AFFIDAVIT

Planning and Environment Court

David Manteit V Brisbane City Council 2916/24

- I, David Manteit of 82 Rowe Tce Darra, developer, under affirmation says:
- 1. The Appellant has received correspondence from the Crime and Corruption Commission dated 24/3/25.

This is listed as attached "Exhibit A".

2. The Appellant has sent a letter of warning to the Respondent 16/4/25.

This is listed as attached "Exhibit B"

Signed:

Deponent: X DAU 10 MANTEH

In the presence of -

Signed: 0

Justice of the Peace:

Affirmed by the said deponent at

Richlands
16th, this 16thday of April 2025

BEFORE ME:

Deponent

Justice of the Peace
(LOUISE CHAMBERS)



Planning and Environment Court David Manteit V Brisbane City Council 2916/24

Exhibit "A"

Letter from the Crime and Corruption Commission to David Manteit 24/3/25, pages 1-2





Planning and Environment Court David Manteit V Brisbane City Council 2916/24

Exhibit "B"

Warning letter to the Respondent 16/4/25, pages 1 - 3

GA

1





Confidential Correspondence from Crime and Corruption Commission - CO-25-0869

From Complaints < Complaints@ccc.qld.gov.au>

Date Mon 24/03/2025 7:14 AM

To davidmanteit@hotmail.com <davidmanteit@hotmail.com>

SENSITIVE

Dear Mr Manteit,

RE: YOUR COMPLAINT ABOUT BRISBANE CITY COUNCIL

Thank you for your online lodgement form on 27 February 2025, in which you raised concerns about the conduct of staff from Brisbane City Council (BCC).

How we assessed your complaint

To understand the allegations you made and to correctly assess your complaint, the Crime and Corruption Commission (CCC):

- · considered the information you provided during in your online lodgement form; and
- considered information from 2916/24 Manteit -V- Brisbane City Council located on the Queensland Courts website. Relevantly, this states that you are a party to a matter currently before the Planning and Environment Court (the Court), and that a hearing is at this stage listed for April 2025.

Based on that information, the CCC has identified the following allegations.

You allege that:

1. BCC staff have performed unlicensed engineering.

Although we may have used different words to describe your complaint, or not specifically referred to every issue that you raised, the CCC has carefully considered all the information you provided to arrive at these allegations.

Action in relation to your complaint

As noted above, the CCC understands that you are the applicant in a matter which is listed for hearing in April 2025.

Having regard to the available information and the principles under the Crime and Corruption Act 2001, the CCC has decided to take no further action because it would be an unjustifiable use of resources. That decision is considered appropriate because many important facts related to the concerns are likely to be in issue in proceedings currently before the courts. The courts have responsibility to hear and determine those facts. The CCC must avoid needless duplication of the work of the courts. For these reasons the matter is considered appropriate for the courts in the first instance.

You may renew your complaint to the CCC if the courts make:

- any adverse comments that are relevant to the allegation, e.g. about the conduct of the subject officers; or
- does not deal with the issues raised in the allegation.

Consideration of the Human Rights Act 2019





The Human Rights Act 2019 came into effect on 1 January 2020 and applies to the CCC when it makes decisions about how it will deal with complaints that it receives.

The CCC is responsible for dealing with the most serious and systemic cases of corruption. The CCC considers that its decision to take no further action in relation to your allegations is compatible with the CCC's obligations under the Human Rights Act 2019.

Further information

To help you understand the role and scope of the CCC, I have included some information that I hope you find useful:

- some information from our website that explains what matters the CCC deals with; and
- our <u>Charter of Service</u>, that tells you how we deal with complaints and what you can do if you are unhappy with our decision.

I would like to thank you for taking the time to lodge a complaint with the CCC. Although on this occasion the CCC was unable to take action in relation to the concerns you brought to our attention, your information helps us identify possible corruption risks to Queensland's public sector.

The information you have given us will remain in our database and may be used as supporting information in future matters.

Should you have any concerns in future that you believe to be a matter for the CCC, please do not hesitate to contact us again.

Yours sincerely,



Intake and Assessment

Crime and Corruption Commission

T +61 7 3360 6060 | F +61 7 3360 6333 | E complaints@ccc.qld.gov.au Level 2 North Tower, 515 St Pauls Tce, Fortitude Valley QLD 4006 | GPO Box 3123, Brisbane QLD 4001

www.ccc.qld.gov.au | Subscribe for email updates | Facebook | Twitter

We value:



People



Accountability



Integrity



Courage





The Crime and Corruption Commission acknowledge the Traditional Owners of the lands where we live and work and pay our respects to their Elders – past, present and emerging. We recognise and celebrate the vibrant and unique cultures of all Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples.

This message (including attachments) is intended for the addressee. It may also be confidential – attracting the secrecy obligations under section 213 of the Crime and Corruption Act 2001, and obligations of privilege and copyright. If you are unsure whether you can legally disclose its contents for a particular purpose, please contact the sender.

Any unauthorised use of this message (including attachments) is prohibited. If you have received this message in error please notify the sender immediately, delete the message and destroy any

David Manteit 82 Rowe Tce Darra 4076 0424 739 923 davidmanteit@hotmail.com

16/4/25

The Chief Executive Brisbane City Council 266 George St Brisbane

Dear Sir

MANTEIT V BRISBANE CITY COUNCIL 2916/24

NOTICE TO THE RESPONDENT RE CRIME AND CORRUPTION COMMISSION ADVICE 24/3/25.

I attach a copy of the letter to David Manteit dated 24/3/25 from the Crime and Corruption Commission. This letter was in relation to David Manteit allegations of unlicenced (and licenced) engineering.

The CCC advice is that the (Planning) "Courts have responsibility to hear and determine those facts."

The CCC are awaiting any adverse findings from the Courts or if there are no adverse findings, from myself.

As of 24/3/25 the CCC was not aware of recent adverse findings filed by the Appellant in this Court of Council licenced and unlicenced engineers. I have now forwarded RTI evidence and the independent engineer report to the CCC, as well as a link to further files.

His Honour has provided verbal advice to Ms Hedge on 12/4/25 in my presence that the CCC letter will be dealt with at some stage. If this is not your understanding please advise.

CCC letter - "The courts have responsibility to hear and determine those facts. The CCC must avoid needless duplication of the work of the courts.

"For these reasons the matter is considered appropriate for the courts in the first instance."

The Appellant has given warnings to the Respondent of Crime and Corruption investigations to the Respondent many times without response to the Appellant. These warning letters to the Respondent were filed in this Court,

I therefore give notice and a warning to the Respondent –

In relation to the "Council employees" who are licenced and unlicenced engineers who have been alleged to be found to have performed unsatisfactory professional conduct.

6n

"Council employees"

Andrew Blake
Lucy Ting
Roger Greenway
Margaret Orr
Joel Wake
Margaret Orr
Scott Ruhland

- Any attempt by the Respondent to thwart the process by the Planning Court of the Planning Court findings of "adverse findings", as described in the CCC letter.
- Any attempt by the Respondent to hide, remove, or modify Applicant expert engineering reports.
- Any attempt by the Respondent or the Council employees to claim that the Council employee actions in relation to the Council employee engineering of Upstream and Onsite Drainage are not their individual engineering responsibility and thereby pushing the engineering responsibility to Council, who have no engineering licence, instead.
- Any attempt by the Respondent to claim that Brisbane City Council is an RPEQ licenced engineer or QBCC licenced person.
- Any attempt by the Respondent to hide the past actions of the individual Council employee licenced and unlicenced engineers that occurred during their assessment of the Upstream Drainage and Onsite Drainage that would thwart this court's attempt to find adverse findings.
- Any attempt by the Respondent in relation to hide evidence of the engineering methods applied, calculated and design processes of engineering of the Upstream Drainage and Onsite Drainage Council approved plans as shown, amended in red 20/9/24.
- Any attempt by the Respondent to thwart the process of the Planning Court to find adverse
 findings of the actions of licenced engineer being unsatisfactory professional conduct as per
 Schedule 2 of the Professional Engineers Act 2002 and S115 of the Professional Engineers
 Act 2002 and S15(1) of the Crime and Corruption Act 2001, that is of such a reckless
 standard that would cause a criminal offence.

Will allegedly be seen as intentional action by the Appellant to thwart any possible adverse findings by the Court and the Crime and Corruption investigation. The enquiry of the CCC shall be continued/recommenced regardless of initial court findings.

I require your acknowledgement of this letter, so I may forward to the CCC.

L

DA

Yours Faithfully

DAVID MANTEIT

THIS LETTER AND THE CCC LETTER TO BE FILED IN THE PLANNING AND ENVIRONEMNT COURT



01