

The State of Our Elections

Phantom Voters in Bexar County—We Caught the Algorithm Red-Handed

Weston Martinez | February 24, 2026 | Across from the Alamo

My fellow Americans—right here, across from the Alamo, where heroes stood against impossible odds—I stand before you today on this hollowed ground.

Tonight, President Trump delivers the State of the Union before Congress. I am calling on President Trump to intervene—because the primaries we’re fighting today have federal implications. And we believe the data shows a direct effort to test a system they’ll unleash against us in November.

This isn’t politics. It’s a plan. I’ve spent years digging—working with election integrity leaders like Lori Gallagher, Dr. Laura Pressley, Dr. Walter Daugherty, and Dr. Andrew Paquette—because I know what Thomas Jefferson knew: “The price of freedom is eternal vigilance.” We’ve been vigilant. And today, we caught them.

Bexar County’s early voter file—8,923 records. Half clean: 4,813 real Texans, 10-digit VUIDs, Texas TEAM legit. The other half? 4,110 fractional ghosts. Decimals that can’t exist. 735 real Americans—1,226 precincts—cloned overnight, February 18, 2026. Every fake jumps by 16,232,344.09. Zero deviation. That’s not a glitch—that’s a weapon.

Addresses? Forged chains: 1162 W Winnipeg, then 1163, 1164, 1165—like a machine printing lies.

I went out and talked to some of these people on the list. I confirmed—they voted. But they only voted once. So why does the list show them on there multiple times? That’s not error. That’s fraud.

Dr. Daugherty, Texas A&M—he saw the math. Dr. Paquette—he tore into the statewide rolls. Verdict: none of these decimals live in Texas TEAM. They’re synthetic. 99.6% match real names—but they’re not real voters. They’re ballot-stealing clones.

Williamson County? Pure. No decimals. No patterns. Bexar’s the outlier—and it reeks of treason against the Republic.

Right here, across from the Alamo, I think of Colonel Travis. He drew a line in the sand. He said, “Today we draw a line in the sand to protect.” Today, like Colonel Travis, we draw a line in the sand to determine—are you gonna cross it to protect our ballots, or are you gonna let a modern-day Santa Anna defeat us?

Patrick Henry didn’t whisper: “Give me liberty or give me death.” We’re not whispering either. We demand audits—TEAM logs, ballot counts, pollbooks locked before they vanish.

Charlie Kirk said it: “The left wants power, not truth.” This is their shadow army—algorithmic phantoms, stealing votes while they call us paranoid.

We need voters to come out and fight the system. Vote on election day. Vote for our freedom. Vote for our future. Vote for Weston Martinez—so I can go to Congress and fight to secure our elections.

We are calling on—I am fighting to make this election too big to rig for Weston Martinez.

We built this country on honest ballots. The Founders didn’t die at Valley Forge so machines could rig our future. I’ve fought for that truth my whole life. Today, we expose it. Tomorrow, we take it back—for our kids, for our flag, for the America they handed us.

Evidence Files

1. Duplicate Voters Spreadsheet – Shavano Park, Precinct 3075

Caption: Real Voters, Fake IDs—They Voted Once, List Says Multiple

Real names, real votes—yet multiple fractional IDs. I talked to them. They’re not ghosts. The system is.

The screenshot shows a spreadsheet with the following columns: A (Name), B (ID), C (Address), D (Party), E (Status), and F (Date). The data is as follows:

	A	B	C	D	E	F
1	LINDA ALLEN	1066621204	104 BOBCAT BND SHAVANO PARK, TX 78231	P 3075	REP	2/18/2026
2	STEVEN ALAN BENNETT	1071327367	231 WINDING LN SAN ANTONIO, TX 78231	P 3075	REP	2/18/2026
3	EDWARD JOSEPH BLANCO	1066806911	519 TALMADGE LN SAN ANTONIO, TX 78249	P 3075	REP	2/18/2026
4	PATRICIA BLANCO	1068001923	102 FAWN DR SAN ANTONIO, TX 78231	P 3075	REP	2/18/2026
5	CH [REDACTED] IE	10 [REDACTED] 03	10 [REDACTED] K SAN ANTONIO, TX 78230	P 3075	REP	2/18/2026
6	DO [REDACTED]	10 [REDACTED] 83	10 [REDACTED] K SAN ANTONIO, TX 78230	P 3075	REP	2/18/2026
7	LORA LOU MCCARDELL BRI	1067267607	4402 ESSEX PL SAN ANTONIO, TX 78249	P 3075	REP	2/18/2026
8	HOLLY GRUNDMAN CHANCI	1061418644	325 BRANCH OAK WAY SAN ANTONIO, TX 78230	P 3075	REP	2/18/2026
9	STEVEN ALAN BENNETT	1259785084	232 WINDING LN SAN ANTONIO, TX 78231	P 3075	REP	2/18/2026
10	EDWARD JOSEPH BLANCO	1260955580	520 TALMADGE LN SAN ANTONIO, TX 78249	P 3075	REP	2/18/2026
11	PATRICIA BLANCO	1260977664	103 FAWN DR SAN ANTONIO, TX 78231	P 3075	REP	2/18/2026
12	CH [REDACTED] IE	12 [REDACTED] 72	10 [REDACTED] K SAN ANTONIO, TX 78230	P 3075	REP	2/18/2026
13	DO [REDACTED]	12 [REDACTED] 56	10 [REDACTED] K SAN ANTONIO, TX 78230	P 3075	REP	2/18/2026
14	HOLLY GRUNDMAN CHANCI	1268906115	326 BRANCH OAK WAY SAN ANTONIO, TX 78230	P 3075	REP	2/18/2026
15	STEVEN ALAN BENNETT	1276017428	233 WINDING LN SAN ANTONIO, TX 78231	P 3075	REP	2/18/2026
16	EDWARD JOSEPH BLANCO	1277187924	521 TALMADGE LN SAN ANTONIO, TX 78249	P 3075	REP	2/18/2026
17	PATRICIA BLANCO	1277210008	104 FAWN DR SAN ANTONIO, TX 78231	P 3075	REP	2/18/2026
18	CH [REDACTED] IE	12 [REDACTED] 16	10 [REDACTED] K SAN ANTONIO, TX 78230	P 3075	REP	2/18/2026
19	DO [REDACTED]	12 [REDACTED] 00	10 [REDACTED] K SAN ANTONIO, TX 78230	P 3075	REP	2/18/2026
20	HOLLY GRUNDMAN CHANCI	1285138460	327 BRANCH OAK WAY SAN ANTONIO, TX 78230	P 3075	REP	2/18/2026
21	STEVEN ALAN BENNETT	1292249772	234 WINDING LN SAN ANTONIO, TX 78231	P 3075	REP	2/18/2026
22	EDWARD JOSEPH BLANCO	1293420268	522 TALMADGE LN SAN ANTONIO, TX 78249	P 3075	REP	2/18/2026
23	PATRICIA BLANCO	1293442353	105 FAWN DR SAN ANTONIO, TX 78231	P 3075	REP	2/18/2026
24	CH [REDACTED] IE	12 [REDACTED] 60	10 [REDACTED] K SAN ANTONIO, TX 78230	P 3075	REP	2/18/2026

2. The “Weston Algorithm” – Board 1 of 4

Caption: 4,110 Clones, 735 Real Voters, One Night

8,923 records. 4,110 fake decimals—impossible in Texas TEAM. All popped up

February 18, 2026. Dr. Paquette: none exist statewide.

WHAT THE DATA SHOWS

THE "WESTON ALGORITHM"

Official Bexar County Republican Voter Check-In File — As of February 18, 2026

Total Records in File	8,923	Real Voters Affected	735
Legitimate Records (Integer VUIDs)	4,813	Precincts Affected	1,226
Synthetic Records (Fractional IDs)	4,110	Fraudulent Ballot Opportunities	4,110

WHAT ARE THESE RECORDS?

Texas assigns every voter a **State Identification Number** — always a whole integer. The file contains 4,110 records with **fractional (decimal) State IDs** that are impossible in the TEAM system. Dr. Paquette verified: **NONE of these fractional IDs exist in the Texas statewide voter database.** The integer versions DO exist — 99.6% match by name to real registered voters. These are **synthetic identities built from real voters' data.**

CHAIN OF CUSTODY

Bexar County Elections Department → ██████████ (Bexar GOP Campaign Activities Chair) → **All Republican Precinct Chairs** (February 19, 2026)

INDEPENDENTLY VERIFIED BY TWO ACADEMIC RESEARCHERS

Dr. Walter Daugherty, Texas A&M University — Confirmed all findings; discovered modular indexing architecture

Dr. Andrew Paquette, Forensic Analyst — Full forensic analysis; cross-referenced against TX statewide voter database; documented fraud mechanism

3. Expert Verification & Evidence – Board 4 of 4

Caption: Two PhDs Say Fraud—Not a Glitch

Dr. Daugherty: 16,232,344.09226 jumps, zero deviation. Dr. Paquette: 99.6% real names, no decimals in state rolls. Purpose-built theft.

EXPERT VERIFICATION & EVIDENCE

THE "WESTON ALGORITHM" — CONFIRMED BY TWO INDEPENDENT ACADEMIC RESEARCHERS

DR. WALTER DAUGHERITY	DR. ANDREW PAQUETTE
<p>Texas A&M University Key Discoveries: • Confirmed 16,232,344.09226 per-voter constant • Discovered 22,084.82190 base grid constant • Proved ratio = exactly 735 (number of victims) • Identified modular indexing architecture • 735 = 3×5×7×7 (algorithmic design signature) <i>"This simple modular scheme could generate millions of unique fake ID numbers and register them to vote."</i></p>	<p>Forensic Analyst — Voter Registration Databases Key Discoveries: • Cross-referenced against TX statewide voter DB • 0 of 4,110 fractional IDs exist in state system • 99.6% match by name to real registered voters • Span ÷ Gap = 4,109.00000 (perfect integer proof) • 36% have fractional PRECINCT numbers (new finding) • Documented inject→conceal→vote→delete mechanism <i>"These records represent synthetic identities injected directly into the local pollbook system."</i></p>

CLONE GROUP STRUCTURE (Paquette)

COPIES PER VOTER	VOTER GROUPS	TOTAL RECORDS	ADDRESS PATTERN	% OF GROUPS
5 synthetic copies	300	1,500	Sequential +1	67% (494 groups)
6 synthetic copies	435	2,610	Identical address	33% (239 groups)
TOTAL	735	4,110	Deviation	0.3% (2 groups)

Clone count (5 vs 6) and address pattern (sequential vs identical) are **statistically independent** — separately controlled variables in a sophisticated, purpose-built tool. (Paquette)

WILLIAMSON COUNTY CONTROL	WHAT WE ARE REQUESTING
<p>Same election, same methodology: • 6,312 records — 100% valid integer VUIDs • Zero decimal VUIDs • Zero duplicates • Zero algorithmic patterns • Zero anomalies of any kind. This is what clean data looks like. Bexar County does not look like this.</p>	<p>1. IMMEDIATE SOS AUDIT Audit Bexar TEAM database; require KNOWINK + ES&S system logs for Feb 17–18 2. BALLOT RECONCILIATION Physical ballots vs. legitimate check-ins at all 50 EV locations before March 3 3. PURGE SYNTHETIC RECORDS Remove all fractional-ID records from pollbook immediately 4. PRESERVE EVIDENCE Capture current pollbook before deletion can occur — this may be the only permanent record (Paquette)</p>

4. Mathematical Proof – Exhibit 3

Caption: Perfect Integers, Zero Chaos—This Is Code

Span ÷ Gap = 4,109. No remainder. 7,484 points, three constants. Real data doesn't do this. Algorithms do.

EXHIBIT 3

THE MATHEMATICAL PROOF

Three Interlocking Constants — Zero Standard Deviation — 7,484 Data Points

▶ **SPAN ÷ GAP = PERFECT INTEGER**

First record: Abel ID: 1,253,115,467.79993	Last record: Braswell ID: 1,343,862,000.96332
SPAN (last - first):	90,746,533.16339
GAP (every consecutive record):	22,084.82189
SPAN ÷ GAP =	4,109.00000

Perfect integer. No remainder. Real data does not produce this.

▶ **THE THREE CONSTANTS**

BASE GRID: 22,084.82190	Every consecutive synthetic record separated by this exact value. 4,109 of 4,109 (100%). Zero exceptions.
PER-VOTER SKIP: 16,232,344.09	Distance between same-voter clones. 3,375 comparisons. = 735 × 22,084.82190
THE RATIO: = 735	735 = exact number of affected voters $735 = 3 \times 5 \times 7 \times 7$. The algorithm knew its target count before it ran.

THIS IS NOT A GLITCH. THIS IS AN ALGORITHM.