



When Dentists Do Drugs:

A Prescription for Prevention

Eric K. Curtis, DDS, MAGD

John M. Murray, DMD, always considered his childhood to be normal – although, as he points out, his “normal” childhood included observing frequent consumption of intoxicating beverages. He remembers family gatherings that revolved around “a lot of alcohol, where people drank too much and sang together.” As a teenager, Dr. Murray attended a Jesuit prep school where the intensive academic and athletic programs didn’t allow for alcohol consumption. Dr. Murray describes his college experience as “normal,” too. “In the late 1960s and early 1970s, when drugs of all kinds were readily available,

if all you did was drink, you were considered normal.” The future dentist could hold his liquor, he thought, and he felt confident that it didn’t interfere with his day-to-day ability to function.

Once he became a dentist, things began to change – Dr. Murray got into practice, life became more stressful and drinking evolved from a social event into a convenient escape, a way to smooth the day’s tensions. “I occasionally thought that I probably shouldn’t be drinking so much,” he recalls. Yet as normal became abnormal, denial became a powerful counterbalance. “I would think, ‘I’m a health care professional. I can’t be having a problem.’”

Dr. Murray explains that a dentist involved in substance abuse lives a vacillating life of Jekyll and Hyde compartmentalization. “By day, I was an upstanding professional with a young daughter,” he says. “At night, though, I was secretly a heavy drinker.” Dr. Murray began to seriously question his drinking habits after his father’s sudden death. A running partner inspired him to attend a meeting of Alcoholics Anonymous (AA), although he remembers thinking, “I don’t need this.” Then, jarred by divorce and his mother’s death, Dr. Murray began seeing a psychologist to sort through his

feelings. “The psychologist told me to make a list of things that I needed to take care of in my life. He looked at my list and said, ‘You left off one thing. You’re an alcoholic. If you had realized that, your list would have been a lot shorter.’” With those words, Dr. Murray finally checked himself in for a 28-day stay at Father Martin’s Ashley, an in-patient treatment center for alcoholism and drug addiction in Havre de Grace, Md.

The Risks of Dentist Addiction

Substance abuse is not uncommon in this day and age. In 2003, nearly 20 million Americans ages 12 and older were using illicit drugs. In 2004, a full 10 percent of high-school seniors reported nonmedical use of Vicodin™. According to White House Office of National Drug Control Policy data from 1999, 53 percent of adults who were currently in federal prisons are there for drug-related crimes and at least half of all violent crime was tied to drug use.

According to the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services *2008 National Survey on Drug Use and Health*, an estimated 20.1 million Americans ages 12 and older were current illicit drug users. A December 2008 U.S. National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA), survey revealed that 10 percent of high-school seniors reported nonmedical use of Vicodin™.

If the statistics related to substance abuse are astounding, so are the corresponding financial costs. Harold Crossley, DDS, PhD, professor emeritus of pharmacology at the University of Maryland, points to Canada, where the abuse of alcohol – the most misused substance in the country – is estimated to cost each Canadian taxpayer \$463 every year. In the U.S., the NIDA indicates that substance abuse treatment and control costs each American taxpayer \$1,568 every year, more than diabetes and cancer combined.

Eric Z. Shapira, DDS, MAGD, MA, MHA, a dentist and clinical gerontologist in Montara, Calif., and author of *A New Wrinkle: What I Learned from Older People Who Never Acted Their Age*, points out that the individual costs and dangers involved with substance abuse and dependencies are especially enormous for medical professionals. “Depending on the drug, the risks of addiction include loss of license, malpractice lawsuits, cardiac arrest, infection, financial ruin, increased depression, divorce, loss of family and social connections, increased despair, and the possibility of death.”

Yet even with stakes this high, dentists regularly gamble with addiction – and may be even more likely than their patients to succumb. “About 10 to 12 percent of the general population becomes addicted to alcohol or drugs at some point in their lives,” says Michel A. Sucher, MD, medical director of the Arizona State Board of Dental Examiners’ Monitored Aftercare Treatment Program. “For dentists and physicians, the prevalence is probably 12 to 19 percent.” In Dr. Sucher’s experience, dentists’ drugs

of choice are typically alcohol, opiates – mostly hydrocodone and oxycodone – and nitrous oxide. According to John W. Drumm, DMD, chair of the District of Columbia Dental Society’s Well Being Program and former chair of the American Dental Association’s (ADA) Dentist Well-Being Committee – subsequently renamed Dentist Health and Wellness – alcohol is the drug of choice for 37 percent of dentists with substance-abuse problems, while prescription drugs (particularly opiates such as hydrocodone and anti-anxiety agents such as benzodiazepines) are used by 31 percent, nitrous oxide by 5 percent, and street drugs (including cocaine) by 10 percent.

Why Dentists Get Addicted

Dr. Sucher believes that the higher frequency of dentist addiction is due to the compulsive personality type found in medical professionals, which can predispose those individuals to addiction. Dr. Crossley confirms that addicts typically display behavior that is “anal retentive, compulsive-obsessive, controlling, and manipulative.” These various patterns often allow addicts to find “enablers” – colleagues, employees, and family members – who allow drug dependencies to progress and worsen.

Dr. Shapira notes that external stressors also contribute to addiction. He says, “Dentist addiction is often attributed to stress. Dentists may not be able to handle the financial burden of a practice or they have family problems and find that drugs ease their emotional and physical pain.” The NIDA cites exposure to stress as one of the most powerful

Please see DRUGS, 31

DRUGS from 29

triggers of substance abuse in vulnerable individuals.

According to Dr. Drumm, the ADA's *2003 Dentist Well-Being Survey* shows that dentists are more susceptible to addiction than other populations. "Our practice environment is an enabler," he says. "Seventy-six percent of dentists are sole proprietors. We are strongly independent and isolated from our peers." Such isolation, coupled with long work hours of focused concentration in direct patient contact leads to fatigue – as does the stress of competition. "We see other dentists as competitors instead of colleagues," Dr. Drumm says. "This is a perception that results in pressure to be better than our peers."

Such pressure manifests itself in various ways. "We labor under the myth of [placing] the perfect restoration," Dr. Drumm says, "and the myth that we must always perform pain-free dentistry." Career stressors – balancing the competing roles of providing clinical care and managing a small business, often under the burden of crushing dental school and practice debts – also add up, as do life stressors that include personal, familial and communal expectations of success.

Dr. Drumm notes that the *2003 Dentist Well-Being Survey* found that only 65 percent of dentists were very satisfied with their job, while 6.3 percent were very unsatisfied. "Unhappy dentists are very unhappy," he says. "As a result, we may look for something to relax, [so that we can] forget, avoid and escape our troubles."

And of course, the dental office itself may offer an easy method of escape. Nitrous oxide is readily available, and dentists not only have ready access to drugs, but they can write their own prescriptions as well. Dr. Shapira once worked with a dentist who was eventually discovered to be abusing cocaine and prescription narcotics. "He wrote prescriptions for specific patients," Dr. Shapira recalls, "then asked the patients to give the drugs back to him in trade for free dental work." The owner-dentist ultimately exhibited a range of bizarre behavior that Dr. Shapira, as a young associate, had to cover for. "He would do odd things during the day, like just get up and leave the office, abandoning his patient in the chair. I would then have to finish his work and make excuses for his absence." Dr. Shapira ended up reporting his boss to authorities.

Dealing with Addicted Colleagues

In theory, dentists should take a direct and forthright approach to addressing a colleague's problem with drinking or drugs. "The *ADA Principles of Ethics and Code of Professional Conduct* states that it is unethical for a dentist to practice while abusing controlled substances, alcohol or other chemical agents that impair the ability to practice," Dr. Drumm says. "It also states that all dentists have an ethical obligation to urge chemically-impaired colleagues to seek treatment." Dentists, he says, have an ethical responsibility to report evidence of an impaired colleague to the professional assistance committee of a dental society.

Dr. Sucher agrees. "If dentists are concerned about a colleague," he says, "they should not ignore it or cover it up. They should initially try and talk with the individual about their concern for his or her well-being."

Having said that, Dr. Drumm concedes that many dentists are uncomfortable with the idea of confronting a colleague, and notes that moral imperatives may be hindered by social ones. "Unfortunately, in dentistry there is a conspiracy of silence," he says. "Dentists resist acknowledging a colleague's impairment and are reluctant to accuse a colleague without 'proof.' They don't want to cause more problems for a colleague. Most dentists simply don't want to get involved." In fairness, hard evidence of impairment may be difficult to come by. As Dr. Drumm observes, "Dentists protect their job and professional status at all costs. It is not unusual for dentists to have their entire life in chaos before there is evidence that a problem exists."

Dr. Murray concurs with this assessment. "One of the last things to go is your practice," he says. "You try to keep your livelihood as well-protected as you can."

While confronting a colleague with suspicions of addiction can be awkward, Dr. Murray insists that "if we become aware of a colleague practicing while impaired, we have a responsibility to make his or her name known to somebody."

Dr. Murray recommends a careful course of action for helping addicted dentists. First, talk to the dentist. This step

Please see DRUGS, 32

“Dentists admittedly tend to make poor patients, at least at first. “As patients, dentists want to be in control,” Dr. Drumm explains.”

DRUGS from 31

may be best accomplished through an intervention staged by the state dentist well-being committee, which, when well-rehearsed, can make it very difficult for the patient to reject. The intervention group should include at least one dentist who is in recovery, although having more than one is even better. “It has been shown that having one or more dentists who have ‘been there’ has a comforting effect,” Dr. Murray says.

Dentists who refuse to cooperate must understand that their names will be given to the state dental board. However, if a dentist agrees to cooperate, he or she should be referred for evaluation by an addiction professional, such as an addiction psychiatrist, an American Society of Addiction Medicine (ASAM)-certified physician, or treatment center evaluation staff members. If the addiction professional determines there is an addiction issue, the dentist must agree to treatment – either in-patient residential, intensive out-patient or both. All of these steps will be confidential.

Addicted dentists should also be made aware that as they follow through with treatment, the state dental board may become aware of the problem. Should this happen, the Dentist Health and Wellness Program can advocate on their behalf.

The Emergence of Well-being Programs

Dentists admittedly tend to make poor patients, at least at first. “As patients, dentists want to be in control,” Dr. Drumm explains. Dental professionals have their pride and egos to protect, and they are used to being in charge. They are often unwilling to admit their needs and seek help. “Dentists believe they can think their way out of their problem,” he says. “They may exhibit extreme denial of symptoms. They have difficulty accepting the role of patient and do not readily let down their professional guard.” Dentists also tend to be accustomed to procedures with tangible outcomes, making them innately suspicious of the softer, psychological aspects of addiction treatment. “Dentists cover up emotions,” Dr. Drumm says. “We don’t do ‘feelings.’”

Ironically, the recovery rate for dentists who receive the appropriate treatment is very high according to Dr. Murray, “It’s about 90 percent for health professionals ... dentists become highly motivated once they realize that their license, their livelihood – their whole identity – depends on getting well.”

Dr. Drumm describes three kinds of well-being programs in dentistry: peer assistance programs, diversion programs

and multidisciplinary programs. Peer assistance programs are mainly composed of trained volunteer dentists who supply information and resources for impaired dentists – colleagues helping colleagues in need of assistance. “Peer assistance is a vehicle for a concerned colleague, employee, family member or patient to seek some help for a dentist with a problem without bringing the dentist to the attention of a licensing board,” says Dr. Drumm. “It is not a treatment program; rather, it’s a way to direct and guide an impaired dentist into treatment.”

Diversion programs involve a process by which an individual is “diverted” from regulatory (licensing board) action onto an alternative track, provided the impaired individual complies with the program’s recommendations of treatment and mandatory testing. Multidisciplinary programs are formal programs in which an agency, usually state-mandated, is charged with assisting licensed health care workers. “Dentists have higher success rates when treated within their peer group, which helps to reduce shame and break patterns of denial,” Dr. Drumm says, citing 2003 California well-being program statistics that show a 74 percent success rate over a 20-year period. “Adequate treatment with long-term professional monitoring gives the highest rate of success.”

The Benefits of After-treatment Monitoring

According to Dr. Sucher, “The combination of in-patient/residential treatment, followed by five or more years of structured, accountable monitoring is key to success.” He describes a 2009 study published in the *British Medical Journal* that involved 904 physicians from 16 physician health programs. The study showed that 78 percent had not had a single slip or relapse after entering treatment, with an average of 7.2 years of recovery. Of the remaining 22 percent, two-thirds had a brief relapse, followed by five or more continuous years of sobriety during the study period. “That is a success rate in the low 90 percent range,” Dr. Sucher says, “which is comparable to our data for dentists in Arizona and other physician health programs around the country. In fact, the success rate for dentists and physicians who go through in-patient treatment – usually for one to three months, with monitored aftercare – is so high that thought is being given to trying to apply this treatment model to the general population.”

Most physician/dentist monitoring programs last for five years. Dr. Sucher cites a study published in the March 2005 *Journal of the American Dental Association (JADA)* that identified three relapse factors in health professionals: a strong family history of addiction; opioid addiction, particularly in combination with a co-occurring psychiatric disorder; and prior relapse. The more of these factors that an individual demonstrates,

the greater the risk of relapse. Dr. Sucher sees a trend toward longer monitoring – including seven years, 10 years, or the length of one’s career – for individuals who are at higher risk. “The length of these programs will probably increase as we continue to learn more,” he says.

The important part of recovering from any drug dependency, Dr. Murray emphasizes, is treatment. “There are a lot of nonjudgmental people there to help.” Dr. Murray, who has been in recovery from alcohol addiction for more than 13 years, is currently involved in a successful 12-step program. In addition, he performs monitoring for New Jersey’s Professional Assistance Program (PAP), an independent monitoring organization sanctioned by New Jersey State health boards; he lectures to dental students at the University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey about the addicted/impaired professional and treating the addicted patient; and he serves as co-chair of the dental section of the University of Utah School on Alcoholism and Other Drug Dependencies. “Realize that addiction is a disease,” he says. “It’s highly treatable, but left untreated, it’s a fatal disease. It will kill you, and you will take a lot of people down with you.” He warns that sobriety is not a do-it-yourself project. “Don’t try to dig yourself out of the hole. Alone, you’ll dig it deeper. ‘I’ll do this myself’ are famous last words.”

The rewards of recovery include a heightened appreciation for life. Two of Dr. Murray’s great pleasures are his 11-year marriage to a sober woman whom

he met in recovery and his relationship with his daughter, now 30, who was about to turn 17 when she saw him through recovery. Just recently, he says, she called him after watching an addiction scene in a movie and told him, “Dad, I just wanted to say I have so much respect for you.”

“Treatment is intense, but there is serenity and happiness afterward. I’m living a much more full life today, both personally and professionally. My journey in recovery has taken me places I might never have been if I weren’t sober and allowed me to meet a network of sober friends, both local and throughout the country, that have enriched my life,” Dr. Murray says. “On any given day I know that I can pick up the phone and talk to a sober friend and/or colleague about anything. Sometimes we’ll both comment that without recovery we might not even know each other. That is one of the wonders of a sober life.”

Eric K. Curtis, DDS, is a former member of the Arizona State Board of Dental Examiners’ Monitored Aftercare Treatment Committee.

Published with permission by the Academy of General Dentistry.

© Copyright 2010 by the Academy of General Dentistry. All rights reserved.



For more information and confidential help, call:

Professionals Resource Network – 800.888.8776

Jerome Gropper, DDS, LPC, CAP – 904.417.8046

American Dental Association – 312.440.2500

Life- and Career-saving Information for Families, Colleagues and Staffs of Dentists

Jerome Gropper, DDS, LPC, CAP

Abstract: This article addresses the dilemma faced by those concerned for the health of a practitioner due to abuse of alcohol and drugs, but fearful and unaware of the enlightened and supportive role the Florida Department of Health (DOH) and the Board of Dentistry (BOD) play in rehabilitating the addicted dentist and dental hygienist. The BOD was recommended to receive the American Dental Association's Golden Apple Award¹ for their intelligent and humane support of chemically-dependent dental personnel.

In the past, referring to the members of the BOD as my colleagues felt strange. Even before graduating from dental school, mention of anything to do with the Board brought about a sense of unease. My membership in several state dental associations never presented an opportunity to lower the level of discomfort or emphasize the fact that Board members, for the most part, are my colleagues – practicing dentists. I know this suspicion and distrust of the Board to be quite common because of the phone calls I receive from family members, colleagues and staff of practicing dentists who, while looking for help, are fearful that their efforts to help will cause punishment to the very individual they are seeking to aid. The members of the BOD are appointed by the Governor of Florida and, in addition to many other responsibilities,

have the power to grant licenses and determine a dentist's continued privilege to practice dentistry in Florida, a significant power differential likely to be responsible for this wariness.

Recently, the BOD was recommended to receive the American Dental Association's (ADA) *Golden Apple Award* for excellence in the decisions and actions they take to support dentists, hygienists and dental auxiliaries who are in recovery from impairment due to abuse of alcohol and drugs. In the early 1980s, the ADA created a National Well-Being Advisory Council (DWAC)², whose efforts included encouraging state dental associations to develop well-being programs to deal with the issues of impairment and rehabilitation of their members so afflicted. Many states have dental association well-being programs in name only; however, Florida is fortunate because of early efforts by several dedicated physicians in the 70s, whose successes in rehabilitating addicted physicians³ encouraged the State of Florida to require the DOH to designate approved impaired-practitioner programs as consultants to the various Health Care Licensing Boards.⁴ These independent consultants, the Professionals Resource Network (PRN) and the Intervention Project for Nurses (IPN), are bound by the same mission: first, protect the public; and second, coordinate the necessary evaluation and treatment, and monitor the ongoing rehabilitation of these valuable professional resources.

The majority of dentists and dental auxiliaries who become impaired as a result of the alcohol or drug abuse have already violated various laws, i.e., driving under the influence, obtaining controlled substances through fraud, and the use and possession of illegal drugs. These behaviors, while criminal, are a direct result of the loss of control, a characteristic of the disease of Chemical Dependence.⁵

Denial (I don't have a problem) and fears of loss of their drug, profession and freedom prevent addicted individuals from coming forward to ask for help.⁶ Without the intervention of family, colleagues or staff, these destructive behaviors continue until the Criminal Justice System, Drug Enforcement Administration or the DOH open an investigation. It is much preferable to intervene prior to the involvement of these agencies. The Dental Practice Act⁷ states that impairment as a result of the use of drugs or alcohol is grounds for disciplinary action that

Please see INFORMATION, 43

INFORMATION from 41

can lead to fines, probation, suspension and even revocation of a practitioner's license. However, Florida law allows the DOH and the BOD to choose to delay disciplinary action in cases where the practitioner acknowledges his/her impairment, self-reports to PRN and remains compliant with PRN recommendations.⁴ Florida's enlightened approach to health professionals' impairment is reinforced by the successful rehabilitation of 90 percent of the participants in the programs (PRN and IPN) the DOH established.⁸

In Summary:

The DOH and the BOD will not proceed with disciplinary action in cases of impairment if the practitioner acknowledges the problem, receives proper treatment and is compliant with PRN requirements, as long as there is no other complaint, i.e., found guilty of criminal charges, patient harm.

The DOH and the BOD do not require PRN to identify participants who come forward to ask for help, as long as they are compliant with PRN recommendations.

Chemical Dependence is a progressive disease and without intervention, it can lead to loss of family, career, freedom and death⁶; it is one of the leading causes of death from a disease in the United States⁹.

Roughly 20 percent of practicing dentists and hygienists will experience some degree of difficulty with abuse of alcohol and/or drugs during their practice life¹⁰.

The *Golden Apple Award* is given each year by the ADA to the state program that best demonstrates excellence in dentist well-being activities.


Acknowledgments

The author wishes to thank Edwin Bayo, ESQ of Grossman, Furlow, Bayo for legal guidance on the Florida Statutes and Alison Siwek, MPH, manager, ADA Health and Wellness, for her most valuable support.

Dr. Gropper is a dentist and clinical network coordinator for the Professionals Resource Network. He can be contacted at 904.417.8046 or drgropper@flprn.org.

References:

1. *ADA Well Being Newsletter*. Vol. 1, Issue 1. Winter 2009
2. Personal communication, Alison Siwek, Manager, Dental Health and Wellness Council on Dental Practice, American Dental Association.
3. Personal communication, Gerold Schiebler, MD, President, Professionals Resource Network Board of Directors.
4. Florida Statutes, Section 456.076
5. *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders*. (2000). (5th ed.). Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association.
6. Gropper, J., & Porter, T. (1997). *Addiction and progressive self-destructive behavior in dentistry*. St. Louis, MO: Mosby.
7. Florida Statutes, Chapter 466.
8. Gold MS, Pomm R, Kennedy Y, Jacobs W, Frost-Pineda K. 5-Year State-Wide Study of Physician Addiction Treatment Outcomes Confirmed by Urine Testing. Society for Neurosciences San Diego, CA, November 13, 2001. Prog # 668.1. Available at <http://sfn.scholarone.com/itin2001/>
9. Vaillant, G. (2003). *Natural history of addiction and pathways to recovery*. Chevy Chase, MD: ASAM, Inc.
10. ADA Dentists Well Survey Survey, 2003



NOW YOU CAN UPDATE
YOUR FDA PROFILE ONLINE.

Go to www.floridadental.org/membercenter

GET CONNECTED
STAY INFORMED

Change your address, phone, e-mail and much more!