Welle Leople Court Services

https://www.wtpcs.org April 24, 2019



Liberty and Justice for All

Notice of Limited Supplemental Conditional Jury Process in All State <u>Civil</u>, <u>Family</u>, <u>Juvenile</u>, and <u>Probate</u> Courts - <u>Self Effectuating</u>

Absolute Power corrupts absolutely. So the Founders created a system of intricate checks and balances. Did the Founders forget about Judges? Where is the limit of the power of any Judge to 1) Take your Children 2) Take all your money 3) Throw you in jail? It's right here! Simple

- ¶1 Command Authorities:
- ¶1.1 US Constitution 7^{th} Amendment, Right to a Jury is *Preserved*, shall not be reexamined.
- ¶1.2 AZ Constitution Art 6, Sect 17, Right to a Jury is *Inviolate*. (All States use "inviolate".)
- ¶1.3 US Constitution 10th Amendment, the Govt has discretion, most rights are self-effectuating.
- ¶2 Supporting Authorities:
- ¶2.1 State Statutes, no law prohibits juries in these courts, if it did, it would be irrelevant.
- ¶2.2 Federal and State Rules of Civil Procedure, 38 and 39, affirm Jury Rights are *inviolate*.
- ¶2.3 <u>Family</u>, <u>Juvenile</u>, and <u>Probate Rules of Procedure</u> contain no prohibitions to a Jury.
- ¶2.3 Federalist 83, Juries are the check on the power of Civil Courts meant to prevent corruption.
- ¶2.4 <u>Case Law</u>, Minneapolis & St. Louis R. Co. v Bombolis, 241 U.S. 211 (1916); United Gas Public Service Co. v. Texas, 303 U.S. 123 (1938) affirm the Courts discretion to furnish a Jury. There is no prohibition of Juries in Civil Courts. <u>Any notions of prohibition</u>, <u>are *false*</u>.
- ¶3 Jurisdiction: A) The Courts and then B) the People (WTPCS.org) (10th Amendment)

 The People prefer a Bench Trial. It is efficient and Justice is usually rendered without intervention.
- ¶3.1 <u>Unjust infringement on Parental or Familial Rights</u>. <u>Presumption of shared parenting</u>.
- ¶3.2 Unjust taking of property or money by Judicial order.
- ¶3.3 <u>Unjust or excessive incarceration</u> or detention.

For <u>any of the above lingering matters</u>, a Litigant should petition the Court to schedule a hearing where the People exert their just authorities over these matters via a Jury furnished by the Court.

¶3.4 At the Courts discretion, the People via WTPCS may furnish their own Juries in a scheduled Court hearing or otherwise per Rules established by the People to best preserve due process.

- ¶3.5 WTPCS has discretion to provide or not provide a Jury based on the merits of the case. Judges are encouraged to apply findings of fact and conclusions of law to Judicial Orders so that Juries are more likely to affirm their orders.
- Limits on the Power of a Jury: Court hearings conducted with a Jury are subject to normal Court Rules. Jury verdicts rendered outside of a Court hearing may only either affirm the Judicial Order or Strike down a Judicial Order and provide advice to the Court. The verdict may be filed into the case. This supplemental jury panel may not change the order or otherwise make law.
- ¶4.1 The Judge may do nothing if the order was affirmed, or nothing was filed into the case.
- ¶4.2 Schedule a hearing with a Jury whose verdict would then be superior.
- ¶4.3 <u>Revise the order</u> to the satisfaction of the parties or in keeping with guidance from the Jury.
- ¶4.4 Defy a Jury verdict which is the just and guaranteed <u>authority of the People to govern</u> themselves, creating a breach of the Supremacy Clause and the Oath per Art 6 Clauses 2 & 3. No judge is required to be a judge and may resign at any time. This would necessitate <u>notifying the Governor</u> of a vacancy and seeking a replacement be appointed. <u>Notification of POTUS</u> to avoid a Federal appointment since the Judge has resigned and is no longer authorized to exercise Judicial Powers. Finally, <u>the new status of the judge shall be made public</u> so the People may avoid being subjected to a judge who openly defies their oath and the Constitution.
- ¶5 This process is designed to enhance the confidence of the People in their Judiciary with minimal cost and intervention. Most Judges are competent and just, and their <u>docket is expected to decrease</u> as cases settle more readily as opportunities for corruption are reduced. <u>This has been our experience</u>. Over time a small number of Judges may end up finding something else to do.

Thank-you for your service to the People in your official capacity as a Judicial Officer.

Sincerely,

/s/Martin Lynch General Manager – We the People Court Services Phoenix Office

© This Limited Supplemental Conditional Jury Process and associated documents is the product of years of study, development, and testing. It is the intellectual property of We the People Court Services LLC.