in other countries unless it is forced by regulators to do so.

As reported by AP: "Scott Brown of Pfizer Animal Health's Veterinary Medicine Research and Development division said the company also sells the ingredient in about a dozen other countries. He said Pfizer is reaching out to regulatory authorities in those countries and will decide whether to sell it on an individual basis."

(<a href="http://www.usatoday.com/money/industries/food/2011-06-08-fda-chicken-...">http://www.usatoday.com/money/industries/food/2011-06-08-fda-chicken-...</a>)

But even as its arsenic-containing product is pulled off the shelves, the FDA continues its campaign of denial, claiming arsenic in chickens is at such a low level that it's still safe to eat. This is even as the FDA says arsenic is a carcinogen, meaning it increases the risk of cancer. The *National Chicken Council* agrees with the FDA. In a statement issued in response to the news that Roxarsone would be pulled from feed store shelves, it stated, "Chicken is safe to eat" even while admitting arsenic was used in many flocks grown and sold as chicken meat in the United States.

What's astonishing about all this is that the FDA tells consumers **it's safe to eat cancer-causing arsenic** but it's *dangerous* to drink elderberry juice!

The FDA recently conducted an armed raid in an elderberry juice manufacturer, accusing it of the "crime" of selling "unapproved drugs."http://www.naturalnews.com/032631\_elderberry\_juice\_FD A\_raid.html) Which drugs would those be? The elderberry juice, explains the FDA. You see, the elderberry juice magically becomes a "drug" if you tell people how it can help support good health. The FDA has also gone after dozens of other companies for selling natural herbal products or nutritional products that enhance and support health. Plus, it's waging a war on raw milk which it says is dangerous. So now in America, we have a food and drug regulatory agency that says it's okay to eat arsenic, but dangerous to drink elderberry juice or raw milk. Eat more poison, in other words, but don't consume any healing foods. That's the FDA, killing off Americans one meal at a time while protecting the profits of the very companies that are poisoning us with their deadly ingredients.

Oh, by the way, here's another sweet little disturbing fact you probably didn't know about hamburgers and conventional beef: **Chicken litter containing arsenic is fed to cows in factory beef operations**. So the arsenic that's pooped out by the chickens gets consumed and concentrated in the tissues of cows, which is then ground into hamburger to be consumed by the clueless masses who don't even know they're eating second-hand chicken poop. So we really should eat organic chicken- Ok back to others animals to eat!!

Marine Animals in (Leviticus 11:9) These shall ye eat of all that are in the waters: whatsoever hath fins and scales in the waters, in the seas, and in the rivers, them shall ye eat. Only fish with fins and scales are edible.

These fish are generally found in deep or fast-running waters, and are mainly algal eaters. They also have an excellent detoxifying enzyme system.

Leviticus 11 makes it clear that of all insects, only the locust and flying grasshopper are for eating. Yahweh told the Israelites which animals they were not to eat. Without exception, all animals that consume "secondary material"—in other words, animals that eat animals—are unclean. These include the following: All birds that eat other animals and do not have a crop are considered unclean. Now Even though the rabbit is herbivorous,

Leviticus 11:6 states that it is unclean. 6 And the hare, because he cheweth the cud, but divideth not the hoof; he is unclean unto you. All rodents, and even the horse, fall into the same category.

Next probably the worst is the swine!! In most modern farms, pigs are fed the worst of foods. On the biggest farms, the largest pigs are fed rotten eggs and chickens that died from disease. Sometimes, pigs are kept in cages where only a few pigs get the food. The rest of the pigs get only the droppings, which are extremely toxic. Any tissue from these animals makes them very unclean indeed. Pigs have very high histamine levels.

The connective tissue is very rich in sulfur, leading to increased blood acidity and osteoporosis because of the loss of calcium along with the sulfates. Research indicates that high sulfate levels, especially in meat-rich diets, are responsible for osteoporosis. Research has found that at the time when pigs leave the farms, 56% of all pork samples are contaminated with salmonella. When "clean" animals leave the farms, only 15% of the meat is contaminated. When the pig meat leaves the slaughter house, 80% is contaminated, and when it reaches the butcher, the level of contamination is virtually 100%. The "clean" animals have only a 40% contamination level by this stage.

The pig is certainly responsible for much disease. Many people are allergic to pork because of the high histamine levels, and pork also encourages the formation of excessive amounts of mucous in our bodies. God warns us in Leviticus 11:26 to not even touch the carcass of a pig. 26 The carcases of every beast which divideth the hoof, and is not clovenfooted, nor cheweth the cud, are unclean unto you: every one that toucheth them shall be unclean

Fish that don't have fins and scales are said to be Abomination (Leviticus 11:10). And all that have not fins and scales in the seas, and in the rivers, of all that move in the waters, and of any living thing which is in the waters, they shall be an abomination unto you. Those fish that do not have fins and scales are either scavengers or pure carnivores, and are unclean.

This Means catfish should not be eaten!! OH NO!! According to Leviticus 11:27, "Whatsoever goes upon his paws," such as cats, dogs, rats, mice, and weasels, are unclean. All reptiles are also unclean. God is concerned with the health of all His creatures: human and animal. Are the dietary laws God set out thousands of years ago still relevant? We have examined the original diet God intended for humankind, and the animals that God defined as clean and unclean after sin entered the world.

Now we ask, why should we follow the Old Testament dietary laws in this day and age? We will work through several reasons people object, and various Bible verses that seem to say we don't have to observe the dietary laws. We must learn to rightly divide the word of truth (2 Timothy 2:15).

**Question 1:** Aren't these lists of clean and unclean animals just for the Jews? **Answer:** Although the lists are recorded in Leviticus 11 and Deuteronomy 14, the first mention is in the time of the Flood. There were no Jews on Noah's ark. Only later, the descendants of Abraham become known as "Jews."

So in the early days, Yahweh God instructed them in the concept of "clean" and "unclean" animals. The list predates the laws of Moses, therefore applying to all humanity, not just one particular group.

- Question 2: Didn't Peter have a vision that told him to eat unclean animals? Wouldn't this mean that the dietary laws were no longer in effect? Answer: Note the following facts about the Acts 10 story: A) Cornelius, a Gentile, received a vision instructing him to send for Peter. B) Peter also received a vision before Cornelius's men came to his home. He "saw heaven opened" and a sheet descending "down to the earth." It was filled with unclean animals, creeping things, and fowls (Acts 10:11-12).
- C) When Peter is told to "kill, and eat" he says, (Acts 10:14) "Not so, Lord; for I have never eaten anything that is common or unclean". Peter was never taught by Jesus to eat anything "common or unclean." He can't believe the Lord would tell him to do this.
- D) (Acts 10:17) "Peter doubted in himself what this vision which he had seen should mean". If the Lord literally told him to eat unclean animals, why would he doubt? Wouldn't the change in dietary laws be obvious? Peter doubted because he realized the vision was symbolic—not referring to literal unclean animals.
- E) When Peter came to Cornelius' home, he realized, "God hath shewed me that I should not call any **man** common or unclean" (Acts 10:28). The Holy Spirit was given to Gentiles just as He had been given to the Jews on Pentecost. Peter learned that the Gospel message was meant for all the world, not just the Jews. The vision was not about dietary laws, but about giving the message to all—because no one to be considered "unclean," or unreachable by Christ's redemption. The vision used unclean creatures as symbols of Gentile nations now called to come to God through the Gospel.

Question 3: Haven't we been called to liberty in Christ, no longer under the slavery of the Law? Answer: Since the dietary laws existed before Noah's time, is obedience to them really "enslavement"? Jesus told us that He didn't come to destroy the Law, but rather to fulfill it (Matthew 5:17). Good health and long life is anything but slavery. Think of the history of these dietary laws: First, they are given to humankind before the days of Noah. Then, the Lord tells His people that they will be disease-free if they follow His laws (Exodus 15:26). The clean and unclean foods are clearly defined in Leviticus 11 and Deuteronomy 14. Peter's vision shows us that Jesus did not abolish clean and unclean. Paul tells believers to "touch not the unclean thing" and the Lord will receive them.

John speaks of spiritual Babylon as a "cage of every unclean and hateful bird." In Isaiah's prophesy chapter 66 of the new earth after the return of Christ, those who eat "swine's flesh, and the abomination, and the mouse, shall be consumed together" (Isaiah 66:17). From one end of the Bible to the other, the concept of clean and unclean is upheld. It is a matter of health and well-being, not slavery.

Our modern world equates "freedom" with doing whatever we wish, but God calls us to a higher standard. Paul tells us in (Galatians 5:13) "use not liberty for an occasion to the flesh, but by love serve one another". Jesus tells us "If ye love me, keep my commandments" (John 14:15). Peter tells us in 1 peter chapter 1 "the Spirit of Christ" was in the prophets of old, meaning that the dietary laws are the words of Christ. For the sake of showing our love to the Lord by obeying Him, as well as escaping disease by observing His dietary laws—let us honor God by following His rules for health and happiness.

Do we really want to ignore these health laws? If we do, we do so to our own peril. Let's deal with three more passages from the New Testament that seem to object to the dietary laws.

**Question 1**: Romans 14:14 says, "there is nothing unclean of itself: but to him that esteemeth any thing to be unclean, to him it is unclean." Was Paul saying that nothing is unclean? **Answer:** Compare Romans 14 with 1 Corinthians 8-10. You will see that the same problem is being addressed—friction between two parties in the early Church. Jewish converts (aware of dietary laws) didn't mind eating clean animals sold in the heathen markets. The Gentile converts thought heathen idols made sacrificed meat unclean:

(1 Corinthians 8:4). As concerning therefore the eating of those things that are offered in sacrifice unto idols, we know that an idol is nothing in the world, and that there is none other God but one The argument wasn't about dietary laws, but meat sacrificed to heathen idols. Paul urged Jewish and Gentile believers not to condemn each other based on sacrificed meat.

Paul says this in 1 Corinthians 8:10-11: For if any man see thee which hast knowledge sit at meat in the idol's temple, shall not the conscience of him which is weak be emboldened to eat those things which are offered to idols; And through thy knowledge shall the weak brother perish, for which Christ died?

In other words, don't make a brother feel he should ignore his uneasiness and try to eat sacrificed meat anyway. He would feel he is lost because his conscience wouldn't let him rest. (Romans 14:15-17) But if thy brother be grieved with thy meat, now walkest thou not charitably. Destroy not him with thy meat, for whom Christ died. Let not then your good be evil spoken of: for the kingdom of God is not meat and drink; but righteousness, and peace, and joy in the Holy Ghost.

Paul is not dealing with a moral law here. He is calling for understanding of the tender consciences of weaker brothers. He advises (Romans 14:13) "Let us not therefore judge one another any more: but judge this rather, that no man put a stumblingblock or an occasion to fall in his brother's way".

**Question 2**: In Matthew 15:11 Jesus says, "Not that which goeth into the mouth defileth a man; but that which cometh out of the mouth, this defileth a man." Does this mean we can eat whatever we want?

**Answer:** There are consequences to everything we eat—good and bad. Eating poorly will harm our bodies, just as eating well will help our bodies maintain health. This verse needs to be understood in its proper context. It doesn't mean that anything we eat is now good for us regardless of what it is, for we all know that there are many things that can go "into the mouth" and produce dire consequences. Many foods or drugs available to us harm us, and are not made safe to eat because of this verse.

In Matthew 15:2, the Pharisees criticize Y'shua, saying, "Why do thy disciples transgress the tradition of the elders? for they wash not their hands when they eat bread." The Pharisees were not really concerned with physical cleanliness. The Pharisees wanted Y'shua to obey their washing ritual so any heathen "defilement" would be magically removed. In verse 15, Peter asks Y'shua to explain the parable. He realized there was deeper meaning than just ritual washing. Y'shua explains it this way:

(Matthew 15:17-20). Do not ye yet understand, that whatsoever entereth in at the mouth goeth into the belly, and is cast out into the draught? But those things which proceed out of the mouth come forth from the heart; and they defile the man.

For out of the heart proceed evil thoughts, murders, adulteries, fornications, thefts, false witness, blasphemies: These are the things which defile a man: but to eat with unwashen hands defileth not a man

This passage is not concerned with dietary laws, but rather the fruitlessness of ritual washing while the dark heart remains untouched.

**Question 3:** Doesn't 1 Timothy 4:3-4 tell us that we can eat anything if we pray over it first? **Answer:** Let's observe what the verses actually say: (1 Timothy 4:3-4) Forbidding to marry, and commanding to abstain from meats, which God hath created to be received with thanksgiving of them which believe and know the truth. For every creature of God is good, and nothing to be refused, if it be received with thanksgiving.

Paul is telling Timothy about false believers who will "depart from the faith, giving heed to seducing spirits, and doctrines of devils" (1 Timothy 4:1). They are in error—no longer following the Scriptures. Paul tells Timothy these false teachers will command people to "abstain from meats." But what kind of meats? 1 Timothy 4:3 tells us these are not unclean meats but meats that "God hath created to be received with thanksgiving." This cannot mean unclean animals, because they were never intended to be eaten!

These clean meats are to be "received with thanksgiving of them that believe and know the truth" (1 Timothy 4:3). Believe and know the truth about what? Obviously, the truth about clean and unclean animals.

1 Timothy 4:4 says, "For every creature of God is good, and nothing to be refused, if it be received with thanksgiving." This does not mean that every created being is permitted to be eaten.

If this were the meaning, cannibalism would justified. Rather, this verse refers to the previous verse's clean animals. Those who "believe and know the truth" will receive "with thanksgiving."

1 Timothy 4:5 describes the meat further: "For it is sanctified by the word of God and prayer." Two things sanctify this food—"the word of God" and "prayer." Remember this is the meat that false teachers said could not be eaten. This is in contradiction to God's Word which listed the meat as "clean" (1 Timothy 4:3). By knowing the truth in the Word of God, and receiving these clean animals in a thankful manner with prayer, the true believers would obey God and not the "doctrines of devils."

What would this passage be saying if it referred to unclean instead of clean food? Would it really mean that we could eat anything if we pray over it? Would we allow our children to eat anything, as long as they prayed over it? Not likely! We can expect God, our Father, to give guidance of what is good for us. Bear in mind that when Paul says "nothing is unclean of itself," he is saying that we can't always tell just by our own judgment what is clean and unclean. It is determined by the Word of God.

If a person feels that something is unclean, then the safe thing to do is avoid eating it since he does not have the faith to eat without scruples. Only knowledge of God's Word can let our conscience rest in the fact that a particular food is clean or unclean.

Romans 14 speaks about esteeming "one day above another" as well as eating (or not eating) sacrificed foods. Both problems focused on observing festivals and sacrifices. Paul did not want new believers swept back into dead rituals because some "knowledgeable" person encouraged weaker brothers to view them as "harmless."

## 15 Dietary laws

Paul wanted believers to pay special attention to problems with the weaker brother's conscience. Paul was not telling believers to ignore either the Sabbath in the Ten Commandments, or the dietary laws laid down by the Creator since the days of Noah.