

THE GREATEST DECEPTION, EVER

(TheGreatestDeception059.pdf, from the website **VirginSaverD.org**)

(Updated Sept. 2, 2021)

(New, Aug 26, 2021): (next “fancy paragraph” + 3 more) + narrower page width. to make it easier to read from cell-phones.

Breakthrough! The tide is turning: We believe that we finally “have it tied-down so well” that there is only one conclusion that an honest, Bible-Believer can make: “Since the Lord would never use the verses that they have been using, in order to justify these 8 (10) so-called commandments (you will agree when you see why), then it can’t be God who made them.” (But also, since the Lord even hid His approvals of “just the opposite” on most of these, then those have to be false). “But why would the Lord hide it, if it were true & if the world really would have been a lot less wicked???” Read-on & you will see why the world would have been a lot less wicked & why it was best to hide it.

Will you help promote this discovery & ask your friends. Just say something like, “Could this be true??? I know that it seems like it couldn’t possibly be true, but with a case like they have made, I am wondering if it really is true... Would you prayerfully take a look at this & let me know what you think? Would that be OK?”

And why promote this issue? ...in order to help restore what the Lord really intended for us from the very beginning??? OK?

[No, reader, this E-book isn't as nearly as long as it looks. We have “added-on to the front of this Ebook” so many times (to make it more convincing), that most of the remaining pages are very redundant & even inaccurate. Now, isn't it more important to make it convincing, than it is to clean-up the “redundant stuff???” Nevertheless, as of today, we will start moving important portions forward, from far below].

[Please note that I am not a writer, & that is why I write in conversational-style. Just picture it as if you are reading a speech that was transcribed from a seminar].

(New, May 13, 2021) Intro.

When you first glance-over this, you are going to think that this website cannot possibly be true, because the false-commandments that we are exposing seem so virtuous. But the more that you look at it, the more convinced you will become, especially if you are a Bible-believer that depends on the scriptures as your sole rule of authority.

If you will put away your prejudices & your emotions & look at it objectively, with an open mind, then there is only one conclusion that you can come to: that there are several traditional “commandments” that neither Jesus nor God the Father ever made.

But, all of these are concerning the touchiest of all topics: sex & marriage. It caught us by surprise as well, when we discovered each one of these. Several years ago, in a very trying situation (after my wife had been refusing to have sex with me for 1 full year), I finally realized, for the very-first time, that self-masturbation was only wrong if your mind was picturing sin. For I had already observed (10 years earlier than that) that the Holy Bible didn't even mention it, let alone forbid it. Then much later, I discovered another one, then shortly after that, a third one.

But 2 or 3 years, even later yet, we finally felt moved to start this website on these three false commandments (started 7 years ago). There was great opposition from the very beginning. But we kept defending & improving our case, as the years went on. But in the process of trying to convince people, we kept finding another one every year or so. (Pastors agree that the Bible never says “You shall not...” on any of these 10, nor even directly-states that any of them are wrong).

About that time, we wondered if there were 7 false-commandments. And yes, not long after that, we found seven. But we still kept finding another one, till we found 10 (actually 9, for 2 of them overlap) so-called commandments that God never made.

But there is one of the amazing things that the Lord did (for the sake of those who would still be hesitant to believe): He also hid His **approval** on each of the major false-commandments, that they truly are counterfeit.

OBJECTION: “Why are you always talking about **sex???”**

No, we rarely talk about sex in daily life, but on this website, that is about all that we write about, because that is what the subject is: sex & marriage & nakedness. But our goal was to expose these false commandments, never to talk about or encourage “loose living.” (But don't get the wrong idea. When these false-commandments are exposed, the definition of “loose living” will also narrow down).

(New, Feb 15, 2021) (next 2 pages)

Our goal is to expose what the “Enemy of Souls” has done to God's commandments & to restore them back to what the Lord originally intended. There are 8 (10) so-called commandments—all of them on sex & marriage—that everyone has believed are true, including preachers, Bible-professors & theologians. And yet, the Bible never said “You shall not...” to even one of them,

But They Have Their Texts:

Yes, they have their texts on a few (very-few) of these 8 (10) (the very-same texts that all of you Bible-believers have already used) that “prove” that a few of these are valid commandments. But the problem is that those that believe that the “8 (10)” are counterfeit-commandments, understand, legitimately understand the very-same texts in a different way that is completely acceptable to them. And so, neither side can convince the other side!

God “is not the author of confusion.” The Lord loves people “on both sides of the fence.” If something is truly wrong, He always makes it clear by either saying, “You shall not...” or by clearly stating that such & such a text or incident was wrong. That way, people “on both sides of the fence” know “which side of the fence” the Lord stands on.

(New, May 25, 2021) greatly clarified, next few sections

The Proof of Just One False-commandment:

You will have to “read-on” to see all of our answers for all of these texts that they use to try to justify a few of the various false-commandments. But we will just use one false-commandment as an example (actually 2 of them that are counter-parts of each other, #3 & #10). “Everyone” (including us, before discovering this) has believed #10) that men could only have one wife & #3) that women could only have one husband, because of Gen. 2:24: “Therefore a man shall leave his father & his mother & hold fast to his wife, & they shall become one flesh.” (ESV).

But before discussing this, we need to point-out that it used to be (& still is, technically in the USA) illegal to be married to more than one person. But because immorality of all kinds has become so common in the USA, there is now (for the last 30-40 years) a legal way for anyone, male or female, to have more than one “spouse.” Anyone can now live with anyone, & live with as many as they wish, as long as it is amenable to all of them.

Now, many of you sigh over this & wish that it could be stopped. We “sigh” as well, for most of

them are going the wrong direction, except for the fundamentalist-"Mormons," who conduct themselves very ethically in regard to "more than one wife" (typically 4 wives).

Yes, it is still illegal to be legally-married (registered by the government) to more than one spouse. But as long as you don't register additional marriages with the government, then it is no longer illegal (not so, 70+ years ago).

Yes, you can even have a full-fledged wedding ceremony, "with the whole 9 yards", & even have a pastor marry you & your additional spouse, & even have the wedding in a church (a church that allows such). And all of that is OK in the USA (& in many developed countries), as long as the government is not involved.

Now, it may be OK in the Government's eyes, but is it OK in God's eyes? And if it is "OK," can plural-marriages meet God's full approval, or would they only be something that God would just tolerate (i.e. only according to His permissive-will)? These questions are what we are answering.

Proof For This One Example of "Only One Spouse:" (next several sections):

Since the Bible never says "you shall not...", neither for men nor for women, most Christians justify "only one spouse" with Gen. 2:24 (see vss 18-25): "Therefore a man shall leave his father & his mother & shall hold fast to his wife, & they shall become one flesh." (ESV).

[Some also point-out that they only had one spouse in the beginning, even Cain's descendants, down to the 5th generation (Gen 4:16-26), but this is a mute-point, because you can't tell whether they were commanded from God, or from Adam & Eve "upping the standard." We contend that Satan (subliminally) persuaded Adam & Eve to "up the standard" to "only one spouse."].

This text (Gen 2:24) convinces those that believe that you can only have one spouse. But the people "on the other side of the fence" answer, "No, this text isn't even written in commandment form. So, how can you say that-that is a commandment???" The "pro-side" answers that Gen. 2:24 is stating the basic principle of "only one spouse, & that is why it isn't put in commandment form." But the question is, is Gen. 2:24 even stating that principle at all about "only one spouse???"

So the "con-side" continues, "Furthermore, that text isn't even talking about having only one spouse. Instead, it is instructing the husband & wife to consummate the marriage by "becoming one-flesh, sexually, with each other" (see 1 Cor. 6:15-16). And of course, "the pro-side" agrees that it does mean to consummate the marriage by "becoming one flesh with each other," but they still insist that it also means that no-one can be married to more than one person.

But the trouble is that their argument cannot possibly convince those "on the other side." And so, neither side can convince the other. But also, the Lord is all-wise & would have avoided that difficulty of there being "a stalemate," by saying "You shall not...", etc. if "only one spouse" had really been true.

When it comes to something that is wrong, the Lord never uses something vague like Gen. 2:24, that can be legitimately understood 2 different ways. Since it can be understood in 2 different ways, then it becomes impossible to prove that it really means both things. A statement like that only convinces the ones who want to believe-in "only one spouse." For it certainly doesn't convince those who believe that they truly can have more than one spouse.

(New, Aug 24, 2021): clarified

But Also,

There is another "text" that some use (1 Tim 3:2 & 12, see vss 1-13, also Titus 1:6. see vss 5-9) for elders & deacons & bishops to be "the husband of one wife," but it is even more vague than Gen. 2:24. Not. only is it speaking of key leaders (& not of Christians in general). But also, Paul wasn't even speaking at all, of having only one wife, for the Romans strictly enforced everyone to only have one wife. Thus, Paul's statement would have had no meaning if he meant "only one wife," because even sinners met that condition.

No, Paul was speaking of men who have not divorced their wife & married someone else, & doubly-especially, not those who didn't have biblical-justification for divorcing (see Matt 19:9a & 5:32a). If a man didn't have biblical-justification, then (in God's eyes) he would be committing adultery. Thus in God's eyes, he would still be married to his first wife (for he had no grounds to divorce) & thus would be committing adultery.

So what Paul had to be talking about on “the husband of one wife” in 1 Timothy & Titus, had to be someone who divorced his wife & was married to someone-else. So, these texts (to justify “only one spouse” are totally-invalid, for they aren't even talking about that.

“God is love” (1 John 4:7,8) & loves even the bad people. But more than that, when it comes to something that is truly sin, the Lord is all-wise & doesn't want any of His loyal-followers to sincerely believe the wrong thing.

Now, sinners often twist-around “right” & make it into “wrong,” & twist-around “wrong” & make it into “right” (in order to justify their sin). But wouldn't it be a terrible thing for God to make a commandment so unclear, that many loyal-followers would honestly believe that it isn't even a commandment at all??? No, God never does something like that, because that wouldn't be fair to those people.

(New June 14, 2021) (next 3 paragraphs)

And Why Not? Because The Lord Can (& Did) Foresee the Future Confusion.

Yes, multitudes are confused right now, because He didn't say “You shall not...” But didn't the Lord tell us that He foresees the future, “declaring the end from the beginning” (Isa 46:10, see vss 9-13)???

If that is true, then that proves that the Lord would never use something vague, like Gen. 2:24, to prove that you can only have one spouse. How??? Because it would cause confusion that would never be resolved—not without the Lord, Himself, intervening.

For instance, just look at all of the trouble our website is causing. We would probably have never started this website if the Bible had said “You shall not have more than one spouse” (or anything similar). If the Lord saw & declared “the end from the beginning” (Isa 46:10, as the Bible says similarly in many places, & as science implies), then He had to foresee this controversy that was started by this website.

But some may question whether God actually did, accurately see the future. So, let's let “Science” show us that God is so capable of predicting the future, that He wouldn't even miss-predict by even “one electron!!!” (An electron is so small that even an electron microscope couldn't see it! So, an electron is super-tiny).

There is a scientific conclusion, which scientists have been studying since World War II, that something super-natural is holding-together every atom in the whole universe. By all the laws of nuclear physics, the atoms (e.g. gold, iron, oxygen) should absolutely explode, but nevertheless, the atoms keep-on holding together—every-where, except where the temperature is so high that they dissociate.

Now, Nuclear Scientists have been studying this for more than 70 years. In spite of this, the scientists who don't want to believe in God, deny it, claiming, “We just haven't found it yet.” But these atheists & agnostics have to be “lying through their teeth,” because the required force (to hold the atom together) is horrendous (beyond imagination), especially for big atoms (uranium, gold etc.).

But the Bible says concerning Jesus (Col 1:17b, see vss 12-18), “...& in him all things hold together” (ESV). Now, some translations say, “consist,” but actually, the primary meaning of that Greek verb is, hold-together, stick-together, cohere, etc.). But the beautiful thing about this is that it justifies Christianity & the Bible. Because, the Bible answers this scientific conclusion, on who it is

that is holding those atoms together. It is Jesus.

Jesus is (& always has been, from the beginning of universe) holding-together everything in the whole universe; for a more-accurate translation of that Greek verb is, "...were & are holding-together"). So, Jesus has been holding it together from the very beginning, ever-since He created the universe (vs 16). He had to keep holding all of it together, in order for atoms & molecules to exist & function the way that atoms & molecules do.

Now since Jesus is continually holding all of it together, then would it be too hard for God the Father—who is greater than Jesus (John 14:28b, see vss 25-29)—to picture His Son, Jesus, holding all of that together??? So, "all" the Father had to do was to let all of that picture "flow," in order to precisely foresee the future. If the Father did that, then He wouldn't miss-predict by an electron!

Yes, it is beyond our imagination for the Almighty to foresee the future of all of this vast universe (something like 60 million light-years in diameter, for even one light-year is a vast distance). But isn't God, Himself, & even Jesus, are beyond our imagination??? Well then, that explains why: Because our picture of God (& of Jesus) is like trying to see the entire universe through a microscope!!! So, our picture of God is far too-small!

(New, Aug 29, 2021): New

But Predestining is More Than Foreseeing. Did God Predestine, Like the Bible Says?

Yes, the Lord could predestine (guide) "the story of the world," just like the Bible frequently says, all without forcing anyone to do anything.

So, how could the Lord modify "the story," so that it would end-up good? He had to do all of it by foreseeing what would happen if... & what would happen if not.... & then, repeating that same question innumerable times.

But first we need to answer why the Lord would want to modify the story of this world. If you could create a world, wouldn't you want that world to be a pleasant place? Well, so did God. That is why the first thing that God "created" was wisdom on what is required for the world to always remain a peaceful, happy place (Prov 8:1 - 9:6).

The Lord plans to (eventually) have a world where there will never be rebellion ever again, like Satan did (Rev 12:7-11), where there will be no-more tears nor crying nor any other kind of pain (Rev 21:4, see vss 1-5), nor anything that will harm or destroy (Isa 65:25b, see vss 17-25). But in order for that to happen, the Lord had to predestine "the story," so that everyone would be thoroughly convinced to never rebel ever again.

We see 4 ways that the Lord could modify the story of this world, but the Lord probably made use of many additional ways that we haven't dreamed of. But in every case, the Lord had to predestine it by foreseeing what would happen "if so" & what would happen "if not," so that everything that would happen, would happen because of everyone making their own choices. It is a thousand times more difficult to predestine that way, but that is the only way that our righteous God would do it, so that everyone would make his/her own choices, some to eternal life, & some to everlasting destruction.

Here are the 4 ways that we see that God could alter "the story:"

1. By altering the universe in billions of different ways, especially altering the make-up of each angel that was created, especially the make-up of the character of Satan. (Sorry people, the only way that God could be sure to end-up with a universe free of rebellion for ever & ever (Nah 1:9, see whole book), was to design the rebellion in such a way that everyone (who would be saved) would then be thoroughly convinced to never rebel ever again. Sorry. That was the only way God could do it, because "forever" never-never ends).
2. By modifying the creation of the world as we know it (Yes, evolutionists, the earth & the moon are as old as the universe, but the earth was "without form & void," covered by water

& covered by solid cloud (Gen 1:1-2) prior to God creating the earth somewhat like we know it today). But in planning all of that, the Lord had billions of options on what it would be like, such as altering all the many mineral deposits on (under) the earth. All of these options would make a difference on how the story would develop. But the Lord's biggest options were in designing Adam & Eve & in designing the potential heredity of their children.

3. There was also a third opportunity for the Lord to alter the story, when the Lord was cleaning-up all of the Debris from Noah's Flood. Before the Flood, all of the land (as well as all of the water) was only one continent (& only one body of water surrounding that continent: Gen 1:9, see vs 9-13). But after the Flood, the Lord broke it into 7 continents, one of which is Antarctica. So, the Lord carefully designed the shape of each continent & the bodies of water surrounding it (& altering the lakes & mountains & valleys & rivers within it). All of this would make terrific changes in "the story."
4. There is still a 4th way that the Lord could alter the story. Some parents have prayed, "Why did you let my son die." When the Lord shows them what would have happened (for He foresaw that possibility & could have predestined it to be different), then they will thank Him for letting their son die! (It wouldn't have been a good outcome). Also, every prayer was answered before the Lord made anything: some answered "yes," some "no," some partially-answered & some answered "wait a while." All of them were/are answered according to what was best for that particular person (considering the future, etc.; see Rom 8:28).

So when you see certain statements (below) that say, "the Lord would have said so if it were truly wrong," Then how are you going to react to that??? No, it can't be that God made any mistakes & forgot to forbid such & such, for He thoroughly planned the entire story of our world.

As nearly as we can see from a human point of view, the Lord had to go over "the story" millions of times, probably billions, in order to get the story to end-up like He wanted it to end. So, how could even a human being forget, when he had gone over something thousands of times???

He couldn't possibly forget, & neither could God! No, it may not have been planned the way we humans picture it, but still, however the Lord planned it, you can be sure that He never even made a single mistake. For God is all-knowing (omniscient). Nothing escapes His notice. For even Jesus is holding the entire universe together. And thus, nothing escapes Jesus' notice, either.

(New, Aug 24 & 29, 2021): new section

This Section is All the Proof You Need (to know that "only one spouse" is counterfeit).

And how can we be so bold & say that (i.e. what we are about to say) is all the proof that you need to know that "only one spouse" is counterfeit? Because the following text clearly proves that God never uses texts like Genesis 2:24 & nor the texts of 1 Timothy & Titus ("the husband of one wife"), nor any other texts like these, to prove any commandment:

1 Tim. 1:8-9a states (ESV): "Now we know that the law is good, if one uses it lawfully, understanding this, that the law is not laid down for the just, but for the lawless & disobedient, for the ungodly & sinners,..." Then Paul (through the Holy-Spirit) lists a lot of typical sinners (e.g. "murderers, the sexually immoral, men who practice homosexuality, enslavers, etc., etc.")

Let us ask you, if the moral-law was written for the disobedient, then what does that tell you about how God words it? It tells us that God clearly warns the transgressor to not do such & such. That is why most commandments are written as, "You shall not..." If such & such is truly wrong, then God always makes it clear that it is wrong to do it (or sometimes, that it is wrong to not do such & such).

So, what does that tell you about Gen. 2:24 & the "husband of one wife" instruction of 1 Tim. &

Titus??? It tells you that they are not commandments, Those texts are just statements, written in a positive sense. They don't tell anyone to not marry more than one spouse. They don't warn anyone to not do that. And so, they cannot possibly be commandments to forbid more than one spouse. If it were, then the Lord would have clearly warned them to not do that... (Because He foresaw every, tiny detail of the whole story & solved every problem before He started making the universe).

Nor are they even recommendations or suggestions to only have one spouse. Why? 1) Because the Lord doesn't word things that way, where neither side can understand which the Lord mean. If it were truly wrong, then the Lord would have made it clear, & if it were only recommended, then the Lord would have made it clear that it is a recommendation & not actually a commandment.

But also: 2) Because the Lord wasn't even talking about "only one spouse" in Gen. 2:24 & also in 1 Timothy & Titus. We already showed you what it actually meant on each of these texts. And besides, when you see God's hidden-approval of both men having more than one wife, & also the hidden-approval of women having more than one husband, then you will know for sure that the Lord never recommended "only one spouse."

But before leaving this one section, (which is only showing that "only one spouse" is counterfeit), what about the other texts that they use on the other 8 (10) so-called commandments?

Every text that they use to justify any of these 8 (10) also falls into the same category. Not one of these texts makes it clear that "such & such" is wrong, nor forbids sinners & transgressors from doing such & such. As you will see, all 8 (10) of them also have to be counterfeit, as well.

(That many be sufficient, but still **Proving that "Only One Spouse" is false**):

When a Bride & Groom Get Married, Do They Then Become One?

People have tried to make Gen. 2:24 say "...and the two shall become one," but it doesn't say that. Yes, there are a few translations that have (intentionally) mistranslated it that way, Instead, it says, "...& they shall become one flesh" (NKJV, much like the ESV).

Even Jesus backed this up when answering the Pharisees who were divorcing their wives in order to marry someone else. (It was because they felt restricted from not being allowed to have more than one wife). Jesus quotes this very text (Gen 2:24) in Matt. 19:5 (see vss 3-9).

Then in the next verse, He said, "So they are no longer two but one flesh." (vs 6, see vss 4-7). Since Jesus was no longer quoting the Bible, He was free to say, "So they are no longer 2, but instead, have become one," but He didn't say that! But instead, Jesus was careful to say, "So they are no longer 2, but one flesh." (verse 6a, ESV; NKJV is very similar).

Yes, Jesus did say, "What therefore God has joined together, let not man separate." (vs 6b, ESV & NKJV). "Everyone" thinks, "Well, if they are joined together, then that means that they can't be joined to anyone-else." Yes, that is what we have always thought that it meant, but it certainly didn't mean that in the Old Testament.

The Bible doesn't make much comment about those plural marriages, but it certainly doesn't make any negative comments about these, either. Yes, Solomon had far-too-many wives, which was forbidden (Deut 17:17, see vss 13-20). (It is thought that the missing book was Deuteronomy, & thus, Solomon didn't know about this instruction). But the Bible did make it clear that-that was why Solomon fell, because he loved too many wives, especially foreign wives, who led him to worship other gods (1 Kings 11:1-8).

Many great men in the Old Testament had several wives. The Lord even gave restrictions on how many they could marry (Deut 17:17, see 14-20, Deut 25:5-6), on how to treat the first wife, after marrying the 2nd wife (Ex 21:7-11) & on whom they couldn't marry (Lev 20:14, Lev 18:18).

Also:

After Judah fell to Nebuchadnezzar (King of Babylon). it was always the Gentiles that forced the

Jews to only have one wife (for 600 years, it was commanded by the ruling powers, who thought nothing of visiting temple prostitutes). It was never the Lord that commanded this.

So what is our “conclusion?”

Since God precisely foresaw it all, then “there is no way” that the Lord made a mistake. He precisely foresaw every tiny-detail of this disagreement that we are now having—just like it says in the Bible (Isa 46:9-13 & in many other texts)—before He even started making the universe. The Lord foresaw every, tiny-bit about this issue we are presently facing, on both sides of the issue.

So, we can only conclude that if “only one-spouse” really were true, then He would have made it clear by saying “You shall not...,” or at least, by clearly stating that it is wrong. For if the Lord had truly meant “only one spouse” without making it clear, then there would have been confusion that would never, never end, no, not without the Lord intervening.

Since He didn't, we can only conclude that God never made these two, so-called commandments (#3 & #10). For this leaves Bible-believers with nothing to hang-on to—not even one text in the entire Bible—to prove “only one spouse.”

But this is only two of the 10 (actually 9 total). The same thing is true of all 10 of them. People have Bible-texts that they use to justify a few of these 10. But again, those texts are not written in commandment-form. And also (as you will see), they can be legitimately-understood two different ways, thus causing confusion on whether those texts, as to whether they really mean that or not.

God never uses such texts to prove a commandment, nor even for an instruction. If it truly is wrong, then He always makes it clear, so that loyal-people on both sides of the fence can clearly see that it is wrong.

Now if God didn't give these “8 (10),” then who did it, & why did he do it? You will have to “read-on.” Note that none of this could have escaped God's notice, from the very beginning of the universe. All of this has to be (& is) according to God's plan, for He also foresaw Satan's rebellion & all the trouble that he would cause on this earth.

You see, there is a reason why the Lord kept this discovery hidden till now, till near the very-end of time. You will understand better further below.

Now this may be proof enough for this one example, but:

We Aren't Done Negating Our Example of “Only One Spouse”

(New, May 24, 2021) “moved” from far-below & updated

Are you ready for “a shocker?” There is a text that reveals that Gen. 2:24 can also (legitimately) apply to a man having several wives. No (to you readers of the Bible who have read it more than 50 times), we aren't joking. It is just that when you read it, you didn't realize that it reveals that. But we will show you that the following text really does reveal it.

But also, this is a double-shocker: In order to properly show this, you first need to realize that most of the modern translations need to be revised, at least, re-translate their New Testaments in numerous places. 95% of their New Testament translation can remain the same as it presently is, for there is no deviation in 95% of it. But there are numerous verses that need to be re-translated.

Why? Most of the modern translations translate from the Critical Text, which largely depends on the 2 oldest manuscripts that have been found so far (the Vaticanus & Sinaiticus), plus a few (very few) manuscripts that were obviously copied from them.

The Two Oldest Greek-Manuscripts Found to Be Counterfeit:

Almost everyone has thought that the Critical Text was the most accurate, because it was based on the two oldest manuscripts, & thus should have less copying errors than later manuscripts. But for more than 20 years, a number of Bible authorities have suspected that these 2 manuscripts are

counterfeit^{1!}, i.e. suspecting that an evil scribe modified &/or omitted numerous texts.

And now, we have finally found proof that that-scribe (the original scribe) did actually (purposely) change the wording in a series of verses.

Now, most Christians have not worried much about this possibility, for less than 5% of the Greek New Testament is affected (most of the deviations are omitted-texts, but also a large number of small “modifications”). They haven't worried about it for you can usually find what was omitted, somewhere else in the Bible, but not always, as you will see in the next section.

Here is how we discovered that they truly are counterfeit (see Footnote²).

1 First, let's look at why they were already suspected to be counterfeit (well over 20 years ago):

Here are the 4 reasons why a number of “authorities” have suspected for a long time, that the Sinaiticus & Vaticanus Greek-manuscripts (& the few manuscripts obviously copied from them) are counterfeit:

- a) Both manuscripts are in almost new condition, & yet they are the oldest manuscripts (roughly 450 AD/CE) that we presently have. All the other ancient Greek-manuscripts are severely worn from scribes repeatedly copying from them, but these 2 manuscripts have hardly been copied at all. If those 2 manuscripts had been heavily copied, then they also would have been severely worn out, almost worn to pieces. This implies that subsequent scribes were suspicious that these 2 manuscripts weren't really legitimate. And so, they chose to copy from other ancient manuscripts, rather than to copy from these two.
- b) Greek manuscripts of the New Testament were traditionally written in scroll-form. The Vaticanus & Sinaiticus are the first manuscripts to ever be written in book-form, rather than scroll form (a break in tradition). Now, we've thought about that, & it may be that that-scribe did that because he was also the first to put all of the New Testament books into one book (except the book, Revelation, that has the warning in it (Rev 22:18,19)). So, argument #2 might not be a strong argument.
- c) Most of the ancient Greek manuscripts have some typographical errors, but the Sinaiticus & Vaticanus are “loaded with” typographical errors, a sign of poor workmanship, as if the scribe didn't really care whether he copied it correctly or not.
- d) Both the Sinaiticus & Vaticanus have a number of omissions, but one of the two has huge omissions in a number of places. So, even those two manuscripts aren't actually consistent with each other. Much of their wording agrees with each other, but they certainly don't agree on all of the places that they omitted.

2 How we proved that they were counterfeit:

I found the proof in church, listening to the pastor read 3 times, “Simon, son of John, do you love Me...” (John 21:15-19, ESV, Critical Text). Jesus said that to Peter 3 times, but the Majority Text (& Received Text) say, “Simon, son of Jonah...,” instead of “Simon, son of John....”

Now, that has to be deliberate, for there's no way that a scribe would accidentally miss-copy 3 times in a row, so close together like that. Whereas the vast majority of manuscripts from all over the world say “Simon, son of Jonah.” For the Greek word for John is 7 letters long, while the Greek word for Jonah is only 4 letters long. That's pretty-hard to miss-copy even one time, let alone 3 times in a row!

He also changed it to “John” in John 1:42, but in one place where he failed to change it (Matt 16:17). Now, how is it that it just “accidentally” got changed the same in all 4 places (once in John 1, 3 times in John 21), but didn't get changed in one place??? So, the Critical Text contradicts itself & admits that Peter's father was Jonah!

Now, some may argue that-that only proves it for that series of verses. It doesn't prove that the rest is counterfeit. Let me ask you, what happens to your confidence when you discover for the first time, that you associate or friend deliberately deceived you??? What happens to your confidence on what that person says??? Your confidence vanishes, for when that person speaks the next time, you don't know whether he/she is telling the truth or not—especially if he purposely lied/deceived, & wasn't just lying to keep from getting into trouble.

It is the same principle here, except that it is a much more severe offense, to tamper with the Bible. But in this case, that scribe was obviously, maliciously-tampering, perhaps to destroy people's confidence in what the Bible actually does say. Now, he probably did it as “a joke,” thinking that no-one actually believe his manuscript(s).

But that scribe didn't realize what Satan would do with those counterfeit manuscripts 1500 years later (about 1900 AD/CE). Satan moved upon those Bible authorities (back when these manuscripts were discovered), to make them think that these 2 manuscripts were a lot closer to the originals than 5,000 other ancient-manuscripts from various parts of the world.

Look, aren't all scriptures (of the Bible) inspired (Literal translation, “God-breathed,” 2 Tim. 3:16,17)? And isn't the Word of God sharper than any 2-edged sword, capable of distinguishing between “soul” & “spirit” & is a discerner of the thoughts & the intents of the heart (Heb 4:12, see vss 1-13)? It is important, at least to Bible-believers, to know what each word in each verse actually says. Because that can sometimes get rid of a lot of confusion.

But look at what great damage has been done by “everyone” thinking that these manuscripts are genuine. There are more discrepancies in these manuscripts than any other ancient manuscripts (5,800 other manuscripts--partial & complete). We have believed these few manuscripts (less than 15 of them) & have negated the vast majority of the 5,800 ancient manuscripts!

But look at what it has done to the faith of liberal Christians, especially pastors & authorities, who have earnestly believed that these 2 manuscripts were genuine & have thus thought that the scribes of the not-so-ancient manuscripts added-onto the scriptures multiple-times, in various places, instead of vice versa. Whereas they were actually omitted by these counterfeit manuscripts.

Yes, there are many small, copying-errors in many of the ancient manuscripts, mostly by scribes that tried to make the text more clear, but ended up only causing confusion. But the copying errors on the rest of the ancient-manuscripts “are nothing” compared to the gross-tampering of the Sinaiticus & Vaticanus (a huge number of omissions, some of them large, as well as numerous modifications.

Advice to Those in-Touch With the Translation-Authorities

Please, readers, some of you are in-touch with the authorities that can get the various, New-Testament translations corrected (re-translated). Don't mention that you got this information from this website. Just “fill them in” on this discovery: that the Vaticanus & Sinaiticus manuscripts are counterfeit, & that many modern translations need to be corrected. And if you don't want “anyone” to know that you have been on this website, then get the information to them through a friend that would be amenable (that isn't so prejudiced), so that they don't know that it is through you.

For right now, they can translate from the Majority Text (or from the Byzantine Majority Textform, which is nearly the same and a lot cheaper). But they also need to generate a “Weighted-

We have seen the disastrous effects on liberals (even on some Bible-believers) for 100 years. Moffatt in the 1930's was one of them. But even today, many liberal “authorities” have put-down the accuracy of the scriptures, claiming that it was the later manuscripts that added on texts that (they thought) weren't there in the original manuscripts. Consequently, the faith of many present-day Bible-scholars has been greatly weakened.

But there is even more evidence, when Jesus sent-out the 70. The Critical Text says “72” twice (Luke 10:1 & 17, see vss 1-20), but the vast majority of manuscripts say “70.” Had he only changed one of the 70's in that text, then it might have been a misprint. But since he consistently changed it twice (once at the beginning & once near the end), then it is very likely that he purposely changed it from 70 to 72 in both places—thus negating God's number of 70, but also weakening the confidence of the authorities & lay-people, on what the Bible really does say. Also, there are other things that were changed by someone, but we haven't even checked-into those.

But the worst part of it is that most modern translations are translated from the Critical Text, the text that is primarily based on these few manuscripts. They believed that the Critical Text was the most accurate, because it is based on the oldest manuscripts, but they didn't realize that the two oldest manuscripts are actually counterfeit!

That means that all of those English translations that were translated from the Critical Text need to be re-translated (just their New Testaments & only where the Critical Text omitted or modified it), to get rid of all of the contradictory words, & especially, to get rid of several “omissions” (e.g. John 7:53 to 8:11 & Mark 16:9-20, plus numerous smaller omissions), a huge number of single-verse modifications & some additions. They copy all of the books of the New Testament but omit the book of Revelation.

When these manuscripts (& their “copies”) are removed, the number of textual variations among the ancient manuscripts will greatly diminish! When this is corrected, then Christians will have far-greater confidence in God & in the accuracy of the scriptures. They need to correct them as soon as it's feasible, especially the “Bible-believing translations.”

Majority Text,” for it will be more accurate than the Majority Text. But the Weighted-Majority Text will only be different from the Majority-Text in a few places. So, it will be easy make the final corrections, after it has already been translated from the Majority-Text.

So if they can get the money together to correct these translations, especially the accurate translations that Bible-believers depend on (ESV, etc.). (Now, the margin of the NKJV already lists most (but not all) of the deviations of the Majority Text). But even the NKJV could completely delete the “Critical Text footnotes” & mark the Majority Text deviations much-more distinctly, so that people will realize that these are “probably” more accurate than the Received Text of the KJV (which only had a few manuscripts to use, compared to 5,800 (partial & complete) manuscripts in the Majority Text).

Then after they have one or two of these modern translations corrected, then they can generate the Weighted Majority & just make the few additional-corrections to what they have already corrected. Hopefully, they will use a reasonable weight for the older manuscripts, so that the newer manuscripts still have some “weight” to them (probably starting at “1.0” for 950-1000 AD/CE & larger weights for earlier manuscripts, & perhaps reaching as high as 10.0 for 450-500—or something like that.

But since the Sinaiticus & Vaticanus (& the few manuscripts that were obviously copied from them) are counterfeit, then they have to be deleted from the list & get no “weight” at all. All other manuscripts that are assumed to be genuine are to be included.

However, it wouldn't hurt to also have a “reliability rating,” that ranks the reliability according to the number of misprints in the manuscript per thousand words, or something like that (no misprints= 1.000). But that might not be necessary, depending on how careful the ancient scribes were in copying. The Sinaiticus & Vaticanus would have gotten a very-low rating, for they are full of misprints, a sign that they didn't really care whether they copied it correctly or not!

(New, June 8, 2021) (new, next several sections, completely revised “from below”)

And Now, the Text that Reveals That Gen. 2:24 Can Also Apply to Plural-Wives

This is “a real shocker” [Eph 5:29-32; see the following translations: KJV, NKJV, ALT1, 2 or 3 (3 versions of Analytical-Literal Translation, translated from the Byzantine Majority Text), KJ3 super-literal translation from the Received Text, & ?].

(Now, some of you will immediately reject our case on Eph 5:29-32, saying, “Well that's different.” Some will claim that Jesus is just married to the church as unit, but we show here (from the scriptures) that Jesus really is spiritually-married to each believer, individually, right now in this present world.

But also, some will object, because it is talking about a spiritual marriage of Jesus to each follower, which is entirely different from human marriages. Yes, the spiritual marriage of Jesus with each of us is far different from human marriages. But it is interesting that the entire text of Gen. 2:24 also applies to these spiritual-marriages. Now if the entire text of Gen. 2:24 also applies to these spiritual-marriages, then couldn't Gen. 2:24 also apply to men having plural wives & women having plural-husbands??? Please “Read-on” & think about it),

What Does it Mean That We Are Not Our Own, Bought With a Price?

When anyone starts believing in Jesus & surrenders his life to Christ, Jesus counts him/her as His own, from the very moment that he/she does this: “You are not your own, for you were bought with a price.” (1 Cor 6:19b-20a, ESV & NKJV, see vss 18-20). We were bought with “a price,” the precious blood of Jesus Christ, but what does that mean? Several things:

1) “Therefore, if anyone [is] in Christ, [he is] a new creation; The old [things] passed away, look! All [things] have become new.” (2 Cor 5:17, ALT2, see vss 14-21).

2) “You know that your* bodies are the members of Christ, do you* not?” (1 Cor 6:15a, ALT2;

you* in the ALT is the plural form of “you”). How are we members (eyes, hands, mouth, feet, etc.) of Christ? Christ lives in us (“the hope of glory,” (Col 1:27b, see vss 19-23). And how does Christ live in us? He lives in a different fashion in each one of us & functions in a different way in each one of us: “For just as the body is one & has many body parts, but all the body parts of the one body, being many, are one body, in the same manner also [is] Christ... If the whole body [were] an eye, where [would be] the hearing? If [the] whole [were] hearing, where [would be] the sense of smell? But now God set the body parts each one of them in the body, just as He willed.” (1 Cor 12:12-18, see vss 4-31), So, Jesus is (imperfectly) living-out His life within each follower who is letting Christ lead (& rule) in his-own life. So, each one of us is (or at least, can be) intimately connected to Jesus, each in a different way. Yes, Jesus may be in each of us, individually, but only part of Jesus is revealed in each one of us. But it is in all of us functioning together as a whole, each in our unique roles, that Jesus is reflected best of all. But that isn't all:

3) “For we are members of His body, of His flesh & of His bones.” (Eph 5:30, NKJV, see vss 25-32). It literally says in the Byzantine Majority Textform, “...members of His body, out of His flesh & out of His bones.” Now, who else was made out of his flesh & out of his bones??? That's right. Eve was made out of one of Adam's ribs (& the surrounding flesh of that rib).” That is why Adam said, after he awoke & found out what God had done, he announced, “This at last is bone of my bones & flesh of my flesh. She shall be called Woman, for she was taken out of Man” (Gen 2:23, ESV, see vss 18-25).

Do you realize the meaning of this third one??? Not only does Christ live in each of us, & not only is Christ (imperfectly) living out His life through each one of us, individually. But also each one of us is spiritually married to Christ³, right now, as fallible as we presently are!

And how does Jesus impart His (spiritual) body, “out of His flesh & out of His bones” to each one of us? I learned this from the Greek-Orthodox, but later discovered that the Bible-believing Lutherans & Bible-believing Episcopalians also believe this. There may be other denominations that believe this way, too, but the great majority of Bible-believers haven't realized it yet.

All of us Bible-believers have rejected “trans-substantiation,” (the idea that the communion bread & wine are transformed into Jesus' real flesh & real blood). But in rejecting this false teaching, most of us “threw out the baby with the bath-water!” Most of us have always thought that communion was just a symbol. Yes, the bread & wine are symbols, but is that all that they are???

As a Bible-believer, I couldn't accept that Orthodox-Christian teaching, just because “tradition” said so. I had to get it from the Bible. Jesus really does impart His spiritual body & His spiritual blood to each of us when we (collectively) take communion (see proof in footnote⁴).

3 Yes, there will also be a “Bride” at the very-end of time (those who will be alive & remain when Jesus comes in the clouds of glory, who will make herself ready (Rev 19:6-8, see vss 1-9), but “she” isn't “married” yet. This “marriage” will be after Jesus comes again & takes us home to heaven. (That Bride will actually be the Great Multitude which no-one could number (who will come out of “the great tribulation” (Rev 7:14, Majority-Text, see vss 9-17), which will be Armageddon (Rev 16:16, see vss 12-16 & Dan 12:1-3). That “marriage” of Jesus to the Great Multitude will be far greater than our present, imperfect marriages to Jesus are. But it will also be far-different from what we know as marriage, For there will be no marrying & giving in marriage in Eternity, not as we presently know marriage (Matt 22:30, see vss 23-33).

4 **Proof That Jesus Does impart (spiritually or mystically) His body & His “blood” Through Communion:** The first thing I checked was “the Greek” (the original language) in the Bible-accounts of the Last Supper in Matt 26:26-28, Mark 14:22-24 & Luke 22:19-20 (John doesn't mention the account) & in 1 Cor 11:23-25. (see contexts on all of them). Both biblical-Greek & biblical-Hebrew normally leave-off the word “is” in

[Now, some of you are already thinking that this isn't important. Oh yes, ***it is!!!*** The Lord *wants* you to know what Jesus is doing for you, each time that you partake of communion. Even if you only thought that it was a symbol, you probably did receive “out of His flesh & out of His bones” each time that you partook of the communion bread.

But in thinking that it is only a symbol, you have been missing a special *Gift* that Jesus also imparts through the communion wine/grape-juice (*only* if you know about it & expect it to happen): an *extra* dose of Jesus' “life” (an extra dose of the Holy Spirit), each time that you partake of the communion wine/grape-juice. That is why most of these churches (that believe that Jesus imparts...) have *traditionally* had communion every week, even though it loses some of its meaningfulness—in order to get that *extra* blessing of Jesus' “life” (through the Holy Spirit) *every* week].

sentences. That is why “is” (& any other supplied words) are often put in italics (for the KJV & NKJV) or brackets (for most other translations), because they aren't actually there in the text.

Jesus could have said, “This, My body...,” & the disciples would have understood that He meant, “this is my body...,” because the verb was missing. If the verb is left out, then they know that it means “...is...” (or “are”). But if the word “*is*” (the Greek word “*estin*”) is actually in the text, then that means that the “is” is *emphasized*: “This *is* My body...”

Well, guess what? All 4 accounts include the “*is*” in partaking of the bread & say, “This *is* My body...” In other words, Jesus was telling them that the (unleavened) bread that they were eating (at that moment) was *more* than just (unleavened) bread. In eating that bread, they were *also* partaking of His-own spiritual or mystical body (out of His flesh & out of His bones).

Well, how about the 4 accounts of the wine/grape-juice? Three of the accounts also make “is” emphatic: “This *is* the New Covenant in My blood.” all do that except for Luke., where the word “is” had to be supplied by the translators (in italics or brackets on the careful translations, ESV, NKJV, etc.).

Apparently in Luke's careful research of the account, he didn't find that Jesus truly emphasized “is” on the wine. But Paul made Jesus' statement emphatic, whose account was revealed by special revelation of the Holy Spirit (1 Cor 11:23a), which would surely be more accurate than what people remembered 30-40 years later. But we don't need to argue which is correct, for there is still more proof.

It is interesting that Paul emphasized the word “I” in verse 23: “For *I* received from the Lord...” (lit. “For I, I-received...” That means that Paul received a special revelation from Jesus about this (through the Holy Spirit). That is why he could speak with such great authority.

Not only did he emphasize “*is*” on both the communion bread & the communion wine, but also he warns that whoever eats this bread or drinks this cup unworthily [or in a careless manner], he will be guilty of the body of the Lord & of the blood of the Lord.” (vs 27, ALT2)

If the communion bread & communion wine were just a symbol, then they wouldn't be nearly as guilty. It is in Jesus imparting Himself (spiritually or mystically) that makes them so guilty that “many among you [are] sick [or, weak] & infirm [or, ill] & many are fallen asleep [fig. Have died],” from “not discerning [or, correctly judging] the body of the Lord.” (ALT2, vss 30 & 29, respectively).

All of these quotes (in vss 27-31) indicate that Jesus truly does impart His (spiritual or mysticall) body, etc. through communion. “Blood” represents the life of an animal or of a person (Gen 9:4, see vss 1-7). So in partaking of the communion wine, Jesus imparts “a dose of” “His life,” by imparting to us an extra dose of the Holy-Spirit. But I think that you only receive that extra dose if you know about it. You don't always feel it, for we live by faith, not by sight. But He always imparts that dose of “His life” (in the communion wine/grape-juice), as long as you know about it & are expecting Him to do that.

Now, I haven't noticed people becoming sickly & weak & even dying, from not discerning the Lord's body, but this truly happened back then, from not discerning the Lord's body. Why? I think that it was because they had the Holy-Spirit much stronger than even Pentecostals have today:

They especially had the Spirit of Truth that *should have* guided them into all truth (1 John 2:27, also vss 20-21a, see vss 18-29 compare the gospel of John (14:26 & 16:12-13) & contexts. This “Spirit of Truth” hasn't been given back yet. That is why. When the Spirit of Truth is given back (John 16:12-15), then *all* of the (genuine) Bible-believing churches will gradually come to *believe the very-same things*. Think about *that!!!*

Before closing, we need to comment that some people try to take communion at home by themselves, but you won't find an incident like that in the Bible. It was always “communal” in the Bible accounts, with at least 2 or 3 present, so that Jesus was *already there* in their midst (Matt 18:20, see vss 15-20). If you

The Orthodox-Christians call it His mystical body & His mystical blood, somewhere in-between spiritual & physical. They may be correct, because we don't know how Jesus (through the Holy-Spirit) does it. The Bible just reveals that He does impart it (see previous footnote). This reveals that Jesus truly is married to each & every one of His (imperfect) followers, who have surrendered his/her life to Christ & is following Him.

But Does That Prove That Gen. 2:24 Truly Applies to a Man Having Plural Wives?

Jesus is spiritually married to each of us followers (in a different way than “human marriages”). That is why Jesus spiritually-imparts part of Himself to each of us, out of His [spiritual] flesh & out of His [spiritual] bones, each time that we partake of communion, much like He did in making Eve out of one of Adam's ribs & out of the surrounding flesh.

It reveals that our “marriage” to Christ is much more close & intimate than we have ever dreamed. But married people think of their marriage to their spouse as being more intimate, because their spouse is a tangible person, & they can feel the intimate relationship with their spouse,

But Jesus wants us to realize that we are more intimately-married to Him, in certain ways (but not others), than we are to our spouse. Is your wife, “bone of my bones & flesh of my flesh???” Of course not, but Jesus can honestly say, “bone of my bones & flesh of my flesh” to each of us followers. That is how precious each one of us is to Jesus.

Oh, how I wish that we all felt that Jesus is that precious to us! No, we don't always feel that intimate relationship with Jesus, for we live by faith, not by sight. But we do feel it at times.

But that doesn't mean that we don't also need a physical spouse. That marriage to Jesus is completely different from marriage to a spouse. Both “marriage” to Jesus & real marriage to a spouse, or spouses, are needed to have optimum stability, for both types of “marriages” are entirely different from each other.

That is why the Lord is giving this message in our day, because our physical marriages are also important. There are many, even some who are old, who have had to live alone & have never been married. But now, “everyone” can have at least one spouse, with the great majority of us having plural spouses.

But does this text, (on Jesus being married to each of us followers), prove that Gen. 2:24 also applies to “human marriages” of men having plural wives? Let's examine it:

(The ALT2 translates it better, but we will quote the NKJV, because it is sufficient). Keep in mind that they were only allowed to have one wife in Paul's day, because of the Romans. So, he only spoke in reference to only one wife for “human marriages,” but at the same time, was also figuratively speaking of Christ being “married” to us, both individually & collectively.

(Eph 5:28b-32, NKJV, see context vss 25-33) “...he who loves his wife loves himself. For no one ever hated his own flesh, but nourishes & cherishes it, just as the Lord [does] the church. For we are members of His body, of His flesh & of His bones. 'For this reason a man shall leave his father & mother & be joined to his wife, & the two shall become one flesh.' This is a great mystery, but I speak concerning Christ & the church.”

Technically, this is speaking of the great mystery of Christ being married to the church, to the “assembly” (ALT2), i.e. to each of us, individually & collectively. But of course, he was also (indirectly) referring to the marriage life of husbands to their own wives.

If Jesus can be “married” to each of us, both individually & collectively, & yet refer to Gen. 2:23 & even quote Gen. 2:24, then cannot Gen. 2:24 also apply to “plural human marriages???” Yes, Jesus' marriage to us is much different, but if Gen 2:24 applies to Jesus having plural “wives,” then

want to take communion, then find a friend nearby (or on the phone) & have communion with him/her. Then Jesus can give you that blessing!

how can you say that it wouldn't also apply to ordinary men having plural-wives???

Note that we aren't saying that this text teaches “Plural Wives,” for it *doesn't*, for it was against the law back then, to have more than one wife. It just reveals that Gen. 2:24 can also apply to an ordinary man having plural wives. Here is how Gen. 2:24 can apply:

He leaves his father & mother to be joined to his first wife (after marriage). Then later, he marries & becomes joined to his 2nd wife, & then still later, to his 3rd wife, etc. In fact, Gen. 2:24 doesn't even limit it to sequential marriages. We can foresee that some people will marry two, or even 3 (after sufficient courting of all of them, etc.) at the very-same wedding. Gen. 2:24 would still apply to a “plural-wedding,” for the husband can only “become one flesh” to one wife at a time.

This is probably how Moses understood it, for surely, “Plural Wives” was already going on among the Hebrews, while he was writing Genesis, while they (as a group) were still in “bondage” to the Egyptians.

That is why Moses (through God) spoke of plural marriages as if they were already going on (Ex/21:9-11, see vss 7-11). Moses (through the Lord) certainly wasn't teaching “only one spouse.” For he (through the Lord) never spoke of any-such “new liberty,” to have Plural-wives from then, onward. Because it wasn't anything new.

No, the Bible doesn't specifically reveal any of the Hebrews who had plural-wives, because God was hiding this teaching of Plural-Spouses till our day. Perhaps that is why the Lord worked it out for Abraham & Isaac & Moses & Aaron to only have one wife, so that Satan could work his deception of “only one wife” into Christianity, perhaps—in fact, probably.

(Don't misunderstand. Many people have lost their souls because of this “only one wife” deception, But God allowed Satan to develop these deceptions, because the Devil would get eventually get caught in his own trap at the end of time. It was essential for Satan to get “caught,” so that sin & rebellion would never rise-up ever again (Nah 1:9, see context).

But the tradition of plural-wives was “started” with Jacob (actually before then), their ancestral father, from whom the 12 tribes came from. Jacob ended up with 2 wives & 2 concubines, each of whom had sons that eventually became tribes. (Don't forget that the Lord was so proud of Jacob (who had 4 “wives”) that He changed Jacob's name to Israel, because he became an over-comer (Gen 32:28, see vss 22-32)).

So, what else would you expect among the tribal leaders (who could afford it), in the succeeding “400 years?” Earlier, there had been a great shortage of men, because an earlier Pharaoh killed “all” of the baby boys, leaving many women to eventually need a “plural” husband (Ex 1:22, see 1:15 to 2:10), if they were going to have any husband at all. So, are you sure that all of Hebrews had only one wife???

They were in bondage as a group, & thus the tribal-leaders could readily have more than one wife. (Aaron only had one wife, but that doesn't mean that other leaders didn't have plural-wives). For the Egyptians didn't want anything to do with the Hebrews. They were mistreated as a group. That is why the Hebrew foremen were beaten, because of the Egyptians refusing to give them straw (Ex. 5:14, see vss 6-21). Because all of the Hebrews were treated as a group. Even their foremen were Hebrews!

So in summary, we have shown you that Gen. 2:24 (in Eph. 5:28b-32) not only applies to Jesus being “married” to each of us, but also, can apply to any husband that marries plural-wives. We have shown you how Moses probably understood Gen. 2:24 when he wrote the book of Genesis. And, we have shown you that the tradition of men having plural-wives was “started” with Jacob's 4 “wives.” And we showed you that “Plural-Wives” was surely already going on, while they were still in Egyptian bondage. And thus, Plural-Wives was not anything new, when the Old Covenant

was later established (Ex 19:2-8, see 21:7-11).

(New, May 26, 2021) clarified, etc.

But We Still Aren't Done in Negating Our Example of "Only One Spouse"

First:

Let's look at it from God's point of view. The Lord not only foresees, but also is wise. How could God face His people if "only one spouse" really were true, *if* He never make it clear in the scriptures by saying "You shall not???" In the judgment day, how could God face His faithful-followers who honestly believed (& actually practiced) "more than one spouse???"

They would answer back, "Why didn't You make it clear by saying "You shall not...," or at least, by clearly declare that it is wrong???" How could He answer them??? Would that be too hard to do??? No, for the Lord could have inspired one of His prophets (or Moses) to write these things (1 Pet 1:10-11). So, this would put God in a perplexing situation if He forbade it, & yet didn't make it clear. For He would be accused & frowned upon for not making it clear.

But the Lord would never leave this undone, for He isn't going to let anyone have the opportunity legitimately reproach Him (somewhere in the Bible). No, when it is something that the Lord doesn't want you doing, then He always "makes-sure" by clearly stating it, so that there is no confusion (i.e. no confusion for those who are honest in heart).

Secondly:

"Everyone" has thought that God told the people in the days of Adam & Eve, to only have one wife, because even Cain's descendants only had one wife (until Lamech, 5 generations later). But as you will see below, it was actually Adam & Eve (at the subliminal-persuasion of Satan)—not God, that told them to only have one wife.

If it were true that the Lord commanded them to only have one wife, then the Lord would have revealed it in the Bible. But nothing like that was said, but it is obvious that someone (we claim, Adam & Eve, through the subliminal persuasion of Satan, not God) that told them to only have one wife.

In a similar way, many have thought that God commanded them to have only one wife at the beginning, but later allowed the Israelites to have more than one wife, because of the hardness of their hearts. But the trouble is that there was no such command listed in the beginning, & neither was there any statement that said to the Israelites, "You are now permitted to have more than one wife, etc." Nothing similar is written.

In fact if you read the story of the Old Covenant in Exodus, you will find that it speaks as if "more than one wife" was already in existence. It just mixes-in the instructions on what is right & what is wrong on having more than one wife, as if it were just part of the rest of the instructions, so that they would know whom that they couldn't marry, etc.

Thirdly:

Before ending on this false-commandment ("only one spouse"), we need to nullify one method that people that are in favor of "only one spouse" often use: They like to declare any incidents (regarding that subject) as **wrong!**, when the Bible didn't say so.

For instance, Abraham's father had at least two wives, for Abraham & Sarah both had the same father, but different mothers (Gen 20:12, see vss 1-13). It is OK to say that something is wrong if the Bible clearly says that it was wrong (e.g. Nadab & Abihu entering the Most-Holy Place, where only the high priest could only go once a year; compare Lev. 10:1-3 with 16:1-2), But neither side (of the issue) has a right to boldly declare an incident to be right or wrong, when the Bible doesn't say which way it was.

You see, those of us who believe that plural-spouses are OK, take favor in Abraham's father having more than one wife. For Abraham had a very high regard for his father & for his family back in Haran, & so did Isaac, his son.

Abraham thought so highly of his family in Haran, that he sent his chief servant to find a wife for his son from his family back in Haran (Gen 24:4, see 1-9). Likewise, Isaac sent Jacob to find his wife from the brother of Rebekah, (his wife), Laban, who remained in Haran (28:2, see 1-5). That is how well they thought of their family back in Haran.

Now, what we said (about Abraham's father having 2 wives) sounds convincing, but it isn't proof, for it doesn't clearly say-so. So, neither we, nor our opposers, have a right to say whether Abraham's father was right or wrong in having 2 wives. For the Bible doesn't say either way. So, the incident isn't proof either way, nor any other incident that doesn't comment whether the incident was right or wrong.

Fourthly:

Since it was OK for Old Testament men “to be joined to” more than one wife, & since Bible never said “no” anywhere at all in the Bible, & since God only nailed to the Cross, restrictions that were against us (never freedoms, Col 2:14, see vss 13-17), then we can conclude that the Lord never did away with men having plural-wives (#10). And as we proved above, it is also OK for women to have plural-husbands (#3).

Fifthly:

Now, isn't “Plural-Spouses” a major issue? Yes, it makes a big difference on whether or not it is OK to have more than one spouse. That is why the people in favor of “only one spouse” are so opposed to it, because it truly is a major issue. And it is a major issue to the Lord as well.

But this thought perplexes them, for it seems so wrong to them, plus it seems that society would be messed-up if such were the case. So this perplexes them on why God didn't say, “You shall not...” (in the Bible).

Apparently, the Lord sees things from a different point of view. No, everyone doesn't marry everyone, & they aren't to just “jump-in & get married.” For the Lord wants zero divorces & thus wants each of us to take plenty of time to court (3 ½ years of courting recommended). This would have been extremely difficult if “no sex whatsoever” were the standard. But that is also another one of the 8 (10) false commandments.

Also, a person can only have so many spouses (following the principle of Deut 17:17, see vss 14-20), & they are to take plenty of time in courting each fiancée' & to be very careful to only marry those that fit so well, that they can stay married to each other—all the way... For they are to stay married “...till death do you part” (except for cases of adultery (Matt 19:9, see vss 3-9), which should seldom happen from now, on).

And, they are not to abandon even one of their spouses, for God regards this the same as divorce.

Sixth:

Women shudder at the thought of living in a harem, but the Lord doesn't recommend harems either (explained shortly). Well, the Lord couldn't reveal about women being allowed to have more than one husband, because He was hiding this revelation for our day (as you will see, so that Satan would get caught in his own trap at the end of time, for as you will see. these false commandments have been Satan's biggest trap).

But the discovery of women being allowed to have more than one husband, is the only way that “Plural Spouses” can work successfully in an equal-equal society, for “the rich & the great,” etc. would have many, but many “lesser people” would not have a spouse at all.

But with women having “plural husbands,” then “everyone” can have, at least, one spouse, no

matter whether there is a poor ratio of men to women, either high or low. But also, the vast majority could then have more than one spouse as long as the ratio of men to women isn't too high nor too low.

Seventh:

We can guess that some of you can't see it, even though we proved it several ways. Some are “objecting,” “Why do you need more than one spouse,” thinking that the only reason is lust or covetousness. Far from it!

We ask, which is worse in the Lord's eyes, adding-on a spouse, or leaving your present spouse for someone else? You will not find a single place in the Bible where the Lord spoke against Plural-wives (nor women having plural-husbands).

(New, June 17, 2021) next 2 paragraphs clarified

In contrast, the Bible often speaks against divorcing, & in one place the Bible even says that God **hates** divorce (Mal 2:16, see vss 13-16). “Don't kid yourself, just because it is in the Old Testament, for God's moral values have never changed, nor will they ever change (Mal 3:6 & Matt 5:18-19, see vss 17-20). Divorcing was wrong in the Old Testament, & it is still wrong today—except for the case where their spouse committed adultery (fornication with someone else, Matt 5:18-19).

Yes, there were parts of the Old-Covenant law that were nailed to the cross, but they were the parts that were added & were not moral values (Gal 3:19. see vss 15-29; Col 2:14-17. see vss 11-23). The parts that were nailed to the cross were the parts that were against us that had no real benefit (vs 14 of Col 2:14-17). But in contrast, the moral law is for our own good, for our own benefit, even in this world; for sin has big consequences, even in this world.

But the Lord did reveal in a prophecy (Isa 4:1, see 3:18-4:6, a “last-day” prophecy, see vss 1-6), a clue that women are to live separately (one of the other, occasionally visiting & staying with each spouse, of course). And also, they are to support their own selves (except of course, if they are incapable of supporting themselves, & except in the case of having & raising children).

NOT FINISHED In This Portion.

Eighth:

(New, June 17, 2021) **“Oops!” We Forgot About a Super-Important Text on This Example: Those Who Are Not Allowed to Add-On a Spouse (at Least, Not at the Present Time):**

(New, June 19, 2021) (We still didn't “cover all bases.” Next 2 pages added in front of the “Oops! discussion”)

There are 3 situations: 1) when the two are still living together & are still functioning as husband & wife, & maybe are even happily married; 2) the case where the two are separated or divorced; and 3) the case where one of them outright left & lived with someone-else (committed bold adultery), even if that was more than 40 years ago, as long as that former spouse is still alive.

First, let's look at the case where both are living together.

They may be happily married or unhappily married, but the same principle applies to both. Sometimes, one spouse starts believing in Plural-spouses, but the other spouse rejects & thinks that it is wrong—or in certain instances, the spouse that they have is so desirable that they don't want to “share” him/her with anyone else.

First, let's look at the case where he/she doesn't want to let her/his spouse add-on an additional spouse. Does he/she realize how many times that desirable spouse was tempted to leave him/her for someone-else, but resisted that temptation? And now, his/her desirable spouse has the opportunity

to add-on an additional spouse, & he/she doesn't want to allow her/him???

He/she probably feels that he/she will lose-out on “this deal,” with their desirable spouse adding-on an additional spouse. But does he/she realize that his/her desirable spouse will love him/her all the more, & will probably help him/her find someone who will be a great fit for him/her??? In the end, he/she will also find that he/she has also gained on “the deal”—not lost, because his/her spouse will love him/her all the more & also because that additional spouse that he/she gained will be a great benefit, as well.

Next, let's look at when his/her spouse still believes in “only one spouse:”

Yes, that case can & will happen, especially if his/her spouse is “Laodicean” (Rev 3:16, see vss 14-22). When Jesus has spued-out her/him, then there is no way that she/he will ever believe in Plural-Spouses, etc., because Jesus isn't dwelling in her/him anymore. We sympathize with any of you who have a spouse like that. Nevertheless, there are a few things in the new teachings that can help make it not quite so tough for you to endure.

The only hope is if she/he finally realizes her/his terrible, Laodicean condition (i.e. is lukewarm & feels like she/he has it all fit together & doesn't need anything). If she/he realizes her/his condition & repents from her/his pride & lukewarmness (& if she/he also repents from the secret sins that she/he has been practicing), then Jesus will return & will accept her/him back into the faith. If Jesus is restored in her/him, then she/he might start believing in Plural-Spouses.

But there are many of you whose spouse is not Laodicean,

& yet, still clings-on to the traditional-teachings. Why? Jesus is there with them, but the Devil counterfeits the Holy-Spirit & reasons with them & persuades them, that all of this is sin! When Satan counterfeits the Holy-Spirit, then Jesus remains silent & waits till the spouse's eyes are opened to some contradictions in that traditional belief. Then Jesus prompts them, “Could it be that what my spouse is saying about Plural-Spouses, really is true?”

So wait patiently, those of you who are perplexed with your spouse hanging-on to the traditional teachings. Things can change. You have no right to take action, when your spouse is “on the other side of the fence.” Why? When the two got married, what did the two vow to each other? “To love, cherish,...for better or worse...for richer or poorer...till death do you part.” And which were the two picturing, the two together & no-one else? Or were they picturing Plural-Spouses? Up till now, none of us Christians were picturing Plural-Spouses.

The Lord requires us to keep our promises, to keep our word (Matt 5:37, see vss 33-37), even keep our word to our own hurt (Ps 15:4b, see vss 1-5). And that becomes extremely important with marriage-vows, for taking action against your spouse's will, will surely lead to divorce—which God hates (Mal 2:16, see vss 13-16). And then if you added-on anyone, then you would boldly be committing adultery (Matt 19:9, see vss 3-9).

But the Lord requires you to keep your word, even if your spouse would give-in & let you have plural-spouses. Because “your spouse & no-one else,” is what you vowed to her/him at the wedding, even if you didn't actually say that—because that is what you both meant when you said your marriage vows.

Reply to your spouse that gives-in, “No, I'm not going to take any actions until you decide that it is truly OK. Because I don't want you hurt in the slightest. Also, I want you to add-on someone, so that you won't be lonely, when I am with someone else. It's OK, I can wait until you believe that it truly is OK.”

Wait patiently for your spouse to “come around.” You need to realize where this movement (Plural-Spouses, etc.) is headed. When we start winning multitudes of people that were anti-God, & when people see these former “rebels” becoming “a new creation” (2 Cor 5:17), having put away their old way of life & loyally following Jesus, then all of the Churches will (eventually) “jump on

the band-wagon”—even the Catholics & Orthodox Christians.

So if you will just wait patiently, then you will probably begin to see a change of attitude in your spouse (who still believes in “only one spouse”). But there is something that you can do right now, that might help her/him change her/his attitude. Watch when your spouse meets someone whose eyes are attracted to your spouse. Then glance over at your spouse's eyes, & you will surely see the same response in your spouse's eyes.

You can make a small comment, “Now don't tell me that you aren't interested in anyone else!” She/he will probably admit, “Yes, but even so, I still believe that it's wrong to add on him/her as an additional spouse.”

Now, you know how easy it is for husbands & wives to be at-odds with each other on certain things. So, be careful & don't nag, for you will only make it worse. A better idea is to go to the person that your spouse's eyes were attracted to. Share with him/her about this website & that you are wondering if it really might be true. Then ask him/her to check into it & let you know what he/she thinks.

Then after prayerfully-reading it, if he/she (the one that your spouse's eyes were attracted to) responds favorably (that thinks that Plural-Spouses is valid), then let him/her know what you are thinking (that he/she might want to consider adding-on your spouse as an additional spouse to him/her. But explain to him/her that your spouse doesn't yet-believe in Plural-Spouses right now. Also, ask him/her to be praying for your spouse, praying for the Lord to help open your spouse's eyes & heart to Plural-Spouses.

If he/she thinks that it might be a good idea to marry your spouse, that is, if your spouse starts believing in Plural-Spouses, then report to your spouse, “The one that you were “eyeing” a week or two ago, thinks that Plural-Spouses is true & even thinks that you might be a good choice for a spouse. Ask him/her, & you will see.”

Now, it might—or might not—be wise to say anything further at that time. In fact, depending on your spouse's personality, it might not even be wise to add that last sentence. Use your intuitive sense to know whether to say anything more or not. You can probably guess how your spouse will react if you say something more.

Yes, you might want to say, “Are you sure that you don't want to check-out that website again?” But depending on your spouse's personality, that might be the worst thing to say. You can probably guess how your spouse would react. With some people, it would be best to not say one more word. Just let your spouse ponder over that much. Then if your spouse wants to, she/he can ask, “What was the name of that website again?”

But there is still another thing that you can do to help your spouse “come-around.” Keep watching for others that are “eyeing” your spouse, & then glance-over to your spouse's eyes. Surely your spouse will be responding again. Go to that one also & explain what you are thinking. And if that person also believes in Plural-Spouses & is interested in your spouse, then tell your spouse also.

Sometimes, “Third time is charm.” I would guess that if three of those that he “eyed” now believe in Plural-Spouses & are interested in your spouse, that your spouse will surely, prayerfully “check out” VirginSaverD.org (or some other website, if there is something more convincing). If she/he prayerfully, carefully checks it out again, that your spouse will now believe in Plural-Spouses & will not only take steps toward courting an additional spouse, but will also give you the same freedom as well.

But even if that doesn't work, keep waiting patiently. The Lord will sustain you. It is far better than disobeying God & getting cut-off from Heaven & cut-off from His enabling power.

How About Those Who Are Already Divorced?

It is amazing how Jesus, who lived in an age when no one was allowed to have more than one spouse (strict Roman law, & yet, everyone was free to go to temple prostitutes!). But what Jesus said in Matt. 19:3-9 also applies to Plural Spouses! Jesus in verses 3-9 is talking against divorce, & yes, even many “Bible-believers” have had a hard time accepting this particular teaching:

There is only one legitimate grounds for divorce: & that is, if your spouse commits adultery against you (commits fornication, which most modern translations translate as “sexual immorality;” but we won't argue that case here, for that false commandment is discussed in false-commandment #2).

If the divorced person doesn't have legitimate grounds for divorce, then he/she commits adultery if he/she marries someone else— even if it was the other spouse that divorced him/her, even if he/she was against divorcing & didn't want to be divorced. Because in God's eyes, the two are still married (if they don't have biblical grounds for divorce), even though they are legally divorced as far as the government is concerned).

But Jesus takes it even one step further: Anyone who marries that divorced spouse, who didn't have grounds for divorce, also commits adultery, as well as the one they marry (vs 9b)! Why? Because the Lord wants them to get back together. And if someone marries either of them, it only separates them even further. Under the new system of things (Plural Spouses), if they get back together with the spouse that they were separated from, & if they get things straightened out between the two of them, then they are free to add-on a spouse.

(New, June 24, 2021) clarified further

Yes reader, only those who have been divorced know how tough it has been (under the traditional teachings on morality). But when some of the other false-commandments are also exposed, then it will make it easier to handle the situation of not being allowed to marry. But what Jesus said in Matt. 19:3-8 still applies to plural-marriages.

That is why it is so important to take your time & carefully get acquainted with each fiancée' before getting married (3 ½ years recommended, which will no-longer be hard to do, after false-commandment “#2” is proven false). For it would be terrible to pick someone who suddenly changes his/her mind, leaves you & goes back to the traditional-teachings. But also, it would be terrible as well, to pick someone who will eventually abandon/divorce you & remain in “limbo,” not adding-on anyone else.

In Plural-Spouses, you are not free to abandon (which is “divorce” in God's eyes) even one of your spouses. If you abandon (or are abandoned by) even one of your spouses, then according to Matt. 19:9, then you aren't free to marry anyone else, for you would be committing adultery if you do, in God's eyes.

Your job is to try to restore that “abandoned” relationship. It is the same principle that Paul stated to women who depart from their husband: “But even if she does depart, let her remain unmarried or be reconciled to her husband.” (1 Cor 7:11, NKJV).

Those were the only 2 choices for a woman who departs from her husband: either remain unmarried, or be restored to her husband (if her husband has not committed adultery with someone else, which is sometimes the case in “wife-beatings,” etc. Sometimes they beat them because they don't want them anymore & are trying to get rid of them—sometimes even “cheating” in the process).

Now, it might be that such a wife-beater would straighten up if he learned that he could have more than one wife, but he needs to be “tested out,” to make sure that he really has changed & is now truly following the Lord. For that would be a terrible thing, if he would add-on another wife, & yet still remain a “wife-beater,” deep down in his heart. The only way that it would work is if he fully

repents from such & falls in-love with Jesus—not just for a month or two, but stays in-love with Jesus & keeps following Him.

Why does the Lord make such a strict requirement? Because the Lord hates divorce & wants *zero* abandon-ments, zero divorces—doubly especially in Plural-Spouses, where you are able to put-up with your spouse's idiosyncrasies much better, because you are no longer living with that spouse all the time.

If you succeed in restoring that abandoned marriage (in Plural Spouses) & truly get that marriage restored, then you are again free to add-on other spouses. But when some of the other false commandments are also exposed, then it will be easier to live separate during the interim, that even allow you-two to live together, yet unmarried, while you are getting that marriage restored. But you need to take your time in adding-on more spouses. You will have much better success if you grow slowly.

Some of you who are now reading this, were divorced long ago, even if it was more than 40 years ago:

before you ever knew about Plural-Spouses. Those of you whose spouse divorced you & married someone-else, or left you & just lived with someone-else without marrying, then you are free, for your spouse committed adultery against you—that is, as long as you were trying to save the marriage, i.e. as long as you were not trying to get your spouse to marry someone else.

Yes, you are free, in God's eyes, to forget about that spouse that left you for someone-else—if that is what you want to do. But you are also free to try to restore that broken marriage, if you want to, especially if you feel that your spouse would never have forsaken you, had she/he known about plural-spouses. The Lord leaves it up to you to decide. But be careful that the person now, truly-loves Jesus & is truly sincere, etc.

But those of you who left your spouse for someone-else, are not-yet free to marry anyone else—not in God's eyes. Yes, your spouse may have married someone-else after you left him/her, but you are still not free in God's eyes, as long as he/she is still alive. That spouse that you left was free to add-on another spouse, had you not left him/her—it has been that way for at least 40 years (legal for at least 40 years) in the USA—but of course, none of us knew about that back then!

And so, your first job (those who left their spouse for someone-else, or left their spouse, secretly hoping to find someone-else), your first job is to be restored to your spouse that you left. Go to him/her, & apologize about leaving him/her, about all of the heartache & sorrow that you caused him/her. (Give him/her some time to accept your apologies). Then explain to him/her that you would like to be restored to him/her.

Surely, she/he might explain that that isn't possible now; for it's too late, “for I am now married to..., & I am not going to get rid of my.... in order to get you back.” Then reply, “Yes, I know, that is what we have always believed, but had I known what I now know, I never would have left you in the first place.”

He/she might reply, “What do you mean????” Then answer something like, “You are going to think that this cannot possibly be true, but I have been reading a website that proves that God never made an “only one spouse” commandment... It looks like, as near as I can see (& others are believing the same way), that God has always allowed both men & women, to have more than one spouse. And the amazing thing is that it is even legal (a loop-hole) in the USA [or wherever]...” etc. Don't argue with him/her; just give him/her the website VirginSaverD.org & say, “Check it out for yourself & let me know what you think.”

If after he/she checks it out & still isn't convinced—or, doesn't want you back at all, even if the website were true, then you are then free to marry someone-else. Though, people do have a way of

reconsidering, or changing their minds. So after a few months, it is a good idea to get back in-touch with him/her. Tell him/her that you are working toward a marriage with someone-else, but would still like to have him/her back.... Say, "I will be supporting myself & will not be any financial burden on you." Since you have given it "the good ol' college-try," then you are free to marry anyone else (who loves the Lord, not an unbeliever).

Now, we stated this proof here, as sort of an example for you to see how each of these 8 (10) so-called commandments have been proven to be counterfeit (i.e. weren't commanded by God, for the Lord would have stated "You shall not..." (or made it clear) in the Bible on each (&/or every one) of "the 8 (10)" if even one of them were actually true).

All of the other "8 (10)" Are Proven Counterfeit the Same way:

Several of these 8 (10) aren't even mentioned in the Bible, & yet everyone always thought that 9 (or 10) of them were wrong. So, it is obvious that God didn't forbid those so-called commandments, that weren't even mentioned in the Bible, let-alone commanded. Otherwise, the Lord would have said so.

But a few of them are mentioned, or have a text that looks-like it is valid. But in every case, we show that those "on the other side of the fence" can explain, legitimately explain, how that very incident (or that very-text) also fits-into their point of view.

That is why the Lord never uses such a text or incident as a commandment, because it doesn't make clear "which side of the fence" that God "stands on. If it truly is wrong, then He always makes it clear by saying "You shall not...", or at least, clearly states that such a thing is wrong. Why? Because if He didn't clearly state it, then the Lord would have people "answering-back," "Why didn't you tell me that it was wrong??? If you would have said, 'you shall not...', then I never would have..."

Now, I am not sure where it is in the Bible, but at the end of time, not even one person will be able to reproach God about anything. The Lord has planned it so well that no-one will even try to reproach Him.

What Are These 8 (10) "Commandments" That Are Counterfeit?

Before answering this, we need to make it clear that we aren't talking about the valid commandments on sex & marriage that are truly valid. So the first thing we need to do is to state the commandments related to sex & marriage that are truly valid. Then we can state the 8 (10) that "everyone" thinks are true, but (as you will see) are actually counterfeit.

But First, Here are the *valid* commandments on sex & marriage:

(New, May 22, 2021) (clarified)

1) Committing adultery (e.g. James 2:11, see vss 8-11, also Matt 19:9, see vss 3-9), though we do correct the current meaning of what adultery is, so that it agrees with the scriptures. Prior to the 1970's, Merriam Webster's definition of adultery was, "Voluntary sexual intercourse of a husband with someone other than his wife, or voluntary sexual-intercourse of a wife with someone other than her husband" (i.e. becoming one-flesh, sexually with someone else). But in the 1970's, Merriam Webster finally had enough evidence that the meaning of adultery (in society in the USA) had changed from sexual intercourse to sexual immorality (any kind of sex). And that is what adultery now means in the modern world. But we show (below) that-that isn't what adultery originally meant in the Bible.

We are correcting the meaning of adultery, back to Merriam Webster's original definition of adultery, back to what it meant in the English language for 700 years (prior to 1900)--and most of all, back to what God reveals that it means (through the Bible, Prov.

6:29a, see vss 20-35, compare heading of Psalm 51). Since the lesser forms of sex aren't even mentioned in the Bible, then there is no justification from the Bible on including the lesser-forms of sex (explained better further below).

- 2) "...You shall not covet your neighbor's wife..." i.e. to covet to take your neighbor's wife from him (Ex 20:17, see vss 1-17; see also Rom 7:7, see vss 7-12), That is what all of the "covet's" mean: covet to take something away from someone. But Jesus magnified this law & made it honorable (Matt 5:27-28, see vss 27-30), making it clear that it is wrong to look at a woman, either coveting to have her as his own, e.g. "I want her, instead my wife." But it can also be lusting/thinking/wishing to commit sexual-adultery with her (e.g. lusting to have a secret-affair with her). The NKJV did an excellent job in translating this verse (Matt 5:28) that brings-out both aspects of this sin: "But I say to you, that whoever looks at a woman to lust for her has already committed adultery with her in his heart." "Lust for her" especially refers to coveting to have her (instead of whom he has, for Roman law strictly enforced "only one wife"). But the portion, "has already committed adultery with her in his heart" especially refers to lusting to have an affair with her (i.e. secretly). "Lust" & "covet" are much more closely related than what we lay-people have realized. There are many different words for lust & covet in the Hebrew, but in the Greek (i.e. in the New Testament), the very same Greek word ("eh-pih-thu-meh-oh") is translated "lust" or "covet," depending on the context, That is how closely related "lust" & "covet" are. Their meaning is effectively the same, but to a different degree, depending on the situation.
- 3) Fornication is also a valid commandment (Acts 15:29b, see vss 23-30) & is a general term, which not only includes adultery, but also includes premarital & non-marital sexual-intercourse (illegitimately becoming one-flesh, sexually, with each other). Most of the modern translations now say "sexual-immorality" instead of fornication. But as you will see below, the translators have no justification from the Bible itself (from history, yes, but not from the Bible) to include the lesser forms of sex (any kind of sex, other than becoming one-flesh, sexually, with each other). (You will have to "read-on" to see why).
- 4) There is a similar, valid commandment for married people, to not commit adultery (Rom 13:9, see vss 8-10, 1 Cor 6:9, see vss 9-11). Yes, we also contend with what the definition of adultery is, just as we contend with the idea that the lesser-forms of sex are included, because the Bible doesn't reveal such a thing (see below).
- 5) It is also wrong to divorce your spouse & marry another (Matt 19:9, see vss 3-12), except when the spouse has committed adultery (committed fornication with someone else). Then the person has the right to divorce his/her spouse, if he/she chooses to.
- 6) There is still one more that "everyone" thinks is connected to marriage: It is wrong to be naked in public (Rev 16:15, see whole chapt.), like Adam & Eve suddenly found themselves (Gen 3:7 & 11, see vss 1-11). God placed Adam & Eve in the Garden of Eden (Gen 2:8, see vss 4-9), which was huge. But this situation is far different from being naked in a bedroom with someone, where no one else can see. For the Lord came & visited Adam & Eve every evening, & also, surely angels came at times to counsel them. The Lord surely sent them at times, to warn them of the wiles of the Devil, who would tempt them to eat the forbidden fruit (Gen 2:8-9 & 15-17 & 3:1-3). Bible believers, we realize that this "turns you off." But before you throw-out all of this, we ask you: "Well then, why didn't God say, "You shall not be naked with anyone except your wife/spouse, or at least, warn you to not be naked with anyone else???" Yes, you think that you have texts that prove that it is wrong to be naked with anyone else. But (as you will see) those of us "on the

other side of the fence” can clearly explain all of those texts. Yes, those texts convince you, but they don't convince us. Since “God is love” (1 John 4:8b, see vss 7-11), then doesn't the Lord also love those of us “on the other side of the fence???” Doesn't God love the sinner & even the rebel?? If it were truly wrong, then wouldn't the Lord make-sure that everyone understands by saying, “You shall not...,” or at least, clearly declare that it is wrong??? And don't say that God didn't foresee this disagreement. As we said above, you can realize (from science & the Bible) that God clearly foresaw every atom & molecule & even every electron in the whole universe, all the way to the end of time. He won't miss-predict by even one electron, for He foresaw the whole story from a sub-atomic point of view (like Jesus does, who is holding it all together (Col 1:17b, ESV).

Now, we are going to also list the so-called “commandments” that “everyone” has thought were genuine. We will also list verses that people use to justify a few of these 8 (10), but we aren't going to explain why God never uses these verses as a command. So please, don't immediately rule-it-out—not until you have prayerfully-read our case on each of these 8 (10), which is written further below.

Here is a “brief” list of the 8 (10) counterfeit commandments:

(Sorry, we tried to be brief, but found that we couldn't, & still be half-way convincing. Also, we felt that we had to answer your objections as soon as they would arise. Otherwise, you might immediately-reject what we are saying & leave the website).

- 1) “No sex of any sort, not until you get married, not even self-masturbation at any time.” Yes, self-masturbation can be & is wrong if the person is picturing sin (Matt 5:27-30) But Christians don't have even one verse to show that self-masturbation is always wrong, because self-masturbation is not even mentioned in the the Bible, nor even described in any fashion—an emission of semen is mentioned & wet-dreams are mentioned, but an intentional emission of semen is never mentioned—absolutely no verse in the Bible. Pastors acknowledge this, but they are quick to reply, with disdain, “But what would you be thinking???” They are thinking of Matt 5:27 (see vss 27-30) & assume that it is impossible to self-masturbate without committing adultery or fornication in your heart. They are deeply mistaken.

We will show you (below) that-that is how St. Paul maintained self-control while being a celibate—but in contrast, many celibate priests (in our day), have failed miserably. The reason why they failed was because they weren't relieving themselves periodically. Everyone has looked with disdain on what they have done—not realizing that the same thing might happen to them, if they had never relieved themselves, year after year, through some form of sex.

This is no small issue. Do you want those priests to fail??? You reply, “Well, they should get married, & then they won't have that problem.” But did you forget that the Lord gave Paul permission to promote celibacy (1 Cor 7:6-9, 24-35 & 39-40). So, it isn't wrong to be a celibate.

But they do need to follow Paul's instruction, “But if they cannot exercise self-control, they should marry. For it is better to marry than to burn...” Many translations say, “...burn with passion,” but I think Paul meant “...burn [in the Lake of Fire],” for that is where every person that stops following God & never repents (Rev 20:12-15), will go.

Many of you think that the reason why these priests failed was because they weren't genuinely following the Lord, but that wasn't the case with most of them, not originally. If you don't think so, then how is it that they were faithful for for the first few years? No, it was because sexual temptations increase as the years go-bye, if they don't relieve themselves or get married.

You married people are still looking at the thought of masturbation with disgust, perhaps admitting, “Well, it may

not be wrong, technically, but it certainly isn't good.” But this is no small issue. This is why so many young people used to “hurry-up & get married,” but ended up divorcing, because of not taking enough time to get fully acquainted. But in our day, this is why so many singles & young people eventually give-in to whores & prostitutes. Also, this is why so many couples eventually give-in to premarital-sex, because of not relieving themselves periodically. No, it wasn't God, instead, it was the Devil, that moved upon all of us to insist, “No sex at all until you get married.” Satan started that with Adam & Eve, but he didn't stop there. In every generation, he has continued to instill that belief in every human being, even in the heathen religions. And why did he do that??? Satan stole that freedom in order to weaken our self-control, so that he could get more of us to fall into temptation more readily. The longer a person refrains from sexual activity, (& even refrains from appropriate self-masturbation), then the weaker his self-control becomes. The Bible counsels husbands & wives to periodically come together, sexually, “so that Satan may not tempt you because of your lack of self-control” (1 Cor 7:5b, ESV, see vss 2-5). If you don't, then your self-control will keep weakening as the years go-by, especially during certain months (August was the most difficult for me—getting worse each year, until I finally found the right person & married her). But I was single, not married, nor even had a friend to court until that last year. And yet, the same thing was happening to me! Look, if married people need to come together, in order to keep their self-control from weakening, then what do singles need??? They need to, at least, relieve themselves through appropriate self-masturbation, because their self-control weakens, just like married people, if they don't relieve their sex-drive in some way (a better way is “# 2)” immediately below), But that isn't the only reason that Satan took away this freedom. If you “grew up with” the KJV, you probably never realized that God has a special way of escape from each kind of temptation, because the KJV left-out a very important word in 1 Cor 10:13: “There hath no temptation taken you, but such as is common to man: but God is faithful, who will not suffer you to be tempted above that ye are able; but will with them temptation also make a way to escape, that ye may be able to bear [it].” (KJV, see vss 1-13). They mistranslated it. It should have said, “...the way of escape...,” not “...a way of escape...” I had been reading the NKJV for many years, but had never noticed that the NKJV had corrected it to “...the way of escape...,” because I had memorized it in the KJV. There is a big difference between “a” & “the.” Yes, there are many ways of escape, but there is one way that is far-better than the other ways of escape, & that was what Paul was getting at. For he thought (just like we did) that false-commandment #2) was valid, but that he wasn't violating #1), as long as he kept his heart from any sinful thoughts. The same thing is true for us. (Read-on).

(New, July 9, 2021) (greatly clarified, especially on the hidden-approval & on preventing disease-transmission)

- 2) “No sex of any sort with anyone, except your spouse, not even the lesser forms of sex” (i.e. not any other sex with others, (as distinguished from “becoming one-flesh,” sexual intercourse)). Now, most of you are reading modern translations that confirm this belief, which translate the word as “sexual immorality.” But that wasn't the way it was translated prior to 1900 (not in the English language; in Catholic languages, yes, because they believed that any sex at all was wrong, not even for husbands & wives to share sexual-love together (except in order to have children, of course). Prior to 1900 (for about 700 years) that Greek word was translated “fornication” (meaning “voluntary sexual intercourse between partners who are not married to each other”). But it took till the

1970's before Merriam Webster had enough justification, to change the meaning to "any kind of sex." They never changed the meaning of the word fornication (like they did for adultery). Instead, they just translated the word as "sexual immorality," instead of "fornication."

The translators had no right to change this. Yes, they surely had justification from history, but as you will see, the Devil can tamper with customs & beliefs of people, thus changing the meaning of words. For instance, just look at how Satan succeeded in the Dark Ages. The customs & beliefs of the Dark Ages became very-inferior to what God intended. But the Devil did the same thing, to a lesser extent, in every age.

If you don't believe us, just look at how the Devil has perverted Protestant teachings in the last 200-300 years, thus causing multiple denominations with contradictory beliefs, instead of one denomination with one set of beliefs. That is why you can't depend on "history" to determine the meaning of a word.

The only thing that Satan cannot tamper with is the Bible, & even there, "he" has tampered with many, individual manuscripts. But, we can still determine what was originally written by looking at what the majority of the manuscripts say, by giving greater "weight" to the older manuscripts (a "weighted-majority," which has never-yet been done).

If there is a disagreement on the meaning of a word, then how do you know which way the Lord means by that word? Linguists determine the meaning of a word in a language by examining how it is used. We can do the same thing with the Bible, by examining how that word is used in its original language.

The Greek word for fornication is used many times in the Bible, but there is only one text that reveals God's (the Bible's) definition, (1 Cor 6:15-16, see vss 13b-20). That text is talking about becoming one-flesh, sexually.

Preachers & translators agree that it means sexual-intercourse (one-flesh), but they still insist that it also includes any sex. But what they don't realize is, that God doesn't do things that way. If the Lord foresees a disagreement, then He makes it clear, so that everyone knows which side God stands on. Now, we showed you that Jesus is holding every atom in the whole universe together & that God accurately foresees the future (just like the Bible says), by just picturing all of that, "flowing" in time.

Yes, the Lord foresaw every, tiny detail of everything, not missing by an electron—anywhere. And that means that He foresaw every disagreement (in various ages) over the meaning of various words. So for each disagreement, He placed in the Bible a text that reveals what God's definition of that word is.

Thus, the Lord designed the Bible to be its own interpreter. This is a principle (based on God foreseeing the disagreement, & thus clarifying which that side He stands on) & is not something new. It has been used for 500 years to clarify what God actually meant by certain biblical words used in the Bible, so that we might know what the Lord truly meant in various texts. For it can make a big difference, as in our case.

Our "eyeball-estimate" is that even the Catholics now-admit that those definitions needed to be corrected.

[#2), cont.] And since "...God is love" (1 John 4:7,8), then there is only one thing that the Lord could do, & that was, reveal in the scriptures, which definition is God's definition. So, how do we know that-that Greek word doesn't include other kinds of sex? The Bible & science agree that the Lord can & does accurately foresee the future, & yes, is even capable of predestining everything, just like the Bible repeatedly says, all without forcing anyone to do anything. 1) Because the the Lord clearly revealed which of the two is God's definition (sexual intercourse, not "sexual immorality, 1 Cor 6:15-16), as we have already stated.

2) Since the Bible is its own interpreter, then it can't include the lesser-forms of sex, for the Bible doesn't even mention the lesser forms of sex. And 3) Because the Lord made it "doubly-clear" by not even mentioning even one lesser form of sex.

Since the Bible is its own interpreter & doesn't even mention any other forms of sex, then that Greek

word cannot possibly include the lesser forms of sex. Because the Lord, foreseeing this disagreement, would have put it somewhere in the Bible to verify that the lesser forms of sex were also wrong, if so. That is how we know.

But in this case, the Lord even went further than that. He made-sure that not even one incident of the lesser forms of sex got into the Bible (& pastors agree), because He knew the heart of mankind.

If the Bible had even mentioned one incident, “everyone” would have “jumped on that incident” & said, “See! There it is! So, it is wrong!”—when the Bible didn't say pro- nor con-! The Lord was very wise in doing that. That way, they couldn't even make such a false-conclusion.

Consequently, we “coined the name” “JSS-Love” (Jesus' Satisfying Solution) for sharing love with each other through the lesser forms of sex.

Now, we guess that most of you are reacting, thinking, “But it isn't tied down, if you don't make it 'sexual immorality'.”

That is true, if it is sex that the Lord is forbidding. Probably that is also the reason why pastors & translators wanted to change it. Because they thought that any expressing of love, sexually, with anyone other than their spouse, is wrong. But is it???

If all kinds of sex are forbidden, then why didn't God (the Bible) say that??? Was that too hard to say??? Could it be that God isn't forbidding “love through sex,” but instead, is forbidding the symbol of marriage, “becoming one-flesh” (Gen 2:24, see vss 18-24) with each other, when they aren't married to each other???

In fact, that is what the Bible implies: The only thing in the scriptures that is clearly forbidden is the symbol of marriage (when you aren't married to each other) (1 Cor 6:15-16. see 13b-20, also Prov. 6:29, see vss 23-35). For the lesser-forms aren't even mentioned.

But this troubles you, for how can you be sure that your spouse isn't cheating? Don't worry. Not only will he/she be much less likely to cheat, but also the Holy Spirit departs from a person if he/she cheats. If so, then you would sense that something is wrong!

(#2, cont., Aug. 29, 2021, hidden approval up-dated) Even preachers agree that the Bible never even mentions the lesser forms of sex—except, it is implied in the text where God hid His approval (note: approval, not disapproval) of the lesser forms of sex (Lev. 15:24, see vss 19-24). Verses 19-24 are old-covenant instructions for any women, married or unmarried, during her 7 days of (ceremonial) “menstruation.”

No, we Christians don't follow the Old Covenant law, but this text is still very important to us Christians.

When the Bible says, “All scripture [is] given by inspiration of God, & is profitable for doctrine, for reproof, for correction...,” (2 Tim 3:16-17), it is speaking of all of the Bible, both of the Old & New Testaments.

Yes, we have to remember what was nailed to the cross & what wasn't, but there are still insights & lessons for us to learn in the Old Testament. For the Bible says of the Old-Covenant law, of which Lev. 15 was a part of, that it has “a shadow of the good things to come” (Heb. 10:1, NKJV & Col 2:17, see vss 13-17).

Now, since Lev. 15:24 reveals God's hidden-approval of the lesser-forms of sex, then it is good that the Bible should call it a “shadow” of a good thing to come—i.e. “a shadow” of JSS-Love coming! For this one, affirmative-text alone (Lev 15:24), proves that God never forbade the lesser-forms of sex (for God never contradicts Himself). (But please, those who are suspicious, just take that statement “as a grain of salt” for right now—until you are convinced!).

Yes, the Bible can seem to contradict itself, but it is because you (& we) haven't-yet, correctly fit those texts together. Keep prayerfully-searching & pondering over all of the texts, & then the Lord will help you (eventually) find how all those texts fit together.

But most translations don't correctly translate Lev. 15:24, for there is a special wording in the Hebrew (“laid-lies with”) that makes it mean: “something other than the usual meaning of 'lies with' “ (The KJV, NKJV correctly translate it “...lies with her at all...”, but not many other translations correctly translate it). I suspect that it is

because they didn't like what that implies, because it contradicts what they have always believed! You see, it was the Lord Himself that was giving the instructions in Lev. 15 (see vs 1), & God doesn't contradict Himself. It can't be talking about a man carnally lying with the woman for 2 reasons: 1) If the Lord meant that, then He would have said, "If a man lies with her..." but the Lord said, "If a man [or any man] laid-lies with her..." Any verb in Hebrew, stated twice in a row, first past & then future, means that it is not the usual meaning of that verb. 2) Also, the Lord couldn't mean, "if a man lies with her," for the Lord would be contradicting Himself, for they would be put to death for committing adultery (if she were married, Lev 20:10). The Lord (the Bible) wouldn't even allow him the consequence of being unclean for 7 days, for both he & the man's wife would be put to death that very day. Also there were serious consequences for an unmarried man lying with an unmarried woman (Deut 22:28-29), doubly-especially if she were in "menstruation" (Lev 20:18). Believe it or not, most women (except older widows) were married, back in those days, for men could have more than one wife back then. Even if a man was too poor to support a second wife, both were better off by marrying each other, for both could work & thus be a blessing to each other.

(# 2), cont., Aug. 29, 2021) Furthermore, Lev. 15:24 cannot possibly be talking about "a" man "becoming one-flesh with the woman: For the Lord didn't allow any man, including her husband, to carnally lie with any woman during her menstruation, including his wife. (Lev 20:18). Furthermore, the Lord didn't even call "lies with her at all" a sin, (not even if she were married to someone-else, which most Hebrew women were, back then), for the Lord didn't even require a sin-offering for doing it, But in contrast, the Lord required a sin-offering for an oozing sore (Lev 15:15, see vss 1-15), which might not have been his fault. And also, the Lord required a sin offering for a hemophiliac-woman, whose flow wouldn't stop, which she wasn't able to prevent (Lev 15:29-30, see vss 25-30)..... And yet a/any man, who "laid at all" with a menstrual woman. had no sin-offering, nor was he even unclean for 7 days, as long as he didn't get her menstrual blood on himself (see Lev. 15:24)! It was in contacting her blood that made him (ceremonially) unclean (under the Old Covenant), not because "he laid with her at all." (All of the bodily emissions listed in Lev. 15 caused "uncleanness." So in touching her blood, he became ceremonially-unclean for 7 days). If you don't think so, then what were the consequences if he was careful to not get her menstrual-blood on himself (for there are possible ways to share JSS-Love without getting her menstrual-blood on himself)??? Nothing!!! No consequence at all! Well, then was it wrong for "a-man/any-man" who "lies with her at all???" No, there was no consequence at all, not according to Lev. 15:24, which God Himself spoke (vs 1).

(#2), cont.) You see, the Old Covenant was a contract to keep what God specified, no more, no less (Deut 4:2 & 12:32). If the Lord didn't specify it in writing, then they weren't supposed to keep it. Thus, there were no consequences either, for doing it at any other time of the month. And what does that reveal??? It reveals that "lies with her at all" is not wrong at all. And yet, "everyone" has believed that "lies with her at all" is sin! **(What a contradiction!)** Since Lev. 15:24a can't mean carnally lying with her, then what else could it mean, other than: "If a [or any] man lies with her at all"??? No, that is the only thing that it could mean. For "lies beside her" means "lays down beside her," whereas "lies with her at all" implies something similar, but different from the traditional meaning of "lies with her". Also, you need to know why the Lord required a turtle dove or young pigeon for a sin offering, for an oozing sore & for a hemophiliac woman, & even for [Mary] giving birth to a baby [Jesus] (Luke 2:22-24 & Lev 12:6 & 8, see vss 1-8)—

none of whom were necessarily at fault! The Lord, foreseeing our disagreement in our day, purposely required them to offer a “sin offering,” even if they had not sinned! God required that particular “sin-offering” for our sake, that we (today) might know that sharing JSS-Love (appropriately) is not a sin, not even a little sin (if shared properly)!

(#2) cont.) And what does that imply? It implies that God never forbade “lies with her at all” (JSS-Love), not even with a married woman, as long as she has the approval of her husband (yes, we prove below that God's definition of adultery never included JSS-Love), for the Lord is all-knowing & never contradicts Himself. In fact, since the Lord chose to hide this discovery here, then what does that imply??? It implies that God not only allows JSS-Love, but even approves of JSS-Love, & even approves of JSS-Love with married people!

(#2), cont., July 9, 2021) But you ask, “If that is true, then why did God hide His approval? Why didn't He make it clear, so that people, long ago, could have benefited from it???” You will have to “read on,” but it was because Satan “wormed these false commandments into many religions (not just Christianity)” to get many more people to, not only fall into sin, but also, to get some to completely rebel. If you read Why Noah's Flood on the website, you will find (from the Bible) that these false commandments are the reason why (with Satan's help) the world became so wicked that God had to destroy them with a flood. Yes, the Lord wished that everyone could know, for it would have greatly reduced sin & rebellion. But the reason why the Lord had to allowed Satan to do this, all of these years. (was first, because it was “do-able”), but also, so that Satan would get caught in his own trap at the end of time (Ps 9:15-16, see vss 1-20). But thirdly, the Lord allowed it so that people would see the great contrast between “good” & “evil,” & thus, would be thoroughly convinced to never rebel again (Nah 1:9, see whole book).

(#2), cont., July 10, 2021):

Now if the lesser forms of sex were wrong, then wouldn't God say “You shall not...,” or at least, mention an incident, while clearly declaring that incident to be wrong??? Of course, He would have, for that would make it clear, so that there would be no confusion. For this is a super-important issue, for both singles & married people. Many of you can now accept this for singles, but “violently” object to married people having this freedom, saying “They have their spouse; their sexual needs are satisfied. You can only love one person. So they don't need to 'mess-around with' other friends. Besides, they will just end-up leaving their spouse for someone else.” No, even if we were still under the “only one spouse” rule, even so, the divorce rate would diminish, rather than increase. Why do we say that? This belief that you can only love one spouse “is a big, fat lie.” That is why Plural-Spouses will “sky-rocket,” because many people do fall in love with more than one person. That is why the divorce rate has been so high, because they fall in love with someone else & leave their spouse for him/her. But even if “only one spouse” was still the rule, fewer people would be leaving their spouse for someone else. Why is this so? Because the people who want to obey God, would find it much easier to stay with their spouse, if they can gain some satisfaction by, at least, sharing JSS-Love (our name for the lesser-forms of sex) with that person that they also love. For they love that person, too, even though they aren't married to him/her. But in contrast, if they are not allowed to share any affection at all with that one, whom they now love, then they forget about how much they originally loved their spouse & begin to despise him/her, because he/she stands in the way of them being able to have their new lover. You need to know that the definition of adultery (in the American-English language) was changed about 50 years ago, from “becoming one-

flesh” to any kind of sex. But we show below that God's only definition of adultery is sexual intercourse, becoming one-flesh with someone-else.

(#2), cont., July 9, 2021) This is the “perfect” place to prove the meaning of adultery:

Since the Lord has made the Bible to be its own interpreter, the Lord, foreseeing our problem, revealed which of these two is adultery: Is it fornication (sexual intercourse with someone-else), or is it “sexual immorality” (any kind of sex, whatsoever, with someone-else),

Again (just like the Greek word for fornication is only revealed in one place), so also is the meaning for adultery only revealed one place in the Protestant Bible: (see Prov 6:29, see vss 23-35, compare heading of Ps. 51). “...So is he who goes in to his neighbor's wife;...” (Prov 6:29a, ESV & NKJV). What did it mean in the Bible when they said, “go-in to?” That is revealed in the heading of Psalm 51 (David's repentance psalm), “...after he went in to Bathsheba.” Bathsheba got pregnant from that event. But “go in” also includes anal sex (Lev. 18:22). This text reveals that at least, some Israelites shared anal sex with their wives, as a form of birth control (Lev. 18:22).

(New, Aug. 9, 2021) #2), cont. (clarified further)

Yes, but does adultery also include the lesser forms of sex??? You should know the answer,, for the only way that God could protect His meaning, was to reveal it in the Bible, nowhere else. So, the answer has to be “No. Since the Bible doesn't even mention the lesser forms of sex, then God's definition of adultery cannot possibly include the lesser forms of sex.

Because the Lord would have made it clear to warn people (somewhere in the Bible) that it also includes the lesser forms of sex.”

OBJECTION: But they argue, “Yes, but adultery also includes the lesser-forms of sex, for the rest of verse 29 says, “None who touches her will go unpunished.” (ESV) for “Whoever touches her shall not be innocent.” (NKJV)) We ask, is “touches her” talking about the lesser-forms of sex? They answer, “No, but if he can't touch her, then he couldn't share JSS-Love.”

Again we ask, “Was “touching her” what Solomon was talking about? The answer is “**No**, for this is Hebrew poetry, which generally repeats the same thought, except in a different way” Instead, Solomon was saying the same thing as the first part of the verse (“goes in”), but saying the same thing in a poetically-loose way, which is typical of Solomon, especially when speaking of the touchy subject of illegitimate sex.

Read Proverbs (also Job), then you will see Solomon's loose way of describing things, e.g. the 3 preceding verses say, “For by means of a harlot, [a man is reduced] to a crust of bread, & a man's wife will prey upon his precious life. Can a man take fire to his bosom & his clothes not be burned? Can one walk on hot coals & his feet not be seared?” Then it continues in verse 29 (ESV), “So is he who goes in to his neighbor's wife. None who touches her will go unpunished.” (Prov 6:25-28, NKJV (w/ literal footnote).

Doesn't verse 29 make more sense now? Can you see how “loose” Solomon speaks? Here are a couple more Solomon's loose words: “For a prostitute is a deep pit; a foreign woman is a narrow well.” (Prov. 23:27, ESV, (literal-Hebrew footnote), see vss 26-28). Also, consider Prov. 5:15-18 (ESV) on illegitimate sex: “Drink water from your own cistern, flowing water from your own well. Should your streams be scattered abroad, streams of water in the streets?... Let your fountain be blessed, & rejoice in the wife of your youth,...”

Did you see Solomon's loose-way of talking about this subject??? So, what was Solomon loosely saying in Prov. 6:29b (“...None who touches her will not go unpunished”)? He was implying, “None who touches her in that fashion [i.e. who goes in to her] will go unpunished (NKJV: be innocent).” So that is what Solomon was implying:

So in verse 29a, it reveals the original definition that was in the English language: that the word “adultery” means fornication/sexual-intercourse with someone other than his/her spouse. And since the Lord (in the Bible) never even mentioned the lesser-

forms of sex, then that means that “adultery” cannot possibly include the lesser forms of sex. For the Lord would have warned us, to make it clear that the lesser forms of sex were also adultery (if it were true; see 1 Tim 1:9, that makes it clear that true commandments always warn transgressors, which the Bible never did for the lesser-forms of sex, see vss 8-11). In other words, the Lord (who precisely foresaw the future (on the sub-atomic level), & who will also not miss by even an electron) foresaw our disagreement in our day. He foresaw it before the first verse of the Bible was even written. If the lesser forms of sex with a married person were truly adultery, then He would have warned us in the Bible, so that we, today, would refrain from “committing the lesser-forms of sex.” But it isn't true. That is why the Lord didn't warn us, because He never forbade the lesser-forms of sex with a married person. But also, He hid His approval in the Bible, which reveals exactly the opposite (Lev. 15:24 discussed above, which verse also applies to married women, for most women were married back then, see vss 19-24).

(#2), cont.):

But this new understanding (that God never forbade the other forms of sex) will only allow married people freedom, if their spouse will also believe these things & agree to it. Why? There are two reasons, 1) otherwise, their spouse will call it adultery, but also, 2) because both of them believed that sexual immorality was adultery when they said their vows. So, both of them must keep their vows the way that they understood it at marriage—that is, until both of them eventually “see the light.” “Therefore, a man shall leave his father & mother & be joined to his wife, & they shall become one flesh.” (Gen 2:24, NKJV). So, the symbol of marriage is the husband becoming one-flesh, sexually, with his wife. That is what most husbands & wives do, become one-flesh, sexually. Yes, some husbands give oral-sex to their wife first, but they generally finish-off with sexual-intercourse (becoming “one-flesh” sexually).

Well then, what is it that “sinners” (not married to each other) do? That is right, the same thing that husbands & wives do. Everyone knows that “becoming one-flesh with anyone else” is wrong, for the Bible clearly says so (Acts 15:29b, see vss 23-30; 1 Cor 6:15-16, see vss 13b-20).

Verse 18 (1 Cor. 6) says that fornication is the sin most to be dreaded, because they harm their own selves when they do that. (It is true, for I have seen a number of young-men who were never the same, from then, on). But that consequence doesn't happen to those who share JSS-Love (the lesser forms of sex). (Wow! That is just one more testimony that God never forbade the lesser forms of sex. [#2], cont.) That is why there have been so many abortions, because sometimes, they accidentally get pregnant from “going in” &... Now, you are not going to stop

“sinners” from having sex, which actually, the lesser-forms (JSS-Love) has never been wrong, (& we hope that you are now believing that). So, what is the answer? The answer is to persuade them to share JSS-Love, which can be far more pleasant than people have imagined (if they “know the ropes”).

But they need to be careful with cleanliness (to clean with soap & water—not just “with toilet paper,” because invisible sperm cells can be there—which can accidentally get where the couple don't want them to get—if they don't first clean-up with soap & water, including all sides of both hands of both people!).

This may sound like “over-kill,” but it is better to be safe, in case one of you forgot something that you did with your hands. It is very embarrassing if she gets pregnant, for no one will believe her, that it was an accident. And they will determine who's child it is after it is born! But if they are careful, then they won't get pregnant.

Here is what the Lord is calling for us to do in order to win these “sinners” through this new way of life:..To promote this to sinners & to even practice this new way of life—and yes, to sometimes practice it with them. But don't keep sharing that if their heart isn't changing

toward the Lord. No, this new way of life isn't the Gospel, but it does open the door for them to stop rejecting God! For they have been rejecting God because of these 8 (10) false-commandments. That is why the Devil persuaded Adam & Eve to add-on these 8 (10)—that we always thought were from God—to get most people to break-away from truly following the Lord (to get them to, at least, break away in terms of sex &/or in marriage) & to get some to even rebel against God.

With the out-pouring of the Holy Spirit & with this new life-style, then multitudes of those who “aren't interested in God,” & those who hate God, will now turn & start loyally following the Lord. It is essential for the whole church to put this into practice (that may be ideal, but we aren't kidding), for it will greatly-reduce many secret-sins in our churches & will help keep many young people from leaving their church & even help keep some from totally rebelling against God. But also, if we don't put JSS-Love into practice, then we won't reach those who are rejecting God, nor draw them into our churches. Sorry, that is the truth.

Their old way of life has pleasures, but those pleasures vanish quickly. Plus, there are so many drawbacks to their old way of life, that they will rejoice, even in this present life, for they will no longer be slaves to sin, will no longer “empty” most of the time, but will now become free in Jesus.

(New, June 28, 2021) (brought from much further below)

OBJECTION: “I agree that the possibility of catching sexual diseases is a lot less likely with JSS-love, by maintaining cleanliness & by requiring something impervious between the mouth & the sexual organs. But you can still catch HIV/AIDS by sucking a woman's breasts, if she happens to generate some milk-like fluids in her breast (which can happen with certain women). Also, a person might have an open sore in his/her mouth. So, I'm afraid to share JSS-Love, even if the Lord approves of it.”

Guess what? The Lord has already thought of that problem. There's *a way to protect yourself* from sexual diseases & any other serious diseases that are transmittable, & also from bed bugs, from head lice, etc., & also, from people who can't be trusted, or from those whose heart isn't right & might harm you, spiritually.

The Lord has given us the 4-digit PIN-number method, to tell whether the person is safe. If your partner can write or tell you the right PIN-number (that you have written down), then he/she is safe. But actually, both partners should pick a PIN-number for the other person to pass. That way both persons are sure that the other person is safe.

If the person is free from these things, then the Lord will Inspire that person to give the exact PIN-number! (Isn't that amazing, but also look at this:) And if the person isn't acceptable because of any of above reasons, then the Lord will inspire him/her to give a totally wrong PIN number. The Lord doesn't allow the devils to affect the answer, for there are serious consequences at stake. (And neither will the Lord inspire the correct PIN-number to those who will disobey & share sexual intercourse. You have to obey if you want the Lord to help).

He didn't say how many “try's” they get. If he/she is nervous & gets a couple of the numbers right, then say, “Relax! You got 2 of the numbers! Ask Jesus to help you.” And if necessary, give a 3rd “try,” but limit it to, say, 3 try's.

But the beautiful thing about both partners passing the PIN-Number test, is that it also applies to any other dangerous disease as well, & that includes the “present scare” of Covid-19. If both of you partners pass the PIN-NUMBER text, then both of you can rest-assured that neither of you have the Corona Virus at the present time. You might catch the Corona Virus an hour after you leave each other, but you can rest assured that neither have it right now. For not even an electron escapes the Lord's notice at any instant of time!

We have changed our mind, when both persons pass their “PIN-number test.” We stated that only the husband or wife can have their mouth directly contact the sexual organ of their spouse, where the sexual fluids will-be, but the Bible doesn't say anything like that. Since both persons passed the

PIN-number & are free from sexual disease, then we believe that is OK for “oral sex.”

(#2), cont., June 28, 2021):

From here, onward, there will no longer be, only a few saved (for the texts on only a few being saved, will no longer be true: Matt 7:13-14 & Luke 13:24, see vss 22-30). Yes, this fantastic “harvest” is hidden in the Bible. God's greatest success will be at the end of time. (Read on). So you can't believe that??? You shall know them by their fruits...” (Matt 7:16, see vss 15-20). If you are in doubt, then just wait & see the drastic change in these “sinners” & rebels. Then you will know that it really is from God—that they really are God's special treasure.

But when we reach these “sinners,” then the abortion rate, crime rate, sex-trafficking & prostitutes will greatly plummet. Why? Because they will no-longer be slaves to the Devil.

He who breaks one commandment, breaks them all (James 2:10, see vss 8-13), because God is no longer his ruler. This is why the world has been so wicked. When a person breaks one commandment, he opens the door to break another one, & later, another, & still later, all of the rest of them. That is how the world has become so wicked, a little at a time. Because those persons have decided what he will keep & what he won't.

But gradually, temptation eventually overcomes him, & he gradually starts breaking all the rest of God's commandments. That is the way sin is. No matter how bad it becomes, it keeps on getting worse & worse & worse... no end...

We ask you, what do adulterers do? They almost invariably use sexual intercourse (perhaps oral sex first on the woman, but usually ending with sexual intercourse), in our day as well as in their day. This is the only definition that you find in the Bible. If the Lord had meant to include the lesser-forms of sex, since the Bible is its own interpreter, then He would have revealed it in the Bible. For that is the way God does things.

But we need to remind married people that your spouse has to also believe these things before you practice it. If you both believe that adultery means “becoming one flesh,” then you can both modify the meaning of your vows. But also, you need permission from your spouse, & you need to let your spouse know, each time that you share JSS-Love with someone. That way, there will not be any shocking surprises

Objection: “All of this is convincing, but we could never go to someone's house & share JSS-Love, for the Bible says to avoid all appearance of evil. What would the neighbors think???” (1 Thess 5:22, KJV, see vss 12-22). Amazingly, this is another place where the KJV mistranslated & made it mean what it doesn't say. That verse (vs 22) actually says, “Abstain from every form of evil.” (both ESV & NKJV). The NKJV corrected it accordingly.

If you are worried about what your neighbors might think, then plainly tell them about your new beliefs: the only conclusion that an honest, Bible-believer can come to: that God never made several of the so-called commandments on sex & marriage—the important commandments, yes, but not several of the lesser commandments. Tell them, “Check it out for yourself, & you will see.” What matters is, not what people think, but rather, what really is the truth. The Lord illustrated that truth in the Old Testament: If a man might think that his wife cheated on him, there was a procedure that the priest was to do (Num 5:28, see vss 11-31). She would be innocent, even if she had “secreted herself” with another man, as long as her & the man hadn't actually lain with each other (hadn't “become one flesh” with him, which is what “Laid with” meant).

I am sorry. I didn't intend to go into so much detail. But since the Lord kept inspiring me, I felt that I had to write all of this. Now, many of you who are interested will want some tips on how to do these things. Sorry, we don't share

the “how-to's,” in order to protect those that might be prosecuted for sharing sexually-descriptive material. But just use your imagination, & you will figure out various ways of sharing JSS-Love. But don't forget to do some other general activities together, too. JSS-Love doesn't have to be your only activity together. In fact there are even 2 ways that are similar to “becoming one-flesh.” So, think about it, and ask the Lord to help you figure it out. But you do need to know that the Lord also, never made the the 8th false-commandment (nakedness only with your spouse).

(New, June 8, 2021) [#2), cont.] A scientific discovery, conducted by a news-reporter!

Just tonight, (eve of the 8th), a preacher (who probably doesn't know anything about JSS-Love) was speaking on what causes addiction. The pastor told of a reporter, who went around to all of the authorities, etc., to find out what causes some people to get addicted, & others not [or probably rather, not yet]. None of them gave him an adequate answer. But there was one psychologist who did an experiment on rats (as they often do). One of the two rats had an optional water-source that was laced with heroin, & the other one didn't. And of course, the one who had the optional, laced water, became addicted. But this experiment didn't set well with the reporter. He saw that the cages were small & that the rat was all alone & there was nothing else for him to do. So the reporter thought, “What else would you expect, since he doesn't have anything to do!” So (I think that it was the reporter, not a psychologist), he repeated the experiment with a cage more than 10 times larger & added 3 or 4 other rats as companions. He also added some plants & several things for the rats to do, etc. But guess what the results were? None of them drank the water laced with heroin! They were too busy playing with all of the things—& one activity that the pastor emphasized was, “and mating!” (The pastor is probably married, but that answer wouldn't help the addict that isn't married). From this discovery, the pastor concluded that the answer is “connection,” to be connected to Christ & connected to fellow believers who befriend him/her & “connected” to the Bible. “And then they can then get-off of their drugs,” the pastor implied. Well, this conclusion does have several major answers, because without being connected to Jesus & without wonderful, Christian friends & without the Bible, then they will surely give-in. But when the pastor told this, an further answer popped into my mind: & that was having a ministry of “JSS-Love” for alcoholics & addicts. No, God doesn't approve of “mating” for humans, not till they get married, but He does approve of appropriate JSS-Love. And when the Lord pours out 7 times as much extra love as the Devil was giving, & 7x extra satisfaction, etc., & when the addict shares JSS-Love frequently enough, & with enough different people, all of whom become his/her friends, then their love of Jesus will overflow. Then they will be busy enough, happy enough & satisfied enough & will become loyal to Jesus enough, to be able to break-free from drugs & alcohol. But they will have to put-forth effort, too, or it won't happen. Can you now see how we are going to win multitudes who “aren't interested in God” & even “down-&-outs???” By the way, did you know that the Bible never condemns a little alcohol??? But it does condemn getting drunk (1 Cor 6:9-11). Now, an alcoholic can't drink even a little, & most Bible-believers are “dead-set against” any alcohol at all, for it does harm a person in the long-run & is highly addictive. But since the Bible doesn't condemn it, then we can't see how we can condemn it. But we do need to warn you that “a little” tends to keep getting bigger, as well as more frequent. Someone else can be a better judge on when you are needing to quit. Also, your doctor can warn you on when you are having too much, too often. For too much alcohol will destroy your liver & cause death & other complications. So please, use it sparingly & not too often. Instead, get your satisfaction from friends & from JSS-Love, & of course, from the Bible & from the Lord [& eventually from #3) Plural Spouses]. Then (with the Lord's help), you won't feel that need for alcohol or drugs. But we also have a word of caution: Many “sinners” take alcohol or drugs (or both) in order to get the

highest effect from the sex. All of their focus becomes on what they can get out of it for themselves. And as a consequence, they miss-out on the greatest blessing of being a blessing to their partner: of giving &/or receiving love to or from him/her! They could have had both, plus receiving the additional blessing from the Lord! So if you really want genuine satisfaction from sharing JSS-Love, then forget about trying to get the most out of it for yourself! And then you won't need an extra "boost" from drugs or alcohol. Then you will get the Lord's greatest (7 fold extra) blessing from sharing JSS-Love.

(Continuing the "List" of False-Commandments)

(New, June 13, 2021) some corrections & additions

- 3) "A woman may-not have more than one husband." #10) is similar, "A man may-not have more than one wife." We have pretty-well proven this one in the "introduction," all except for God's hidden approval of women having plural-husbands & for men having plural-wives (which we will state at the end of this section (#3)) Yes, it was against the law in many countries, but there is now a "loop-hole" that allows people to live with as many as they wish, as long as it is agreeable with all, & as long as they don't involve "the government" when they marry (i.e. they still can't be legally married (registered) to more than one person, as far as governmental-marriages are concerned). But don't get the wrong idea & think that you can marry anyone. The same principles apply that have always applied: 1) The law of the government allows "as many," but God limits how many. 2) "...only in the Lord" (1 Cor 7:39, see vss 2-5), & 3) "...till death do you part." The Old Covenant command for kings to not have too many wives, may be "old covenant," but it's principle still applies to any man (lest he lose his own soul) (Deut 17:17, see vss 14-20). But also, women, don't worry about the thought of living in a harem. The Lord doesn't recommend harems, either (Isa 4:1, see 3:16-4:6). We have observed that many marriages that are having a difficult time with "getting along," are actually because one of them is wanting to get rid of the other, in order to have someone-else. This leaves the other spouse absolutely perplexed, trying as hard as they can to get along with the other, to no avail, & is perplexed on why all of this is happening. The problem is that the "troublemaker" thinks that he/she has to get rid of his/her spouse in order to have another. Because all of us have been "innately programmed" to believe that you can only have one spouse. But is that true, or is that just one more of the false-commandments that the Enemy set-up long ago? We have been amazed at how "the trouble" seems to "evaporate," when they find that God truly approves of more than one spouse, for both the husband and the wife. But also, you won't find nearly as many suicides with Plural Spouses. For that is another "trick" that spouses play, in order to get rid of the one they have, & to gain the one that they want. "Oh, you poor dear. Your husband committed suicide," when she was to blame for it! But when they learn the truth about Plural-Spouses, then their rejection of their spouse goes away, & their love & appreciation of each other returns—more than ever before! We have already proven "Plural Spouses" pretty thoroughly. But we never did talk about the hidden approvals for both men & women having plural spouses. Since the Bible is so silent about women having plural husbands, then let's start with God's hidden approval of women having more than one husband.

(New, Sept. 2, 2021) Simplified from far below

God's Hidden-Approval of Women Having Plural-Husbands

(It's actually a third proof. The fact that the hidden approval is there, stating just the opposite,

proves it a third time! For the Lord (through Moses) spoke of the possibility of a man's wife having another husband!

Now, what more proof do you need???

But the problem is that no one has translated what that verse actually says. Why? Probably because they thought that it couldn't be that the Lord would talk about the possibility of a married woman having another husband! But He did!

(Remember? The moral-law wasn't written for the obedient, but rather for the transgressor (1 Tim 1:9-10), And what does that tell you??? It tells you that if it were wrong for a woman to have more than one husband, then the Lord would have clearly said, "A woman shall not have more than one husband" or something similar. And why? So that women & men would be warned to not do that.

(But also remember that "science" & the Bible reveal that God could foresee (& even predestine) the future, all without forcing anyone & w/o missing by even an electron.

The hidden-approval is in Num. 30:6a (vs 7a in the Catholic, Orthodox-Christian & Jewish Bibles). There is a reason why God picked this exact-spot to hide approval. It was an appropriate place to insert His approval, because it was in the midst of Baal-of-Peor (Chs. 25 to 31), where the Moabite women seduced the Israelis.

[If all of the Israeli men had-had plural-wives, instead of only a few men (having plural wives), & if they were practicing Part 1 (JSS-Love), then it would have been a lot easier to escape the Moabite women's temptation (use JSS-Love). Most of those men would have taken God's way of escape & thus, would not have sinned. And, they would have been more careful about not eating things offered to idols, though this temptation would have been difficult, since they hadn't eaten meat for many years].

[Info. about biblical-Hebrew: There is no word in biblical-Hebrew (nor in biblical-Greek) for "wife" (nor "husband"). Instead, "his woman" means his wife & "her man" means "her husband." Biblical-Hebrew only has two tenses: 1) Perfect tense: fully completed action, & 2) Imperfect tense: all future tenses & all on-going tenses not yet completed].

The hidden approval (Num. 30:6a in Protestant Bibles, vs 7a in other Bibles) is so simple that a first-semester Hebrew-student could translate the first phrase. Literally it says, "And if his-she [or she of he] has to a man..." Now, "has to a man" is the Hebrew way of saying that she is married to a man, i.e. "belongs a man," for the wife was the lesser individual. That is why it didn't say "has a man," for it would be the man who "had her," not "her having him."

This surely the only verse in the whole Bible, where "his-she" ("she of he") is used, for it is vague wording, for it doesn't make it clear whether it is his daughter or his wife. Normally if "his-she" were his daughter, then it would say "his daughter," & if "his-she" were his wife, then it would normally say "his woman."

Keep in mind that it was the Lord that had commanded Moses to say this (vs 1 in Protestant Bibles, vs 2 in Catholic, Orthodox-Christian & Jewish Bibles). Even Moses, himself, wouldn't say "his-she," because it doesn't make it clear which it is (but the context does reveal which it is). But Moses knew which the Lord meant (& surely the Jewish scribes & orators, throughout the generations, also knew). For the context reveals it. But Moses was always careful to say exactly what God said.

So, how does the context reveal whether it is his wife or his daughter? In the 3 verses immediately in front of this statement are talking about the man's daughter (while young & still at

home) making a vow that is unacceptable to her father. If he hears it, then he has the authority to “veto” her rash vow if he doesn't approve of it.

So, how does that reveal which is “his-she???” Since Moses was talking about his daughter in the 3 verses immediately in-front of it, then it cannot mean “his daughter, for both God & Moses would have said “and if she...” instead of “& if his-she.” Surely Moses (and/or Moses' scribe) must have asked, “Don't you mean “she,” his daughter?” And God (or Moses) would have said, “No, write down “his-she,” for that is what I (God or Moses) said.

Now, Moses would only say “his-she” because the Lord had him say that, not otherwise, for surely “his-she” was not used in every day conversation, for it doesn't make it clear which “his-she” is (except for the context, which is easy to miss).

Also, keep in mind that the Lord was “hiding” His approval till our day, to catch Satan in his trap at the end of time. And that is why God had Moses use that rare, Hebrew word “his-she” instead of “his daughter” or “his woman,” in order to “hide it.”

We have put quotes around “hide it,” because it wasn't really hidden to those Jews who knew (or know) Hebrew well. It just gave them an excuse to translate it “his daughter,” in order to hide it from their wives. And why would the Jews want to hide it from their wives? After all, the men were free to have more than one wife. So, why would they not want their wives to have more than one husband???

It was because of what the vow was, that “his-she” (his wife) might make. [I hope to make it more clear from the Hebrew, but I don't have time right now. So, I will just summarize what God had Moses say about this vow]. Keep in mind that none of the translations that I have even translate what it actually says, and there is a good reason why they don't! Because it reveals that God & Moses really were talking about his wife, & they didn't want their wives to know.

So, why didn't they want their wives to know??? Because it roughly said, “if she vows a vow to abstain,...!!!” And what would a husband think she meant by “abstain??? Surely you married people can guess (abstain from **sex!**). And it certainly would be a possibility if she had a second husband.

But also, I just now remembered that the “if-vow” was a silly or a teasing or a rash vow. The “if” wasn't talking about her actually meaning that. But such a teasing vow would enrage most husbands. They wouldn't even want their wives to be teasing about “abstaining.” Then the husband would have to “veto” that vow, so the vow wouldn't be permanent. (Vows were very serious promises in those days).

This is why neither Jews nor Christians have translated what it actually says, the Jews because they didn't want their wives to have more than one husband (because she might vow such a thing), & the Christians, because they earnestly believe that neither husbands nor wives can have more than one spouse.

So, they just close their eyes to reality & just make it “she” or his daughter, plus they just don't translate what that “if-vow” was. One of my translations did correctly translate “abstain” at the *end* of the “if his-she” portion, but not when it was said in the first part of the text.

PERHAPS MORE ABOUT #3) TO BE ADDED LATER

- 4) To be added later
- 5) To be added Later
- 6) To be added Later
- 7) To be added later (hopefully soon)

8) What About “Nakedness Only With Your Spouse?”

The Bible does clearly state what is truly wrong on nakedness. E.g. it is wrong to be naked in public (Rev 16:15, see whole chapt.), like Adam & Eve suddenly found themselves (Gen 3:7 & 11, see vss 1-11). God placed Adam & Eve in the Garden of Eden (Gen 2:8, see vss 4-9), which was huge. This situation was far different from being naked in a bedroom where no one else could see. The Garden of Eden was a public place, for the Lord came & visited them every evening, wherever they were in that huge “garden.” But also, surely angels also came to visit them at times, to counsel them, etc.

The promoters of this so-called commandment, try to show that nakedness in private is also wrong, using Gen. 9:23 (on covering Noah's nakedness; see vss 20-27), but they don't realize that—that only reveals what Noah's sons believed back then. For we found that these false commandments began near the beginning of this world, not long after Adam fell.

God would never use such a statement as a command, because it only convinces those that think that that-so-called commandment is true. Because that text only reveals what Noah's sons believed. It doesn't reveal whether that so-called “commandment” came from God or whether it came from Adam & Eve (through Satan's subliminal persuasion).

No, the Lord never makes a commandment by using a vague statement like that, for “God is love,” for it never convinces the people “on the other side of the fence.” No, the Lord always makes it clear, so that people “on both sides of the fence” understand what it means, when the Lord gives a commandment,

He always makes it clear, usually by saying, “You shall not...” But in a few rare cases, the Lord stated an incident, & then clearly said that that-incident was wrong (e.g. Nadab & Abihu, Lev 10:1-3). But in this case of nakedness in private, the Lord never made a “You shall not...,” etc.—because it wasn't God who made that so-called commandment.

It is very easy to verify that the Lord never forbade it in the Bible. There aren't a lot of texts on “naked” & nakedness.” Just do a “word-search” (in the library) in Strong's [or Young's] Exhaustive Concordance, (or, if you have a Bible App. on your smart phone, then search these 2 words in the KJV or NKJV) & then you will see that the Lord never made any such command.

But also, they use Lev. 18:7 (see vss 1-19) to show that it is wrong to see the nakedness of your father or mother, explaining that—that is why Noah's sons went backwards, so that they wouldn't see their father's nakedness. But again, they fail to notice that this whole section (Lev 18) is written in old-covenant fashion, specifying every tiny-detail (the letter of the law), instead of stating the general principle.

So, does that commandment about the father's & mother's nakedness, still apply to Christians today??? Didn't the Old Covenant get nailed to the Cross (Col 2:14, see vss 13-17)???

Also, Lev. 18 only specifies close relatives & says absolutely nothing about being/seeing naked with anyone else (e.g. with your friend or with your neighbor, in private, of course)—not anywhere in the entire Bible. And they want to tell us that God forbade us from being naked with anyone else, except your spouse??? Sorry, the evidence isn't there—not in the slightest.

Yes, we realize that this is absolutely repulsive to you conservative Christians. Why is it so repulsive? It is because we have been “programmed that way” from birth, onward. But obviously, God doesn't think that it is repulsive. Otherwise, He would have clearly said, “You shall not...” on being naked with someone, or at least, would have warned us to not be naked with anyone except your spouse—which He never said either one.

Since the Lord didn't even say something to warn us, then it is clear that it is not wrong—not in God's eyes—like we have made it to be. Nakedness in private with someone can't be wrong (of itself), not even a little-bit wrongs (in God's eyes, for otherwise, He would have said something (through the scriptures, 2 Tim 3:16-17) to, warn us.

But at the same time, we agree that is about the most

dangerous thing you can do & yet, still keep from sharing love through sex. I think that is why the Lord didn't open our eyes to this 8th one (nakedness in private) until all of the others were discovered. Because if you didn't know that the other so-called commandments are false, then you would surely “go all the way” & do that which the Lord truly forbids. But in realizing that all of these 8 (10) are false, then you have an acceptable way-out to share sexual-love (i.e. #2), JSS-Love—a way that God has even hidden His approval of. So, the argument about ending up in bed with each other, is no longer a valid reason to forbid nakedness (in private), for the Lord approves of them sharing JSS-Love together
GOD'S HIDDEN-APPROVAL COMING, (OF PETER BEING NAKED IN THE BOAT)

More on Nakedness in Private Copied From Further Below: And as for this “nakedness-commandment,” the Bible never says “No,” anywhere in the Bible (see footnote⁵), because it isn't true. The Lord doesn't depend on questionable incidents, nor does He make even one mistake.

Look, isn't “nakedness in private” a serious issue??? Yes, that is why so many conservative Christians want to insist that nakedness with anyone, other than your spouse, is very-wrong! Well then, didn't God foresee that serious issue??? Yes, He did, but He also prepared a way for people to share sexual-love (JSS-Love). But they needed to know about all 8 (10) false teachings, before they could learn that nakedness was OK (in private),

You see, the problem of being naked with someone-else, didn't have the severe dangers, like it has-had with the traditional teachings. So, the best thing that the Lord could do at the present time, was to keep quiet (in the Bible), because it was livable, just harder to do. But it wasn't God who made that nakedness commandment. (It was the Enemy, on all 8 (10) of them).

OBJECTION: “But God doesn't keep quiet & hide anything that is important.”

In answer, see Rom. 16:25-27 & Col. 1:26-27 (see vss 24-27). Look, God kept quiet & surprised everyone that Jesus would become our Passover Lamb (Jesus kept telling them that would die, but He never told them why). The Lord also kept quiet on the secret of “Christ in you, the hope of glory” (Col 1:27). So then, what makes you think that the Lord doesn't have any secrets for us near the end of time???

5 In the Old Covenant, the Lord did command, to not uncover their close relatives (Lev 18:6-19), but what was the reason??? Verses 1-3 (Lev 18) explain why: Because the Egyptians & the Canaanites taught that it was OK to uncover close-relatives, but not to do that to anyone else (see vss 1-19). The reason that God said it (vs 1) was because He didn't want them to copy any of the sensual customs of the Egyptians & Canaanites. That was why. Note: Those verses in Lev. 18:6-19 are “Old-Covenant” & aren't really true. (He tediously specified each relative, the “old covenant way”). The text on a brother & sister being naked is also Old-Covenant. Search for the heading “OK for brothers & sisters” (the heading is the 2nd finding of that phrase).

The Lord never forbade the Jews to be naked with anyone else! You see in the Old Covenant, their contract was to do what God specifically commanded (to keep the letter of the law, Ex 24:3-8). If the Lord didn't specifically command something in the Torah (the first 5 books of the Old Testament), then they didn't have to observe it. That is why the commandments & instructions were tediously written, (e.g. “you shall not uncover the nakedness of...” of well-over 10 close relatives). If the Lord didn't tediously specify each relative it involved & each case that it applied to, then they didn't have to refrain for anyone else.

As for the case of nakedness, they were NOT forbidden to be naked with any other (more distant) relatives, nor even any person who weren't a relative! The Israelite Camp had ½ million men, plus women. So technically, they were allowed to be naked with any of them (in a tent), except very-close relatives, but they never realized that!

We don't suppose that they ever actually thought about that & did it, for they thought it was wrong to be naked with anyone except their spouse, just like you & I (& most of the world today) have thought so. But since God never said so in the old covenant, they could have & they wouldn't have violated the Old Covenant at all!

But it was good that they didn't, for they didn't know about any of the 8 (10) false commandments. Thus, many of them would have ended up committing adultery or fornication. For it is dangerous to be naked with someone without knowing that these “8 (10)” are false.

If there is any time when the Lord would need to surprise us, it would surely be the near the end of time, so that everyone (down through the ages) would keep thinking that it wouldn't be long before Jesus comes again.

Yes, sometimes, God has to use surprises so that people (in all ages) keep on trying to “finish the work” on this earth. If they had known all that the Lord has in-store for us at the end of time, then the people in all previous ages “would have sat on their hands, doing nothing,” waiting for that day to come, when “the work” would then be a lot easier.

Everything Below Here Was Copied From Much Further Below (lots more to be moved)

More to be written on 3) & on all the rest of the 8 (10). Sorry, we wanted to just state all 10, but in writing-out these, we found that we couldn't just state what they are, not without, at least, giving you a picture of why we believe that the Lord never commanded any of these 8 (10). So, it will take time for us to get this “introductory description” written.

Drawbacks (e.g. wickedness) caused by the Traditional Teachings on Morality:

That is why so many have rebelled against God. We estimate 10%-15% actually rebel, but a much larger percentage have left God & are running their own lives as they see fit. (For many years, the statistics of those under the age of 30 who leave the various churches, have been 45%-70%, depending upon the denomination, 60% average).

But even among those who follow the Lord, very many have also fallen into forbidden sins (sins that are truly wrong), all because of these false-commandments. (What an apparent contradiction!). Had they known the truth, hardly any would have rebelled, & very few would have left their church. But also, most of the Lord's followers who did fall into sexual & marriage sins, would have never fallen—largely because of these false-commandments. (You will understand why after reading our case).

(New, Feb. 4, 2021) (this paragraph)

Our goal was (& is) to expose some false-commandments that everyone, including me, have thought were true. We would never have written any of this website, if we hadn't discovered that Satan succeeded in getting these 8 (10) so-called commandments added, all of which are on the subject of “Nakedness, sex & marriage.” It was a progressive discovery, starting with 3 of these & gradually increasing over the years, to 10 (which are actually 9, for #8 overlaps #6).

Important Portions From “Far-Below:” (Many more “portions” are yet to be added).

(New, Dec. 15, 2020) (moved here from far-below & improved a little (Much more is still needed))

Here Are Some Reasons Why These 8 (10) Cause “the Opposite” of What You Think:

The Lord allowed these false teachings to continue till now, because it was “do-able,” but exposing them now at the end of time, reveals the great contrast between the two systems (“the false” & “the true”). This great contrast reveals how wonderful the Lord really is.

These 8 false-restrictions are do-able, but the big draw-back is that most people fall into sin because of these excessive restrictions. For at least 40 years, roughly 10-20% of those under 30

rebel (& some of them severely rebel). That small minority are the ones (they & the people that have always been ungodly) are the reason why the world has become so wicked.

But also, there are an additional 25-50% that leave God & their church & say that they “aren't interested in God.” They give all kinds of reasons & seldom tell the real reason why—because of these excess restrictions. Many will quickly come back (rather than waiting many years to come back) when they find out that these 8 (10) are counterfeit commandments, & they will become devoted, loyal Christians (loyal to God, not just loyal to their church members). You will have to wait till this movement takes-hold among those that haven't been interested in God & have even hated God, because of His excessive-restrictions.

And many of the remaining youth (& older “singles” & celibates) that try to follow God, eventually give-in to sex that is truly forbidden, because of believing that it is wrong to relieve their sex-drive (through appropriate self-masturbation, believing that any sex at all as categorically-wrong). A person's sex-drive becomes huge if they don't periodically relieve that sex-drive (1 Cor 7:5b, see vss 2-5).

But even married people who do follow God find themselves coveting to have sex with someone else, or coveting to have someone-else (instead of their spouse), with many giving-in to cheating—or even leaving their spouse for someone else. (It is not as bad as it was several years ago, because the Devil has now been stopped from doing some super-unfair things that were inducing these terrible sins). But married Christians still have a problem, because these 8 false-restrictions are blocking God's primary ways of escape from sexual- & marriage-temptations.

[Fleeing fornication may be a way of escape, but it is super-temporary & certainly doesn't satisfy their heart nor their sex-drive. They often find themselves tempted again & again & again, for their self-control is super-weak (because of not relieving themselves, sexually).

[And even married people who satisfy their sex-drive, still find themselves repeatedly tempted in regard to someone else (not tempted as badly as from refraining from sex, but still tempted), for they never find satisfaction from that person that they are attracted to, being forbidden from even having a hug or a kiss (& especially not JSS-Love) with that person that they love. So, their temptation continues on & on, for they never find satisfaction on what God does allow them to have. God's ways of escape generally provide satisfaction (“you can do this, but not that”).]

But it isn't just the “singles” & “the celibates” that

have a terrible problem, when under these 8 false-prohibitions. Most married people relieve their sex-drive through their spouse, & so their sex-drive isn't as much of a problem. But they, too, fall because of “getting interested in” or becoming attracted to “someone else!”

There used to be a terrible problem with people “leaving their spouse for someone else,” but the divorce rate (the number of divorces per thousand marriages) has been diminishing & is now lower than it has been in 45 years. Satan was doing that within the Lord's local churches, in order to claim that Christianity was no better than “the world,” but he (& his angels) are no longer allowed to do those super-unfair things they were doing.

Nevertheless there are still people in the churches & many people in the world that have either been cheating on their spouse, or are leaving their spouse for “someone else.” Many will cease, & even “repair” their broken marriages, when they learn these new teachings.

(New, Feb. 8, 2021) (clarified)

These 8 false-teachings are how the Devil has succeeded in

causing Christians to fall, one way or another, either 1) Young Christians leaving God & rebelling (roughly, 10-20% of the youth); or 2) by many disobeying what they thought was

wrong (being naked to gether), thus becoming slaves to nakedness, which leads to much-worse sins; or 3) some by becoming “peeping Toms;” or 4) by many giving-in to “husband & wife” premarital-sex that is truly forbidden, & many others having sexual-intercourse with anyone & “everyone; or 5) many getting abortions because of accidentally getting pregnant (far-less likely with JSS-Love (only if they are careless)); or 6) by many “singles” & married people (& even some women) giving-in to prostitutes, thus receiving a prostitute's reward (1 Cor 6:13b-18); or 7) by child molesting, truly molesting, not just sharing love through JSS; or 8) by doing sex-trafficking; or 9) by cheating on their spouse, or 10) in leaving their spouse for someone else; (far better to add-on a spouse, while still loving the other, than to hate & desert their spouse for someone-else); or 10) by even a few of them taking people permanently captive for sexual purposes, or 11) by (a very-few) doing “chain-rapes” & even chain-rape-murders.

But the list doesn't end there. When a person breaks away from even one of God's standards, he becomes inclined (as time goes on) to throw out every restriction that gets in his/her way. Since he/she has thrown-out God, then he/she may as well throw-out all of the rest that God stands for:

12) cursing & swearing & dirty language, 13) getting drunk or high on drugs, 14) stealing to get what he/she wants, 13) lying when is to his/her advantage (& even becoming a perpetual liar that lies about everything), 14) doesn't hesitate to bear false witness, 15) becomes filled with hate & 16) doesn't even hesitate to murder (or hire someone to murder), if he/she thinks that he/she can get away with it (& sometimes even if they that they will get caught).

Yes, much of the grossly-wicked things are done by people that have completely rebelled from God (or never knew God at all). But all of those who break-away, even on something that isn't actually wrong, though they think it is, are following the same path as these rebels, except at a much slower pace.

All of these sins will almost vanish—not in everyone, but in those who learn about these false-teachings & thus, after hearing the Gospel (for exposing these false-commandments isn't the Gospel), then they will turn to Jesus. (But there is an art that all of us will need to learn, in order to reaching them,

And believe me, when Christians latch-hold of these new teachings, & when they seek those who “aren't interested,” or even hate God, & when the Holy-Spirit is poured out to help us reach them, & when Satan is stopped from inducing sinners onward, then multitudes of these fallen souls will turn to Jesus & start following Him.

Everyone is so adamant about abortions, & yet have severely opposed JSS-Love, which can vastly solve the problem—by them not getting pregnant (& by them being less apt to catch sexual-diseases as well—except AIDS, which can be transmitted from fluid in the breasts & clitoris)! And God has even solved that problem as well, by the Lord giving that person the PIN-Number that his/her friend is asking him/her for (discussed below) to see whether it is safe to share love! Hey! Wake-up, people!!!

Those that severely-rebel (& people that were already wicked) are the ones that end-up doing every imaginable- & extremely-wicked thing. All of these terrible things will greatly diminish (but not vanish) when these 8 (10) false teachings are removed—because many of those rebels will now start following Jesus (& follow these new teachings, now that they see that God is a lot more reasonable)--that is, if we bring this new, modified-Gospel to them.

And yes, each of your denominations have different teachings, but all of your denominations can

spread this modified-gospel, for all that we are doing is exposing these 8 (10) false-teachings. You are welcome to include your church's special teachings in your own modified-Gospel.

Now, don't tell me that there hasn't been a high percentage of people that have fallen from one or more of these 11 different temptations (collectively). Haven't there been more nakedness- & sexual- & marriage-sins (collectively), than all of the other sins combined??? *Yes, there have been, & the Devil's 8 (10) false-teachings are why that is so many sexual- & marriage-type sins.* . .

That is why the Devil set-up these 8 (10) false-prohibitions, to get many more people to fall. These problems will greatly diminish when we get rid of these 8 (10) false prohibitions, because they provide several of God's best ways of escape from these various temptations (“the way of escape,” 1 Cor 10:13, NKJV & ESV, see vss 1-13). [That is also why Satan added the 8 (10) teachings: to take away several of God's primary ways of escape from various sexual- & marriage-temptations].

But Satan has caused still-other sins by forbidding these things.

You see, if you believe that something is wrong—and it isn't—then you would be sinning if you did it, even if it isn't truly-wrong. These kids (& college students) that have been naked together (when Mom & Dad are not around) have been sinning a serious sin, even though, technically, being naked together isn't actually wrong! Why???

Rom. 14:23 says so (see vss 13-23), but you can realize that it is a sin if you even think that it think is wrong, even though, technically, it isn't wrong. Think about it. If you believe that it is wrong & you do it, then what are you doing??? You are disobeying, even defying God!

And what happens to their heart when they disobey & defy God? Their thoughts & their heart turn away from God & away from the rest of His principles as well. They are already lost from God from the very start, for their hearts have turned away from the Lord & are already aiming toward bigger & bigger sins as they grow older.

They end-up using dirty-filthy language, become inclined toward cigarettes & beer & drugs & other sinful things. (Those things become sinful because they over-indulge on them [Serious-drugs? Don't even touch them, for it is too dangerous] & use them for sinful purposes). So, the Devil has also succeeded in getting them to fall, even though being naked wasn't actually wrong (in God's eyes). For even their disobeying on something that isn't wrong, turned their hearts away from God!

By the way, this 8th one on nakedness includes the 6th one, & so, there are still-actually seven false-prohibitions. It is just that the 6th one now includes nakedness with anyone (by consensus) in private (if you are a Christian, but “not so” for Jews, but even Jews can be naked (by consensus) with 95% of a small city of 2,000). Though it is best to refrain from being naked with others if your spouse doesn't approve.

No, we aren't saying that nakedness (or any of the “8”) can't be wrong, for it becomes wrong if their purpose in being naked, is to do what is truly wrong. But if you (& they) eliminate all 8 false-prohibitions, & stop calling them “sin,” then it is feasible to be visibly naked with others without truly sinning within your heart nor within your mind nor in your actions.

Yes, this is so shocking for you conservative people, that you can't even imagine how you could keep your heart from sinning. But is that really so??? There is now only one sexual-sin, & that is sexual-intercourse with someone that you are not married to. But God has now provided excellent ways of escape (JSS-Love) that are just as good—even better than sin. Once you are convinced, then your heart & mind will gradually realize that you can be free from sin in doing that. (Ask the Lord to help you & He will).

(New, Aug 22, 2021): new

Why Would the Lord Give Us Such a Shocking Message in Our Day?

Those of you who are returning, already know what a shocking article this is, & surely you have been wondering whether such a shocking message as this, could even be true. For there never has been anything in Christianity as shocking as this, even more shocking than the discovery of “salvation by faith” 500 years ago. So, you wonder why there should be anything so disturbing in our day?

Jesus prophesied that all-things will be restored (Matt 17:11, written in the future tense in the original language⁶, see vss 1-13). Well, this message is part what will be restored. But don't get the wrong idea. The great majority of the basic, Christian teachings are correct & are not affected in the slightest by this E-book.

Well then, doesn't it make sense that the Lord would correct these 8 (10) teachings that are false & are truly harming “Christianity” (as we have shown above)—as well as harming Islam & Buddhism & Hinduism, for these religions have also believed these false teachings & are also suffering from immorality, indirectly generated from these false teachings, though not suffering as badly as we Christians (& there is a reason why)???

Yes, this message is so shocking that “it blows our mind,” but this discovery will not only be the answer to many sin-problems within churches, but will also provide the answer to reaching our neighbor next-door, who is “not interested in God” or even hates God (even “down & outs”).

But that isn't all. These new teachings will also help Muslims, Buddhists & Hindus to turn to Christianity. For their religions don't have a savior to pay for their sins—nor do they have the Holy-Spirit to enable them to overcome sin—nor do they have these new teachings that make it a lot easier to live a righteous life. Up till “now” (till the last few years), it was almost impossible to reach these religions with the Gospel.

But when the Lord gives back the full-blown Holy-Spirit, even greater than it was in the days of the Apostles (for the Lord always shows Himself bigger & better than He was before), then we can reach all of these people—1) those that are not interested in God & 2) even “the down & outs” & those who hate God, & 3) even all of the other religions of the world (Muslims (& terrorists!) & Buddhists & Hindus & Yezidis & spirit worshipers & even “Satan worshipers.” This is something that never happened in the days of the Apostles (nor at any other time)!

Believe it or not, hidden in the Bible is a prophecy that reveals that the whole world will be of one religion (Christianity)—before the Devil even begins the Antichrist. And where is that prophecy??? You see, the Antichrist (which happens after the first-seal of Revelation) will gain authority over “every tribe & people & language & nation” (Rev 13:7b, ESV, see whole chapter).

We Bible-believers have “always” thought that the Antichrist will happen over a certain, finite portion of the world (e.g. the USA & Europe). Far from it!!! Jesus prophesied, “And this gospel of the kingdom will be preached in all the world, & then the end will come.” (Matt 24:16, NKJV, see whole chapt.).

So, the Antichrist will gain authority over the whole (inhabited) world (but not in the deserts &

⁶ Some have thought that this text (Matt 17:11-12) was only talking about John the Baptist, but there are a couple of Greek connecting-words in the Greek text that reveal that Jesus was talking about two “people” (not one): 1) someone (or some group) that will eventually restore all things, & 2) John the Baptist, who had already died (Matt 14:1-12).

wildernesses, where God's people will flee (Rev 12:13-17, see whole chapt.), For when the 1260 days of the Antichrist's reign are completed, & then when the Antichrist is exposed, then the very-last call on earth will be made: “Come out of her my people, lest you share in her sins, & lest you receive of her plagues.” (Rev. 18:4, NKJV, see vss 1-8).

Then will come the 7 last plagues (Rev. 15 & 16). And then Jesus comes in the clouds of glory, to first resurrect all of “the dead in Christ” (1 Thess 4:16-17, NKJV, see vss 13-18). And then (Jesus' Bride) “Then we who are alive [&] remain shall be caught-up together with them in the clouds to meet the Lord in the air” (vs 17 in connection with Rev. 19:7-8, see vss 1-8; also see Rev. 7:14-15 (see vss 9-17) to see who the Bride will be, who will go through “the great tribulation,” (vs 14) i.e. Armageddon (Rev 16:16, see vss 12-16)).

OBJECTION: “Oh, that will never happen! The Muslims, the Buddhists & the Hindus are, each, super-loyal to their own religion & stubbornly cling to their beliefs. There's no way that Buddhists, Hindus, Muslims & Christians will all follow the same Antichrist!”

Bible-believers, let's face it. They are right—that is—if they are still following their old religions. The only way that the Antichrist can gain authority over every nation, from the North Pole to the South Pole, is if there is only one basic-religion, prior to the Antichrist. But as impossible as it may seem at the present time, the vast majority of these other religions will eventually become loyal Christians—before Satan is let loose to do the Antichrist. That gives every one a chance to escape (“rapture,” which 7th day Adventists thought didn't exist, (see Rev. 3:10, see vss 7-13 & Rev 14:4b, see vss 1-5), which happens before the final “3 angel's messages” (Rev 14:6-12)).

As we have shown from science & the Bible, the Lord (on the sub-atomic level) foresaw every “electron” of the whole story. That is why the Bible's prophecies have been so accurate. So, “just take it as a grain of salt” that the whole world will eventually become Christian, which implies Muslims (& terrorists) & Buddhists & Hindus will eventually eventually become Christian). So, when you see Islam & terrorism crumbling, & when you see Buddhism & Hinduism crumbling, then just know that it is the Lord, gradually working out His-own plans & purposes.

And surely you can guess that exposing these 8 (10) false-commandments are one of the reasons why the whole world will become Christian. Because even the Orthodox-Christians & the Catholics will even latch-hold to these new teachings, when they see the great success that we will be having in reaching “rebels.” Of course, none of this will happen without the Lord, pouring out the Holy-Spirit, much-like He did 2,000 years ago. There is no genuine success without the Holy-Spirit, reaching & convicting people.

It is essential to restore these teachings, so that 1) lots more Christians will live much-more like Jesus taught us to. And thus, 1) God's people will be a much greater witness to the world, & 2) so that we can reach those that haven't been interested in God. Up till now, those people haven't been interested in God, because of Satan's false teachings in Christianity chase them away—yes, chased away by the traditional teachings that virtually-everyone has always, firmly-believed were true.

For they are why the churches have been so weak, & also why so many people aren't interested in God. But all of that will soon change when these false teachings are eliminated, & when the Lord gives back His glorious, full-blown, Holy Spirit, more powerful & much sweeter than ever!

STILL MORE THINGS BROUGHT FROM BELOW: (soon)

OUR FUTURE “DIVIDING LINE:” We will start putting the important portions above this line.

But Don't Get the Wrong Idea:

No, we aren't talking about the 10 commandments (except to correct the meaning of one of them, so that it conforms to what the Bible truly-does say. Nor are we talking about any other valid commandments, where the Bible clearly says that it is wrong (such as being naked in public, Rev. 16:15, see whole chapter).

On these “8 (10),” the Lord (through the Bible) never clearly said that even one of them is wrong, nor did He ever say, “You shall not...” Now if these “8 (10)” are truly valid commandments, then why didn't the Bible clearly say “You shall not...” or that it is wrong??? Now, isn't that something to ponder over?

God (through the Bible) never even mentions several of these, so-called commandments, that “everyone knows” are true. But on all 10 of them, the Holy Bible, (the only genuine rule of authority, never says “You shall not...” on even one of them. [Yes, Orthodox-Christians (& Catholics), tradition can be safe, but tradition can be wrong at times. For (as you will see) these false teachings began & have been believed, since the days of Adam & Eve, before any tradition had ever gotten started].

Nor does the Bible ever plainly state that any of these so-called “commandments” are wrong—not even on one of them! Those who know the Bible well, who have carefully read it several times, agree. Not one of those (who know the Bible well) has shown where it is in the Bible—not even on one of them—because it *isn't there*!

What About “Nakedness Only With Your Spouse?”

The Bible does clearly state what is truly wrong, on nakedness, sex & marriage. E.g. it is wrong to be naked in public (Rev 16:15, see whole chapt.), like Adam & Eve suddenly found themselves (Gen 3:7 & 11, see vss 1-11). God placed Adam & Eve in the Garden of Eden (Gen 2:8, see vss 4-9), which was huge.

This situation was far different from being naked in a bedroom where no one else could see. The Garden of Eden was a public place, for the Lord came & visited them every evening, & surely angels also came at times to counsel them.

They try to show that nakedness in private is also wrong, using Gen. 9:23 (see vss 20-27), but they don't realize that-that only reveals what they believed back then, for these false commandments began not long after man fell. It doesn't reveal whether God commanded “not to see the nakedness of their father” or not. God would never use such a statement as a commandment, because it only convinces those that think that that-commandment is true. Because that text only reveals what they believed. It doesn't reveal whether that “commandment” came from God or from Adam & Eve.

And then they use Lev. 18:7 (see vss 1-19) to show that it is wrong to see the nakedness of your father or mother. But again, they fail to notice that this whole section (Lev 18) is written in old-covenant fashion, specifying every tiny-detail, instead of stating the general principle. So does that commandment about the father's & mother's nakedness, still apply to Christians today??? Didn't the

Old Covenant get nailed to the Cross (Col 2:14, see vss 13-17)??? Also, Lev. 18 only specifies close relatives & says absolutely nothing about being/seeing naked with anyone else (e.g. with your friend or with your neighbor, in private, of course)—not anywhere in the entire Bible. And they want to tell us that God forbade us from being naked with anyone else, except your spouse??? Sorry, the evidence isn't there—not in the slightest. Yes, we realize that this is absolutely repulsive to you conservative Christians. Why is it so repulsive? It is because we have been “programmed that way” from birth, onward. But obviously, God doesn't think that it is repulsive. Otherwise, He would have clearly said, “You shall not...” on being naked with someone, or at least, would have warned us to not be naked with anyone except your spouse—which He never said either one.

. Since the Lord didn't even say something to warn us, then it is clear that it is not wrong—not in *God's* eyes—like we have made it to be. Nakedness in private with someone can't be wrong (of itself), not even a little-bit wrongs (in *God's* eyes, for otherwise, He would have said something (through the scriptures, 2 Tim 3:16-17) to, warn us. But at the same time, we agree that is about the most dangerous thing you can do & yet, still keep from sharing love through sex. I think that is why the Lord didn't open our eyes to this 8th one (nakedness in private) until all of the others were discovered. Because if you didn't know that the other so-called commandments are false, then you would surely “go all the way” & do that which the Lord truly forbids.

But in realizing that all of these 8 (10) are false, then you have an acceptable way-out to share sexual-love (i.e. #2), JSS-Love)—a way that God has even hidden His approval of. So, the argument about ending up in bed with each other, is no longer a valid reason to forbid nakedness (in private), for the Lord approves of them sharing JSS-Love together.

Also, it is clearly written that it is wrong to commit adultery (e.g. James 2:11, see vss 8-11) &/or fornication (Acts 15:29b, see vss 23-30). Also, it is wrong to divorce your spouse & marry another (Matt 19:9, see vss 3-12)].

All of these genuine-commandments are wrong because the Bible clearly says so, But the Bible never states such a thing on these 8 (10), & probably not in the Apocrypha either (which is found in the Catholic & Orthodox Bibles). We Protestants don't accept the Apocrypha of the Roman Catholics, nor the Apocrypha of the Orthodox-Christians. Some of the Apocrypha is good history, but certainly not “Bible.”

Now if these 8 (10) so-called commandments were genuine, then why didn't God say, “You shall not...” (in the Bible)??? Or why didn't He, at least, have the scriptures say that each one of them is wrong??? Now, on a few of these, you may think that the Lord didn't need to say that. But how is it that the Lord didn't say that on all 8 (10), & all of them on the very-same subject??? Now, doesn't that “give you an extra clue” that “something is pretty-fishy about” these 8 (10) so-called “commandments?”

And yet everyone, including me (before discovering these things), has been completely convinced that there couldn't be any way that these 8 (10) could be counterfeit. But the Devil has been constantly instilling these beliefs in the hearts & minds & consciences of not only us, but even of the heathen, who worship false gods.

You can't believe that? Just check their beliefs & you will find most of these “8 (10)” beliefs. That is because it was Satan & his hosts (not God) that instilled these “values” in their hearts & minds. Satan did that, so that all of his devils could get more people to either, 1) rebel against God, or 2) fall into genuine sexual- & marriage-sins, while attempting to follow these supposed commandments!

That is why so many Hindus & Buddhists & Muslims have fallen into genuine sexual- & Marriage-sins. That is also why many Catholic priests & other celibates have fallen into terrible sins (see below), because of heeding these false commandments.

The evil angels have been master-artists at even counterfeiting the Holy Spirit. That is why many who have read this website haven't yet believed, because the devils pretended to be the Holy Spirit & lied to them. We know from experience, that you think (including myself, many times), that it surely has to be the true Holy Spirit, when it isn't! But the Lord has ways of exposing lies. So don't worry; the truth will eventually be found out.

But all of that is soon to cease. For God has a surprise coming for us. It is hidden in the Bible, (but the Lord couldn't open our eyes to it yet, not till our day. For Christians “would have sat on their hands, doing nothing“ for 2,000 years, waiting for that wonderful day to come.

[No, it won't be nearly as wonderful as Heaven, but it will be better than any person has ever-yet experienced on this earth—but only for a span of time, while we take the Gospel to the world. Then after that, Satan will be let loose to do the work of the Antichrist! (Read on)].

Someday, we plan to “list here” what these 8 (10) are, so that there is no confusion. Up till now, we haven't done that, because many of you would automatically rule-out some of these, because you think that you have a text in the Bible that justifies them. Thus, you might immediately reject the whole issue, not realizing that God doesn't use texts like those to prove anything. We reveal how every-one of those texts is not adequate to prove anything.

So, we Christians have been following these 8 (10) traditions for 2,000 years, & we didn't even realize it! Remember, Jesus severely condemned the teaching of traditions,⁷ especially if they aren't taught in the Bible, “...teaching as doctrines the commandments of men.” (Matt 15:9b, see vss 1-20), like the Pharisees did. (Catholic- & Orthodox-Christians, please see footnote).

Continuing On Why... So Much About Sex:

So, it was not us, but what Satan had done, that moved us to expose his evil teachings. Yes, “everyone” has thought that these were virtuous commandments, but are actually Satan's trap (Ps 9:14-17), Yes, we know. They seem so virtuous, & yet, they are evil & (as you will see) are the main reason why (with Satan's “help”) the world has always been wicked (to some extent), starting from the days of Adam & Eve, all the way till now. They are the main reason why the world (with the devils' help) became so wicked (before Noah's Flood) that God had to destroy all of them except Noah & his family (see the 2nd E-book on this website).

[We realize that many of you readers do not believe that there really was an Adam & Eve. Nor have you believed that there really is a fallen angel called “Lucifer, Satan, the Devil, the “Destroyer,” etc. But if you will read-on, you will find many evidences & become convinced that

⁷ Orthodox-Christians (& Catholics), it is not wrong to follow tradition, as long as it is truly justified in the Bible. But these 8 (10) so-called commandments are not in the Bible. Therefore, you cannot justify these 8 (10) false teachings.

As you will see, these false-commandments were established long before tradition ever started. Satan succeeded in persuading Adam & Eve before their first children had even reached puberty. That was more than 1,000 years before Noah & the Flood.

So, all of this happened long before any tradition (even before the Jewish tradition of Pharisee-ism). What we are saying is, that this issue is an issue that you Orthodox-Christians can justifiably-examine. For it all started long before any “tradition” had ever started, & consequently, has “polluted” every tradition from the beginning of time. The same thing is true for the Roman Catholics. Priests & Bishops & even the Pope (& even the members) also need to consider this issue, for all of us have always believed that these things were so. For these false-beliefs have permeated all of history, without them knowing it. So, even the Pope has always believed these same, false teachings.

If Catholicism & Orthodoxy reject this discovery, then they will probably lose a lot of their members when this discovery takes-hold on “Christianity,” But even more than that, Catholicism & Orthodoxy will miss-out on reaching multitudes of people that “aren't interested in God,” or “...don't like God” or even “hate God.” For multitudes of lost people will soon realize that God isn't nearly as bad as they thought. No, this hasn't happened yet, but it will soon burst-forth! So, Orthodox & Catholic leaders, do what you can encourage your leaders to join us.

he really does exist, & that he really is to blame for 8 (10) so called commandments (on this subject, actually 7—God's number—for the 8th overlaps the 6th) that everyone has believed were true. Even I believed that they were true only 18 years ago, which is only a small portion of my life (being an old man)].

In fact when we started writing this website 7 years ago, we only knew of 3 of these false teachings & had not yet realized that it was Satan that “wormed-in” these false commandments, not long after the fall of Adam & Eve. (Liberals, if you will carefully read this, you will also be convinced that Adam & Eve really did exist & that they really did fall from a sin-free life).

Yes, reader, “sex & marriage” is about all that we talk about on this website because that is what the subject is. But please think (ponder) about how important this subject would be if it really is true that these “8 (10)” really are false commandments. What would our whole life-style be like?

(New, Jan. 9, 2021) (next several paragraphs)

[To find the main up-dates, search “(New, ”].

If These 8 (10) Were Negated, Would the World Get Better or Worse?

Many of you already believe that the whole world would become much-worse if these so-called commandments were negated. But if it truly were Satan that “wormed” these in, then would our life-style be better or worse??? No, we contend that Satan added those so-called commandments to destroy many people's relationship with God & thus move them to rebel against Him.

But that is “only the tip of the iceberg” of Satan's trap. In fact, many (in fact, most) of those who have tried to follow the Lord have fallen into sin, largely-because of following these false commandments. It is amazing that when these people give-in to sin, they hardly-ever break these so-called commandments. Instead, Satan moves them to break what God truly forbids, i.e. what the Lord truly-says, “You shall not...”.

We also contend that much sin & sorrow will now “evaporate” from the lives of many, especially “evaporate” from those that don't like God. If you do not think so, then just wait & you will see a demonstration that their life-styles truly-are much-better. For “you shall know them by their fruits” (Matt 7:16, see vss 13-23)].

And think (ponder) over what it would imply if Satan really is the one who “wormed-in” these 8 (10) false-commandments. Think (ponder), what would be Satan's evil-motives in doing that, & what harm has it already done, down through the ages???

We discuss all of these from both pro- & con- viewpoints. We think that we have now discussed this issue so thoroughly, that 1) if you are a Bible-believer, 2) if you shut-off your emotions & 3) if you carefully examine our case objectively, then there is only one conclusion that you can come to (as a Bible believer)—that these 8 (10) have to be false.

God is Full of Surprises

Some of you may wonder why you are even checking into this. After all, “everyone knows” that you can only have one spouse: “Therefore a man shall leave his father & mother & hold fast to his wife, & they shall become one flesh.” (Gen. 2:24, ESV). “Also, everyone 'knows' that it is wrong to be naked with anyone except your spouse. After all, Adam & Eve knew (when they fell, Gen 3:7, see vss 1-11), & even Noah's sons knew that it was wrong to see their father's nakedness (Gen 9:20-23), etc.”

We agree that everyone “knows” this, but do these texts really mean what you think they mean??? “Everyone” knows (& has known) that these things are wrong, but where did they get that “knowledge” from? (We found 8 “commandments” that everyone “knows,” but God never commanded them in the (Christian) Holy Bible). Yes, “everyone” thinks that the 3 texts above prove “only one spouse” & prove “nakedness with anyone else.” But do they???

If you have read many stories in the Old Testament, then you surely know that God is full of

surprises. Every time that they got into trouble, the Lord delivered them as soon as they started repenting. But did you notice (as well as I can remember), that the Lord never delivered them the same way twice? He always saved them in a way that they didn't expect.

(New, Jan. 9, 2021) (next several paragraphs)

[To find the main up-dates, search “(New, ”].

Well, it is the same way with this message. No one expected that we would find 8 well-known “commandments” (actually 7, God's number, for the 8th over-laps the 6th) that God never taught in the Scriptures. And yes, there is a reason why Jesus didn't reveal it back then (He & the Father were saving it for our day, 2000 years later, in order to get Satan caught in his very-own trap (Ps 7:14-16)).

And “everyone” looks at these 8 (+ 2 more that the Bible speaks contrary to) & says, “**No!** These 8 (10) are virtuous commandments that guard against corruption. So how can you say that these 8 (10) commandments are Satan's trap???”

Yes, these 8 (10) so-called commandments look virtuous & noble. But you will see (plenty of evidence below) that these false-commandments are largely-why the world has always been so wicked, & also largely-why the world has become especially wicked in our day (only a few years ago).

In fact, they are the primary reason (not the only reason) why the pre-Flood (Noah's Flood) people became so wicked so quickly (within only 1656 years)—so utterly wicked that the Lord had to destroy all of them with a flood (except for Noah & his family).

The Bible says that every imagination of their heart was only evil continually (Gen. 6:5, see vss 1-12)—far more wicked (virtually all of them, vss 11-12) than we have ever seen in all of the history of the world since that time! Believe it or not, the Lord even hid clues in the Bible to help us see why the world became so wicked so quickly.

The primary cause was because of rebelling against these 8 (10) so-called commandments—especially the 3rd one (divorcing (& often murdering) in order to marry someone-else—because they were told to “only have one spouse”). We made a separate E-book (WhyNoahsFlood.pdf) on the website so that you can turn to it at any time that you wish.

(New, Oct. 30, 2020) (next several paragraphs)

But reader, if you are “witch-hunting,” then there is no use for you to even read further. For you won't believe the things that we prove from the Bible “without a shadow of a doubt” (in our eyes). For you will only be hastily-looking for ways to prove it wrong & won't be prayerfully-looking to see whether it could be true or not. Plus, you will have the Devil, counterfeiting the Holy Spirit, assuring you, “Oh, these teachings are evil!” And he will assure you, That's what it means!,” on those texts that both sides view differently.

Keep in mind, Conservatives, we had always believed the same way that you presently believe. But the Lord gradually opened our eyes (from the scriptures, & the scriptures only), first on two (3) false-commandments, & then later on, another, & still later on, another one, till finally, we found 8 (10) false-commandments that the Bible doesn't teach [actually 7 (9), God's number, for the 8th overlaps the 6th (9 total, 2 of which the Bible actually speaks against, but Christians still claim that they are true)].

And yes, you have a text or two on a few of these so-called commandments, that convinces you that they have to be true. But does it convince us Bible-believing people who see it the opposite way??? No, because each of these texts can also be legitimately-understood from the opposite view-point.

Bible-believers, God never uses a text to prove something, when that text can be understood from 2 opposite view-points. No, if something is wrong, then the Lord always makes it clear, by either

saying “You shall not...” or at least, by clearly-declaring that it is wrong. Why? So that Christians “on both sides of the fence” can clearly see “which side of the fence” that God is on.

Now, doesn't that make sense? Isn't the Lord “all-wise” & “all-knowing?” Well then, it only makes sense that He would make it clear, if it truly is wrong. Why? Because God is all-knowing; He foresaw the problem. And since “God is love” & loves Christians on “both sides of the fence,” then He always makes it clear for all Christians “on any side of the fence.”

Read that last paragraph again & again, & reflect on it, & pray about it. For if you can see light in that last statement that we made, then when you carefully, prayerfully examine our case, then you will see that this website really is true (perhaps having some errors in some places, but basically true).

“Liberals,”

your suspicions have been correct that “there is something fishy about” the traditional teachings on nakedness, sex & marriage. But we want to apologize, for our primary focus is on Bible-believing Christians, who believe that the accounts in the Bible really happened. So we are proving our case for their sake.

So “Liberals,” read what you can and skip over the parts that are unacceptable to you—but don't skip too much, or you will miss-out on important things that you need to see.

Oh, how we wish that we could take time to inform you: We agree that the universe is billions of years old, for it doesn't conflict with the Bible (except for “the Big-Bang” theory). Most Bible-believing engineers & scientists also agree.

But most of you have never seen the huge number of evidences that God really did remake this world, from “without form & void” into a beautiful world (with people), only a few thousand years ago.

Our schools & “Society” have covered-up these evidences. E.g. Atheistic scientists in positions of responsibility, have kept-on refusing to investigate the remains of giant, normal-looking, human-skeletons in coal mines. They have been repeatedly found for 100 years, as much as 18 ft. tall in 1968, & now, as much as 24 ft.).

But that isn't all.

Even this Bible-proof (in this website) helps the Bible fit-together so beautifully, that you liberals may want to read the portions about Adam & Eve & Noah.

For this Bible-proof is just one-more evidence (actually 2 or 3 evidences) that the accounts in the Bible really did happen, just like it says.

(New, Nov. 28, 2020): new revised]

[Search “(New, ” to see the primary places where we added or

A Super-Brief “Picture” of Our Case

[Don't worry about this file being so terribly-long. Sorry, we haven't had time to clean-out the redundant things. We always felt that it was more important to present something that would convince the majority of you, than to clean-out older attempts that were similar. When it is too redundant, then just “skip on down to” what you haven't read about.

When things settle-down, then we will delete the “redundant stuff.” But our real prayer is for someone else, preferably a couple of godly, Bible-believing women writers (at least one), to write this in her own words. For a godly, Bible-believing woman can convince much better than we can. For “everyone thinks” that

any man that even talks about these things is a sex-nut. Not so with us, but “everyone” has suspected it].

(New, Sep. 27, 2020) new

We found that there are 8 common, false-commandments that God never made (never stated in the Bible that they were wrong, though most Christians have thought that a few of them were). We are not the only ones that have noticed this silence on forbidding these 8 false-commandments. (Also, no preacher has ever shown us a “you shall not...” on these 8, nor a statement where it declares even one of these 8 to be wrong.

All four major religions of the world, all believe in these same, 8 false-commandments: Buddhism, Hinduism, Islam (Muslims, & yes, they believe in more than one wife, but forbid women to have more than one husband), & even Christianity! Of course, we Bible-believing Christians believe that all other religions, except Judaism & Christianity, are of the Devil.

Some liberals think that there is some truth in these other non-Christian religions. Because they have “the same” commandments as we Christians. But Bible-believing Christians believe that Satan did that (which many liberals don't think he exists), in order to persuade people to believe that all of these religions are good to a certain extent.

But none of the non-Christian religions have a sinless-Savior (e.g. 1 Pet 2:21-25) who died for them, that they might be forgiven. No, all other religions are “works religions,” where they earn their way to... whatever.

In Christianity, it is impossible to earn your way to Heaven, for every-last one of us has sinned in the past (Ps 14), & the wages of sin is death (Rom 6:23). Even most of the liberals believe that. So, Christianity is infinitely superior by us being justified apart from our works (Rom 3:22, see vss 20-23). And besides, Christ-Himself said that no one could do any good without Jesus dwelling in him/her (John 15:4-8).

So, it is absolutely impossible to even live a sinless life without Jesus dwelling in your heart. So, that makes it doubly clear that no-one can earn Heaven. We are saved by Jesus: 1) paying for your sins (by suffering on the Cross for you) & 2) by Him dwelling inside of you (through the Holy-Spirit, thus enabling you to live a good life. No, we aren't saved by that good life, but we are to live a good life (through Jesus), because that is what Jesus calls us to do (John 15:8-11).

The reason why we mention these 3 false religions is because it gives you “a good clue” on who got these false commandments started, long ago. It was Satan (& his hosts), not God. That is why these same “8” are also in the other 3 major religions.

Because, (though it is hard to believe until you see it:), a lot more people fall into sin, that truly is sin, because of these 8 false commandments. As you will see, these 8 (10) are why a significant percentage (perhaps 10% of former Christians & 10% of others) completely rebel against God & all that He stands for. There have been a significant percentage of “complete rebels” since the days of Adam & Eve, & that is why the world has always been wicked—sometimes more wicked than formerly, as we have seen it in the last 20 years, & sometimes in the past, less wicked.

And why do they rebel? Largely because of these “8 (10).” When these 8 (10) are removed, then there will not be nearly as many “rebels.”

It is Satan's biggest trap, because it looks so virtuous, but at the same time, causes so many to fall into genuine-sin. We realize that you Bible-believing people believe that these 8 (10) are not overly restrictive, but this is why our churches have had so many young people leave the church (between 45% & 70%, depending on the denomination, 60% average). In fact, we would guess that 10--20% not only leave “church,” but also angrily-rebel & hate God. And they are the ones that have made this world so terribly wicked.

And that is why Satan put those 8 (& one of the remaining 2, except Islam) in these 3 non-Christian religions. So that most of the followers of these false religions would also fall into true-sin (or violently rebel & hate that god)—and also, to get people (including Christians) to think that these false religions are good, too. False, false, false. They lure people away from the true religion (Christianity), where they could be saved by Jesus shed-blood & by believing & following Jesus.

(New, Nov. 27 & Oct. 6, 2020) major section expanded & clarified

What Do These False-Commandments Deal With?

(New, Dec. 11, 2020) (next 3 paragraphs & various statements throughout the section)

All 8 of them deal with nakedness, sex & marriage, which have always been mankind's biggest problems. No, we didn't pick which commandments are false, nor did we pick this topic. It was Satan that “picked” these false-commandments—for they look so innocent!

In just looking at them, you would think that they would help prevent sexual-type sins—but in many actual cases it works exactly the opposite! That is why Satan tried to & succeeded in getting these added to the “morality list,” because they seem so virtuous. And yet they are to blame for much of the wickedness of the world (outside of the churches, because of rebelling from God because of these false-commandments), but also because they set-up multitudes of Christians (& Hindus & Buddhists & Muslims) to fall into that which is truly sin. .

No, we aren't throwing out God's true commandments on sex-type morality. What we are saying, is that the only commandments/rules that truly apply are the ones where the Lord (the Bible) clearly states so.

These false-commandments not only steal several primary. preferred-ways of escape from these temptations that many would have taken advantage of, if they had known that they were approved by God, Even King David would have done that with Bathsheba (instead of going in to her), if he had known that this was God's preferred way of escape. (Can you see that the whole story of the world would have been much different, since Jesus was born through King David & Bathsheba???)

(New, Jan. 9, 2021) (next 2 sections enhanced further)

But also, some of these false-commandments steal the primary source of maintaining self-control over sexual-temptations, & thus have outright-caused many young-people to have very-weak self-control (especially guys), & thus many have given-in to temptation & have lost their virginity & sometimes have even accidentally gotten somebody pregnant (or women that gave-in, having accidentally-gotten pregnant), because they thought that the lesser forms of sex were just as bad as sexual-intercourse)!

Again, these false teachings have outright-caused the self-control of many celibate-priests to weaken gradually, little by little, leading them to eventually give-in & do some terrible sexual sins (because they were taught “no sex whatsoever”).

You don't think so??? Just “read-on” & you will see why their self-control becomes weaker & weaker & weaker as the years go-by. Many of you people got married long before you got to that point. So, you never experienced how severe the temptations can become as you get older, if you believe that these false-commandments are wrong. I myself, found my self-control weakening, year after year, for it took a long time for me to find the special-wife that the Lord wanted me to have.

But celibates??? Since they don't even look for, nor find, a wife, their self-control keeps weakening over the years, because they have been taught that these things are wrong. To them, it seems like their temptations are getting stronger & stronger, but it is actually because the Devil keeps “getting a better foot-hold” on them each year, i.e. because their self-control is weakening. But their temptation really is getting bigger, but it is because they do not have good self-control to keep those temptations “shut-off.”

“But Don't Some of these Texts Show that Some of These Commandments Are True?”

Now, many Bible-believing Christians balk-at our statement that the Bible doesn't command some of “these 8.” For instance they insist that Gen. 2:24 (see vss 20-25) proves that a woman can only have one husband (& that a man can only have one wife).

But the problem is that God never uses vague statements like this (yes as you will see, it truly is a vague statement) First of all, such statements aren't even worded as a commandment, which isn't like God to not make it clear through wording it as a command.

But also, such statements can be interpreted two completely-different ways. The people who believe that it is wrong, see it one way, & the people who believe that it is a false-commandment, legitimately-see it a,different way—and we aren't talking about twisting the meaning of the statement. We are talking about two justifiable ways of understanding that incident or that statement:

Those that are against plural-spouses, justify their belief by saying, “Gen. 2:24 means that you can only have one spouse” (quoting: ‘...& they shall become one-flesh,’ ESV & NKJV). But those that are in favor of plural-spouses (in favor of both men & women having more than one spouse) reply, “That scripture doesn't say, ‘...and the two shall become one” (as a few translations falsely say).

Rather, that scripture says, ‘...the two shall become one-flesh.’ That scripture is talking about the couple consummating their marriage by becoming one-flesh, sexually, with each other (i.e. sexual-intercourse). And if you would like a 2nd text to back it up, even Jesus was careful to say “one flesh,” when He could have said “one” (Matt. 19:6, see vss 3-9), for Jesus was speaking, not quoting.

But those against plural-spouses acknowledge, “Yes, it does mean that, but it also means that the two are to only be one with each other in marriage, with no-one else joined in marriage to either of them.” But the problem is, they can't convince the people in favor of Plural-Spouses, especially since Jesus was careful to say, “...they are no longer 2 but one flesh” (Matt 19:6a, ESV & NKJV), when he could have said “..they are no longer 2, but instead, are one.”

Those “on the other side of the fence” have already explained what that text means (like we stated above). But also, it is even questionable whether that text even means “Only One Spouse.” So, neither side can convince the other (& neither could God Himself convince them with a vague-statement like that).

That is why the Lord (through the Bible) never uses a vague statement like Gen. 2:24, that can be interpreted two “opposite” ways, for it doesn't convince people “on both sides of the fence.” So, He always makes it clear by either stating, “You shall not...” or in a few cases, clearly states that a certain incident was wrong. But if an incident in the Bible doesn't clearly state that it was wrong, then neither side has the right to declare that that incident was right or wrong.

Now if God had said, somewhere in the Bible, “You shall not have more than one...,” then those in favor of “plural spouses,” would have had to drop their arguments, for they would be contradicting the Bible. But since the Lord didn't say anything like that anywhere in the Bible, & since they are Bible-believers, they can justifiably “stick to their guns” & insist that the Lord never forbade “women to have plural-husbands,” nor forbade “men to have plural-wives”—nowhere in the entire Scriptures! (As you will see below, The Lord even hid approval of a woman having more than one husband!).

(You see, it was the Gentiles (who thought nothing of visiting temple prostitutes), they started the “only one wife” commandment. The Babylonians started that long before Nebuchadnezzar was even born (something like 900 BC/BCE). But they weren't the only ones. Most of the idol-

worshiping Gentiles, all around Israel, only had one wife (at a time). That was why the kings of the northern kingdom (called “the kingdom of Israel”) had one wife—not plural-wives like Judah did—because they were following the Gentiles most of the time—not the true-God.

And so for 600 years, each succeeding, idol-worshipping empire, from Babylon (Chaldea) & onward, continued to enforce the Jews to have only one wife. So, it wasn't God who required only one wife; it was always the Gentiles (idol worshipers) who enforced “only one wife,” not the Lord).

(New, Oct. 30, 2020) (next few paragraphs)

But again, those that want to stick with the principle of “only one spouse,” again quickly grab from their “artillery” the text for elders/bishops (& deacons), “...husband of one wife” (1 Tim 3:2 & 7, see vss 1-13, & Titus 1:6, see vss 5-9). These 3 texts satisfy them that Christians can only have one wife.

I asked one of those who used that text, “Now, this is for deacons & elders. Does that mean that everyone else can have more than one wife?” He answered that if it applies to elders & deacons, then by extension, it also applies that everyone else should also, only have one wife. I didn't reply back, because I didn't want to provoke him.

I could have replied, “That convinces you, but does it convince those on 'the other side of the fence'? Is that how God teaches us to only have one wife??? Wouldn't the Lord make it more clear than that, for the sake of those on the other side of the fence???” But I felt that it was best to let him “win the argument,” & not take-away all of his armor all at once.

[**NOTE:** If you are getting angry from seeing your “proofs” being negated, then please switch from trying to justify yourself & insisting that it is wrong, & start prayerfully-examining our case with an open mind & an open heart (shutting-off all emotions & looking at it objectively), then you will be able to determine which is true & not get angry anymore].

(continuing)

But also, those on the other side of the fence explain, “This text (1 Tim 3:2) isn't even referring to more than one wife, for none of them were allowed to have more than one wife. The Romans strictly enforced “only one wife.” Instead, this text is talking about an elder who still has his original wife & has never left her for another woman. So, that text isn't even talking about “only one wife. Instead, it is talking about a man who still has his original wife.”

So, which side is right? The answer is, The Lord is all-knowing & foresaw this problem (& as you will see, He even foresaw every-tiny detail, even every electron, of “the entire story” before He made anything). The Lord doesn't use a questionable-text like this to prove that you can only have one wife, for He loves His people “on both sides of the fence.” So, He always makes it clear, so that people on “both sides of the fence” can know which “side of the Fence” that God “stands on.”

(New, Nov. 23, 2020) Clarified

Isn't “Only One Spouse” vs “Plural Spouses” an Important Issue?

Now, isn't this a very-important issue, on whether or not people can have plural-spouses? Yes, it certainly is a **big** issue, no matter whether you are on the “pro-side” or the “con-side.” And it is a **big** issue to God, too.

But what does that tell you???

It tells you that since the Lord foresaw every tiny detail (every electron) of this issue, He carefully, seriously thought about this issue, for a lot was at stake on whether He forbade it or not. Since God was (& still is) all-wise & also all-knowing, He decided that it was better to allow, both men & women, to have more than one spouse, than to forbid it.

How do we know? Since you can be sure that God carefully, seriously thought about it, & since He is also all-knowing, then He would have made it clear that plural-spouses was wrong by saying, “a woman shall not have more than one husband” & likewise for men. But He never once said anything like that in the Bible (neither for women nor for men), nor did He include an incident on a woman having more than one husband (on men having many wives, yes, but not on women).

So, what does that tell you??? It tells you that it is better to allow, both men & women, to have plural-spouses, than to restrict people to only have one spouse (barring homosexual marriages, for the Bible clearly calls that wrong⁸),

For that is the only way that men having “plural-wives” can work successfully (in an “equal-equal” society), is if the women also have “plural husbands.” Otherwise, “the rich,” & “the famous,” “the handsome” & the “he-men” will get lots of wives, thus leaving no-wife for many men (in an equal-equal society). In the early days of Israel, lots of men were killed off from the wars (every year), & thus most prosperous men were able to have at least one wife (& kings, great men, strong men & rich men—many wives).

(Now, we are discussing this as the lessor of two evils, but there is evidence that having at least 2-spouses, is generally better for everyone concerned, even if divorce were never an issue. As you will see, “plural-spouses” are not just, in order to avoid divorce/remarriage. The general happiness of even those who are happily married to one person, can also be benefited. So read on).

And why is it better to allow plural-spouses? Because it is much better, from even “a common sense” point of view, to add-on a spouse, rather than to despise, reject & divorce your present spouse. If you don't think so, then explain why so many husbands committed suicide-murder of their wives who were leaving them. No, the agony is 10 times worse than if she had died from cancer or...

Those of us. who know from experience what it is like to be rejected & cut-off from their spouse, know that-that hurts far-worse, than if their spouse was still in-love with them & had died from cancer or from a car accident, etc—much worse than them dying. The Bible calls “divorcing in order to marry someone else” dealing “treacherously with the wife” (or with the husband) (Mal 3:13-15),

Yes, it also hurts to lose your loving-spouse from disease, etc., but it hurts far worse to be rejected & “kicked-out.” That is why preachers “command” us “to love, cherish... for better or worse... till death do you part” (Matt 19:6 & 9, see vss 3-9).

Many of you think that “Plural-Spouses” would reduce your love for your first spouse. And some of those who aren't closely following the Lord may do that, which is outright wrong! But amazingly for most of you (who put plural-spouses into practice), your love of your first spouse will be immediately recharged with just as much (or more) love for each other, than you two had when you first married!

For one reason, this “plural spouse” privilege depends on your first spouse believing and accepting additional spouses. Can you now see why you will love your first spouse so much??? (But that isn't the only reason why your love for your first spouse will be refreshed). For “molehills” will no longer become “mountains,” for you will no longer get tired of each-other's little annoyances & of “not seeing eye to eye” on certain things.

⁸ (1 Cor 6:9-12, NKJV says, “...Do not be deceived. Neither

Sorry! Unfinished!

Lesbians & Homosexuals, there is hope for you. The Lord does care about your predicament. Read on, & you will see that the Lord also has answers for you—not like you wanted—but still, workable, acceptable answers,

For you two will only be with each other part of the time, & not all of the time. Plus, “variety is the spice of life.” For you will have the variety of at least one other mate who has a different personality & sees things a little different than your first husband, which is refreshing. But be sure to love and cherish each & every spouse that you have, not neglecting even one.

Yes, this privilege can be misused, but the Lord has restrictions in the Bible to help prevent misuse (e.g. Ex 21:10, see vss 7-11). And yes, faithful Christians, it is still OK to have “only one spouse,” if that is what you truly want, but it takes a lot of work “to make it all the way” “...till death do you part.” And most of you will surely face some temptations to have an additional spouse—which was never a sin in the first place, not in God's eyes. So, please don't hesitate to reconsider if that should happen.

But also, look how many present-day marriages don't make it! “Divorcing to marry someone else” was even more-huge among the Pharisees in Jesus-day (Matt 19:3-9), than in our day.

But in contrast, even 2 centuries ago, when the divorce-rate was very small, many couples stayed together, but seriously-despised & hated each other. That isn't good, either. It would be far-better for those who despise each other to stop despising & have more than one spouse—visiting/staying with each spouse occasionally (each spouse living separately, no harems), so that all are happier & so that they “don't get into each other's hair!”

That is why God originally allowed “plural spouses” for both men & women (as you will see, in Adam & Eve's day, before Satan persuaded them), & that is why the Lord is restoring this teaching (& 7 others). Now this is only one example, but the same guidelines apply to all 8 (10) of these false-commandments.

(New, Dec. 11, 2020) (several improvements)

Now, a couple of these false commandments do have one or more incidents in the Bible. Many like to prove their case on these 8 (10) from an incident in the Bible. Everyone agrees that it was (& still is, Rev 16:15, see vss 1-21) wrong to be naked in public. The issue is not about nakedness in public, but rather, whether God commanded Christians to not be naked in private with anyone else, except their spouse.

There are two incidents in the Bible that people like to use to prove that “nakedness in private” is wrong, except with your wife. One of them that they like to justify their case is” Peter being naked in the boat on a dark night, well-away from the shore (John 21:7, see vss 1-14).

But the trouble is that those in favor of the false-commandment, say, “That incident was wrong!” While those who think that it is a false-commandment say, “See, even Peter didn't think it was wrong to be naked in the boat, on a dark night, well-away from the shore.” (John 21:7, see vss 1-14).

Most people think (even the NKJV) that Peter had only removed his outer garment, but “the Greek” says “naked.” Yes, it is somewhat debatable, for “clothe the naked” doesn't mean that they were/are truly naked.

Nevertheless, it seems doubtful that John (the author of John) would have even mentioned that he was naked, if Peter had only removed his outer garment for work. If he were just “stripped for work” (ESV), then surely, John would have just said that Peter slipped-on his outer garment, without even mentioning that he was naked,

The reason why Peter didn't put-on his under-garments, was because they would hinder him (plus he would very likely lose them while swimming (I know from experience that-that can happen). Thirdly, Peter surely wasn't ashamed when he found out that it was the Lord, for he “rejoiced” to get to Jesus as soon as possible. If Peter had been ashamed, then he surely would have delayed, till the

boat drug all of the fishes to shore. But also, Peter was sufficiently covered when he got to shore, with only his outer garment covering him. So, it was OK.

Yes, it is somewhat debatable, & you are welcome to believe the other way. But we just showed you **3 reasons** why Peter was surely naked & not just stripped for work. But either way, we hope that you “got the following point:” Any incident (in the Bible) does not confirm whether the incident was right or wrong, unless the Bible specifically says that-that event was wrong, as He did with Nadab & Abihu (Lev 10:1-3).

So, neither side can claim an incident as proof, if the Bible doesn't specifically say so—doubly especially if it can be interpreted in favor of two opposite ways. (But actually, all of the incidents & statements that they use (to prove their case), can be interpreted in two opposite ways—thus nullifying all of their “proofs” (all of them that we are aware of)).

Of all 8 false-commandments, “nakedness in private” is the hardest for conservative people to believe. Conservative people who are/were married, know that-that is the most dangerous thing that you can do (if you aren't married to each other), to be naked together with someone. And we agree to this extent: If the people only know that “nakedness in private” is OK, without knowing that the other 7 are also false, then it would be dangerous, for they would likely commit adultery/fornication (God's definition of adultery & fornication).

Why? Because there are hormonal scents that are released into the air by the naked woman, that make her look more beautiful than she really is. Also, the naked man releases hormonal scents that attract the naked woman to the naked man.

But also, there is another reason: If the two are just staring at each other, where they can see each other's sex-organs, then the odds are very-high that they will eventually climb in bed & have sex together, because the Lord made us that way. If that sex is one of the 8 (10) false commandments, then they will not sin (if they truly believe that they are false, Rom. 14:23, see vss 13-23). But if they just do what husbands & wives are allowed to do, then it would truly be sin.

So up till now, being naked with someone in private has been dangerous. Because they would end-up doing what God truly forbids—“becoming one-flesh,” either vaginally or anally. But if the two also know that the other 7 so-called “commandments” are also false, then there is no problem. For then they will have a way to share sexual-love with each other in a way that is acceptable to the Lord.

[Both Christians & Christian-translators insist that **any** kind of sex is wrong, & that is what most modern translations say. But read on & you will see that they are **not** biblically-justified in translating that Greek-word that way. For the Lord reveals in the Bible which way God understands that Greek word to mean. For the Bible is the only protected source of authority].

But Some Conservative People Might Not Yet be Convinced:

But those of you who still insist that “nakedness with someone” is wrong, usually drop the above-mentioned incident & say: “But the story, when Noah became drunk, when his sons covered their father without seeing his nakedness, that definitely proves it!” (Gen 9:20-23). But does it??? We agree that it proves that they **believed** that it was wrong to see their father's nakedness, but did they learn that from God, or did they learn that from their ancestors, perhaps all they way back to Adam & Eve???

“Nakedness in private” was the last false-commandment that we discovered. Well-before that time, we were already teaching that the first 7 false commandments were started by Satan (subliminally) persuading Adam & Eve (before their first children had even reached puberty) “to raise the standard,” above what the Lord actually commanded!

(Adam & Eve didn't realize that it is almost as bad to “add-on” to God's commandments, as it is to “take-away” (Deut 12:32, stated 5 different times in the Old Covenant. But it is also true in the New Covenant as well, that it is wrong (at least harmful) to add-on (or take-away from) God's New-Covenant commandments/principles as well).

Remember that, “someone” (Satan & his hosts) has been ingraining that false-ethic into everyone's mind (whether they are following a god, or not believing, across the entire world), ever since they were very-little children, And Christians have always thought that it was the Holy Spirit (or their conscience). But was it??? We believe that Satan has often masterfully counterfeited the Holy-Spirit in Christians across the whole world, when the devil has wanted to (in order to deceive them). So, everyone has thought that these 8 false-commandments were from **God Himself!**

(New, Dec. 11, 2020) (clarified, & also next section added:)

That is why it is so important to prove the case from the scriptures. Don't forget the past: This counterfeit “holy spirit” has even convinced some Christians (40-50 years ago) that it is even OK to commit adultery (they called “Situational-Ethics:” i.e. “OK in certain instances, if the 'spirit' says so”)! **Hog-wash!!!**

But that is not the only time that the counterfeit “holy spirit” has led Christians astray. The counterfeit “holy spirit” moved many people to follow the numerous Antichrists that arose in the past, & to even worship that person as Jesus! They were sincere people like you & I, but were following “the spirit,” thinking it was the true Holy-Spirit, when it was actually the Devil.

Believe me, Satan can repeatedly counterfeit the “Holy Spirit” so closely (when he wants to), that “you would swear that-that” had to be God on various occasions, & repeatedly keep doing it, time & again! (Read on & you will see why the Devil is fighting so hard to stop! this new discovery of these 8 (10) (to chase away everyone from this website)).

But we hope that you caught this last point. An incident doesn't prove that “it's wrong,” just because it proves that the people in the incident believed that it was wrong. Because it could be that-that so-called commandment was started by Satan (subliminally) persuading people (as you will see shortly, by persuading Adam & Eve). No, God is all-wise & always makes it clear that “such & such” was wrong, if it truly is wrong.

(Continue on)

Statements like the two sons covering Noah's nakedness, doesn't convince everyone. So if it is wrong, then the Lord always makes it clear, so that no-one will misinterpret it.

Well then, Why Didn't the Lord Reveal These False-commandments, at least by Jesus' day?

We first discovered that Satan succeeded in getting these 8 (10) (except for the 9th one) started back in Adam & Eve's day, before their first children went into puberty. We noticed that everyone (at the beginning) only had one wife, all the way down to the fifth generation of Cain, who didn't follow God. His 5th generation-descendant, Lamech, was the first one to take two wives. (Otherwise, the earlier person would have been mentioned, instead of Lamech).

So it is obvious that even Cain & his descendants were heeding the false-commandments of “only one wife,” “only one husband,” which we have proven (in this website) to be false. If these two so-

called commandments truly are false, then how did this “only one husband,” “only one wife” get started???

So, we suspected that Satan had surely (subliminally) reasoned with & persuaded Adam & Eve to observe these 2 false commandments. (Yes, Satan was able to reason with them in a way that made them feel that it was their own reasoning, & he has been using that same method on lots of people, even in our day). After all, where else would a false-commandment come from, especially 8 (10) false-commandments—all on the same subject???

Surely Satan had something to do with persuading them to teach these 2 false-commandments—which was directly contrary to what God had said. Because the Lord didn't teach them that, nor any of the other 8 (10) of them. How do we know? Because He would have made it clear in the scriptures if it were from Him. (He wouldn't have hidden His approval, either, if it were truly wrong).

Plus, we already knew that many Jews (many, not all) were marrying-off their children at puberty, to eliminate the temptation to commit fornication (which was still continuing even in Malachi's day. Mal. 2:14-16). So, we wondered if that custom also went all the way back to Adam & Eve.

So, we realized that it was super-likely that Satan also persuaded them to just marry-them-off at puberty, & also to teach their children to abstain from any sex at all—except with their spouse—and only after they were fully married. After all, Adam & Eve didn't have any experience at all, in raising children & thus did not realize the great drawbacks in marrying them off at puberty.

Believe it or not, 5 more of the 8 (10) false-commandments were needed to forbid “no sex at all, except with your spouse,” in order to specifically “tie it down.” So, all of these were apparently taught (or implied) by Adam & Eve, making a total of 7 of the 10.

Plus, we knew that Noah & his sons believed that it was wrong to be naked with anyone except their wife, which we have also proven to be false. Thus, that-instruction was also probably handed down from days of Adam & Eve. And so that includes 2 more (#8 & #6). That makes a total of 9 false-commandments that were probably handed down, all the way from the days of Adam & Eve.

That only leaves out the 9th false-commandment (“procreation only”) which directly-violates the Bible⁹. Only the Roman Catholics have believed that, & not all of their members, either (not even the Orthodox Christians believe in “procreation only”) because it out-right violates the scriptures (1

9 The Roman Catholics still to this day, forbid husbands & wives (technically, theoretically, & many still believe it) from sharing sexual love together (except to procreate children), which violates the scriptures (1 Cor 7:2-5). In verse 5, Paul warns husbands & wives to come together (sexually) periodically, “...so that Satan may not tempt you because of your lack of self-control” (ESV & NKJV).

Their self-control weakens if they do not come together periodically (sexually). (Singles & celibates, the same thing also applies to you, if you do not periodically relieve your sex-drive through one of the ways mentioned in this website). The Catholic Bible NAB (1987) says, “...so that Satan may not tempt you through your lack of self-control.” Now, isn't that a warning that your self-control will greatly weaken if you do not relieve your sex-drive periodically??? Yes, it is a serious warning. For Satan has persuaded them to get rid of any & every kind of sex whatsoever, not even relieving themselves sexually (self-masturbation without picturing picturing sin, without picturing anyone at all!

They try to make it look-like that text (vss 2-5) was said by permission (vss 6-7). No, these very verses (2-5) are a warning! These very verses show why so many priests have fallen into serious sexual offenses: because of their lack of self-control (vs 5b). Self-control keeps diminishing, & temptation keeps increasing, as the years go by, if it isn't relieved periodically.

No, it was celibacy that was said by permission. If husbands & wives don't want to weaken their self-control (vs 5b), then they need to come together, sexually, periodically (vs 5).

I hope the day comes when the Roman Catholic denomination will realize that it was Satan, not God, that prompted that false teaching of “procreation only.” Please listen, leaders: It is far better to help-prevent priests & people from doing horrible sexual-sins, than it is to forgive them afterwards! Please, give them (& your followers) good self-control.

Cor 7:2-5).

Probably, Satan did not (subliminally) persuade Adam & Eve to teach that #9, for Adam & Eve wouldn't have been convinced. But the fact that 9 of them were probably handed down by Adam & Eve indicates that Satan surely had something to do with it, & that he persuaded Adam & Eve on all 9 of them—before their first children had even reached puberty! That explains why no one mentioned in the early Bible history, had more than one wife until the days of Cain's “5th generation” son, Lamech.

So, Why Didn't the Lord Correct Those False Teachings Right Away, at Least by Jesus' Day?

If you will read the separate E-book on why Noah's Flood happened so soon (in only 1600+ years), you will find that Abel wasn't the 2nd-born child. His Hebrew name is the word that is translated “vanity,” (spelled exactly the same as that Hebrew word). Apparently, not even one of Adam's children had followed the Lord up till then. That would explain why Abel was named “Vanity:” “What is the use in even trying raise a son (or daughter) that will follow God???”

But apparently, this shocked Abel so much (when he found out from Adam why he had named him “Vanity”) that he decided to follow the Lord—and ended up losing his life because of it (1 John 3:12, see vss 11-15)! So Adam lost the only son that was following the Lord & had to wait till he was 130 years old before he had another son in his own likeness (Gen 5:3, see 4:25-5:11).

There is a reason why we are saying this, for it will help explain why the Lord kept quiet about it, all the way till our day. It gives you a picture of the grief that Adam was going through, for virtually all of his sons & daughters had left the Lord & weren't truly following Him.

As we said, we believe that Satan subliminally persuaded Adam & Eve to “modify,” i.e. “to up the standard” (on morality) more than what God had taught them. Thus, they surely added these 8 (& one of the two additional) false-commandments.

But after raising many children that were not following the Lord, Adam & Eve surely regretted in “upping the standard” more than what the Lord actually taught them.

Now, none of what we just said stated is in the scriptures. All of this is derived from Adam naming Abel “Habel, vanity” & also from the fact that there were already many grown-men on the earth when Cain killed Abel (see Gen. 4:14, see vss 1-16). If you will carefully read that last reference, you will see that there were already a lot of grown-men on the earth when Abel died.

Well then, can you see why Cain killed Abel? You see, the Bible says that Cain killed Abel “because his own deeds were evil & his brother's righteous” (1 John 3:12b, ESV) Cain never hated any of those other men enough to kill them. But why didn't he??? Because their deeds weren't any more righteous than Cain's deeds, i.e. they were not following the Lord, either.

And apparently, that is one of the reasons why Cain hated Abel so intensely. No one else was following the Lord, & “this young, whipper-snapper” pops-up & tries to persuade him to follow the Lord! That is why Abel's offering was accepted, but Cain's offering wasn't, because Cain didn't completely follow the Lord's instructions on presenting an offering to God. That is why God did not accept Cain's offering.

Where did we get that from? You have to read the account carefully to see that he didn't offer what he was supposed to (a lamb a burnt offering, foreshadowing Jesus, the Lamb of God, being sacrificed for us). Shortly after Cain became infuriated with Abel, the Lord-Himself talked with Cain (Gen 4:6). The Lord encouraged Cain to stop being angry with his brother, Abel, & to just do what was supposed to do (i.e. offer a lamb like Abel did). And then, his offering would be accepted.

But Cain refused to do what God said & let his anger control him (prompted by the Devil) & slew Abel “because his own deeds were evil & his brother's righteous” (1 John 3:12b, ESV).

Now we went into detail on this for an important reason: to show you the discouraging situation that Adam & Eve were in, with virtually no-one following the Lord. It wasn't until Seth's son, Enosh (Gen 4:26), who was born when Adam was 235 years old (Gen 5:3-6), not till after Enosh was born (probably full-grown), that men began to call upon the Lord (Gen 4:26). This implies that no-one (or virtually no-one) except for Adam & Eve & Enosh's father, Seth, who were following the Lord!

So, Why Didn't God Correct them?

We would guess (which is not revealed in the scriptures, except through these few texts), that Adam & Eve realized their error & were sorry that they had “upped God's standard” to include the 8 (& 1 of the 2) false-commandments. We can only guess that Adam apologized & asked the Lord if he should now start teaching God's correct commandments on morality.

We cannot prove that Adam asked the Lord if he should correct his teaching, but it only makes sense that he would. Adam didn't just “jump-in” without consulting the Lord & correct his teaching, for he first wanted to apologize to the Lord, but also, he wanted consult Him, “Now, how do I get this straightened-out with my children???”

But what was God's answer to Adam—if Adam truly did ask that? The answer is almost self-evident. If these 8 (10) false-commandments really were added by Satan when Adam's first children were small, then God's answer had to be, “Yes, it was Satan who moved you to teach these false-commandments. But please do not change what you taught. Leave it exactly as you taught it.”

Why do we think that? First of all, “everyone” continued to only have one spouse (one spouse at a time, for as you will see, there were lots of divorces & remarriages prior to the Flood), all the way down to Noah, & even all the way down to the “Tower of Babel” (Gen 11:1-9). After the Lord confused their language & scattered them over the earth, there were lots of wars from then-on & lots of men were killed in those wars. That left a lot of available women who no longer had a husband. That was when men began to have more than one wife.

But until the Tower of Babel, “everyone” (most of them) only had one wife (at a time). This would not have been so if Adam & Eve had corrected their teaching.

But why would God say such a thing (“Do not change What You Taught”)???

The whole world would have been a lot less wicked, from that time, all the way to our day. (If you read the E-book “Why Noah's Flood” on the website, then you will see that the world had to be destroyed because of the these false-commandments, especially because of the false-commandments of “only one wife” & “only one husband.” So, the whole world would have been much less wicked, thus delaying Noah's Flood till something-like 10,000 years—if the Lord had allowed them to correct the commandments on morality.

So why would the Lord say, “NO!”??? For we humans would naturally think that it would have been much better for the world to be a lot less wicked. But the Lord has much-better insight than we do. God's goal is not just “a less wicked world.”

The Lord's goal is “Eternal-Peace,” with no sin at all, not to just have a less-wicked world,

i.e. a universe without any sorrow or sin at all. The Lord's goal is to convince all of those who make it to Heaven to never choose to rebel ever-again. And He also has to convince everyone that the only safe way to keep any rebellion from ever happening is to get rid of Satan & all of his remaining hosts & also to get rid of all of all of the people who are not fit to be in Heaven, nor on

the New Earth.

They are gotten rid of by casting them into the Lake of fire—sorry, the vast majority think that the lost live forever in Hell. But the Bible tells us that even Satan, himself, will one-day be turned into ashes (Ezek 28:18), “You have come to a dreadful end & shall be no more forever.” (Ezek 28:19b (ESV) see vss 11-19)¹⁰ (please see footnote).

Perhaps you people should carefully re-examine the “forever & ever” texts, so that no text in the Bible contradicts other texts (except for Gospel accounts that were written many years later, & thus, they didn't remember correctly), for the Word of God is sharper than any 2-edged sword (Heb 4:12).

The point we are making is that it wouldn't be safe to let Satan & his followers live-on. They might rebel from the moment they got there. Nor would it be safe for those who have followed the ways of Satan. No, they might not rebel for 10,000 years, or even a million years, but they *might* rebel someday & ruin the peace of Heaven & the New Earth.

But the Lord's biggest goal is to get us to be thoroughly convinced that “any trace of sin at all is deadly,” We needed to be convinced that sin, no matter how small, keeps-on growing, keeps-on getting worse & worse & worse. But the Lord doesn't want even a trace of sin to get started, for it will keep-on growing & getting worse & worse. But the trouble is that the Lord needs to convince us that not even a trace of sin can be tolerated—if we want the world to remain peaceful & happy.

That is why the Lord had to wait till now to reveal these new teachings. God couldn't even reveal it in Jesus' day. We (near the end of time) needed to see the great contrast between the wicked world that we have actually been living in, & the beautiful, spotless, sinless world that we will one-day be living in.

Had the Lord let Adam have what he wanted (to correct the false-teachings, so that people would be more reasonable & follow the Lord), then the world would have only been 1/10th as wicked (that is our “eyeball estimate”), & many people wouldn't have realized that little sins were really that bad.

And also, they wouldn't be convinced that it will be essential to get rid of those who are following Satan's ways. They would think in their heart, “Yes, they are doing what is wrong, but it isn't that wrong. Those sinners aren't that bad. The Lord doesn't need to get rid of them.” Neither would they, themselves, see the great importance of carefully following the Lord, & thus might stumble into sin, themselves.

But the Lord knows better, & that is why He had to hide this message all the way till now, continuing to let Satan keep-on getting people to fall into his trap—so that we could see the great contrast between righteousness & fully-grown wickedness.

That is the only way that all of us would be convinced that the world wouldn't be safe if we let those who are following Satan, continue to live & influence this world. Because their sin would not only pollute the holiness of Heaven, but also would get worse & worse & worse as time went on.

10 Yes, that is a prophecy of the King of Tyre, because he was also similar to Satan, but the actual prophecy (vss 11-19) is primarily speaking of someone far greater than any human being

- 1) A perfect, blameless cherub (which Satan was before he fell, vss 12-15), which no human has ever been;
- 2) A covering cherub (vs 14, compare Ex 25:18, see vss 17-22), which the King of Tyre never was. But Satan was originally (before he rebelled) one of the covering cherubs, right in the presence of God, Himself, much like Ex. 25:17-22.
- 3) The King of Tyre was born from a mother & father & was not created (Ezek 28:13b & 15). Yes, Adam & Eve were created & the angel Satan was created, but all of Adam & Eve's descendants were born, not created. Etc.
- 4) So, this prophecy is primarily a prophecy of Satan. So, the King of Tyre was just a person that met much of that description. That is all.

Eventually, rebellion would rise again.

No the Lord promised us that rebellion would never rise again. Nah. 1:9 (ESV) says, “What do you plot against the Lord? He will make a complete end; trouble will not rise up a second time.” (see whole book). No, the Lord has this whole “story” planned (predestined), all the way to the beginning of Eternity.

All of us who make it there will be so convinced that rebellion will never rise-up ever again, nor will we let anyone else rebel either. For we will show them the terrible-effects of sin in our world (previously), & thus, most of those who will think about rebelling, will back down, But if they don't, then we will then have the right to dispose of them. For neither the Lord nor we will tolerate rebellion ever again.

But, read on, for you will see more proof than just “silence in the Bible.” In several cases, the Lord even hid his approval, so that hesitant people can know that it truly is OK.

(New, Feb. 8, 2021) (next 2 sections clarified)

And guess what that means to Bible-believing Christians???

Since there is no clearly-stated command in the Bible on all 8 (10), & since no incident can prove it (if the Bible doesn't doesn't clearly say that that that-incident was wrong), & since all of the statements that people use to justify these “commandments,” can be legitimately-justified from people “on both sides of the” issue, then none of those statements & incidents can possibly prove the case,

God doesn't use things like that to prove His case. He always makes it clear, so that people on both “sides of the fence” clearly understand “which side of the fence” that God stands on. But, that leaves us Bible-believers with only one possible answer: that those 8 (10) cannot possibly be from God. Because it leaves nothing in the Bible, for the Bible-believer to hang-on to.

God promised in the scriptures that we can learn all doctrine from the scriptures (2 Tim 3:16-17, ESV): “All scripture is breathed out by God & profitable for teaching [“doctrine” in NKJV], for reproof, for correction, & for training in righteousness, that the man of God may be competent [NKJV: “complete”], equipped for every good work.”

Everything that we need (in order to be saved) is found in the Bible. God didn't make any mistakes, for we now know (from science & the Bible) that God truly-did predestine everything—including every-single word that is written in the Bible (& every word of every-single person, & every-single prayer was answered, & even every-tiny electron in the whole universe—all of this was predestined before the Lord even started making anything—all without forcing anyone to do or say anything! So there is no way that even one of these “8 (10)” could be a valid commandment.

[The next section might not be needed anymore]:

But Bible-believers, don't give-up your faith in the Bible. Review in your mind all of the reasons why you believe that the Holy Bible is “God-breathed” (2 Tim 3:16, Amplified Bible) & Heb 4:12 (ESV): “For the word of God is living & active, sharper than any 2 edged sword, piercing to division of soul & of spirit, of joints & of marrow, & discerning the thoughts & intents of the heart.”

If the Word of God (the Bible) discerns the thoughts & intents of the heart—and surely you have felt that many times during your life, that God (through the Holy Spirit) was showing you what your heart was thinking/feeling. That happened to me the first time long ago, when I was only 15 years-

old & was reading/studying the Sermon on the Mount. When I realized that God was reading my heart, I “turned an about-face” & started following the Lord. Surely you have experienced times when you felt that God was reading your heart as well. Reflect on those times when you had a relationship with God.

And don't forget that the Nuclear Physicists that believe in God (not the agnostics, who don't want to acknowledge that there is a God), have concluded that there isn't any nuclear-law holding the various atoms together. By all of the laws of nuclear physics, the atoms should explode. They have concluded, “There can't be 'no God,' ” for something is holding all of the various kinds of atoms together (carbon, oxygen, copper, iron (i.e. “steel”), etc.

And yet, the Bible tells us who it is, that is holding all of those atoms together: “...all things were created through him & for him. And he is before all things, & in him all things hold together.” (Col 1:16b-17, ESV, see vss 12-20; note that the ESV never capitalizes “he,” because they don't want to make a mistake on which “he” it is talking about).

Now, who would think that a tree would explode if Jesus stopped holding it together. Now, Jesus would never do that, for He loves us & created this world to be inhabited—and yes, to be inhabited forever on the New (renewed) Earth (Isa 65:17-25)! And who would think that a chunk of steel would instantly explode? Would you have guessed it, if you didn't know about this scientific discovery??? I don't think so, nor the Bible writers (except Paul when he wrote that), & yet it is written in the Bible!

In fact, it actually says, “& in Him all thing were & are holding together.” That means that Jesus (pre-Jesus) was holding it together before He came to this earth, & that He was still holding all of it together while He was dying on the Cross & even while He was dead in the tomb. No, “God” cannot be contained within any kind of a body, for God is everywhere (omnipresent) & so is Jesus, even though He also has a finite, human body now.

Now isn't God the Father even greater than Jesus (John 14:28)? Well then, couldn't the Father picture all of those atoms & electrons & protons & neutrons & just watch the story “flow” & how things would turn out? And couldn't He picture “the whole story” before He & Jesus even started making the universe, before Jesus had to start holding all of it together? And couldn't He show it to Jesus & the Holy Spirit—before they stated. Of course they could.

That would mean that He & Jesus & H,S, saw every electron on every hair on your head, from the moment that your first hair formed on you head (in your mother's womb), till the very day you will die, or be taken-alive to Heaven w/o dying. But also that means that God foresaw this whole issue that we are discussing here. For He would have to answer every prayer, including every-single prayer that **I** have made (& will make)—before He had even made the first star, or the first angel or anything!

That is right. That is what it would mean for Him to precisely see every every electron & every atom in its location, all the way till the end of time. For if He even answered even one prayer differently, then much of “the story” would be much different, not just for that one person, but also, for all of those around Him, spreading further & further as time went on.

Now, let's apply this new insight to how the Father & Jesus would react, when the got to the story of this website & of this author & of all of the readers that have & will read this website.

And by varying all of the variables that He could vary, then couldn't He even get the whole “story” to be what He wanted it to be—all without forcing anyone? It certainly looks like it, since Jesus is holding all of it together. Yes, it is beyond our imagination for even God to be capable of all

of that, but doesn't it make sense, since God is infinite (all powerful, all-knowing & present everywhere)?

Can you see that???

So there is no way that the Lord didn't see every-microscopic detail of this issue. That is why God never said “you shall not...” to even one of these “8 (10),” because He wasn't the one that made those commandments (Satan was).

You need to see why the Lord allows (& even recommends) these new freedoms. Also, you need to see how Satan has made use of these false-commandments to get the world to become wicked.

DELETE THESE FIRST!!!:

The Eighth Discovery

(New, largely Nov 27, 2020) further expanded & clarified:

The Holy Bible is so accurate that even what the Bible doesn't say is also important (Heb 4:11-13). We have now verified that there is an 8th traditional “you shall not” that isn't even mentioned in the Christian Bible, nor stated similarly: the false-commandment “You shall not be naked with anyone except your spouse, & not till you get married.”

Yes, nakedness in public has always been wrong & is still wrong (Rev 16:15; see whole chapter). And yes, the Old Testament forbade them from “uncover the nakedness of” their super-close relatives (Lev ch. 18 & 20). Lev. 18:1-5 explains why. It is very interesting that the Lord didn't say that these things were wrong—though it is wrong to require a person so submit to such a thing; instead, it was because the Lord didn't want them observing these things, nor any of the other regulations of Egypt & of Canaan. That was why.

if you will search it out, you will find that the Bible never forbade them from being naked with anyone else, except their close relatives! Yes, a couple of incidents that people like to use to “prove” that it is wrong. But the Lord never uses an incident to prove anything, not without clearly stating that it is wrong.

Yes, Peter was naked in the boat (or perhaps just “stripped for work,” John 21:7 ESV, see vss 1-14), with his fellow-disciples. If Peter truly was naked, then apparently, it was a dark night, & they were well away from shore, for it has always been (& still is) wrong to be naked in public (Rev 16:15. see vss 1-16),

So why couldn't you use this incident as a proof that it is wrong? No, you can't use that as a proof, even if Peter truly was naked in the boat. And why not? Because the incident can be interpreted 2 ways: People against nakedness in private, declare that that was wrong for Peter to do. But the people in favor of nakedness in private, say, “See, Peter didn't think it was wrong to be naked in the boat.”

It is the same way with Noah being naked in his own tent (Gen 9:20-21, see vss 20-27). The people against it say, “It has to be wrong because his sons covered him by backing-in & covering him without seeing his nakedness.” But those that believe that it is OK to be naked with others (in private) say, “That only proves that Noah's sons believed that it was wrong to see their father's nakedness. But where did they get that belief from, from God or from Adam & Eve, 1600+ years

earlier? No, all 8 of these false teachings came from Satan subliminally-persuading Adam & Eve.”

So, that incident doesn't prove anything, either. If the Lord had clearly said that either of these incidents were wrong, then you would have proof, But since the Lord was completely silent about it in the entire Bible, then you can only conclude that it wasn't wrong in God's eyes. Otherwise, He would have made it clear, for God is all-knowing & would have clearly said so if it were wrong.

It is very easy to verify if you have a Bible-app., where you for all of the texts that have the word “naked” or “nakedness” Those are the only words that the KJV & NKJV use, “naked” & “nakedness.” So, search on the KJV or NKJV.

Another way is to check it out in Strong's (or Young's) Exhaustive Concordance (in the Library if you don't have one). You will find that the Lord never forbade even the Jews from being naked with anyone else. Since the number of super-close relatives would normally be less than 100, it would mean that the Lord never said “no” to 95% of the people in a city of 2,000!

But that isn't all. If you will look at the way the Lord commanded these “you shall not uncover” texts in Lev. 18, you will see that they were written in “old-covenant” fashion: tedious, ultra-specific, “...your father's sister,” “...your mother's sister” etc. In fact, even the wording is weird, too. It never did say that you shall not be naked with any of these super-close relatives. Instead, it repeatedly says, “you shall not uncover the nakedness of...”

Now in the old-covenant, the Lord had to be very specific, because if He didn't say so for a particular situation, then they didn't have to observe it for that situation. So, those regulations wouldn't even keep the Jews from being naked with their close relatives, as long as their close-relatives “uncovered” themselves, rather than being “uncovered.” They were just “to not uncover” the way the Egyptians & the Canaanites had been doing. That is all.

But even the Jews didn't realize that it was OK to be naked in private with others. For Satan had convinced virtually the whole world that all 8 of the so-called commandments were from God.

No, the Lord never forbade anyone from being naked with anyone. Now, it looks like it does for brothers & sisters being naked with each other (Lev 20:17, but the Lord was careful on how He worded it, so that we could see that that-statement only applies to those Jews who haven't found Jesus yet, & thus, are still under the Old-Covenant. The latter part of that verse says “...they shall be cut off in the sight of the children of their people.” Verse 18 on “husband-&-wife-sex” during menstruation, was also carefully written to show that it was only for the “old-covenant” Jews.

Objection:

Yes, Noah's family believed that it was wrong for Noah to be naked, even though he was alone in his tent. They also believed it was wrong to see the nakedness of their father (& perhaps to even see the nakedness of anyone, of either sex, Gen 9:20-23, see vss 20-27). Some people from India believe that way. But even I, also, was thinking that way, before I discovered that “nakedness in private” was just one-more false-teaching that the Holy Bible is silent about.

Yes, that is what they believed, but, as you will see below, Satan had already “wormed-in” these 8 false-commandments, 1600 years before Noah's day. The Devil succeeded in convincing Adam & Eve before their first children even went into puberty.

We know because Adam & Eve's descendants apparently had beliefs (on nakedness, sex & marriage) similar to what most Bible-believing people believe today—only one wife & probably even, “no premarital-sex,” by marrying-them-off at puberty, a tradition which many Jews (down through history) observed (Mal 2:13-16). (You see, Adam & Eve had no prior experience & didn't realize the big draw-backs of marrying them off at puberty).

So, it is no surprise that Noah's family believed that way. But if you will note, you will see that

the Bible doesn't reveal that it was wrong for Noah to be naked in his own tent, nor for Peter to be naked in the boat. But before continuing, we need to explain why loyal-Noah got drunk, explained in the footnote¹¹.

(It was the first time that anyone had ever gotten drunk from wine (from other forms of alcohol, yes, but not from wine), & thus, Noah didn't realize what was happening to him). So, this certainly isn't any proof that it was wrong to be naked in private. That story only reveals what they believed. It certainly doesn't reveal that God, Himself, commanded such.

They covered their father so that no-one could see his nakedness, not because it was wrong to be naked in his tent. If he hadn't passed out & if he were in his normal mind, then they never would have had to do that. For Noah would have answered people's calls before they would open the tent—because he might be indecent.

Yes, I had concluded that it was wrong to even see the nakedness of people of the same sex (because of this text), but where do you find that the Bible says anything about it being wrong??? It certainly doesn't say so. If it were truly wrong (categorically, for it can be wrong if sin is attached), then how could you think that the Lord wouldn't have said so??? (Absolutely impossible, for God is all-knowing, & God is love.).

Yes, seeing someone's nakedness *is* mentioned in the Bible. But that doesn't necessarily mean that it is wrong. The Lord is smart enough to know that He has to make it clear (in the Bible) *if* it truly is wrong. But the Lord didn't say (through the Bible) any such thing, because it isn't necessarily wrong.

(New, Nov. 28, 2020) (important!)

Concluding Proof, Not Only on “Nakedness in Private,” but also for all of the “8 (10)”

But what you don't realize, is that you are making God an evil person. How? God said that He loves all of us (who are following Him or not following Him), & you are implying that God doesn't care about those of us who believe that these teachings are counterfeit, either that, or that God didn't foresee the disagreement, or... If God cared about us, He would have clearly said (if these 8 (10) really are true commandments), “You shall not...” or at least, clearly said that it was wrong. In declaring that these teachings are true, then you are either 1) making God heartless in regard to us, or 2) you are thinking that God didn't foresee this problem—which can't be true. If you read down further, you will see that something supernatural has to be holding atoms together (Oxygen, Carbon, Iron, etc.), for there is no law in atomic-physics that is even capable of holding

11 Everyone has thought that Noah sinned from getting drunk, but the Bible doesn't say so, nor is it true. (It was a sin from that time, onward, but not that time), The Bible calls Noah a just man who walked with God (Gen 6:9), & He was surely loyal after the flood as well. You can know Noah didn't sin from getting drunk, because the curse that Noah gave to Canaan was inspired by God & was fulfilled almost a thousand years later. If Noah had sinned in getting drunk, then the Lord wouldn't have given him that prophecy, at least, not at that time.

You see, this was the first time that anyone had ever gotten drunk with wine—yes, drunk with “beer” & grain alcohol, probably, but not from grapes. The Flood had ended not long ago, & no one had ever gotten drunk before the Flood (not from wine, which Noah had gotten drunk from), because the ground was loaded with minerals. You see, it had never rained until Noah's Flood (Gen 2:5-6 & 10-14). And so, there were just as many minerals in the ground—all that time till the time of the Flood, as there were at Creation.

Now, there are two possibilities why the yeast couldn't grow before the flood:

1) Perhaps the plants were so vibrant that they only made complex sugars that “cannot” be digested by humans nor by yeast. That is a possibility, for there are a number of fruits that don't have any fructose or glucose, & yet are sweet because of their complex sugars. Perhaps all fruits were that way before the Flood.

2) Perhaps (doubtful) some of those minerals kept yeast from growing in grape-juice (or in any other juices). But the water-soluble minerals were greatly diminished from all of the water in the Flood, & thus, the yeast would be capable of growing from then-on.

them together. By all of the laws of nature, the atoms would explode (even pure Titanium)—but they don't! A super-accurate translation of Col. 1:17b says of Jesus, "& in Him all things were & are holding together."

Since Jesus is holding all of these atoms together, then all God had to do was to picture all of those atoms—which is beyond our imagination, but not with God, for if Jesus is now doing it, then God the Father could picture all of that—before He & Jesus made the universe & thus, could see what could happen. In doing that, God couldn't miss by an electron on what would happen.

The Bible says many-many times, that God predestined everything. Most of us have always ruled-out predestination, because we thought that—that would mean that God had to force certain people to be lost, & that is totally contrary to God's principles. But now, we can see how the Lord is very capable of predestining everything—all without forcing anyone to do anything! Here is how:

The Lord could look at all of the possibilities on how to design the earth, & all of the possible ways to answer each prayer ("Yes," "No," "wait awhile," or a "partial-yes" by natural means)—also when to work a miracle, when to "communicate" & when not to. Thus, the Lord could not

only see what would happen, but also predestine exactly what would happen, all the way from the beginning to the end—all without forcing anyone to do anything. Every person would then be responsible for his/her own life & make his/her own decisions.

No, the Lord didn't arbitrarily choose some to be saved & choose some to be lost. The Lord has always wished that all could be saved (2 Pet 3:9b, see vss 8-13), Had the Lord planned it a little differently, some of those that will be saved, would have been lost, & some of those that will be lost, would have been saved. What a great & mighty God we serve, to plan exactly what each one of us would do & say, without being partial to anyone, & with all of it planned before anything at all was made. It is absolutely mind-boggling. No wonder why He can accurately foresee the future, because He already planned it.

[Jesus was slain before the foundation of the cosmos (not the world), for that was the original meaning of that Greek word "Kosmos." 150 years later, they started using that word, sometimes for the world & sometimes for the cosmos/universe. But here it means the cosmos]. But also, that means that He even planned this very disagreement on this website, that you are looking at right now! (He "saw" you at this very instant, before He made the universe).

I don't know what you think, but I know that God loves me so much that He would have made it clear on all 8 (10) of these, so that we would never have written this website, nor would we have ever put any of this into practice, if the Lord had truly given those false-commandments, because the Lord would have made it clear for our sakes, even if we were the only people in the world that believe this.

But the very fact that He planned (predestined) all of this disagreement, is just one more indication that these 8 (10) really are false. (It was the Devil that persuaded Adam & Eve to add-on these false commandments).

(The Conclusion of the Proof):

If God really exists, & He does, & if all scripture is "God-breathed" (2 Tim 3:16-17, Amplified Bible)—and it is, for Jesus is holding the whole universe together (to keep each & every atom from exploding), & if God truly loves me (& He does, for the Bible tells me so), then there is only one answer that you can come to:

And since the Lord did not declare in the scriptures, "you shall not.." or clearly say that it is wrong on even one of these 8 (10), & since all of the statements & all of the incidents can be understood two opposite ways & do not convince the people "on the other side of the fence," & since there isn't anything else in the Bible to show that they are wrong, then these so-called commandments have to be counterfeit—not just the false command on "nakedness in private," but also all 8 (10) of them. For the Lord always makes it clear that it is wrong & never uses a statement or or an incident as proof that it is wrong (especially one that can be interpreted 2 opposite ways).

Read on, & you will see 3 or 4 reasons why God would never be silent on something that is truly wrong—nor even on something moderately wrong.

Continuing “Nakedness in Private:”

Yes, it will take time for you to get rid of the thought that “nakedness in private” is wrong, because that is the way you have always believed. Look, those of you who have been married at least 1 year, does it seem wrong to be naked with your spouse??? No, of course not, but some conscientious people had to get used-to being naked with their spouse, which took time. But eventually, all of those super-conscientious people, except those that were psychologically disturbed, got used to it & didn't have any problem with it from then-on.

Well, it is the same with being naked with others. You will get used to it when you realize that the Lord was just hiding it till these last-days, in order to catch Satan in his trap (Ps 9:15,16) at the end of time. If you will read further, then you will understand how this catches Satan & his hosts in their trap that they set for us—these 8 false-commandments.

(New, Nov. 2, 2020) (clarified)

But the biggest proof of all “8 (10)” is:

that God (through the Bible, which is the only protected source of Bible teaching, 2Tim 3:16,17, Heb 4:12) never said anything against these 8 (10). Look, you know as well as I do, that if these 8 (10) so-called “commandments” were truly wrong, then He would have definitely told us so in the scriptures.

I.e. doesn't God love those of us who believe that these commandments are false, because the Bible never said “no” to any of these 8 (10)??? Of course He does! Honestly, do you really think that the Lord would “leave us (who believe they are false) in the dark???” Wouldn't He let us know, if those 8 (10) really were true commandments. Well then, what other conclusion can we come to? Those 8 (10) have to be false, for the Lord would have made it clear in the Bible that they were wrong,

But the Lord also knows that some people hesitate to believe it, because they feel uncomfortable with God just being silent in the Bible. And so, He has also hidden His approval on many of these 8 (10) false-commandments (discussed much-further below), to re-assure hesitant people that they are false.

But I find it amazing that those people who “are dead-set against” these teachings, boldly-deny these hidden approvals. I think that the Devil shuts their minds from grasping these hidden approvals & fills them with unbelief—because those approvals are so clear to those of us who believe. In our minds, there is no way to deny these approvals—without a shadow of a doubt in our minds who believe:

For your convenience, we have moved God's hidden-approvals immediately after the following discussion-list of the 8 false-commandments. These will hidden-approvals will help confirm in your minds that the Lord really did hide these commndments till now, & that He fully approves of them as well. Otherwise, the Lord wouldn't have hidden those approvals in the Bible.

When you are reading “these 8,” feel free to skip on down to the hidden-approval, & then come back to read the rest of “the 8.”

(New, Nov. 6, 2020) (clarified)

#1): “Don't self-masturbate whatsoever (no sex of any kind, whatsoever).” Many Bible-believing people believe that you can't do it without thinking evil (Matt 5:27-28, see vss 27-30), but the Bible doesn't say so. Yes, masturbation is evil if the heart is thinking evil, but but the Lord didn't forbid it because it isn't necessarily evil. The Bible never even mentions self-masturbation, let alone condemns it.

I first discovered that when I finished reading (virtually studying) the entire (Protestant) Bible for the very first time, for I had-had a problem with that (before I got married) & was now carefully watching to see what the Bible had to say about that. I thought that was strange for the Lord to not say anything, but I had no idea (at that time) that this teaching is actually false. There is a Bible text that counsels husbands & wives to come together (sexually) periodically, “...so

that Satan may not tempt you because of your lack of self-control” (1 Cor 7:5, see vss 2-5). Since that is true for married people, that their self-control weakens if they don't come together, sexually, periodically, well then, what about singles & celibates??? Doesn't their self-control also weaken if they don't relieve themselves periodically???

Of course it does. That is why so many faithful Catholic-priests started-out faithful, but ended-up doing some horrible (sexual) sins. Because their self-control became weaker & weaker as the years went by, until they finally gave-in to horrible, sexual-sins,

Many people have judged them as horrible hypocrites, when the real problem was that they were following a command that the Devil had made—not what God had made! That is why Satan made that command, in order to weaken the self-control of singles & especially of celibates (whose self-control keeps-on weakening as the years go-by). Satan did that so that a lot more singles, & celibates, would fall into sin.

After realizing that self-masturbation is OK (if it is w/o sinful thoughts & feelings), I relieved myself periodically, all without thinking about anyone. (So, it can be done without sinning (Matt 5:27-28)). I continued that method for more than a year. But a much better way is to just “picture” that you are sharing the next method (JSS-Love) with someone, which never has been wrong, either—not at all. The hidden-approval for relieving yourself, sexually, is immediately after this discussion-list of “these 8.” Feel free to skip-down & read it now, if you like, & then come back to “#2)” to continue reading the rest of this discussion list.

(New, Nov. 9, 2020) clarified further

#2): “No sex at all, not even the lesser-forms of sex, with anyone except your spouse, & only after you two marry. The lesser forms of sex [which we call JSS-Love, “Jesus' Satisfying Solution] are just as bad as 'becoming one-flesh,' sexually.”

This teaching started growing from about 1900 AD/CE, onward, when some started changing the translation of the (New Testament) Greek word (“por-nei-ah” & also “por-neu-oh”) from “fornication” (unlawfully “becoming one-flesh” with someone) to “sexual immorality,” which includes the lesser forms of sex (which we call JSS-Love, “Jesus' Satisfying Solution”).

Doesn't Gen. 2:24 imply that “becoming one flesh” is only for the husband to share with his wife? Thus, isn't “becoming one-flesh” the symbol of marriage??? But you insist that it also includes the lesser-forms of sex, but where did you get your evidence from?

For 700 years, up till about 1900 AD/CE, the common belief was that it meant “fornication”, but “the tide started changing” from “fornication” to “sexual immorality.” Since that time, the great majority of modern translations have translated it “sexual immorality.”

But there have always been some translators that still believed that it meant “fornication.” In fact, if you will follow the footnotes in the NKJV (New Testament), you will see that some of the the translators that translate it “fornication” were included in translating the NKJV.

Yes, they were a minority, but they always got to include the footnote, “or fornication”—if they were on the committee that translated that particular book. But there was one committee that the leaders made-sure that those translators did not get on, & that was the committee for the book “1 Corinthians,” That is the book that reveals which way God defines that Greek word. So, they didn't get to put the footnote “or fornication” on the most important text of all (in the NKJV). This was a severe disagreement among the translators over which way this particular word means.

Now this is a super-important issue, but we will discuss it further below, here in “**#2):**” For we want you to see some other aspects in regard to this issue, so that your mind & your heart will be more ready to accept that this text (1 Cor 6:15,16, see vss 13b-20) truly reveals which way God defines that Greek word.

But we will say this much here: The authority that they used to change the meaning of that word certainly didn't come from the scriptures, for the scriptures don't even mention the lesser-forms of sex, except in one place where it is indirectly implied.

And that “one place” is the place where the Lord hid his approval of JSS-Love! But wait until you are convinced, & then look at that hidden approval (as extra assurance). That hidden approval is discussed a lot-

further below.

Let's reason-through this claim. Everyone agrees that this Greek word includes fornication. The biggest problem with this (false) commandment is that it steals the tempted ones from the Lord's primary way of escape from fornication, which all Bible-believers know is wrong. Yes, the majority now teach that the lesser forms of sex are just as bad. saying that fleeing is God's way of escape (1 Cor 6:18, KJV). But the Apostle Paul didn't know about God's primary way of escape back then, nor did we, 20 years ago. And so, all he could do was say, "flee fornication" But fleeing is a poor way of escape, for it doesn't relieve their sex-drive & can still leave them severely tempted—if not immediately afterwards, then at least, later-on, if they don't periodically relieve their sexual drive.

Those who give-in to this sin, do they break it through sharing JSS-Love??? No! Perhaps the man gives oral sex to her (which is a form of JSS-Love, given to her). Then, instead of giving oral sex to him, they finish with sexual-intercourse, which everyone knows is wrong!!! They think, "After all, if it is just as bad, then why not fuck???" Even

if they were to teach, "JSS-Love is not as wrong as..., but it is still wrong," then when the tempted couple would decide to give-in to sin, then what would they do??? They would reason, "Well, it is still wrong to share JSS-Love, & that would be disobeying God." And the girl reasons, "Well, I'm going to lose my virginity anyway." So they reason, "why not just go all the way???" And so, they end-up doing what God truly forbids (for that is what they want).

So by including JSS-Love, it has hardly had any effect in keeping people from sinning. In fact, it has made matters worse, for rather than sharing what isn't as bad (JSS-Love), they almost always violate the symbol of marriage (Gen 2:24)!

Had they known that JSS-Love is acceptable in God's eyes (if they don't picture "disobedience"), & had the girls known that they will still be virgins, then they could have taken God's primary way of escape from fornication & would have been satisfied, sexually, without doing "what is much worse" (violating Gen. 2:24).

(#2, cont.): But also, you married people object, saying, "You can't do that! That would be lust!" (Matt 5:27-28, see vss 27-30). It is amazing how married people can take to extremes the word "lust," & yet when they "do the real thing" with their wife, then they don't call it "lust. Instead, they justify themselves (& rightfully so) by calling it "sharing love," which it really is.

Look, if it isn't lust for you married people (& it isn't for most of you), then how can you accuse us of lust, when we only share the lesser-forms of sex with each other? Which incites greater "lust" (as you call it), JSS-Love or sexual intercourse??? Don't you have greater desire for sexual-intercourse than for the lesser-forms of sex??? Of course you do, & so do we.

Well then, be fair & stop accusing us of lust. Like you married people, we share love, rather than truly lusting. That same Greek word (lust) is equally-translated "covet" (Rom 13:9). So when used here, it would mean, "covet to become one-flesh with her." That is why the NKJV translated Matt. 5:28 "to lust for her," (NKJV) instead of "to lust after her" (KJV). That way, it includes both: "to covet to have her," as well as "to lust to fuck her."

(#2, cont.): I know of one engaged couple that lost self-control, for they didn't know that 1 Cor 7:5 "hints" that singles also need to relieve themselves periodically (sexually, either relieving themselves through appropriate self-masturbation, or with JSS-Love), in order to maintain good self-control (see vss 2-5).

This couple would have had much better self-control had they, at least, known about appropriate self-masturbation. So in order keep from sinning against God, they decided to literally marry on the spot (no witnesses), so that they could share sex. As a consequence, she got pregnant (for they didn't know about the Lord's JSS-Love). But at least, they promised each other the marriage vows & kept their commitment (& also, treasured that baby!). Surely the Lord accepted that. Thus, their child wasn't conceived from sin. That was OK, but that isn't what God would want. He wants people to take their time in deciding to marry, & use His

primary way of escape (JSS-Love) while courting. Then they can take plenty of time to get acquainted—without being severely tempted.

But, the Devil has persuaded objectors to be so hard-heated, that they have no sympathy for the predicament that they were in (nor sympathy for billions of boy-friends & girl-friends & millions of courting couples). Many a virgin-girl eventually gives-in, because her boy-friend keeps-on plaguing her. But many conservatives have no sympathy & say, “Well, you shouldn't... that way.”

It makes me sigh & feel sick, because multitudes are cutting themselves off from God & are getting their lives messed-up. I know of an older woman who told me how her boyfriend plagued her (in high-school) so much, that she got angry & gave-in. It wasn't long till she quit-him, but that cost her a lot. For he told all of the guys that he could have sex with her any time!!! And so, the whole school thought she was a whore, when she only gave-in “one” (a few?) times.

But once either-one gives-in & goes “all the way,” then what does he/she do from then-on??? Marriage counselors say, “They take-up from where they left-off with their next friend.” They “go all the way” with each new friend, often on the very-first date! I think that the Devil discourages the girl who lost her virginity & moves her to say, “Since I have lost my virginity, I may as well...”

Guys & gals, whores & prostitutes, if you have lost your virginity, it isn't too late with Jesus. Once you truly repent, then Jesus (& the Father) look at you as if you had always been pure & had never done that (Ezek 18:22,24). This situation is epidemic among the older singles, in our conservative churches. And what about those who are divorced??? Almost invariably, they “take-up where they left-off with their spouse!

A few hold-fast to their old standards, but very few.

People, can you see how the Devil repeatedly makes-use of this (false) commandment to cut-off people from God & to get them to fall??? None of this would have happened if we had been taught JSS-Love.

That is why Satan persuaded Adam & Eve to add these 8 so-called commandments, in order to cut people off from God & get them to fall. And when they do give-in, Satan always tempts them to do what is truly wrong, rather than take the primary way of escape for that temptation, so that he can accuse them **before God!**

(#2, cont.): Yes, virtually all of the modern translations repeatedly say “sexual immorality.” Surly the translators have justification from history & other languages¹², but history & languages don't count, nor do other languages, as well. Because the only source that is protected from the Devil is the Bible—not any individual manuscript by itself, but all of the manuscripts, collectively-viewed together (except those manuscripts that have been proven to be counterfeit)). So,

12 And if that “history” or languages come from pre-Catholic sources, then what else would you expect??? The Roman Catholics still to this day, forbid husbands & wives (technically, theoretically, & many still believe it) from sharing sexual love together (except to procreate children), which violates the scriptures (1 Cor 7:2-5). They have made intimate-love wrong, as if there is no such thing as sharing genuine, sexual-love, as if it has no benefit in preserving the relationship of husband & wife.

So, is it any wonder why Roman-Catholics (& their languages) call it sexual-immorality??? For Satan has persuaded them to get rid of any & every kind of sex whatsoever, even relieving themselves sexually, with picturing anyone!

They try to make it look-like that text (vss 2-5) was said by permission (vss 6-7). No, these very verses (2-5) are a warning. These very verses show why so many priests have fallen into serious sexual offenses: because of their lack of self-control (vs 5b), from not allowing any kind of sex at all, except to procreate children! (Self-control keeps diminishing, & temptation keeps increasing, as the years go by, if it isn't relieved periodically).

No, it was celibacy that was said by permission. If husbands & wives don't want to weaken their self-control (vs 5b), then they need to come together, sexually, periodically (vs 5). And singles, you also need to relieve yourself periodically, either through appropriate self-masturbation (w/o picturing “sin”) or through JSS-Love with a friend. Otherwise, you also can be severely tempted “because of your lack of self-control” (vs 5b).

I hope the day comes when the Roman Catholic denomination will realize that it was Satan, not God, that prompted that false teaching of “procreation only.” It is far better to help-prevent priests & people from doing horrible sexual-sins, than to forgive them afterwards!

it is essential for the Bible to be its own interpreter, revealing what God's definition of that Greek word is. (The world may define that word differently, but the Lord is kind enough to let us know which-way He defines it. Where else could you find the true answer, when Satan is busy in all of the churches & in all of society, trying to mess-up God's true teachings???) (Actually, that is how all 8 of these false-commandments got started. Satan persuaded Adam & Eve on all 8 so-called commandments (while their first children were still small). ("Just marry them off at puberty," which is rarely successful, if they are only allowed to have one wife, but Adam & Eve didn't know that. Then much later in history, he persuaded the Church (& its followers) to forbid any sex at all, not even between husbands & wives, except for procreation (see previous footnote)!

(#2, cont.): So, which way does God define that Greek word, according to the Bible, "sexual immorality" or "fornication?" The Bible is its own interpreter: If there is a (sizable) disagreement over what a word means, then the Bible reveals which way is correct. There is one place (& only one place) in the (Protestant) Bible, that reveals which way the Bible defines it. (For it isn't very often that a text reveals which way a word means). That text is found in 1 Cor 6:15-16, (see vss 13b-18). Verse 13b uses that Greek Greek word (por-nei-ah) & so does verse 18, for that is what these verses (in 13b-18) are talking about. Verses 15-16 say: "Do you not know that your bodies are the members of Christ? Shall I then take the members of Christ & make them the members of a prostitute? Never! Or do you not know that he who is joined to a prostitute becomes one body with her? For, as it is written, 'The two will become one flesh.'" Since the Bible doesn't even mention the lesser forms of sex, then that means the Holy Bible indicates that-that Greek word means, "...becoming one-flesh with...", sexually (fornication), with a person that he/she isn't married to, thus breaking the "one-flesh" symbol of marriage (Gen 2:24), And since the Bible doesn't mention the lesser forms of sex (except in the one place where God hid His approval), then God's definition cannot possibly include the lesser-forms of sex. For the Lord would have-had a text placed in the Bible, that reveals that "por-nei-ah" also includes the lesser-forms of sex, if it were truly so. (And besides, the Lord's hidden approval is proof-enough to show that it isn't really so).

Now, some Christians have noticed that Paul was talking about becoming one-flesh with a prostitute. And so, they think that "por-nei-ah means, "to become one-flesh with a prostitute." It is easy to misinterpret the Bible. Por-nei-ah is also used for the right to divorce a spouse who becomes one-flesh with anyone other than his/her spouse, which includes a paramour, a homosexual or a prostitute (Matt 5:31-32) Paul used a prostitute (who is headed toward the Lake of Fire (Rev. 21:8, 20:15) if she doesn't repent) in order to show the great contrast between being one-body with Christ & becoming one-flesh with a prostitute (who is headed toward the Lake of Fire). In becoming one-flesh with a prostitute, he also "becomes-one" in her promised-punishment.

((#2:), cont.) OK, so you still insist that that-word still includes the lesser forms of sex? Are you honest enough to rescind if you should see that you are in-error??? Pray about it, for as you will see that there is only one conclusion that you can come to. Here is what the problem is with rejecting this: It "puts-down God," for it implies that God either 1) didn't foresee this disagreement (which contradicts the Bible & scientific evidence), or that 2) the Lord doesn't love those of us who claim that it is counterfeit, because He didn't say so in the scriptures. Otherwise, He would have provided evidence to make it clear on what God means by that word. The Protestant reformers had similar problems 500 years ago. The Catholic Church had made certain words mean what God didn't really mean. The reformers straightened-out the meaning of those words by letting the Bible be its own interpreter. God solved their problem by "hiding" in the Bible His meaning of those words. The Catholic definition "was such & such," but they showed from the Bible that God's true meaning was/is... Well, then, since the Lord precisely-

foresees the future (not even missing by an atom or an electron (on the tip of each & every person's tongue, at every instant of time, explained more thoroughly below), then why didn't the Lord put an example of the lesser-forms of sex & declare it to be wrong???

The Lord could have easily done that (for He saw every-tiny detail this very disagreement before He started making anything)—and He would have done that if the lesser forms of sex had truly been wrong—and that would have solved the problem. Because God loves us (who are on the other side of the fence), as well as you.

In fact if the Lord had done that, then there would have been justification from the Bible that-that Greek word really meant “Sexual immorality.” But He couldn't do that, not only because it isn't wrong, but also because it would have completely changed the whole (predestined) story. For we wouldn't have had a case to “build-on,” & we would never have upset Christianity with this particular issue.

If we hadn't discovered this false-commandment (#2)), then we might not have even written this website, for that is where it all started: in discovering the original meaning of adultery & fornication.

But also, the Lord couldn't forbid the lesser forms of sex, because JSS-Love isn't necessarily wrong in the Lord's eyes. Yes, it can be wrong, but of itself, JSS-Love isn't actually wrong.

Further below, we list the reasons why “becoming one-flesh” is wrong (if the two aren't-yet married), & also list why (we think) the Lord doesn't view JSS-Love as wrong. JSS-Love is God's primary way of escape from sexual-intercourse, for those who aren't-yet married to each other.

So, it is better to allow JSS-Love, so that they don't do what God especially forbids & also what can truly be harmful. That is why the Lord limits sexual-intercourse to married couples, because it can be harmful, even with condoms (etc.), & even with “anal sex.”

But also, there is another reason why the Lord restricts “becoming one-flesh.” Restricting “one flesh” to marriage, makes it really special & encourages hesitant guys to get married! Gals, if you give a guy all that he wants, than he is very hesitant to commit to marriage, for he might want someone else later on!

But in contrast if you share JSS-Love instead, then your guy will be satisfied enough that he ceases “nagging you” (to go all the way), & yet, he still isn't fully satisfied. Mention to him that God has promised to restore 7-fold in pleasure, love, joy, peace, satisfaction, etc. & say, “You don't know if you don't try.” And, most of them will practice JSS-Love).

Thus in sharing JSS-Love, he will become reasonably satisfied, but will also be a lot more inclined to get married! But if he still isn't inclined to get married, then “ditch him” & find someone else. It will be a lot easier to find someone from now-on, when JSS-Love (& #3:)) become common-place.

But also, there are a lot of you gals out there, that always go all the way with your friends. And thus, you have been cut-off from any of them wanting to marry you. Start requiring JSS-Love, & you will find that some of them will take a much greater interest in you. Maybe you can even marry two or three of them (see #3:)).

(#2:), cont.) Translation of the Greek & Hebrew word for “adultery” was also changed about 1900 AD/CE onward. As you know, Merriam Webster's Dictionary does word studies to find the current meaning of words. It wasn't until about the 1970's that “Merriam Webster” finally changed the meaning of adultery.

Prior to then, they translated adultery as “Voluntary sexual-intercourse of a husband with someone other than his wife, or voluntary sexual-intercourse of a wife with...” But in the 1970's they changed it from “...sexual-intercourse...” to “...sexual-immorality...” Again, the Lord provided (“providence”) one text (& only one text) in the (Protestant) Bible that reveals which way “adultery” should be translated. Prov. 6:24-35 is warning against prostitutes (vss 24-26a) as well as adulterous-wives (vss 26b-35).

Verse 29 says, “So is he who goes in to his neighbor's wife; none who touches her will go unpunished.” (ESV). Note that it says “goes in to...,” not “goes into” in all 3, the ESV, the KJV & the NKJV. The meaning of “go in” is revealed in the heading of King David's “repentance psalm” #51, “...when Nathan the prophet went to him, after he had gone in to Bathsheba.” King David got Bathsheba (Uriah's wife) pregnant from that one-

time event.

Further below, we also show from the Bible, that JSS-Love isn't adultery, either. It is in “the law's” eyes, but not in God's eyes. God also defined which is the right meaning of adultery, fornication or sexual-immorality, (Prov. 6: This is the only place where you find which way God defines it (in the Protestant Bible). But since the couples originally understood (at the time when they said their vows) that the lesser-forms of sex are also adultery, then the two need to agree that JSS-Love isn't really adultery & isn't wrong. JSS-Love can be shared without any sin at all

(if their hearts are right), even for married people. They need to have the approval of their spouses, so that it isn't counted as adultery. But they also need to be thoughtful & let their spouses know each time, when they will be sharing JSS-Love with someone, so that he/she isn't shocked if their spouse happens to find them or hear about it. But married people need to practice JSS-Love too, for sometimes there are other people who also mean much to them. So the Lord also

provides it for married people, who happen to also love some others. Many of you really object to this. But it is far better than leaving their spouse for that other person. As we said, of all the people that men would prefer to be good-friends with, they would prefer to be good-friends with

certain women, yes, even on a casual basis w/o any JSS. It isn't that we men don't want men-friends. It is just that there are some women that we relate to much better than men. We think that the same thing is true of women. Women are super-close friends with the women that mean so much to them, but till now, that was only because loyal wives couldn't be good-friends with

other men—only with their husband. These false commandments have limited loyal-Christians to only one good-friend of the opposite sex—only their spouse. We have seen many couples in the past, who thought that they could be friends with the opposite-sex, & still be loyal to their spouse. But almost invariably, their marriages shattered. But with JSS-Love (& also

with #3:), they can now be good-friends with any person of the opposite-sex, & not have to worry about having a secret, illegitimate-affair or leaving their spouse for that person. No, those friends of the opposite-sex don't have to share JSS-Love, but if necessary, they have that privilege available. Some of them will be added on later as additional spouses (see #3:), but not all of them, for they are not allowed to have too many spouses. If you don't allow that privilege, then

thoughts of (real) adultery are more apt to arise in their hearts. Allowing JSS-Love can quench that temptation. It gives them some satisfaction in regard to that special friend, so that their heart isn't tempted toward leaving their spouse, etc. But if they don't or can't add another spouse, then they shouldn't share JSS-Love too often, for their hearts might become tempted.

((#2), cont.) By the way, the Lord suggested a way for people to know that it is safe to share JSS-Love with someone. If both persons pass the PIN-number tests, then they don't have to worry whether either of them has Covid-19 or AIDS, or any other serious disease. Only the Lord

can give them those exact PIN-NUMBERS (if they haven't cheated & found out), for God takes all “chance” out of it, plus He even forbids the Devil from giving them that PIN-NUMBER! But the Lord only gives that PIN-NUMBER to those who can be trusted to not disobey, sexually. To see more details, search below for “PIN-number,” & you will see. If you would like, feel

free to skip-down to this hidden-approval (after this discussion-list) & then come back & continue with “#3.”

Now, many of you who are interested will want some tips on how to do these things. Sorry, we don't share the “how-to's,” in order to protect those that might be accused of sharing sexually-descriptive material. But just use your imagination, & you will figure

out various ways of sharing JSS-Love. The lesser forms of sex are anything other than “becoming one-flesh” with each other (the penis going in to vagina or the anus). There are several ways to share JSS-Love. In fact there are even 2 ways that are similar to “becoming one-flesh.” So, think about it and ask the Lord to help you.

(New, Nov. 2, 2020) (clarified)

#3) (& #10): for women to only have one husband, never any more. (Men having plural-wives was taught in the Old Testament, but the whole Bible is silent on women). And yes, everyone thinks that Gen. 2:24 forbids both men & women from more than one spouse, but we show from the Bible that-that text also applies to plural-spouses. And yes, we are to heed the law, but in the last 40 years, there is now a way (in the USA) to legally have plural-spouses.

The Fundamentalist Latter-day Saints (“fundamentalist Mormons”) have been legally practicing that for many years. But they make the mistake of building large houses to house their harems. The Lord has a better idea (where they all have their-own housing, but live with each spouse occasionally, Isa 4:1, see 3:25-4:6). And of course, the “fundamentalist Mormons” (& the Muslims) didn't know that women can also have more than one husband.

That way people don't get as tired of the “same-old spouse,” are less-apt to “make mole-hills into mountains” and much less apt to leave their beautiful spouse when she isn't as beautiful as she used to be.

The problem with the present system is that too-many reject & divorce their present spouse, in order to marry (or live with) someone younger & “better.” There is great pain in being rejected & divorced, much worse than if their spouse had continued to love them & had died. It is much better to add-on a spouse, than to treacherously-reject them to have another.

From the days of Nebuchadnezzar onward (a heathen-nation that worshiped idols & visited temple-prostitutes), the Jews were never again allowed to have more than one wife. As a result, many Jews left their wife for someone “better,” which the Lord severely condemned (Mal 2:13-16).

That problem wasn't nearly as bad when they were allowed to have more than one wife. And when women start having more than one husband, then the “divorce in order to marry epidemic” will be much-less than that. That is why the Devil persuaded Adam & Eve to limit them to only one spouse, so that many-many more reject their spouse for another. And not only that, he could then induce the “hurt one” to take revenge (e.g. by suicide-murder of their spouse who is leaving, or has left them). Much evil has come from this—far more than most people realize! (And yet, many want to reject this as far-more evil than “only one spouse!”).

The Devil is to blame for all of this. A history professor said that all great nations that have collapsed, have collapsed because of “breakdown of the family.”

What wrong did they do? The vast majority left their spouse for another & another & another. How much “family” was left??? None!

And yes, “Plural-Spouses” can be evil, but it doesn't have to be—it can be much better than what we presently have, but also it would have spared those great nations from collapsing!

In conclusion, even happily married couples would be better off if the husband has at least 2 wives & the wife has at least 2 husbands (reduces tensions in the family).

If you would like, feel free to skip down to the hidden approvals (immediately after this discussion-list) & read the 3rd hidden-approval. Then come-back to “#4):” & continue reading.

(New, Nov. 4, 2020) (clarified)

#4): “Don't associate much with the opposite sex who are married (or, if you are married), for you might steal their heart from their spouse, or they might steal your heart from your spouse,” Some of you think that God didn't need to say that, but what about us, today, who intend to court & marry some of those who are already married? Didn't He need to say that for those of us who intend to court a married person??? But He didn't, because it is a false-commandment.

Oh, how many married people would love to be friends with certain people of the opposite sex, but they can't safely do that, except with their spouse!!!

Up till now, “having only one special friend of the opposite-sex” was the only safe thing that we faithful Christians could do.

When I had only been married a couple of years, there was one beautiful lady (in our church) who tried to befriend me. Oh, how I wanted to be her friend, because she was such a wonderful, friendly person,

but I couldn't, because of the danger of our hearts being stolen from our spouses. Satan is to blame for this because of his false-commandments.

As it was, her own husband left her. He wasn't satisfied with this beautiful, gracious woman that would have been easy to live with! Only the Lord knows, but I would guess that he would still be with her if we had known about Plural-Spouses. Do you still want to say that Plural-Spouses is evil???

Oh, how many of us faithful Christians have been deprived of the friends that we want most of all, those special friends of the opposite sex. Yes, I am thankful for the men-friends that I have. But most men-friends avoid being close to their men-friends, which leaves most men feeling empty.

But it is only natural for a man to prefer to be friends with a woman (We are talking about friendship, not sexual-love). But these false-commandments have cut us faithful Christians off from even being friends. I don't know how you can look down on this, but many of you are. If you could only know of the ache in the hearts of many faithfully-married people, who wish that they could at least, be friends with those special ones of the opposite-sex.

But it wouldn't have been safe up till now, because of the danger of losing our own souls. No, we could never marry all of them, for that would be too many wives/husbands. But that isn't/wasn't the reason why we wanted to be friends with them anyway. We just want to be friends with those of the opposite-sex who view things much-like we do.

With most of them, we just want/wanted to be friends, like men are friends with men, but especially like women are friends with women, for they become so close to those who mean so much to them. It isn't wrong for them, but up till now, "everyone" has thought that means that they have an evil motive—adultery.

Under the old system, that often was true, but now with these 8 (10) ways of escape, we don't have to worry about falling into real sin. But now that we have Plural-Spouses, but especially are free to share JSS-Love with anyone (whose spouse agrees), then it is plenty-safe to be friends with those of the opposite-sex. Thus, many of the great temptations of the past will no longer be great.

(New, Dec. 22, 2020) (clarified)

#5): "Don't express affection to your neighbor's spouse (hugging & kissing & caressing, etc.), for you will be 'playing games with fire.'" (And you would be "playing games with fire" if these false commandments were really true).

If the Bible had even stated this 5th one, then much of our website would have collapsed. For if you can't hug, kiss & caress that person's spouse, then you certainly can't share JSS-Love with him/her nor marry him/her.

So don't say that #5) wasn't needed. But before any of you who put these things into practice, you first need permission from both of your spouses. Otherwise, you will be sinning, for your spouse married you, assuming that anything like this is wrong,

But even after you get permission, you need to be thoughtful to let that person's spouse know when & where you two are going to be sharing JSS-Love together (or if you two should start courting each other). That way, that person's spouse isn't shocked, if he/she should happen to find you doing so.

If #5) really were true, then it would have been written in the Bible, to make it clear to not express any kind of affection "to your neighbor's spouse"—if it were truly valid. It would have been needed to make it clear, for the sake of us who "are on the other side of the fence," so that we could know that God is on your side of the fence. For God is love & desires for all of us to know what is right & what is wrong, even those of us who are "on the other side of the fence." God is fair & always does that—if it truly is wrong.

So, which "side of the fence" is God on, on "#5)?" The only conclusion that you can come to is that the Lord did not make "#5)," i.e. that #5) is also counterfeit.

(New Dec. 22, 2020) (clarified)

#6): "After your children are past the 'diaper age,' children are not to be naked in your presence, nor with anyone else." Now, if it truly is wrong for your child to be naked in your presence or with their sibling(s), then doesn't it make sense that God would speak against it in the Bible? Now, there is a text, in the Old Testament, that forbade a brother & sister from being naked in the

presence of each other (Lev 20:17). And those of you who think that this is a valid command, will surely “jump on it,” emphasizing that it says that “it is a wicked thing.” We certainly agree that it is a dangerous thing to do (discussed elsewhere) **if** they don't know about these 8 (10) **ways** of escape from temptation (especially, if they didn't know “#2”). When I was very young (less than 10 years old), it was fairly common for a brother to get his sister pregnant, for parents (at that time) didn't want their children to know about condoms. But also, none of them knew that God also had a primary way of escape.

We wouldn't have an argument on brothers & sisters being naked with each other, if it weren't that this text is worded as an old-covenant instruction (i.e. for Jews, Lev. 20:17). The Lord revealed that it was old-covenant by making the punishment old-covenant: “& they shall be cut off in the sight of the the children of their people” (ESV). The Lord did the same thing with the instruction in verse 18, “Both of them shall be cut off from among their people.” (ESV). This was God's way of hiding that these 2 instructions are old-covenant: for “their people” were the **Jews!**

If it weren't that this is worded as old-covenant. then some Christians would say that this “command” still applies today, thinking that it is a moral command. In fact, that is probably why the Lord virtually hid that it was Old Covenant, because up till now, it has been beneficial for Christians to think that this was a moral-command. You see up till now, “no” Christians knew about God's primary way of escape (#2)). so it was best (up till now) to think that this was a moral command that still applied. But being worded as “old-covenant” reveals that it was actually a command for the Jews.

(Keep in mind that Lord chose to reveal all 8 (10) near the end of time (i.e. in our day), so that Satan would get caught in his own trap. For he is the one who started this trap of 8 (10) false-commands, that has caused more souls to fall into sin, than any other “trap”).

You see, the Lord (who was the one speaking (vs. 1)) was careful to word this command so that it applied to people in the Jewish covenant. God instructed here, “and they shall be cut off in the sight of their people.” God was giving this instruction to Moses, to relay to the Jews. “Their people” in this verse were the Jews. They were to be cut off from the Jews, or at least, cut off from their local people, which again would be Jews, under the Old Covenant. And again, this commandment was best for them back then, & has even been best for us Christians up-till now. For up till now, we never knew about these 8 (10) ways of escape from temptation. So up till now, it was best, in order to help avoid fornication. But from now on, it is no longer a wicked thing if the brother & sister get naked in order to share JSS-Love together (the lesser-forms of sex). So again (the 6th time), God never made any such command for New-Covenant Christians. Yes, they need to know that commandment #2 is false, so that they have a way of escape from committing true-fornication (from “becoming one flesh” with each other). In other words, it is even OK for brothers & sisters to share JSS-Love together—naked.

That seems shocking to our “traditional minds,” but all 8 (10) ways of escape, which includes nakedness (#6 & #8), were what God originally intended for mankind, from the very beginning.

It was Satan who stole these from us by persuading Adam & Eve to add-on these 8 (10) false-commandments. That was where it started, but since then, Satan has made sure to keep-on imparting these (or most of these) into the hearts & consciences of virtually all peoples & religions across the world, virtually all of the “ethical religions” (Buddhism, Hinduism, Islam, Yezidi, etc.).

(New, Nov. 23, 2020) (enhanced)

#7): “Don't sexually molest children, nor let them sexually-molest you.” Calling this so-called command counterfeit, is probably the most despised of all of the 8 (10) (that & also #8), & yet there isn't even one “thread” of any anything about it in the entire (Protestant) Bible! Not even an incident is mentioned, nor even any counsel nor instructions on anything else, regarding what parents can or can't do with their children! When my 2 children were still very small (a long-long time ago), I was carefully studying the entire Bible, to see what the Lord had to say about what parents could do with children.

(Keep in mind that I had the very-same beliefs (at that time in my life) that you conservatives do).

When I finished reading the entire Bible (Protestant Bible), I wanted to get angry at God. For the Bible didn't say anything at all about anything, concerning parents & children (nor even about someone else molesting children)--absolutely **nothing!!!** No, it didn't make any comments at all about anything regarding dealing with children, absolutely **nothing!**

If this is such a horrible thing in God's eyes, then wouldn't He have warned us??? Of course He would. People, you have always rejected anything like this & thus, have a hard time accepting, that it could not only be acceptable, but also beneficial, for **both** parent **&** child.

Yes, that so-called commandment would be biblically-true, **if** a person were truly molesting, which many of them are. And yes, we who believe this are to obey the law of the land & refrain from it, until there are enough of us to get that law modified.

This is probably the most objectionable of the 8 (10) false-commandments, thinking, "Oh, that would be **horrible**, to even **touch** a child!!!" Keep in mind that you (& I) always thought that any kind of sex was wrong. And if that were true, then it would truly-be "absolutely horrible."

But if you believe that God is all-knowing & all-wise, & that He loves us & foresees the future, & yet are still believing that JSS-Love is wrong, then you are in **deep trouble!!!** Are you casting a **shame** upon God??? What are you doing, telling God that He **should** have said "You shall not molest..." Are you wiser than God??? Perhaps you are the one that needs to back-down.

You are calling it "molesting," & it **can** be if the motives are sinful. But does it have to be wrong??? If this is such a horrible sin, like you say it is, then why didn't the Lord warn us against it **somewhere** in the Bible???

Look, if JSS-Love is truly wrong, then wouldn't the Lord have **especially** warned us (in the Bible) to not touch **children, sexually???** After all, if it were truly wrong, then wouldn't that get the child "started on the wrong track" before he/she even reaches puberty??? You bet it would!!!

This total silence about what a parent (or anyone else) can or cannot do with a child, ought to give you a clue that JSS-Love is **not** wrong in **God's** eyes, not even with a **child!!!** (if shared properly, of course).

People, the results will be just the opposite of what you are fearing. When we put "#7)" into practice, behaving as a Christian would behave, then our children will become the most **stable** children that have **ever been** seen before (in society)! And besides, when they reach puberty, then they will be ready to share appropriate JSS-Love with their young-friends, instead indulging in that which is truly forbidden.

And yes, the Lord has even hidden His approval of JSS-Love with children, because it meets His approval, especially if it is with your own children.

But up till now, many parents (& neighbor's) have fallen into secretly molesting their children. This has been wrong, even if they were doing what God allows, for they **thought** that they were disobeying God. That same atmosphere (of disobeying) rubs-off on the child & gets them headed in the wrong direction—in defying God!

No, those parents must repent & stop acting like they are disobeying God & start follow the Lord's principles. (And also, the Bible says to obey the laws of the land. Take them at times to an Indian reservation or where it is legal).

#8): This 8th false-commandment overlaps the 6th one, & thus, there are still 7 false-commandments (plus 2 others): Bible-believing Christians have traditionally "taught," "Don't be naked in private with anyone except your spouse, & even that, not till you **marry** your spouse." We have already written about this (many pages above, before even starting this list).

#9): “Sex is to be only for the procreation of children (Procreation Only).” We have already discussed this. It out-right violates the Bible which counsels husbands & wives to come-together periodically (sexually) so that your (sexual) self-control doesn't weaken (1 Cor 7:2-5). “...so that Satan may not tempt you through your lack of self-control” (vs 5b, NAB). This is important counsel & was not said by permission (the case for celibacy was said by permission, not “Procreation Only”).

(New, Feb. 3, 2021) (new, plus moved here from below)

#10): “A man shall only have one wife.” It is sort of ridiculous to discuss this, because we already proved that women can have Plural-husbands. So, what else would you expect, than for men to be allowed to have Plural-Wives??? But maybe someone wasn't convinced about women having Plural-husbands. So, we will include it anyway].

Many have claimed that the Lord allowed the Jews have plural wives, that it was His permissive-will & not what He really desired. They claim that this privilege was nailed to the Cross when Jesus died. But Jesus never nailed any freedoms to the cross, only restrictions that were added in the Old Covenant (at “Mount Sinai,” Gal. 3:19, also vs 17, see vss 10-22). Verse 19 says that the laws that were added in the Old Covenant were “added because of transgressions...”

Now you may claim that that-freedom was added at Mt. Sinai, but that is very debatable. For even Abraham's father had at least 2 wives, for Sarah was his half-sister. The Bible doesn't say, but there may/might have been some Hebrews that already had more than one wife, long before Mt. Sinai. For the book of Exodus doesn't talk like Plural-Wives was a new thing. To us, the book of Exodus reads like “Plural-wives” had already been going on, but that God was now clarifying what was right & what was wrong in having more than one wife (such as not marrying too many wives, Deut 17:17, see vss 14-20). But we can't conclude anything here, for the Bible doesn't say either way.

Now, some claim that it was wrong for Abraham's father to have more than one wife, but since it doesn't say so, there is no way to prove that it was wrong. Abraham had a very high regard for his family back in Haran. That is why he sent his servant to get a wife for Isaac from Abraham's family. But again, Abraham's regard for his family, especially his regard for his father, doesn't prove anything.

Some have thought that it was wrong for Jacob to take Rachael, for he was deceived & had already married Leah. But Jacob had covenanted himself to God, Laban believed that it was not wrong for Jacob to have 2 wives, & he obviously believed that Jacob believed the same way. For Laban was the one that planned the deception. But there is no way to prove anything from these stories, because the Bible doesn't state these details.

But the biggest proof is that God never said, “You shall not...,” neither before Mt. Sinai nor in the New Covenant. As for the regulations & ceremonies that were added, there was no reason to correct these, for they were added restrictions that vanished at the Cross.

But if the freedom of Plural-Wives were had been nailed to the cross, then God would have-had to say, “You shall not...” or at least clearly state in the New Testament that it was now wrong. In other words, God would have to reinstate that Law (which everyone has thought existed prior Mt. Sinai), so that we would know for sure that Plural-wives was now forbidden. But God didn't do that, not any of these 10 false-commandments.

Also, everyone has thought that God had only allowed a man to have one wife, because of Gen. 2:24 & because all of the early Old-Testament-people only had one wife (except Lamech, Gen 4:19, see vss 16-24). They explain that God only allowed the Jews to have more than one wife, because of the hardness of their hearts.

Well, their arguments sound good, but their arguments are full of holes, for God never uses texts like that, where Christians “on both sides of the fence” can legitimately justify their belief. No, the Lord always uses “You shall not...” or at least, clearly states that it is wrong. The Lord makes it clear (through the Bible), so that people “on both sides of the fence” can know which “side of the fence” that God stands on. For the Lord loves the people on both sides of the fence & doesn't want anyone to sin, especially if it were because God didn't make it clear in the Bible (2 Pet 3:9, see vss 8-13).

And please, don't use the excuse that God forgot. How could God forget on all 8 (10) false-commandments??? Remember that Jesus made the whole universe (Col. 1:16, see vss 12-20), & that Jesus was & is holding together every atom in the whole universe (vs 17b, ESV; the primary meaning of the word is “hold together,” not “consist”).

That means that Jesus is bigger than the whole universe, & also, that He was holding it together before He came to this earth as a baby, & that He was holding it together while He was dying on the cross & while He was in the tomb.

But God the Father is bigger & greater than Jesus (John 14:28). So does it seem impossible for the Father to “picture” all that Jesus is holding together & see what would happen??? The Bible repeatedly says that God predestined everything. Then how can you think that God didn't see every electron on every hair on your head, at every instant of time of your entire life—before He even started to make the universe???

After all, that is how every person's name got written in the Lamb's Book of Life. Those who lost their way got their names blotted out, but their names were originally written in there, long-before they were ever born. So, how is it that God could have forgotten on all 8 (10) of these so-called commandments that you have been reading-about, here???

No, the Lord never forbade Christians (through the Bible) from having plural-wives, nor even forbade women from having plural-husbands And Enemy persuaded “mankind” to require that.

And indeed, Plural-wives was forbidden in their day, not because God said so, but because “the Roman Law” said so, & they were to obey the laws of the land (somewhere). But in our day (in the last 40 years), it is now legal to live with as many people as you wish & do whatever you wish with any of them, as long as it is agreeable with all of them.

It is even legal to get married in your church to as many wives as you wish, as long as you aren't legally married (legally, according to the state in which you live) to more than one wife. Your church can give you a wedding certificate, as long as it isn't a legal wedding-certificate (issued by the state).

But of course, we don't recommend harems. A better way is for everyone to live separately & support themselves, if they are capable, just like Isaiah prophesied (Isa 4:1, see 3:16-4:6). (They visit each spouse & live with each spouse for short spans of time & then, return to their home).

Also, the Lord has even hidden His approval of men having plural-wives, When God reproved King David for what he did to Bathsheba & to her husband, Uriah the Hittite, the Lord Himself said:

“You are the man! Thus says the LORD GOD of Israel, 'I anointed you king over Israel...I gave you your master's house [king Saul's] & your master's wives...& if [that had been] too little, I also would have given you much more.” (2 Sam 12:7-8, NKJV). Note that it says that God gave him Saul's wives & if it would have helped, He would have given him even more wives. But more wives wouldn't have kept him from committing adultery with Bathsheba.

Everyone has argued that it was for the hardness of their hearts, that God allowed the Jews to have plural-wives. But God Himself said that He gave (not just allowed) David those wives. And

He also implied that it does help, to some extent, to help keep from committing adultery with someone else, by having plural wives. But David already had plenty of wives. So “more wives” wouldn't have helped him.

So in this text, the Lord even gave his His approval of men having plural-wives, & implied that it does/did help, to some extent, for men to have several wives—especially & rich people & great people, who had greater temptations than common people—but also, especially since those people, back then, didn't know about the 8 (10) ways of escape that we, now, know about.

That allowed kings & rich men some extra protection from indulging in adultery. When they were tempted, they could go & enjoy one of their more-beautiful wives, & it would help satisfy them. For kings & rich men & great men do have much-greater temptations to indulge in forbidden “activities” (because having lots of money & influence, etc.), than common men do.

But There is Also a 11th Tradition That is also, 95% Likely From Satan:

Both the Roman Catholics & the Orthodox-Christians earnestly believe that the mother of Jesus, Mary, was—and still-is—an eternal virgin. Since this tradition is of the same tenor as all 9 of the other false-commandments (“no sex..., no sex..., no sex...”), then it is 95% likely that this tradition was also from Satan (for it cannot be proven).

They claim that tradition over-rules Matt. 1:24, which states that Joseph “...did not know her till after she brought forth her first-born son...” (NKJV), thus implying that Joseph did have sexual-relations with Mary, after Jesus was born & after they married, for Joseph had not-yet married her till after Jesus was born (Luke 2:5, see vss 1-7).

They justify their claim, stating that the Greek word for “till” can sometimes be translated “to.” We won't argue that point, but the primary meaning of that Greek word is “till,” not “to” & is thus, translated “till” the great majority of times in New Testament.

They also believe that Mary went (or was taken) to Heaven shortly after she died. They even know what day that happened on. But the problem is that it is so easy for Satan to set-up all of that to make it look like she was an eternal-virgin, who never had sex with her husband, Joseph. And it was super-easy to counterfeit her being taken to Heaven. All Satan had to do was to move upon certain people. who wanted her to be exalted, to steal Mary's body & not tell anyone what they did. That way, everyone would think that she went (or was taken) to Heaven.

No, it can't be proven, but this tradition has caused these 2 denominations to exalt “no-sex celibacy,” speaking of it as if it were a great virtue. Even St. Paul never exalted celibacy. In fact, Paul even warned against “...asceticism & severity to the body...,” in which category “no-sex celibacy” falls into. St. Paul stated there that these things “...have no value in stopping the indulgence of the flesh...” (Col 2:23, ESV, see vss 20-23).

As we stated, the Bible says that abstaining from relieving the sex-drive weakens a person's self-control, rather than controlling it (1 Cor 7:5b, see vss 2-5). This false teaching about abstaining from sex, has caused many priests to fall into terrible sins. They exalt “no-sex celibacy,” thinking that they are doing some great work for God, & yet (as we show below), even St. Paul periodically relieved his sex-drive to maintain good self-control. Sorry, it is all a great deception from the Devil, that can never be reached, for they are violating this very scripture, thus going against the Lord's counsel, by doing it (1 Cor 7:5b, see vss 2-5).

Celibate-monks & celibate-priests, you need to stop exalting that which is harming your spirituality. Self-control cannot be gained by “no-sex celibacy,” nor by ascetic-treatment of the body. Sorry, you are only fooling yourselves. You aren't glorifying God (nor yourself) by observing these things. The body doesn't function that way. These have no benefit in gaining self-control over

the body. Contrary to what you have believed, nothing can be gained from these forms of asceticism, for self-control can't be gained by these methods.

But that doesn't mean that you have to stop being celibates, but please stop these so-called “disciplines,” for your own sakes & for your church's sake & most of all, for the Lord's sake. For neither you nor God is glorified by these ascetic practices (Col 2:20-23).

Hidden-Approvals Moved Here From Below:

The Lord's approval of #1) (appropriately relieving yourself through self-masturbation):

You see, Paul's purpose in 1Corinthians 7 was dual: 1) to counsel husbands & wives to periodically come together (a lesser reason, 1 Cor 7:2-5); & 2) to promote his opinion (vs 6-7a) about celibacy (vs 1 & vss 6-9 & 25-38 & vs 40). But his real purpose was to promote celibacy. That is why he started 7:1 by saying, “It is good for a man to not be touching a woman [sexually],” (ALT2), because he was encouraging celibacy.

But he made it clear in verse 2, “Nevertheless, to avoid fornication, let every man have his own wife...” etc. (KJV). What Paul was saying was, if you are going to have problems with fornication, then don't become a celibate! There's a reason why he inserted “marriage instructions” between verses 1 & 6: Since “sex” was (& still is) a sensitive topic, Paul was hinting how celibates can have self-control—through appropriate self-masturbation. But because the topic was so sensitive, he didn't want to bluntly say that.

That's why he stresses, “But if they cannot exercise self-control, then let them marry.” (vs 9a) For a person can lose his soul if he/she gives-in to fornication & becomes a slave to it. But if they marry (or appropriately self-masturbate), then they can maintain good self-control. But Paul made it very-clear that even marriage doesn't help if the husband & wife don't periodically share sexual-love together. For their self-control will greatly weaken if they don't share sexual-love together.

So he counseled married-couples to “come together, so that Satan may not tempt you because of your lack of self-control” (vs 5, ESV, see also vss 36-38). “What he was getting at” was, if married people need to “come together” periodically in order to maintain good self-control, then “singles” & celibates also need to relieve themselves, sexually, so that they also can maintain self-control.

So Paul was subtly revealing how he was successfully maintaining self-control as a celibate. After all, surely you know that many celibate-priests have fallen into terrible sexual-sins, largely because of lacking self-control (because of keeping the rule of “no sex at all, except with your wife).” But celibates don't have a wife nor intend to find one.

We would guess that Paul relieved himself by focusing on what he was actually doing to masturbate, without focusing on a person. Or, he might have relieved himself, remembering his former wife, which he may have had before he became a Christian. But there are also other ways that Paul didn't know about back then (e.g. imaginary JSS-Love).

So, that's how Paul maintained good self-control, by appropriately, periodically relieving his sex-drive. Why? To maintain good self-control (1 Cor 7:5b).

But Paul had no idea about what would happen in our day, nor did any of the other apostles. But all of this promotion of celibacy was said by permission (vss 6 & 25). The reason why the Lord permitted (St.) Paul to say these things, was because Paul was hiding God's hidden approval of appropriate self-masturbation!

(New, Nov. 25 & Sept. 27, 2019)

God's Hidden-Approval of #2) (JSS-Love)

Amazingly, this hidden approval naturally meets the nakedness-restriction & the “don't become one-flesh...” requirement of JSS-Love. It is hidden in a book of Moses in the Old Covenant. That's the perfect place for the Lord to hide it, for God Himself spoke those very instructions (see vs 1). The Lord, Himself, hid His approval in the instructions for Jewish women, for their menstrual-period (Lev 15:1,24, see vss 19-24).

Jews were forbidden to have sexual intercourse with their wife during “her period” (not just during her period (which today, is typically 3 days), but for seven days, for she was “unclean” for 7 days). God had made it a death penalty (in the Old Covenant) for the husband & wife to have sexual intercourse during those 7 days (Lev 20:18; the words “be cut off” meant to be “cut off from the living,” so that they couldn't possibly come back).

Also, the Jewish husbands were even forbidden to “uncover” the nakedness of their wife, “while she was in her menstrual uncleanness” (during the 7 days of her ceremonial uncleanness, Lev 18:19, ESV). And of course, no-one else was ever allowed to “uncover” her nakedness at any time.

God's only definition of nakedness is “from the hips to the thighs” (Ex 28:42, ESV, see vss 40-43). That means, that it's not sufficient (in JSS-Love) to be visibly seen in bikini panties/shorts. There is no mention in the Bible of forbidding the breasts to be seen. That is man's rule, not God's, but that doesn't mean that it's OK to walk around in public like that—though technically, God never forbade that—but still, it's not appropriate in public, & we are to heed the laws of the land.

So, it is interesting that the place where the Lord hid His approval, also forbids the two things that are forbidden when sharing JSS-Love. So, the Jews could have figured out this hidden approval thousands of years ago, & perhaps a few of them did. But the Jews as a whole, never realized what the Lord was getting at, & neither did Christians, not till now.

But it's interesting that the Jews have always been free to share something like JSS-Love, even during her ceremonial uncleanness (though there can be a consequence for doing that). And yet, the Jews are still free to do that, yet to this day, though “none” of them know it. (“For the gifts & the calling of God are irrevocable.” (Rom 11:29, ESV & NKJV, see vss 25-36)).

The hidden approval is hidden in

verse 24 (Lev 15:24, see vss 19-24). It's amazing how many translations have mistranslated this verse, not knowing (or ignoring) the special translation-rule of Hebrew for this kind of double-verb. But the KJV translators & the NKJV translators did understand it & Gesenius did, the super-famous Hebrew authority, who wrote 200 years after the KJV was written. It's in his famous textbook Gesenius Hebrew Grammar that was used for 50+ years, to teach Hebrew students. There was none like him, who thoroughly knew ancient Hebrew & the similar Semitic languages (e.g. Syriac, Arabic, Aramaic, Ethiopian, etc.).

I don't remember where it is in that textbook, but he makes it clear that when any verb (not just this verb in vs 24) is said twice, right next to each other, one in one tense & the other in the other tense (only 2 tenses in Hebrew: perfect (completed action) & imperfect (uncompleted action)), then it means what it technically means, but not what it usually means. I remember him saying, “It is, but not what you think it is.”

And that's why the KJV, the NKJV & the old version of the NWT (which was more careful translating than the new version) say “lies with her at all” instead of “lies with her.” Because “Lies with...” in the Bible usually means sexual intercourse. But some translations are so bad that they even say “have intercourse with her” (NEB, but surely others also), but that totally violates the Old Covenant.

Even a married man wasn't allowed to have sexual intercourse with his wife during the seven days of her 7 days of ceremonial uncleanness (death penalty, several paragraphs above). Do you realize what that implies??? When they translate it “lies with...” or “have intercourse with...,” then that

means that God was giving instructions to the husband (or worse, yet, to an adulterer) who was to be cut-off from Israel by death.

God didn't give instructions to those who

were to be put to death. Instead, the Lord (in the Old Testament) called for both the husband & the wife to “be cut off from...” (put to death) if they did that during her period. If that were the case, then He would have bluntly commanded for them to be “cut-off,” *if* that was what He was talking about, for even the husband & wife were to be cut-off. So, there's no way that it was talking about sexual intercourse during her 7-day “period.”

But such a thing doubly wrong, because it also violates the Hebrew translation-rule (for a verb written twice, right next-to each other, in both tenses (first, in the past tense (perfect) & then, the future tense (imperfect)). And yet, all the other translations that I have, completely ignore that translation-rule & translate it as if that verb were only written once, rather than twice.

Wow!!! That's implying that God or Moses made a mistake (see “scientific-proof”, p. 10) & that there is no such rule! But anyone looking at that double-verb, written right next to each other, in 2 different tenses, should realize that it surely must mean something different. Otherwise, God & Moses wouldn't have worded it with a double-verb like that.

And don't blame it on the Jewish scribes that copied these things. They have a “scribal rule” that if they made only one small misprint, they never even try to fix that misprint. (For someone else could wickedly do that, too, & everyone would think that the original scribe did that. Instead, they sewed the piece of leather from the scroll & rewrite everything that was written on a new piece of leather—without the misprint—and sew it back into the scroll!

That's why there are so few scribal errors (in the O. T., very-very few). Even to this day, Orthodox Jews treat the Jewish Bible (the Tanakh, which is identical to the Protestant Old Testament, except for the order of the Books & some differences in numbering) as if all of it were God's words, Himself. So, they don't tamper with even one word in the Tanakh. (And yet, they let their ancient rabbis over-ride the Tanakh!!!).

But look at the rest of this verse:

“And if any man lies with her at all,” (Lev 15:24, NKJV), “and her impurity comes upon him, he shall be unclean for seven days, & every bed on which he lies shall be unclean.” (vs 24, ESV).

Both the KJV/NKJV & the ESV say “any man,” but most translations say “a man.” The word “any” isn't there (nor is the word “a,” for Hebrew only has a word for “the” (the letter “hah,” added to a word as a prefix, meaning “the”). If there is no prefix added to a noun, then the word “a” is generally assumed, e.g. “man” usually means “a man.”

In our opinion, the translation should say “a man,” rather than “any man.” They are translating it “any man” because of that dual-verb, but a verb, even a dual verb doesn't affect a noun (except agreeing with the noun on singular or plural). Yes, this verse implies “any man,” because “a man” effectively means “any man,” & in that sense, both the KJV/NKJV & ESV are correct, but it doesn't actually say that. No, “a man” is sufficient, for “a man” implies that it can be “any man.”

And keep in mind that the only way that God could have said “a man” or even “any man,” was only if it were something that was acceptable for any man to do. If the Lord had meant “sexual intercourse,” then the Lord would have had to limit it to her husband, not anyone else—and the Lord couldn't even do that, for He forbade the husband from having sexual intercourse during the seven days of her uncleanness.

If the Lord did want it to be “her man,” then the Hebrew writing would have been “her-man” (the Hebrew way of saying “her husband”) instead of just “man” (for there isn't any “a” in Hebrew nor Greek). All God/Moses had to do was add a one-letter suffix to make it “her man,” very easy to do,

but that wasn't (& couldn't) be what the Lord (Yahweh/Jehovah) was talking about.

Now, some might think that God (vs 1) was talking about single women (vs 19, see vss 19-24). No, that word “woman” applies to both a single woman or a married woman, etc. for there is no (ancient) Hebrew word for “wife” (nor for “husband). And besides, that would also be terrible to have sexual intercourse with a single woman. They had to marry first.

So, God was implying that there was a way of “lying with any woman” that was acceptable to Him. And that acceptable way is what we call JSS-Love.

(New, Nov. 27, 2019) (added) But we also need to answer about

the 7-day consequence that he would have if he got her blood on himself. Yes, it's possible to get her menstrual blood on him, but not generally. After all, It's OK for his fingers (but not for his penis) to go into her vagina. The Lord never forbade their fingers from going in, not even for the Jews back then (vs 24).

And besides, we aren't under Old Covenant instructions. Only the Jewish-Jews, who don't yet follow Jesus (who are still under that Old Covenant). Though it is wise to maintain cleanliness if you do contact the other's sexual fluids, to prevent transmission of disease. So as soon as your fingers come out, even if there isn't any blood, then go to the restroom right away & wash your hands (don't delay).

But you (& also the ancient Jews) are missing the point,

all because he might become unclean for 7 days. It looks like a punishment, but it wasn't: The woman had to be unclean for 7 days every time she had a period. But if he (or she) had done something wrong, then he would have had to offer a sin-offering, rather than to just be unclean. It just looks like it's bad. It had a purpose, but it was actually God's “decoy” to keep everyone from finding His hidden secret till our day.

You see, since the woman “was” in her menstrual uncleanness, the man would have been unclean until the evening for even touching the bed that she lies on (vs 21 in Lev 15:20-24). But you are still missing the point. Was it wrong for him to lie “with her at all” (i.e. share JSS-Love)? No.

How do we know that God didn't call it wrong? Because there wasn't any consequence if he didn't get menstrual blood on himself. And it was easy to keep from getting blood on himself. The women used old rags to stop the flow [Isa 64:6, “filthy rags” in “the Hebrew”) meant dirty menstrual cloths!]. So if she tightly inserted a clean rag into her vagina shortly before then, & if he kept his hands away from her vagina, then he wouldn't get blood on himself.

And, guess what??? That means that he wouldn't have any extra consequence at all! The fact that there was no consequence at all if he didn't get her blood on himself, reveals that God was hiding His approval. For “no consequence” reveals that he certainly didn't do anything wrong.

But also, the menstrual flow on many women only lasts 3 days. So, if her flow was stopped, then even if his fingers went into her vagina on the 5th or 6th day, he would still be guiltless!

But the biggest evidence is that neither the man nor the woman (in the Old Covenant) had to offer a sin offering. This, again, reveals that it wasn't wrong at all. Lev. 15 (where the hidden approval is) is the chapter on bodily discharges. The first case was an oozing sore, which required a turtle dove or a young pigeon as a sin offering (vss 14-15). The last case was a woman whose menstrual flow went beyond the 7 days (perhaps never stopping). But when it did stop, she also had to offer a sin offering of a turtle dove or a young pigeon as a sin offering (vss 29-30).

Now, why did the Lord require a man with an oozing sore (& a woman with “oozing blood”) to offer a sin offering??? Often times when a man gets an oozing soar, he couldn't help it. And, the woman certainly couldn't help oozing blood! Then why did the Lord require a sin offering???

The reason why, was not because they had done something wrong. In fact, they didn't have to

keep offering that sin offering if that flow didn't stop. They only had to offer that small sin-offering only if they were healed! So, those 2 situations weren't really a sin at all!

The real reason why the Lord required those 2 sin-offerings, was so that you who are reading this now, would see that there was no sin for, "...if any man lies with her at all,..." (Lev 15:24a, NKJV, see vss 19-24). That's the reason, for the they didn't have to offer a sin-offering.

If the Jew who had lied "with here at all" (which probably no one ever did), if he had done something wrong, then he would have had to offer, at the very least, a sin-offering of a turtle dove or a young pigeon. But no sin-offering at all was required for him doing that!

That's the real reason why the Lord required those 2 sin-offerings, one at the beginning of the chapter, & the other at the end of the chapter ("enveloping" God's hidden approval). Since neither the man with the oozing sore, nor the woman with "oozing" blood hadn't necessarily done anything wrong, but had to offer a sin-offering, then that emphasizes that the man who lied "with her at all" certainly didn't do any wrong (not necessarily), for he didn't even have to offer a sin-offering!

(New Sept. 25, 2019) (Now moved here from far below for your convenience:)

God's Hidden-Approval of #3) (Women Having Plural-Husbands)

The hidden-approval is in Num. 30:6a (vs 7a in the Catholic, Orthodox-Christian & Jewish Bibles). There are two reasons why God picked this exact-spot to hide approval. First, it was a appropriate place to insert His approval, because it was in the midst of Baal-of-Peor (Ch. 25 to 31), where the Megabit & Midianite women seduced the Israelis.

[If all of the Israelis had-had plural-wives, instead of only a few men, & if they were also practicing Part 1 (JSS-Love), then it would have been a lot easier to escape the Moabite women's temptations].

Secondly, the Lord made a super-significant connection between the hidden-approval of Part 1 & this hidden-approval (of Part 2). Why? To give you hesitant-Christians assurance that both hidden-approvals are truly from God. They aren't just coincidence. He planned it that way. (see website)

[Info. about biblical-Hebrew: There is no word in biblical-Hebrew (nor in biblical-Greek) for "wife" (nor "husband"). Instead, it's "his woman" means his wife & "her man" means "her husband."

Biblical-Hebrew only has two tenses: 1) Perfect tense: fully completed action, & 2) Imperfect tense: all future tenses & all on-going tenses not yet completed].

The hidden approval (Num. 30:6a in Protestant Bibles, vs 7a in other Bibles) is so simple that a first-semester Hebrew-student could translate it (except for one word!). Literally it says, "And if his-she [or she of him] had to a man...", except the "had" has a prefix that adds meaning.

It says "had to a man," (with a special meaning to "had") because the woman was the lesser individual. The word "to" changes the meaning from "had a man" to "belonged to a man" or "was married to a man" or "had married to a man."

Keep in mind the possibility that God was hiding this. That's why it says "his-she" ("she of him"), instead of the usual "his wife" or "his daughter." I wish I could confirm this, but this is very likely the only place in the entire Hebrew-scriptures where "his-she" occurs. Because it leaves an uncomfortable uncertainty about whether it means "his-wife" or "his-daughter." And so, "his-she" is probably never-used anywhere except here.

Can you see that the Lord might be hiding it, because He didn't say "his daughter" or "his woman" (wife), which would eliminate the confusion? No, the Lord was always very careful to distinguish whether it was his-daughter or his-wife.

But here in this text, it appears to be ("on the surface") confusion as to which God Himself meant (This was God's very-own words, transmitted by Moses, vs 1 (vs 2 in other Bibles)). But by

pondering over it, you, yourself, will see how it can only be one choice.

All of the translations that I have say “she,” instead of “his-she,” because that makes sense to our present-day mind (up-till this new discovery of “plural-spouses”). After all, “it doesn't make sense” for “his woman” to “have to” a second husband, does it???? You see, the previous paragraph was talking about his daughter. And thus, “she” makes sense to us, for God Himself was talking about the man's daughter in the previous paragraph.

But is this verse (6a) talking about his daughter??? If you'll carefully examine this paragraph, you will have-to say, “No, it can't be talking about the man's daughter. Because the LORD (Jehovah/Yahweh) doesn't make mistakes. If the LORD wanted to use the word “she” to mean the man's daughter, then He would have said, 'And if she...' instead of 'And if his-she.'

The translators all said “she,” but we just-now showed you that the LORD would have said “she” (instead of his-she) if the LORD meant the man's daughter. Why? Because God doesn't make mistakes like humans do. He precisely foresaw (& predestined) every tiny detail (to the end of time), all without forcing anyone to do anything.

But since it can't mean his daughter, then what does it mean??? There is only one choice left. It has-to mean “his-wife!!!!” Until now, we all thought, “That can't possibly be.” But now that we've proven “plural spouses” two different ways, can you now see that this was God's way of hiding it?

There is more discussion far-below, but do you really need more evidence to be convinced??? Yes, apparently “many” Jews did figure-out this one, but the Jewish men still chose to hide it. Even the Jewish translations say, “& if she,” instead of “his-she.” They chose to hide it because of where God hid it & also because of what He said there, to discourage them from letting their wives have plural husbands. But it's only further information & isn't really needed, unless you still aren't convinced about the hidden approval of women/plural-husbands. For most of you should have already believed it from the shorter version that we just gave you.

If you want to see it, do a word-search on: “additional evidence/proofs” & you'll find it far-below. Roughly starting at about ???

God's Hidden-Approval of #10) (Men Having Plural-Wives)

You have already read the hidden-approval when you read “#10)” (above).

God's Hidden-Approval #7) (of Sharing JSS-Love With Your Own Children)

Yes, virtually the entire world has believed that sharing any kind of sex with children would be “molesting.” But fortunately, the Lord preserved one people-group that has “always” believed in an ancient conservative-form of JSS-Love, not only with Adults, but also with their own children. This people-group has continued since the days of Abraham. But when the Apostles took the Gospel to the world, most of these people didn't accept Christianity & still don't today.

Why haven't they accepted Jesus & Christianity? Apparently, those who took the Gospel to those people found out about their belief & practice of JSS-Love (an ancient form of it) & must have said, “Oh no! That's wrong! That's wrong! That's wrong!” For that is what the whole world has believed until now, for both JSS-Love & JSS-Love with children—except for this people-group.

That people-group are called today, “the Yezidis” (Pronounced “ee-zee'-dee”) & live in Iraq & Syria & also in former Kurdistan & probably also eastern-Turkey. They are a very peace-loving people & have never fought back (against the Muslim terrorists, etc.). But also, they are a very moral people & love to do things of kindness (to the poor, etc.) much like Abraham was always doing.

In fact, Abraham & Sarah were “Yezidis” when the Lord called him to move to Canaan-land. Yes,

the Yezidis are the descendants of the relatives of Abraham & Sarah! They still live in the broad-region around Haran, where Abraham's relatives lived. And yes, the Yezidis even admit that they are relatives of Abraham. But they still believe the old-way that Abraham had originally believed (before God had called him to Canaan).

Well when Christianity was taken to the Yezidis, they rejected it. Why? Because of their belief of an ancient form JSS-Love with others (both people wearing snug-fitting body-suits) & also sharing Yezidi-type JSS-Love with their teenage children,. Apparently, the Christians told them that it was sinful & to get rid of it.

But they new better: They knew that their practice of “JSS-Love” with others was preserving their marriages. When they would be attracted to others, instead of their wife/husband, they gained enough satisfaction from sharing JSS-Love that they stayed loyal to their spouses, instead of leaving them for someone else.

But also, they knew another secret as well: Their sons didn't “get into trouble,” sexually, before marriage, even though their sons often had to wait several years to get married (an additional aid in preserving their son's future marriage). With their mothers taking care of their son's sexual-needs until they got married, it enabled them to make it all the way to marriage without committing fornication. It gave their sons satisfaction, but even more than that, it also greatly-strengthened their sons' self-control (just like it says in 1 Cor 7:5b) until they would marry.

Well, guess what? Believe it or not, a portion of God's hidden approval is the success-story of these Yezidis! For they still practice these things today & are still having success in keeping their unmarried-children from leaving their Yezidi-faith & committing fornication, & also in preserving their-own marriages “till death do you part.” So you can check it out & see that it really is true.

But there is more:

But the Lord didn't stop hiding approval in the Bible (Remember that Abraham & Sarah were originally Yezidis): When Abraham & Sarah finally had Isaac (at the age of 100), & when Isaac grew-up (still not married), believe it or not (for God hid it in the Bible), Abraham let Sarah practice the Yezidi practice of “JSS-Love” with her son. She did that to give him sexual-satisfaction & to give him great self-control, so that Isaac might not commit fornication with the evil people of Canaan (that were all around them). (Read on & you will see it—if you truly want to see it).

They didn't want Isaac to have a wife of one of those evil people of Canaan. So a couple of years after Sarah had died of old age, Abraham called his servant & sent him to his Yezidi-family (back in Haran) to find a wife for Isaac from his Yezidi-people, who were much more upright than the Canaanites. His servant had great success & brought back Rebekah to become Isaac's wife.

So, what did Isaac do when he received his wife, Rebekah (remember that he was 40 years old & was far-past puberty when he married her)? Abraham had never taken-down Sarah's tent, for he was saving it for Isaac's future wife (Rebekah). “Then Isaac brought her into the tent of Sarah & took Rebekah, & she became his wife, & he loved her.” (Gen 24:67, ESV, see whole chapter).

But how do you know that Sarah shared

“Yezidi-type JSS-love” with Isaac (w/ body-suits)? The next sentence in verse 67 gives us the clue: “So Isaac was comforted after his mother's death.” (ESV & NKJV). This statement implies that Sarah had been “comforting” Isaac while she was still alive. Otherwise, that sentence would have just said, “So Isaac was comforted,” & would have left-off: “...was comforted after his mother's death.” There has to be a connection between Isaac being comforted by now having a wife & Isaac being comforted prior to his mother's death. Otherwise, otherwise the Bible wouldn't have said so.

His mother's death was included in that sentence because she had been “comforting” Isaac prior to

her death. His mother had been dead about 3 years. So it was a great comfort for him to now have a wife “to comfort him.” No, Isaac didn't become one-flesh with his mother, but there is a great similarity between “becoming one-flesh” & JSS-Love—even if it is Yezidi-type JSS-Love. It is a *great* comfort.

Some of you who don't want to believe that this is God's hidden-approval will reject it, but that is just because you don't want to believe that there is any such thing. But there is no way to get-around the implication that Sarah had been doing something that was comforting Isaac prior to her death. And since Sarah was originally an Yezidi, she knew what to do to help keep her son from committing fornication with the heathen girls (Isaac didn't marry till he was 40) that were all around them: “Yezidi-type JSS-Love.”

So the Yezidis have been practicing it for a long time—all the way back to Abraham. Now, it is very-likely that the Lord chose Abraham, *partly* because of him being an Yezidi who believed in Yezidi-type love (also because of their ethical & humanitarian ways). Now that is quite a thought! It is not out of the question.

(End of Hidden-Approvals)

(New, Sep 26, 2020): new

(moved here from below 1-2-2021)

A Recent Multi-Discovery About “Eternity:” What Does This Have to Do With the 8 (10) False Commandments??? (“It's the Clincher!”)

Recently, we discovered “clues” in the Bible (more than just clues, for the Bible is sharper than any 2-edged sword, Heb 4:12-13) that reveal where the Lord is headed when “Eternity”.begins. Now parts-of this multi-discovery have been known for hundreds of years, but now, it all starts fitting together into a beautiful, glorious picture.

This multi-discovery (from fitting many “clues” together in the Bible) makes this whole website fit-together into God's beautiful plan for eternity. In other words, this better-picture of Eternity will make this website seem even more feasible to our minds, as well as, thoroughly convince us that the Lord really did give us these 8 (10) freedoms in the beginning. Plus, it will inspire “sinners” to greatly desire this new “picture of” Heaven & the New Earth.

One key part that has been known for more than 100 years, is that, living in this sinful-world, while not being “of the world,” will thoroughly convince all who make it to Heaven (& the New Earth), that any kind of sin at all, is totally unacceptable. Thus, rebellion & its consequences will never rise-again (Nah 1:9, see whole book). The whole universe will be eternally-secure. Hallelujah! Thus, we won't have to worry about the possibility of sin & rebellion again.

Point #1 in This New Discovery:

To answer the 2 questions in the heading, let us look at Adam & Eve & also at God. Adam & Eve were naked in the garden, prior to them sinning (Gen 2:25). Since Adam was made in the image of God (Gen 1:26-27), then what does that tell you about God???

It tells you that God was (& still is) naked. The Bible even tells us so: “You are clothed with splendor & majesty, covering yourself with light, as with a garment.” (Ps 104:1b-2a, ESV). The Jewish-Jewish translation, “Tanakh, The Holy Scriptures,” says “You are clothed with glory & majesty wrapped in a robe of light.”

So, what is God (the Father's) clothing? God's only clothing that keeps us from seeing his body (invisible at the present time, Col 1:15, but not in Eternity, Rev 22:4)) is a “robe of light.” In other

words, God the Father is naked, too. Can you see through a clear light-bulb that is lit-up? No, you cannot, for the light is too bright for us to see through—but God can see through that light.

That is why the Bible says that Adam & Eve were naked, for the Lord could see through that light, & so could we if that “robe of light” departed from them—which it did after they sinned.

Many Christians have thought that innocent Adam & Eve were walking around, stark-naked, but just didn't realize that it was wrong—not so, not until they sinned. Since Adam (& Eve) were made in the image of God, then God also made a robe of light for each of them—and yes, if they had never sinned, then even their children would also have had a robe of light.

But when Adam ate of the forbidden fruit (not when Eve did, for she was deceived, but Adam was not, 1 Tim 2:13-14, see vss 9-15; also see footnote¹³), **then they lost their robe of light (Gen 3:7). This verse (in the original Hebrew) even reveals that they then “saw” that they were naked.** So even Gen. 3:7 also agrees that they hadn't seen that they were naked till the robes of light disappeared from both of them.

But the point we are making is that even God the Father & the resurrected-Jesus are naked (that is how Jesus came out of the tomb, folding-up the sheet & leaving it there) & have a robe of light wrapped around themselves right now, just like Adam & Eve originally did before they fell, for we are in the image of God.

Point #2 discovery:

, “For in the resurrection they neither marry nor are given in marriage, but are like angels in heaven.” (I guess that) We have always thought that when Jesus said that, that-that meant that after the resurrection when Jesus comes again (1 Thess 4:16-17, see vss 13-18), we won't marry because we won't have any sex organs nor need to conceive children anymore.

That is one possibility, but that isn't the only possibility. Jesus never said that we would never have sex on the New Earth. He only said that no-one will marry in the resurrection. (You see, we will have real, physical bodies, just like Jesus has (Luke 24:36-43. 1 Jn 3:2b), The Latter-day Saints (“Mormons”) teach that they will be “married for time & eternity,” but that wouldn't be very fair. What about all of the young people that were too young to get married when Jesus comes in the clouds of glory? And what about all those that are single due to numerous circumstances? Should they be left-out for eternity, only those who are already married?

Just because no-one marries after the resurrection, doesn't necessarily mean that those who were already married, remain married for eternity. First, we need to show from the Bible that no-one is married in Eternity, not even those who were married, while on this earth. Then we need to show that people really-will share sexual-love with others (with as many different-people as they wish) after they are resurrected. (Is that so shocking that you don't want to believe it & think that-that would be immoral??? Keep pondering as you read-on).

There is also another text that was also used, for this text sounds like we won't be male nor female

¹³ Women, these verses have troubled you women (& many men) in our modern age. Don't worry. The Bible is sharper than any 2-edged sword. Look carefully at these verses, & you will see that this was Paul's opinion (the early church's opinion in their day). Verse 12 reveals that it came from Paul's understanding, not from God. It says, “But I do not permit a woman to...”

No, the Word of God is sharper than any 2-edged sword. If a text in the Bible is from their opinion & not from God, then there will be something in that text that reveals that-that was their opinion, or their custom, back in those days.

The same thing is true of the counsel to wives in Eph. 5. “The husband is the head of the wife, even as Christ is the head of the Church.” (vs 5, ESV) Does Jesus boss us like an employee or a slave??? No, he doesn't, & no husband has a right to “boss-around” his wife that way. He is to lead his wife, just as Jesus would lead the Church. Even if the Church rejects whatever, then Jesus lets them go their own way.

in the resurrection: “For in Christ Jesus you are all sons of God, through faith....There is neither Jew nor Greek, there is neither slave nor free, there is no male & female, for you are all one in Christ Jesus.” (Gal 3:26-28). It is easy to misinterpret this verse.

That is how God the Father & Jesus regard us. A woman is just as important as a man in God's eyes. And it is also true that there will be no difference in Eternity, sexually, between men & women. Some have thought that-that means that we won't have sex-organs after we are resurrected, because of these 2 texts, but it doesn't say that.

Yes, that is one possibility, for we wouldn't need them if sex-organs were never going to be used again. But that idea doesn't set very well in the eyes of many people. I have known of young couples (who had never had sex before) who were hoping that Jesus wouldn't come before they get married, because they wanted to know what it is like. And we understand why they felt that way.

But it also doesn't set very well with married couples, either. Yes, Heaven & the New Earth will be more glorious than we can ever imagine. But the most meaningful thing in our present world is the beautiful relationship of sexual-love with with our spouse (at least it is for most married men, who remained faithful to their spouse—and even for “sinners,” too).

About 1 ½ years before we even started writing this website, I was commenting to the Lord about this problem, telling Him that there isn't anything that is more meaningful on this earth. I commented that people are having a hard time appreciating Heaven, if there isn't going to be any sexual love with each other in Heaven

Then I asked the Lord at that time, “Isn't there any way that we could have something like that in Heaven? And suddenly, the thought hit me, “What about all of us being transformed into morphodytes (*both* male & female), when we go to Heaven?” (For we are going to have real, physical bodies, at the very least, after we are resurrected (compare Luke 24:36-43 with 1 John 3:2b, see vss 1-3).

Then I continued, “Since none of us will be married anymore,” (for that is what I believed back then), “then wouldn't it be OK to share sexual-love with anyone, from then on? For the whole universe will be eternally-secure?”

After all, if each of us were both male & female, then there would be no distinction between men & women (which was what Gal. 3:26-28 was emphasizing in stating “neither male nor female.” Look at the context. It doesn't say that we wouldn't have male organs nor female organs. Instead, it is saying that there will not be any class-distinctions: “...all are sons of God through faith...,” “neither Jew nor Greek,” “neither slave nor free,” “...for you are all one in Christ Jesus.” So in eternity, you men will not be classed as “male,” nor will your wives be classed as “female.” “For you are all one in Christ Jesus.” (vs 28b).

(New, Nov. 3, 2020) (to clarify)

This would be the desire of virtually all of us, to be able (in Eternity) to share intimate sexual-love with those who mean the most to us. For in this world, there has been nothing more meaningful than sharing intimate love with our spouse, during those special times when we both were deeply, affectionately in-love with each other.

[That is one of the motivations why Satan tried to steal this intimate relationship from married people on this earth, by persuading the ancient Church to command husbands & wives to refrain from sex, except for procreation only.

Satan could hardly stand for couples to have such a close, intimate relationship with each other. For such an intimate relationship is “akin to God,” a taste of Heaven on earth. It not only draws couples closer together, but also draws them closer to God.

So, Satan persuaded leaders to forbid such “selfish gratification,” accusing us of just gratifying ourselves. Yes, there is much pleasure in sex (on this earth), but this is one pleasure that the Lord wants us to have. For it is akin to God &

draws us even closer to the Lord (if our heart is right). Yes, there are some husbands that are just gratifying themselves, which is offensive to their wife & is wrong. For they aren't really sharing deep-love with their wife. But for those who deeply love their wives, it is the most precious experience that there is on this earth. Nothing is more meaningful. The husband that just gratifies himself, misses-out on the most meaningful experience on this earth!].

And I continued my request” “And if we didn't conceive & raise any children, then there wouldn't be any need for marriage anyway. Wouldn't that be OK for us to be free to share sexual-love with anyone, when we get to Heaven, not just with our former wives, for marriage wouldn't be needed anymore? And there won't be any diseases in Heaven [nor on the New Earth]. So we don't even need to worry about transmitting sexual diseases, either. So that wouldn't be a drawback, either.”

Yes, I was thinking of us sharing (in Eternity) sexual-intercourse-love with anyone that you deeply love, not just JSS-Love, Since no-one would marry & no one would have children [which is what I thought at that time], then “becoming one-flesh” would no longer need to be restricted to only your spouse, since there aren't going to be any spouses¹⁴ (Matt 22:30, see vss 23-33). **Since Jesus said that there won't be any spouses in Eternity, then the Lord could “open-up” the restriction from “spouses-only” to anyone you want to share love with, if each of us were both male & female.** Therefore, it seemed to me that it would no longer be wrong, if all of us were free to share love (sexually) with those friends that we deeply love.

Now, being both male & female seems repulsive to many of you. Also, the thought of sharing with “just anyone” also seems repulsive. But in Eternity (I am speaking these things as a fact. The proof is below), you will only share it with those whom you love, not just for gratification purposes & not just with anyone. And when we get there, you might only share it with a few. But as the years go by, we will develop more & more deep-friends/lovers, & we will eventually get so used to it, that it will seem like the right thing to do.

But also, that is because we have only been male (or only been female), & because we have only had the experience of sharing it with our spouse, not with anyone else. And thus, we don't know what it would be like to be both sexes, & to be free to share with anyone that we especially love.

When you have both sexes, you will have the feelings & urges of males when you share love as a male. And you will have the feelings & urges of females when you share love as a female. And since we will have such tremendous vitality, we would guess that most “(temporary) couples” will say, “Let's share that again! And then they will switch roles on the sexes & share love again.

We said these things to just give you an acceptable picture of what it would be like. The proof is below. Keep in mind that these things will only apply in Heaven/New-Earth, not on this earth as we presently know it. Even people on this earth who were born as morphodytes, can only do that with someone that they are married to. They can share JSS-Love, not sexual-intercourse, with anyone else.

Brief Pre-Summary of this Whole, Major Section:

This whole idea of being both male & female in eternity, seemed to fit together, plus it seemed

14 Latter-day Saints, you were partly right in teaching, “Married for time & Eternity.” For we are still going to love our wives/husbands more than ever, throughout eternity. But in Eternity, God frees us from being restricted to only our wives/husbands & no one else. Because there is no longer any reason to be restricted, for sin “will be no more.” So in Heaven, we will then be free to share intimate love with anyone that we truly, intimately love. But this is so much better than being restricted by marriage, for then, even those who never got to experience marriage, will be included & will also get the pleasure of intimate love. That would have been true to some extent, even if we didn't have any sex-organs (which is what I had always thought that it would be in Heaven). But just think how much better it would be to share intimate sexual-love with those we intimately love??? Wouldn't that make Heaven a lot more attractive to sinners down here??? And best of all, it wouldn't be wrong anymore, for no longer, would there be any reason for it to be wrong (and also because “God said so”).

like something that “worldlings” (who hadn't wanted Jesus before) would now want, as well as us Christians. Sharing love with anyone that we would want to share love with (through sexual-intercourse) would make most of us “want to get-saved” & go to Heaven.

No, Heaven & the New Earth aren't going to be boring, for we will be doing a lot more things than just playing a harp & sharing sexual love. (We are also going to be given jobs to do (on other worlds, Luke 19:17,19, see vss 11-27)). But sharing love through sex, may be the most meaningful things that we will do (that, plus also worshiping God the Father, the Son & the Holy-Spirit), but this is only one of several things that will keep us busy in Eternity. These will be things that most Christians don't even know about, that are written (sometimes hidden) in the scriptures.

But Please, DON'T commit suicide in order

to get there, for the Lord doesn't want you to do that. (Ask the Lord to help you to “hang in-there”). The Lord wants you to die to yourself, die to all of your sorrows & pains & give them to Jesus. For Jesus understands, & He will help you learn to live a happy & thankful life. You will find greater peace & happiness & joy in dying to your own self & following Jesus, instead, than you could ever find elsewhere.

When you eventually die (breathe your last breath), your spirit goes back to God who gave it (Eccl 12:7b, see vss 1-8, Rev 6:9-11), but your physical-body doesn't come back, not till you are resurrected (1 Thess 4:16b, see vss 13-18).

So, you are not going to get to share sexual-intercourse till you are resurrected, anyway, because you won't get-back your physical body till Jesus comes again in the clouds of glory. So please, stay alive & do what you can to help others to find Jesus, & to help them learn about this “new kind of Eternity.”

When these new teachings in this E-book (discovered in the Bible) become common-place, multitudes of discouraged people will find a whole “wellspring of peace & happiness & tranquility” that they never had in their old way of life. They will find a new meaning to their life that they never had before.

You will find that in reaching-out to save others, you will be happier & will end-up saving your own soul in the process. That is what happened to me when I was a young man. I ended-up saving my own soul in reaching-out to others & became happier as well.

And there was a later time (still young & single) when I wanted to have the pleasures of this world, instead of following Jesus. But there came a time when I needed the Lord to help me. And so finally, I surrendered my life back to Christ & returned to living a life of self-denial.

But the amazing thing was that 2 weeks later, I became happier than I had ever been in my entire life—while living a life of self-denial! It pays to follow Jesus. My life since then has been so much better than it was in seeking all of that pleasure.

So, discouraged people, “stick in there” & stay alive & give your whole life to Jesus. Then you will find much greater peace & happiness & joy, than you have ever lived before.

But also, many of you conservative Christians are still

so repulsed at the idea of sexual-intercourse with anyone in Eternity, that it just about makes you puke. Please remember the reasons why we mustn't do those sins in this present world, for in remembering these reasons, then we can understand why it will then be OK in Eternity:

1) Because that is where babies come from, There is still a probability of conception, no matter how many birth-control methods you use;

2) Because there is sin in this world, & children need a close-knit family, if they are going to grow-up & be good, Christian people; [Children in Heaven will still need parents, but they will be eternally safe in Heaven (& on the New Earth). Thus, they will still do well, even though their

mommy & daddy are no longer married.

3) Because there are serious sexual-diseases on this earth, that rapidly spread if the contaminated person goes from person to person to person, all without any disease-protection. That is why the Lord provided the way of escape through JSS-Love, to help them refrain from truly-forbidden sex.

4) Because sexual-intercourse has always been the symbol of marriage (Gen. 2:24) on this earth. On this earth as we know it, it is only allowed for those who are married to each other “till death do you part.”

But in contrast, in the resurrection:

1) There will either be, no babies, or only a baby when you want it. (We suspect that it will be possible to have children in Eternity, but that God will design it so that you only have a child when you want to have a child.

After all, the world will be eternally-safe. Thus, they will still have a mommy & daddy, but the mother & father will no longer have to function as a family-unit to protect their children.

After all, that is the way it will be when aborted-children are resurrected, when Jesus comes again (1 Thess 4:13-18). They may not have a mommy or daddy that made it to Heaven, but God will give those aborted children to people who never had a child before (Isa 54:1ff)! And those embryos will grow-up with a loving parent & will be fine!

2) There will be no sin from any people, nor any bad influences. So even if you choose to have a baby, then you will have no problem with raising that child without marriage, for marriage won't be necessary anymore, since the universe will be eternally-safe (no sin anymore). Plus, the child can frequently visit the one who “fathered it,” even though their parents aren't together most of the time.

3) There will be no danger of diseases spreading, for the Lord will have already eradicated all diseases. Satan & his hosts created/generated those diseases after Adam & Eve sinned. But neither he nor his hosts will be there anymore.

4) Therefore, there won't be any reason to restrict the practice of “sharing sexual-intercourse with anyone that you want to, for we will no longer have a married partner to restrict it to. So, The Lord will not only allow, but also recommend everyone to express their love to their extra-special-friends through sexual-intercourse.

My guess is that virtually everyone will share twice with their friend, once functioning as a “male” & once functioning as “female.” Since people have always loved: “Let's do that again!,” then most of them will probably share twice with their special-friend. Sharing twice in two different ways, will be even more satisfying.

5) Plus, all of us will have so much vitality (from eating from the Tree of Life), that each one of us can/will share with several people every day, & it won't hurt us, nor will it be over-indulging (we won't need to sleep). Plus, we will sense when to quit, so that even our spirituality isn't harmed. That glorious world will be far-different from our present-world, as we have known it.

But keep in mind that we still haven't proven yet that we will be resurrected as morphodytes & share sexual-love with whomever we wish to. There are several “steps” that we have to establish, before we can prove it. No, it isn't complex. It is just that you need to know these “various facts,” in order to see how it all fits together. Then, that will confirm that we really have proven it.

This “morphodyte” idea started almost 9 years ago. But until a few months ago, we didn't have sufficient proof to prove it to you, but now we do. But surely you will be surprised on how we prove it from the Bible. We think that you will be surprised (but also pleased) when you find-out.

Well, that “morphodyte idea” was a request at that time, not realizing that there are clues in the

Bible that-that is what God has always intended. But we didn't have sufficient proof until now. For the Lord was saving this discovery till we discovered these 8 (10) false-commandments that Satan had set-up in the 4 biggest religions (Buddhism, Hinduism, Islam & Christianity). Lately, everything began to fit together so that we could now write-out the proof.

Continuing:

So where is the proof in the Bible? Jesus answered the Sadducees, “You are wrong,, because you know neither the scriptures nor the power of God. For in the resurrection they neither marry nor are they given in marriage.” (Matt 22:29-30, see vss 23-33). Most of us “long-time-:Christians” have wondered where that is in the Old Testament, for I don't know anyone that knew, nor did we until recently.

The answer is partly-found in understanding God & what He will be like in Eternity (Point #3). And when that-much is established, then we have an additional text that will back-up this new discovery.

Point #3:

(Hint:) If you were capable of making a whole world of beautiful, happy people (w/ no sin nor rebellion ever again), then what would you want to do most of all? My answer was, to go down into that world that I had made & “become-one of those beautiful, happy people in that world. Now, we can't make anything, but God can. Plus, He will soon have a beautiful, happy universe with no sin nor rebellion.

So, what do you think God the Father (& the Son & the Holy-Spirit) are going to do? Well, Jesus is already one of us, but “that was not a pretty-picnic” from birth, all the way to the Cross. Yes, Jesus had lots of joys in His life, but He also had enemies that were constantly “plaguing him” that also made His life bitter. That is why Jesus wept over Jerusalem, whom He longed to save, but...

But in the Eternity, Revelation 21:22 says that :the Lord God the almighty & the Lamb” are the “temple” of the New Jerusalem. They are going to be right there in the New Jerusalem, right there with us humans (see Rev 21;1 to 22:5)! Yes, God & Jesus will sit on their thrones, but only when “court” is in session. The rest of the time, they are going to be with their people—i.e. with us!

Key Sub-Point 3.1:

Rev. 22:5b says that they (those of us who make it there) “will reign forever & ever.” Sometimes we read those words & don't realize what it means to reign. Rev. 3:21 (ESV) promises, “The one who conquers, I will grant him to sit with me on my throne, as I also conquered & sat down with my Father on his throne.” We are going to help Jesus & the Father rule the whole universe. Not only those few who get to sit with Jesus on that throne, but also, every human who gains eternal life will help reign in some way.

Jesus talks about that in the parable of the “minas” (about a third of a year's wages) (Luke 19:12-19, see vss 11-25). One has earned 10 minas from his mina & is put in-charge of 10 cities. Another has earned 5 minas from his mina & is put in-charge of 5 cities. Where do those cities come from, because if everyone saved gets at least one city to be in charge of, then it couldn't possibly be the cities of human beings. For that would make more cities than there are people in all of those cities.

So there have to be other worlds out there that we are going to be placed in charge of. God in His great wisdom, has appointed for us to share in this rulership, for this helps to make the universe eternally secure.

Satan (“Lucifer,” “Day-Star”) fell because he wanted to make himself like the Most High (Isa 14:12-14, see vss 12-20a). We humans have always been much-lower than the angels. But when this world (as we know it) is over, The Lord will then make us a little lower than God-Himself (Ps 8:5a, ESV margin, lit. “a little lower than 'Elohim,' [God],” see vss 3-5). For just like Rom. 4:17b,

the Lord in Psalm 8 “calls those things that don't exist as though they did” (Rom 4:17b, NKJV).

But in God making us a little lower than Himself, it means that we are going to be right next to Him & be friends with God-Himself, as well as friends with Jesus—which again, helps the world remain eternally-secure.

Rev. 22:3b-4a says that His slaves will worship Him & will see His Face & His Name will be on their foreheads. Is this speaking of the Father or the Lamb (mentioned in vs 3)? It has to be speaking of God the Father, for it is nothing to see Jesus' face, for the 11 disciples saw Jesus on Sunday night, after His resurrection, & 500 saw Jesus' face at one time (1 Cor 15:6. see vss 3-8). So, something will have to change (1 Cor 15:50-55) in order for them to see the Father's face, “...dwelling in an unapproachable light, whom no man has seen nor can see...” (1 Tim 6:16, NKJV, see vss 13-16).

But who is it that gets to see His face? It says (Rev 22:4) those who have His Name written on their foreheads. And who will have the Father's name written on their foreheads? The 144,000 have both the Lamb's Name & the Father's name on their foreheads (Rev 14:1, see vss 1-5). But the Lamb's Bride at the end of time will have also made herself ready (righteous, Rev 19:6-8). The Bible doesn't say-so, but it only makes sense that they, also will probably, get to see the Father's face & have the Lamb's name & the Father's name written on their foreheads as well.

But I have been asking the Father to cease all-of His “unapproachable-ness,” so that everyone can see Him & even befriend Him. Why? Because I think that-that is what the Father really wants. Isn't that what you would want to be, if you could make a world of people? Wouldn't you want to go down there & become one of your people (a human) that you made, so that you could be friends with all of them & super-close friends with many of them???

Since the universe will be eternally-secure, He wants to be one of us, real-friends with all of Jesus' children. And that includes every human being that makes it to Heaven, even Adam & Eve. For the Father is just as humble as Jesus is (John 14:8-9, see vss 8-14).

No, there is evidence that the Father has just been waiting for someone to ask Him to be one of us. But in reading & re-reading Rev. 21 & 22, it could very-well be that God the Father already planned to become one of us humans from the beginning, but that He kept that hidden until our day.

After all, Jesus & the Father already look exactly alike (Heb 1:3, see vss 1-3), except that the Father is invisible at the present time (Col 1:15, see vss 12-20), but God the Father will be visible in Eternity (Rev 22:4, see vss 3-5).

Also (Rev 21:22-23), God the Father & Jesus will both be right there in the New Jerusalem all the time, providing its light. And Rev 21:3-4 says that God, Himself, will dwell with us & will be with us & will be our God & will wipe away every tear from our eyes, etc., etc.

Now, doesn't that sound like we could walk right up to God the Father & talk with Him, just as if He were our best friend??? It surely does. So, it could very-well be that-that is what God planned from the very beginning, to be one of us human beings, looking exactly like Jesus, & becoming so “approachable” that even a little child could walk right up to God the Father & talk with Him & sit on His lap? Yes, it certainly looks like it, except that God kept it hidden from us until now...

There will be lots of people in the New Jerusalem. It would probably take more than 1,000 years for God the Father (& Jesus, too) to befriend every-single person in the New Jerusalem. But those who are closer to Jesus will get much-more frequent privileges to be friends with God the Father & with the Holy Spirit & thus, won't have to wait a thousand years to be with Him again.

Sub-Point 3.2:

“OK, but how does that answer why God has allowed these 8 freedoms?”

What we just wrote (above) doesn't exactly answer your question. But all of what we wrote is

needed before you find-out “what God is up to” in Eternity.

We (not the translators) have always thought that “Adam” meant the man named Adam. But that word is used through-out the Hebrew scriptures & is often translated “man.” By far, the most common word for man is the Hebrew word “ish” (& “ishah” for woman). Generally the Hebrew scriptures use “ahdahm” (“Adam”) instead of “ish” to refer to a man that is worldly/earthly, or in contrast, referring to a very admirable man. But it is only used when there is something special about him, for it is never used in the plural like “ish” is. “Ahdahm” is also used a number of times before Adam ever fell & is often translated “man.”

For instance, “Then God said, 'Let Us make man [“ahdahm”] in Our image...' ” (Gen 1:26). And that is OK most of the time, but there are a few places where we readers miss a key-point. We have always thought that Adam was made in the image of God, & he was, for it says so in verses 26 & 27 & in 5:1. But we missed a key-point. God didn't say, “let Us make ish,” instead He said, “Let us make ahdahm...” There is a reason why He said “ahdahm” instead of “ish,” for the Lord was hiding something for our day.

Gen. 5:1-2 summarizes the creation of Adam & Eve, adding a further detail that wasn't mentioned before. Both Gen. 1:26-27 & 5:1-2 (immediately after stating that Adam, himself, was made in the image of God, say: “male & female he created them & he blessed them.” The fact that both texts state “male & female” immediately after stating “so God created man [ahdahm] in his own image. In the image of God he created him,...” this implies that Ahdahm could mean both (both male & female), instead of just the man named Adam (ahdahm).

But that isn't all that it says in Gen. 5:2. It then adds, “Male & female he created them & blessed them & named them Adam when they were created.” (ESV margin, emphasis supplied). In other words, Adam's name was “Adam Adam,” & Eve's name became “Eve Adam,” like a first & last name.

So, when God said “let Us make ahdahm in Our image,” which was He referring to, to Adam-himself, or was He referring to the two together, Adam and Eve? The answer is that He was referring to Adam-himself, but especially referring to Adam & Eve together. That is why God named the two together, “Adam,” because the two-together make-up the image of God.

Now some will argue that Adam-himself was only made similar to God, but not in the very-same image. But the Bible makes it very-clear, by stating in Gen. 1:27 virtually the same words, twice in a row, that Adam-himself was made in the image, implies that he/they were made in the very-image of God: “So God created man [Adam, “Ahdahm”] in his own image, in the image of God he created him; male & female he created them.” (Gen 1:27, ESV, bracketed portion clarified).

When the Bible virtually repeats the very-same words twice in a row, then it is emphasizing that Adam-himself really was created in the very-image of God (perhaps in the exact image of God, but more like, very close to His image). And what does that imply? That implies that God-Himself also has a penis & testicles that looked somewhat like Adam's did!

And many of you are saying, “Now that is ridiculous.” But that is what it is saying in Gen. 1:27, because of repeating itself. It is saying that Adam-himself really had the very image of God. But that isn't all. We just showed you that Adam & Eve, together, are in the image of God, which implies that God actually has both male & female sex-organs!

And that means that the resurrected Jesus also has both male & female sex-organs, for Jesus is the exact image of God the Father (Heb 1:3). They look exactly alike. So, you won't be able to tell whether it is Jesus or the Father until you ask them.

(New, Nov. 3, 2020) (clarified)

[Readers, we know that some of you are really repulsed at this. Here you are, either accusing God

of having selfish motives to want to gratify Himself, or else, you are mad at us for even thinking that God would even do such a thing!

Look, do husbands & wives share sexual-love to just gratify themselves? (Some do, but very few). Isn't it because they love each other so much (those who aren't at-odds with each other)? Yes, it is the most meaningful relationship on this earth, when husband & wife are deeply in-love with each other.

Let me ask you, how much are we going to love God & Jesus when we get to Heaven??? It is written, "Eye has not seen, nor ear heard, nor have entered into the heart of man the things which God has prepared for those who love Him." (1 Cor 2:9). None of us would be there if Jesus hadn't shed His blood for us.

So when you get to Heaven, how much are you going to love Jesus??? The answer is, "beyond anything that we can imagine." That is how much.

So will you & Jesus just be gratifying yourselves, when you share sexual-love together??? Since sexual-love with your spouse is the most meaningful experience on this earth, then how much more meaningful will your sexual-love with Jesus be??? The answer is, "beyond imagination," to share intimate, sexual-love with the One, who not only made you, but also who paid the price for you (by shedding His blood), so that you could be there.

No, neither you nor Jesus will be gratifying yourselves. Let me assure you, it may only be once every thousand years that you get that great privilege (because so many people will be there). But when you experience that privilege, it will be the most meaningful experience that you have ever-yet experienced in Heaven (& also on the New Earth). So please, stop being so critical & examine this with an open mind].

If God the Father plans to become one of us humans (who is actually infinitely in size, for He is everywhere, just like Jesus is holding the whole universe together, but will also have a *finite*, physical body like us humans), then doesn't it only make sense that He would also want the privilege of sharing deeply-intimate, sexual-love with Him & with His "children" (us)???

After all, many of us who deeply-love God (right now), are already deeply in-love with Jesus & in-love with God the Father, who loves us just as much as Jesus does. That is one of the reasons why we worship Him/Them, not just because we are totally indebted to them, but also because we are deeply in-love with them. Of course! (I'm not the only one who also loves the Father & Jesus that much).

If He is going to be one of us humans in Eternity, then He will want to share the same pleasures that we humans will share in Eternity. For these pleasures will no longer be sin in Heaven & on the New Earth & will be fine for even God to participate in.

But at the end of this major section on "Eternity," I had to add, today (Sep. 23, 2020), that God the Father has a *much* bigger reason for God choosing to do this. But why don't you just read-on, so that you get a better picture, for it is only 2+ pages further down.

So, ponder over it & patiently read-on, There are still more insights below. Then perhaps, it will begin to make sense to you—that is, if you have already believed this E-book up-till now.

Now, the Disciples (on Sunday night) didn't know that Jesus was both male & female, for Jesus had a robe of light around Him & was naked, and so they couldn't see that. Even Thomas didn't know (who stuck his hand in the pierced-hole in Jesus' side, John 21:20-28), for Jesus had to guide his hand into the pierced-hole, because he couldn't see through the robe of light. So, none of them knew that Jesus had both sex-organs.

But the amazing thing about the resurrected Jesus was that He didn't look like He did before He died: John 22:12 (see vss 1-14): "Jesus said to them, 'Come & eat breakfast.' Yet none of the disciples dared ask Him, 'Who are You?'--knowing that it was the Lord." John would never have written that if Jesus had looked the same as He did before dying.

So why did Jesus look different after He was resurrected? It was because the resurrected-Jesus

now looks exactly like the Father—implying that Jesus' sex-organs now look exactly like God the Father's.

[Remember that God has kept all of this hidden until now, for He was saving this surprise till now, When we see the great contrast between our old view & our new understanding, we will fall in-love with the Lord so much that Satan will then get completely cast-down (Rev 12:7-13)].

Warning About a New, False-Teaching:

Satan foresaw this new teaching. And so, he has saved a false-teaching to reveal at this time, to help counteract this teaching about God & Jesus being both male & female. The counterfeit “holy spirit” inspired certain people to declare that in Gen. 1, Adam & Eve were made as spirits. And then in Gen. 2, they were made with physical bodies. False!

Chapter 2 is simply finer details of chapter 1. That is not **uncommon** in Hebrew. You can know that this teaching is false, because when Adam named all of the animals in 2:18-20, Adam didn't find “a helper comparable to him” (verse 18 & 20b).

If Adam & Eve were first made as spirits, then Adam would have already known who his “helper comparable to him” was. But Adam didn't know yet. So, the LORD GOD (God the Father) cause a deep sleep upon Adam & took a rib out of Adam's side & formed Eve. From this, we can see that Eve never existed until she made from one of Adam's ribs.

More Exciting Evidence:

The Hebrew wording is very special in Gen. 2:22 (making Adam's rib into a woman). The verse doesn't say “made,” like most translations say, & also like Strong's Exhaustive Concordance says. James Strong referred to the usual Hebrew word for “made” (which is a different word from the word “created”), simply because there is no such Hebrew word in that verse that he could refer to.

The Hebrew word in this verse, which is usually translated “made,” is actually a noun, not a verb. But in Hebrew, some nouns can be either a noun or a verb, depending on how the word is used. Biblical-Greek & even modern English are sort-of like that, too. For instance, the word “house” can be used as a noun “house” or as a verb: “They were housed by a charity.” There are many words in English like that.

And Moses didn't hesitate to covert a noun, (not normally used as a verb), into a verb. For instance, when Moses met Joshua, whose name was actually “Hoshea,” meaning “deliverer,” he gave him the nick-name “Joshua” (Yeh-ha-shua), changing his name from a noun into a verb, which means “delivering [one].” (Hebrew is a very picturesque, interesting language).

And so Moses (the author of Genesis, according to tradition) converted the word “son” (Hebrew “ben”) into a present-tense (imperfect tense) verb! It is apparently the only place where that Hebrew word is used as a verb. And so, James Strong couldn't refer to that word, for it wouldn't make any sense to refer the word “made” (in that verse) to the word “son” in Hebrew (a noun).

In the exciting parts of Gen. 1 & 2, Moses uses the present (imperfect) tense, even though it all happened long ago in the past. To this very day, here in America (USA), people will (verbally) tell the exciting parts of an account in present-tense (e.g. “and he says...,” speaking of something “he” said in the past. It is very common in the New Testament Greek as well.

And so, a quasi-literal translation of verse 22 would be, “The LORD GOD [Jehovah Elohim] “sons” the rib which He had taken from Adam, into a woman [an “isha”] & “entrances” [the noun “entrance,” converted into a present-tense verb] her to the man [to “ha ahdahm”]. Moses converted the word “entrance” into a verb, to the Lord, “royally” presenting Eve to Adam: Oh, Adam!, Look what I have for you!!!”

We think that Moses made the word “son” into a verb to reveal that Eve is also part of “Adam” in Gen. 1:26, etc., just like Moses did in writing Gen. 5:2b (ESV margin), “...& named them Adam

when they were created.”

The Bible says that Adam is the son of God (Luke 3:37b, see vss 23-37). But actually, “Adam” in Gen. 2:26, etc. is both Adam & Eve. And that is why Moses made the word “son” into a verb, when He talked about the Lord “soning” the rib into Eve. He said that, rather than saying “made” or “created” or “formed,” in order to especially include Eve in God's “Adam” (in Gen. 1:26-27) that God had made. And so, God's “son” is actually, Adam-&-Eve together, as God's “son” (with a small “s,” for Jesus truly is God's only-begotten Son, His only divine Son).

A side-comment:

[I'm not sure that you have read yet, that Jesus (before He had made anything) truly was begotten as a tiny “seed” (a microscopic part of God) & then He grew until He was bigger than the universe. And then, Jesus made the universe (still being part of God) & is now holding together every tiny-thing in the entire universe (see ESV or Moffatt on Col 1:17b). We proved it from fitting-together All of the scriptures about “divinity.”

[When the Jehovah's Witnesses (who believe from the scriptures, that Jesus is less than God) & when the “Jesus-Name people” (who believe from the scriptures, that God is One & only One, that the Father, the Son & the Holy-Spirit are just different Names of the same Person), & when both you Trinitarians & those 2 denominations read this, then there won't be any disagreement anymore about “divinity.” Hallelujah!!!.

[This discovery of God being only One in the beginning, & of Jesus being truly begotten as a tiny seed of God, & then after hearing of the scientific conclusion that Something is supernaturally holding-together all of the atoms in the universe, & then after discovering that Jesus is the One who is holding it all together (Col 1:17b, ESV; the primary meaning of that Greek word is “hold together”), then these things opened my eyes that God truly did predestine everything. No, God didn't force anyone or anything. Instead, the Lord did it all by simply observing all of the particles & the atoms in the universe, to see “what would happen if...” Wow! What a God!].

Continuing:

When it states “male & female” immediately after “...in the image of God...,” that is God's way of hinting that “male & female” together, are what it means to be made in the image of God. But the fact that Gen. 5:1-2 adds (at the end of the verse) “...and named them Adam when they were created,” (ESV margin) this doubly-emphasizes that God's “image” includes both male & female.

And so, what do you suppose we humans will be like in the resurrection??? Since we are, and will be, in the image of God, our resurrected bodies will be both male & female, like God has always been, both male & female. (We had just never realized that the Scriptures till now.

But another way of looking at it, is that we will be like Jesus. It says so in 1 John 3:2 (see vss 1-3), “Now we are children of God; & it has not-yet been revealed what we shall be,” [but one more detail has now been revealed in our day], (continuing quote:) “but we know that when He is revealed, we shall be like Him, for we shall see Him as He is.” (NKJV).

Yes, we will get to see Their Naked Bodies, when each of us shares sexual intercourse with God the Father, & another time, with Jesus, & still another time, with the Holy Spirit, who will surely-also have a physical body that is in the exact-image of God the Father—so exact that you can't tell Who is Who, by just looking & listening, unless you ask Him/Her!

And of course when you get that privilege with one of the Three, once every thousand years (give-or-take a year or two!). For God intensely loves “every-last one of us.” After all, He/She predestined every, tiny detail needed for each of us to make it, all the way to Heaven! So, each one of us is super-important to Him.

And those of us who make it to Heaven will intensely love The Father, The Son & The Holy-Spirit, for all 3 played a major part in each of us being saved. So, it will only be natural to want to share love with each of them, too, just as we will want to with many, many, many friends.

(The lost were predestined, too, but it wasn't because God didn't want them. It was because that was the way the story worked-out. Had the story worked-out differently, then many of those who were saved, would have been lost, And others who were lost, would have been saved. So, be sure to thank the Lord for Him predestining “the story of” each of us, in the way that He did, for you & I might not have even been born, if He had planned it differently).

Continuing:

And when you are with one of “the Three,” then you will surely get the privilege of sharing love both ways, as male & as female—if you ask Him/Her! For it will be a l-o-n-g time before you get that privilege with one of Them again! (A thousand years was just a wild guess, perhaps even longer than that. But believe me, we will be glad, even if it is only once every 10,000 years.

Wow!!!

In getting ready to put this E-book on-line this time (Sep. 23, 2020), it suddenly hit me! “Do you realize why God planned this love-sharing with each-&-every one of us in Eternity???”

From the very-very-beginning, when God—who was only One-Person back then, not even having a body of any kind (I guess)—when He was completing “Wisdom” on how to make a peaceful, happy universe, He realized that no matter how He designed it, there was still the possibility of rebellion sometime, (because “forever & ever” never ends).

So, He realized (I guess) that the only sure-way to prevent rebellion, was to predestine a rebellion that would “cover all avenues” of rebellion. And then to predestine the story, so that it will end-up in such a way that every-last-one of us humans would be thoroughly convinced to never rebel ever-again, nor even to tolerate any rebellion anywhere in the whole universe.

And since all of us humans (& Jesus, too) have lived through that “story,” then we can convince those who might want to rebel, by relating our experience on how rebellion doesn't work. Because of this, the vast number of potential rebels will repent from even the thought of rebellion, especially if the Lord shows them “a movie” of the story of this world.

Now I have said the above-things before, but it this so important for the happiness of the whole universe, will be at stake. But what we have just talked about regarding Eternity, is just one more of God's methods of preventing rebellion, someday, during Eternity.

In our sharing love with many-many friends, & in the Father, the Son & the Holy-Spirit taking on human-bodies, & sharing love with every-single-one of us, then our loyalty to The Triple-God will be unbelievable. God will have all of us saved-humans (perhaps more than a billion) on His side, all of whom lived through that rebellion.

We will gladly—and appropriately—take care of any rebellion that might arise during Eternity, even if they refuse to back down (which will probably never happen). We will then have the right to “extinguish” them in an appropriate way. So, that is just one more reason why God planned “this business about” each of us having both sexes in Eternity.

Wow!!! What a God we serve!!!

He has thoroughly planned-out well, the story of this universe.

(End of major section on Eternity)

The Holy Bible is totally silent about all 8 of these, not even mentioning anything about the first 7

of them & only mentioning two incidents on the 8th one. And all 8 of them are on the same, touchy-subject that everyone is so sensitive about: “nakedness, sex & marriage!” Believe me, the Bible is silent about all 8 of these, except for the two incidents mentioned about #8. No one has contented that any of these 8 are in the Bible, which confirms our claim that the Bible truly is silent about all 8.

Now, both you & I (previously) have always believed that all 8 of these were wrong! Wouldn't you have wanted the Lord to clearly-state that each of these is wrong??? Of course you would have, and so would I, but He didn't! I wanted to be angry for the Lord not saying anything (back when I didn't know any of this), but I didn't, because I knew that God was wiser than I.

But now I understand why. The Lord was silent because He didn't make these 8 (10) so-called commandments. If you will read further, you will see why these 8 (10) false-commandments are the cause (with Satan's “help”) of so many falling into sexual- & marriage-sins that truly are wrong. But not only that, you will see why these “8 (10)” have always caused the world to be wicked—and in our day, have caused the world to become super-wicked.

But we do need to make it clear that all 8 of these can be wrong, if they break any other principle in the Bible. And at this point, you can't see how these 8 could ever be right, no matter how careful they were. But just patiently wait & ponder over these “8 (10).” If you will try, you will eventually begin to see it the way God sees these things.

Did God Foresee This Disagreement (our Website)?

We will show you (below) from science, proving that the Bible is right about the Lord foreseeing the whole story, before He even made the universe. For science reveals that something is holding every atom in the whole universe together, & the Bible says that that “Something” is Jesus. Speaking of Jesus, Col. 1:17b says, “...and the all [all-things] in Him, were & are holding together” (super-literal translation).

So, Jesus is (& was) vastly-bigger than a human body, bigger than the universe [see KJV or NKJV (or a translation of the Majority Text) of the last part of John 3:13b; lit. “...the One being in Heaven,” see vss 9-21].

No, God didn't force anyone at all. He did it all by foreseeing. So, it isn't that the Lord didn't think of our disagreement at the end of time. For science reveals that He is capable of foreseeing every tiny detail of the whole story—and not sim-predict by even an electron—before He even started making anything!

This scientific discovery verifies that God is/was capable of predestining everything, just like the Bible says hundreds & hundreds of times—all by repeatedly foreseeing “what would happen if,” over & over again, billions & billions & billions of times—all without forcing anyone.

So, there is no way that the Lord didn't foresee this disagreement before He made the first star or the first angel or anything. For He planned every, tiny detail that you are reading on this website, & every reaction of every person who reads this website—until He got the story of this website, to be just what He wanted this-story to become. So, someday, the vast majority of Christians will eventually believe these controversial-teachings (probably when a professional writer re-writes it).

Continuing about “the 8 (10)”

By Satan making these 8 false-commandments, he stole from us 4 of God's primary ways of escape from 4 different kinds of sexual- & marriage-temptations (1 Cor 10:13, see vss 1-13). We never noticed until only a couple of years ago, that this scripture actually says “the way of escape, that you may be able to endure it” (vs. 13b, ESV). (The Greek manuscripts of the KJV had the word “the” in it, but they changed it from “the” to “a”). The Lord has a primary way of escape from

every temptation, but Satan stole at least 4 primary ways of escape (for 4 kinds of temptations) by adding these 8 (10) restrictions.

So the fact that the Lord was silent about it, implies that it wasn't the Lord that made this commandment, for “there is no way” that He wouldn't have said “no” if it were truly wrong. And when you realize that there are 7 other ones on the same topic, that the Lord (the Bible) is silent about, then, there is no way that the Lord made those commandments. We show (below) 3 or 4 reasons why it couldn't be. Someone (Satan) had to have tampered with our ancestors' beliefs—and also had to maintain those false beliefs all the way down, through history, for they never learned them from the Bible.

Look, which are you going to believe, what you have seen & heard & experienced (negatively) or the Bible? Bible-believers are supposed to believe the Bible, rather than their senses, for our enemy can make it look-like our case that we are building, isn't really so. You will be much safer if you believe our Bible-proofs, rather than your senses or reasoning, etc. And eventually, you will gain enough experience--& when you see “the good-fruits of” those that we reach, then you will know for sure “that you are on the right track.”

Bible-believers, the Devil has set-up circumstances that prejudice key people (or people that might become key people), to cause them to want to reject this whole website. Please use your heads. If Satan set-up what you experienced, that which is causing you to reject these claims that we are making, then is it any wonder that you are inclined to reject these claims??? That is right, Satan knew how he stole those freedoms from us, & he also knew (from the scriptures) that he is going to get caught in his-own trap (Ps. 9:

not finished here

are you going to believe what you experienced, or are you going to believe the Bible??? Remember, that Satan was sure to make that experience as negative as he could possibly get it to be. And remember that Satan is a perpetual liar & deceiver (& always has been).

And “everyone” has believed that all 8 of these “don'ts” are forbidden by God! If that were true, then why didn't He make it clear in the Bible on all 8 of them, or at the very least, make it clear on most of them??? To be silent on all 8 of these traditional “don'ts,” verifies that it wasn't God who made these 8 so-called “commands.” It was the Enemy, Satan, whom many of you haven't believed—not God—who subliminally-persuaded Adam & Eve to “raise a higher standard” than what God instructed them to teach, not long after the fall of mankind.

And, many of you would agree with Adam & Eve's decision, not realizing that this is the biggest reason (with Satan's help) why the world has always been wicked, also with Satan's help, why the world became super-wicked in our day.

But we have been blind to it, because Satan has kept-on moving all of us emotionally, for thousands of years (through what we thought was the Holy Spirit & our so-called conscience) to believe that these teachings are truly from God.

And yet, the Holy Bible is totally silent about all 8 of them, not even mentioning one of them—which is amazing that it doesn't mention even one of them, You would have thought that the Lord would have, at least, mentioned them if they were wrong, but to neither forbid them nor even mention them, implies that “there is something fishy about” these 8 so-called commandments.

That is why the Lord was silent on all 8 of them (& yes, even a 9th one that violates the Holy Scriptures!). And amazingly, all 9 of these are on the same general-topic: “nakedness, sex &

marriage.” And what has man's greatest problem been, ever-since the fall of man??? That is right, mankind has always had a greater problem on nakedness, sex & marriage, than on any of the other commandments.

Yes, there are worse commandments, such as “you shall not murder,” But in getting people to fall on nakedness &/or on sex &/or marriage, which don't seem like such terrible sins (in man's eyes), the enemy then seeks to get them to do worse & worse & worse sins.

These 8 false teachings are why many rebel (probably 15-20% of the youth). Those who rebel are the ones who fall rapidly into worse & worse & super-hideous sins. The rebels are largely the cause of the utterly-terrible wickedness of the world.

But even those who aren't so bad, also gradually drift into worse & worse sins as well. Why? Because they have cut themselves off from God & are making their own standards, instead of depending on the Lord's standards. But their standards keep drifting to meet their-own desires. If you will read-on, you will understand how (with the devils' help) these 8 false-commandments have been so devastating to both Christianity & the world's ethics.

Christians, the Lord is calling for us to “make war on” these 8 (10) false-commandments

For as you will see, “these 8” are the reason why the world has become so wicked, & also why so many people have not been interested in God. And this 8th one is “nakedness with friends,” one that “sinners” don't want to give-up most of all--& yet, the Lord never forbade it in the Bible!

Yes, Nakedness in public has always been wrong & still is wrong (Rev 16:15, see whole chapter). But in brief, conservatives have also believed that it is wrong to be naked in private with anyone until you get married, & even then, to only be naked with your spouse (in private) & not with anyone else.

And yes, the Old Testament forbade them from being naked (in private) with close relatives (Lev 18 & also 20). But the Bible is totally silent about being naked in private with friends, or with anyone else—not anywhere in the Old Testament & certainly not in the New Testament.

Now, we need to explain about Adam & Eve, after they sinned. At first, Adam & Even were living in the Garden of Eden & did not yet need a tent nor house to be protected in (& to be naked in). But after they sinned, they saw that they were naked. From that time, onward, they needed clothes to cover themselves & a private place for them to change their clothes & to sleep & to share (naked) sexual relations together.

Similarly, the Israelites were naked in public, when they worshiped the golden-calf (Ex. 32) in the Israelite camp. They were naked in public, because not all of them were naked, nor were all of them worshiping the golden-calf, & yet many of them were naked outside of their tents (where the golden calf was) in the presence of those other people who didn't believe that way (vss25-29).

Yes, being naked in public is still wrong (Rev. 16:15, see vss 1-21), but we recently discovered & verified that it isn't necessarily wrong to be naked with anyone—in private. Yes, the Old Testament said, “you shall not uncover...” close relatives (Lev 18 & 20), but the Bible is totally silent on being naked with anyone else!!! And, “anyone else” includes the vast majority of the **world!!!**

Check it out for yourself. Do a search on your Bible-app or go to the library & look-up every text that has the word “naked” & every text that has “nakedness,” in either Strong's (or Young's) Exhaustive Concordance or any other source that reveals every word in the King James Version (or NKJV).

There aren't that many texts to look-up. It should only take about 2 hours if you work quickly, or 4-6 hours if you want time to read the context on each text & to also ponder over it. The KJV & NKJV only use these 2 words, whereas other translations might use some other word. That is why

we suggested the KJV, to make sure that you find all of the texts on naked & nakedness (so that you don't miss any texts).

If you carefully examine the “you shall not uncover” texts (Lev 18), you will find that they have to be old-covenant commands that were “against us...contrary to us...having nailed it to the cross” (Col 2:14, NKJV & also from translations from the Majority Text, see vss 11-16).

The strange wording, plus the tedious specifying of each kind of close-relative (on their father's side & also on their mother's side) (like most of the old-covenant commands) reveals that these “you shall not uncover...” commands are actually “old-covenant”—especially since the Bible is silent about forbidding anyone else, which includes at least 95% of a small city of 2,000—even for the Jews back then. But since they are old-covenant commands for the Jews & not the Christians, then the Lord was silent about being naked with 100% of the people in your-own community!

There is a reason why: The “you shall not uncover...” commands were “a cover-up” to keep this false “nakedness command” hidden till now (to catch Satan in his-own trap at the end of time, though it did serve a purpose back then. Since the Jews couldn't uncover close relatives, that meant that they couldn't marry a close relative, either. Moses', Aaron's & Miriam's parents, whom God had highly honored, were super-close relatives (Ex. 6:20). But genetic defects were becoming bad enough that God no longer allowed marriages of very-close relatives.

OBJECTION: “Just because it doesn't say so, it still doesn't make it right.”

That is correct, for it can be wrong if it is connected with evil... But also, it isn't necessarily wrong if..., either. Now, if it were truly, categorically wrong, then the Lord would have said so. We prove that from the scriptures in 4 ways. The most obvious reason is because God is fair & thus, can't punish a person since the Lord was silent about it in the scriptures. But there are 3 more reasons why (stated below).

But the real reason why the Lord was silent on all 8 is because Satan was the one who “wove” these 8 into Judaism & Christianity (& into Islam & into Buddhism & into Hinduism & into as many people across the earth as he could persuade). Satan is the greatest deceiver that the world has ever known. He is an expert at persuasion & has a vast-multitude of fallen-angels to help him accomplish his purposes. He & his angels simply filled every human (who would accept it) with a counterfeit-divine “presence” that is constantly with us (to internally *move us* to follow his beliefs, when needed), that you thought was the Holy **Spirit!**)—a “moral-atmosphere,” a sort-of instinctive-sense, that these 8 false-commandments are wrong!

Most people earnestly believe that it is their conscience that is telling them what to do, whereas it is actually devils that creating this “moral atmosphere”—which we have always called “our conscience.” How do we know? You can know, because that “moral atmosphere” always includes these 8 false-commandments. Satan has been doing that through his fallen angels, all across the whole world! But the Lord never commanded even one of those false-teachings.

But these devils are “two-sided.” As soon as they see your heart respond favorably toward a particular sin, then they “switch sides” from seemingly ethical, to “move you” to go ahead & do it! What a hideous “monster” to “play both sides of the game,” acting as if they were moral & upright, & then switching sides to encourage you to become immoral. And if you should give-in, then they move-you to do that which is truly forbidden (so that they can truly accuse you)!

The Devil's Greatest Spiritual weapon:

And you think, “Now that's ridiculous. Why would devils prick our conscience & tell us what is right & what is wrong??? That is God's work, not the Devil's work. The Devil's work is to get us to disobey, not to obey.”

It certainly does look like that, doesn't it? But out of all of the deceptions that the Devil has ever

done, these 8 deceptive teachings—that God never made—have induced the world to become more wicked than any other deception he has done. Yes, it seems that we are greatly exaggerating our case, but if you will examine our case, then most of you will be convinced of what we are saying.

First of all, it makes the Lord look heartless & overly-strict, to not allow any kind of sex, none at all till they get married, & not only that, to never to share love with anyone else, except your spouse. Now, that may seem reasonable to you, but it certainly doesn't seem reasonable to rebels. But that isn't all: to not allow anyone to have more than one woman (more than one man). (We worded it that way, because rebels don't want to make any marriage-commitment). And thus, many young people rebel (probably about 15-20% of them). And these rebels are the ones that have made the world so wicked & have ended-up doing super-wicked things.

But Satan has all of the rest of us “caught” as well. There are multitudes that seem like fairly-decent people, but they “aren't interested in God,” & they certainly aren't obeying Him on the sins that they want to do. Do you realize why so many young people have struggles with nakedness, & why sex-trafficking has become such a huge business all across the world??? And do you realize why so many priests (celibates) have fallen & have done some terribly-sexual things???

The Bible says that it is because people lose a lot of their self-control when they don't relieve their sex-drive periodically (1 Cor 7:2-5). A few make it all the way to marriage as a virgin (such as me), but the great majority of them don't. And even the faithful ones had many great struggles to not give-in. Paul (St. Paul) counseled husbands & wives to come together, sexually, “so that Satan may not tempt you because of your lack of self-control.” (vs 5b, ESV).

Let's face it.

Many of you don't want to admit it, but our self-control weakens when our sex-drive (& naked drive) isn't satisfied periodically. For me, my temptations become horrendous if delay more than 6 days. Just think what great temptations that teenagers & celibates go through who keep following these false teachings, especially as the years go-by (e.g. Judges 16:1-5, see 13:24 to 16:31)! I know from experience how those temptations keep growing as the years go by.

But it isn't just married people that need to relieve their sex-drive periodically. Teenagers, singles & celibates! also need to periodically relieve their sex-drive if they want to maintain good self-control & not give-in to these sinful urges. This will surprise you, but this was what Paul (St. Paul) was subtly hinting in this chapter (1 Cor 7), that celibates need to appropriately relieve themselves, sexually, in order to maintain self-control. And of course, that also includes teenagers & “singles.”

But the problem that Satan created (in these 8 deceptions) doesn't end there. Faithful husbands & wives have been cut-off from having close friendships with anyone of the opposite sex, except their spouse. Also, they have been cut-off from expressing love to those of the opposite sex, whom they would really like to express love to.

But you say, “That's wrong, for you can only love one person.” That is true, if you want to listen to Satan's lies. But then why is it that the Israelites were so frequently being drawn into licentious idolatry (which had both licentiousness, as well as temple-prostitutes)??? After all, the great majority of them were married.

In the Bible, the Israelite men complained that their wives were cheating on them, but God answered that it was because they were visiting temple prostitutes (Hos 4:12-14). Their problems multiplied from giving-in to idolatry, but you can see what great drawing-power these “sex-gods” had on both married men & married women, for they were perpetually being drawn back into idolatry! The great majority were giving-in to these sins! But not nearly as many people would have fallen if the Devil hadn't set-up these 8 false-commandments.

These sexual problems have been from the very beginning, all because of Satan's “8 lies.” You

will have to read it to believe it, but the Bible reveals the hidden clues, that these false-commandments are why the Lord had to destroy the world with Noah's Flood. Had they been taught what the Lord intended, then the world wouldn't have become one-tenth as wicked.

Consequently, many husbands & beautiful wives have been terribly tempted (with many giving-in) to cheat on their spouses, even though they periodically relieve themselves (sexually) with their spouse. Others have been tempted to leave their spouse for someone else. That, again is because Satan also stole the Lord's preferred way of escape from these “marriage-temptations.”

Yes, you acknowledge that the sins of married people have also been a big problem (but not as huge as it used to be, for Satan is no longer allowed to do the unfair temptations that caused many, many “marriage-disasters”). But your heart wants to deny that there is an acceptable answer (in God's eyes) to solve all of these “marriage-problems,” for you earnestly believe that the Bible teaches “only one spouse.”

Sorry. We show you that modern translators have no true justification for calling it “sexual immorality,” instead of fornication (illegitimate sexual intercourse, i.e. “becoming one-flesh”). Likewise, we show you that Gen. 2:24 doesn't say what you think it says. But you don't want to believe it, because this so-called “conscience” (that the devils give) tells you that-that is what that verse truly means.

Now, be honest with yourself. Haven't you been full of suspicion—before even reading this write-up—instinctively “knowing” that what we are saying here, can't possibly be true??? That is how subtle & deceptive Satan has been, filling you (& me) with internal feelings (that seem to be from God) that inspire you to instinctively know that these things can't possibly be true—even though you realize that these marriage-sins are a serious problem in Christianity.

But the trouble is that Satan is a liar that cannot be trusted. For His real motivation is to get more people to rebel, or at least, more people to fall into sin. That is why both you & I have always believed, deep-down inside our hearts that e.g. 1) being naked with someone other than your spouse, 2) self-masturbating, 3) to even do the lesser-forms of sex outside of marriage, 4) having more than one husband (or more than one wife), etc. are wrong!

That is what I, too, have always believed (until I gradually “unfolded” each one of these false-commandments—gradually, one at a time). And that is why you also, have always, instinctively believed that these 8 are wrong (by the devils “instinctively” giving you their false-conscience).

The Lesser-forms of sex (“JSS-Love”): (JSS is “Jesus' Satisfying Solution”):

We briefly answer about the lesser forms of sex, that the Bible is its own interpreter. If there is any disagreement over what a word means (e.g. the issue over fornication vs. “sexual immorality”), then the Bible reveals what the Lord meant by that word, not what mankind wants to make it mean. Since the Bible never even mentions the lesser forms of sex, then that means that the Lord could not have possibly included the lesser forms of sex in adultery & fornication, for He would have made it clear in His Bible if the Lord meant that.

Bible translators, you can't get the meaning of controversial words from historical evidence. For historical evidence is not protected from the Devil, & neither is the Lord's “Church” protected. If you don't think so, then just look at the many, weird-beliefs that the early-Church came-up with (e.g. forbidding to charge interest, even on business loans!).

And also, look at all the weird beliefs (idolatry beliefs) that they ancient Jews got into in the Old Testament. Satan was to blame for leading the ancient Israelites astray.

But also, Satan was very busy in perverting the early Gospel-Church's beliefs & was promoting “no sex..., no sex..., no sex...,” & even no-sex for husbands & wives, except for the purpose of conceiving children (which even violates the Bible, 1 Cor 7:2-5). So, what-else would you expect if

you found the evidence from pre-Catholic history? The Devil, not God, was already working those beliefs into the Lord's early-Church, in the days of the Apostles (2 Thess 2:7, see vss 1-12)!

Now, the Lord knows that many of you readers will still be hesitant/afraid to put nakedness & JSS-Love into practice—even after you have read the entire book. Remember, much of the wickedness of this world is because of forbidding these 8 false-commandments. If people don't put these new teachings into practice, especially JSS-Love, then the world will continue to be very sinful, just as it always has been. So, this issue is very important.

And yet, the Lord understands your hesitancy, for some of you fear that that-might displease the Lord. The Lord understands that we aren't all made the same. Some of us are a lot-more hesitant & fearful, & the Lord knows that. And that is why the Lord hid his approval of JSS-Love in the Bible (discussed further below)

He hid His approval for the sake of you hesitant people, that you may be able to “step-out on faith” & to even put JSS-Love into practice. For if you will step-out in faith (not wavering) & practice it, then you will be surprised at the beautiful peace that will surround you. For even your love-relationship in Christ will blossom & flourish when you do. Keep these things in-mind as you read the rest of... ..whatever it takes for you to believe these things.

For what you decide & what you do, truly does have an effect on the rest of the world. But also, what you decide & what do, has a great affect on you & on your “love-relationship” with the Lord. It will help free-you from your inhibitions & will help you blossom into a wonderful Christian, deeply in-love with the Lord.

New Testament Translators:

We hope that most of you translators will now relent from insisting that-that Greek word means “sexual immorality.” etc. If you will read further, you will see (from science & the Bible) that the Lord not only foresaw the general-trend of what would happen in the future, but also that He (& Jesus) precisely foresaw where every electron would be, at every instant of time, all the way to Satan's “final-finish” at the end of time.

And that means that the Lord foresaw every, tiny detail about this very issue that we are disagreeing about today. And since that has to be true, then there is no way that the Lord wouldn't have said something (through the Bible) if that-that Greek word truly meant “sexual immorality.” And conversely, God's total silence means that-that Greek word only included the sexual-symbol of marriage, & never included the lesser forms of sex.

And yes, we realize that you were loyal to this false-definition because you thought that it would help protect people from sinning, & also because it seemed that the lesser forms of sex would be just as bad as doing the symbol of marriage—not realizing that this was God's preferred way of escape.

You didn't realize that the Lord was acting much like a parent would do, who offers to his/her child something acceptable, to take the place of what the child intended to do. The Lord was offering to people to do these lesser-forms of sex, instead of doing what the Lord only allows husbands & wives to do:: i.e. to take the place of doing “the sexual-symbol of marriage,”

Don't worry. The Lord knows that you were sincere. And, the Lord will certainly forgive you—if you repent & stop falsely-claiming “sexual immorality.”

Plural-Spouses (both men & women):

As for “only one spouse” we briefly answer that “Therefore a man shall leave his father & his mother & hold fast to his wife, & they shall be one flesh” (Gen 2:24, ESV) plainly means what it says. This is talking about the bride & the groom consummating the marriage by becoming one-flesh, sexually, with each other. This counsel is far more important than many have realized, for the Bible counsels them to keep-on. periodically coming together, sexually, to reduce the temptation to

commit fornication with someone else that they aren't married to (1 Cor. 7:2-5).

And yes, many of you still think, “Well, it also means 'only one spouse' as well.” Perhaps..., but also, perhaps not.... But the Lord foresaw this disagreement & made it clear in the New Testament. In Eph. 5, where Paul gives counsel to husbands & wives, he concludes his counsel by stating a great mystery: that Jesus spiritually-marries each person who turns to Jesus & follows Him (Eph 5:28-32).

The great majority of the ancient manuscripts say that Jesus even makes each believer a member of His [spiritual] body & of His [spiritual] flesh & of His [spiritual] bones (vs 30, NKJV & Majority Text). Similarly, Eve was created from Adam's “bone of my bones & flesh of my flesh” (Gen 2:23, ESV, see vss 20-25).

(Please continue)

Now, could Jesus do any more to show us that each one of us us believers really are [spiritually] married to Jesus. Yes, we are, for if even one of us pulls away from Him sometime later, then Jesus looks at that even as as [spiritual] adultery, for that is what it feels like to Jesus. You see, Jesus is more than a man, for the Bible reveals that it is Jesus (Col 1:17b)¹⁵ who is fulfilling “In Him we live & move & have our being” (Acts 17:28, NKJV, see vss 16-34). And so, Jesus truly does feel the pain when even one person pulls away from Him & chases after sin. It hurts Jesus.

How could Jesus do more than He did in buying each of us back to Him, by the shedding of His righteous blood (1 Pet 3:18, Col 1:19-23, 1 Tim 2:5,6). It cost Jesus far more than any of us have imagined, for it was necessary for Jesus to be cut-off from God & be punished for our sins (Matt 27:45-46), of which Jesus played not part (1 Pet 2:21-25).

But what many have not realized is that since Jesus purchased us with this infinite sacrifice, that we are no longer our own (1 Cor 6:19-20), for we have been ransomed with a price, the precious blood of Jesus Christ. It is not just the apostles that are slaves of Christ (see most modern translations), but we also are His spiritual “slave-wives.”

We are His “slave-wives,” for if Jesus hadn't purchased us with the shedding of His blood (1 Cor 6:19-20), then every-one of us would have to die & be punished for our sins, forever lost. So, we owe everything to Him (Rom 6:16-23). But fortunately, Jesus is a wonderful “slave-master” (John 8:31-36, 10:27-29) who only leads us in the way that is best for us (& best for others).

Yes, this “marriage to Jesus” is a different kind of “marriage,” but it is interesting that the Bible includes Gen. 2:24 (“...& they shall become one flesh”) in talking about this spiritual-marriage to

15 The KJV & NKJV say “& in Him all things consist,” But the primary meaning of that Greek word is “hold together, stick together or cohere.” And now, scientists (who believe in God) have concluded that “There can't be 'no god.’” For by all the laws of nuclear physics, there is no natural law that can hold together the nucleus of each atom in the universe.. Plus, a literal translation of Col. 1:17b reveals who it is, that it is holding all of the atoms together: each of the billions of atoms in your finger & each atom in the entire universe. Col 1:17b (see vss 12-23) says (literally), “...& in Him all things were & are holding together.”

Sorry, Jehovah's Witnesses. But contrary to popular opinion, you people do have valid arguments for believing what you did. But the truth is that none of us have properly understood “The Father, the Son & the Holy Spirit.” The verses that you have are just as valid as are the verses used by the “Trinitarians.” And the same holds true for the “Jesus-Name” Christians. You also are partly right. The real answer lies in taking all of these texts & fitting all of them together with Prov. 8 & 9. The truth lies in fitting all of these texts together, so that all of them are valid (discussed below). So the story about “how it all began” is a little different from what Trinitarians have previously believed. But now, all 3 groups can agree on “the Father, the Son & [the] Holy Spirit & “the 7 Spirits of God” (Rev 5:6b & 4:5).

Jesus.

We believe that the Lord inspired Paul to state this here in order to solve this disagreement that is going on over this website (now, in our day). For it reveals that "...& they shall become one flesh" can also apply to plural-spouses, for it applies to Jesus being spiritually-married to each believer, by spiritually imparting His own body & flesh & bones (Eph 5:30, see KJV, NKJV or any translation from the Majority Text, see vss 28-32). Being "one-flesh" with Jesus applies to each person that loves & follows Him.

And ladies,

the Bible is totally silent about forbidding women from having plural-husbands. Anyone who has read the Bible at least 3 times ought to know that. This is just one of the 8 false-teachings that the Bible is totally silent about—not even mentioning it.

Yes ladies, you are now free to have the satisfaction of having more than one husband, just as men were free in the Old Testament to have more than one wife. And that only makes sense. For the only way that everyone can have plural-spouses, is for both men & women to have plural spouses. Otherwise, only "the rich," "the famous," "the great" get the spouses, leaving some people without any spouse at all!

Many of you women have wished (at times) that it could be so, but had always thought that-that would be wrong. Well, it isn't wrong in God's eyes. That is why He was silent about this issue, to hide it (& hide the 7 other false-teachings as well) till our day.

And as you will see below, the Lord even hid His approval, for the sake of you people that are still hesitant about stepping-out on faith & believing this. (And that approval is super-well hidden, because all of the translators cover it up (even the Jewish translators, who already believed in plural-wives for their king)!). But that has served the Lord's purpose very well, for He didn't want it revealed till our day, anyway (not till shortly before the end of time).

And **men**, this approval settles the argument on whether or not "plural-wives" was nailed to the Cross. For if the Lord approves of women having plural-husbands, then surely, He also approves of men having plural-wives, for the Lord had already approved of that once before. Which only makes sense, for the Lord only "nailed" those things which were contrary to us & against us (Col 2:11-17), not "nailing" any privileges that we might want to enjoy.

The New Testament is silent about plural-wives being done-away with. And besides, Jesus didn't take-away any freedoms from us, when He was nailed to the cross—only those things that were against us & things that were shadows (dim prophesies) of good things to come (Heb 10:1, see 9:23-10:4).

And women shudder at the thought of living in a harem! Well Women, I would shudder, too, if that were true. On the contrary, harems were man's idea, not God's! The Lord foresaw your concern. So He even counseled for you & your various spouses to each support yourselves (when possible, for some spouses wouldn't be capable of supporting themselves) & to live separately, too (Isa 4:1, see 3:1 to 4:6, implying no harems!).

And the thought of living separately, also makes husbands & wives to shudder, for they want to live-with each other. No, the Lord wasn't saying, in that cute prophecy, that you shouldn't live together. No, that home would be your main residence, your "home-base." Instead, you get the pleasure of living-with one spouse (or he with you) for several days, & then the pleasure of living-with another spouses for several days, & perhaps sometimes even living by yourself for a while if you that is what you want. (No, pleasure isn't wrong when both of you love the Lord & are not holding any sin in your heart. In that case it pleases the Lord & meets His approval).

And yes, you are to conduct yourself properly in courting each husband/wife. And also, be very

careful whom you choose. Christians, a worldly person may be ever-so desirable, but he/she will surely pull you down lower & lower & lower, as the years go by.

Also, the Lord expects you & your spouses to loyally continue each of these marriages as long as you both shall live (Matt 19:3-9). So, take your time courting & carefully evaluate each spouse (3 ½ years recommended), for people “put their best foot forward” for a long time, especially if it is someone that they want. It is a holy thing in God's eyes, if you conduct yourselves properly & treat each spouse appropriately. (More instructions further below).

Another Key, False-commandment (#7 of the 8):

There is another key False-commandment is JSS-Love with your children. Many years ago when my children were still very-small, I was reading the Bible for the third time—which was (& is) much more than just “reading it.” It is more like studying it, pondering, looking-up & comparing one text with another similar text, etc.—what about this, what about that?.

Being a strict, Bible-believing Christian, I especially wanted to know what the Lord had to say about what is & what isn't acceptable to do with my children. So, I was carefully watching for God's counsels & commands on dealing with children. But when I finished reading the Bible this third time, I was more disappointed with reading the Bible than any other time.

The Bible didn't say anything at all about dealing with my children—yes, “train-up a child in the way he should go...” etc., but that wasn't what I was looking for. In fact, the Bible didn't even mention anything at all, not even counsel on the good things you should do with your children. Now, keep in mind that I had no idea, at that time, that there were any false-commandments, ones that the Bible is silent about. And so, I was almost angry at the Lord for not saying anything at all about it. But I refrained from anger, knowing that God was far-wiser than I.

But I wondered why the Lord didn't, at least, say something about it. It wasn't till almost 40 years later, in writing this website, that I finally realized that JSS-Love with a child is OK—in God's eyes if properly shared, without breaking the laws of the state or nation & without violating any other principle. Keep in mind that we are speaking of JSS-Love, not sexual-intercourse, which is forbidden with anyone except your spouse.

But in the eyes of law (USA) it is wrong, no matter what, even if the child asks you. You can go to prison for it.

How do we know that this so-called “child molesting” is a false Bible-commandment? Because God is love. If it is something that is truly-wrong to do, then the Lord will always, clearly-say that it is wrong somewhere in the scriptures. For He loves us so much that “He won't leave us in the dark” on anything that is important.

You see when something is truly-wrong, then someone will be hurt if you do it, perhaps even yourself. But even if the Lord didn't want us to do something that wouldn't hurt anyone--which the Lord would never do—then the Lord would still clearly state it. He loves us & doesn't want us to be confused & not know for sure whether we should or shouldn't.

Now doesn't that make sense? Of course, for how could you believe otherwise? So if something is supposed to be wrong, but the Bible is silent about it, then it certainly-can't be God who made that supposed-commandment. How much more plain can you get???

There isn't even a comment in the Bible about, what they call, “child-molesting.” But even a comment in the Bible, such as the incident of seeing (& making sure to not see) Noah's nakedness, only reveals what they believed, not what God requires. The Lord never uses an incident to give instructions on “right & wrong.” Instead, the Bible clearly states it.

So in the eyes of God, JSS-Love with your children can't-be wrong, as long as you aren't

violating any other principle in the Bible & provided that you go to a place where it isn't against the law (e.g. perhaps to an Indian Reservation, a separate nation)—and as long as you aren't forcing the children, nor truly molesting them in some way.

For God is love, not selfish, & that is what He expects us to be—not forcing nor deceiving nor “bribing” nor even coercing them. No, those are the Devil's ways of accomplishing his purposes. So if you follow these principles, then you certainly won't be molesting (not in God's eyes) when you share JSS-Love with your child.

So parents sharing JSS-Love with their children, & children sharing JSS-love with children, & children sharing JSS-Love with parents, has to be true if all of God's principles are followed. But also, it only makes sense. If JSS-Love isn't wrong, then it isn't wrong with children, either, nor children with children. And yes, children can even share JSS-Love with some other adult, if that child wants to. but only with parental permission, of course.

But the advantage is that the Children learn how to handle sexual-temptations long-before they get into puberty. They already know the way of escape, God's preferred way of escape. Then when their hormones start surging & they are tempted to “experiment with truly-forbidden sex,” then they know to share JSS-Love in-place of it.

For their parents have already taught them to save “husband & wife-sex” until they grow-up & get married. Then they can have what husbands & wives have. And since they get satisfaction from JSS-Love, then it isn't a great of a temptation to break their connection with the Lord. And by staying faithful to the Lord on everything, they successfully make it, all the way till marriage.

Plus their self-control will be strong, so that Satan cannot tempt them nearly as strongly. Thus, we can confidently say that far-more youth will stay-faithful to God all the way to marriage. And when Satan does tempt them, then they already know God's preferred way of escape, so that they don't fall & lose that beautiful Holy-Spirit that gives them great peace & tranquility that only God can give (Ps 119:135, Gal 5:22-24).

But where do you find that these 8 are wrong in God's word (the Holy Bible)??? You can't, because not even one of them is there.

And yes, you argue that the modern Bibles say “sexual immorality.” But the Bible doesn't even mention the lesser-forms of sex (except that it is hidden in the place where God hid His approval). And also, you have always believed that Gen. 2:24 proves that you can only have one spouse—false, false, false. We have already proved these enough that you should be able to see it, but we also prove these more thoroughly below.

God is too wise to prove that you can only have one spouse by a vague statement like Gen. 2:24. Why not??? Because it can be interpreted two opposite ways (already discussed). No, when the Lord doesn't want a sin to be done, then He always makes it clear by clearly saying that it is wrong. & not by a vague verse, like Gen. 2:24.

No, God was deliberately silent about all 8 of them, because it was Satan, not God, that made those false commandments. They are Satan's biggest trap that have induced wickedness, all the way, down through the ages, & even is largely to blame for Noah's Flood happening in only 1656 years.

Continuing:

But we have good news for you. But from now on (Sep. 23, 2020), Satan will no longer be

allowed to do his “satanic abiding-presence” that counterfeits the Holy Spirit, i.e. this “false-conscience” that has led us (in the past) to believe that these 8 false-commandments are truly from God (but are actually from Satan himself), to never-ever be allowed to do that again.

This has been one of the most terribly-defiant things that the Devil has ever done, to pretend to be God. It was a super-unfair thing to do, for we always believed that counterfeit “presence” was the Holy-Spirit, that these impressions were was filling us with the impression that these teachings were from God. But actually, that was from devils who defy God Himself!

Now there is something that you need to know. When the Lord takes action, it is permanent & will never happen ever-again (Rom 11:29). So, most Christians will now be more-able to accept & believe these 8 new discoveries, that these 8 (actually 9) false-commandments are actually from the Devil & not from God. And also (hopefully), most of you will realize that these 8 (10) are the primary-cause of most of the wickedness in the world today!

But you also need to know that some will never believe these things. Since they have been proud (“Laodicean,” Rev 3:15-17, see vss 14-22) that never repented, then Jesus had to spew them out of His “mouth” some time ago. And since they no longer have the presence of Jesus, they will never believe this things (not unless they repent). By the way, I already know of one “Laocicean” who did repent & now has Jesus back inside of Him! Hallelujah!!!

But he probably is still opposed to these new teachings, because up till now, the counterfeit-Holy-Spirit has been assuring him that these new teachings are false. (Can you see how devastating Satan's counterfeit Holy-Spirit has been, on negating these new messages, the very false-commandments that he, himself, created long ago, way-back at “the beginning—and yet, still insisting that these are genuine & are from God????”). What a liar!!! Thank God that these lies will no longer “be abiding in us,” like it has been in the past, nor ever again, all the way to “the **end!**” Hallelujah, again!

But why didn't the Lord correct it “way-back then?”

(since these 8 were false?) God decided to keep silent on all 8 of these false teachings because they were livable. But His biggest reason was because it would catch Satan in his very-own trap, so that the Devil could be justifiably destroyed at the end of time. But the problem was that many more people would either be induced to rebel or to give-in to what is truly sin, because of Satan adding these 8 (10) false-commandments.

The scriptures contain hidden clues that reveal that these false teachings (combined with Satan's efforts) are why the Lord had to destroy the earth with Noah's Flood, And that is also why the world (with Satan's “help”) has always been so wicked from that time, onward. And how did these make the world so wicked? The biggest reason was from those that rebelled, but additionally from those that ignored God's requirements & “were not interested in religion.”

But there is also a third major reason why these teachings have made the world so wicked: The Lord has always supplied a way of escape from temptation, always, even while we were believing Satan's false prohibitions.

But the Bible implies that the Lord also has a preferred way of escape from temptation (called “the way of escape, that you may be able to endure it” (1 Cor 10:13, see vss 1-13). These false prohibitions took (stole) from us two of God's primary ways of escape: 1) the best way of escape from sexual temptations & 2) the best way of escape from marriage temptations.

Since the Devil stole these 2 primary ways of escape, that would have been much more successful than the lesser ways of escape, consequently, many have given-in & have fallen into illegitimate sex or leaving their spouse for someone-else.

But when Christians give-in to adultery or fornication, they rarely do the lesser forms of sex (the Lord's best way of escape from fornication, etc., which is far-better than many people think). (The lesser forms of sex are one of the 8 that the Bible is totally silent about, not even mentioning it—which implies that the Lord never forbade). But instead, they almost invariably do the symbol of marriage (fuck), which is truly forbidden with anyone other than their spouse. Why? Because the Devil moves them to “do the real thing, so that Satan can then, truly accuse them before our God! (For God wouldn't accept their claim if they did what the Lord truly-allows them to do.

But the main one who needs to be accused most of all is the devil who induced them to do that (Matt 18:7, see vss 5-9). Yes, the two that broke the Lord's “fornication-commandment” are still guilty for giving-in to that temptation, but in contrast, the devil that induced them to do that which only husbands & wives are allowed to do, that particular devil is guilty of about 7 times as much punishment! “He is going to pay for it, big time” in the Lake of fire (Rev. 20:10, see vss 7-15).

[But the weird thing is that the devils truly believe (& tremble) that they are going to get punished, but they don't stop leading people into sin! (James 2:19, see vss 14-25). I can only guess that it is because they are also slaves to sin (John 8:34, see vss 31-36) & thus, delight in getting those people to do those sins.

But I think that they also lie to themselves & imagine that the Lord isn't really going to punish them (Ps 10:4-11). That is the problem with lying. You end-up lying to your-own self—and even believe your-own lie!].

Conclusion on Why God Didn't Correct it Back Then:

But the Lord decided that—in spite of all of these drawbacks—that it was better to keep silent on these false teachings—all the way till our day—so that Satan will get exposed & caught in his-very-own trap near the end of time.

The Lord needs to have “a following of people” that put-away Satan's ways, so that the Lord can then, justifiably destroy Satan & his hosts (Rev. 12:10-11, see vss 7-12). (When they, through a special gift of the Holy-Spirit (purchased by the shedding of Jesus' blood (Rev 5:6)... when they put-away all sin (vs 11, thus fulfilling Jer 31:34, see vss 31-34).

Then Satan no longer has anything to accuse them. (i.e. Then the Devil can no longer claim that all humans are the followers of Satan; i.e. Then he can no longer claim that these overcomers his followers). Then the Lord will over-throw Satan's so-called kingdom & establish “the kingdom of our God” (vs 10), & thus, cast-down Satan (vs 10b).

This, way, God's kingdom is won & “Satan” gets conquered (vs 10)). The whole world will then see (by God's followers putting down Satan's accusations, i.e. by simply living righteous lives), that God has truly been fair, & is thus, justified in overcoming & destroying Satan & his hosts.

But if you will look carefully at this whole account (vss 7-17), you will discover that Satan gets cast-down before Satan does the Antichrist (Ch. 13 & onward). What a surprise! Everyone, including me, either thought that “the kingdom of our God & the authority of His Christ” (vs 10) was either won when Jesus died on the Cross, or thought that that-kingdom would come when Jesus comes in the clouds of glory.

But actually, it will come not-too-long from now, when the Lord pours out His special Spirit-gift that enables even the weakest of us, to live righteous lives (prophesied in Isa 45:8, see also Rev 5:6) But woe be to us (who fail to be raptured),: “But woe to you, O earth & sea, for the devil has come down to you in great wrath, because he knows that his time is short.” (vs 12). Those that go-through the Antichrist are going to go through great stress, but Satan even gets conquered by them, as well! (Rev 19:6-8). **Hallelujah!!!** Even that terrible story of the Antichrist ends-up **good!**

No, “mercy-people,” these fallen-angels have to be destroyed, for sin would only rise again if they

were allowed to remain, plus the Lord promised that they have to pay for their many, huge sins. Because they intentionally caused all of this evil that we have seen, knowing what they were doing & knowing what it would cost them.

Because the Lord's goal is to gain a universe that will never return to sin & rebellion ever again. After seeing the great contrast, & after going through all of these struggles that this sinful world has caused each of us, not even one of us will ever choose to sin or rebel ever again!

Counsel For Those Who Have Been Followers of Satan in the Past

If you happen to be one of these guilty people, you can still be forgiven if you will acknowledge your sin & start practicing the Lord's true way of escape, written here in this website (Acts 2:37-39, see vss 1-47, 1 Jn 1:9, see vss 8-10). The Lord holds-out that offer because He loves each one of you & doesn't want any of you to burn-up (2 Pet 3:9, see vss 8-13).

But some of you are thinking, "It's too late now, for I've been fucking my girlfriend for a long time now. We may as well keep=on doing what we have been doing, because we can't go-back & change it." **Wrong!**

Do you realize how the Lord views you when you repent??? When you & your girlfriend are sorry & truly repent & take the Lord's proper way of escape (JSS-Love), then the Lord views both of you as precious jewels, as if both of you had been pure virgins all of your life (2 Cor 5:17 & 21, see vss 14-21).

And Christians, don't think that you can keep-on fucking people that you are not married to, & yet keep-on being forgiven. People, you have been lying to yourselves about expecting forgiveness, while keeping-on practicing your sin! Jesus made it clear that He will cast you out if you don't repent & "stay repented" (Matt 7:23, see vss 21-23, 2 Cor 7:9-11).

The Lord is now restoring what He originally intended (JSS-Love & appropriate self-masturbation if necessary), & He isn't going to show mercy if you keep on disobeying by doing the symbol of marriage (fucking), instead of the lesser forms of sex.

Since Satan stole this from us, the Lord promises to restore 7 times as much joy, 7x-pleasure, 7x-satisfaction, etc., etc. (Prov. 6:30-31, see vss 20-35)—if you do what He allows (JSS-Love), instead of "husband & wife sex."

So, take advantage of what the Lord does allow. For you will now find that it is (now) even better than doing forbidden-sex!.

Only 4 months ago, we thought there were only 2 rules that were reserved for married people. But now that we found that you can even be naked with anyone that you want to share love with, then that only leaves one commandment that you are to abstain from, & that is fucking.

The symbol of marriage "Becoming one-flesh," sexually (Gen 2:24) with your friend that you aren't married to, is the only thing that is forbidden (& of course, "to not picture" that you are fucking without being married," either).

But until you get used to this new system of things, you will still want to picture "husband & wife sex. And yes, the Lord understands that tendency. So, He has provided a solution to that problem of wanting to picture "sin." Wow, isn't The Lord generous!)

So, how do you not picture doing this sin??? Very simple. You simply picture (or even pretend, without actually doing it) that you-two are actually married—or at least, picture what you two would be doing if you two were truly married. That is all! Either one of these meets God's condition. Because if you picture (or pretend) "marriage." (without actually doing "the marriage thing"). then your heart isn't sinning against God!

And of course, many Christians "violently" object, claiming that that is still sin! Well, who are you going to believe, these rigid-Christians or us? Look, everyone agrees that what you are picturing isn't sin. Are they the ones to decide, or does God decide??? No, they aren't the ones to decide whether this "picturing" is acceptable.. If the Lord wants to accept what you are picturing, then doesn't He have the right to accept that??? Of course, He does, for the Lord showed me this method of picturing what would be acceptable. So, just ignore them, for you aren't sinning if you picture either of these 2 things, because neither of these are

truly sin. But also, another way is to picture what you two are doing, e.g. either picture giving or receiving oral-sex, or picture sharing “external-intercourse” (see footnote)¹⁶.” There is also a third way of using the hands (& lubricant) to masturbate each other, similar to self-masturbation.

The Beauty of God's “One & Only Rule” on Sex:

But the beautiful thing in the rules on sex, is that the Lord made only one rule that is exclusively for married people—& that is, the symbol of marriage (becoming one-flesh with each other, sexually, as first mentioned in Gen 2:24). There are 3 obvious reasons why:

- 1) because that is where babies come from. You are taking a chance, no matter how many birth-control methods you use, for “probability” is still involved. For children need to be raised by a family, not just by “single” mother.
- 2) Because that is where sexual-diseases spread most readily.
- 3) Because that is the most intimate way of expressing “one-ness” to each other, of expressing united-love, in which both of you unitedly participate.
- 4) But the Lord didn't stop there. He also (both in the beginning & now is restoring) provided a glorious way of escape (“...the way of escape...,” 1 Cor 10:13b, see vss 1-13) which is both acceptable to God (JSS-Love), & also satisfies your sexual-drive, which would be “warring” inside of you, if you didn't..
- 5) How could the Lord be any more thoughtful than that???

6) In the past, some couples have vowed to marry each other (after they finish college or whatever reason)—in order to share sexual-intercourse before marriage. Look, the Lord might have accepted that deviation from His commandment, back when we were following those 8 overly-strict, false-commandments (He probably honored that, as long as they both kept their vow & got married). But now that the Lord has provided JSS-Love—not only for courting, but also for sharing with anyone else as well—then the Lord expects us to use JSS-Love & not deviate from His commandment. Look, JSS-Love is sufficient to take-care of your sexual needs, all the way till marriage. If you will heed this & wait on “marriage-type sex” till you-two get married, then the Lord will pour-out a great blessing on you-two, when you express your love through marriage-type sex. People, that blessing is big! You-two will be exceedingly-glad that you waited till then. And you guys & gals who have already practiced “marriage-type sex” with each other, the Lord will pour-out that blessing on you-two as well, if you cease practicing that now &

16 External” intercourse” is slightly-similar to sexual intercourse but significantly different. Sorry, we have to be sexually descriptive, 1) so that none of you have any “accidents” & 2) so that you know how to do it. Unlike sexual intercourse, the woman is on-top of the man, to reduce the probability of getting semen on her, in-case there should happen to be “an accident.”

The woman spreads her legs when she is on-top of him, in order to open the “flaps” of the external-vulva. She then slides her cliterus (in her vulva-groove) “up & down,” along the man's penis, hopefully, until both of them reach their climax. (Some women have to stop when she has her orgasm, because it becomes extremely painful to continue after her orgasm).

Both he & she can be nude all of the rest of the time, except during “external-intercourse,” when he has to have-on rubber pants. The woman remains naked, but the man has to have on rubber pants to help-contain the semen. And if the rubber pants are not snug-enough to keep semen from leaking-out, then he will also have to have-on a condom (not for the purpose of “going in,” but to also help keep the semen from leaking-out. The rubber pants can be any style (but probably not narrow like a jock-strap, for that might be more-apt to leak-out). They can be purchased on the Internet (& perhaps elsewhere).

wait till you marry. You will be surprised how much better it will be (after you-two marry), than what it was before. How can that be??? Because it is a gift from God that you-two haven't yet experienced! That is why!

Do you want to share & enjoy "marriage-type sex"??? We hope you realize by now that the 7-fold extra-blessing of JSS-Love is better than forbidden-sex & at least equal to what marriage-type sex would be for husbands & wives (if it weren't for the extra blessing that we are about to talk about.

But God hasn't forgotten you married people either (& those of you who would like to marry someday). The Lord also has a special gift for married people who put-away forbidden sex prior to marriage. Maybe they were doing "husband & wife-sex," but have now repented & have now put that away.

If you would like that extra gift, then find someone of the opposite sex that "fits you," & get acquainted, while practicing JSS-Love until you-two get married. (But don't forget for both of you to also share JSS-Love with lots of others, for that is what both of you will be doing afterward, as well).

And then get married, but not till you-two have courted long enough that both of you are sure that you two can stay together "till death do you part" (3 ½ years of courting recommended). For marriage is what the Lord wants for the great majority of us. For all of us need "a help-mate" (someone to confide-in all of our hopes & fears). In my opinion, I think that everyone will have happier & more stable marriages if both the husband & wife have at least 2 spouses. Then the "mole-hills" will be less likely "to be made into mountains," etc.

Then when you-two finally get married, then your "marriage-type-sex" will then be more precious than gold, more intimate than you can imagine. Why? Because of God's extra blessing for not giving-in to forbidden-sex till marriage.

For if you-two give-in to fucking before marriage, you won't have that sweet, intimate experience that you-two could have had if you-two had waited. Doing it prior to marriage "steals" that precious, intimate experience that you-two could have had after marriage.

But don't lose heart if you-two have already failed. The moment that you are convicted & repent, the Lord looks at you-two as if you-two had always been faithful virgins. So, you-two can receive that blessing by repenting & only sharing JSS-love the rest of the way till marriage.

And who knows? Maybe the Lord would even pour-out that "extra marriage-blessing" on those who are already married & had shared forbidden-sex prior to marriage. Maybe if you-two married people "repent" by refraining from Husband-&-wife-sex for a couple of months, & just share JSS-Love during those 2 months.

That was our thoughts, but there is a better way to be forgiven: The only real way to be forgiven, is to be so sorry that you wish you could go-back & change it. If you are that sorry, like Ahab was (for letting his wife, Jezebel, murder his neighbor, so that he could have his vineyard (1 Kings 21:1-29). If you are as sorry as Ahab was (vss 27-29), then Jesus will delight to forgive!

It was the very similar with the Lord's single-rule for Adam & Eve in the Garden of Eden. They could eat from any of the many-trees in the garden & could even eat from "the Tree of Life" (until they finally sinned, Gen 3:22-24), any tree except "the Tree of Knowledge of Good & Evil" (Gen 2:15-17).

They had so many trees to eat from that they never would have had a problem—if it hadn't been for what Satan did at the Tree of Knowledge of Good & Evil—in the midst of the garden.

[I once heard a prophetic-revelation of what Satan actually did to tempt Eve (& Adam) (which

cannot be proven, for the Bible doesn't state that). But what Satan did was a lot more unfair than we have ever imagined (e.g. by apparently, getting Adam & Eve to add-on to the commandment the words, “neither shall you touch, lest you die,” & then having the serpent place the forbidden fruit in Eve's very-own-hand to “prove” to her, saying, “See! Did you die???” That was just one of a half-dozen unfair-things that he did!).

Well, the Lord has set-up the same thing in regard to marriage. The only thing that the Lord reserves exclusively to the husband & wife, is the symbol of marriage, the intimate relationship of becoming one-flesh with each other, sexually. That is all that God has withheld from people that aren't married to each other—and of course, to not picture yourself fucking someone that you aren't married to, either, for that would be picturing sin. That is all that He has withheld. No more. The Lord is very fair, for that is a very reasonable requirement, now that the Lord has restored JSS-Love as “the way of escape” (1 Cor 10¹³, see vss 1-13).

But many of you “sinners” still object, because you think that-that is the nicest way to have sex. Is it? The Devil has moved you to fuck (instead of JSS-Love), so that he can accuse you doing what is truly wrong. But things are greatly-changing, now:

Satan is no longer allowed to give you extra pleasure for defying God, nor extra-pleasure for doing what only husbands & wives are allowed to do. Since Satan stole our freedom to share love through the lesser forms of sex, the Lord is now restoring 7-fold to those of us who share JSS-Love, instead of fucking (Prov 6:30-31, see vss 20-35).

(Actually, that promise in Prov. 6 applies to anything that Satan has stolen from us, including all of the billions of dollars that he destroyed with tornadoes & hurricanes & massive earthquakes, etc. All that he has destroyed will, one day, be restored 7-fold to mankind not too long from now—to help us thoroughly take the Gospel to every-single person in the whole world. “...and then the end will come.” (Matt 24:14b, see vss 3-31).

But also In place of “the extra” that the devils were giving you for doing forbidden-sex, the Lord is now replacing that extra sinful-joy & extra sinful-pleasure, reducing it 7 times as much as “the extra” that the Devil originally gave..

But not only that. Since the Devil stole JSS-Love from us for thousands of years, God is also restoring 7-times as much on 7 different qualities resulting from proper JSS-Love: i.e. seven times as much genuine-love of each other, 7 times as much genuine-joy, 7 times as much pleasure... 7x-happiness... 7x-peace... 7x-tranquility... & even 7 times as much satisfaction, which surely will last 7 times as long as the transient-satisfaction from forbidden sex.

What we are saying is, guys & gals, don't be stupid. Try it out one-time, instead of doing forbidden-sex, & you will see for yourselves, that it really is so! (And don't be afraid to try it out while the Corona Virus is going on. If you will “read-on,” then you will see that the Lord even has a way to protect you people from the Corona Virus—that is, if you love Jesus & if you heed what He says, like the woman who was caught in adultery was counseled to do (John 8:11, see vss 1-11)).

(Continuing what we had originally written earlier):

(New, Oct. 6, 2020) OK for brothers & sisters to see each other's nakedness

By the way, young-brothers & sisters, some of you conscientious teenagers & children (& also parents) might wonder if it really is OK to see the nakedness of your sister/brother. In the Old

Covenant, there is a text that warns much-more severely about a brother & sister seeing each other's nakedness (Lev 20:17), much more than in seeing someone else's nakedness--& there was a good reason for that back then.

In the Old Covenant, they were to be cut-off from their people, which they usually put to death, if they enforced it at all (so that the two couldn't possibly come back). Perhaps you have noticed that when the Lord commanded someone to be put to death, the offense was for a sin that is also wrong in the New Testament, So that has made people wonder if it is still wrong in the New Covenant for a brother & sister to see each other's nakedness.

But that was one reason why the Lord Himself (verse 1) said, "...they shall be cut-off ...," (vs 17) instead of "put to death..." because it was an Old-Covenant command that would pass-away. Yes, the people understood it to mean the same as "put to death," so that they couldn't come back, but that is what they *understood* it to mean & not what the Lord really meant by that.

But if you will carefully examine verse 17 (& also vs 18), then you will see that this, also, is an Old Covenant command that was nailed to the cross & no longer applies to Christians. Did He say, "cut-off from the land of the living???" No, He said, "...And they shall be cut-off in the sight of their people." And who were their people??? The Israelites (the Jews).

But verse 18 also makes it even more-clear: "...Both of them shall be cut-off from their people." When the Lord said it that way, He was hiding that both of these "commands" are "Jewish-commands" that would one day be nailed to the cross (Col 2:14, see vss 13-17).

But you ask, "But if "nakedness of a brother & sister" wasn't wrong in the first place, then why did God warn so harshly against it in the Old Covenant??? It was because the Jews only knew that one of the 8 (10) so-called "commandments" was false (the 10th one, men having plural-wives). And that 10th one wouldn't help the brother & sister anyway, if they were naked together.

You see, if they only know that is OK to be naked together, & don't know about the rest of the 8 (10), that they are false, then it can be "dangerous" to be naked with anyone of the opposite sex—because they didn't have condoms back then, & they might "try-out the real thing, to see what it is like" & end-up getting the girl pregnant! Well, the Lord didn't make an issue if it were anyone else, for the two were to get married, if they did that (somewhere).

But if a brother gets his sister pregnant (incest), not only can he not marry her, but also, there are great odds that that child will be "a basket case" (deformed, retarded or even worse than that). And so, since they didn't know that the 8 (10) false-commandments are false, then the Lord had to warn very-seriously against a brother being naked with his sister.

That is why God put that commandment there, to warn Jewish brothers & sisters against that. But not only that, many Christians have also thought that-that was also a genuine commandment. And so, that warning has done some good (for faithful people), in warning brothers and sisters to not be naked together, all the way till our day.

But now that we are learning that all 8 (10) are false-commandments, then this provides ways for the brother & sister to share sexual-love together, in ways that meet God's approval. And thus, it is no longer wrong for a brother to be nude with his sister, as long as they follow God's principles.

And wow! What a benefit this will be to the family, for many brothers & sisters have been at-odds with each other. But once a brother shares love with his sister, then that animosity vanishes & she becomes a valuable ally. And so, he starts treasuring his sister, not because he wants to marry her, but because sometime, he might need to share love with her, when he doesn't have anyone else to share love with. And also, his respect for his sister skyrockets! He appreciates the love, & she treasures the honor that he bestows upon her!

Another Thing That “Silence on Nakedness” Proves

If the Lord only allowed people to be naked with their spouse & no-one else, then He would have made it very clear, so that those of us who heed Him would not do that. And if the Lord didn't want us doing any of these 8 (all of which the Bible is silent about), then He most certainly would have, at least, forbidden nakedness!

For in seeing each other's form & skin & sexual organs, it fills a believer with attraction (& often of love) for that person. Thus, it gives a believer a desire to give & receive love through some sort of sex, ***if*** the Lord allows at least one form of sex, which He does (#2 of the 8). We call it JSS-Love (lesser forms of sex, “Jesus' Satisfying Solution”) But in contrast, seeing nakedness inspires sinners to defy God & to fuck their “friend,” which only husbands & wives are allowed to do.

[You will have to read-on, for modern translators insist on calling it sexual immorality instead of fornication (“becoming one flesh” with someone that you aren't married to). But we prove from the Bible that God's definition of that Greek word cannot include the lesser forms of sex. That is why the Bible is totally silent about the lesser forms of sex. Even pastors acknowledge that the Bible doesn't even mention the lesser forms of sex.

The Lord was totally silent (in the Bible) about the lesser forms of sex, to show that the modern translators have no justification for including the lesser forms of sex.

I don't know how modern translators justified “sexual immorality,” but my guess is that they got it from Catholic (or pre-Catholic) historical-evidence, for Catholicism has been “anti-sex of any kind” (except for procreation) for more than 1,500 years. We cannot go by historical evidence, for the Bible is the only book that is protected (as a whole) from the Enemy.

Early Christians were not “anti-sex people” (e.g. 1 Cor. 7:2-5)].

The very fact that the Lord was silent about nakedness, virtually proves that there has to be, at least, one form of sex (JSS-Love) that is acceptable with the Lord (#2 of the 8). For the Lord would surely have forbidden nakedness (in private) if every form of sex with others were categorically wrong. There is no way that the Lord would ever be silent on something that serious if every form of sex were truly wrong. For God is love & never encourages us to defy Him. So there has to be at least one form of sex with others that is acceptable with the Lord:

OBJECTION: “How can you teach such at a time like this??? No one can practice it because of Covid19 (Corona Virus):”

On the contrary, this is the best time in the whole, wide world to proclaim this, for the Lord has provided two ways that will keep us from the Corona Virus (& from also AIDS & from all the other serious diseases & head-lice & bed-bugs, etc.). Since sinners are refraining from sex because of this scare, then their naked/sex-drive might be very-high (if they don't usually masturbate, but even that isn't as meaningful). So, they will be much-more open to a much safer method!

God's first answer even allows you to share physical contact (JSS-Love) with each other, at a time when even prostitutes & whores are exceedingly scared to have bodily contact. We don't have to be scared in the slightest to share JSS-Love because of this undeniable, supernatural gift:

And by the way, you can use this same method on other occasions, such as when people just want to have some physical contact, or when two guys want to wrestle, or when a guy & gal aren't ready for JSS-Love & just want to express affection. They can make use of this same method, & thus be sure that neither of them have the Corona Virus. You can be sure that God will answer this request to know, even though it doesn't involve nakedness &/or JSS-Love. (But there is one exception: If they have sin in their hearts, then the Lord refuses to answer).

So we can only think that this is the opportune time to win multitudes of sinners (& also to free-up many that have wanted to get acquainted & court someone, but haven't been able to up till now)! In

fact it frees-up all of us to get close to those we love, to perhaps even be naked with them & share JSS-Love, if that is what they want—and yet to still be safe from the Corona Virus & all the other dangerous diseases. *Hallelujah!!!*

Here is how: What is the probability of you guessing a PIN-NUMBER? It is extremely low, but with the Lord it is even lower yet! One partner writes down a PIN-NUMBER, without the other partner seeing even the his/her hand-movement. If the person “guessing” the PIN-NUMBER is free from harmful diseases, including Corona Virus (& if that person can be trusted, & if the couple intend to share JSS-Love, rather than to disobey & “fuck”), then the Lord will move upon that person to give the correct PIN-NUMBER. For the Lord won't answer if they intend to sin.

The Devil is not allowed to interfere with these PIN-NUMBERS. This is the *Lord's* method, & He doesn't allow Satan to mess it up. But if there is any reason at all that that-person is not acceptable, then the Lord inspires that person to give a totally-wrong PIN-NUMBER! And since the Corona Virus is on the rampage, the only thing you can do is “forget about it,” for it might be the Corona Virus. Not even an electron escapes the Lord's notice. So if that person has even one molecule of the Corona Virus, then the Lord will inspire a PIN-NUMBER that is totally wrong.

Now, the other partner also needs to pass a PIN-NUMBER—that is, *if* the first partner passed his/her PIN-NUMBER. Write down the PIN-NUMBER in a way that he/she can't see the movement of your hand. We require it to be written down, for some people will lie about the PIN-NUMBER if they want nakedness, sex, etc. bad enough. That way you can be sure that you also passed the (2nd) PIN-NUMBER test.

With such supernatural success in guessing each partner's PIN-NUMBER, how can you have any fear? Yes, you may have a little uncertainty, fearing that the Devil played a part in the results, but your confidence & faith will increase as you continue to have success from every angle.

But what is God's 2nd answer of protection from the Corona Virus?

This answer is general & only applies to those who are abiding in Jesus & following Him. It is a promise in Psalm 91:1, 3, 6 & 10 & even verse 7. This psalm promises protection to “he who dwells in the secret place of the most High...” (vs 1, NKJV). Three times it mentions being protected from plagues & pestilences (serious diseases) Then in verse 7 it summarizes it: “A thousand may fall at your side, 10,000 at your right hand, but it will not come near you.”

People, when you see something like this, where it assures you of something—that is, if you meet the conditions it specifies—then realize that that-is a promise that you can claim, a promise that God will keep, if you ask Him to. I am sure that some of the people that have come-down with the Corona Virus, were meeting the conditions of abiding in Jesus & following Him. But they never thought of Ps. 91, & thus didn't ask & didn't receive.

Now, that sounds like the Lord is a legalist & doesn't really care about us, but that isn't true at all. It is a matter of principle that the Lord is following. You see, there are thousands of promises in the Bible that we haven't even realized are promises, & some of the promises are absolutely fantastic!

But even if we happen to meet the conditions, the Lord only gives it to us, *if* we know about it, not just because we meet the conditions. As we get closer & closer to God, then the Lord will open our eyes to more & more fantastic promises. But the Lord doesn't open our eyes to each of these promises until we are spiritually-ready to receive each fantastic promise.

So, the Lord has to follow this same principle on all of His promises. That is why the Lord doesn't answer promises just because we meet the conditions. If you know about a promise, then you are qualified to receive that promise, but you have to know about it first & then ask in faith, believing that He wanted to give that to you a long time ago, but you weren't ready back then—but you are, now..

And also, the Lord wants us to ask Him in faith, believing that He not only wants to answer you, but also that He will now keep His promise. Jesus has always required belief/faith before He gives any blessing. That is the way it was when Jesus healed people, & that is the way it still is when He promises something in the Bible. There is/was a book called the ABC's of Prayer (Ask, Believe & Claim). It is not enough to meet the conditions. You have to also know about it & ask in faith (“nothing doubting,” James 1:5-8). Then you can be sure that God will keep that promise.

Now that you know about it, just kindly ask (for any other kind of asking is not faith) & abide in Jesus & follow Him, & the promise is yours: “A thousand may fall at your side, 10,000 at your right hand, but it will not come near you.” (Ps 91:7, see whole psalm).

And by the way, that doesn't mean that you are free to get careless. Yes, the Lord will protect a believing-doctor who can't help it, but it is presumptuous to not do what you can, to prevent it (wash hands, keep at a distance, etc.). Even medical doctors do that.

Similarly, it would be presumptuous & hug & kiss someone (direct physical contact), thinking that you are safe, because of promise of Ps. 91. But if you didn't also use the PIN-NUMBER test, & If that person is carrying the Corona Virus, then you will surely get it, too, even though you claimed Ps. 91. That would be presumption that God won't answer.

But this silence (on nakedness in-private) reveals even more, for

that silence also allows people to be naked with married people, & even for married people to be naked with other married people (important footnote)¹⁷. Now, you would think that would be evil if the couple are each married to someone else, & it would be evil if that person were filled with covetousness “to have” someone that they can't have—that is, someone that they could not marry.

Now, the Lord's silence on nakedness doesn't prove that that married people are also allowed to have more than one spouse (#3 of the 8). [Yes, we need to obey the law; however, there is a legal way for anyone to have plural-spouses in the USA, etc. Evil people have been taking advantage of this legal way (without marrying any of them) for about 40 years].

¹⁷ And yes, it also allows for men to be naked with men & women to be naked with women & to even share the lesser forms of sex (#2 of the 8), for these particular things are acceptable for homosexuals & Lesbians—in God's eyes. We have wanted to “shut-off” homosexuals & Lesbians from doing anything with each other, just like Satan did by cutting-off singles from any kind of sex. Such “harsh things” harm God's cause & cause many to rebel. God has always been a fair God, just like good parents are today: “Say, Johnny! Did you see this great thing to play with?” (while the boy has been playing with a sharp knife that might cut-off his finger). A good parent gives something to take its place of what is harmful, i.e. gives a great way of escape like 1 Cor 10:13 does (see vss 1-13). And that is why Satan stole these 8 (7) things from us, to help many get angry at God & even rebel. But that reason is only “the tip of the iceberg” of what Satan did to us. Multitudes have fallen in every imaginable way. Satan hates us, because we are to take his place in Heaven (Rev 12:7-12 & 4:4). His goal is to bring us down, so that we don't take his former place in Heaven. That is also why he was trying to get the whole world so wicked that the Lord would have to destroy us (Matt 24:22, see vss 3-31) like Noah's Flood, except this time, with fire (2 Pet 3:7, see vss 3-14).

By the way, women (you normal women), we know how dear your woman-friends are to you, for we men have heard you women talk so lovingly & expressively to your women friends. (At least some of us men wish that we, also, could be more close to our men-friends, but our fear of being labeled “homosexual” has kept us from having close relationships with those men that are really meaningful to us).

Well, godly women who love each other so much, it's not wrong for Lesbians to share JSS-love with each other, & neither is it wrong for you to share with your close women-friends that you love so much. Go right ahead & express JSS-Love with your women-friends. You won't become Lesbians by doing that. And I can assure you right now, that God will look upon your expression of love for each other with holy approval. For expressing love like that is akin to the Lord's way of doing things—a super-holy thing, just as precious as the beautiful time you spend with your husbands.

And men, if you have courage enough, & some guy means much to you as a special friend—free from any homosexual inclinations—then don't hesitate to share JSS-Love with your special friend. Again, the Lord looks at it as holy.

But it is interesting that God is also silent about women having more than one husband (#3 of the 8). [Yes, everyone thinks that, “Wherefore a man shall leave his father &...& the two shall become one-flesh” (Gen. 2:24), proves that a person can only have one spouse, but they “are reading-into” that text more than it says, For that text is mainly counseling new husbands & wives to leave their father & mother & consummate the marriage by the husband becoming one-flesh, sexually, with his wife—which makes it doubtful that it even applies to “only one spouse.” We have a whole section that shows (from the New Testament) that that-verse even applies to plural spouses].

So, if it is acceptable for people to have more than one spouse (both men & women), then that makes it a lot easier to be naked with a married person, for then they wouldn't have to fight-off the desire “to have” that person when they are naked together, because that person could then be a possible, additional spouse someday.

But even if it is someone that they can't have (& there are some that they can't have), they can easily shut-off any covetousness by thanking the Lord & thanking their spouse (from their heart) for allowing them the privilege of, at least, sharing love together (JSS-Love), even though they can't marry that person.

Now, you may think that-that would be hard to do, but it can readily be done. For there are many people who have been doing that for many years, without any covetousness, gladly accepting that fact. They are exceedingly grateful to have the satisfaction of sharing love (JSS type of love) with that person.

And in sharing that love together, any covetousness (that they might have previously had) vanishes when they get that privilege of, at least, sharing the love that they always wanted to give to (& to receive from) that person. Then at least they had the satisfaction of even expressing & receiving that love that they both wanted so much to share. Far better than nothing at all.

Yes, the number of people that leave their spouse for someone else, will vastly diminish, just from the two tempted people having an occasional (but not too frequent) opportunity to express their love of each other! It provides enough satisfaction that the vast majority of believers will remain loyal to their present spouse, instead of leaving him/her for that other person.

No, it isn't necessarily wrong to have love for, or to even express love to, someone that you cannot have. A godly person can feel love for & share love without any covetousness at all. They can even have terrific love of someone that they don't even want! That kind of love “spawns from” a godly love of that person. What makes “loving someone” become wrong, is when they start despising their present spouse & start coveting to have that person that they can't have. Then it becomes sin! That is what Jesus was talking about in Matt. 19:3-9.

Instead, they should (& will) love their present spouses all the more for granting them that freedom. Believe me, they will love their spouse more than ever! For if their spouse had not believed in JSS-Love, or had said, “No!,” then they wouldn't have been allowed to share JSS-Love together! That is why they love their spouses all the more—for granting them that privilege of sharing JSS-Love with that special person.

So there also has to be a way for married people to share sexual-love with others (& even with other married people), through at least one form sex. Otherwise, the Lord would have had to say, effectively, “Hey, You married people, you can't be naked with others, nor can you have any kind of sex with anyone else, except your **spouse!**” But the Lord was totally silent about both nakedness & (as you will see) the lesser forms of sex.

Summary:

Yes, we know that you opposers have some arguments in favor some of these 8 (7), but if you will take the time to prayerfully read our answers to those arguments, you will see that your arguments

aren't really valid. And that is why we speak so boldly. These 8 (7) cannot possibly be from God! Otherwise, the Lord would have clearly forbidden, at least, most of those 8 (7) & would never have remained silent all 8 (7) of them.

You can now be naked with anyone in private (only by consensus, of course), & to even be naked (in private) with a group of people, & to even hold a “private” worship service where only those who want to be naked can attend.

Why are you enraged?

Would you rather that we had waited 3 or 4 months to reveal where the Lord is headed with this discovery: that nakedness (in private) is not wrong? Wouldn't you rather find out now (about naked church-programs & naked-worships), so that you realize what you are getting into?

The Lord let me go for a whole month without revealing these naked church-programs & “worships,” but this new insight came as a terrible shock to me, especially because I am going to have to lead some of those programs. (And surely you realize what my reputation will look like).

Don't get the wrong idea. This isn't just “a gimmick” to get people to come to church; it's far more than that. Instead, we are “waging war” on prohibiting nakedness & war on what the Devil has done to pervert the teachings of God.

No, we don't come down to their level. Instead we keep-on acting as godly as we always have, except in regard to these 8 (7) false teachings. Yes, it takes time to adjust & learn to act as godly as you did before, but the Lord will help you do it if you ask Him in faith. Since nakedness in private never was wrong, then we can now be godly in our words & in “our looks” & in our actions, while at the same time, being naked in the presence of these people. Our example of being godly while naked, will have a major influence on them.

Yes, your first impression is that being naked while worshiping the Lord would be an ungodly insult to Him. But remember, you aren't God & don't see things the way He sees them. After all, you never even knew that the Lord has always allowed nakedness in private from the very beginning, & you have always thought (as we did) that nakedness with anyone except your spouse would be evil. So, how can you know how the Lord regards “nakedness in private” & worshiping with others while naked??? You can't possibly know how the Lord regards it.

It shocked me as well, when the Lord suddenly opened my eyes (less than 2 months ago) to where He was leading me. I have been working on unfolding all of this for 17 ½ years, but when the Lord opened my eyes to leading “naked worships” a couple of months ago, it flabbergasted me—for I knew what people would think of me if I did that. I didn't say “no,” but it took 13 days for me to thank Him for leading me in this direction, for it is going to make us look evil in the eyes of the rest of the Christian world—at first.

That is why it is an even bigger shock to you, because you haven't had 17 ½ years to gradually “grow-into” all of this & learn to sense what is right & what is wrong in God's eyes. But I have grown-into this, & thus, it makes it a lot easier for me to adjust to any additional discoveries, than it is for you. But even with me, it was terribly difficult to adjust to this last “eye-opener.”

But our bad reputation isn't going to stay that way. When the Christian world sees our great success in reaching those that aren't interested in God, & when they see how earnestly they have put-away their old “evils,” they will change their minds (Matt 7:15-20) & “follow-suite” & hold “naked-worships.” Even the Roman Catholic & Orthodox Churches will join-in & use these methods, because of the great success that they can have, & the great loss if they don't accept it.

A New Insight (while “proofing” this) About How the Lord Views Nakedness

Since Adam was created in the image of God (Gen 1:25-27), & since Adam & Eve were created naked (before they sinned) (Gen 2:25, see vss 15-25), then God (i.e. Jesus) was also naked when He

created Adam & Eve. And since Jesus has been naked ever-since He was resurrected (John 20:5-7, see vss 1-10, also 20:27, see vss 24-29), & since Jesus is the express image of the Heavenly Father (Heb 1:3, see vss 1-5), then doesn't this “give you a clue” that God sees & understands nakedness in a different way then we do???

(This is new to me, too:) So God the Father & Jesus have always had a totally-different view of nakedness than we modern-day Christians have had, for They are naked (right now, covered with “a robe of light” around them, Ps 104:1-2). So, Adam & Eve also had a “robe of light” around them as well—until they ate of the forbidden fruit—for they were made in the image of God. The account of their fall (Gen 3:7, see vss 1-7), literally says that they “saw” that they were naked. And that is true, for Adam & Eve never saw that they were naked until the robe of light faded away.

But the Father & Jesus saw through that robe of light (prior to falling). And so, they were naked, in God's eyes, even when covered with a robe of light.

I wonder if you see what I do. That means that God (including Jesus) see you “naked” (right now), even with your clothes on! And that makes sense, for “In Him we live & move & have our being” (Acts 17:28, see vss 22-31). So, God also sees every organ inside of you & every cell inside each organ & every molecule inside each cell & every atom inside each each molecule & every atomic-particle inside each atom, & every sub-atomic particle (quarks) inside of each atomic-particle—inside of you—at every instant of time! For “in Him we live & move & have our being.”

If you don't believe that now, you will when you read (below) the scientific- (& Bible-) evidence that Jesus is holding together the nucleus of every atom in the entire universe!

Since the Father & Jesus are naked, & since we are always naked in God's eyes, then we may as well not hide it when we are worshiping God. We may as well not hide our nakedness & take off our clothes & worship Him naked. Because that is what the Lord sees, anyway.

It is a way of expressing that that we are His bond-servants, His 'slave-girl” (Luke 1:38, literal translation, see vss 26-38), ready to be used by, or to serve as He sees fit, & also, that we are nothing without Jesus & the Holy Spirit. (The Lord is “a kind & upright taskmaster” & never calls us to do anything that we wouldn't choose to do, if we could see the end from the beginning).

Thus, we are “bearing our whole-soul to God” when we completely surrender our lives to Him & worship in His presence, naked. (And that also includes “bearing our soul before God” regarding all of our sins & shortcomings. For Jesus will gladly bear all of your sins & shortcomings for you, so that you can be free to rejoice, so that you don't have to walk-around, mourning over your failings (Isa 53:11b, see vss 1-12). Jesus has freed us from all of that. So, firmly believe that & keep rejoicing in Jesus).

But remember, even “group” naked-worship (in the presence of the Lord) has to be done in private, so that no-one else can come-in, except those who want to worship Him naked. We don't do that to shock & surprise others. This worship is just between us & God & not anyone else.

Will you discard this whole teaching because of “naked church-worships?”

We would guess that many of you are now ready to throw-out this whole website because of objecting to one, tiny portion of it. Since you people are enraged, then why isn't the Lord enraged??? We can show you that He isn't angered at all, & He may be (& is) pleased with people worshipping him while being naked.

How??? You can know that the Lord isn't displeased at all—for if He were, then He would have warned us to not practice, at least, naked worships! This statement has to be correct, for “God is love,” & He doesn't want to be angry with us. So, He would have warned us, so that those of us who heed Him, would not make Him angry.

Since all scripture is God-breathed (2 Tim 3:16,17, Amplified Bible), & since the Bible is totally silent about being naked in private with anyone, then you can be sure that it wouldn't anger the Lord in the slightest if you were naked with a friend, or with a group of friends (if all of them put-away vulgar/dirty language & put away sinful thoughts & live & act like Christians are supposed to act). And yes, it would meet His approval, even if you were in a “naked-only” worship service & were truly worshipping the Father & Jesus & the Holy Spirit, for They gave us this privilege.

If you aren't even sinning in your heart & mind—aren't picturing what is truly sin—then you are OK. For the Enemy calls these 8 (7) “sin,” & tries to make us think that any stimulating thought is sin! ***Far from it!!!***

Satan has even taught a **9th prohibition**, along the same line of thinking, but he only succeeded in the Roman Catholic Church. He has made it wrong (in the Roman Catholic Church, who still, technically, believes this way) for husbands & wives to only have sex in order to procreate children, as if sharing love through sex is a shameful or evil thing—a teaching that violates the Bible itself!¹⁸ The Bible counsels married people to periodically come together, sexually, “...lest Satan tempt you through your lack of self-control” (1 Cor 7:5, ESV, NKJV, NAB, see vss 2-5)! Now, that is a serious reason to come together periodically for sex, so that Satan doesn't tempt you so severely. (Protestants, we think that you should also read that footnote, not just the Catholics).

Why Didn't the Lord Correct These False Prohibitions Long ago?

1) Because it was more important for Satan to be completely-conquered (Rev 12:10-11, see vss 7-12), so that he can be annihilated at the end of time (Rev 20:1-3 & 10, see vss 1-15), than it was to correct these false-commandments. In hiding this surprise till the end of time, it will shock us so much to see the great contrast, that rebellion will never, ever rise again (Nah 1:9, see whole book),

2) Because these 8 false-commandments are “do-able.” It is just that a) multitudes have rebelled against God & b) many believers have been intentionally practicing at least one sin (especially sexual- & marriage-sins), thinking that they are going to have eternal life. But Jesus made it clear that those intentionally practicing—even one sin—will not have eternal life (Matt 7:13,14, Luke 13:23-27). Does this offend you, for we are saved by grace apart from works (Rom 3:21-25)? Even Paul makes it clear that this doesn't free us to sin on purpose (Rom 6:1-4 & 15-19).

3) God was hiding all 8 of these false-prohibitions, to be revealed in our day. Why? Because when most of us find that “these 8” were from Satan & are not necessarily wrong (if you refrain from the things that truly are wrong), then we will fall in-love with the Lord more than when we fell in-love with our first spouse, more than when we first got married! Then the Lord will pour-out

18 Even the Catholic Bibles correctly translate 1 Cor. 7:5b), though they try to make it look like St. Paul had said that by permission, by including the next verse in the same paragraph: “This I say by way of concession, however, not as a command.” (1 Cor. 7: 6, NAB, 2002-2003 Edition).

It is true that this statement that Paul gave in verse 5 was counsel & wasn't truly a command. But God Himself did inspire Paul to state that counsel for our sakes, because both husbands & wives can become severely tempted when they don't, at least, periodically relieve their sexual drive (for me, once ever 3 days, 6 days is absolute max). What was said by permission (& not as a command) was Paul's case in favor of celibacy (see vss 7, 12, 25, 35 & 40, also, vss 36-38). His case on Celibacy was what was said by permission & was not a command. But the counsel for husbands & wives to periodically come-together, sexually, was fully-inspired by God: “...So that Satan may not tempt you through your lack of self-control” (vs 5b, NAB, see vss 1-5). No, the Lord doesn't want Satan to even have an opportunity to severely tempt us that way, & that is why the Lord had St. Paul give that counsel. No, it was Satan, not God, that “sneaked-in” “procreation only” & made all 8 false prohibitions on xcness, sex & marriage. He did it so that many would rebel, & at the same time, to also get many church members to fall, that were trying to obey these false-prohibitions! That is why the world has become so wicked—until recently...

His Holy Spirit to enable all of us to overcome the Accuser of our brethren (Rev 12:10-11, see vss 7-12, also 7:14, see vss 9-17)¹⁹. And once we overcome, then it won't be long before Jesus will come in the clouds of glory & take all the rest of His Bride Home to Heaven (Rev. 19:6-8).

So before you reject this & walk

away from this website, you need to reconcile why God is silent about all 8 (10) of these prohibitions. For your future faith is at stake if you just blindly reject these without reconciling these things in your mind & heart. You also need to read the part about “science & the Bible” (approx. p. ()ff) revealing that God is not only capable, but also truly does foresee the future so accurately that He won't even miss by an electron! When you realize that that He truly saw every electron on every hair of your head—at this very instant of time—then you will realize that His silence can only mean that it wasn't God that gave those 8 (7) prohibitions.

(New, Oct. 6, 2020) next 3 paragraphs enhanced

Isn't that shocking??? Yes, it will take time for you people to accept this, but this is the way that we will win billions of people who “aren't interested in God.” They will come when they find out that your churches hold (Co-Ed) naked-volleyball & naked-exercise programs & (Co-Ed) naked-worship services (& of course, “clothed” worship services as well). Another great naked-possibility is square-dancing & popular-dancing, with everyone nude (using acceptable music, etc.).

This isn't just a “drawing-gimmick.” These things meet the Lord's full-approval, if we handle them correctly. So we have to “shake-off from our minds” that these things are wrong, for they aren't wrong, in God's eyes, if we are looking at them from a proper perspective. These things are what the Lord is calling us, yes, expecting us to do. We are going to win multitudes to Jesus, whose lives have had sinful pleasure, but outside of that, their lives have been very empty.

We always bring “Jesus” into it & teach a little, each time. And when they find out about the 8 (10) false-commandments, that there are acceptable ways of escape from doing what most people do (sexually), & when they find out how wonderful the Lord is, then they will surrender their whole lives to Jesus & will totally follow Him (eventually)! Amazingly, All of us will become better Christians than most of us have been in the past! Impossible??? Please ponder over it, for this is where the Lord is calling us.

Now, many things below still need to be modified, for up till “now,” we have thought that you shouldn't see anyone else's nakedness except your spouses' nakedness. In fact, this entire E-book needs to be revised & all of the older E-books need to be discarded, because they have even more incorrect information than this one. (These truths have kept on progressing more & more & more).

Here is our old introduction,, now half-way toward the last page!:

Except for a few places, these were written mostly before we had discovered that “Nakedness in Private” is also a false commandment. So, please take that into consideration when you see contradictory teachings about nakedness in private. We didn't know that back then.

19 How do they overcome Satan & cast him down? They overcome by a spiritual gift that was purchased by Jesus shedding His blood (one of the 7 Spirits of God, Rev 5:6b. See vss 1-10). The 7th Spirit that Jesus purchased fulfills the last verse of Jer 31:31-34). We call that 7th Spirit, “the Spirit of instant righteousness on everything.” It instantly prepares all those who come-out of the Antichrist, to be instantly able to face Jesus coming in the clouds of Glory without burning up. The 6th Spirit is almost like the 7th, except that it only gives instant righteousness on all that a person knows. A criminal may only know to not steal, kill & commit adultery, but instantly, he becomes righteous on those 3 things. But as he learns more & more things, he also becomes instantly righteous on each new belief that he learns. So, his progress grows as he learns more & more & more. So when he eventually learns all that Jesus expects out of us, then he, too, can join the crowd that casts down Satan & his “dominion.”

Absolutely ***IMPOSSIBLE???***

The Lord Hid This in the Bible So Thoroughly That No Church Has Yet Taught it.

(Even the Apostles didn't know this). "But if it's true, then why would the Lord hide it till our day?" You'll see.

God's Preferred Way of Escape From Sexual Temptations: "Jesus Satisfying Solution"

Way of Escape from "leaving-spouse": Wife+Plural-Husbands, Husband+Plural-wives

Bible-believing pastors tell reasons why it isn't. But they will now be super-shocked!

Satan's Greatest Deception to Mankind

(TheGreatDeception058.PDF)

Far more than just an "Intro-portion" of the free

website: "VirginSaverD.org"

Written (w/ Bible-proofs) to Bible-believing

Christians & to "Liberals" too.

(Written in conversational style,

like a transcribed-seminar)

When something is rejected, it's amazing how

the Lord keeps giving better evidence & proofs.

The time is here to "turn over the hour glass"

& begin "the last hour" of this Earth's history!

Liberals have long-suspected

that something "was fishy" about the traditional

teachings on sex & marriage.

Well guess what, Bible-believing Christians???

Maybe this new discovery will help,

both Liberals & us, take one step closer together.

1) We found 7 traditional "don'ts" on sex & marriage, that the Bible is not only silent about (never even mentioned!),

but also, are now truly proven false;

2) We uncovered from the scriptures

that Satan stole these 7 privileges from us

from the very beginning—the major reason

why the world has become so wicked.

3) The Lord chose to hide this till the end, & to restore each privilege 7 times as much!

4) Billions of people who aren't interested in God will now turn & loyally follow Jesus!

5) We "unfolded" Bible clues:" the real reason why God had to destroy the world with Noah's Flood. The main reason God

destroyed it was because of these 7, false "don'ts!"

(New, Feb. 24 & Mar. 12, 2020, this "section")

It is amazing how convincing this book has become, & yet most people have these 7 teachings so deeply ingrained within their hearts, that they "can't" let go of them. We would guess that most people are convinced that our case is true in at least 3 different places. And in the 4th place, some conclude, "It has to be true." But the Devil has ingrained these 7 false prohibitions so deeply in most people's hearts, that most of them still think that these 7 still have to be true, even though the evidence shows in 4 different places, that they aren't from God.

People, please think about what this implies. The Lord was silent about these 7 because they came from the Enemy, Satan. Now if they came from the Enemy, which many of you haven't believed in, then there has to be a reason why Satan added those prohibitions. It seems impossible, but the Enemy, not God, is the one who has been filling you with these convictions that these 7 are true. (He is an expert on counterfeiting the Holy Spirit).

That is why the Buddhists, Hindus & even Muslims (who believe in plural wives) also teach these same 7 things, because Satan is also the one who started those religions (& even filled them with a counterfeit spirit). And "everyone" thinks that those religions are so good, because they are sad religions & teach these same 7, false things!

But all of them are Satan's counterfeits that depend on "works," ("Works" are important for they reveal our faith, but in Christianity, all of the works in the whole world could never save us, Rom 3:20, see vss 9-31). Satan designed these false religions to lure people from Christianity, the only religion that has a Savior who shed his-own blood to pay for our sins & forgive us (Acts 4:12, see vss 8-12) in order to give us eternal life (Rom. 6:23). (Being saved & gaining eternal life are gifts that cannot be earned).

Those 7 seem so virtuous that it seems like they have to be true. And yet they are the reason why

so many have rebelled. They are the reason why “many shall seek to enter in & shall not be able” (Luke 13:24, see vss 22-30). So be thinking about it. Every time ancient Israel fell, they fell from “sex, etc.” (worshiping “sex-gods,” etc.). If you will read this E-book, then you will realize that these false teachings are the reason why the world has become so wicked (& why God had to destroy the world with Noah's Flood). No, it doesn't seem possible, but it really is true.

If finally convinced, then Email to friends, then unitedly, to your pastor, then to your denomination. Then, your pastor can ask “headquarters” to “research this” for your sake's, without losing his job! Or, make “handout copies” of the first 32 pages (best to share this with people of the same sex).

O Heavenly Father,

Please be with each person that examines this E-book or website. Please help us to put-away our prejudices on both sides of the issue. Give us wisdom to decide whether or not these things are true. We look to You, for without You & Jesus & the Holy Spirit, we can't do anything. Amen.

(New: Oct. 29, 2019, next 4 paragraphs) **INTRODUCTION** (this was the introduction in 2019)

All Denominations: “All” of you believe in some of these 7 traditional forbiddings. Our focus is not to start a new church, but to convince all of you that these “7” are false & aren't from God. They have surprised all of us (including the authors), for the Lord was hiding this till “now!”

Orthodox Christians & Catholics, “tradition” can't give you the answer this time. These false teachings started before Noah's Flood, before Adam & Eve had raised their very-first children, from the very beginning! Thus, no-one has ever known what God originally intended until now, near the end of time. So “tradition people,” that frees you people to believe these things, without contradicting tradition, for they aren't contradicting tradition at all.

Jehovah's Witnesses, shouldn't you get back to your original teaching that you just recently got rid of (because of this website)??? (Sorry, we wish we could write a version especially for you!).

Super-Important! If you can keep an open mind & put-away your prejudices & keep “looking to the Lord,” then we think that **You should now be 100% convinced** (but not fully informed) **within the first 47 (94) pages (4 sufficient proofs).**

But don't get the wrong idea. Don't “go-wild,” just because the Bible doesn't say anything about these 7 so-called “don'ts”. All of the scriptures have to fit together in regard to a subject (2 Tim 3:16-17). You see, It will be wrong if you violate any other related scripture in doing it.

But also, the Lord purposely left enough other scriptures for us to “piece-together” what the Lord would have taught, had the Enemy not succeeded in distorting what the Lord originally taught.

(New, Sept. 13, 2019) next 2 sections revised

Are you thinking: “Oh no!, another counterfeit!”?

That's why the Devil sent so many counterfeits over the years, for Satan knew that these true teachings were coming someday (for he is the one who stole these teachings from us). So, he sent all those counterfeits to help you reject these truths when they would eventually come.

But the Lord foresaw what the Enemy would do, to block these teachings. So the Lord hid more... & more... & more... & more evidence, so that most of you, will one-day, “wake-up” to the fact that it really is true!

Remember, counterfeits always have some truths, but there are some things that they don't (& can't) prove from the Bible, for those teachings are false. So they use reasoning to persuade them, & then back it up by distorting a couple of texts. Plus, they use lots of emotion to persuade them.

In contrast, we fit-together “all” the scriptures that we know about & also answer as many objections as we can think of. Also, we look at “the pro's & con's” of both sides of the issue. And

amazingly, these few places in the Bible (which are now “7 don'ts”), where you can actually prove that it has to be so. So, our approach is far-different from the counterfeits' approach. OK?

You ask, “Since I have never read the whole Bible,
then how can I know that the Bible truly is silent about all seven of these "don'ts?"

Well, we'll show you below how you can check for yourself, whether these so-called "don'ts" are in the Bible (even if you've never read any of the Bible). But an easier way is to ask your usual pastor (for a pastor might not make the effort for a stranger) to find one of the "don'ts" in the Bible (or ask an expert who has read the Bible many times). Only ask your pastor/expert about one of these “seven,” for it's a lot of work to search for even one of them. Ask him/her to find a text that pretty-much says so. He/she won't find anything that even mentions these things.

But don't “go-wild:”

This new teaching “opens doors” that we've never had before, but that doesn't give us freedom to “go wild.” For the Lord has related scriptures that guide us on what is (& isn't) decent (in God's eyes). Yes, these new standards are significantly different from “traditional” standards, but these standards will eventually become decent in our own eyes, as we progressively “grow into them.”

You reply, “These 7 "don'ts" are still true, even if the Bible didn't say so.”

(New, Feb. 24 & Mar. 12, 2020, next 2 sextons)

When we discovered that there are seven traditional “you shall not's” that aren't even mentioned in the Bible—not even similarly—that was proof enough for us. If they were truly wrong, then wouldn't the Lord have said so through the scriptures???,

It is one thing for the Bible to be silent about something, for there surely are thousands of things that the Bible never talks about, but it is another thing for the Bible to be silent about something that the Lord forbids. Wouldn't the Lord have made it clear (through the scriptures), so that we would know that the Lord didn't want us to do those things???

Of course, He would have made it clear if they were true. After all, how could he punish the offenders if He didn't make it clear that they did wrong??? That was proof enough for us, right there & then, but we aren't even counting this as proof (for the sake of those that insist that those 7 traditional prohibitions still have to be true, anyway). (But, those of **you** are already convinced, can count this as sufficient proof if you want, for it actually is).

Those 7 false teachings have been so deeply ingrained into our hearts & minds (& “false-consciences”), that most of us truly thought that they were true. (I thought so, until I found out otherwise). But we think that many of you still firmly believe that they have to be true, even if they aren't even mentioned in the Bible. The Devil has been moving us to feel, to believe & to think that, & has kept-up that belief/feeling inside of us for thousands of years.

Now, you might have a case if it were only one or two prohibitions that the Bible is silent about. But it is pretty-hard to think that the Lord would be totally silent about all 7 of these. If they were truly wrong & He didn't want us to do them, then wouldn't He have warned us in the Bible?

(New Feb. 24 & Mar. 12, 2020) added & clarified all 7 “silent-don'ts:”

Look How Many of These 7 Have Been Super-Important to Bible-Believing Christians:

- 1) The Bible never forbids, nor even mentions self-masturbation, nor anything like that. Most preachers acknowledge that this prohibition isn't in the Bible, but they think it wasn't necessary to forbid this, for they think that everyone who self-masturbates, sins in his/her heart. Yes, it can be wrong if the person is thinking/picturing sinful things, but it does it have to be??? No, there are ways that are acceptable. But if it were important to not do that, then wouldn't God plainly forbid it in the Bible)??? Now, many will look in disgust in reading this, thinking, “Why would they even do that, even if they don't sin??? It's just self-gratification.” On the contrary, this is the most important thing that “singles” &

celibates, who don't have anybody, can do. Feelings of temptation (even temptations to be naked) “skyrocket” when a person doesn't relieve his/her sexual drive. It says so in the Bible: “...so that Satan may not tempt you because of your lack of self-control” (1 Cor 7:5b, see vss 1-5). Now, this was counsel for married people to come together periodically, sexually, so that they don't have terrible, tempting feelings. But if husbands & wives need it in order to control their temptations, then what do singles need??? In fact, that was part of what Paul was implying when he wrote that. As you will see, Paul was trying to promote celibacy (vss 1, 6-11), & he wanted them to know the secret of how to live as a celibate, & yet maintain self-control (vs 9). But the point we are making is that it is absolutely essential for youth & celibates & those who don't have anyone, to self-masturbate (appropriately) to maintain good self-control, so that they don't fall into what truly is forbidden..

2) Preachers have acknowledged that the Bible never even mentions the lesser forms of sex, & yet “everyone” says they are wrong if shared with anyone other than your spouse.. And virtually all of you believe that way, because most of the modern translations no longer call the Greek word “por-nei-a” “fornication” (illegitimate sexual intercourse, i.e. violating the “one flesh” symbol of Gen. 2:24). They translated it “fornication” in English, up till about 1900 AD/CE. But modern translators strongly contend that it means “sexual immorality” (any kind of sex...). Now, we aren't trying to convince you right now. We just want you to see that there has been a very-strong disagreement over what that word means (especially from the side that contends that it means any kind of sex). And this strong disagreement has been going on since 1900 AD/CE! Now, isn't this an important issue, especially since this disagreement also affects what adultery means & what it doesn't mean? And yet, God never said forbade it in the Bible. (We call it JSS-Love, “Jesus' Satisfying Solution”). If the Lord insisted that we shouldn't share JSS-Love (at least 3 different kinds of lesser-sex), then wouldn't He have made it clear in the Bible to not share any kind of sex with anyone that you aren't married to??? The very fact that the Lord didn't state this, puts Protestants in a difficult position, for the great reformers of the 1500's faced similar disagreements on what certain words meant & what they didn't mean. You will have to read below, for there is only one conclusion that loyal, Bible-believing Protestants can legitimately come to. But don't worry, for even the Catholics & the Orthodox Christians will also “come over” when they see our great results in reaching & restoring “the lost.”

3) Wow!!! This is the one that most objectors are in a great disagreement about. They think they have a text in the Bible that forbids anyone, including women, from having plural spouses (Gen. 2:24), & they are offended with this website. That text is primarily talking about consummating the marriage by the husband & wife becoming one-flesh, sexually, with each other, & not particularly about having only one spouse. You will have to read more below to determine who is right. For instance, Gen. 2:24 only affects prohibition #3, & yet most of them seem to be in such a rage that they throw-out all 7 of these claims & insist that these 7 are still true. Gen. 2:24 only pertains to false-prohibition #3 & doesn't pertain to prohibitions #1, #2, #4, #5, #6 or #7, & yet it seems like they throw-out all 7 claims; because of that one verse that only applies to #3! The point we are making is that there has been some serious disagreements over these 7 issues. They hardly say a word, because they can't argue against it. But that makes them all the more angry (deep inside). Now, didn't the Lord repeatedly say that He could see into the future (e.g. Isa 46:10, see vss 8-11). Surely most of you have known of a number of prophecies in the Bible that were fulfilled (e.g. prophecies of the last days; Babylon to be destroyed & never to be rebuilt, & yet Jerusalem to be destroyed & yet be rebuilt; Dan. 2, accurately fulfilled for more than 2,500 years, etc.). And in this book you will see

(from science & the Bible) that God foresees every tiny detail. That means that the Lord precisely foresaw this very disagreement that is going on this very day. If these 7 prohibitions were from the Lord, then why didn't He make it clear in the Bible, for the sake of us today??? "Read on," & you will see why.

- 4) "Don't associate much with individuals of the opposite sex if either you or that person is married. For you might fall in love with each other & have an affair, or even leave your spouse for that person." (Super-important advice if you don't want your heart stolen & can only have one spouse & can't even share JSS-Love as a way of escape). If the teaching of "only one spouse" is true, & even if he forbade JSS-Love, then why didn't God warn us about this in the Bible??? The Lord didn't have had that said, for it would have harmed this very website. (If the Lord had said those words, it would imply that you couldn't share JSS-Love with married people, nor have more than one spouse & thus, would have negated most of our website). But since He didn't say those words, it also implies that the Lord probably never forbade plural spouses nor JSS-Love with married people.
- 5) "Don't express affection (hugging, kissing, caressing) with others if either you or the other is married. If the teaching of "only one spouse" is true, then why didn't God warn about this in the Bible??? For that would be "playing games with fire" if people were only allowed one spouse, for they would be taking a risk of having an affair. And you say, "That is an affair. The husband would be upset if you did even that." That is how most of society views it today, but a new era is coming where husbands & wives will be sharing affection with lots of people (once in awhile) whom they aren't married to. And that is why the Lord didn't have that instruction put in the Bible. If He had said that, then it would have put a stop to much of our website. But the point is, that silence on #4 & #5 seems to indicate that God never commanded "no sex at all, except with your spouse" nor taught "only one spouse." If He had taught those 2 prohibitions, then He would have warned us (through the Bible) about #4 & #5 to make it clear??? In just doing that, it would have greatly hindered our website & eliminated most of this contention.
- 6) **(Soon to be Revised:)** "Don't let your children be naked in your presence, after they are past 'diaper age.'" This may surprise you, but the Bible is silent about your son or daughter being nude in your presence, at any age, even after they are adults. It is easy to check. Go to the library & look up all the verses on "naked" & "nakedness" in Strong's [or Young's] Exhaustive Concordance. The KJV doesn't use any other word for "naked," & so it will only take about 2 hours. That means that God never forbade it. Do you realize that many a child & teenager & even some adults would like to be visibly naked & even share JSS-Love with their mom or dad (if it were legal)? Well, the Bible never forbade it. No, they aren't to break the law, but laws can be changed, & we will eventually get them modified when there are enough followers.
- 7) "Child Molestation:" Sexual intercourse is forbidden with anyone other than your spouse, including children. But the Bible is silent about sharing love with your children through the lesser forms of sex. It doesn't say anything similar to that. And, don't say that children wouldn't want that, even if they are 4 or 5 years old! (True, they wouldn't want it if they have been taught that it is wrong). Now, man's definition may be "sexual immorality," but if you will read-on, you will find that God's definition is "fornication," (illegitimate sexual intercourse, i.e. violating the sexual-symbol of marriage of Gen. 2:24). Now, if the Lord didn't want parents sharing love with their children through the lesser forms of sex, then wouldn't He have made it clear in the Bible, that He didn't want them sharing any kind of sex with their children??? All He had to do was to make it clear that it is wrong to have any kind of sex with anyone other than your spouse, or at least, that it is wrong with children. If so, then this whole website would never have been started. Of

course, those of us in the USA, that believe these things, are prevented from sharing with children, because of the law. But when our numbers become large enough, then we can get that law modified.

Contrary to popular opinion, this sharing of “lesser sexual-love” will actually stabilize the character of these children, if both parents & children follow God's principles in heart & action. On the contrary, sharing JSS-Love with their children (when & where it's legal) will greatly reduce the likelihood of rebellion in teenagers. Just wait & you will see that it is a major answer for helping children, especially teenagers, stay in-love with God.

Now some of you are angry, but should you be angry at God, who is all-powerful, all-wise, all-knowing, for He is the one that you have to blame???

And don't say that He didn't know about this disagreement in 2020 AD/CE. You will find below (from science & the Bible) that Jesus is holding together every atom in the whole universe. So, there is no way that He can miss-predict by even one electron! Since He is holding together the parts of every atom, then there is no way that He can miss-predict, on even something billions of years in the future.

So surely, there has to be a reason why He was silent in the Bible about all 7 of these prohibitions.

8) (New, Mar. 12, 2020): Now, some of you will still insist that these 7 prohibitions are still true, no matter what we say, even though the Bible is silent about them. That is your choice, but be careful about judging us who believe that these prohibitions are from the Devil & not from God.

If God, Himself was silent about all 7 of these teachings in the Bible (which is “God breathed,” 2 Tim 3:16,17, Amplified Bible)—and you, yourself can even check that out without reading the entire Bible—then what right do you have to think & speak evil of us who are “taking action” on these discoveries???

“Judge not, that you be not judged. For with the judgment you pronounce you will be judged, & with the measure you use, it will be measured to you....” (Matt 7:1-2, see vss 1-5). It is a dangerous thing to warn everyone against us, or to look-down on us, who are just following what the Bible says (& doesn't say).

Why “Silence in the Bible” Means That It Didn't Come From God:

We ask, if the Bible doesn't say anything about a teaching, then how do you know whether that teaching is true or not? You don't. Isn't the Bible our standard, to help protect us, so that we don't lose our way (Heb 4:12,13, 2 Tim 3:16,17)? Haven't many false prophets & false Christs lead many people astray by distorting a few texts in the Bible, & then ignoring large portions of the rest of the Bible???

Didn't the Lord prophesy that every knee would one day bow to Him & that every tongue will confess that they can't reprove Him at all (Isa 45:23-24, see vss 18-25, also Phil 2:9-11)? Well, there's your answer (implied in this text in Isaiah). Those words teach us that no one will have even one thing, to reproach God the Father & Jesus about. **Wow!!!** Not even one thing!!!

“So, what are you getting at???”

We answer, why did the Lord make the commandments (e.g. “don't murder,” “don't commit adultery,” “don't steal...)?” Why did God make them into commandments? It's because God is love, & someone will get harmed every time someone breaks, even one of these commandments.

That's why the Lord formed those teachings into commandments, because He doesn't want anyone to get harmed, not even one time. No, God is not to blame for all of these troubles on this earth. It is sin (& all it's side-effects) that have brought-on all of the agonies of this world, not God.

(Yes, the Devil is also to blame, but remember that all of these troubles that the world has seen would never have happened, if people hadn't given-in to Satan, for God would have stopped him).

Now, lets take this same principle, but in contrast, apply it to the traditional "don'ts" that God is totally silent about: [e.g. “no self-masturbation,” “no sex whatsoever, not even the lesser forms of

sex, except with your spouse,” “Men & women can only have one spouse (no polygamy, neither men nor women, etc.”].

(But don't get the wrong idea. These traditional teachings (that the Bible is silent about) would be wrong, even though the Bible doesn't say so, if your spouse doesn't approve of it & you do it. For no one can do these things without their spouse's approval, even if, technically, it weren't wrong in God's eyes. For it would harm your spouse if he/she doesn't believe in these teachings, especially because you'd be breaking your marriage vows, because that's how you two understood your vows.

Now, let's apply this principle (that someone gets harmed when a commandment is broken):

The question is, what would you think of God, if He were silent (in the Bible) on a “don't” that would harm you, or would harm someone else, if it were violated? What would you think of God if the person who violated it reminded you, “Well, both you & I know that the Bible is totally silent about it, so it can't be wrong???”

If that were so, then would God be without reproach on that day when every knee will bow??? No, He would be reproached by both you & your offender, who made the excuse that the Bible didn't say anything. So, both you & your offender would reproach God, saying, “Why didn't you tell us that it would harm us???”

OK, we've looked at, “if it would harm some one (be wrong) & God didn't say anything.”

So, what does that tell you? Since God is all-knowing, then that can only mean that these seven so-called “don'ts” can't truly be wrong & won't necessarily harm anyone. Yes, any one of them can be wrong if their spouse doesn't approve, or if the person violates, at the same time, something else that truly is in the Bible. Then, that action would become wrong.

But if a person follows all of the principles in the Bible & appropriately disregards this so-called “don't,” then it can't be wrong in God's eyes & won't truly harm anyone, either. Because, the Lord is without reproach & would have said something in the Bible, if it would harm you.

So, that tells us, that since God is all-knowing, that all 7 traditional “don'ts” that the Bible is silent about, aren't necessarily wrong. If even one of those “don'ts” were wrong & would harm someone, then the Lord would have declared it somewhere in the Bible, instead of being silent.

To sum it up, we pointed out that God's “don'ts” are wrong because they will harm someone if violated. So, the Lord would never be silent on: 1) a “don't” where someone would be harmed, for He doesn't want any of us to be harmed, any more than what we already are; 2) because the Lord is making sure that He won't have even one person reproaching him on that Day, when every knee will bow & every tongue will confess that He has done everything fairly.

(New, Nov. 27, 2019) (revised) In addition to these two reasons, here's another way:

If the Lord never said anything against something, then how could the Lord punish them if they did it??? For instance, everyone who has read the Bible at least 3 times, knows that the Bible is silent about women having plural husbands. (People, “read-on” for you won't believe it till you see it. We've uncovered (shortly below) that Gen 2:24 also applies to “Plural-Spouses!”).

God is love. So how could He punish a woman for having 5 husbands (simultaneously)??? No, God is love & couldn't punish her, even if He had made a mistake, even if He “forgot” to include that instruction. For that's the kind of God He is, a fair God who is without reproach.

But the Lord didn't make any mistakes: We show that the Lord foresaw (like the Bible actually says) all of “the in's & out's,” & all the possibilities, before He made anything. As you will see, science reveals (approx. p. 33 (66)ff) that God is holding every atom together & is capable of foreseeing the position of every electron & particle in the entire universe at every instant of time.

In brief, after 70+ years of study, scientists—conscientious nuclear physicists (we show that the atheistic physicists are out-right lying)—have concluded that there isn't any law holding the nucleus of atoms together. By all the laws of nuclear physics, atoms should violently explode, but they

don't. The conscientious nuclear physicists have concluded, "There can't be 'no God.'" " That means that without this God holding it together, the whole universe would explode into hydrogen gas, or more likely, less than that.

In other words, there wouldn't be any universe at all, if God stopped holding those together. But the Lord isn't going to do that, for He didn't create the earth in vain. He formed it to be inhabited (Isa 45:18, see vss 15-18). As you will see, He isn't going to miss His goal by a single electron!

(New, Dec. 3, 2019) (clarified; the large footnote moved further below).

In brief, Col. 1:17b says who is holding the universe together (speaking of Jesus, see ESV vss 13-19). It says "...and in him all things hold together" (ESV). Reader, the primary meaning of that Greek word is "hold together, stick together, cohere, etc." In a man's index finger, there are roughly as many atoms as there are people on this earth. Jesus is holding every atom together in everyone's body & in every moon & planet, plus in every atom in the entire universe!

No created being could do that. An accurate translation of that Greek word is "were & are holding together." So, that means that neither pre-Jesus nor Jesus was/is contained in just a body. He is bigger than the whole universe, for He is holding all of it together. So, He was holding it together before (& while) He was on this earth (John 3:13b in the KJV/NKJV & also in the Majority Text, see vss 12-17 (the Critical Text omits it)).

[Jehovah's Witnesses, this is hard for you to take, for it's hard to fight against science, especially when the Bible (in the Greek) agrees by saying who is holding the whole universe together (Jesus). But we want you to know that you have always been right about Jesus' being begotten, no matter what most Christians say. If all Christians will believe this, then we can all believe the same thing about the Father, the Son & the Holy Spirit.

We have attached a brief discussion on the correct teaching at the end of the "scientific-proof double-section" (approx. p. 33 (66)ff). So, start by examining that double-section, & then read the footnote section at the end of it. Then if & when that makes sense, then come back to here (p. 5) & continue].

That means that the Lord was not only capable of foreseeing, but also (according to the scriptures), actually foresaw every atom inside of all of you who are reading this today. Before He made anything, He answered all of your prayers in your whole life & even foresaw your future "end!" (No, no one was forced at all. He did it all by foreseeing, not by forcing anyone). He even foresaw you who are struggling with this controversy on this very day (see approx. p. 33 (66)ff).

Science reveals that "Something" has to be holding every atom together, but it doesn't reveal who or what that "Something" is, but the Bible does. The Bible reveals that it's Jesus that is "holding together" everything (see 2nd portion of same "section"). Science reveals that if that "Something" (i.e. Jesus) were to stop holding together even one atom, that even a strong iron or titanium atom would instantly explode & at the very most. become hydrogen gas (probably less than that)!

Didn't we show you that on that Great Day, no one will have anything to reproach God for (Isa 45:23-24)? That's because God planned "the story" so well that no one could reproach Him. So, the Lord could never punish them for something that He was silent about, for He had never warned them. Otherwise, they could accuse, "Why didn't you warn me??? (Wouldn't you???)".

In fact, the Lord went to the trouble to be silent (in the Bible) about all seven of these false "don'ts" (God's number). So, the same thing can be said for all 7 "don'ts". The Bible took 1,500 years to be written. Isn't it amazing that none of "these 7" accidentally got into the Bible?

It's as if God, Himself were proclaiming,

"Hey, you people, down there at the end of time, Wake-up!!! I was purposely silent about all seven of these traditional "don'ts," for your sake, so that you, way down there at the end of time, might discover them. (I kept it hidden all of this time, to save it for your day).

"Your Enemy (Satan) has tampered with My real teachings on sex & marriage from the very

beginning, & has been deceiving people on this ever since the days of Adam & Eve.”

[Readers, we are Bible-believing Christians. So “liberals,” interpret it the way you understand it].

Some of you may still think, “Well, it's just that these "don'ts" aren't bad enough for God to punish anyone for breaking them, but they are still wrong.” The only trouble is, that this reply contradicts our two original reasons:

When something is wrong, it's wrong because someone will be harmed. And since God is love, then 1) the Lord would never be silent about it, because He doesn't want us to have any more troubles than necessary, & 2) the Lord isn't going to let anyone have an opportunity to reproach Him at the end of time. And so, God couldn't be silent about something that would harm someone.

No, we could never convince you if you insist on hanging onto these false beliefs, for “a man convinced against his will, is of the same opinion, still.” Do you honestly believe that God's only protected book (the Bible) would be silent on something that would truly harm you??? Is that a God of love??? And, what would you think of Him when He could have warned you???

So, it is actually God (not just us authors) who is now calling for all Christians—even Roman Catholics—to make these corrections, so that we can: 1) reach our neighbors that are not interested in God & to even reach the “down & outs;” 2) to greatly reduce (in our own churches) the sexual-sins that God does forbid, as well as Christians deserting their spouses for someone else.

(The 4th & biggest proof is in the first discussion of false-don't “#2”) (approx p. ??). But if you haven't believed these 3 proofs, then what are your odds of believing that one???)

(New, Oct. 2, 2019) (4 pages) The True God is Full of Surprises

If you've read the multitude of little-stories in the Old Testament (& in the New), then you've seen that the Lord is full of surprises, often doing what we least-expect. Paul talks about that in several places in 1 Cor. (1 Corinthians). Similarly, they rejected Jesus for He didn't come the way they expected. And as for “grace” (instead of “works”), that was too “foolish” for the Jews to believe.

Remember? “...**God has chosen the foolish things of the world to put to shame the wise...** and the things which are **despised** God has chosen, and the things which are **not**, to bring to **nothing** the things that are, that **no flesh** should glory in His presence.” (1 Cor 1:27,28, NKJV, see 25-31).

So, do you really think that everything in our day will happen the way we expect??? We thought that all doctrines were unchangeable. Yes, our source (the Bible) is unchangeable, but who knows how many surprises are hidden in the Holy Bible (2 Pet. 1:12, see vss 10-18)??? (Only God).

Yes, it seems foolish to our minds to negate these seven false "don'ts" (proven false 3 ways, 2 ways stated above & 1 stated in false-don't #2)), & especially to allow Christians to 1) appropriately self-masturbate, 2) appropriately share, what we call, JSS-Love (appropriate sharing of the lesser forms of sex) with others (whose spouse also agrees) & 3) to allow both husbands & wives to carefully court & marry additional spouses (whose spouse also agrees).

These things seem foolish. The Devil is partly to blame for that, for he has been working on everyone's heart & conscience “from day-1, all the way till now,” to move-us to believe that these false teachings are from God, when they are actually from him!!! We think the world would fall apart if we allowed those. But again, it's Satan that has encouraged us to think (& feel) that way.

As you will see, God chose these three answers from the very beginning. The Lord foresaw that we would gain: 1) a much more stable society, 2) a lot less rebellion against “God” (because Christians think that God made those false commandments, which He didn't), 3) a lot fewer “singles” wandering from God's actual principle of “no sexual intercourse except with your spouse,” 4) a lot less married couples hating their spouse & leaving him/her for “someone else,” 5) lots more church members remaining loyal to God on God's real teachings & 6) a lot less churches

“supposedly forgiving” their members who are continually (intentionally) living in these sins.

God's Preferred Way of Escape

We had memorized 1 Cor. 10:13 in the KJV & did not realize till only a couple of years ago, that it says, “...but God is faithful, who will not allow you to be tempted beyond what you are able, but with the temptation, will also make the way of escape, that you may be able to bear it.” (NKJV). Amazingly, the KJV mistranslated that text & said, “a way of escape.” The NKJV & ESV corrected it & wrote “the way of escape.”

I think that God had Paul say, “the way of escape” to help wake us up, that God had originally given us the way of escape from fornication & sexual-adultery (we call it JSS-Love, see below). But that Satan stole it from us, clear-back at the beginning. All of the other ways of escape are pretty shallow (e.g. flee, “just say 'No' “, or determinedly fight-off the desire, etc.).

The Lord also had the way of escape from deserting your spouse for someone else (Plural Spouses), so that your spouse won't “feel murdered” & “stolen from,” like what's happening today. And Satan stole that from us, too, from the very beginning. Up till now, we have only had shallow ways of escape (think about something else, turn your heart toward your spouse, cling to him/her).

“Then why didn't God “fix it????” The Lord would have loved to, for mankind's two greatest temptations have always been these two—all the way from the beginning of time till now. The whole world would have been a lot better off, but Satan would have still been loose, leading people into sin in other ways, too.

So rather than “a quick-fix,” God chose to get Satan get caught in his very-own trap, so that the whole universe could be free from his evil devices, & so that he would, one day, be ashes under the soles of our feet. No, it was more important to completely get rid of him (& all of his hosts) at the end of time, than for the world to have (we estimate 10%) as much wickedness.

In doing this, the Lord will then give us a peaceful, happy universe (not till Jesus comes in the clouds of glory. Then, sin will never-ever rise again (Nah 1:9, see whole book). That's why. Wow!!! Even we will, one day, say it was worth it. Thank You, Lord Jesus!

(New, Oct. 17, 2019 (clarified)

The Basic Idea

Everyone who has read the whole Bible at least 3 times, knows that the Lord is totally silent about women having more than one husband. Even we authors didn't think that there was anything significant about that, not till 2 years ago.

We've been writing on this website for 5 ½ years, now. For the first 3 ½ years, we assumed that it wasn't necessary for God to specify that women shouldn't have more than one husband. Why? Because that had never been an acceptable practice before by ancient Bible-people.

Therefore, we thought that it didn't seem necessary to actually say that women can't have plural-husbands, because no faithful woman in the Bible had ever had plural-husbands. And if both men & women could have plural spouses, it seemed that “everyone could marry everyone” (not true: Men could have plural-wives back then, but they weren't supposed to have too many wives (Deut 17:17, see vss 14-20)).

So, how did we change our mind?

You see, that's the way the Lord works. He doesn't reveal the whole thing right away. Instead, He gradually unfolds a little more..., & then a few months later, a little more yet..., a few months later, even more-yet.... And especially so, since we began this website 5+ years ago.

And so, it wasn't any surprise to us, that we had misunderstood why God was totally silent about women having plural husbands. One day, we suddenly realized that the very fact that the Bible was silent about “plural-husbands,” means that God couldn't punish them if they did. (See below).

Why? Because the Lord would never be totally silent about something that was truly wrong, because He couldn't even punish the transgressors if He never warned them. After pondering over why Adam & Eve obviously taught the same basic teachings as “everyone” teaches today, it opened our eyes that Satan had (subliminally) persuaded Adam & Eve to restrict, not only plural-spouses, but also forbid the lesser forms of sex (marry-them-off at puberty, Mal 2:14, see vss 13-15).

(Sorry, “evolution-Christians,” we are Bible-believers. But just put-up with us for now).

We already knew that Satan will

get caught (at the end of time) in his very-own trap that he had set for us to fall into (e.g. Ps 9:15-16 & 7:14-16). Amazingly, we already knew that before we had even discovered what the trap was! But since we already knew that there was a trap, then that opened our eyes that Satan's trap was in changing God's laws on sex & marriage, clear-back in the early years of Adam & Eve.

That would explain two things:

1) why no man had plural-wives in the early-Genesis story. It wasn't until Lamech, the 5th generation from Cain (Gen 4:17-22), that anyone had dared to have two wives (at the same time).

2) It also reveals why none of God's women had ever had more than one husband. It made us wonder if the Lord might have chosen to remain totally silent about this, so that the correct teaching would be discovered in our day. The whole world will become a lot less wicked, for Satan stole God's preferred way of escape from sexual temptations. & stole God's preferred way of escape from the temptations leave your spouse for someone else. The only way to catch Satan at the end of time, was to hide God's correct teaching till now, so that Christians can see the great contrast between “God's way” & “the Devil's counterfeit.” This will inspire us to stay truly loyal to God, & this will catch Satan in his-own trap (Rev 12:10-11, see vss 7-12).

(New, Dec. 31, 2019) (improved)

(before listing these seven)

The Benefits of Doing it God's Way

Some of you readers are “coming from the world” & have either practiced (or at least, desired) the things that God truly forbids. When you read what the Lord truly does allow [e.g. JSS-Love (lesser forms of sex)], you would think, “What's that, compared to the real thing!”

The Lord foresaw this disadvantage from the beginning. So, He would have added to (e.g.) JSS-Love,” extra pleasure & extra happiness & joy. But also, He would have added peace & tranquility & satisfaction, lasting for days, that “sinners” don't get. Also, He would have filled them with true love for each other (not just gratification-love), which would have filled them with satisfaction.

But the Devil stole the Lord's proper teachings from them (& us) by persuading their parents to “raise the standard” higher than even God intended. Look, that's stealing from all of us what we would have delighted to have, had we known that the Lord approves of it.

But now that the Lord is restoring these privileges, He is also restoring what the Devil stole from us. God is restoring it 7 times as much (Prov 6:30-31, see vss 20-35) as what Satan stole. In this case, the Lord is “spreading-out” that “7 fold” over these 7 extra blessings we described.

Sinners get pleasure, joy & happiness from their forbidden-sex, but it rapidly fades away. But God's blessings are now amplified & also lasts for days. Sinners give & receive love, but it's empty love that doesn't truly care about each other, except to get what they want. But the Lord fills us with extra (genuine) love for each other that doesn't go away.

Sinners get satisfaction from the event, but it quickly vanishes, & they are again “empty,” but God's extra satisfaction lasts for days. But part of that “7-fold” is extra peace & tranquility that the Lord gives for doing it His way. Wouldn't you like to stop feeling “empty” between “events???”

But how can you know what it's like till you actually experience the 7-fold extra blessing from properly doing what God allows??? But “don't fudge on it,” or you'll miss-out. But when some of you “doubters” experience it the first or 2nd time, you might think, “Oh, it's just my imagination.” If

you even think that, then your 7-fold “extra” blessing will vanish next time!

Here are the seven so-called "don'ts" that the Bible is silent about:

(New, Nov. 25 & Oct. 19, 2019) (further clarification)

#1) Most strict Bible-believers believe, “No sex with anyone except your spouse, not even self-masturbation.” That was the first thing I noticed when finishing the Bible the first time (46 years ago): God was silent about it, but I didn't know why. Yes, emission of semen is mentioned, but the Protestant Bible²⁰ never comments about an intentional emission of semen, (only mentioned when sharing sex with someone). But the Bible is not silent about evil thoughts/feelings

(Matt 5:27-28, see vss 27-30). Opposers say, “But what would you be thinking???” They think you can't do it without..., but they are mistaken. Masturbation is only acceptable if your thoughts & feelings are acceptable, & there are acceptable thoughts that you can think when doing that. People have looked down on self-masturbation, thinking, “It's only self-gratification.” **Not so!!!** God considers it essential for singles & celibates (1 Cor 7:2-5). Since the Lord (through St. Paul) warns married Christians, that Satan will severely tempt them if they don't have sex periodically (except when praying or fasting), then what does that imply about “singles???” You see, your self-control keeps weakening, the longer you refrain from relieving the sex-drive (see vs 5). That's why so many priests eventually fall, sexually. Their self-control gradually weakens as the years go-by. As you will see, Paul implied for all of us to periodically relieve the sex-drive. For me, my self-control begins to weaken after 3 days (6 days max.). But that's also why

so many “singles” are so strongly tempted to be naked with others. The naked-drive is connected to the sex-drive. If you relieve the sex-drive, then you've also relieved the naked-drive. But, the Lord also hid His approval of appropriate masturbation: You see, Paul's purpose in chapter 7 was dual: 1) to counsel husbands & wives to periodically come together (vss 2-5); & 2) to promote his opinion (vs 6-7a) about celibacy (vs 1, vss 6-9, 25-38 & vs 40). There's a

reason why he inserted “married Christians instructions” between verses 1 & 6: Since “sex” was (& still is) a sensitive topic, Paul was hinting how celibates can have self-control by appropriate masturbation. That's why he stresses, “But if they cannot exercise self-control...” (vs 9a), very similar to verse 5b: “...so that Satan may not tempt you because of your lack of self-control” (see also vss 36-38).

We'd guess that when Paul relieved himself, he probably just focused on what he was actually doing to masturbate, without focusing on a person. Or, he might have relieved himself, remembering his former wife, who apparently rejected him (like most Jews did) when he became a Christian. But there are also other ways that Paul didn't know about back then. So, that's how Paul maintained good self-control, by appropriately, periodically relieving his sex-drive. What (St.) Paul hinted at, became God's hidden approval of appropriate self-masturbation!

The 4th proof in #2), also applies to all 7 false "don'ts."

(New, Mar. 12, 2020, numerous improvements)

#2) Most “Bible-believers” teach: “No form of sex with anyone, whatsoever, **not even the lesser forms of sex**, except with your spouse, & not till after you get married.” But, none of the lesser forms of sex are even mentioned in the (Protestant) Bible. You answer, “Oh yes, it is mentioned dozens of times to not commit sexual immorality, which includes the lesser forms of

20 As for the Apocrypha in the Orthodox & Catholic Bibles, we don't know, because we've only read small portions of the Apocryphas of both of these religions.

But based on what we have read, we agree with the Protestant reformers that there are informative books in the Apocrypha, but even those books aren't really “Bible.” In fact, there are other portions that are so highly objectionable, that we, personally, don't think that they should even be in any kind of “Apocrypha.” Even the Orthodox & Catholic Apocryphas differ from each other in various places.

sex.” We answer, “That's what modern translators translate it, but not prior to 1900 AD/CE. Before then, they translated it “fornication” (...sexual intercourse...). The Lord was purposely silent on all seven of these “don'ts.” If they were true, God would have said so. Look, the Bible is the only writing that God has protected, & even it is only protected as a whole²¹ The Enemy could tamper with any other ancient writing & reveal a false meaning. So the “only” thing the Lord could do, was to reveal God's true meaning of that Greek word (“por-nay-ah”) somewhere in the Scriptures & let the Bible be its own interpreter (a Protestant principle). Por-nay-ah/por-neu-oh are in the New Testament many times, but there is only one place²² that reveals God's meaning of that word: The Bible reveals it in 1 Cor. 6:15-16 (see vss 13b-18): “...he who is joined to a prostitute becomes one body [with her]... become one flesh” (ESV). Religionists reply, “Yes, the Bible reveals that it's illegitimate sexual intercourse, but it also includes the lesser forms of sex.”

We reply, “That's why God had to remain totally silent about the lesser forms of sex. If the Lord had even mentioned the lesser forms of sex, then they would have an (unjustified) excuse to claim, “See, there it is! So, it also includes the lesser forms of sex”—when it never even used the Greek word for fornication! So, they would not have had justification for claiming that, but the Lord solved that potential problem, by not even mentioning even one incident.

Yes, man's definition started including it since 1900 AD/CE (& the Catholics long before then), but the Bible doesn't even mention the lesser forms of sex. Since the Bible is its own interpreter (proven now for 400+ years), then God's definition cannot possibly include the lesser forms of sex.” That's why the Lord was silent even about any comments about it, so that they couldn't have an excuse to claim that.

(#2), cont.:)

(New, May 1, 2020: new)

Traditionally, people **would object**, “But how would you know whether they did the lesser forms of sex or did the symbol of marriage (Gen 2:24)??? First of all, it is between them & God, just as it always has been. Boyfriends & girlfriends have often done it without “anyone else” knowing, & so have spouses that have cheated on their spouse.

But there is an even better answer. Those that do what God allows, maintain the Holy Spirit. But those who deliberately disobey God & cheat, claiming that they did JSS-Love, they get cut-off from the Holy Spirit. You can see it on their faces & in their expressions. You can no longer feel/sense the Holy Spirit when you are with them. That will give you a clue that something-major is wrong.

(New, May 1, 2020: objection clarified further)

OBJECTION #1: “I agree that the possibility of catching sexual diseases is a lot less likely with JSS-love, by maintaining cleanliness & by requiring something impervious between the mouth & the sexual organs. But you can still catch HIV/AIDS by sucking a woman's breasts, if she happens to generate some milk-like fluids in her breast (which can happen with certain women). Also, a person might have an open sore in his/her mouth. So, I'm afraid to share JSS-Love, even if the Lord approves of it.”

Guess what? The Lord has already thought of

²¹ Yes, the Enemy has done a lot of tampering in many individual, ancient manuscripts, but the Lord has never allowed him to do that to the majority of the ancient manuscripts. The Majority Text Text pretty-well shows us every word that was originally written by each author, though a “weighted-majority” would be better.

Yes, it would be better to do a Weighted-Majority that gives greater weight to older manuscripts. No one has generated that yet, but if they did, we could accurately tell every-single word that was originally written in the entire Bible.

²² We don't know if the LXX-Septuagint (the first Greek Old Testament) reveals any different meaning, but we can safely say that it doesn't count anyway. The LXX was obviously somewhat-inspired by God, but it's certainly not inspired like the Holy Bible is. Like all translations, there are places where it doesn't really say what the original Hebrew said. You have to go to the original (the Hebrew) to know what it actually says. And yes, the Devil could have tampered with the LXX translation, for it, too, was never protected like the Bible is.

that problem. There's *a way to protect yourself* from sexual diseases & any other serious diseases that are transmittable, & also from bed bugs, from head lice, etc., & also, from people who can't be trusted, or from those whose heart isn't right & might harm you, spiritually. The Lord gave me a 4-digit PIN-number to use. But actually, both partners should pick a PIN-number for the other person to pass. That way both persons are sure that the other person is safe. If the person is free from these things, then the Lord will Inspire that person to give the right PIN-number! (Isn't that amazing, but also look at this, too:) And if the person isn't acceptable because of any of above reasons, then the Lord will inspire him to give a totally wrong PIN number. The Lord doesn't allow the devils to affect the answer, for there are serious consequences at stake. (And neither will the Lord help those who disobey & share sexual intercourse. You have to obey if you want the Lord to help).

He didn't say how many "try's" they get. If he/she is nervous & gets a couple of the numbers right, then say, "Relax! You got 2 of the numbers! Ask Jesus to help you." And if necessary, give a 3rd "try," but limit it to, say, 3 try's. But the beautiful thing about both partners passing the PIN-Number test, is that it also applies to any other dangerous disease as well, & that includes the "present scare" of Covid-19. If both of you partners pass the PIN-NUMBER test, then both of you can rest-assured that neither of you have the Corona Virus at the present time. You might catch the Corona Virus an hour after you leave each other, but you can rest assured that neither have it right now. For not even an electron escapes the Lord's notice at any instant of time! We have changed our mind, when both persons pass their "PIN-number test." We stated that only the husband or wife can have their mouth directly contact the sexual organ of their spouse, where the sexual fluids will-be, but the Bible doesn't say anything like that. Since both persons are free from sexual disease, then we think that is alright for "oral sex," as long as they are blindfolded so that they don't see each other's nakedness (from the waist to the thighs; the breasts were never forbidden).

Now, some of you still feel that only the husband's/wife's mouth should directly contact the the sexual organs of the other person. It is OK for you to believe & practice that way, for none of us see everything the same way. But just remember that others feel hindered with something impervious in-between & believe that direct contact of the mouth isn't wrong. They need to respect you on your convictions, but you also need to respect their view & not judge them. But which-ever way you decide to share JSS-Love, don't forget to maintain strict-cleanliness. Just because both of you passed your PIN-NUMBER tests, doesn't mean that you don't have to be careful with cleanliness. You still have to be careful with semen, for it still has the potential of accidentally getting someone pregnant, if you don't get all of it cleaned-up. (Take a shower together immediately after ejaculation, & let her carefully wash you). Now, we need to clarify our statement about allowing the mouth to have direct contact. That is only for oral sex & only when they pass the PIN-NUMBER. There are 3 forms of JSS-Love (mentioned below), & oral sex is only one of them. As for the other 2 types, we still recommend a condom, not for "the sinner's purpose" of "going in," but to contain the semen, to make it easier to clean-up the semen. So, don't just clean-up with toilet paper. Sperm cells are microscopic & are still there, even though you can't see them. It is important to clean-up with soap & water, (Let your friend clean you in the shower), But also, gals, don't forget to also rinse-out your mouth, too, & wash your hands if you shared oral sex. That way, you eliminate the possibility of getting pregnant. But don't forget to change the PIN-number frequently, for someone might tell someone else "your" PIN-number!

(#2), cont.): But what **about "adultery?"** Many would even think it would be adultery if you even hugged & kissed your neighbor's wife, but the Holy Bible is totally silent about that, too [see "#5" below]. But does adultery include the lesser forms of sex? The word "adultery" is in many places in the Bible, but it's meaning is only revealed in Prov. 6:29a (speaking of an adulterous wife in vss 20-35): "So is he who goes in to his neighbor's wife;..." (ESV). What does "go in" mean? The heading of David's repentance psalm (Ps 51) reveals: "...after he had gone in to

Bathsheba." King David got Uriah's wife, Bathsheba, illegitimately pregnant from "going in" to her. So, "go in" means sexual intercourse. Thus, these verse reveal the meaning of adultery (...voluntary sexual intercourse of...).

Yes, but does adultery also include the lesser forms of sex??? You should know the answer,, for the only way that God could protect His meaning, was to reveal His meaning in the Bible, not somewhere else. So, the answer is "No. Since the Bible doesn't even mention the lesser forms of sex, then God's definition of adultery cannot possibly include the lesser forms of sex."

[#2), cont.]: **OBJECTION # 2: "But you left out the rest of the verse (of Prov 6:29): 'none who touches her will go unpunished.'** So, it's wrong to even kiss or hug your neighbor's wife." We agree that it is wrong if her husband doesn't approve of JSS-Love, etc., but still, the text doesn't call it adultery. You have to look at the context (vss 20-35). It's talking about a wife who is cheating on her husband & seducing other men.

It's amazing how the husband punishes the man (very typical), when it's his wife who is guilty most of all! It's the man that he is most angry about. Read the verses that follow vs. 29b. "For jealousy makes a man furious,...He will accept no compensation; he will refuse though you multiply gifts." (vss 34-35, ESV).

No, a man would not go unpunished, even if he only hugged & kissed her—because they believed that even that was--not adultery, but still, wrong—and were thus jealous of anyone even "touching" her. But that isn't adultery, nor would the husband punish nearly as severely, compared to the man "going in" to her. But if he "went in" to her, then the husband would explode, just like it described in verses 34-35.

So, this objection carries no weight on what adultery is. God's definition is "sexual intercourse," not "touching her." And like we said, you mustn't even hug nor kiss without both spouses' approvals.

But remember (if you don't want your spouse to share JSS-Love), It's one thing if you truly believe that it's wrong; it's another, if you're just jealous. Is your spouse very-favorable? But look at the perplexity that you are causing your spouse from hoarding him/her, who has always been so faithful??? You see, it's just the opposite of what you fear: your spouse will love you all the more if you allow your spouse that freedom & participate yourself!

[#2), cont.]: Yes, there is one text that indirectly implies the lesser forms of sex. But surprisingly, that place is the place where the Lord hid his approval of the lesser forms of sex (simplified proof on approx. p. 37 (74)ff).

That hidden approval is amazing, for the situation of the hidden approval, even includes the 2 primary restrictions for JSS-Love: 1) no sexual intercourse, & 2) not to visibly expose their nakedness between the hips & the thighs (Ex. 28:42, the "only" definition in the Bible of what nakedness is); the breasts were never forbidden from being exposed.

Sexual intercourse is also called "uncovering nakedness," even if they have their clothes on, or even if they use a hole in a sheet & can't even see each other's nakedness (Lev 20:20).

[#2), cont.]: But married people, don't forget that both you & your spouse have to agree JSS-Love (Jesus Satisfying Solution). If not, you married people can't share it with others. Otherwise, your spouse will call it adultery (Remember, "the Law" also calls it adultery. But even if the Law doesn't, your spouse still regards it as adultery).

And guess what? God would also call it "not being faithful," for you would be breaking your original marriage agreement, for that's how you both understood it. But if both of you agree to "JSS-Love," then you can modify that agreement.

But as we said, the Lord also has other restrictions that apply to sharing JSS-Love, on what is acceptable & what isn't.

Now, some of you are now seeing that JSS-Love can be acceptable, but are still looking-down on such a thing, saying, "But, why would they ever do such a thing? They would just be selfishly gratifying themselves."

Let me ask you, why do husbands & wives share love (sexually) together? It's because they love each other, & it brings them closer to each other, & also because the Bible commands such, to strengthen their self

control (1 Cor 7:5, see 2-5). Well, it's the same with unmarried people who love each other. They want to express their love to each other, plus it also strengthens their sexual self-control as well. But also, you are probably thinking that because you are still clinging to an 8th false-don't, "You can only love one person." It's "a big, fat lie." When people are fond of each other, then it's only natural to want to express deep affection.

No, 2 friends don't have to share JSS-Love the first time, unless they both want to. They can get better acquainted by chatting, occasionally kissing, hugging & caressing. But if they keep it up, the time will come when they will want to express deeper love, and that's where JSS-Love comes in.

It's far-better to share JSS=Love than to do what is forbidden. That way, they don't sin against their-own body, either (1 Cor 6:18), as long as they aren't picturing evil, For God's meaning of adultery & fornication is "...sexual intercourse..., not "sexual immorality."

It seems impossible (foolish, 1 Cor 1:27-29, see vss 25-31), but this is God's preferred way of escape when a person is severely attracted to "someone else." I have a "friend" who rejects "JSS-Love" without really reading it.

But he keeps committing fornication with his girlfriend & imagines that God keeps on forgiving him. No, he must also repent (Jesus will help) & not oscillate back & forth (2 Cor 7:10)).

That's probably the biggest reason why—up till now—only a small minority have received eternal life (Luke 13:22-28). That's why Satan subliminally persuaded Adam & Eve to teach these false "don'ts", so that many-more would be lost.

Yes, we are saved by faith, not by works, but you'll only be saved if you put your faith into practice (James 2:14-26) & actually take some way of escape (flee?, JSS-love?), so that you can bear that temptation (1 Cor 10:13).

This is why God is now providing this way of escape (JSS-Love), so that multitudes can now be satisfied, without losing eternal life!

JSS-Love now gives (if properly done) 7-fold extra, some in filling them with extra, genuine love for each other, some in extra pleasure, some in extra joy, in extra happiness, some in lasting peace & tranquility, & some in extra (& lasting) satisfaction—even if it's with someone they can't have.

So, the Lord effectively says, "You can't have/do this forbidden thing, but you can have/do what is similar, & I'll more than make-up for this 'lesser-thing,' if you'll do it My way."

Surely, this "7-fold extra" has to make JSS-Love far-better than the fleeting pleasure of "forbidden sex," especially because of the lasting-peace & satisfaction of knowing that you did the right thing. No, doing it God's way doesn't give eternal life, but it does eliminate the biggest reason that so many lose eternal life.

But there is a second reason why JSS-Love is surely preferable to forbidden sex, even from a sinners point of view: You see, Satan also amplified the pleasure of defying God, while sharing forbidden sex, thus again, stealing people from following the Lord.

So, the Lord is now also diminishing 7-fold the so-called benefits of forbidden sex, not just diminishing the extra pleasure, but also diminishing all the other so-called benefits as well. So, we'd guess that the benefits JSS-love will even be greater than the so-called "benefits" of forbidden-sex!

JSS-Love now frees-up couples to live together a long time, while courting (like the worldly people, except without worldliness), so that they can get a better picture of what it will be like after they are married a long time—if they live together long enough for the "newness to wear-off" (much-more than a year).

The 3 forms of JSS-Love (lesser forms of sex) are 1) similar to self-masturbation, except that your friend/fiancee shares their love to you that way, 2) oral sex, which shouldn't be done simultaneously, for it is almost impossible to focus on both "giving" & "receiving." The woman needs to reach her orgasm first, so that they can both clean-up (in the shower) as soon as the man ejaculates.

3) The 3rd one we call external—"intercourse," except it is done externally—making use of the external, "vulva-groove," where the woman's clitoris is. It is best for the woman to be on-top on this 3rd one (so that any stray-semen tends to move-away from the woman, rather than toward her) & requires, at the very-least a condom, or something impervious, where no semen at all can contact the woman's body.

Some companies sell rubber pants on the internet (any style is OK) that are thin, which would solve that problem, provided that the man has on the rubber pants & that the woman is on-top & the man is on the bottom.

But it is probably better for the man to also have-on a condom (not for the purpose of “going-in,” but to contain the semen). But if only a condom is used on external-”intercourse,” then they have to get up fairly-quickly, before his penis starts shrinking, so that no semen has a chance to leak out of the *condom*!

But after thinking about it, we realize that it is very-easy to forget to get up quickly, after you have shared it several times that way. So, we don't recommend that. It is too easy to forget & thus have an “accident.” (And if you make a mistake, then you have to live with it, including child support—no abortions allowed!!!). One more thing. Husbands & wives who have permission to share JSS-Love with others, be sure to let your spouse know when you are going to share JSS-Love with someone—especially when you are going to be gone longer than usual, & doubly-especially if you are going to share JSS-Love in your own home. Your spouse will appreciate it 10 times more if you let him/her know, than to be shocked by accidentally walking-in on you two.

(New, Mar. 12 & May 1, 2020, “Touched-up,” without mentioning the 8th (7th) false-prohibition.

#3) “Women are to only have one husband, not plural-husbands.” (Nothing, pro- nor con-, nor even a comment mentioned in the entire Bible—except for Gen. 2:24. That text is primarily counsel for couples to leave their fathers & mothers & consummate their marriage by being joined-together, sexually, & thus, it is very-debatable whether that verse could even mean “only one spouse.”

Conversely, the verse also implies that only husbands & wives are to “become one-flesh,” sexually. (Please be patient with us. The Gen. 2:24 section is answered less than 1 page below).

Every person that has read the Bible at least 3 times, should have noticed that the Lord was silent about women having plural husbands.

And yes, we know that all of God's people, prior to the tower of Babel, only had one spouse, not only women having only one husband, but also men having only one wife. And, “everyone” has assumed that this was what the Lord intended, & that the Lord only allowed Old Testament men to have plural-wives because of the hardness of their hearts. But there is nothing in the Bible that even hints that.

You see, there is another possibility: There is an Enemy (Satan & his fallen hosts), which many liberals think doesn't exist). Many of you hadn't realized that he was the most beautiful & persuasive angel in the whole universe, & that he & his angels were kicked-out of Heaven for rebelling (Rev. 12:7-12). He has a great motivation to make the world so utterly wicked that God would have to destroy both us humans & them. We mention this without proving it, for he has great wrath against us (Rev. 12:12 & onward), for God intends for us humans to take his place! So, Satan has a big motivation in wanting to defeat God's purpose for us.

You will have to take these last few statements “as a grain of salt,” for we aren't taking time to back-up these last statements. We just want you to have a picture why Satan might really have a motivation for trying to make this world so wicked that it would defeat God's purpose for us.

What we are saying is, it is possible that Satan persuaded our first parents (Adam & Eve, which many don't yet believe in) to “modify” God's commandments, in order to get the world to become absolutely wicked.

We are convinced that this is what actually happened, & that is why the world has been so wicked. That is also why God was totally silent (in the Bible) about all 7 of these false prohibitions, because the Lord didn't make those seven so-called “commandments.”

But we mention this again here to show that it's 99.9% likely that Satan persuaded Adam & Eve to teach these false teachings, for that is the only logical reason why the Lord would be completely silent about all 7 of those teachings, because “#3)” is the one that conservative Christians are rejecting so strongly (claiming that neither men nor women can have plural spouses). But the scriptures show that their arguments are groundless (immediately below).

Since so many Christians are “locked-into” thinking

that Gen. 2:24 is a command to only have one spouse, we have decided to move that proof on Gen. 2:24 to here, so that they can see it before they read the rest:

(New, Mar. 12 & May 1, 2020: clarified much better).

(Insertion into #3): **(How do you answer Genesis 2:24?)**

“Therefore a man shall leave his father & his mother & hold fast to his wife, & they shall become one flesh.” (Gen 2:24, ESV). Is that a command that forbids plural spouses? Does it imply that you can only have one-wife/one-husband? If you carefully added another spouse, would you be violating your “one-flesh” commitment to your first spouse?

The answer to that question is yes, if your spouse doesn't approve of such a *thing*! But what is the answer if your spouse also believes in plural-spouses & you've both agreed to do that??? After all, Gen. 2:24 is talking about a man's first wife, for it talks about him leaving his father & mother. So, are you sure that Gen. 2:24 really means that a man can only have one wife, & thus, a woman can only have one husband??? We also thought that it meant “only-one-husband/only-one-wife,” until we discovered that the Lord had never done-away with a man having plural-wives!

(New, May 1, 2020: more clarity added, 1 page)

But the most obvious answer has already been stated twice. Since the primary meaning of Gen. 2:24 was to counsel newly-weds to consummate the marriage by becoming one-flesh, sexually, with each other (& of course, to continue so, 1 Cor 7:2-5), then this primary meaning certainly weakens the case for Gen. 2:24 to also mean “only one spouse.” But we discuss much deeper here, the meaning of this verse.

Recently, I heard a person arguing that you don't have to become one-flesh, sexually, to be married. Do you realize how ridiculous that is, for that negates the counsel that we are discussing. And surely you agree that Gen. 2:24 is counsel, not a command. God counseled them (& all mankind) to “be fruitful & multiply & fill the earth &...” (Gen 1:28, ESV).

Not everyone has heeded that counsel, nor does everyone have to fulfill that counsel, for it is a general counsel to all mankind & has been fulfilled, in general. Well, it is the same with Gen. 2:24. If the couple want to call themselves married without any sex, it's up to them.

But that isn't acceptable with everyone. Even Roman Catholics believe in Gen. 2:24, as far as “procreation” is concerned. When I was young, most Catholics had huge families, because they were heeding the Catholic instruction of “procreation only” (were even forbidden to read the Bible back-then) which also meant that they couldn't use any birth-control methods, either. So, they didn't worry about it & of course, ended up with lots of children. But in the last 30-40 years, most Catholic families have apparently ignored that instruction & have (apparently) been using birth-control methods.

We made those comments because my sister married a Roman Catholic, but after they got married, “he just couldn't.” My guess is that he had a psychological hang-up & thought that sex was evil, no matter what. I had that same opinion, too, when I was about 5-7 years old. Everyone was warning kids against sex so much, that I thought that even having sex for the sake of having children was bad.

One married woman in my church was rejoicing with her married friend that she had gotten pregnant. Apparently she had been worried that she wasn't able to have children. But I couldn't understand why she was rejoicing & thought, “Shouldn't she be ashamed?” Because at that early age, I thought that any kind of sex was evil. That is how distorted my mind was at that time.

Now, I can't guarantee that-that was why my sister's new husband “just couldn't.” I got the impression that “he wasn't able” psychologically, not even in order to have children someday. As with most young women, they want to raise some children. Such an answer of not becoming one-

flesh, wasn't acceptable with her. So, she had the marriage annulled (which the governments allow for such reasons) & finally got married several years later.

The reason why I wrote this is to help you see the reason why the Lord (through the Bible) had this counsel written, to encourage them to leave their father & mother (for there are some who have a hard time doing that) & to encourage other husbands & wives to, at least, come-together sexually & preferably raise some children.

Even in over-populated China, they have always allowed one child. And if they weren't so over-populated, they would have allowed 2. Because many fathers & most mothers have always dreamed of having children to raise. When I was a young man I never even thought of any other option, than to raise children when I would get married, for to me, that is part of what a family is for, to raise-up the next generation.

Why did I say these things here? To help you realize the importance of the counsel of Gen. 2:24 to come-together & become one-flesh with your spouse. That is why the Lord had that stated in Genesis. But this counsel is far-more important than what I just stated. For “singles” & celibates & also married people that refrain from sex with their spouse, are in-danger of being severely tempted: “...so that Satan may not tempt you because of your lack of self-control.” (1 Cor 7:5b...).

That is why God counseled married couples to become one-flesh, sexually (Gen. 2:24), not to just consummate the marriage, but also to give them much greater self-control, sexually, provided they continue to come together, sexually, on a regular basis. So, this counsel is not a small-thing, not if they want to be faithful to their Lord & to their spouse, for Satan's temptations always pull-away from God & pull-away from their spouse.

We have already talked about this several times. This is why so many celibates end up doing some serious sins, because of not relieving themselves sexually, periodically,

So we hope that you realize that the primary meaning of Gen 2:24 is for couples to leave their parents when they marry, & then to practice “becoming one-flesh” sexually with their spouse (or JSS-Love with others, but not forgetting their spouse) from then on. That truly is the main reason that the Lord had that statement put in Gen. 2:24.

(end of insertion)

At first, we understood Gen. 2:24 to mean that the Lord (through Moses) was talking about the man's first marriage—not about additional marriages. That is true, but then sometime later, we realized (because of a discovery in the New Testament), that the part about “the two becoming one flesh” also applies to each wife that the man “joins to” (marries).

“Read-on” & see:

We can only think that the Lord foresaw our issue, for He inspired Paul in the New Testament to give a new insight. Now, that insight wasn't talking about plural-spouses, but it reveals that Gen. 2:24 also applies to each spouse that a person adds-on:

Paul (through the Holy Spirit) makes it clear in Eph. 5:29-32 (see vss 25-33), (where he is talking about husbands loving their wives in the same way that Christ loves the Church): “For no one ever hated his own flesh, but nourishes & cherishes it, just as the Lord does the church. For we are members of His body, of His flesh & of His bones. 'For this reason a man shall leave his father & mother & be joined to his wife, and the two shall become one flesh.' This is a great mystery, but I speak concerning Christ & the church.” (NKJV).

Now, most modern translations leave-off “of His flesh & of His bones,” & to this day, many have earnestly believed that those words weren't in the original manuscripts. But actually, it is vice versa. For more than 20 years a number of scholars have suspected that the two oldest Greek manuscripts of the New Testament, are actually counterfeit (modified by a scribe that purposely tampered with the Word of God). (The Critical Text, which most modern translations have depended, is based

primarily on these 2 oldest Greek Manuscripts & a few manuscripts that were obviously copied from them).

Less than a year ago, we found proof that those 2 oldest manuscripts were written by an evil scribe who tampered with the New Testament in numerous places. (Sorry. We feel justified in calling him evil, for he was defying God when he tampered with the New Testament. Fortunately, the man was wise enough to not include the book of Revelation, so that he wouldn't have to suffer the plagues written in Revelation (see 22:18-19). But still, he will have to pay for tampering with God's Bible, unless he did finally repent of the evil that he caused, & was thus forgiven by Jesus.

That is why those 2 oldest manuscripts are in almost new condition. Apparently, most of the scribes (back then) refused to copy from those 2 manuscripts, apparently because they saw that they grossly contradicted earlier manuscripts that are now lost, by omitting several portions of the New New Testament & also by changing or adding a few other words that weren't originally there. Also, the very fact that those 2 manuscripts are loaded with typographical errors, far worse than the other Greek Manuscripts, which again, which again suggests that they were careless because they didn't really care if they copied it accurately, like a "counterfeiter" might be.

And so, those words "For we are members of His body & of His flesh & of His bones" (Literally, "...of His body, out of His flesh & out of His bones," just like Eve was out of Adam's flesh & out of Adam's bones, Gen 2:23). So those words really were there in the original Greek manuscripts, for that is what the great majority of the ancient Greek manuscripts say. Some day they will find even older manuscripts than those 2 oldest ones, & that will doubly-confirm that those 2 manuscripts truly are counterfeit. But we already have proof enough to reject those 2 manuscripts & to reject the few manuscripts that were obviously copied from them (see footnote above).

Note that Paul (through the H.S.) called this "a great mystery." If you think about it, it is a great mystery how each of us become members of Jesus' "bone of my bones...." (Gen. 2:23a). Each of us, right now—not just when we reach Heaven, are (imperfect) members of Christ's "body." Jesus is living out His life in us, through the indwelling Holy Spirit. That means when we surrender our lives to Christ, we become spiritually-married to Jesus. Isn't that what Paul meant when he said, "but I speak concerning Christ & the church?" Yes, it does.

We can't understand it, for we don't perceive it, but each of us (already now, today) is committed to (i.e. spiritually-married to) Christ, & Christ is committed to (spiritually-married to) each of us & is actually inside of each of us. But where did Paul (through the H.S.) get that from? Probably from the Holy Spirit opening his eyes to it, but it also came from Gen. 2:23 & 24, which he quoted.

Conclusion on Eph. 5:29-32:

Now isn't it interesting that the Lord inspired Paul to say that in Ephesians. Yes, this is important information in the Bible, but we think that the Lord inspired Paul to describe-it this special, unique way for us today, almost 2,000 years later. And why? So that we can see that Gen. 2:24 can also apply to each spouse that we add-on. For it makes use of the whole verse of verses 23 & 24, to apply to Christ's "marriage" to each of us. Why? So that we can see that both Gen. 2:23 & 24 together, can apply to each spouse that we commit our lives to in marriage. It's a holy-thing.

Jesus has been adding-on more & more followers for 2 thousand years. To each person who surrenders his/her life to Jesus & starts following Him, Jesus then starts dwelling inside of him/her & each one become "members of His body & of His flesh & of His bones" (Eph 5:30, see vs 28-32). We each become a part of Jesus by letting Jesus dwell inside of us. Wow!

No, we didn't prove here that Plural-Spouses is truly from God. But we did prove that Gen. 2:23-24 also applies to Jesus' spiritual-marriage to each one of His faithful followers. And since it applies to Jesus' "multi-marriage," then it is capable of applying to each additional spouse that a person marries? (It doesn't prove that plural-spouses is OK, but it shows that Gen. 2:24 can also

apply to each additional spouse that you would/could marry).

No, what we said doesn't prove that people can have plural-spouses, but it does prove that Gen. 2:24 is not a command to have only one spouse. If there is another text that prohibits plural-spouses, then you people would be justified in forbidding plural-spouses.

But what proves “Plural-Spouses,” is that the Bible is totally silent about forbidding women from having plural-husbands (& also silent about forbidding men from having plural-wives—anywhere). If it were wrong prior to Mt. Sinai, then the Lord would have said so in the Bible. That is what proves it, for the only thing you can conclude, is that it wasn't God that forbade it! It had to be someone-else that made that command (i.e. Adam & Eve, through the subliminal-persuasion of Satan), not from God Himself, for He would have said so if it were true. For if God didn't want any of us to have plural-spouses, then the Lord would have said so somewhere (through the Bible)! That is what proves it, but the Lord also chose to doubly-prove it by also hiding His full approval in the Bible (see below).

We believe that the Lord had Paul write this about Jesus' spiritual-marriage to each of us, to show us that Gen. 2:24 can also applies to Plural-Spouses. Sorry, that is the truth. That is why the Lord had Paul write Eph. 5:29-32, to show that He approves of Plural-Spouses, & even of women having Plural-husbands—but we aren't even using that as a proof. The proof is in the absolute silence in the Bible about these so-called commands (& doubly proven by Hiding His full approval as well).

No, our wives are not “bone of my bones & flesh of my flesh,” not even our first wife. We humans aren't capable of bestowing a portion “of our bones & of our flesh” to even our first wife, Like Jesus does (spiritually) to each of His “spouses” (us). But (as you will see here), we will fulfill the part about “and the two shall become one flesh” with each spouse that we marry. For if “the two shall become one flesh” applies to becoming one flesh with a prostitute—which is forbidden by God (1 Cor 6:15-16) —then it can also has to apply to each additional spouse that each of us marries.

Is Gen. 2:24 a Command?

But also, if you will just think about it, you can see that Gen. 2:23-24 isn't exactly a command. First of all it isn't written in commandment form. Instead, it is written as counsel. And of course, the first part of Gen. 2:24 truly is speaking of a man marrying his first wife, for it talks about him leaving his father & mother. And so, these 2 verses cannot possibly be a true command to “only have one wife./only one husband,” because it doesn't even talk about what could or couldn't happen subsequently. So, if the Lord truly meant “only one spouse,” then don't you think that the Lord would have made it clear??? That would have been the o

only right thing to do if it were true, but the Lord was silent about it because it never was true.

Objection:

Now, some claim that Christ is only spiritually-married to the Church as a unit, not individually. But even in Eph. 5:30 that we just looked at (lit.): “...we are members of His body, out of His flesh & out of His bones,” , i.e. individually. That is, Jesus bestows, on each person that is added to His church, His own (spiritual) flesh & His own (spiritual) bones, so that that-new member receives Jesus' spiritual body as soon as he believes.

This is also confirmed in 1 Cor. 12:27: “Now you are the body of Christ & individually members of it.” (ESV, see vss 12-31). These verses imply that each of us are joined-to, spiritually-married to Christ, not just Jesus married to the church as a single-unit, for each one of us is special & important in Jesus' eyes, & Jesus imparts His spiritual flesh & His spiritual bones to each person that surrenders His life to Jesus.

Just as Jesus took a rib out of Adam's side (& the surrounding flesh) to make Adam's wife, so also Jesus now takes a portion out of His (spiritual) bones & out of His (spiritual) flesh & imparts His

spiritual bones & spiritual flesh to every new believer that is added to His Church. The parallel is mind-boggling.

This is what Paul was calling a great mystery: Christ is truly, spiritually-married to **each believer!** “This at last is bone of my bones & flesh of my flesh;...” (Gen. 2:23a, see vss 21-24) is fulfilled each time a believer is added to Christ's Church. That is how intimate Jesus makes Himself to each & every follower. Jesus is spiritually married to each one of us (& of them). **Wow!!!** So is it any wonder that Jesus calls each of us to be a living sacrifice, crucified with Him (Rom 12:1, see 1-5, Gal 2:20, see vss 17-21)???

Now, what we are about to say “is over-kill,”

but we want to “hit the nail square on the head” & double-prove that Gen. 2:24 does not mean “only one spouse,” Now we have already shown that Gen. 2:23,24 applies to Jesus being spiritually-married to each one of His believers (Eph 5:29-32 & 1 Cor 12:27 discussed above). But let's take it one step further:

Gen. 2:24 couldn't say, “& the two shall become one,” for it would lose it's meaning of a husband & wife being joined together, sexually, becoming one-flesh. But neither did Jesus say that when He was being confronted by the pharisees about divorce & remarriage (Matt. 19:3-9).

In verse 5, Jesus quotes Gen. 2:24, & then says (Matt 19:6), “So, they are no longer two but one flesh. What God has joined together, let not man separate.” (ESV). Now, Jesus could have worded that differently, for He had ceased quoting. So, Jesus could have said, “So, they are no longer 2 but one,” but He didn't! If Jesus had said, “So, they are no longer two but one,” then you might have had an argument in favor of “only one spouse.”

But Jesus was careful to say, basically, what Gen. 2:24 says, “So, they are no longer 2 but one flesh.” Now, the following isn't proof, but in saying “one flesh,” instead of “one,” Jesus allowed room for a person to be free to marry (become one flesh with) an additional spouse. If Jesus had said, “So, they are no longer two but one,” then you might have had an argument in favor of “only one spouse,” but He didn't.

But still, it would have been a weak argument, for there are at least 2 texts in the Bible that say, figuratively, certain people are one: e.g. 1 Cor 3:8 “He who plants & he who waters are one, & each shall receive his wages according to his labor.” In this case, Paul was only talking about himself & Apollos, but in many churches, it would include each pastor that came & went & also each Bible-worker & etc. According to what Paul said, all of them are one, & each one will be rewarded (by God) for the part that they played in the success of that church.

There is also a 2nd text (Rom 12:4,5) that also implies that all of the followers of Christ are one in the body of Christ, even though we each have widely-different gifts. So, this one also shows that “being one” can include a multitude of people.

Conclusion on Matt. 19:3-9:

So, Jesus was careful to say, “...they are no longer two but one flesh” (Matt 19:6), but even if He had said, “...they are no longer two but one,” it could still-also apply to more than two, just as we showed you in 1 Cor. 3:8 & Rom. 12:4,5. So, Gen. 2:24 can also apply to each person that that person marries. The husband could still-be one with each of his wives, & also, the wife could also still-be one with each of her husbands.

So the point we are making is, that Gen. 2:24 is neither written as a command to only have one spouse. Nor does the Bible allow you to justifiably=interpret that it can only mean “only one spouse.” If the Lord had made it clear somewhere else, which He didn't, then you would have had a case that a person could only have one spouse. But you can't prove it from Gen. 2:24 alone, for the Bible has revealed from every angle, that “one-flesh” & “one” can include more than two.

By the way, as we show you further below, what Jesus said about divorce & remarriage in Matt.

19:3-9, also applies to “plural-spouses.” Jesus was careful to word it that way. If a person divorces (abandons) even one of his/her spouses, then he/she will be committing adultery if he/she marries anyone else. He/she has to restore that relationship before he/she can marry anyone else, except for one exception discussed below.

Further Side-Comment:

Now, the following is sort-of anti-climatic, compared to what we just proved, but in “proofing” this section awhile ago, the Lord opened my eyes to a fourth text that reveals that the last-part of Gen. 2:24 can apply to plural-wives.

1 Cor. 6:15,16 (ESV) says, “Do you not know that your bodies are the members of Christ? Shall I then take the members of Christ & make them the members of a prostitute? Never! Or do you not know that he who is joined to a prostitute becomes one body with her? For as it is written, 'The two shall become one flesh.' “

I've looked at this text more than 100 times in my life, & I never saw this before. It implies that committing fornication (sexual intercourse) with a prostitute—even one time, fulfills the last part of Gen. 2:24, for the person who does that becomes one-body (“one flesh”) with that prostitute (& thus, he will also “become one with her” in her punishment, that is, if he (or the prostitute) doesn't repent). But “the two shall become one-flesh” is also fulfilled with anyone else, e.g. a boyfriend/girl-friend that aren't married to each other.

Do you now see that Gen. 2:24 doesn't really say what you thought it said? If it applies to becoming one flesh with a prostitute or with a boyfriend or with a girlfriend, then wouldn't it also apply to each spouse that you add-on??? Of course, it would.

End of Discussion on Gen. 2:24

#3) continued: Now, some of you surely still insist that Gen. 2:24 still means “only one spouse.” We can't even imagine how you could even think that way. But, “A man convinced against his will is of the same opinion, still.”

And so, that is up to you. But now that you see that we do have a case (though you don't agree), then don't you dare judge us & speak against this movement, for you would only be fighting against God if you do. Since you have seen that we do have justifiable reasons, you will be “in danger of The Judgment” if you speak evil of us or fight against us.

Instead, you can say something like, “They have their reasons why they believe the way they do, but I don't agree.” If you say something like that, then you are safe, as long as you don't “run us down.”

Remember that it is God that you need to blame, not us. If the Lord had said something, then we never would have been presenting this startling discovery. So, don't be fighting against us; instead, take it before the Lord.

Also, there were instructions (in the Old Testament) on what was right & wrong about men having plural-wives (which also apply to women with plural husbands, by switching the roles).

And, everyone thinks that “Plural Wives” was nailed to the cross, even though there isn't a single statement in the New Testament that even implies such a thing. No, Jesus never “nailed” any freedoms on the Cross. Those tedious regulations/rituals that were against us & contrary to us (Col 2:14, NKJV, NIV, NEB), see vss 11-17) were nailed to the Cross, not freedoms.

There were a lot more women available, back then, because many men were killed-off in battles. But even so, a large majority of the Jews (back then) only had one wife, because “the rich” & “the great” got most of those wives. And also, most of the common men didn't realize that there were ways to have an extra wife w/o supporting her. But, the entire Bible never made one comment, neither pro- nor con-, on women having plural-husbands, nor was there ever a statement that forbade men from having plural wives. (The O.T. allowed men to have Plural-wives, but there wasn't any scripture that ever forbade men from having more than one wife—neither before nor after the Old Covenant).

But this would have been the answer for all of the viable Jews, back then, to have Plural-Wives, if women had also been

allowed to have plural-husbands. Then, every viable man could have had “plural-wives,” especially if the women also helped support themselves (& yes, w/o any harems; it's actually propheesied in Isa. 4:1 (see 3:25 to 4:6)).

But the amazing thing is that any Jew (before Jerusalem was destroyed the first time, when they were an independent nation) could have picked husbands for his wife, & his friends could have responded by “picking” their wife for him! And amazingly, this would have met God's approval!

And you say, “What???” Yes, because their covenant was to “keep the law,” to keep all of those commandments, statutes, judgments & rituals that God had specified for them to do. If they kept all of those tiny instructions, then God would be their God & bless them, & if not, then God would curse them.

You ask, “But how did that free them to pick “extra husbands” for their wife???”

It's because they were only required to keep what God said, nothing else! It was literally “a contract.” If God didn't specify it, then they didn't have to keep it. And since God was silent about plural-husbands for women, then each Jew was free to pick husbands for his wife. For the Lord didn't say a thing against that.

So, Jewish women, being given “plural-husbands,” was obviously OK with God, way-back then (for He is all-knowing). And it's still OK for modern Jews, today, for they are still under that same Old-Covenant! (“For the gifts & the calling of God are irrevocable” (Rom 11:29, NKJV, see vss 25-36) Since the Jews have always been free to let their wives have “plural-husbands,” then the Jewish-Jews are still free to let their wives add-on husbands!

And amazingly, there is a legal way in the USA today, for Jews (& for you, too) to have “Plural-Husbands/Plural-Wives” (not 100 years ago, for it became legal roughly 40 years ago), & also in other nations. But as you will see, the Lord, also hid his approval of Jewish women having Plural-Husbands.

Actually the Jews already had God's unspoken approval, for God never had Moses say anything about it. Since that's true, then why did the Lord hide His approval back then???

It's because the Lord wasn't hiding His approval for the sake of the Jews, for He would have plainly told them if He wanted to make it clear. No, the Lord hid that approval, in order to save it for our day, so that we Christians could be doubly-sure (approx. p. 40 (80)ff) that the Lord truly approves of both men & women having plural spouses.

Since we Christians have always thought that the Lord had done-away with “plural-spouses,” then this hidden approval gives us the assurance that Jesus never “nailed” these privileges to His cross.

Now, we don't recommend picking husbands for your wife like they would have done, for they thought of wives as “property.” Yes, it's good for the husband to “help-pick” his wife's first fiancées, & for the wife to “help-pick” her husband's first fiancées, but it's not wise to “instantly” marry.

When we Christians marry, we promise “till death do you part.” So, each of us should become thoroughly acquainted with each person that we marry—none of this “instant stuff”—so that none of us ends up divorcing even one of our spouses.

But you object, “But we've known each other 20 years!” Yes, you've casually-known each other, but there is a big difference between casually knowing someone & intimately-knowing someone so well that you are sure that you two will stay together for the rest of your life. From now-on, “Hurry-up” marriages won't be needed. Since couples will now be able to control their sex-drive through sharing JSS-Love, no-one will have-to hurry to get married anymore. God hates divorce (Mal 2:16, see vss 13-16), & He doesn't want us to divorce, even one of our spouses. He is suggesting a 3 ½ year courtship, unless you two can live together for long spans of time, & thus get acquainted somewhat quicker.

And yet, some of you want to skip “JSS” & jump into marriage, when you haven't tried JSS-Love, not even once. Look, God is not a liar; He promised to restore 7-fold on all that was stolen (Prov 6:30-32) The Lord already intended to give an extra blessing, to make-up for JSS-Love being less than “the real thing.” But now that Satan has stolen it from us, He will now restore 7-fold in giving & receiving extra love, pleasure, joy,

happiness, peace, tranquility & satisfaction! So please, give JSS-Love a try, & take your time courting. Because if even one of your spouses changes his/her mind & “gets out of Plural-Spouses,” & does it without fornication, then you are stuck! You will then “be shut-down” from marrying anyone else (Matt 19:9, see vss 3-9)! You see, God counts it as divorce if you even abandon one of your spouses. But you need to see that verse (Matt 19:9) in the KJV or NKJV or in any translation using the “Majority Text.” For a long time, some authorities have suspected (4 major reasons) that the two oldest Greek manuscripts are counterfeit (deliberate omissions & changes). And less than a year ago, we found proof (from the Bible) that those 2 manuscripts are counterfeit (see footnote several pages above). Most modern translations translate from the Critical Text, which depends largely upon those 2 manuscripts (& the very-few manuscripts copied from them). Yes, those translations are still good most of the time, but there are places (such as here, & also John 7:53-8:11 & several other places) where those 2 manuscripts purposefully omitted portions of the Holy Scriptures (see above footnote). The great majority of ancient manuscripts say in Matt. 19:9b, that it's also adultery to marry someone who is divorced (obviously, without the justification of fornication; see first part of verse). Some teach that you can never marry anyone else, once you are divorced, no matter what, but this verse makes it clear that you can divorce & marry someone else if your spouse has committed fornication (i.e. adultery). But otherwise, the answer is “No,” for in God's eyes, you are still married to your spouse, even though you are legally divorced. But also, that very-same text applies to Plural-Spouses. If you (or your spouse) divorce (i.e. abandon) each other, & if neither of you have committed fornication, then neither of you are allowed to marry someone else, for apparently, the Lord expects you two to get back together & straighten up that marriage, before you marry any additional spouses. For Matt. 19:9 still applies to Plural-Spouses! And so, if even one of your spouses has stopped believing in Plural-Spouses & leaves you (without committing fornication), then you are stuck—because Jesus said so! So, be sure to take your time courting, & prayerfully evaluate each fiancée (& ask the Lord to help you decide). But don't forget that married people are more attractive than singles (of the same age) because they are happier & more content. Thus, you are more inclined to marry a married person (of similar age) than a single person. Look, if all of you only marry “the young,” “the married,” the most attractive, then you are defeating God's purpose. The Lord would like every compatible person to, at least, have one spouse, even if they aren't that attractive. Does every spouse have to be that desirable??? How about courting some that would make good, compatible friends, that aren't that desirable? Can you think of someone else, when “choosing,” rather than just yourself??? Remember, singles will also be attractive, once they are happily married, “settled” & content. In conclusion, Plural-Spouses works best where each spouse lives separately (no harems) & supports himself/herself (Isa. 4:1, see 3:25-4:6) (except in the case of conceiving & raising children). In that case, then you will want to support the wife (or husband) while she/he raises them. But there is nothing wrong with a wealthy man/woman having a huge house where all of his/her spouses can get together on special occasions. But if one of your spouses only makes minimum wage, then each of his/her spouses might want to help him/her to live more comfortably. And yes, even handicapped people, who aren't even capable of supporting themselves, can have plural-spouses, where each of his/her spouses helps in supporting him/her. Kings were warned against marrying too many wives, like Solomon did, lest his heart be turned away from God (Deut 17:17, see vss 14-20). The same principle also applies to all of us, both men & women. But the Scriptures don't say how many is too many. Surely, the Lord will reveal this to us someday. The Orthodox Jews allowed their kings to have as many as 17 wives. Since that seems like a reasonable number, we ask you to not exceed that till we learn otherwise. The restrictions

on wives are: a) to not take 2 rival sisters (Lev. 18:18), b) to not marry both the mother & her daughter (Lev 20:14); c) to not marry both a woman & her grandchild, but other translations translate it, to not marry 2 grand-children of a woman (cousins of the same grandmother (Lev 18:17)). d) If they couldn't "uncover the nakedness of" someone, then that also meant that they couldn't marry that person (too close of a relative, Lev. 18:6-18). & of course, e) not to have too many wives (Deut 17:17), which we already discussed. As for women marrying men, the same rules also apply by simply reversing the "roles."

(New, Feb. 24, 2020, clarified)

#4) "Don't associate much with individuals of the opposite sex if either of you are married, for one of those persons "might steal your heart" from your spouse." (Never once mentioned, nor anything like that, nor even a comment about such a thing).

This one has lost popularity, but it was a traditional counsel of very conservative Christians for hundreds (thousands?) of years). But, Satan's false religions, Islam (Muslim), & Buddhism & the Hinduism make a big emphasis on this. That is why the people in all 3 of those religions are so sad much of the time. It is a very sad life to live. without the association of friends of the opposite sex that you would love to, at least, be friends with. (And that is why most Americans have ignored this counsel—but only for many of them to fall into sin, all because of Satan's false teaching (only one spouse)).

And if it really were true that you could only have one spouse, then it would have been wise for Christians to be cautious like this. If they had heeded this counsel, then there wouldn't have been nearly as many divorces in order to have someone else. But today in America, most of them now just leave their spouse & start living with their new "girl-friend" (without even marrying). So if it really were true (one spouse only), then God should have said something about this, for we have seen the terrible consequences for ignoring it—*but He didn't have it put in the Bible!!!*

Now, what does that imply? We have already shown you that God really, precisely saw the whole story of this earth—before He even made the universe (billions of years ago). Science & the Bible has shown us that Jesus is holding every atom in the entire universe together, & that the index finger of a man has as many atoms as there are people on the earth. So, God isn't going to miss by an electron on what has happened nor what will yet happen in this entire universe.

So, how could the Lord "just happen to forget???" It is an absolute impossibility! So, what does that imply, since God will, one day, be completely without reproach??? He could have saved billions of broken marriages (down through the ages) by saying this.

The Lord would have gladly warned them about this danger, But the Lord chose to remain completely silent about this, because He never commanded them to have only one spouse. Instead, the Lord intends to correct these false teachings in our day. When the Lord restores 7-fold on all that Satan has stolen from us, then Satan will get caught in his own trap.

(New, Mar. 12, 2020 #5) revised

#5) "Don't Express affection (e.g. hugging, kissing, caressing) of someone else's spouse" (not even mentioned, nor similarly, anywhere in the Bible). (See also our answer to "**objection # 2**" toward the end of false-don't #2)). You probably think, "Well, that's obvious that the Lord didn't have to say that, because everyone knows that only the husband is to share affection with his wife." Do they??? What about us who believe in JSS-Love & Plural-Spouses??? Didn't we show you that the Lord already foresaw this issue in our day??? Well then, if JSS & Plural-Spouses are wrong, then didn't He need to say something for our sake, so that we would know that it is even wrong to kiss & hug a married person??? Of course, He already knew about us today, but the problem is that He is in favor of JSS-Love & Plural-Spouses & also in favor of kissing, hugging

& caressing your neighbor's spouse, that is, if your neighbor approves of such a thing. Because the Lord never made this prohibition, & such love isn't generally wrong. But the very fact that God (through the Bible) is silent about this 5th "don't," further-verifies that JSS-Love & Plural-Spouses are OK! Because if they were wrong, then He needed to make it clear that you aren't to even express affection to someone-else's spouse. Because you would get into deep trouble if you two shared deep affection & yet were forbidden to share JSS-Love! If the Lord had said something like that in the Bible, then we wouldn't be making these claims. So if JSS-Love & Plural-spouses are wrong, then why didn't the Lord make that clear??? It would have put an end to our arguments. The reason why the Lord didn't warn us against expressing affection with someone-else's spouse, because it is OK to express affection & even share JSS-Love & to even marry someone-else's spouse, *if*... It is true that the husband is to only share sexual-intercourse with his various wives, & his various wives are to only share sexual intercourse with their various husbands, but all of them are still free to share affection & the lesser forms of sex with anyone else, including any fiancées that they haven't yet married, since their various spouses allow that. Yes, both JSS-Love & expressing affection are-still wrong if the husband/wife doesn't believe in these teachings, & even can be wrong if the husband/wife actually believes these things, but still doesn't approve of you sharing them. (We discuss that problem shortly after "**objection #2**") toward the end of "false-don't #2)." Hopefully, you & your spouse can resolve that problem).

(New, Mar. 12, 2020) See "Coming Soon" note at the top—not yet revised here nor elsewhere.

#6) "**Don't uncover the nakedness of your son or daughter**, unless necessary, after the 'diaper age.' & **don't let** your older children be **purposely-naked** in your presence, either." The child is to not see the parent's nakedness (Lev 18:6-7), & brothers & sisters are not to see each other's nakedness (Lev 20:17)—yes, that's "Old Testament." The New Testament makes it clear that it's wrong to be naked (Rev. 16:15), but it doesn't specify any details. The only details about when you can't be naked are in the Old Testament, but this is a moral issue about being wrong to be seen naked (Matt 5:18-19, see vss 17-20). But again, the Bible is **totally silent** about seeing the nakedness of your **son or daughter** in the entire scriptures. This, again, proves that it's not necessarily wrong for the child (even a grown son or daughter) to be naked in the presence of his/her mom or dad. And you say, "Well it's silent because they had to change their diapers." But all God had to say was to add the qualification "after they are weaned" or something like that. By stating that qualification, then He could have stated that "don't." But our omniscient (all-knowing) God purposely remained completely silent about the son or daughter (or even a grown son or daughter) being visibly naked in the presence of his/her mother/father. After all, it makes sense. Since they were conceived "naked" (by their mom & dad), & since they came forth from the "womb" naked, then it only makes sense that they can be naked in the presence of Mom/Dad.

So the Lord, knowing that children (even adult "children") do want to be seen naked at times, allowed one exception. The Lord allows the son/daughter to be naked in the presence of his/her mother/father, (but not seeing their parent(s) nor their brothers/sisters naked) (& of course, naked with their spouses, after marriage). But there is a serious problem in the USA among multitudes of youth, today. The Bible forbids them to be naked with anyone else, & yet, multitudes of are violating that, while "Mom & Dad" are gone. This has been going on for more than 10 years. Yes, it is a serious sin in God's eyes, for the Lord knows where it eventually leads. It may take a few years to happen, but fornication is almost inevitable. The girls think they can control that, but as they grow up, they eventually give-in & lose their virginity with one of their "friends." For that 's what their "friends" were thinking while being nude. And amazingly, the girls knew what they were thinking. And so, the thought of staying a virgin kept diminishing, & "give-in thoughts" kept rising, until they finally gave-in & lost it. But when

they gave-in to one person, it became super-easy to give-in to others. And now, many have become sluts & have missed-out on a husband & a happy family. And the guys have become sex-nuts with anyone, even bi-sexual or homosexuals.

Sorry, that's the truth. As with all sins, youth become slaves to nakedness & desire to be seen all the more as time goes-on (John 8:34, see vss 31-38)). They don't think they are slaves. They think they can quit if they want to, & they can for a span of time. But they can't completely quit & stay "quit," for their desires have almost complete control over their actions.

You youth (& adults) who are doing this, your only escape is to call on Jesus, & He will completely deliver you, but only if you try hard & truly long to be freed from its grasp. But there is something that you also need to do, or your desires will eventually regain control over you. You need to start sharing JSS-Love (or at least, relieving yourself) periodically (once every 3 days (6 days "absolute max.") for me).

You see, the naked-drive is intimately connected to the sex-drive. It's the forerunner of the sex-drive. That's part of the reason why it's wrong. The Lord gave the naked-drive to encourage wives (the ones who don't have much sex-drive) to share naked sexual-love with their husbands. But if you satisfy the sex-drive with JSS-Love (without visibly seeing each other's nakedness (from the waist to the thighs)), then the naked-drive becomes controllable.

That's why the Lord taught "JSS-Love" to Adam & Eve, because singles also need to relieve their naked-drive & sex-drive, so that the Devil can't tempt them because of lack of self-control (1 Cor 7:5, see vss 2-5).

"Naked-people," you won't have a problem with nakedness anymore, if you periodically relieve yourself, sexually. Since JSS-Love allows you two to be naked most of the time, especially if you include some fun & acceptable "naked-activities" (by something blocking the view of the "naked-portion," or by blindfolds, or by moving "back-to-back," or by turning your head & closing your eyes, or by maneuvering in a way that you don't see the actual-nakedness of your friend) (but don't use cloth materials, where you can see "a dim-view" through the cloth). So, JSS-Love not only relieves you, sexually, but also gives some tantalizing "nakedness" satisfaction!

It's tantalizing because you are capable of seeing what you're not supposed to see! But you don't, because you love Jesus & especially love Him for giving this precious gift of JSS-Love. For you want to have Jesus to stay with you—right now & forever! (For He will leave if you disobey, & then your joy & pleasure & peace & satisfaction will "go out the window...").

(New, Dec. 3 & Nov. 1, 2020) (#7a corrected & #7b improved a lot better)

#7a) I first realized this (7a & 7b) years ago, while having small children—upon completing the Bible the 4th or 5th time. The Bible is completely silent about what parents can't do with their children. This troubled me, & I commented to the Lord about it, but I didn't know about this issue back then.

There I was, raising small children, & I didn't find any instructions for me in the Bible, on what I could & couldn't do with them, except for the general commands that forbids sexual intercourse, & also to obey the laws of the land???

I had no idea why the Lord was silent about it. So, I just had to accept the traditional Christian-"don'ts" (back then) & "shut-out" other possibilities. But still, it didn't set well with me that the Lord hadn't said something. In the USA it is **against the law** for any adult, including parents, **to touch the sexual organs of a minor** (under the age of 18)). This law is a traditional Christian belief, but the Bible never mentions, pro- nor con-, anything like that. It doesn't say what parents can or can't do with their children, nor even mention what some parents did!

Yes, we must obey that law when in the USA, but each Indian (American Indian) reservation is a separate nation. Each Indian reservation has its own rules. So surely, there are places to go (& further away) if children want JSS-Love with Mom or Dad.

#7b) Yes, the Bible makes it clear that it is wrong to truly molest (harm, etc.) anyone, not even

your children (they call it “**child molesting**”)--& it would be molesting if the child didn't want it or thought it was wrong). But what if the teenager believes it's right & has been sharing JSS-Love with fellow-teenagers (which is legal), then is it a horrible thing to share JSS-Love with Mom/Dad, especially if the teenager wants it??? (Take a trip to where it's legal). Look, it's the

Christian tradition that made it wrong. The Holy Bible never says anything like that. The very fact that the Lord doesn't even make a comment about such an incident, shows you that this isn't God's “definition” (see #2)).

And of course, the Bible does forbid sexual intercourse with anyone other than their spouse, which obviously forbids parents from having sexual intercourse with their children. But the Holy Bible is totally, purposely, silent about the lesser forms of sex with anyone, including their children.

Now, isn't that shocking??? And, don't tell me that your teenage children wouldn't want to share JSS-Love with their mom (or dad). Also, the child (but not the parent) gets the extra pleasure of being visibly-naked with Mom/Dad, unlike JSS-Love with anyone else.

Do you realize how many young Christians do what husbands & wives do??? The Bible warns that, out of all of the sins, fleeing from fornication (becoming one-flesh) is the most important sin to flee from (1 Cor 6:18, KJV, see vss 13b-20).

When you insist on “no sex at all till marriage,” you've put millions upon millions of unmarried young Christians (& especially, formerly married singles) in danger of giving-in to fornication.

Yes, I remained a virgin till marriage, but it's a small minority that succeed. Even I came very close to falling, but I knew that God always provides a way of escape, so that we are always able to endure it (1 Cor 10:13, see vss 1-13). Many fail if they only have these lesser ways of escape, but many more will succeed if you allow “the way of escape” (vs 13, ESV, NKJV) from fornication: JSS-Love. But with these new teachings, young Christians should (& will) be much more successful in overcoming sexual- & naked- temptations, if they look to Jesus, expecting Him to help them, & also really try to obey Him.

Well, if both God & the law approve of teenagers sharing JSS-Love with teenagers, then how is it so horrible for them to share JSS-Love with their mom or dad??? (Be very careful, older teenagers, to not share with anyone whose parents (or your parents) might “try-you as an adult! “ If you have a nasty parent, use appropriate self-masturbation & wait). Since teenagers have animosity against parents, then wouldn't JSS-Love with a parent help-heal that animosity?? You know the answer: Amazingly, it will greatly heal their relationship with both Mom & Dad. But there is a 2nd reason: Much of the animosity comes from objecting to traditional-Christian restrictions, which will now vanish. And, nakedness-sins among our youth will also vanish.

(New, Mar. 19, especially May 1, 2020: temporary addition until we can correct the above portions)

#8) What is involved in Naked Church-programs? (Adults only) In the first few pages, we established that there is an 8th false-prohibition that the Bible is silent about. We called for churches to hold (private) naked-volleyball (Co-Ed) & naked-exercise programs & private naked-worships (Co-Ed) to win multitudes that are “not interested in God.”

It is easy to misunderstand what we mean by that. No, we aren't calling for us to compromise with the world, use vulgar language & drink a few beers with them, etc., etc. in order to win them. That method never has worked, for they sense your hypocrisy in doing that. No, we are to conduct ourselves as godly people, using godly language, conducting everything according to a proper understanding of the Bible, according to how the Holy Spirit leads us to do it.

But this doesn't rule-out the possibility of taking advantage of what the Lord does allow us to do, such as having naked gatherings. But the first thing you need to do before bringing-in “outsiders,” is to get used to being naked in groups, for it isn't wrong if you learn to keep your heart & mind free from what truly is sin. And it isn't necessarily wrong to share JSS-Love with those in the group with-whom you are naked with (if you & your spouse have already agreed to it). For it is only natural to want to share sexual-

love when you are naked together. So, you need to start practicing JSS-Love in your practice-groups, for that is what the visitors are going to be doing with some of you, when we hold these various naked-meetings.

Everyone has to pass a PIN-NUMBER test in order to participate, so that no major diseases are transmitted in sharing JSS-Love. And yes, after the Corona Virus is gone, even those who don't pass the PIN-NUMBER test can also participate, but not till the virus is "gone." But they need to be super-careful when sharing JSS-Love with those that don't pass the PIN-NUMBER test, so that no major diseases are transmitted. Only your most trusted "regulars," who know what precautions to take, can share JSS-Love with those people, & of course, not till "Corona" is "over."

But all of this means that you have to have facilities set-up to even hold a naked test-group, 1) all windows covered, 2) an entrance-way, with someone standing at the door to only allow those in who are want to be naked, 3) a place with showers to clean-up together after sharing JSS-Love, 4) something to lie-down on to share JSS-Love, with plenty of sheets & towels, etc., for each couple. 5) All of that needs to be washed & cleaned-up to get ready for the next session. And there are probably several other things that we haven't even thought of.

Naked-Volleyball & Exercise Programs are conducted a little different from Naked-worship programs. If there are any new-comers, you need to share a brief explanation of our beliefs & what they are going to do.

On the activity programs, they get to choose whom they share JSS-Love with (to share after/during the activity). If you only have one or 2 places for JSS-Love (but lots of sheets & towels) &/or only one or 2 showers, then they have to take turns, with some even sharing JSS-Love during the game.

If that is the case, then some/most have to choose their partner before the game begins, & then step-out-of the game when their turn comes. Generally new-comers choose first, & then the leader varies who gets to choose next, next... You might need to write down each couple, so that you don't forget which couple is next. The people who come-in late have to choose at the end (I guess).

And no, you don't need a separate (private) place for them to share JSS-Love together, for they have all been naked together, & they are all going to share JSS-Love. Have the "JSS-Love place" behind the group, in visible-sight of the leaders standing up-front. That helps-prevent visitors from fucking, which isn't allowed. If some couple do, then that guy has to get-off of her. If he doesn't, warn them that they won't be allowed to come again if he doesn't. Then they can continue if they use one of the forms of JSS-Love.

Some have to share twice when there aren't an equal number of men & women. That is what would happen at the end of (or during) naked-volleyball or naked-exercise programs.

But on **naked-worship** programs, I think we should do it differently. Sex is one of the greatest emotions that people have. The Devil made use of that in worshiping false-gods (temple prostitutes). That sex motivated the people to deeply worship that god for giving them sex.

Well, the same thing can also apply to worshiping God the Father & Jesus & the Holy Spirit. For the Lord has given us JSS-Love, & we need to thank Him & worship Him for giving us these 8 (7) privileges. Yes, people will be worshiping the Lord with greater emotion than ever, when they worship together naked, but especially when we include a special form of JSS-Love for worship. There is even greater emotion if you worship the Lord while either self-masturbating or sharing a "worship-form" of JSS-sex.

During those 13 days, when I was struggling with these naked programs, the Lord encouraged me to worship Him through self-masturbation. I shut-out all thoughts about anyone else & expressed my love to God through masturbating. If you try that, you will find that your heart opens to the Lord with far greater emotion during masturbation, than the worship you had prior to that.

#8), naked-worship cont.: But that would be "a lonely method" when there is a whole group, with each person worshiping by self-masturbating. Most of them want to worship with someone. But at the same time, it isn't very effective for them to just worship the Lord with the usual forms of JSS-Love. For their hearts will mostly be expressing love to their JSS-partner, with only fleeting

expressions of love to the the Lord. A better answer is to have group-JSS-worship, where (e.g.) 4 men & 4 women worship the Lord. They pick who of them will be the first couple (lots of surprises). Only one couple at a time shares a special form of JSS-worship (they rotate). If there is “a new-comer,” he/she gets to be first & pick his/her partner. The partners avoid lying on-top-of each other or beside each other, or even between the legs of the other. The one partner administers to the other partner “from the side” & makes use of his/her hands as well as the mouth (e.g. fingers in the vagina & mouth on the clitoris & the other hand caressing her side & tummy). It will be a little awkward to administer from the side, but it makes it easier for the couple to focus more on the Lord than on their partner—but they also make sure to include their partner's name periodically (We explain). The (e.g.) 3 extra men gather-around the woman-partner, & the 3 extra women gather-around the man-partner. There are many nerves on the body that are very stimulating when lubricated & “rubbed,” e.g. all of the region around the breasts & arm-pits of both men & women, especially near the nipples. In a man, the give a feeling of “feminine presence.” The 3 extra men kiss & caress the woman-partner everywhere that they can reach, but also stimulate some of her nerves. But their focus isn't just on the person they are stimulating. The men worship, singing a simple chorus, such as: “We love you, sweet Sarah [or whatever her name is], We love you sweet Jesus, We love You sweet Father, We love You sweet Spirit...” & repeat that sequence, say a 2nd & 3rd time, switching from “we love you...” to “we praise you...” & then the 3rd time, “We treasure you...” The women sing the same thing simultaneously, except they use the man-partner's name, “We love you sweet Harold, we love You sweet Jesus...” If the extra women have long hair, they also make sure that their hair caresses the man-partner's skin. After that, perhaps (?) they sing (once), “Jesus loves you Sweet Sarah, Jesus loves you sweet Harold, the Father loves you sweet Sarah, the Father loves you sweet Harold, the Spirit loves you sweet Sweet Sarah, the Spirit loves you sweet Harold. Then they return to the first chorus, until the woman-partner reaches her climax. Yes, even the couple sing when they can. Now, only one partner is administered at a time. So, the couple trade positions, & the other partner is administered to. The man-partner administers to the woman-partner first. Then the woman-partner administers to the man-partner, so that the two can immediately clean-up & shower after the man-partner “climaxes.” While they are cleaning-up, the remaining propel pick the next couple, & the 2 extra men gather around the woman-partner, & the 2 extra women gather-around the man-partner. They get started before the previous couple return. Then when the previous couple return, they also join the 2 extra men & 2 extra women until both partners have finished. They continue that way until each “couple” has administered JSS-Worship to their partner. (Sorry, they often don't get the partner they want). Here is another way: The women are kissing & caressing the man all-over & the men are kissing & caressing the woman all-over & are saying, “Jesus loves you, Sarah,” or are saying (while kissing, etc.) “We love you, Jesus.” This inspires the couple to turn their hearts to the Lord while they are sharing & receiving oral-sex. And thus, this will encourage the couple to express their highest love to the Lord when they each reach their sexual-climax. We realize that many are looking at all of this, shaking their heads, “No,” despising the very thought of this, thinking that God would never approve of that. They are deeply mistaken. That is because they have always thought of sex as being far-less than holy. Not so, not in God's eyes. Husband & wife sex is akin to God, & the Lord sees the married-couple's sex as a holy thing. Our opposers don't realize what great love to God can be expressed through even self-masturbation, not focusing on any person nor on anything else except the Lord—just focusing on the Lord & praising Him & thanking Him & deeply worshipping Him. The emotional love for the Lord becomes great while even self-masturbating, before they reach the climax. But when they reach that climax, their love for God just gushes out in adoration to the Lord (who gave these privileges & tenderly loves each one of us & has always, tenderly cared for us from

conception, onward. No, the Lord isn't displeased when you are naked in His presence, for you are naked to Him even when you have your clothes on. And when you worship the Lord, sexually, through either of these two ways, then the Lord can only be pleased that you love Him that much. But I think you will find that group-JSS-worship is much better worship, than if the whole group had self-masturbation-worship. I think the spiritual power multiplies when you worship together as a *group*,

Well, those are just our initial thoughts on naked-programs & naked-worships. It will take time to get it perfected, but it should greatly improve when we actually experience these programs & worships. After we gain experience, then we will see more clearly what needs to be done.

(New, May 1, 2020: hardly even started)

Additional Ways to Win Worldly Souls: (hardly started... unproofed)

Now these are just thoughts at the present time. They need to be carefully evaluated & carefully thought-through. There is a certain class of people that would never go to naked activities & naked church-worships. Some of them *could* be reached in night-clubs, but the draw-back might be that the sinful atmosphere of the night-club might affect the one who tries to reach them.

Since we have never been in a night-club, then we aren't the ones who could properly advise regarding night-clubs. But we do know that people who have regularly been to night-clubs are attracted to go back to them. Well if they can now go without being severely tempted to do that which is truly sinful & if it doesn't harm their character & attitude too much, then they might be able to win some "night-club" souls.

(Much yet to comment about)

The 2nd idea is to develop a "personal-care" business, where your workers visit the homes & do what ever the person wants for care (except fuck!) It is legal to do anything within the home, if that is what the person wanted. Many will find out about nakedness, JSS-Love, etc. And thus, many will turn to Jesus. (unfinished)

(old message, cont.):

And you reply,

"Now, surely the Bible must say something like that..., somewhere..." Search your Bible. (Look up the key-words in Strong's Exhaustive Concordance in your local library) (or search the key-words in your Bible-App on your I-pad or smart-phone). Or, have your pastor & "Bible scholars" find it for you. Sorry, they aren't going to find it, because *it isn't there*. Why? Because the Lord has a *better* answer, hidden in the scriptures. That's why.

And again, remember that we aren't talking about "going wild." Because the Lord has revealed enough information for us to determine what is acceptable to do & what isn't. (Read on). These 7 so-called "'don'ts'" are discussed more thoroughly below with other insights (some repetition).

(Note: the discussion continues after these 3 "topic-insertions" (on approx. ??)

(New, Dec. 3, 2019) ("Scientific-proof section" enhanced for Jehovah's Witnesses & "Jesus-Name" Christians)

(scientific-proof that God was/is capable of foreseeing, without forcing anyone)

After more than 70 years of research, many nuclear-physicists concluded that there is no law of nature that holds the nucleus of the atoms together. The various kinds of atoms are made-up of 3 building-blocks, protons, neutrons & electrons. For instance, an oxygen atom has 8 protons & 8 neutrons that are *tightly-packed* together, that form the nucleus of the atom. The 8 electrons "swarm around" that nucleus in various electron-shells.

They knew this fact well-before World War II, & it puzzled the scientists on how those protons could be so tightly packed together. You see, protons have a positive charge, & electrons have a

negative charge. The electrons are attracted to protons & swarm-around the nucleus of the atom.

But protons are repelled by protons, & yet they are all tightly-packed together, no matter how big the atom is. This really puzzled the scientists back then, & still does today. You see, the attraction of electrons to protons is inversely proportional to the square of the distance (just like gravity). And protons are repelled from protons just as much as electrons are attracted to protons.

That means that if you were to cut the distance in half, between the 2 protons & the 2 electrons of helium, then the attractive force is 2 times 2, i.e. 4 times as much. And if you cut the distance in half again, then the attractive force is 4 times 4, 16 times as much. And if you cut it half yet-again, it's 16 times 16, 256 times as much. And if you cut it in half yet-again, it's 256 times 256, i.e. 65,536 times as much as the attraction-force of the actual electrons to the protons in *hydrogen!!!*

Now, we aren't nuclear-physicists & don't know if the protons are packed even tighter than that, but this illustrates the absolutely monstrous force required to hold the 2 protons together! Just think how huge the required force is in the big atoms (gold, lead, uranium)???

For instance, helium only has 2 protons. So if those 2 protons were circling around each other at that last distance that we calculated, then they would still be repelled 65,536 times as much as they are attracted to the two electrons that actually swarm around them. (Actually, it's half of that, 32,768 times as much, for there are two electrons swarming around them).

Can you now see that the nuclear physicists that say, “we just haven't found it yet” are lying?, & why that nuclear physicist said (in a popular science magazine 3-4 years ago), “By all the laws of nature, the nucleus of the atom should explode?” He concluded. “There can't be 'no god.' “ (You see, atheists don't want this fact to get-out, because they lose their excuse to sin if they have to acknowledge that there truly is a God).

Can you see how ridiculous that statement is? Now the attraction of the 2 electrons to the 2 protons in helium is sizable. But here is a repulsive force of thousands of times as much as the attractive force between the electron & proton of an hydrogen atom. And yet they say, “We just haven't found it yet???”

Now, they've been studying this for more than 70 years, & they know far-more about nuclear physics now, than they knew back then... So, how could they fail to find, after 70 years of intense research, an attractive force that is as monstrous as that??? No, the atheist-scientists are just saying that “to blind us,” for they know that they are lying. (It's bigger than any nuclear force).

But don't criticize the scientists that say that. “There are no atheists in fox-holes.” They say that because they don't want to acknowledge any God, & so, they try to cover it up. When they find out that the Lord is far better than even we Christians believed (JSS-Love & Plural Spouses), then many of them will turn to Jesus, for their major motivation was to justify illegitimate sex, etc.

But there is one-more thing that you need to see about this. If we hadn't illustrated it with a helium atom, we wouldn't have realized it. Keep in mind that we aren't nuclear physicists & don't know the other nuclear forces, but here is what would happen from the electrical forces alone:

If God were to stop holding the nucleus of helium together (2 protons, 2 neutrons), then those 2 protons would blast-away from each other, & one electron would go-after one proton, & the other would go-after the other proton, & you would end-up with hydrogen, perhaps deuterium (1 proton, 1 neutron), but more likely, regular hydrogen without any neutrons.

But let's take it a step further. If the Lord were to stop holding a huge atom like uranium (which He won't), they would blast-away much-much harder. All of the protons would blast-away in every direction, & each electron would chase after the proton that passed by closest it. So, the entire uranium atom would become hydrogen or deuterium or perhaps tritium (1 proton & 3 neutrons).

In other words, if the Lord were to stop holding these nuclei together (which He will never do), we & everything in the entire world, would instantly become hydrogen gas! The whole world would become a giant ball of hydrogen gas. (Wow, that's something to think about).

Is this conclusion correct? Yes, for that nuclear physicist concluded, “By all of the laws of nuclear physics, the nucleus of the atom should explode.” If the nucleus were to explode, then what would you have? That's right, hydrogen, with the electrons chasing after one of the protons.

But, that's only if the protons & electrons don't also burst apart, which they probably would. For a proton would require a huge-force to hold it's own electrical charge, too. And so our guess is that our whole earth wouldn't even be a ball of hydrogen. Instead, it would be a compacted mass of sub-atomic, “micro-micro-micro particles” whose electric-charge has “shorted out!”

But don't worry. That isn't going to happen, for we reveal (below) that God predestined (planned) everything so that the universe would end-up eternally safe. No, the Lord isn't going to miss-predict by an electron. He won't have to “vaporize” this universe & try again, because He made sure by predestining every atomic-particle, all without forcing anything or anyone.

No, God refuses to start over & thus cause His Son go-through that horrible-death on the Cross! He created the world to be inhabited (Isa 45:18, see vss 15-19). And so, He made-sure that He wouldn't miss by predestining every-single electron & every atomic & sub-atomic particle.

(But, Who is holding it all together?)

“Science doesn't prove predestination. It just reveals that God is capable of foreseeing the future without even missing by an electron. But the Bible, itself, declares who is holding it together. And that's amazing, for who would ever think that a rock or a steel beam has to be held-together???”

Many of you know that in God “...we live & move & have our being” (Acts 17:28, see vss 22-29). That verse tells us that it's God that gives us life & breath & movement, etc., but it doesn't tell us the detail about holding it together, nor does it tell us who is holding it together.

But, another text says that Jesus made everything & is holding it all together (Col 1:15-17, see vss 12-20). The last part of verse 17b says, “& in him all things hold together.” (ESV). The ESV has translated it correctly, for that is the primary meaning of that Greek-verb (not “consist”).

But the full meaning of that particular Greek-verb requires 5 words: “were and are holding together” (active, not passive). Instead of Jesus holding it together, Jesus is doing something that causes itself to hold together.

(New, Dec. 31 & 3, 2019) (footnote moved to here & now, greatly enhanced)

Before continuing this “science & Jesus” discovery, we need to comfort the Jehovah's Witnesses & the “Jesus Name” Christians, that they each, are partly right, & yes, the “Trinitarians” also need to slightly-modify their teaching, too (See footnote here²³).

23 But **Jehovah's Witnesses**, don't be discouraged, for your texts are also true, which most Christians ignore. You people are partly right, but the real answer about God, Jesus & “holy spirit” is briefly stated here & in more detail at the bottom of this PDF file. This new insight should bring **Jehovah's Witnesses, Trinitarian Christians & “Jesus-Name” Christians** together on the subject of God & Jesus & the Holy Spirit—but also about when & why God begot Jesus & when & why He (apparently) “divided” Himself to get “the Father” & “the Holy Spirit.” (footnote continued at the bottom of next page)

Here's a brief “picture:” Keep in mind that this is a human explanation, for what God actually did is surely far-beyond any human comprehension. So, this “picture” can only be a very-imperfect explanation. Jesus obviously has to be divine (God), for no created being could hold-together every atom inside your-own body & also in every atom in the entire universe. Since Col 1:17b says that Jesus is the one that causes everything (including the sun & the stars) to hold themselves together, then Jesus can only be divine (i.e. God).

Yes, “The Three” are all One (Mark 12:29-30, see vss 28-34) but in the very-very beginning, “the Three” were not there (Col 1:15 & Rev. 3:14b, see 2:1 to 3:2). At first, there was just God. (Be sure to keep an open mind). Trinitarians have tried to make the statement of Jesus being “the firstborn of all creation” (Col 1:15b, ESV) to mean that He is “firstborn” in terms of “rank,” but that's not what it literally says.

The literal (Greek) meaning of “firstborn” is the first brought forth in birth. That implies that Jesus, Himself, wasn't there in the very beginning, except as a “seed” inside of God. And Jesus Jesus wasn't there at the very beginning, then are you sure that the Father & the Holy Spirit were always there???

Now, who would ever think that a rock or a piece of steel would have to be constantly “held” together??? And yet, that verse in the Bible was written almost 2,000 years ago! But don't get the wrong idea. Jesus isn't doing whatever He wants; He's constantly following the the laws of nature, except in the cases when He works a miracle.

For instance, He caused the sun (or rather the earth) to move backwards 10 degrees/steps, all without any g-forces (Isa 38:8, see vss 1-8). The speed at the equator (from rotation) is about 1,000 mph (1500 kph), & yet Jesus rotated the earth backwards without any g-forces! **Wow!**

Satan can counterfeit it through optics, but Jesus effectively shut-off “the law of g-forces” while He did it. In other words, there is no “law of g-force” nor is there “the law of gravity.” Those force-

No, at first there was only God, not the Father, nor the Son nor the Holy Spirit. Why? Because God first had to develop wisdom to know that He was going need to be 3 Divine individuals (the Father, the Son & the Holy Spirit). You see, the first thing God did was to develop wisdom on what would be required for faithful people to live in & maintain a peaceful universe forever & ever (Prov 8:22-25, see 8:1-9:12).

Apparently, He saw that the only way to eliminate the possibility of rebellion was to convince the world with a rebellion that covers all aspects of rebellion, so that everyone (who would be saved in Eternity) would be thoroughly convinced to never rebel ever again (Nah 1:9, see whole book).

Thus, He saw that He would need a Savior (Jesus) to pay for their sins & also to save them out of that terrible rebellion. So the first thing God did—before making anything—was to beget pre-Jesus (Rev. 3:14b, see 2:1 to 3:22) as a very tiny “seed” of Himself, [John 3:16 & 18 (“the Greek” literally says “only begotten”), also John 1:14, 18 & 1 Jn 4:9].

John 3:16 makes it clear that pre-Jesus was God's only begotten Son before He came down to this earth (e.g. John 6:38,42, etc.). The reason why God begat pre-Jesus as a tiny “seed,” was to foreshadow Jesus eventually being begotten inside Mary's womb—billions of years later.

[Yes, the earth as we know it is only thousands of years old, but as you will see, the universe is much older than that. Note that there is no mention of God creating the water nor the planet in Gen. ch. 1 & 2 (see vss 1-8) during those 6 days.

“The earth” spoken in vs. 1 refers to the place where people would walk & live. The lights in vss. 14 & 15 are talking about the light from the sun & moon becoming visible (clouds parting), not that they were created on that day. “The stars also” in vs. 15 are a side comment that they also were made, but necessarily on that day.

Based on these scriptures & scientific evidence, many creation-scientists believe that the water & the planet & the sun & stars were already there & were created billions of years earlier].

Just as each kind of mammal begets its own kind (except for “mule-like” mammals that can't reproduce), so also did God beget his own kind. So, God begat “God” in begetting, i.e. pre-Jesus—from a part of Himself, just as a human baby is from “a part of” its father & a part of its mother. But God didn't need a mother to beget pre-Jesus, just as some plants & animals don't mate when they reproduce, for the Father & the Holy Spirit were not-yet there.

Since God's “seed” was a very-tiny part of Himself, then “microscopic pre-Jesus” could gradually grow (being Part of God) till He was as big or bigger than the whole universe. [Jesus had to become as-big as the universe in order to hold the whole universe together. Yes, pre-Jesus had a body before He came to this earth (Dan 8:15:16, etc.). And now, Jesus has a human spiritual-body, & God the Father also has an invisible body, but You can't contain all of God in a body, for part of definition of God is that He is everywhere (omnipresent)]. (cont. next p.)

But God also foresaw that He was going to need an “enabler,” to enable sinners to turn away from that Rebellor (from Satan). And, He would also need a “Father” to be in-charge of it all (John 14:28, see 27-29), & also to be the One for people pray to (John 16:23, Matt 6:6 & 9, see vss 5-14). So after begetting pre-Jesus—for that was the first thing God actually “created” (yes, for “begetting” is one form of creating a person that didn't really exist before then, except as a “seed” with-in the parent). After begetting pre-Jesus, then He also (apparently) divided Himself into “the Father” (who now represents the original God) & “the Holy Spirit.”

Why did pre-Jesus have to make everything that was made (Col 1:16, see vss 12-20, John 1:1-3)? It was because it would break the sinner's heart for his Savior to also be his Maker; as well as the One who is also holding him together! Since Jesus is holding the universe together, it only makes sense that He also made it.

Yes, all Three participated in making this earth (Gen 1:2 & 26, see 1:1 to 2:3) & of course, in creating the universe, too. My guess is that all Three participated, so that we might know that there are Three (& also, to help support pre-Jesus in creating that which would cost Him so much). But apparently, all of the actual creating was

laws constantly-occur because Jesus causes them except when doing a miracle.

So is the Bible correct about Jesus constantly holding everything together??? Yes, for the nuclear physicist agreed that atoms would explode if that “Something” stopped holding it all together. The whole universe would become a huge ball of hydrogen gas (or much-worse, for we are assuming that pre-Jesus was, & Jesus now is, holding everything together, including all the electrons, protons & all of the stars). But Jesus isn't going to do that, for He created the world to be inhabited (Isa 45:18, see vss 15-25). Jesus loves us, & He's not going to start all-over again.

How do we know? Because the Holy Bible repeatedly says that God not only foresaw it all, but He also predestined the entire story, not just the story of our earth, but also of the entire universe. For example, Rom. 8:29 says, “For whom He foreknew, He also predestined to be conformed to the image of His Son, that He might be the firstborn among many brethren.” (NKJV).

So, He's not going to miss by an electron on what He planned, & thus He will never have-to start-over again. We discuss more about this further below, so that you can conceive how He might have predestined it, & also so that you can conceive why He had to predestine it.

It's understandable how God could foresee (assuming that God could picture in His mind all of the atoms & particles across the vast universe, & then watch it “flow” as time progresses. But, how would He be able to predestine what would happen?

The answer is “by trial & error,” (sort of): by starting with one design, & then modifying the things that He pictured, & by modifying the genetics of our first parents, & by modifying His answers to prayers, by changing the answer from “yes” to either “no” or “wait awhile,” & by modifying when & what He would communicate & to which men/women, & by modifying what He would say by the various prophets, & by the miracles that He would & wouldn't do, etc., etc.

But for right now, we'll just say that He had to predestine it if He wanted the universe eternally secure “from sin” from then-on. The Lord chose to eventually have the universe eternally free from sin, so that He would not have to predestine anything from that time, onward. From then on, the

actually done by pre-Jesus, not by the other Two, just like it implies in Col. 1:16.

Yes, the Father is greater than Jesus & greater than pre-Jesus (John 14:28) & could have created something vastly greater than our vast universe, but instead, He had pre-Jesus create it, so that the One who created us would also be the one who died for us. And pre-Jesus, fore-knowing what would befall Him (Rev 13:8, NKJV & ALT2 (Analytical-Literal Translation #2, from the Byzantine Majority Text, which is nearly the same as the Majority Text)), went ahead & created it anyway, foreknowing that it would be well-worth that monstrous sacrifice.

But “**Jesus Name**” Christians, yes, the Father, the Son & the Holy Spirit are each, part of God, for that is what they are “made out of.” You are right about that much. But what you forgot was that Jesus is going to continue onward for ever & ever (Rev 19:6-9, 21:22-23). Jesus' Bride (His special people) are gong to continue to be His wife for ever & ever. And also, Jesus will continue to sit with His Father on His Father's throne for ever. And, Jesus' over-comers are going to sit with Jesus on His throne (Rev 3:21, see vss 14-22) forever.

The Father, the Son & the Holy Spirit (& probably the 7 Spirits of God) will never “dissolve” into one God. They will always remain as distinct individuals throughout eternity, even though they all are actually part of “God.” Over & over in the Bible, the Father, the Son & the Holy Spirit are each treated as distinct individuals. So please, acknowledge that all 3 are also distinct individuals, for they will be so forever. Then all of us denominations can then be united on—at least—this one teaching (this modified “Trinity”).

As for the 7 Spirits of God in the book of Revelation (Rev 1:4b, 4:5b & 5:6b), these may be manifestations of the Holy Spirit, but my guess is that God further subdivided the Holy Spirit into 7 distinct Spirits from the very beginning, before starting to make anything, foreknowing that He was gong to need these 7 distinct Spirits (Rev 5:6b, see vss 1-7). Look, if the Father, the Son & the Holy Spirit are 3 separate individuals, then what makes you think that the 7 Spirits can't also be 7 individuals, all of which are part-of the Holy Spirit???

So after Jehovah's Witnesses & “Jesus Name” Christians are convinced as denominations, then they can just modify this belief and then, keep the rest of their beliefs. But don't be surprised if both of your denominations start growing 10 times faster with just this one modification!]. (end of footnote)

earth will be eternally secure, with no more tears, no death, no sorrow nor crying nor pain, forevermore (Rev 21:3,4).

(Hidden Approval of JSS-Love & Plural-spouses has been moved above)

(End of the 3 Topic-Insertions)

(New, Sept. 18, 2019 (clarified) (returning to the discussion prior to the insertions,

What do you think about that silence???

If our present teachings were correct, then wouldn't the Lord have said something like we worded them, on all 7 of these traditional teachings? Yes, He would have, because it would have helped countless millions (in the past) to be more careful to avoid sexual- & marriage-sins.

Then why would the all-knowing God keep totally silent on all 7 of these "don'ts???" It is because something isn't right about all 7 traditional teachings. So, He couldn't teach these traditional teachings, not the way we presently understand them, but that's not all.

There's no way that this total silence on all seven of these teachings, could happen by chance. In fact, since the Lord is all-knowing, not even one of them could happen by chance. But since there are seven (God's number), it suggests that **the Lord Himself caused it & is strongly "hinting:"**

"Hey, you people at the end of time, Wake-up!!! I was purposely silent on these seven teachings for a reason, to help wake-up you people (but not till now), that something is wrong about all 7 of these teachings on sex & marriage. **Also, I even hid My approval (in the Bible) of 1) appropriate self-masturbation, 2) appropriate JSS-Love & 3) of women having Plural-Husbands.**

[Continuing] "But that's not all. What does that mean when I [God] am totally silent about a teaching in the Bible? It means that part (or all) of that teaching is false & isn't necessarily wrong. Your Enemy, Satan, changed My teachings at the very beginning. He is the one who generated all seven of these counterfeit teachings, in order to seduce more Christians into sexual- & marriage sins.

"Yes, these false teachings can be wrong if they also violate (at the same time) something else that I did say in the Bible. But otherwise, part (or all) of each of these seven teachings is false. If even one of those false teachings were true, I would have made it clear in the Bible & said so.

"How can you know? I would never be silent on something that is truly wrong, for someone gets hurt every time someone else does any kind of wrong, & I would be blamed for not warning them: "Why didn't You make it clear & warn me about that???" The same thing is true for any sin. It's wrong because somebody will be harmed if they do it. So, I couldn't be silent about something that is truly wrong. It wouldn't be right for Me to be silent on something that will harm anyone."

"So people, what more could I do to help wake you up???"

People, these statements that the Lord might have said, are all the proof you need to know that these "seven" aren't necessarily wrong. The Lord could never remain silent on them if they were categorically wrong.

Yes, there has been some "tampering" on all 7 of these supposed "don'ts"—no, not tampering with the Word of God, for the Lord always protects the Bible—but instead, the Enemy "tampered with" God's people, yes, generation after generation, all the way from the beginning till now, by counterfeiting the Holy Spirit. Throughout history, the Lord's people have been moved to believe & teach these seven, supposed "don'ts", believing that they are part of God's commandments. And yet, the Holy Bible never even comments about these "seven."

And you ask, "Well then,

if the Devil wasn't allowed to tamper with the Bible, then how did he succeed in tampering with our traditional teachings on sex & marriage?" The Enemy succeeded by persuading "us," down through the ages, by counterfeiting the "Holy Spirit," to think/feel that we are teaching what the

Lord meant, even though He doesn't/didn't actually say that.

And, how could the Enemy (“Satan”) do that? By counterfeiting the Holy Spirit so well that it convinces us (everyone from Adam & Eve, downward) that what we are teaching is correct, even though the Bible doesn't teach that. This didn't just happen with Adam & Eve (we are Bible-believers), but has continued from generation to generation, all the way from then till now!

But don't get the wrong idea. Yes, even the Apostles were misled on (most of) these 7 distorted teachings, but amazingly, not even one of those 7 “false-silent” teachings got into the Bible. (That's because the Lord guided all of that).

If you don't think so, then just look at similar deceptions that the Enemy has done to those churches that depend on tradition, rather than on what the Bible teaches. The Enemy has used his counterfeit “Holy Spirit” to continually move upon (from generation to generation) those who depend upon tradition (rather than the Bible).

That is why they even teach things that go beyond, contradict what even the Bible does teach [e.g. 1) “procreation only,” (read-on) 2) exalting “no-sex-at-all-celibacy,” as if there were some kind of virtue to abstain from any sex at all. Whereas (as you will see below) even St. Paul, himself, warned against “no sex at all” & even relieved himself (through self-masturbation, without evil thoughts) periodically, so that he could maintain good (sexual) self-control.

As you will see, this explains why so many priests, who originally dedicated their lives to God (& we believe that they truly did, originally), have eventually fallen into terrible sexual sins with children, etc. Their sexual self-control weakened (because of even abstaining from relieving themselves through self-masturbation. (Celibates, read-on & you will see that St. Paul really did periodically relieve Himself through self-masturbation (w/o any evil thoughts) to maintain good self-control.

Yes, even that much is hidden in the Bible. Many priests have (eventually) gotten into trouble, sexually, because their sexual self-control weakened more & more as the years went-by, until they finally gave-in.

(And of course, their practice of forgiving Christians who are continually living in sin, who have no intention of repenting, their practice of forgiving these false-Christians only encouraged them to also live in sin, thinking that that they, too, will be forgiven, anyway). Sorry, “...except you repent, you shall also likewise perish” (Luke 13:3,5, see vss 1-5).

So, don't think that the Enemy hasn't also been moving upon people, even in our day, to teach these 7 traditional “don'ts,” that the Holy Bible is totally silent about. Satan can counterfeit the Holy Spirit so well that you (& I) would swear that it has to be the true Holy Spirit, except that it occasionally contradicts the Word of God (i.e. mixes error with truth).

But in this case that we are presenting here, instead of “mixing error,” Satan fills them with “righteous emotions” that move them to feel this supposed “holy spirit” is revealing to them that this discovery isn't true & that these people (us authors) are mixing truth with error.

The people that he deceives “can't put their finger on it.” They don't have any verses that we haven't already been explained, & yet still, they feel convicted (by the so-called “holy spirit”) that we are mixing truth with error. And so, they reject this website, because the whole thing “goes against their grain,” plus they feel that the Holy Spirit is backing-up them up, when it is actually devils that are moving them to feel that way!.

(Hint: You can't make an accurate decision if you don't have reliable texts to fully back you up, because it might be devils, as in this case, that move you to decide contrary to the real truth).

So, our only safety is to depend on what the Bible truly does teach. We can't depend on vague feelings or “convictions” that aren't fully justified by the Word of God. Sorry. If you don't have scriptures that fully back you up, then you can't really come to a conclusion, especially because

those feelings & convictions could be coming from a counterfeit “holy spirit.”

Why did the Lord remain silent?

So, the Lord would have said something about them *if* they were correct, because it would have helped people keep from falling into these “sins,” that is, *if* they truly were sins. But He couldn't do that if there had been some tampering, & yet, also wake us up about this “tampering” at the end of time.

And since there are 7 of them (God's number), it tells you that the Lord was purposely hiding something about all 7 of them, for it couldn't happen any other way. In other words, it verifies that God has been hiding something about all 7 of these so-called “don'ts,” since the days of Jesus & even since the days of Moses. Otherwise, He would have, at least, said something, pro or con, about all 7 of them.

The Lord remained silent about these 7 traditional teachings for 1) These teachings aren't correct. So, He couldn't confirm them. & 2) It was the Enemy that set-up these false teachings as a trap, from the beginning, so that lots more people would fall-into these sins. So, the Lord decided to save this secret till our day & hide it, so that Satan would get caught in his own trap in the end (Ps 10:2 & 9:15-16; both psalms talk about Satan).

(Unbelievable? These false teachings seem so virtuous, that who would think that there is a better way, where people would be a lot less likely to fall-into those sins? (“Read-on.” You'll see.)).

So when we see (& actually experience) that there truly is a better way, where far less people fall into those sins, then we will loyally follow Jesus. And then, Satan will get caught in his very-own own trap that he made for us. 3)

There is also a third reason that is hard to believe until you “read-on.” It's hard to believe, but the whole world would have been a lot less wicked, had the Lord revealed the truth about these 7 teachings thousands of years ago.

And you ask, “Well then, why didn't the Lord reveal it, way-back then???”

3a) Had the Lord revealed these truths thousands of years ago, then the world wouldn't have been nearly as wicked (you'll see). And if the world were a lot less wicked, then we wouldn't have seen the importance of closely following Jesus. Thus, we wouldn't have been fully loyal, & Satan would never have gotten caught in his-own trap.

For the Devil gets caught by our overcoming, yes, even by overcoming Satan's little temptations (Rev 12:11, see 7-12) through Holy Spirit gifts, purchased by Jesus shedding His blood 7 times (Rev 5:6, see vss 1-10). (What is impossible with man, is more than feasible through Jesus' enabling gifts).

The Lord had to remain silent, so that all 7 of them would not be revealed as counterfeit-commandments until the end of time. The Lord had to hide His real teachings about these subjects & thus, catch Satan in his very-own trap. For, believe it or not, these “traps” are largely to blame for much of the wickedness of mankind. (Read-on & you'll see why).

If we had known the Lord's true teachings long ago, then the world wouldn't have been nearly as wicked. So the Lord had to hide them till now, so that we could see the great contrast between the teachings that Satan set-up & the teachings that God originally intended.

Otherwise, the world would have been a lot less wicked, & we wouldn't have seen the great contrast between “good” & “evil.” And why is it so important to have a great contrast between “good” & “evil?” So that when we get to Heaven, that all of us will never rebel in sin ever-again.

(New, Nov. 5, 2019) “One big-bunch” of secondary-reasons:

We Agree That the 7 “Don'ts” Look Virtuous, But Look At the Damage They've Done.

Look, we've already proved (4 ways) that these 7 are false, which includes plural spouses. Also, it's obvious from the Bible record that Adam & Eve's descendants only had one wife. And since

God doesn't change His moral standards on what's right & what's wrong (Mal 3:6, see vss 1-12), then that means that the Lord never taught them to only have one spouse.

“Well then, who did?” Yes, it was Adam & Eve that taught that, but was it really? Actually, it was because our Enemy, Satan, whom we Bible-believers are well aware of, for we have, almost daily, fought against him for many years. He's a great deceiver & delights in you liberals believing that he doesn't exist. (He & all of hosts, have caused all of the horrendous weather all of these years, & you & the scientists have thought that it was entirely because of “nature!” Not so).

But Satan is extremely wily & deceptive.

(That's how he persuaded you liberals to not believe in him). He likes “to work behind the scenes.” It was him who secretly moved upon Adam & Eve to seriously question the Lord's teachings on sex & marriage. They kept thinking that all of their doubts & questions were coming from their own thoughts, & they were. But at the same time, Satan was silently implanting those thoughts & feelings into their hearts & minds.

For example, the Evil One surely moved upon Adam to say, “The Lord only made one of me & one of you. He didn't make 10 of me & 10 of you, [etc.]. You & I are happily married together, just the two of us. So, why wouldn't our sons also be happily married to a good woman?”

They forgot that the Lord carefully designed Eve to “fit” Adam, to fit his personality, his abilities, his interests, etc., etc. Plus, they didn't take into consideration that their son's (& daughters) weren't nearly as virtuous as they were & weren't afraid to defy these teachings, if they saw someone that they wanted more than whom they already had.

Also, they didn't think about the danger of picking the wife for them early-on, while they were still in puberty, not yet grown-up. Surely all of you know that your interests & “tastes” mature a great deal between puberty & typical marrying age. Perhaps even Adam & Eve would have picked someone different if they had waited till their children were at least 18 or 20 or more.

And, here's another thing

that Adam didn't realize, when Satan persuaded them to “raise the standard” on sex & marriage. “They had no idea” that people's self-control keeps diminishing when they don't periodically relieve themselves, sexually (1 Cor 7:2-5). Adam & Eve thought they had that problem solved by giving them a wife. They didn't realize that many spouses were going to “be left high & dry” when their spouse would leave them, w/o any (approved) way of relieving their sex-drive until they found someone else. They didn't realize that they were eliminating the Lord's primary way of escape from sexual temptations, leaving very-meager ways of escape for their descendants to use from that time, onward.

The one that divorced, quickly committed adultery by marrying the one that he/she wanted, but the other “was left high & dry.” The one left behind was not only angered, but also was gradually losing his/her sexual self-control, as the weeks & months (years?) went bye. So, many of the rejected-ones also sinned by either committing fornication with someone, or by quickly marrying someone that they knew wasn't a good choice, & later ended-up divorcing that one, too.

But also in “raising the standard,” they didn't realize that they had also eliminated the Lord's primary way of escape, when being strongly attracted to someone else (one of Satan's long-time techniques, to get people to go-after somebody else). So, many (probably most) of them ended-up, “bolting from” Adam's commandments & quickly marrying somebody else!

(But you'll be shocked to see the Bible-clues on what also happened before Noah's Flood!!! (now starting on about p. ??)).

So, is it any wonder that

their “puberty-sons & daughters” wanted somebody else when they grew-up? No, it's not

surprising at all. Many of the Jews (not all) were still practicing this in the days of the last Old Testament prophet (about 400 BCE/BC, Mal 2:13-16). And what results were they getting??? Most of the guys who were married-off at puberty, covered their “garment with violence” (vs 16, ESV) by divorcing “the wife of your youth” (vs 14) & marrying someone else.

That custom had become terrible in Jesus' day, where lots of Pharisees were repeatedly divorcing their present wife to get somebody still better. If you've read the 4 Gospels a couple of times, then you know that Jesus addressed this problem several times in those gospels. The last time Jesus addressed it (before suffering as a criminal), He made it super-clear (Matt. 19:3-9) that those who did that were committing adultery. That's one reason why the Pharisees wanted Him dead!!!

They had justified themselves, because of their tradition that the famous, ancient Rabbis taught them. This all started back in the days of the Babylonian Empire (in the 500's BCE/BC). When the Chaldeans (“Babylonians”) conquered them, it became against the law to have more than one wife. That law remained in each succeeding empire, all the way till fall of the Roman Empire (476 AD).

I've hardly read any of the Jewish “tradition” (Mishna & Gehmarah), but I do remember the quote of one ancient Rabbi. His answer to their problem of not being allowed to have more than one wife, was (effectively), “If you see a woman you want, then divorce your wife & go get her!”

Apparently, because of the stress of only being allowed one wife, they started putting that Rabbi's teaching into practice. This was one of the reasons why the Pharisees hated Jesus so much, because He rigidly opposed that.

And now guess what???

Many men (& some women) today, are now leaving their wife (husband) & just living-with (typically) a younger woman, not even divorcing, nor remarrying (which was the “forerunner” of this problem for more than 40 years).

Our nation keeps going down-hill. Every empire that has ever fallen, has fallen from the breakdown of the family (i.e. licentiousness), & the USA is heading that way. People, let's make a change. We proved to you (4 ways) that the Lord never forbade any of these 7 “don'ts”.

That is where all of these problems have come from, because of forbidding 7 false “don'ts”.

(New, June 11, 2020, further additions & clarifications)

Can't you see that these restrictions

have caused 10 times as much sin (which often leads to terribly wicked sins)?, e.g.:

- 1) Some children severely tempted to masturbate or to “experiment with sex” with their sister or their neighbor, because they think it is wrong to relieve themselves, sexually, at any time. How much better it is to have already experienced the Lord's preferred ways of escape from these 2 temptations.
- 2) Some parents (more than you think), neighbors & adults in opportune positions (even some priests), truly-molest children & persuade them to be quiet about it. Since it feels good & thus, the children want to be quiet about it, because they don't want to quit, either. But just think where it leads when they grow-up—flagrant immorality from their teenage-years, onward. How much better for those children to already know at an early age, & to practice God's proper way of escape.
- 3) Multitudes of children & youth are being nude together, when Mom & Dad are not around, because their naked-drive & sex-drive were never satisfied, previously. Thus the temptation to be nude together is tremendous. But they can't stop this sin (which, technically isn't wrong), because they become slaves to that sin (John 8:34, see vss 31-36). So, they don't stop when they leave home & go to college. The girls avoid sex, because they don't want to lose their virginity, but the guys are masturbating in the bathroom, picturing that they will fuck one of those girls, & then another & another, someday, when those girls eventually give-in. Since they believe that

God forbids nakedness (false), & since they don't know God's way of escape, their mouths become sensual & filthy-mouthed (even the girls). All of this, especially when 2 of them get-nude together alone, she eventually gives-in someday. And then he pursues another girl & another & another. And when these girls finally give-in, many of them give-up & quickly become “sluts,” & the guys become “sex-nuts,”

How much better it is to be nude together & share God's primary way of escape. Then they don't lose their virginity nor sin against God (if properly shared).

4) Multitudes of young adults have rejected God, because of rejecting restrictions on sex & marriage, wanting sex with anyone (which has been going on for more than 50 years).

5) The majority of people in the USA (even more-so in Europe) are not interested in God (because they don't want to obey all of these restrictions on sex & marriage). When we make use of these new teachings in evangelism, & when the Lord pours-out the Holy-Spirit to aid us, then multitudes will turn to God & loyally follow Him.

6) Just think of all of the pregnant women that take their baby's life by getting abortions. Everyone has thought that the answer is to convict all of them to carry their babies full-term & deliver them & give the babies away. But, God's answer is 10 times better than that—don't disobey God, so that you don't get pregnant. Instead, share JSS-Love, while practicing careful-cleanliness. If they do that, then they won't disobey the Lord, nor ever get pregnant.

7) Many, many are liberally-using Alcohol & illicit drugs, headed toward alcoholism & drug addiction. (You see, sex & alcohol (&/or drugs) “goes together like fish & water”). They almost always try to hide that behind their addiction, but the major reason why they drank did drugs is to do sex. If they will look in faith to Jesus to free them, & if they learn these acceptable ways to get their sex-problem under control, then (with Jesus' help) both their drugs & their illegitimate-sex problem will be under control.

8) Look at all of the crimes & “Black Market” crimes, & prostitutes & stealing of children (for sex) & making captive-slaves out of young girls to make money-off of “the sex they give,” & the huge number of adults (often parents) that are imprisoned for child-molesting.

9) And there's one more: One sin leads to another & another & leads to worse & worse sins. For once a Christian loses his/her commitment to follow & obey God—on even one thing—then the Lord has to leave him/her, because he/she now becomes his/her-own master.

The reason why he keeps the other commandments (at first) is because he chooses to (not because of Jesus). But his emotions rule him, & he will let-go of one commandment, & then later, let-go of another commandment, until there isn't any commandment (including murder) that he/she won't do, if his/her emotions want it bad enough.

Can you now see why we said that these 7 “don'ts” are the major cause of the world becoming so wicked??? The sinners in all 7 of these categories keep getting worse & worse & worse as time goes on. If we don't get rid of these 7 false-“don'ts”, then the USA & Europe & most of the world will collapse from wickedness (or at the very least, be conquered by other nations).

Believers, it's time to take-action.

Let's first convince our denominations, for it will be much easier to take this new Gospel (this good news) to the world, if you Christians can build upon what your denomination has already done. But now, you'll have 10 times as much success, compared to what you have had in the past.

Yes, these 7 categories aren't going to go away, but we can now greatly reduce the number of people that are participating in these 7 categories. Many of them came from quasi-Christian homes, but fell into these terrible sins. But we can also “pull out of the pit” many non-Christians as well, who haven't yet known about Jesus.

But please be patient with your denomination. You probably have a number of leaders who have lost “Jesus” & don't even know it (because of being “heady” & “high-minded”). Just be patient, &

keep spreading this new teaching in your local church, & then to nearby churches of your-own denomination, & to your distant friends who are in the same denomination (& even to friends who aren't).

When they see this new belief growing bigger & bigger & faster & faster, then the hard-headed ones will pull-out & form their-own church (for they will see that they are out-numbered, for they don't know that Jesus has left them (Rev 3:16, see vss 14-19)). So, be patient. Most of your denominations will be able to keep their-own name, if they want. (But, they might want to add-on "The New..." to their denominational name, to emphasize "this new change" in their beliefs).

Written before May, 2020:

By the way, Gals, "the ball" "is now in your court" for asking to share love & also for marrying. Why? Things are now changing, & men are now going to choose women for lots of positions of leadership, in the churches, in government & in society (& much-more leadership in the family, too). Because women will become more precious to men, more than they have ever been before. No, we aren't just flattering you; because that is what it will be.

But "the ball is also in your court" for sharing love together, because men are (almost) "always ready for love." So it is best for women to "do the asking," for women aren't always in the mood. That way, women can ask at the times when they they are in the mood for love, so that men aren't disappointed, either.

Encouragement to take action:

But also, women, you will find it a lot easier "to be in that mood" when you share your love with lots of different men. And why do that??? Because you love them, too, & because sharing JSS-Love will no longer "be put on a pedestal," no longer regarded as an extreme thing to do. Sharing love with the same husband/boyfriend isn't always exciting, "after the newness wears-off."

But it will make it a lot more inspiring "when you open up" & share your love with lots of men that you care about, even those that you will never marry. Just because they wouldn't be a good choice (or beyond your reach), doesn't mean that you don't love them,.

But you used to think, "But that would be sinful." We reply, "Just because that man is married to someone-else, doesn't mean that you don't also love him & he also loves you. No, he isn't to share the sexual-symbol of marriage with you, but instead, JSS-Love, for you-two aren't married to each other—and perhaps never will be.

And as we have shown above, that was why the Lord was totally-silent (& even hid His approval) about the lesser-forms of sex, because it isn't wrong at all if shared properly. In fact, we even showed you that JSS-Love is God's preferred way of escape, whenstrongly tempted. Coveting only becomes wrong when you are coveting what you can't have, or coveting what you shouldn't have.

And besides as we showed you that the Lord has even allowed you women to have more than one husband, (each usually living separately, occasionally living-with each spouse a reasonable amount of time, so that each of your spouses has proper attention & vice versa).

Why are we repeating these things??? Because it is time to put-away these old attitudes & start believing that it truly is right (if your heart is-right). It is time to take action, when you see the opportunity, & share JSS-Love with many friends—not too often with any particular person (if

married & not courting each other)—but often with someone that you care about.

Why? Because it will make the whole world sweeter & happier when “everyone” is expressing love to each other—at least with hugs & kisses & fond expressions & “daily” sharing JSS-Love with someone-different (frequently, not neglecting her children nor her husband(s), of course)—far different from our present society. For it is a privilege that the Lord gave us in the very beginning (& is now being restored).

No, she doesn't over-load herself. She just manages her time better, so that she has time for all of thee things, including worship & Bible-study. If she is stressed-out or doesn't feel like it, then she doesn't share love with others—only when it feels comfortable. For that is the way women functions best (men, too, but not quite to that extreme).

And He even gave you women the privilege of carefully courting & marrying a number of husbands—only those who truly fit, & only those that you are sure that you can “stick-with” till death do you part.

“Variety is the Spice of Life:”

Almost all of us are more interested in doing something different, than in doing the same thing. So what makes you think that it has to be sinful, if that person is more special & more interesting??? It doesn't have to be, if your heart is right.

You see, sharing JSS-Love with someone-different multiplies your love for your-own husband! You will appreciate your-own husband(s) a lot more, when you share JSS-Love with others, & yes, sometimes by even adding a husband—because, at the very-least, it was your husband who gave you these freedoms, & also because you aren't tired of him anymore.

Your present husband will then be more special to you, even if you share love with someone “better than” he, because he is precious to you in his own, special way, & no longer, “just the same-old husband.” And also, you will find that your husband appreciates you at lot more, too.

For that is a law of nature: that we are more interested, when we have something different & when we do something different, rather than just having “the-same-old husband” & “doing the same-old thing.”

No, it was Satan, rather than God, that has caused us to miss-out on this variety in our lives all of these years.

Wow!!! Our whole way of life will be amazingly transformed by you women taking action on these 3 things: 1) greeting friends by hugging & kissing & showing kindnesses, 2) frequent JSS-Love with all of those that you care about & 3) sometimes, adding an additional husband. Remember, you can't marry all of them, but you can share love with them.

It will become so-right—if your heart remains loyal to your Lord & remains loyal to each, & every husband. And you will find that the number of men that you care about (that you share JSS-Love with) will keep increasing.

You will even get responses, saying, “You are asking these guys. Well then, how about me?” And another one will join-in & say, “Me too!”

And it will seem so right, that you'll become thoroughly convinced! Just think of it, women, gradually-transforming the world, to be more kind, to be more thoughtful, more loving..., one person at a time.

So women, take action & you will be amazed at how “your little world all around you” will gradually be transformed. If a lot of you women take hold, then we men will gladly rejoice!

But you reply,

“I can't ask a thing like that (ask for JSS-Love)!” Yes, that has been true in our present society, for “everyone” has thought that any sex was wrong, wrong, wrong!!! Look, what did we discover??? Didn't the Lord even hide his approval of that??? Then why should you feel embarrassed & feel that asking is such an extreme thing??? It is not extreme anymore.

After all, men who are convinced about JSS-Love want a woman to ask. So, why not step-out on faith, even if you are one of those that isn't that desirable??? You might get turned-down by a few, but many godly men, who love Jesus, will gladly honor you.

Men, if you want to encourage women to frequently share JSS-Love, then you need to respond favorably to those who aren't desirable. It is not only what Jesus would have done (if He had lived in our day), but also, it encourages other believing-women to also share their love, as well.

So men, rather than saying “not now,” make an appointment instead (& keep it). That way, that woman doesn't get discouraged. In fact, that is a good idea to respond to all women by making an appointment, instead of saying “Not now”—which hurts a woman's feelings, because she will think that you aren't interested in her. Remember, guys, women are very-sensitive people! That is the way that the Lord “designed” women to be (most of them)--very sensitive “creatures.”

Our whole attitude about this will gradually be transformed, among those that believe these new teachings. JSS-Love will become so common, that people won't hesitate in the slightest (once a day with someone different, sometimes twice a day). as long as both of them want to share it, & as long as it fits-into everyone's schedule. But don't feel like you have to share it with someone-different everyday. Women, just make that the general-trend of your free-time, once a day, only when you feel like it, for it will be a blessing to “your whole little world” around you.

“All he talks about is sex, sex, sex.”

Now, some of you are surly thinking that this author only thinks about sex & about promoting sex. Fart from it. Remember that it is pretty-hard to talk about anything else, since this website is exposing Satan's greatest series of deceptions that he ever gave: his 8 (10) false prohibitions of 1) no sex at all, not even self-masturbation, 2) no lesser forms of sex, 3) no plural- marriages,... 8) no nakedness with friends, not even in private 9): no sex, even for married people (except for procreation), which violates the scriptures, etc. That is why you hear that word (sex) so much.

All 9 of those are from Satan's craftiness, not only to get many to rebel, but also to get most of the other people to eventually fall into sexual-sins (&/or marriage-sins) that truly-are wrong. More people have fallen from this series of false-prohibitions—than from any other temptation.

Satan delights in these 9 false-prohibitions, because he can severely tempt people who heed them, because it weakens their self-control (1 Cor 7:5b, see vss 2-5) from “no sex at all.” That is why so many celibate-priests have given-in to many terrible, sexual sins, & why so many young people have given-in to premarital sex. And those who practice “procreation only” have been severely tempted to “cheat,” or to give-in to whores &/or prostitutes. Isn't it better to heed what the Bible says (1 Cor 7:2-5), than to give-in & then ask the priest to forgive you—which Jesus says that he cannot do, if you don't repent & stop doing it (Matt 7:21-27)???

There is also a similar lack of self-control (to a lesser extent) from the rest of the 9 false-prohibitions, as well. For instance, forbidding nakedness with others also weakens self-control—for even husbands to be seriously tempted to look at pornography, & causing young & old to be seriously tempted to be naked in various ways. Similarly, being confined to only one spouse, & not even allowed to express affection to anyone else, increases the temptation to “cheat” or “to ditch their spouse for “somebody else.” Sorry, it is true.

And just think of the terrific damage to society from those who have left the church & have rebelled against “everything.” Many of them never would have rebelled & would never have gotten into such serious evils & such horrendous crimes, if they had known what we now know.

Sorry that we have to talk about it so much.

There is a lot more to life than sex, especially for us loyal Christians. If we learn to manage our time better, then we can work-in more worship-time & Bible-study than we ever have in the past, as well as enough time for JSS-Love daily—all without forgetting our spouses.

But to express any kind of love with others, is a very important part of life, which adds a lot of happiness & meaning to life, especially if you include JSS-Love with it. It isn't a matter of needing sex that often, or of primarily thinking about sex. It is a matter of frequently expressing love back & forth to others, most of whom need that kindness of your love, which boots their happiness & meaning in life & encourages many to keep-on going.

And, a part of that love is to hug & kiss most of your friends, once a day when you see them. But the point is that in the future, when you see someone that you want to share love with (& he also wants), that it will be natural to include JSS-Love. Because it will become so common that we won't even think about it. It will seem like the right thing, the natural thing to do.

But we need to caution those of you who crave sex, to not share JSS-Love several times a day. For it can harm your nervous-system—at least, it seriously-harms men & teenage-boys if they do. But men, if a situation happens where you need to share JSS-Love with several women (e.g. at your birthday party, with lots of women-friends attending), then there is an answer for you: You “take care of” every one of them with JSS-Love of them, but not of you. Then on the last one, then you give/receive JSS-Love to satisfy you.

Weekly Get-Together Groups:

Eventually, “nakedness (in private)” will become so common that many of our friends will frequently gather together in small-groups, to be naked together—to just share (naked) Christian-fellowship in the presence of Jesus (Matt 18:19-20) & to enjoy Christian-fellowship with each other (sharing a few scriptures & spiritual-thoughts—for it certainly isn't wrong for fellow-Christians to be naked together in private.

Otherwise, the Lord would have said something about it. And besides. the Lord loves it when we come close to each other in Christ's presence (prophesied in Mal 3:16-17).

How do we know? Because the Lord delights in us becoming intimate with Him! And when we become intimate with each other, in the presence of Christ, we naturally become intimate with all Three (not just Jesus). For we then realize that our beautiful relationship with each other, is actually coming from Jesus bestowing His blessing on our “naked” gathering.

But also, most of that group won't hesitate to also share JSS-Love with “any” of those that are present (partner chosen by “chance,” so that none are neglected), though they will obviously have their preferences on whom they would have liked to share JSS-love with. But they will gladly share love with “that less-desirable person” who needs love just as much as the others do. But the one that missed-out on getting the one that he/she wanted, will keep-on hoping: “Maybe next-time I'll get the chance to-share love with that precious-person,” for that group will regularly get together, probably once a week.

But many of you are thinking, “Yeah, sure! They are just bringing-in Jesus & “Christian-thoughts” (into their get-together) in order to make it look-like it is acceptable.” Wrong! Wrong! Wrong!!! That is because this is new to you & you have never experienced such a thing. You have never even experienced Naked-Worship, either. And so, you immediately react with your

traditional ways of thinking. No, Jesus will be right there with them, honoring the entire activity, honoring it just as much as He honors a husband & wife, when they share their love through sex.

Naked-Worships

In fact, you probably thought that Naked-Worship meetings were just to win souls—far from it! You will find that your most meaningful worships will be when you come & worship together in Naked-Worship services—just the opposite of what you would expect.

No actually, naked-worships are for all of us. Yes, some may never come, but those that do come—with an open mind & an open heart—will discover what we are talking about. You will find yourself closer to God than you have ever been. So, keep on encouraging those who have never tried it. Many of them will eventually check it out & find-out what we are talking about.

“Our whole world” will change through Naked-Worships—one person at a time. Wait until you have experienced naked-worship a couple of times, & then give it a try with one of the naked-group “get-togethers.” You will find that all Three (The Father, Son & Holy Spirit) will be present (not just Jesus)! Then you will know what we are talking about. Glory!!!

Who was it that opened our eyes to this gift, hidden for thousands of years??? It was the Father, the Son & the Holy Spirit, all working together in harmony with each other, that “created” this new way of life that we are about to experience, this new ‘day’ (Isa 45:8, prophesied in Ps 118:24, see vss 19-24) (not us, for we were just “Their pawns”),. In that psalm you will note that,

living a righteous life is also, in some way, connected to this “new day” (see also the prophesies of Isa 45:8, Rom 8:18-25, vs 29 & 37)). People will be so appreciative of this new gift that they will be totally-devoted to the Lord. So, the Lord responds by giving these appreciative-people a Holy-Spirit-gift that enables them to also live a righteous life, (prophesied in Jer 31:34, see vss 31-34, also Isa 45:8, Rev 5:6, see vss 1-14).

And since those in the get-together will-have seen the great contrast between this previous way-of-life (as we have known it) & this “entirely-new” way-of-life, then consequently, their love & appreciation & devotion to the Lord & their thankfulness for this great gift, will cause their hearts to overflow in love & gratitude for our Maker!

They will-have become so used-to this new way of life, that they feel totally comfortable about being naked in the presence of Jesus & talking about Him in the presence of their naked friends. For they now know (from experience) that Jesus loves them & accepts them, especially when they are naked in His presence.

No, Jesus won't delight in seeing their nakedness. Instead, Jesus delights in their intimate-love for him, expressed in their “nakedness in His presence.” This intimate expression of being nude in Jesus' presence brings them intimately-close to Jesus, & even intimately-close to their friends—even friends that they aren't married to!

Yes, all of this is shocking to you, for it takes time to adjust to these new values. But eventually, you will see these things that I “am picturing” to you right now. Yes, what we have pictured is only vague, for we haven't experienced it yet, either. But you will surely see something like that, take-place. Yes, that time is coming & is not far away, when you people (as a whole) won't hesitate to share in all of these things that I am describing to you.

We are not kidding. Eventually, believers won't think anything about sharing JSS-Love, & won't think anything about asking for it, either. And yes, men can still ask if they want to, but at the present time, women tend to think of a man that asks, as a “sex-nut,” for women know that men are much more inclined toward sex than most women are. So, it is better for women to do the asking. And being a man, I can tell you right now that men will be greatly-relieved if you

women do most of the asking. That way they know that you are truly in the mood for love. And since it was you women that asked, then they know that you approve, and that makes all the difference & pleases a man very-much!!!

Also, you may as well know that our whole way of life will greatly-change with these new teachings. No, it won't be like it was in the past, when even sinners put sexual-love on a pedestal & thought of it as some great thing. When this new way of life takes-hold in our society (& that day will surely come, for we are expecting the whole world to come to Jesus through this), then sharing love with many-different people, will be so common that people won't hesitate to share love with someone different every-single day, & sometimes even share with different people twice a day—if it fits into their schedule.

Remember that your spouses take priority, but also, remember to share love with your many-different friends of the opposite sex, & sometimes even with a special friend of the same sex, as well. You women will find JSS-Love especially meaningful with some of your special women-friends, for your love for each other is far-different from Lesbians & is pleasing in God's eyes.

Whereas, there is something that is displeasing to God in “Lesbian-sex” (Rom 1:26, see vs. just as men-fucking-men is disgusting in God's sight (Rom 1:27-), for the Lord designed for men to marry women, not men to marry men. If homosexuals & Lesbians want to share love, they are free to (in God's eyes) if they stick-with JSS-Love. Sorry, it is still wrong for Lesbians & homosexuals to go beyond JSS-Love.

But take heart, those of you who are genetically-inclined toward the same sex. The Lord will “soon” restore all things, even restoring all genetic defects, including homosexual genes. And then, you should be attracted to the proper sex—if you choose to.

And that will make it much easier for you homosexuals & Lesbians to follow the Lord, when He does this. Yes, you can wait till that day, but why not pray & ask the Lord to genetically heal you from this defect? I know of one man that suddenly switched from being attracted to men & started being romantically-attracted to women. Perhaps the Lord will do that for you (now) as well, if you ask Him in faith, believing that He is capable of doing it. It isn't out of the question.

The joy, the peace, the love for each other & the satisfaction will pervade all of us. All of this blessing will “over-flow” from our hearts like a mighty stream. Everyone will love Jesus so much that most of them cannot help but follow Him. What a contrast from our old way of life!].

Sorry, People, You Can't Keep-on Sinning a “Pet Sin” & Truly Be Forgiven

Some of you want to avoid these new ways of escape & just depend on God/Jesus to forgive you for violating what God does forbid. You are thinking that “the real thing” is a lot better, & yet you have never experienced the 7-fold extra blessing that God has promised for JSS-Love, because you've never tried it, not even once, Can't you see that that's a pretty-flimsy excuse???

And besides, you've been thinking/believing that you have been repetitively forgiven for these repetitive sins. But Jesus hasn't ever, & isn't even able to forgive those kinds of sins (read on).

You excuse yourselves, saying, “Well, I couldn't handle it,” & you keep on sinning that same sin & expect to be forgiven every time you do it. Sorry, Jesus doesn't forgive perpetual sins like that, because, deep down inside, you know that you haven't truly repented (not within the heart) & because even you know that you are going to do that again when the urge arises. You are just (temporarily) “repenting,” because you think you can get away with it & God will forgive you—not

because you truly want to reform, but because you don't want to be punished!!!

Paul's failings in Rom. 7:7-25 are different from you intentional, repetitive sins. Paul was truly, constantly trying to do what was right, but kept failing. Paul wasn't talking about "out & out," deliberate sins, like you are doing. He was talking about failings, like getting angry or losing his patience, etc. not "out & out," deliberate sins. Paul never committed deliberate sins, but that's what you've been doing, while excusing yourself that you "couldn't handle it."

"But I couldn't handle it!!! How can you call that deliberate???"

Your sin becomes deliberate when you keep-on, even though the urge has briefly faded away. God requires that the urge "come & go" like waves. You said you couldn't handle it, but the urge came & faded away several times, while on the way to the place where you did it, ;plus while the door was being unlocked, while you started taking off each-other's clothes, even while you were climbing into bed with each other. You could have broken away & fled at any of those times when the urge faded away, but you didn't.

God requires that urge to come & go like waves so that you can break away, if you want to. But you deliberately chose to go ahead & do it. When I was a young married man, I was terribly afraid of a prostitute seducing me. But the Lord gave me the very-same dream on, I'd guess, about 7 different nights (something like that), spread-out over, probably 7 months (perhaps).

Each time, the very-same prostitute (whom I had never seen) would be leading me up the very-same, steep stairs, on the left side of the very-same old-old house. When we'd get about half-way up that long, steep stairs, I would stop on the very-same step (each time) & cry out, "I can't do it!!!" And that's where the very-same dream ended every-single time.

For years, there, I had no idea that the Lord sent me that dream. Many years later, I began to figure-out why He sent-it. The Lord sent that dream to encourage me, "You don't need to be afraid of being seduced. I'll always make that urge come come & go like waves, so that you can break away at any of those times when the urge fades away. But fortunately, I never had to take that "last-moment" escape. The Lord helped me reject every-single prostitute that came my way, before I would gave-in by even one step.

So "repeat-sinners," stop lying to yourselves that you couldn't handle it. If you love Jesus & don't want to disobey Him, then break-away when the urge fades. If you do, the Lord will then strengthen you & you'll be able to get out of there, even if the urge breaks away just before you climb on top of her, before you "go-in!!!" If you value your soul, flee from her & get out of there, even if it's your long-time girl-friend. She wants you to "sell your soul" to her!!! She's not your friend. God has an even better way of escape (below).

Yes, the Bible says that there truly is a Lake of Fire where those who refuse to repent will be judged (punished) for their evil works, (Rev. 20:11-15). It's a different kind of "fire" that punishes the person only according to how much evil that particular person did (vs 12b, "...judged...according to what they had done." (ESV).

It's a kind of fire where the person is gradually burned-up, but at the same time, the maggots thrive in that fire & eat-on the persons flesh (Isa 51:7-8, 66:24, see vss 22-24). Also, their burning flesh stinks & the burning sulfur smells like rotten eggs (brimstone is mainly sulfur, Rev 20:10 & 21:8). (It will be disgusting). You won't want to be burned-up in that special fire. God doesn't want anyone burned up (2 Pet 3:9b), but the Lord also has to be fair & take vengeance on those sinners, for the sake of those they hurt (Heb 10:30), including their-own wives/husbands they hurt.

A number of Christians challenge the claim that they will be tortured forever, for God is fair, & there are evidences that the Lake of Fire will, one day, have nothing more to burn & thus, will eventually go-out. For the wicked will one day, be ashes under the souls of our feet (Mal 4:3). Also, an "unquenchable fire" means that the fire can't be put-out, but it doesn't mean that the fire won't cease when everything is burned-up (Matt

3:12) But even if the wicked burn forever, there is still one thing that is certain: Since God is fair & only punishes “according to what they had done” (Rev 20:12b, ESV)—no more, no less—then that means that the person has to become unconscious when he/she has finally paid for his/her sins & is thus, no longer suffering. No, the Lord isn't a hideous monster. Jesus will even be fair to His greatest adversary, Satan, who will gradually be turned to ashes (Ezek 28:18b ff, see vss 11-19) after finally paying for all of his sins. We wrote this so that you will think twice about repeatedly sinning the same sin, expecting Jesus to keep-on forgiving you, each time. You're going to be disappointed (Matt 7:21-23). We want you to avoid the Lake of Fire & choose God's (new) preferred way of escape (below). But here is an even greater motivation: Jesus suffered that horrible death on the Cross so that you, sinner, yes, you, can repent be forgiven & live forever. Jesus wants you to make it all the way to Heaven & become His friend (2 Pet 3:9, see vss 8-10). That's why Jesus created Heaven & the New Earth so fabulous that it's beyond imagination (1 Cor 2:9,10, Rev 21:1-5).

So, those “pet sins” really are deliberate. But here is an even better way of escape:

Now, you (above) have been a slave to that sin (John 8:34, see vss 33-36), but Jesus will set you free from that sin if you'll believe Him & “take action” (vs 36) Paul knew better than to intentionally do those big-sins & warned us to not do them in 1 Cor. 6:9-11 & 1 Cor. 10:1-12, for God has provided a way of escape from every temptation, especially from big-sins.

Paul wrote the “secret” to overcoming deliberate sins (1 Cor. 10:13, see vss 1-13). This promise in this verse is how I (barely) made it all the way to marriage (age 25) without losing my virginity. I claimed & believed this verse: “No temptation has overtaken you that is not common to man. God is faithful, & he will not let you be tempted beyond your ability, but with the temptation he will also provide the way of escape, that you may be able to endure it.” (ESV).

Only 2 weeks before the wedding, I was severely tempted by a beautiful prostitute, when she gave me a great-big wink as she passed by. I could barely put one foot in front of the other, but I just kept-on walking away, believing that God would keep that promise, & I made it!!! The Lord can do the same for you.

That's much better than having to beg God to forgive you after you have failed. Now, I've had to come to the Lord & beg forgiveness, but not on a big sin like that. (Fornication is a big sin that harms your own body, (1 Cor. 6:18, see vss 13b-20)) But each time I failed, there were tears in my eyes, & I resolved, deep-down in my heart, to never fail like that ever again, through the help of the Lord. And when you “truly mean business” like I did, then you can be certain that Jesus has forgiven you!!! “No if's, and's, but's or maybe's about it.”

But what we've discussed so far, has always been available. But now, with the discovery of these seven false-"don'ts", God is now revealing His preferred way of escape (JSS-Love instead of “fucking,” & “Plural-Spouses,” instead of leaving your spouse for “somebody else”).

Did you leave your spouse for someone “better???”

The same holds for those of you who left your spouse for “someone better.” You keep confessing your sin to God/Jesus, but at the same time, you excuse yourself by stating all of the reasons why you did that & feel justified on what you did. Your reasoning is now so twisted that you

don't even realize how much you hurt your spouse in leaving him/her for this “better” person. You are repeating that sin every day, every hour, that you keep-on staying with your lover. You keep justifying what you did, but Jesus can't forgive, nor accept that. But Jesus is now providing

a better way (Plural-Spouses, see below), but your original spouse may not believe that right now. But go back to him/her anyway, & trust that God will work-on your spouse's heart, for none of your excuses count in God's eyes. But here you are, trying to wrench-it out of your

wife/husband, to let you keep your lover. You don't realize the terrific emotional pain you put your

wife/husband through from you deserting her/him. And here you are, thinking that your lover will never desert you! You mustn't “wring it out of” (extort) your spouse to let you have your lover. If Your wife/husband eventually believes in plural spouses, she/he would be a lot more apt to let you properly court someone else, whom your wife/husband trusts, rather than for you to abruptly return to the one who stole you from her/him. Your situation is a real mess. The Enemy is to blame for it, & it's going to take time to heal-up those deep-wounds.

Most of God's people will eventually believe these seven new teachings, even (eventually) the Roman Catholic Church. But even if “that door to your lover” never opens, then the Lord will help you bear it. Besides, your spouse won't & can't let you have your lover if he/she isn't living godly. Even if your spouse eventually believes in Plural-Spouses, you can only be someone (else?) who is reasonably godly. But even if you don't get back your lover, you will have some comfort in knowing that God does approve of Plural-Spouses. Plus, you will be forgiven & won't have to pay for that sin (but only-if you repent & go back). Plus, you will find beautiful-peace growing with-in your soul—if you go back, & you'll have the joy of Eternal Life!

Sorry, this is serious business. Your priest may declare you forgiven, but Jesus won't & can't forgive you, not until you repent & go back to your spouse (see Luke 13:2-3,23-28). (Sorry, that's the truth, but it won't be nearly as bad as you are fearing. Jesus will be with you & help you).

(New, Sept. 13, 2019 or much earlier, from here-onward, except for a few “up-dates”

A lot of repetition, but at least, it also has a number of “new” (new to you) insights:

A More Thorough Discussion on.

The Seven “Prohibitions” on Sex & Marriage That the Lord is Totally Silent About:

#1) Self-masturbation. “Everyone” believes that it cannot be done without sinful thoughts & feelings, but they are deeply mistaken. And you say, “And what would you be thinking & feeling???” Yes, there are acceptable ways that meet God's approval. One of them is to just focus on what you are doing & not think about anyone (or anything) else.

And yes, there are other acceptable things to think/picture when relieving yourself, sexually. These are discussed in stories of my own experiences, near the end of supposed “don'ts” #2) & #4) & other places as well.

But what we haven't realized is, that there is a reason why God allows, & even recommends it, for singles who don't have anyone to share love with. But before we talk about that, we need to talk about why many people object to self-masturbation, especially married people, who already have their spouse to share sexual-love with. (Most of them don't sympathize with those of us who don't have anybody).

Most people that object can only

think of it as self-gratification, & therefore is not justified. And yes, many teenagers, who have gotten into self-masturbation, often do it for self-gratification & often end-up doing it many times (e.g. 10 times) a day.

They do it indulge in sinful thoughts & feelings, but especially to get “the high” that comes from reaching the “climax” (ejaculation/orgasm). But what they don't realize that they are doing it so much, that they are actually wearing-out their nervous system, & also wearing out their physical & mental capabilities.

Many of those who abuse themselves, lose interest in just about everything & can hardly perform in sports or work or school, not just because of lack of motivation, but especially because their nervous system (& their whole body) being absolutely worn-out. Not only are you sinning against God in your thoughts & feelings, but also, you are wearing-out your body by overtaxing your

nervous system—to the point that you are hardly capable of doing anything.

Young people (& some adults), that's absolutely wrong. Your body is the temple of God (1 Cor 6:19-20), & you are to get some exercise & take care of your body, health-wise. Why? If your body is where Jesus dwells (inside of you, Jesus' "temple"), then you need to represent Jesus & all that He stands for.

Doesn't Jesus expect you to be a blessing to people in this world??? Yes, He does. Well then, put away your filthy thoughts & stop trying to live just-for pleasure (living for "the high") & start using moderation. Once a day is plenty to satisfy your sexual needs, & you won't wear-out your body with once a day.

But there's "a plus" for those of you who have been over-indulging. You'll feel a lot better, a lot fresher, & yes, you'll even enjoy your "sexual high" a lot more than you have in a long time. That's why I usually wait about 3 days before masturbating (for there are ways to self-masturbate that do meet the Lord's approval). Why not every day? Because when I wait 3 days or so, it's a lot more meaningful & satisfying. And also, I can reach a climax more easily, & even reach a higher climax, if I wait at least 3 days.

But if you will even limit yourself to once a day, it will actually be a blessing to your overall happiness & contentment, plus, as you will see, it will also help you control those sexual-temptations that "will come at you" from day to day.

But you object, saying, "But even if there are acceptable ways to do it,

what good is self-masturbation, other than for self-gratification?" We answer, it's super-important, not only for singles who don't have anybody, but also absolutely-essential for priests & celibates. And the Bible even (indirectly) talks about that:

1 Cor. 7:2-5 counsels husbands & wives to periodically come together, sexually, "...so that Satan does not tempt you because of your lack of self-control" (vs. 5b). If they don't periodically have sex, then their self-control diminishes with time. The longer they delay, the less self-control they have.

(Saint) Paul was speaking of husbands & wives, but the same thing applies to celibates & "singles" (& married people, whose spouse is refusing to have sex with them). That's why appropriate self-masturbation (no evil thoughts/feelings) is so important. Periodically releasing that sexual energy helps them maintain good self-control, so that the Satan can't tempt them nearly as strongly.

If priests & nuns & monks & other celibates will do this, then they will find that they have a lot more self-control, & will be a lot less likely to fall into these terrible sins that so many of them have fallen into.

But, they also need to have Jesus dwelling in them, & they also need to be committed to staying loyal to Jesus & committed to all that He stands-for. If they do these, & if they also periodically release themselves, sexually (once ever 3 days for me), & if they will believe & claim the promise of 1 Cor. 10:13 (see vss 1-13), then they will have victory over all of those terrible temptations.

But believe it or not, the Lord has even hidden His approval of it in the New Testament! And you say, "That can't possibly be!" That's because it's so well hidden that you have never seen it!

#2) The lesser forms of sex are not even mentioned in the Bible, little-alone forbidden. (Ask anyone who has read the Bible many times, & he/she will confirm that the lesser forms of sex are never even mentioned).

(And you reply, "Yes, they are, it says over & over in the New Testament, 'sexual immorality.' And besides, their thoughts would be evil if they did that." Yes, if their thoughts are longing "to have" that person (that they can't have), or if they are longing to cheat (commit fornication, sexual

intercourse, which the Lord definitely forbids, then that would definitely be wrong).

Prior to 1900 AD/CE, the definition of both “adultery” & “fornication” was (& still is in the cheaper dictionaries) “voluntary sexual intercourse...” (becoming “one-flesh” with someone). But from 1900 AD onward, the Christian world gradually changed the meaning of these (biblical-Greek & Hebrew) words to include the lesser forms of sex, calling it “sexual immorality.”

Apparently they found archaeological evidence to justify this change, but don't forget that the Devil could have caused those records to be so, & not God. The Lord doesn't accept definitions from sources outside of the Bible. Yes, that may be their definition in that society, but God doesn't accept any society's definitions, not even Jewish customs outside-of the Bible. Instead, the Lord hides His definition in the Bible.

500 years ago the Protestant reformers met-up-with similar problems & established the principle that the Bible is its own interpreter: If there is any disagreement over what a word means (in the original Greek & Hebrew), then the Lord has hidden His definition of what that word means, somewhere in the Bible.

And since the Bible never even speaks of the lesser forms of sex, & since the Lord did hide His definition in the Bible (1 Cor 6:15-16), then Bible translators have no right to change the definition from “sexual intercourse” to “sexual immorality.” They never had the right to change that, for they had no justification in the Bible.

So the Lord's actual definition of adultery & fornication agrees with the ancient definition in English (for at least 700 years prior to 1900 AD/CE), “voluntary sexual intercourse...” Since the lesser forms of sex aren't even mentioned in the Bible, then how can God's definition include the lesser forms of sex??? It can't, not by the Protestant principle that the Bible is its own interpreter, i.e. that the Lord interprets what He means by that word, by revealing it somewhere in the scriptures.

But in addition to that,

as you will see below, the Lord has also hidden His approval of properly-sharing the lesser forms of sex (we call it, JSS-Love, Jesus' Satisfying Solution). It's amazing, because God's hidden approval even includes (“by natural default”) the Lord's restrictions of a) forbidding sexual intercourse with anyone other than your spouse, & b) not “uncovering” nor seeing that person's nakedness, either.

But also, we don't want to discourage

those of you who are coming out-of worldly, ungodly sex. As you will see, the Lord has even hidden His definition of “uncovering nakedness.” God only forbids the exposing of the region from the waist to the thighs, that's all.

Now, remember that there are more details to know than what we are saying here: but there are even ways to be totally naked with each other, such as something blocking the view of that region, or by being blind-folded, or by turning your head & closing your eyes until your friend is “in place...” As we said, there is more to it than that, but this gives you an idea that you can be totally naked together at times & still meet the approval of God, if you do the right things.

Yes, “JSS-Love” can be sin, such as by picturing sin or desiring to sin. But it's not necessarily so, for the Lord has given us acceptable ways to think & “to picture” (while sharing JSS-Love) that don't violate any of His commandments.

But You Reply, “But that's Absolutely disgusting!”

Yes, we know that this whole idea (in-fact, this whole website) is disgusting to those of you who were brought-up with strict moral standards. But that's because you have been inculcated from infancy, all the way till now, to be repulsed at these things.

Believe it or not, we are thankful that you were brought-up with these strict standards (if Christlike-love was also abundant in your family & in your church). Why? Because up till now, that was the best way to avoid falling into sin, under the present standards of morality:

- a) Being very careful to keep your mind & heart from thinking (& feeling) sinful thoughts.
- b) Wearing very conservative & non-attractive clothing, especially the married Christians, & doubly-especially the married women;
- c) Living very decently & conservatively;
- d) Most Americans have forgotten about this one: Being very careful about associating (& becoming too familiar) with the opposite sex. If you associate too much with the opposite sex, your eyes & heart are likely “to wander,” especially married Christians. But “singles.”too, can also get in trouble with too much associating with the opposite sex, for your mind can begin to wander & desire “to see more,” or to “be nakedness with,” or to “want premarital sex,” etc.
- e) For couples to have long courtships, to make sure that their fiancée isn't just “putting their best food forward” & thus, deceiving you on what they will be like.
- f) To be very careful (& sparing) with hugging & kissing, etc., for it will gradually grow to be more & more & more & more &.... If you do more & more & more, then your desire “to go to bed with” each other will also increase even more.
- g) Etc., etc., etc.

All of these are very important, if you want to stay faithful to God, under the present teachings of morality. But we contend that these 7 things (that we thought were "don'ts") are not necessarily wrong & can be done (with God's approval), as long as you don't violate any of the other principles & commandments of God.

And yes, when these new teachings are believed & practiced, then we won't need to be nearly as conservative in our associations with the opposite sex. Why? Because practicing these new teachings (appropriate self-masturbation or appropriate JSS-Love (i.e. appropriate lesser forms of sex)), actually increases our self-control, if shared properly.

It says so (is implied) in 1 Cor. 7:5 (see vss 1-9), so that you can withstand sexual temptations a whole-lot better. What does it say will happen, if you don't periodically relieve yourself, sexually? It says that Satan will be more-able to tempt you, because your sexual self-control will diminish. It says, “...so that Satan may not tempt you because of your lack of self-control.” (1 Cor 7:5, ESV).

But you say, “Those verses don't say that!”

You are right; it doesn't directly say that, for it is speaking of the importance of husbands & wives, periodically coming together (sexually) to prevent their self-control from weakening.

Look, if husbands & wives can lose their sexual self-control from failing to periodically share sexual-love together, then what does that imply about celibates & singles??? That's why teenagers (including myself long ago, when I was young) have had such great temptations to be naked & even temptations to “fuck.”

And that is why so many Roman Catholic priests get into sexual-trouble. Their self-control diminishes less & less & less & less as the years go by, all because they refrain from even masturbating. And we want to be so critical of those priests that fall, not realizing that they fell because of Satan “worming-into” their teachings to totally abstain from any kind of sex. That teaching & the “procreation only” teaching (for husbands & wives), violate 1 Cor 7:5 (discussed here).

I, too, had the same problem with my self-control weakening more & more as the years went by (especially during each August). A few of my high school classmates married as soon as they

graduated, in order to have sex. But I didn't find who I was looking for till I was 24 & didn't marry until I was 25. I can still remember, only 2 weeks before my wedding, the most gorgeous prostitute that I had ever seen, was smiling at me as she was walking toward me & winked-hard at me as she passed bye.

I was so shocked that I could hardly keep on walking past her. I was almost paralyzed. I just cried-out to the Lord (in my heart) & kept-on slowly, determinedly putting one foot in front of the other. The Lord sustained me, & I made it all the way to marriage as a virgin.

The reason why I didn't give-in was because, I knew that there wasn't any temptation that God couldn't deliver me from (1 Cor 10:13, see 1-13). So, I kept-on trusting God, while still walking away from her. And I made it! ***Hallelujah!!!***

My temptation was so great because I wasn't periodically relieving myself through self-masturbation. For my fiancée & I didn't believe in having sex until we were married. But, I would have had much more self-control (nor sinned in lesser ways) had I known about appropriate self-masturbation periodically.

But that's not the only reason why JSS-Love (or at least, self-masturbation) is so important.

I also remember (in August) only 3 days before we

married, my fiancée wanted me to lay on-top of her (with our clothes on, of course). All of a sudden, my sexual-reflexes took control started me, & I started, uncontrollably “humping.” (That was the only time in my whole life, that my body's reflexes ever took-over like that. Believe it or not, it wasn't me doing it; it was either the Devil or my body's reflexes that were doing it!).

I got off of her & started biting my *knuckles!* I just sat there & could hardly handle that terrific temptation, for we both loved the Lord & didn't want to sin. (It's a sin, even if it's only 3 days away).

We parted shortly after that, & I went back home (to the place where we were going to live together). But I was still almost out-of control. I knew I had to do something to bring myself back under control. Looking back on it, I can only think that it was the Holy Spirit that was guiding me on what to do.

I didn't know (at that time) that self-masturbation wasn't necessarily wrong, but I self-masturbated, using that as my “way of escape” (1 Cor 10:13), all without thinking or picturing my fiancée or anything else, for I knew that that wouldn't be right. So I only focused on what I was actually doing. That action relieved me & brought myself back under control.

The next morning, I felt that what I did was wrong, but that it was what I needed to do under the circumstances (i.e. was the “lesser-sin”), far better than outright-sinning. And I was right about it being the lesser of the two evils, not knowing that what I did is actually acceptable with God. Yes, what I did was wrong, but only because, if you think it's wrong & you do it, then it is wrong, even if God actually approves of it (Rom 14:23, see vss 20-23). (Because you are disobeying what you think that God has commanded).

But I can only think that it was the Lord that guided me to take care of that problem that special way. For He inspired me to stay loyal to Him when I did that, even in my mind & heart.

“Oh Lord, thank You for delivering me on both of those occasions, & on all of the many other occasions in my life as well. Thank you so much for delivering me, over & over & over again! [1 Cor 10:13, see vss 1-13]. My love for you is ***beyond words!!!***”

Now, it's the same with sharing JSS-Love (appropriate sharing of the lesser forms of sex with

someone). Periodically sharing JSS-Love will also give a person much better self-control—probably even better sexual self-control than self-masturbation. But neither of us have ever experienced that yet.

Why? Up till “now,” the Enemy (“Satan”) has blocked us authors from sharing anything like that. Yes, we even know of people in our own community that have believed & practiced these new things (JSS-Love & also “Plural-Spouse” courtships_ for at least 2 years, now, but we, ourselves, haven't had that opportunity yet (because of the Enemy's blocking & maneuvering).

In conclusion of “Prohibition” #2,

if sharing the lesser forms of sex were categorically wrong, then why didn't God say so, instead of being totally silent about it??? The very fact that God was totally silent about it (as well as silent about all 7 of them) can only mean that the Lord “has hidden something up his sleeve” (has been hiding something) about the lesser forms of sex. So, there has to be, at least, one way (JSS-Love that is acceptable to God.

But also, many of you singles will think that sharing JSS-Love is a very big thing that only should be done if you are severely tempted, etc. That's because, under the old system of things,” sex was a super-big, super-bad thing to do, if you weren't married.

Well guess what? It's not super-big nor super-bad under the new system of things. Kids are going to be sharing JSS-Love with their siblings & with the neighbor's child before they even reach puberty. So, it's not going to be a big thing.

But even with you adults, it won't be long before you share JSS-Love with so many people, that you won't think anything about it. For it's not wrong in God's eyes, & is even pleasing in Lord's eyes, for the love that is shared in JSS is akin to the love that husbands & wives share with their spouse.

Many of you have pictured God as tolerating the husbands, sharing love with their wives (sexually). Not so; never was so. No, God views their sexual-love, as the most precious thing that husbands & wives share, if it is truly shared with intimate love for each other. For that kind of love is akin to God.

In fact, the Lord longs for us to have that kind of intimate-love of Him & He of us, not necessarily sexually, but at least, that kind of intimate-love of God (& of God for us) from our in-most hearts. Yes, that's what the Lord longs from each & every one of His children.

Does that surprise you? Well let me ask you, if you were God, then wouldn't you want your children (the people you created) to love you just as intimately as new-husbands intimately love their new bride???

Yes you would, for you are not a machine & neither is the Lord. The Lord has feelings & emotions, much like we do, except without any sinful emotions (like lust or hatred or greed). And so, He longs for intimate-love & appreciation from each of us, just as much as you newly-weds intimately-love your new wife! That's right. Ask Him!

So Christians, just start thinking that JSS-Love isn't a big thing—yes, it is in terms of your love for each other (no matter who that “other” is, for you no longer have to think that that the only person that you can love is your spouse). Yes, that love for each other is precious, even if it's just JSS-Love with a good (or even a new)-friend that you are never going to marry.

What a lie, to think that you can only love one person. And also, what a lie to think that you are stealing love from your spouse, by sharing love with someone else. There are different levels of love. So, make sure that your love for your husband, your love for your wife “is top on the list,” but don't hesitate to share JSS-Love with any friend that you want to.

So, it's a good idea (as soon as you are convinced) to start thinking that JSS-Love is not a big thing. You can share it with anyone & everyone that you want to (that is, if “time” & “location” &

“opportunity” & “the situation” allow for you two to share JSS-Love).

But husbands & wives, don't jump-into this until you & your spouse are agreed to it. Your spouse could divorce you, for in the eyes of the public, any kind of sex with anyone else is regarded as adultery. So, you both need to be convinced, & you both need to be allowed to do it.

But many fear that their spouse will

lose their love for them if they start sharing JSS-Love with others, especially with others that are more handsome/beautiful, etc. No, on the contrary, most spouses are going to love you even more than ever before.

“Now, why would they love me even more than ever?” Do you realize the great contrast between this new-way of life & the old-way??? That ought to tell you that your fears are unwarranted. The great majority of them are going to be so thankful for you letting them share JSS-Love with others, that they will be so appreciative that they can't help-but love you all the more. After all, you could have forbidden your spouse, who would have had-to abstain if you did that. So, most godly spouses will choose to love you all the more. In fact, most followers of Jesus will just naturally love you all the more, for you have become even more precious in their sight, for letting them have that freedom.

Now, there may be a few that will love you less or even despise you, but not with the great majority. Why? Because most of them can't help but be thankful & love you all the more, for you letting them have this new freedom.

OK, so you aren't as beautiful nor as suave as some of those that your spouse shares JSS-Love with. But your spouse isn't married to them (& will never be, with some of them). But you two have built-up an intimate relationship over the years (hopefully). You may not be as beautiful, etc., but no one can take the place of you.

But also, your marriage difficulties will smooth out a lot better, for you two won't “be in each-other's hair” nearly as much. Because you two won't be with each-other as much—but make sure to be with each other a lot. But it needs to be significantly less, because that's why “molehills” became “mountains,” from being with each other too much.

All of those “molehills” will naturally shrink down from being “mountains,” back-down to “molehills” again, if you will spend time with other people as well & not as much with “just you & your spouse.”

And one great way to do that is to invite a husband & wife (or a single man & a single woman) to dinner, then each share JSS-Love with the other person's spouse (or friend). In the past, that often was “disaster,” under the old system of things. But if you will read “supposed prohibition #3),” then you'll see that it doesn't have to be disastrous anymore. You'll be surprised how much better you can get-along with your spouse when it's not just you & your spouse together.

Continuing the List of the Seven So-Called “Prohibitions” That God is Silent About:

#3) Women having plural-husbands (simultaneously) is not mentioned, “pro or con” anywhere in the Bible.

(And you answer back, “Oh yes it is, when

God first stated the principle of marriage, Gen. 2:24, 'Therefore a man shall leave his father & his mother & hold fast to his wife, & they shall become one-flesh.' (ESV). Besides that, the Genesis story verifies that they only had one wife & one husband, in the beginning, prior to Noah's Flood.”

Yes, you are right about them only having one wife/husband before the Flood, but who was it that told them to do that? Was it God or Adam (through Satan's subtle craftiness)??? And as for Gen

2:24 being a commandment (or a principle), we thought so too, prior to discovering that the Lord had never done away with men having more than one wife. (Doubt that??? Read-on).

But did you ever notice that Gen. 2:24 isn't worded as a commandment, for it is just giving instructions about him marrying his first wife, for the Lord preceded the statement with him leaving "his father & his mother." (And you are thinking, "the first & last wife," but it doesn't say that).

Also, you are thinking (as we also did) that the two "becoming one flesh" would be sin if they became "one flesh" with anyone else (1 Cor 6:15,16). That is true if that were with anyone other than an additional spouse.

But we, still later, found proof in

the New Testament that this very-same statement (Gen 2:23-24) also applies to having plural spouses. And yes, we know that many of you think, "That's **impossible!**" Well, "read on" & you will see that it really is hidden in the New Testament, if you will keep an open mind. Isn't it amazing that the Lord was careful on how his Bible-writers worded each of these scriptures that were needed? They worded it in a way that would convince you that this teaching really is true, all without themselves even realizing what they were implying in their writings.

And why is that hidden proof even there, at all, in the New Testament? It's because the Lord foresaw your misunderstanding of Gen. 2:24. And so, The Lord purposely hid that proof in the New Testament, to help you see (in these last days) that Gen. 2:24 doesn't really mean what you had always thought it meant.

Now, if you truly find that what we are saying really is so, then wouldn't the Lord be wonderful, that He made-sure to clarify all of these issues in His holy Word??? (Yes, He becomes wonderful to all of us who end-up being convinced that God really did hide this for our day).

But how could the Lord hide his approval if He's totally silent about this???

But also, the Lord also went to the trouble to actually hide His approval in the Bible as well, of women having plural-husbands, confirming that it truly can be OK (not necessarily wrong). (Again, you say, "That can't possibly be!). Yes, it can be wrong, but it also can be right!). And if women can have more than one husband, then surely it is true that men can also (still) have more than one wife, even in our day!

So for now, just keep an open mind about the possibility that it really could be true, especially since Gen. 2:24 is not even worded as a command. After all, if the Lord even hid his approval of women having plural-husbands, then how could Gen. 2:24 really mean "only one spouse???" So, that means that the Bible is totally silent (never made it clear either way) whether women weren't allowed to have more than one husband, & yet He as even hidden His approval of it in His Holy Scriptures.

But don't get the wrong idea:

The Muslims & the "plural-spouse Mormons" (of the 19th century & today), etc. made/make the mistake of living together with all of their wives in harems. Women don't appreciate harems, & neither does God. The Lord states a much better idea in His Holy Word. The Lord recommends for them to each live separately & has hidden it in a prophecy (you probably won't even catch-on, but it's hidden in Isa. 4:1 (see vss 1-5 & also ch. 3)), which makes Plural-Spouses much-more acceptable.

"But It's Against the USA-Law for Anyone to Have More than One Spouse."

Yes, it does look like it's illegal, & it was truly illegal a long time ago, but not anymore. It is now legally possible for anyone to have as many "spouses" as they want to, in the USA (& in many other developed countries)—that is, as long as they don't register these marriages with the government.

The reason why is because our nation (& other nations) have become so ungodly, that they virtually have-to allow anyone to live with as many "anyone" as they wish, as long as all of them

are agreeable to that.

Technically, that old law of “only one spouse” still stands & makes it look-like it's illegal, but only if you register more than one husband or more than one wife with “the government.” Look, if ungodly people (like even John Wayne) can legally have as many women, & if popular/wealthy women can have as many men as they want, without marrying any of them, then doesn't it make sense that godly Christians can also legally have plural-spouses, if that's what they believe???

Look, let's be fair. If it's acceptable for ungodly people to do that, then it needs to be acceptable for Christians, who believe in plural spouses, to do that—after they marry, of course. Isn't that right???

If you get married in the church to more than one wife or husband (or even if sinners just start living together with several, all without marrying any of them), all without registering it with the government, then it's now legal. For anyone can live with anyone anymore, as long as it is agreeable with all of them. And, many sinful people have been doing just that.

In fact, even the fundamentalist “Mormons,”

(fundamentalist Latter-Day Saints) have been legally practicing it for (surely) more than 30 years. And recently, I heard that a number of Muslims have also been secretly doing that, & have been raising huge families (from their many so-called “girlfriends,” with (e.g.) 8 children per “girl-friend”)--in order to help the Muslim-population to become a majority.

[It scares us, but don't worry. Believe it or not, Islam (& all other Non-Christian religions) are about to crumble. They should collapse not too long from now. That will “open the door” for us Christians to take the Gospel to the whole world.

Yes, the Bible (indirectly) prophesies (Rev 13:7-8, see vss 1-8) that every tribe & nation will, one day, follow the Antichrist. You see, Buddhists, Hindus, Muslims & Christians are so prejudiced against each other, that none of them would be deceived by what each of the other 3 would be deceived by.

So, the only way that the Antichrist can deceive the whole world, is for the whole world to all have the same, basic religion---prior to the Antichrist rising-up, e.g. the whole world becoming Christian of some sort, prior to the time of the Antichrist.

You see, that's what this website is all about. It is preparing the way for us Christians to take the Gospel to everyone in the whole-wide-world. But without these new teachings in this website, we'll never even be able to reach our own neighbors next door, who aren't interested in God at all.

Without these new teachings, we will never be able to reach the “down & outs” & “the wicked.” But with these new teachings (& with the Holy Spirit), we are going to see multitudes turn away from their alcohol & their drugs & their whores & prostitutes & their violence & crime.

That's why God is sending this message at this time, to get all of us ready to reach the whole world! Believe it or not, virtually all Christians, including Roman Catholics, will eventually believe this message.

Why? For Protestants, they will “latch-hold” because they will see the changed lives of all of these people that were once so godless, before they found Jesus (Matt 7:15-23). But for Roman Catholics, they will also come around when see the great harvest of souls that they can win by accepting JSS-Love & Plural Spouses.

Not convinced? Then just wait long enough for it to happen & you will see. No, this would never happen without the Lord also assisting us by pouring-out the Holy Spirit.

But We Haven't Finished the List of the Seven:

#4) We originally had “7” without this one, but we always wondered if there wasn't another one, because the last 2 so-called ““don'ts”” could be lumped together as one. But it wasn't until last Friday, upon going to bed, that I realized this one. So, I got up & started writing.

This is one more traditional “prohibition” that the Bible is also totally silent about. This one has been a traditional prohibition by ultra-conservative Christians for hundreds (thousands?) of years: to be very careful in associating with the opposite sex, not too often & not too much. For frequent

association easily becomes friendship, & friendship easily turns into mutual-attraction to each-other, & mutual-attraction (if unchecked) can gradually turn into either a) covetousness “to have each other” or b) lust for “a secret affair.”

When that happens, it's amazing how their hearts start forgetting God & gradually finding a way to justify their future (&/or past) actions. When their lusts are great enough, “wrong” starts becoming “right” & “right” starts becoming “wrong,” so much that their future (& past) transgressions seem justified in their own minds.

Many such people never truly repent from that time, onward, & end-up losing eternal life, all because they never “untwisted” their minds from making “wrong,” “right” & thus, never repented. But not long from now, many of those fallen Christians will find God's acceptable ways of escape (appropriate JSS-Love & appropriate Plural Spouses) & will then, once again, repent & be restored to God. (I've already seen some do that).

For thousands of years, Orthodox Jews required that the women & small children sit separately (e.g. in the back) from the men. Ultra-conservative Christians have also done something similar, with some of them (e.g. fundamentalist LDS-”Mormons”) even having (or have-had) separate services for the women & small children. Why?

They often say that the reason why is because the women distract the men from worshipping, & that can be true. But there is also another reason for ultra-conservative Jews & Christians: Too much association with the opposite sex breeds “familiarity,” which often leads to either a) secret affairs or b) leaving your spouse for someone else. The ultra-conservative Christians are right about that.

My own experience testifies to that: When my wife & I had very small children, we attended a small church of about 150 people, many of which were about as young as we were & also had small children. I well remember one couple that were about 5 years further along than we were.

They were about as sociable Christians as you ever meet. His wife was not only super friendly, but also super-beautiful. She was chatting with me from the pew behind me. I would have loved to have been friends with her, but I couldn't. Why? Because I knew that my heart would have been stolen if I did.

It took all that I could do to be polite, & yet not respond in an open, friendly way. Eventually, she caught on. We still remained friendly to each other, but “friendly at a distance,” so to speak, for I knew that I wasn't safe with it being any more than that.

But “my story” gets worse. I regularly attended Cradle-Roll & later, Kindergarten (Sunday School), so that I could be with my two young daughters. By the time I started attending Kindergarten with my child/children, they started putting me to work in leading the Kindergarten service. And as you can guess, I became friendly with several of those women & really appreciated them.

But “my story” gets worse-yet. We moved away after being there for 10 years, & we only returned a few times. But we learned that the husband of the super-sociable couple had left his beautiful wife & was even going with someone else.

A few years later, I lost my wife to her Sunday-School teacher of her (very-small) class. I sensed that it wasn't wise for her to be going to that particular class, for he was an old friend of her family. But I didn't say anything. I understand why she favored him, for I, too, have been attracted to women Sunday-School teachers & speakers. She was looking from a worldly standpoint, for he was more successful, financially.

But “many years later-yet, I returned to that church where we raised our small children. I found that every one of those wonderful, godly Christian women had also split (or had been split) from their husbands, all except one couple who were still together all those years.

Now, don't tell me that too much association with other people of the opposite sex doesn't play a part in divorce & remarriage. Had I not remained stiffly-loyal to the Lord & very careful in my association with other women, I'd guess that I, too, would have given-in.

I'm not the only one that believes this. Many ultra-conservative Christians are very careful in their associations with “the opposite sex.” Historically, couples who have faithfully practiced these precautions (& stay loyal to God) have had a much higher success-rate of staying together, than those that were not as careful in associating with the

opposite sex.

So under the old system of things, if you want to stay together till death do you part, then you need to be careful in your association with the opposite sex. And yet in the Bible, you won't find one place where it cautions against a lot of association with the opposite sex.

It would have been wise for the Bible to say that, for the sake of all who lived prior to our day, for many would have been more careful, if that caution were in the Bible. But instead, the Lord decided in favor of convincing us in our day, that associating with the opposite sex isn't necessarily unwise, that is if you believe & practice JSS-Love & Plural Spouses.

And yet you reply, “Well, you'd still have to be careful in associating with the opposite sex, even if you practiced JSS-Love & Plural Spouses.” Yes, to some extent, but you don't have to be nearly as careful as you do with “the old system of things.” For you would now be free to share JSS-Love with them if you choose to (& if their spouses are amenable to such a thing, etc., etc.). And also, you would now be free (in most cases) to consider the possibility of courting & marrying one or more of those you associate with, if that is whom you would choose to marry.

But even with this new freedom, you still have to be careful with is your heart, that your heart doesn't get stolen by some of your close friends, doubly-especially if one of them is someone that you cannot have as a spouse. Yes, there are some that you aren't allowed to marry, such as two sisters, or two brothers, etc., etc.

But remember, neither you nor we (not the vast majority of you) have experienced relationships with JSS-Love & plural spouses. So, we don't know yet “the in's & the out's” of JSS-Love & Plural Spouses. All that you will know, after reading this website, is that the Lord truly does approve of appropriate JSS-Love with others (& also approves of appropriate marriages with more than one spouse). So, we've got a lot to learn.

But one more thing we can be sure. After you convinced by our case, you can then be sure that the Devil truly did steal these teachings from mankind. He stole them for a reason: All the way, down through the ages, mankind's biggest problems were sexual sins & marriage sins. That has always been mankind's biggest problem, & much of the reason why is because Satan “stole” God's preferred way of escape to sexual sins, and also His preferred way of escape for marriage sins.

Also, every nation & empire that collapsed in the past, fell from “breakdown of the family” (i.e. from families falling apart). That's a known fact. So, maybe Satan knew what he was doing in forbidding these new teachings on sex & marriage. So maybe, “forbidding these 2 new teachings” (forbidding JSS-Love & Plural Spouses) were/are to blame for much of the wickedness of the world, throughout history.

No, we don't have any experience with these new teachings at the present time. But if we see great changes for the better in the lives of Christians, when these new teachings are put-into practice, then we will know for sure that these new teachings really are from the Lord (Matt 7:13-20).

But we have “a surprise” to add that

just happened to me only 3 days ago: But Islam, an off-shoot of Christianity that approves of plural wives, has traditionally gone to even greater extremes to avoid associations with the opposite sex. Just yesterday, I watched a modern Syrian comedy-movie where Muslim men & women were celebrating a wedding—separately. The bride & many women were intensely-celebrating in one room, & the groom & many men intensely-celebrating in another room. But amazingly, I saw that comedy when visiting my Yezidi (pronounced “Eezeedy”) friends from Iraq. The Muslims have severely terrorized the Yezidis for about a thousand years. (These Yezidis were watching it because it was making fun of their weak-efforts to try to avoid association with the opposite sex). But in contrast, the Yezidis have no problem in associating with the opposite sex. Yezidi weddings

are also intense, like that Muslim wedding, except all the men & women celebrate it together, instead of separately (lasting about 4-5 hrs).

And yet, the Yezidis don't have much problem of spouses leaving each other for "someone better." Now, how can they freely associate, & the Muslims can't???

You need to know that they keep this answer secret, because of opposition from Muslims & Christians: The reason why they don't have a problem is because they have traditionally, for thousands of years, shared an ultra-conservative form of JSS-Love with "others"²⁴. I thought that you might like to know that there truly is one form of JSS-Love that has been (& is being) successfully practiced for thousands of years.

That's probably why most of them never became Christians. We'd guess that when Christians brought/bring the Gospel to them & found-out/find-out about this form of "JSS-Love," that the Christians jumped/jump to the traditional conclusion, saying, "Oh NO!!! **That's wrong!!!**" But the Yezidis weren't (& aren't) about to give-up their custom that helps them prevent adultery & fornication. We'd guess that's why the Yezidis stand stiffly for their beliefs, totally rejecting Christianity.

Yes, their belief has helped keep their husbands & wives from leaving for "someone better," but it has also kept them from finding Jesus—until perhaps, now. But maybe when they find that their form of JSS-Love is approved by God, perhaps most of them will now start believing in Christianity—maybe. In fact, I already know of one Yezidi-leader that practices "Yezidi," but also believes in Jesus. So, let's pray for them.

But after pondering over that Muslim comedy,

I began to realize that it's true that avoiding association with, & even avoiding seeing, the opposite sex can "backfire" when they do associate, or even when they do see "one." Their unholy desires can become worse than if they had actually been associating with each other to some extent. It's true. It all depends on "where the mind wanders."

The Muslims are making a mistake. If they think that their mind "will get turned-on" if they see "somebody," it will. So, "total isolation" is not good, either, not even "under the old system of things." Carrying things to extremes like "no association at all" can back-fire on them.

A Recent Example of How I Overcame a Similar Temptation:

Just 2 days ago, the Devil awoke me early that morning & loudly proclaimed (in a dream from him), "**Association!!!**" He immediately (vividly) showed me a gorgeous, "breasty," 20 year-old joining our men's baseball team. She was looking up at me, with a beautiful smile on her face, like

24 Yezidis have special, tightly-woven, long-sleeve, close-fitting "body-suits," probably made out of a special material, for sharing the lesser forms of sex with "others." Sorry, we won't describe how they do it, for some of our followers could be accused of sharing sexually-descriptive material. Just use your imagination, & of course, you don't have to be as conservative as that. I don't know anything but probably, they "water-proof" that tightly-woven region with wax, so that semen can't ooze out. The wax would also act as a lubricant. But if you want put this method into practice without the possibility of "an accident," then you need to find-out the "how-to" details from the Yezidis who have experience with that!

But recently (Mar. 12, 2020) I realized that there is evidence that Abraham's family were probably practicing a form of JSS-Love, even before Abraham & Sarah (& Lot) left Haran. The Bible says that when Isaac married Rebekah & took her into his mother's tent (who had died about 4 years earlier), that He was comforted after his mother's death (Gen 24:67, see vss 62-67).

Now, I had always wondered about what that meant, but assumed that he was comforted to have someone feminine. But recently, I wondered if there was a connection between Isaac having sex with his wife & his relationship with his mother, Sarah. Since both Abraham & Sarah were originally Yezidi's, it could very-well be that Sarah used to share the Yezidi form of JSS-love with her son, Isaac.

There is no way to prove it, but it certainly looks like Isaac was comforted by having sex with his wife from then-on, because he had not had Yezidi-JSS with his mother since the day she died. Perhaps. No, he didn't share Yezidi-JSS with his wife, but both kinds of sex do bestow comfort, and that would explain how Isaac was comforted after his mother's death.

so many gorgeous girls do, as if she were saying “Wouldn't you just love to have me!” No, I wouldn't “just love to have” her, for there are big drawbacks to those “super-attraction” women.

Satan was trying to get me to lust, or at least delight in her, so that he could accuse me of committing fornication with her in my heart. He was trying to accuse me of desiring to have sexual intercourse with her, even if I were only just delighting in her, all without any evil thoughts.

For the Devil is “the accuser of the brethren” & will accuse you, even if you might have been thinking/feeling evil; unfair!, unfair!, unfair!!!). But I resisted that temptation. That temptation would have been a lot less severe if it were a mixed team of men & women, rather than just one in the midst of a bunch of men. (One girl, regularly associating with a bunch of men—is not a good idea, if you want them to keep their hearts right, not even if they believe & practice JSS-Love).

Now, some of you men may be wondering

whether you should read this. Yes, you need to see this, for you are feeling temptation in just reading these things. Look, you've been tempted before, & you didn't handle it very well. Well, it's time for you to learn how to handle these temptations. I'm giving you your first lesson on how to overcome a temptation like that. So, it is very important for you to ponder on how you would handle these temptations.

“God has chosen the foolish things of the world to confound the wise;...” (1 Cor 1:27a, KJV, see vss 22-31). Now, most of God's answers are very sensible, but a few of God's answers seem super-foolish to us. And yes, God's answers for sexual- & marriage-temptations seem about as foolish as you can get.

So, what is God's preferred way,

(“the way”) in a situation like this? The Devil put this temptation in the context of being free to associate when your group believes in JSS-Love. (I had just written the above-portion the night before, on how the Yezidis don't have to worry about “association” because of their ancient custom of practicing an ultra-conservative form of JSS-Love. That's why he started out the dream by proclaiming “Association!!!” But Satan's intent was evil).

As we said, it's not wise, even with JSS-Love, for just one gal to regularly associate with the same bunch of men. Thoughts can become evil, even if she isn't gorgeous. It's far-better to be a more-equally balanced group of men & women.

Attraction, & thus, temptation, can grow with time, when regularly associating, like in the dream that that the Devil gave me, but also in a more equally mixed group. So, what is God's preferred answer, when you become tempted in a situation like this? The Lord's preferred answer is to offer/ask to share JSS-Love, rather than to have “an affair” with her. (In some situations like these, God's answer may also include: considering the possibility of adding an extra spouse).

In a case like that dream, she would probably say “No,” or would “put you on the waiting list,” several weeks away. That's fine, for the Lord still has an answer. Since you can't share JSS-Love with her (or have to wait a long time), then you do the second-best thing when you get home & are alone. You just imagine appropriate JSS-Love with her, while self-masturbating.

But when the Lord recommends something like that,

that is totally contrary to mankind's traditional teaching, then it seems foolish to us. That's only natural to be repulsed by it, because our minds have been ingrained to think & reason in that traditional way of thinking.

But not only does the Lord's teaching (about JSS-Love) seem foolish, but also God's preferred way of escape seems even more-so, “absolutely-foolish.” Man's answer is to “flee fornication” (1 Cor 6:18, KJV, see 13b-20). And if you can't figure out a better way to escape than to flee, then at least, flee from giving-in to fornication, like it says in 1 Cor 6:18.

That verse warns that *of all sins*, the *most important* sin to flee from is fornication (most translations say, “sexual immorality,” but the Bible's (I.e. *God's*) definition is “...fornication...”), because committing fornication would sin against (harm) your own body. And it does.

When I was younger, I saw a number of men give-in to fornication. They were never the same again. In fact when I talked to them, they seemed to have aged, seemed empty, no longer having a contented-look on their face, without a motivation to do anything. I saw the same thing

with men who were regularly indulging. All they seemed to think about was themselves. Their countenance reflected a sadness, with no real motivation for anything else, an emptiness that I didn't want to have.

Apparently, they were just living for “the next event.” Something even seemed to be wrong with their bodies, even for those “first-timers.” Their skin seemed aged & more marked, & their bodies looked flaccid, half-alive. How sad.

But in contrast, happily-married men (Christians) don't seem that way. In general, they are contented & reasonably happy *most of* the time. They can take an interest in just about anything, including people, & are highly motivated, & act as if they have much to live-for.

Yes, they love to have sex with their wife, but they aren't “just living for the next event” like those guys were. Isn't that true with most happily married, Christian men, *most* of the time??? What a *contrast!*

I have also seen a husband who was mean & “chased away” his loyal wife & was having an secret affair, unknown to her. His countenance had changed so much that I kept thinking that he was someone else. He seemed a lot older than he, & his skin & his features were a lot more marked.

Unlike the others I've mentioned, he was a lot happier, but I could tell that something was wrong with his form of happiness. It was easy to sense that something wasn't right, especially when I discovered that it really was him, & not someone else. How was it that he was so much happier with his wife out of the house???

So the point is, flee from fornication, even you Christians who are tempted to have an affair, for you won't be the same if you do. It will hurt you, as well as hurt your skin & body.

But all sin originates in the heart, & fleeing fornication doesn't keep a person from still *desiring* that sin (fleeing from it, but their heart still desiring it). But in contrast, God's preferred way of escape (1 Cor 10:13, see 1-14) will not only provide “*the* way of escape” (vs 13) from *physically* participating in fornication, but will also subdue their desire to indulge in fornication.

But, it seems like “the stupidest thing you could

ever do,” to share JSS-Love with her. Wouldn't you want to desire her all the more & perhaps even do “the real thing” instead of JSS-Love? Yes, if you are truly wanting to sin against God, then participating in JSS-Love will open the door for you to *cheat* when you do it (if the other person is also willing to commit fornication).

But if you truly want to heed God & “*flee*” from fornication, then properly sharing JSS-Love will greatly relieve your problem. Why? Because your sex-drive is relieved 10 fold when you reach a sexual-climax in either JSS-Love or in appropriate self-masturbation.

Satan stole this way of escape from us, but the Lord promises to restore such thefts (in “the spiritual realm”) by seven-fold (Prov 6:30-31 (written in the context of “stealing,” by having an adulterous affair with your neighbors wife), see vss 20-35). So, the Lord will make-sure to restore what He promised.

So recently, we have asked the Lord to restore that “theft” (of Satan stealing the teaching of JSS-Love from us), to restore to each of us (that now believe & practice JSS-Love), by making the “7 fold” to be 3 times more pleasure, plus 4 times more satisfaction (& conviction that JSS-Love really is the right thing to do). So, don't be surprised if your pleasure & satisfaction is just as much when sharing JSS=love, as it is when you share “husband & wife sex” with your spouse.

So when Christians choose “*the* way of escape” of JSS-Love (or if necessary, self-masturbation,

while picturing JSS-Love with him/her), they will not only relieve that sex-drive that was building up inside of them, but will also be satisfied with their way of escape. Thus, their previous desire to commit fornication will now be resolved & will no longer be a viable temptation.

But, we also need to point-out that

you have to picture/think acceptable thoughts, or else. JSS-Love will also become sin. Instead of picturing her nude & sharing sexual intercourse with her (without being married to her), instead, you picture, or even pretend with her, that you are married to each other (or picture what you would do “down the road,” if (or when) you got married to each other). And, that makes your thoughts acceptable to God, as long as you don't actually do “JSS-Love,” & don't actually do what husband & wives do.

And you are saying, “No,... no,... no,... that wouldn't be right...”

Yes, yes, I know. People have fought against our answer for more than 10 years. But let me ask you, WHO decides whether it's right or not??? That's right, it's GOD who decides whether it's right or wrong, not us.

But look at it this way: Would it be wrong if you two really were married to each other??? (It would be stupid for her to marry you, if you are an old man & she is a young adult, but technically, it's not wrong in God's eyes. Abraham was vastly older than Keturah, & yet he had many sons by her. Keturah must have been a very godly woman, for most of her sons were faithful to God (Gen 25:1-6). And she didn't mind being married to such a good, old-man, because he was goo & kind & rich & easy to get-along with).

But if you two really were married to each other, then would it be wrong for you two to share JSS-Love??? Of course not, for you two would even be free to have “husband & wife sex.” Well, if that is true, & all of you know that that it's true, then how can you say it's wrong to picture what that would be like, since that is acceptable to God???

Maybe you aren't ready yet, to buy-into this way of “picturing/pretending,” but for now, just keep it in the back of your mind, so that you can “come around to that idea” when everything fits-together sufficiently.

But how did you handle that temptation that

the Devil gave you: You know, your female baseball partner, because you have never-yet shared JSS-Love with anyone?

It's been a long time since I've had to practice a “JSS” way of escape. Yes, I do have to relieve my sex drive every 3 days or so, in order to maintain good sexual self-control (like Paul advised in 1 Cor 7:2-5), but it has been a long time since I have had to take the way of escape & picture JSS-Love with the person that I'm tempted about (or with someone a lot more acceptable, if that woman happened to be an evil-minded person)..

But the Devil had disturbed me enough that I thought it was a good idea to resolve that temptation by picturing JSS-Love with her, rather than to keep-on resisting that temptation until that temptation gradually subsided.

So, I pictured that very person that the Devil showed me (while relieving myself through self-masturbation). I just pictured how I would handle that situation & considered what possible “JSS-activities” we would do, knowing that she would never be my wife (2 reasons), while at the same time, picturing that. That's all. It completely eliminated that temptation, just as it had done before, time & again.

[By the way, I have to interject that there are going to be a lot of young-adult women that are going to share JSS-Love with older men. (But watch-out & make sure that they are adults, if you live in the USA, so that you don't go to prison for it). And also, there may be some young women that aren't really committed Christians, but will join a church that believes in JSS-

Love, just to get a little extra money. I'm not saying that you can't share JSS-Love with such a person, but be careful. What you really want are (adult) girls that really-do love the Lord, & thus really-do love you, for the atmosphere from an ungodly girl can “rub-off on you” & will not give you the satisfaction that a sincere, godly girl will.

Older men appreciate so much when young-adult women give them attention. Most older men will gladly give her gifts for the pleasure of sharing JSS-Love with her. (Well, that's the truth, isn't it? And it's not wrong, as long as they are sincere gifts of appreciation (& as long as the men aren't short-changing the Lord)--and as long as the girls are not charging for “their services” like prostitutes do (for the US government will try them as prostitutes if they do).

{But young women, make sure that you are dealing with godly men—ones that have that atmosphere of “Jesus” around them, ones that you're confident that you can trust, not just anyone. Don't take the risk of getting raped & even murdered. And don't expect to get rich off

of this, either, for these men are godly Christians that also have-to remember to be faithful to the Lord's work (financially) as well, & thus, can't waste a lot of money, either. And also,

proper JSS-Love takes more time than a prostitute takes, because in doing some “love-activities” together, it makes it more enjoyable, more meaningful, more precious. (Even husbands & wives will also want to share some of these love-activities with their spouse). So, don't expect as much money as a prostitute gets.

Even when JSS-Love becomes popular, it might not even be as much as you would get from a minimum-wage job. But those gifts will still help you, financially, especially if you are going to school. But also, you aren't taking the risks that prostitutes take, for you are dealing with men that are a lot more godly than their “customers”].

There is also a similar problem with “free association” in

“the new system” of JSS-Love & Plural Spouses. There is something else that is also needed, in addition to JSS-Love & Plural Spouses, & that is touching & expressing affection, discussed in the next section.

For example, I was picturing a young woman playing on a men's baseball team & realized that there is a problem with that, even “under the new system of things.” The men's desires become worse if they associate with her, but can't touch her, than if they were free to occasionally touch her & hug & kiss her. To not be free to touch her only increases their desire for her.

It's true. It makes her more desirable than ever, if all the guys aren't free to occasionally express affection to her with a “touch” or a hug or a kiss. Do you realize that? Yes, it depends on where “the mind” goes. If a person's heart isn't right, it won't make any difference no matter what they do, but it will help those who want to control their desires.

Wow!!! It's just the opposite of what you would think, just like the Muslims thinking that they can control their desires better if they don't see any of “them.” It also backfires if a person is not free to touch, hug or kiss. Giving her a touch or a hug or a kiss gives them some satisfaction, just like it would if they shared JSS-Love with her.

Thus, it would help keep their desires more controllable. After all, most of them wouldn't get to share JSS-Love with her most of the time, but they would get the satisfaction of a hug & kiss at every game. (As you will see, JSS-Love is a “one on one” experience & cannot be done like an assembly-line, with a bunch of men standing in line).

It's the same with the women that I associated with in that Kindergarten Sunday School that I mentioned, all of whom eventually left their husbands for “someone better.” People's desires for “someone better” become greater when they frequently associate with each other, but aren't free to

occasionally touch or to give each other a hug, etc.

JSS-Love & Plural Spouses will largely eliminate this problem, but a touch, a hug or a kiss will also give that person some satisfaction & better self-control, just the opposite of what you would think it would.

Often what happens is that Christians headed toward adultery, converse with each other, all without any touching at all. But their their desires keep increasing, & thus, they get more & more careless about “putting down” wrong thoughts. Eventually, the look of desire in their eyes reveals their real heart.

Their desires might not have gotten out of control like that if they had been free, from the very beginning. to occasionally touch, hug & kiss (as well as, free to share JSS-Love, if & when they wanted to). And of course, they now also have the option of adding an additional spouse.

But there are cases where the two individuals can't marry each other (discussed...). In those cases they have to just treat each other as a “mini-wife/mini-husband,” loving each-other just as much as husbands & wives do, but only sharing JSS-Love, always knowing that they will never marry each other.

If there heart was pure in the beginning, then they might not have been quite as strongly attracted to that person, if they had been free to occasionally touch, hug & kiss from the very beginning. That little satisfaction would have reduced that “yearning” that started growing inside of them, & thus, they might have been able to control it better. However, God's preferred ways of escape (JSS-Love & Plural Spouses) would have been even more effective.

So, they might have been able to appreciate that person without losing loyalty to their spouse.

#5) “Don't express affection (repeatedly kissing, caressing & hugging) someone's spouse” is not mentioned, “pro nor con,” (not even as wise counsel) *anywhere* in the Bible. If it were categorically-wrong for men & women to have plural spouses, or if it were categorically-wrong to share JSS-Love (Jesus' Satisfying Solution, proper sharing of the lesser forms of sex) with a *married* person, then wouldn't it have been wise for the Lord to counsel us to avoid expressing affection to someone else's *spouse*??? Of course it would, for doing that might be disastrous to their marriage.

“Everyone” knows that it isn't *technically*-wrong to hug & kiss your neighbor's wife. It's just not a very wise thing to do, *if* sharing your love with a married person (through the lesser forms of sex) is categorically wrong. For you might get into trouble if you repeatedly hug & kiss him/her.

Now, it would have been beneficial up till now, if the Lord had counseled against such. But since sharing JSS-Love (lesser forms of sex) with your neighbor's wife isn't necessarily wrong (if shared properly, without sinful hearts & thoughts), then the Lord chose to remain totally silent about this. Why?, for our sake, today, to help “wake us up” that JSS-Love isn't necessarily wrong!

#6) Your son or daughter exposing his/her nakedness to you.

And you object, saying, “The reason why the Lord didn't say anything, is because the parents have to change the diapers of their children when they are babies.” We answer, “Have you ever noticed how “wordy” the 5 books of Moses are (the only place in the Bible that makes it clear that it's even wrong for relatives, even brothers & sisters, to see each other's nakedness)?

Teenagers & boys & girls, it's morally-wrong (in God's eyes) to even expose your nakedness to your brother or sister or anyone else. & Christians have traditionally known this (though many are now, quote, “forgetting” that rule). But nowhere, not even in the Old Covenant, was it forbidden for a parent to see their own child's nakedness. The child was not to see the parent's nakedness, but not vice versa.

And you think that was because a parent has to change their diapers when they are babies. But the

five books of Moses (the first 5 books of the Bible) are very wordy, because it was the Lord that was doing the speaking (except for the frequent stories). No, if the Lord wanted to forbid it, all He had to add was, "...after they are weaned." But the Lord chose to remain totally silent about it.

Now, some of you may still object because many translations (including the KJV) translate Lev. 18:6 as, "None of you shall approach any one of his close relatives to uncover nakedness." (ESV), but the Hebrew scriptures don't say that. The Jewish Stone Edition of the Jewish scriptures translates it more closely to what it actually says, "Any man shall not approach his close relative to uncover nakedness; I am HASHEM." ("HA SHEM" means, "the Name" & was used to replace the actual name of God, Yahweh or Jehovah, but most Jews have stopped using HA SHEM & use "ADONAI," which means "LORD").

The point we are making is that there is only one "any," & that "any" is at the beginning of the sentence & is in-front of the word "man," i.e. "any man." There is no "any" in front of "near of kin" or "close relative." And that is amazing. The Lord was even very careful to not put the word "any" in front of "close relative." for that would have included a person's son & a person's daughter.

Look, many children crave to be naked. No parent should require a child to take off all of their clothes in their presence. But children, including single adults, long to be naked. Well, the Lord has given them one outlet where they can be visibly naked in the presence one or both parents (one child at a time, for children are not to see the nakedness of their siblings). Now, isn't it better for them to be naked in the presence of their parent, than to be naked with someone else, who might get them into trouble???

Today, we haven't thought of nakedness as a big issue, but it's terribly wrong in God's eyes. In the Old Covenant, the Lord even commanded a brother & sister to be put to death if they saw each other's nakedness (Lev 20:17, "cut-off" meant "put to death," so that there was no possibility of them ever coming back into Israel sometime later).

But you say, "But that's 'Old Covenant!'" Yes, it is, & we don't have to put to death those that sin that way. But remember, the Lord only had people put people to death on serious issues. If it was a serious issue in the Old Covenant, & since it's also a moral issue, both then & now, then what makes you think that it's OK for a brother & sister to see each other's nakedness??? No, even the New Testament forbids you from exposing your nakedness. Doing such a thing can even cause you to miss-out on Heaven (Rev 16:15 & other places, too).

A Problem Of Young People & Singles

Young people, you need to realize why you are so strongly tempted to expose your nakedness. Your Sex-drive & your nakedness-drive are closely-connected. People, this is one of the reasons why it is so important to relieve yourself, sexually, (through appropriate JSS or through appropriate masturbation). If you do so, you will find that you will also be able to control your "naked-drive" as well. It's all connected together.

Young people, multitudes of you have been giving-in to being naked with... It seems so innocent to you, but it isn't at all. Such an action prompts evil thoughts & is even more dangerous than that. The Lord knows that sooner or later, it will place you in the path of great temptation.

That's why the Lord forbids you from this activity that seems so innocent to you, because it opens the door to even worse sins. The Lord says "No, only with your parents or with your spouse (after you get married). (JSS-Love also allows nakedness, but you have to do it in a way that neither of you can see each others nakedness (from the waist to the thighs)).

You think you do it because you want to, but Jesus knows better. Jesus said that the sinner becomes a slave to his-own sin (John 8:34-36, see vss 31-47). You reply, "I'm not a slave. I do it because I want to." That's true, but those very "wants" are causing you to be a slave. You cannot stop, either.

Yes, you can stop for an hour or even a day, but you cannot permanently stop, for you are a slave to your desires. The only way that you are going to break-free is to realize that it truly is sin, & that you are a helpless-slave to that sin. As soon as you realize that, then cry-out to the One that can free you from your captivity, to God (or Jesus). Cry-out in helpless-frustration, pleading, ***“Lord! Free me from this sin that I'm held-by! HELP Me!!!”*** And, He will, if you earnestly plead, believing that He will rescue you from your desires that are controlling you.

And then after being convinced from this website, then start relieving yourself periodically through appropriate self-masturbation or through expressing appropriate JSS-Love with a friend (who also is convinced).

Then you will see that you will be able to control your sensual- & sexual-drive much better, (control better your sensual-attraction, your “naked-drive” & your sex-drive). (As for me, generally, it is best to relieve myself once every 3 days; otherwise my sensual-attraction gets less & less & less controllable, the longer I put off relieving myself).

One Time When Jesus Delivered Me

I remember one time when I was a (somewhat-older) college student & still single, that the Devil had finally gotten control of me, & I was even doing things that I (at least, my mind) didn't want to do (because I might get caught). When it looked like I was going to get caught, I cried out-loud to the Lord, ***“Lord, HELP me!!!”*** Instantly, that controlling power (the Devil) was ***GONE!!!***

I was amazed & could hardly believe that the Lord could answer instantly like that. I was so thankful for the Lord's mercy that I repented from that sin from then-on. (For I didn't want a devil to get control of me ever-again).

If You Want God to Deliver You, Then You Have to Try

Well, the Lord will do the same for you. He will deliver you from your slavery as well, if you will cry-out to Him in faith (without any unbelief at all), expecting Him to do it.

But the only way you'll be delivered is if you are also trying for all you are worth, truly trying to break-free from that slavery! If the lame man at the pool of Bethesda (John 5:8-9, see 5-9) hadn't tried to get up when Jesus told him to, would he have been healed. No, he had to try to get-up.

No, Jesus won't do it for you (& neither will the Holy Spirit). You have to try. That shows them that you (perhaps not your heart, but at least your mind) truly wants to break-free from that desire that is enslaving you. When They see you keeping-on trying & pleading, & thus realizing that you can't do it without them, then they will deliver you.

Continuing the List of Seven

7a) Touching a minor's sexual organs:

The laws in the USA forbid anyone, including the child's parents, from even touching the sexual organs of a minor (under the age of 18). Neither is the minor to even touch the sexual organs of the adult. You can go to prison for that, even if the child asks you to.

But where does it say that in the Bible??? It doesn't. The Bible is totally silent about that. Now, isn't that amazing that the Lord never said anything at all about that, nor anything similar to that. Now, why didn't the Lord say something???

Now, the Bible does say that we are to obey the laws of the land. And as for sexual intercourse (becoming one-flesh w/...), the Bible does make it clear that a person is to only have sexual intercourse with his/her spouse (or spouses), but not with anyone else. So that implies that people are forbidden to have sexual intercourse with their daughter/son. But otherwise, the Bible is totally silent, “neither pro nor con,” about touching your children's sexual organs, nor about the child touching the parent's sexual organs.

So, could it be that touching your own child's sexual organs can be wrong, but is not necessarily

wrong??? It appears that God was also hiding this secret for us near the end of time. Apparently, believe it or not, apparently it is possible to meet the Lord's approval in doing these things.

Now that one is hard for us Americans “to swallow,” for “everyone” has thought that that's the most evil thing for a parent to do to their child. (And yes, if it were true that the Lord categorically-forbids the lesser forms of sex, which “all” Americans have always believed was wrong, then it would be an evil thing to do.

But as you will see, God hasn't forbidden the lesser forms of sex (called “JSS,” Jesus' satisfying solution). Yes, we have to obey the laws of the land, & those laws can be obeyed, even though it's OK in the eyes of the Lord. But minors have to share JSS-Love with minors, not adults. So, it is important for parents to get their children practicing JSS-Love with their little friends, so that they avoid the common evils associated with “experimenting with sex,” etc.

If you don't get them started “on the right road” while they are young (at least before puberty), then they might-be headed “down the wrong road” toward evil, if they even once experiment with sex (after puberty), even if all they do is self-masturbation—only one time. Their hearts will probably be stolen (especially boys) if they even masturbate once with evil thoughts.

If they do it with evil thoughts, then it won't be long before they start using filthy language (& have filthy attitudes) with their friends. Plus, they almost always turn to alcohol & drugs (even if they are minors), to get more effect from whatever sex they do. So, it's better to get them started with JSS-Love, with godly, Christian friends, well-before they reach puberty. Then hopefully, they won't go down the wrong path toward evil. (Read on, but please start thinking about that).

7b) Child molesting:

That's what they call it in the USA. “Molesting” is a general term of “taking advantage of someone” or “mistreating or abusing someone,” but society (especially Christians) have categorically-classified any kind of sexual activity with a child as “molesting.”

But where do Christians get that idea from the scriptures??? They can't, for the Lord didn't even mention anything, “neither pro nor con” anywhere in the entire scriptures. Go ahead & search for it, but you won't find it. And besides, since the Lord didn't forbid adults to share JSS-Love (Jesus' Satisfying Solution) with each other, & since the Lord never forbade children (teenage children & even younger) from sharing JSS-Love, then why would you even imagine that He would forbid a parent from sharing his/her love with their child (through JSS)???

And if a teenage boy wants to expose his nakedness to his mom, then what would you suppose that he's going to want next??? That's right, JSS-Love, “parent-child JSS-Love.” Far better to get that from his mother than for him to “experiment with sex” with his sister, or to seduce the neighborhood girl (or girlfriend)---and you know what kind of sex they would do, since they are doing it in secret. But we are so prejudiced & thus think that all of this has to be wrong!

Now, isn't that amazing! Because many parents (& other adults)--not just those that do it & get caught—have also been tempted to share sexual love with their child. The Lord showed me that my own mother was tempted that way, but never actually did it—and that was before there was such a law.

He showed me one time when I was about 6 or 7 years old, when the Devil got the best of my Mom, who was a good, happy, peaceful Christian. My Mom saw me coming toward her bedroom door & quickly stepped into her room & undressed what she could in that little amount of time (don't worry, she died a long time ago & won't hear this) while I was passing by her bedroom door. She expressed an expression of joy & relief from not having much clothes on, as if she delighted to be naked.

I turned my head & looked at her. I think she was trying to lure me to come into her bedroom, but she “goofed” by turning her head too abruptly, & by looking at me as if she were shocked for me to

see her partially undressed. Since she looked shocked, I interpreted it to mean that I wasn't supposed to turn my head & see her partial undressed. And so, I walked on by.

I am so thankful that she goofed in such a way that it never happened, for that one event would have turned my whole life toward sin & sex from that time, onward. For we all believed that any such thing was categorically-wrong. Thus (because of believing that it's wrong, Rom 14:22-23), then the Devil could take advantage of that & tempt both of us even more severely.

But that one sin wouldn't have stopped with just one event, because “the sinner” always becomes a slave to his/her sin (John 8:34, see vss 31-36). And because the sinner becomes a willful-slave to his/her-own sin (wants to sin), he/she & cannot stop without the help of Jesus!

[Sinner, if you want to stop & can't, then cry-out (out-loud) to Jesus in faith, believing that He has the power to free you from that slavery. Jesus suffered & died in order to free you from those sins. So when you cry-out to Jesus in faith, He will break those chains from you. But you have to do your part, too, & try hard. You have to try to break free, while realizing that you are utterly helpless without Jesus enabling you.

When Jesus breaks those chains, then profusely thank Him for making you free. (You will feel a new freedom). But be sure to keep-on resisting that temptation to get back into that sin. And then continue doing your part to avoid that sin in the future, lest it take complete control of you again!].

And it is wrong, even in the eyes of God, for a mother to be visibly naked in the presence of her child. As you will see, her child (even her adult-child) can be visibly naked in her presence, & the two can even share their love together, appropriately, through the lesser forms of sex. But the child is not to see his mother's (or father's) nakedness (the region from the waist to the thighs).

But look, why do you think this is so horrible? Yes, if it were true that any kind of sex at all was wrong with anyone except your spouse, then it would be horrible to share such a thing with your child. But if it meets God's approval for two adults—& even for two children—to share appropriate love through the lesser forms of sex (since that isn't against the law), then what makes you think that it is terribly wrong for a parent to share his/her love with his/her own child???

If it is true that the Lord approves of 2 teenagers (& even younger than that) sharing JSS-Love with each other (& likewise 2 adults), because that isn't against the law, then what makes you think that God doesn't allow the teenager (or even younger) to ask his/her parent to share JSS-Love with him/her (if it isn't against the law)??? So if the Lord approves of the one, then you can be sure that He also approves of the other, as long as it isn't against the law where they are at.

No, we can't do that where it is against the law (but you can go somewhere else, occasionally, where it's legal). But the day is coming when (believe it or not) we believers will become the majority, & then we will modify that law, so that parents can share love like that, if the child desires it (asks for it).

And yes, a Christian should **never** molest (take advantage-of or harm) anyone “in any shape, way or form.” But they call it “child molesting,” even if the child asks for it, even if there is no molesting involved.

But if the child wants to be naked with Mom or Dad, & if he/she wants to share love with his/her parent, & if they share it in a place where it is legal, & if they share it appropriately, according to what the Bible says, then how can you call it **evil**??? God didn't! Many a parent (& many a child, too) would love that new freedom.

So, Did God Just “Happen to Completely-Forget” These Seven Different “Prohibitions” About Sex & Marriage???

Do you realize what the odds are for even a human being to completely forget to say even one thing that they wanted to say—and keep on forgetting to say that thing, throughout their entire life-time??? I would guess that the odds of that happening are about one chance in a million!

And yet, we want to think that God “just happened to forget to mention” these 7 important things (important to say if they truly were categorically-wrong), forgotten for the entire 1500 year period that it took to write the whole Bible!.

I think all Christians believe that God is omniscient (all-knowing), omnipresent (present everywhere), all-powerful, etc., etc. You could explain (as we did) why the Lord might be silent about women having plural husbands (& as you will see, even that explanation is ridiculous). But how can you explain why He didn't say something about the other six so-called “don'ts,” if they truly are categorically wrong???

So, Why Was the Lord Silent About All 7 of These?

Now, tell me that the Lord wasn't hiding something, when He (the Bible) was totally silent about all 7 of these “don'ts”. Wouldn't God's people (up till now) have been benefited by these “don'ts”, if they really were true, but God couldn't confirm them. Otherwise we never would catch-on that the Devil had messed-up those teachings. But neither could he correct any of them, if He wanted to hide till our day, for God's people would have caught-on thousands of years ago.

The Lord was silent because this silence verifies that Satan truly did set-up a trap regarding sex & marriage. The Lord couldn't even partially “ratify” any of these 7 things, because not one of them is fully correct. For if He did, then we would misunderstand & think that all 7 of them are correct, & thus, we would never “catch-on” that all 7 of them need correcting.

But at the same time, the Lord didn't want to correct the real truth about these teachings, not if He wanted to save the discovery till “the time of the end,” for it would prematurely expose & negate Satan's trap. For Satan's trap (these 7 distorted “don'ts”) are largely to blame for the wickedness of the world, not just in our age, but all the way, down through the ages. (Sound impossible? Read-on).

So the Lord only had one choice, if He wanted to leave Satan's trap alone, letting it remain until near the end of time. After all, the present teachings are livable. After all, we have been living in that trap for 6,000 years, & many have been saved without falling into sexual- & marriage-sins. But that “many,” is a very-great minority in the world, & even a great minority within the church! Few have succeeded. Yes, many of those that fell have repented & have been forgiven, but just think how much better it would have been if they had never fallen.

“But Our Present Teachings on Morality Seems So Virtuous.

So, How Could Satan Use That as a Trap?”

You question, “But it's such a small sin, compared to murdering & things like that.” To you it may seem small, but not to God. Did you ever notice that in the 10 commandments (Ex 20:1-17) that the commandment against adultery is in-between the commandment to not murder & the commandment to not steal? There is a reason for this.

Even the rejected spouse feels that their spouse was “stolen from them,” & at the same time, feels “murdered” by their spouse who now hates them & rejects them. You don't think so??? You've got to be blind to what happens to those who “lose their lover” for someone else. They feel murdered. In fact in the USA, we went through a huge rash of people (mostly men), murdering their spouse

that was leaving them, & then took their own life also.

That was a stupid thing for them to do, for the Lord has a way of making things beautiful out-of each & every tragedy. But that's how much it hurts, for even those who won't do such a rash thing like that. It's super-painful. I know, for I went through a "rejection-divorce" like that.

But those sexual & marriage sins are much bigger than that. That's why Satan developed this trap. The sinner lies to himself/herself & thinks it's not such an evil thing to do. But when he/she gives-in, even before he/she takes action, while the decision is only mentally made, Satan moves-in & gradually starts taking more & more control of that person, tempting them to do worse & worse sins.

One sin opens the door for bigger sins. Why? Because, no longer is God his/her master. They, not God, become the ones to decide which of God's commandments they will keep & won't keep. And when their desire becomes great enough (through Satan's temptations), they end-up rejecting one commandment after another, becoming worse & worse & worse.

But getting back to why the Lord kept silent on these: The Lord couldn't confirm any of these seven so-called "'don'ts'" because the Lord couldn't say what we thought He should say. Those statements needed correcting & weren't necessarily wrong.

But also, He couldn't correct those teachings, either, & still keep it as a surprise for our day. If He had corrected any one of these 7 so-called "'don'ts'," He would have revealed at least part of God's true teachings about these things. Thus, Satan's trap would have been, at least, partially negated 1000's of years ago, back in the days of Moses (or at least by Jesus' day).

But the Lord didn't want to expose Satan's distortions (on sex & marriage), not yet. But you object, "But why not! Wouldn't the world have been a whole-lot better if He had?" Yes, the whole world would have been a whole-lot less sinful. (We estimate that that the cumulative-effect of Satan's trap, over many centuries, has caused the world to be 10 times as wicked as it would have been).

But the Lord wasn't just concerned about "the present." He was out to get everyone to realize that it is absolutely necessary for Satan needed to be destroyed (in the Lake of Fire). So, the Lord allowed the whole world to become so wicked that everyone would realize that Satan & his hosts have to be destroyed.

But also, the Lord had two goals that were even greater than that:

a) The Lord not only wanted Satan to be overcome by Jesus. The Lord also wanted us to reject Satan's sins so well that we, too, could thoroughly overcome Satan's temptations by perfectly reflecting the image of Jesus. (It says so in Rev 12:11; our overcoming stops Satan's accusing mouth (see vss 7-12), & also in Rom 8:29, (see vss 12-37). So, don't think that it's impossible to do, for the Bible "prophesies" (in these texts) that we really-are going to do it!). (The reason why Paul experienced repeated failure (failing in terms of overcoming, Rom 7:13-24) was because he didn't know the secret to overcoming. God made overcoming (Rev 2:7, 11, 17, etc.) a gift so that no one could boast.

Just as salvation & faith are gifts, so that no one can boast (Eph 2:8-10), so also is overcoming a gift of the Holy Spirit, purchased by Jesus shedding His blood, Rev 5:6. see vss 1-7) that enables us to overcome. You see, Lucifer fell from glorying over how beautiful & persuasive he was (Ezek 28:11-19). We, too, would not be exempt from falling if we had something to glory about. So, the Lord set the standard so high that no human could overcome in his own power. Jesus purchase the 7 [-fold] Spirits of God by shedding His blood 7 different times for us. Without these Spirit-gifts, none of us could ever live a virtuous life.

But the only way that the Lord will give us the 5th Spirit-gift (probably purchased by Jesus falling beneath the load of the Cross, unable to carry it) is by daily acknowledging that we aren't able to remain victorious without Jesus' gifts.

Thus,

we don't have anything to glory about (not even “partial credit,” no matter how hard we have to try), for none of us could be victorious, “24/7,” without this 5th Spirit-gift. This acknowledgment protects us from any pride at all. We call the 5th Spirit-gift the Subduing-Spirit that subdues our hearts & enables us to overcome.

b) The Lord's biggest-goal has been to make the universe eternally secure from sin arising ever-again (Nah 1:9, see whole book). That is why the Lord allowed the Devil to continue his trap until now, so that we could see how horrible sin can get. If He had corrected these false teachings in Moses' day or in Jesus' day, then the world would have been (we estimate) one-tenth as wicked, & people wouldn't have realized how horrible sin can get. But by waiting till our day

to reveal this, with the world as wicked as it has been, we can now see the great contrast between sin & righteousness.

For we adults will have lived in both of these “eras:” 1) the world at its worst (because of the Devil's trap), & 2) the world greatly improved with the Devil's trap exposed, i.e. with everyone turning away from evil & turning toward Jesus, through the Holy Spirit. Worldly Christians will then realize that the Lord's requirements are a lot more reasonable than they had ever dreamed (& so will we). Both they & we will rejoice in this new freedom that makes it a lot easier to keep free from evil thoughts that have plagued us Christians, & to keep all of us from “out & out” sins, too.

[Can't picture it? That's because sometimes, God's answers are completely backwards to what we would think (1 Cor 1:25-29). Some of you will just have to wait to see the “fruits of” changed-lives in (formerly) evil-people (Matt 7:15-20)]. We will

feel so free & so in-love with the Lord (in contrast to our former life), & we will see how feasible it is to overcome (through Jesus' gifts),. Consequently, many of us will gain courage & press toward that mark of living a victorious life,

And why will we be so eager to overcome (through Jesus' gift)? To stop Satan's mouth from accusing us, so that: “...Now salvation, & strength & the kingdom of our God, & the power of His Christ have come, for the accuser of our brethren,...has been cast down. And they overcame him by...” (Rev 12:10,11, NKJV, see vss 7-12).

But Can it Possibly Be?

Adam (not God) taught the same standards on marriage & sex that we Christians have today, except for (probably) marrying-off their children at puberty, like many Jews did (Mal. 2:13-16). Forbidding Part 2 (& especially Part 1) are Satan's *biggest traps*, but God hid these “clues” till now, in order to catch Satan in his very-own trap.

Let's explain: Throughout the ages, more people have fallen from sexual sins & marriage sins than any other sin, because of forbidding Parts 1 (JSS) & 2 (plural spouses). Once they lose their connection with God, then Satan drives them on-into more & more & worse & worse sins. Satan has tried to (cumulatively) get each generation to become worse & worse & worse.

Why?, to get back at God by trying to “pull down” us humans (who are gong to take the place of him & his fallen angels, Rev 12:7-17), so that we, too, might be destroyed (also Rev 20:4, see 1-4, & 22:5b, see 3-6).

Forbidding Part 1 (JSS, discussed elsewhere) is the biggest reason for gross-wickedness, today. But, as you will see below, forbidding Part 2 was the biggest reason for wickedness, back in Noah's day.

So, this message is 10 times more important than you think, & yet some of you still think that it can't possibly be true. Many Bible-believers think that we are doing fine, that the Lord is about to come because the world is becoming so wicked & because we are *almost* finished taking the Gospel to the world. You are deeply *mistaken!* God has a big surprise for us:

You are overlooking the vast multitudes who “aren't interested,” because they won't surrender to Christ—no, not till these 2 teachings are restored. You forgot that God will restore *all* things *before*

the end of time, including doctrines (Matt 17:11b, see vss 9-13). Since Jesus said all things would be restored, then doesn't it make sense that some traditional-teachings would also be restored?

That implies that more than likely, not all of the traditional-teachings are correct at the present time, especially when you consider that there are so many different teachings in so many different denominations. They all can show you from the Bible, why they believe what they do—from certain scriptures, but not from all of them. The Enemy is to blame for all off these conflicting teachings & denominations, by filling each conflicting denomination with feelings of inspiration, that what they teach is the truth!!!, the whole truth & nothing but the truth!!!

Could it be that none of us have correctly fitted all of the scriptures together???, that each one of these conflicting teachings is “a little-bit right???, i.e. that the texts that they use do say something similar to that???, that the real answer lies in studying-out all of these conflicting verses, until the Holy Spirit opens our eyes to see how it all fits together??? Yes, we wouldn't be surprised if there will also be other traditional teachings (not mentioned here) that will also be restored soon.

Yes, we believe that the day is coming when the Lord will give-back the “Spirit of Truth,” which will guide all of us (all who are the Lord's) into all truth (John 16:13). When the Lord gives that back, not only will all of the churches that believe the Bible start coming together, but they will also start believing the very-same things!

(This is much different than previous ecumenical attempts. For not only will we (eventually) become united in beliefs, but will also be filled with the Holy Spirit & become perfectly united in brotherly-love & fellowship with each other, perfectly united as ONE!).

We believe that many of Jesus' signs of the last days are now coming to an end (Matt 24, Mark 13 & Luke 21). Jesus worded it that way to hide His surprise for us: (that better days are coming, less sinful & more comfortable, while taking the Gospel to the whole world—that is, until the Antichrist).

No longer will only a few be saved (Matt 7:13-14). That, too, is about to come to an end. When the great majority of the world start believing (& practicing) JSS & plural spouses, then Satan's 2 biggest traps will be removed, & multitudes will start believing & yes, even faithfully following the Lord.

You don't think so? Even during the time of the Antichrist, Jesus will have a bigger harvest of souls than there has ever been in the history of the world. Not only will multitudes die as martyrs, but also a vast multitude will come-out of the Antichrist after his reign has ended & he's exposed.

One of the 24 elders in Revelation revealed to John that the “...great multitude that no-one could number...” (Rev 7:9, see vss 9-17) will come-out of “the great tribulation” (vs 14, ESV & NKJV (the KJV mistranslated it)), which is “a time of trouble such as never has been since there was a nation” (Dan 12:1, see vss 1-4), which is also Armageddon, which happens after the Antichrist, during the 7 last plagues, just before Jesus comes in the clouds of glory (Rev 16:16, see 12-21).

Now, isn't that far more people than “few there be that find it” (KJV, Matt 7:13,14)??? Yes it is. So, could it be that lots-more people will be saved, saved for eternity, from now, on??? Think about it.

Someday Satan will deceive every tribe & nation, from the North Pole to the South Pole, through the Antichrist (Rev. 13:7 & 14, see vss 1-17). But the only way he can deceive the whole world, is for the entire world to be one basic religion. (Buddhists won't “buy-it,” Hindus won't buy-it & Muslims won't “buy” what Christians will be deceived by). So, we aren't going “Home” until the whole world becomes Christian (just one more evidence that vast numbers of people will soon be saved, not all of them saved for eternity, but will, at least, will become Christians of some sort).

But ultra-conservatives,

that doesn't mean that your conservative practices weren't best under the old system of things, for they were the right thing to do, up till now. But now that God is moving in a new direction to restore these 2 teachings, then the traditional “precautions” will no longer be needed & will be a hindrance. Yes, these 2 systems don't fit-together & will seem objectionable at first. But the whole

world (even our present members) will be better off, once these teachings are established.

[New, prior to Feb., 2019) Everything written below (except for a few “insertions”) was written long-before we discovered that there are 7 “prohibitions” that the Bible is silent about. Yes, there are some portions that are valuable, such as “**What was or wasn't nailed to the Cross**” & a more complete hidden approval of women having plural husbands. But at this point (w/o reading it), we think you can skip the rest of this E-book]

[There are also some “spots” that I was working on a long time ago, but never got back to finish them. Usually, there are a bunch of blank-lines at the “spots” where I'm not yet finished). Some things below will be redundant, because we haven't had time to re-write all of this. Also, the subject from here, onward, is primarily on plural spouses (except for the last pages of this “book”).

[This was the introduction of this E-book, last Jan, 2019]: (except for a few “insertions”)
(the issue)

Most of us think that loosening standards is terrible, & that tightening standards isn't that bad. But we showed you the results before the Flood. And in our day, most people under 30 leave “church,” with many couples just living together, not interested in God. If these men knew that the Lord approves of plural-spouses (& Part 1), they would come to Jesus & wouldn't hesitate to marry.

So, is it important? Forbidding Parts 1 & 2 are Satan's greatest traps that have made the world so wicked. Had we known these 2 teachings a hundred years earlier, many people who are now “not interested,” would never have left the churches. The churches in Europe & Britain would now be full, with many-more church buildings. But best of all, most of those people would put away alcohol & drugs, be peaceful & happy, in-love with Jesus & loyally following the Lord.

Removing these 2 traps does 3 things: 1) quenches many sin-problems within our churches, 2) fills followers with great love & loyalty to Jesus, 3) reaches vast-multitudes who can now believe & repent with these messages.

So, those of you who will reject this, remember this:

When you see people flocking to these churches (any denomination, for there's a legal way), when you see spouses in-love with each other & deeply in-love with Jesus, putting away all evil, surrendering their lives entirely to Christ, when you see them carefully courting each other (3 ½ years suggested), to be sure to keep their promise “till death do you part,” then reconsider. Your eyes will be opened when you read the very-same words & wonder why you didn't see it before.

Look at it from a “common sense” point of view: Wouldn't it be far-better for married people to carefully/prayerfully add a spouse, while still loving & cherishing the first spouse even more (for allowing them to...), rather than for them “to deal treacherous blows” to their present spouse???

They try to get their spouse to leave & get someone else. They **hate** him/her because he/she keeps them from gratifying their desire. If they knew that they could have “that person,” then they could relax & put-away their lust, for usually it's a fellow church-member.

We all know of marriage-suicides & of shattered lives of the forsaken ones, but also, many tragedies are from rejection. I was almost one of those secret-tragedies early-on. “Oh, you poor dear, your husband took his life!” But the Lord sustained me & gave me a beautiful bliss during that last 9 months of a 3 ½ year long, “one-sided war.”

So please, put away your prejudices & prayerfully-keep an open mind. You'll see quick answers to many questions & and clear proofs from the Bible. You'll be shocked when you read p. 9 ½.

(traditional rebuttals)

Yes, there's a legal-way to have plural-spouses in the USA today (& elsewhere). But doesn't this sound **ungodly**, totally contrary to Christianity? The answer is “Yes” & “No.” “Yes,” when

ungodly people practice it, for they aren't following the Lord. It's also sort-of "Yes," for it goes against everything that we Christians have-had ingrained in us. So, it will take time to accept it. But it's also "No," for as you will see, it can be done without violating any principle of the Bible.

But we were taught that it's not just foolish, but also **wrong!**, that Old Testament plural-wives was God's permissive will: "because of the hardness of your heart,..." (Matt 19:8a,ESV, see vss 3-9) changing the quote from "to divorce your wives" to: "to have plural-wives."

It sounds true, but is it??? Where is your proof that God forbids plural-spouses? The verse, "Therefore a man shall leave his father &... hold fast to his wife, &... become one flesh" (Gen. 2:24, ESV), doesn't say what you think.

Adding on a spouse doesn't break the adultery-commandment in the 10 commandments (Ex 20:14, see vss 1-17), for it talks about adding-on a wife in the next chapter (21:10, see vss 7-11). But, the New Testament never shows that the Lord nailed this freedom to the cross, nor does it show that He changed the definition of adultery. That's because Jesus promised that not even a tittle (the smallest stroke of a letter) of the moral commandments would fail (Luke 16:17, see vss 14-18). Yes, those little rules & regulations of the Old Covenant were nailed to the Cross (Col 2:13-17) for they were even burdensome to the Jews (Acts 15:10,11, see vss 1-35), but there is no record that any freedoms of any sort were nailed to the Cross.

Surely you can't imagine how, but we prove that none of the regulations on "plural-wives" were nailed to the Cross. And if the plural-marriage regulations weren't nailed to the Cross, then (reversing the logic) it still has to be OK. Otherwise, those commandments would have to be nailed to the cross.

It was the Gentiles (who had temple prostitutes) who made it illegal, not the Jews. Otherwise, the Jews still would have had plural-wives in Jesus' day. That's why the Jews were urgent about putting away their wives to get someone else, & thus hated Jesus (Matt 19:3-9), because it was against the Roman law to have more than one wife. Jesus always spoke in the context of one wife, but never-once spoke against plural wives. As we prove below, Gen. 2:23,24 (the two becoming one flesh) also applies to plural spouses.

(New, Aug. 14, 2019) next "half-page"

Some think that Matt. 19:9 speaks against plural-spouses: "...whoever divorces his wife, except for fornication, & marries another, commits adultery; & whoever marries her who is divorced commits adultery." (NKJV margin).

Amazingly, this same verse also applies to plural spouses.

If he divorces (or if even one of his wives divorces him & doesn't commit fornication), then he is forbidden to marry any more wives. Nor can he marry her who is illegitimately divorced (i.e. when neither she nor her husband has committed fornication). This is why a long courtship is recommended (3 ½ years suggested). If even one of your spouses changes his/her mind & rejects "plural spouses," & also refrains from fornication, then you are stuck & cannot add-on any more spouses. You will be committing adultery if you do.

Nor can you marry anyone else if even one of your spouses abandons you, for abandoning your spouse is the same as divorcing your spouse (in "plural spouses").

More Traditional Rebuttals

Since there is nothing in the entire Bible (pro- or con-) about women having plural-husbands, then how can you say it's wrong, since Gen 2:24 isn't what you think? God is love, & He wouldn't want anyone to sin, for sin always causes harm to someone. So God, who is all-knowing, would make-sure to warn women to not have plural-husbands if it were sinfully-wrong, wouldn't He??? So, how can you say that it is wrong for women to have plural-husbands???

We show from science that God could foresee every tiny detail, just like the Holy Bible says. Since God "saw it all," that means that He would really be reproached for punishing people that He foresaw doing it & yet never warned them. Isn't God love? Doesn't He want them to be saved? Then if it were

wrong, wouldn't He warn them, especially since He foresaw them actually doing it??? And if it has always been OK for women to have plural-husbands from the very-beginning, then what about men??? But unlike the traditions of Muslims & fundamentalist "Mormons," we don't recommend harems.

You'll have to see it to believe. The Lord not only remained silent about women & plural spouses, but also carefully hid His full-approval of women having plural-husbands. And if the Lord approves of (not just permits) women, then what about men having plural-wives???

"Jewish-Jewish" women were never forbidden to have plural-husbands. Their covenant was to keep "the law" (the Torah, 5 books of Moses). **If** it didn't say it in the Torah, then they didn't have to heed it (if their husband says "OK" & if it's legal, like the USA (unlike the 1800's)).

"Plural-spouses" are legal today, as long as you don't register it with your state or nation. Anyone can live with anyone today, have a fancy-wedding in a church & a church wedding-certificate, **if** they don't register it with the government.

You've rejected this by quoting, "Therefore a man shall leave his father & his mother & hold fast to his wife, & they shall become one flesh." (Gen. 2:24, ESV). Then you quote, "but from the beginning it was not so." (Matt 19:8b, see vs 3-9), changing the saying from "to divorce" to "to marry plural-wives." Then you verify it by pointing out that Adam & Eve's "good-descendants" all had one wife, even Noah & his 3 sons.

But could it be that that's what Adam & Eve taught them, not God??? We prove below that Adam & Eve didn't teach what the Lord actually taught them.

"This will blow your minds," but we reveal from the New Testament that Gen. 2:23 & 24 (a man leaving parents to marry) also includes "plural-spouses! So, Gen. 2:23-24 truly can't mean "only one spouse."

We also show why Adam & Eve's descendants had one spouse, why the Lord had to hide all comments about women having plural-husbands & why He had to hide His approval of it. And suddenly, everything fits together!

You want to see the proofs, but what good will it do if we don't answer your objections first?

Would you believe those proofs, even though the proof has you "locked-in" so tightly that you can only conclude is that it has to be true? No, you wouldn't, because you'd still have all these other objections, encouraging you that it's wrong, but most of all, it's because "a person convinced against his will is of the same opinion still."

Look, which do you want most of all? Do you want to please your fellow believers, or do you want to please God. Do you want to know the truth, or do you just want a way-out? It's not us that you'll have-to face, it's the Lord.

Right now, you don't know, because you've only read this far. So, it's possible that you are right, but it's also possible that God really has hidden it in His Holy Bible. Yes, you can keep your prejudices for now, but the only way you will know if it truly is from the Lord, is to you keep your mind open enough for you to carefully/prayerfully examine the possibility.

But we still need to remove the main objections first, so that it will be easier for your mind & heart to consider the possibility. The first objection we need to answer is the most common one, Gen. 2:23,24.

But always remember this as you consider our case: We aren't saying that our present system of only-one-spouse is bad, for it is do-able. That's why the Lord let Satan continue these 2 traps, because they are not only livable, but also, you can be saved by following the old system. But that doesn't mean that the one-spouse system is God's preferred way.

The problem is that a much greater percentage of people rebel against God, plus a much larger number of young people leave the Lord because of these present teachings, & of those who follow the Lord, many more fall into sexual-sins & marriage sins than would fall under God's preferred

system.

And that's why God hid this, so that we could see the great contrast between the one-spouse system & the plural-spouse system. And also, that's why He hid it till now, so that there would be a great revival of righteousness in God's people, which will catch Satan in his-own trap, (which still remains to be demonstrated that it really is so).

We know that you want to see the proofs, but what good will it do, if we don't answer your objections first?

The reason why the plural marriages of Muslims & Fundamentalist Mormons haven't worked well is because of 2 reasons: 1) Harems don't work well. Women like to "deal 1 on 1" with their husband, plus it's difficult to get along with their fellow women, when they constantly live with them. 2) It only helps protect the husband from temptation, not his wives. "It takes 2 to tango." Since women have just as much (or more) to do with husbands being tempted, then women need plural-husbands to help protect them from temptation, too.

Our present system of only-one-spouse isn't working either. We've already mentioned about the massive percentage of divorces in the USA, etc. If it works so well, then why are there so many divorces???

But also, a number younger men aren't finding a wife, & multitudes of older women are being by-passed! Why? Because most older men are marrying younger women, leaving less younger women for the younger men to marry. It's only natural, when men can only have one wife, to prefer a younger wife, but look at the problem it's producing! Single people die younger than married people, especially men.

But also in our one-spouse system, there are lots of single people, many of which are afraid to marry, because they don't want to take a chance of being hurt by their spouse rejecting them & then leaving. And even many of those that are married, aren't getting along with each other, because they are constantly with that same person, & "mole-hills are made into mountains."

And thirdly, our one-spouse doesn't work very well when the ratio of men to women gets out-of-balance. For instance, there were a lot of women didn't get husbands after World War 2, because many men lost their lives in the war. And look at all of the older women who would love to have a good husband, but are without a husband today. The older men prefer to marry a younger woman, so most of the older women are left-out.

People die younger who aren't married, especially men. But under the one-spouse system, the older women & the fearful & less-desirable men are all left without a spouse. And, I can well-imagine that many younger men have a hard-time finding a good wife (because women prefer a more-successful, a more "down-to-earth," i.e. an older man who appreciates them more than younger-men do). So many young men are left-behind & have-to search long & hard to find someone & some never do.

So, can you honestly say that our one-spouse system is better than the one-husband/plural-wife system??? But the Lord is promoting (hidden for thousands of years) a system that is far-better than either of those two systems. (But don't judge us by what the ungodly do. They are different).

As you will see below, God is wiser than man & recommends women having plural husbands. The one-husband/plural-wife system only worked (somewhat) back in the days when many men died from wars & many women were available.

In our society of a balance of men & women, if only men can have plural-spouses, then "the rich," "the handsome," "the strong" & "the famous" will get those wives, leaving more single-men than ever, without any wife at all. But in allowing women to have just as many spouses as men do, then

“everyone” can have plural-spouses (theoretically).

So whether you think it's wrong or not, then surely you can see that it's a more-fair system than men-only having plural-spouses. Actually, it's even more-fair than the drawbacks we mentioned on the one-spouse system. Surely you can see that many more older women would be married, & that many-more single-men would also be married. And surely you can see that husbands & wives would be much more apt to get-along with their spouse, less-apt “to get into each other's hair,” because of living-with a variety of spouses (one spouse at a time, no harems, see Isa. 4:1...).

(more objections)

Don't say, “But that can't be, for if men have several wives, & women several husbands, then everyone could marry **everyone!!!**” No, they can't, for the Lord forbids too many spouses. And don't say, “Well then, how would they know whose baby it was?” The great majority of times (even for “an accident”), there are ways for the mother to know who is the father within a year from birth. But today in developed nations, they can determine the father.

“Then, won't everyone get greedy for better spouses & start stealing, even murdering to get them?” What you're picturing is “everyone going wild.” The ungodly may do that, but it reduces temptation, & can even be the way of escape, for those who love & follow the Lord. They will take-their-time courting each one, for now they have JSS (see Part 1) so that they can take 3 ½ years to court, to make sure that they can keep their word “till death do you part.”

You might say, “But only 'the rich' can have that.” Yes, if you do what they did. But in the Holy Bible you will find a prophecy (Isa 4:1, yet future, see 3:16 to 4:6) that reveals how even poor-people who have housing, can have plural-spouses! It even gives clues where each finances himself/herself (except the expense of raising children) & lives separately (apparently visiting or living-with each spouse a couple of days).

Now, isn't that amazing that the Bible would even suggest such a thing, yet **future!** Ponder about that. It's still future because verse 2 says “in that day” (ESV), & everything in verses 2-6 is obviously yet-future! (*Ponder* about that).

(getting “the background-picture”)

Do you remember 1 Cor 10:13, “No temptation has overtaken you that is not common to man. God is faithful, & he will not let you be tempted beyond your ability, but with the temptation, he will also provide the way of escape, that you may be able to endure it.” (ESV).

It shocked us when we finally noticed (1-2 years ago) that it said, “the way of escape,” for we had memorized it in the KJV (who mistranslated their own manuscripts, see NKJV). Yes, the Lord has a special way of escape for every temptation. Yes, we've always had various way of escape from “marriage sins,” e.g. “flee fornication,...” (1 Cor 6:18, KJV, see vss 11b-20), “just say no.” But an Enemy (Satan) long ago, took away our privilege of both men & women having plural-spouses.

Why did He do that? Because Part 2 (& Part 1) are God's greatest-ways of escape from sexual sins & marriage sins. So, Satan “subliminally” persuading Adam & Eve to forbid these 2 ways of escape. Thus, the Devil created his two greatest “traps” to get people to fall into sin. Down through the ages, more people have fallen from sexual- & marriage-sins, than from any other sin. Just read the Old Testament, & you'll agree, for idolatry “was” licentiousness & temple-prostitutes.

You reply, “Well, they just need to stay close to the Lord and “say 'No.' “ Did you forget that we have an Enemy that loves “to hound-us” & keeps “pounding-us” to give-in??? Wouldn't it have helped to have this way of escape of adding on a wife or wives???

But also, Satan knew that if he could get them to fall on even one sin, that they would lose their connection with God & gradually drift worse into all of the other sins (James 2:10, see vss 1-13).

You may think, “Well, that's not that bad of a sin,” but it is in God's eyes. God says, “'For the man who does not love his wife but divorces her,' says the LORD, the God of Israel, covers his garment with violence, says the LORD of hosts. So guard yourselves in your spirit, & do not be

faithless.” (Mal 2:16, ESV, see vss 13-16).

Yes, that's an Old Testament verse, but it's obvious that it also applies to any of us who are tempted to “deal treacherously” (vss 15, KJV) with our spouse. If you don't think it hurts, then why have there been so many husbands that have murdered their wife, who was planning to leave him for someone else, & then finished-off themselves with suicide. And **You** don't think it hurts???

No, I (the writer) didn't want to do what they did, for I still loved her & didn't want to disobey God, but I was seriously considering suicide, even though it's wrong to do that. You see, not only was my wife my best friend, but also she was my only real friend. Yes, I had several friends at church, & a lot of friends at work, but I “didn't go out with the guys” like most men do. And so, it left me completely devastated. (Also, it was gloomy winter-time).

No, I didn't know any of this new teaching (Part 2) of “plural-wives” & “plural-husbands” back then. But had I known it, I would have gladly welcomed her to court & marry “her new love,” for he was her Sunday-school teacher & a wonderful Christian in our local church.

Yes, I would have been glad, if only she would still love me, instead of treating me coldly as a total stranger, yes, even if I weren't able to have a second wife. I would have said, “Yes, if only you will still love me-e-e!!!” (I'm speaking primarily of my need for friendship-love from my wife, but also sex, of course). It hurts! It hurts deeply.

No, this story isn't a proof, but we hope that it prompts you to ponder, “could it really be true?” Could it be that it would be better than ditching your spouse for someone else? We believe that it would, yes, for the sake of both of the paramours. First, from a “common sense” point of view, but secondly, they wouldn't be disobeying the Lord & lose eternal life (if what we say is true).

And besides, maybe the two would then take time to court each other, instead of running-off with him/her. And thus, they might see that that-potential-spouse wouldn't be a good fit for them. Thus, they might spare a tragic second marriage. (Most of those marriages only make it a few years).

(Before you see the proofs, you need to know...)

Some of you who see these proofs will want to participate “ever so badly,” but won't be able to, that is, if you want to obey the Lord. Why? Because your spouse needs to be convinced as well.

You see, when you got married, you probably didn't realize that you had made an unspoken vow to your spouse. When you married, you didn't know about this new teaching. Both of you were picturing that this would be your only spouse, “for better or worse,...till death do you part.”

Your spouse needs to “see the light” as well as you, & then both of you can then add an extra spouse, etc. But don't lose heart. Now that we have written this more clearly, we think that church after church & denomination after denomination will now catch-on & put it into practice. But even if we are disappointed, surely lots more people will believe this (“in due time”).

And when your spouse sees wonderful Christians living a very respectable, happy married life with 2-4 spouses (or more), then he/she will be more likely to “come around.” Also, we give some tips (on the website) that will help you to (indirectly, subtly) help your spouse to “see the light.”

If you're reading to prove us wrong, there's a proper way to do it.

You don't want “just any-old rebuttal,” do you? Instead, you want to prove that our case “doesn't hold water,” right? If you've been busy thinking-up your rebuttals while reading, then you might not grasp what we said, because you've been concentrating on your rebuttals, rather than listening & pondering over the case.

In order to avoid missing-out on grasping our case, first write-down as many rebuttals as you can think of (enough words to remind you). Then if you think of another rebuttal while reading, then stop & mark your place & jot-down the next rebuttal(s). Then, return to the place where you left-off (backing-up a few paragraphs to make-sure that you have the context), & then continue.

But if you're reading so fast that you don't take time to ponder over what you read, then you'll completely miss the point we made. And thus, your rebuttals won't even counter the case we made, because you never grasped the case.

But most of all, the most important ingredient: to plead for the Lord to be with you & to protect you from deception, but also, to give you an open mind. For spiritual things are spiritually discerned (1 Cor 2:14, see vss 13-16). For you don't want to argue against the truth. And besides, if you don't grasp our point of view, then how can you convince us that we are all wet???

(general objections)

(Objection:) “You need to understand theology.” What is theology? It's the study of God & everything related to Him. But when you study theology, you are getting what man thinks God is like. In this article, you are studying what has been hidden from the days of Adam & Eve. So, how could your theology courses know about that???

(Objection:) “That's the general tenor of the scriptures.” Is it??? Then why do different people have different “tenors of the scriptures???” When I was a young man (unmarried), I thought that the general tenor of the scriptures was “procreation-only,” not realizing that it contradicts the Bible (1 Cor. 7:1-5). No, “the general tenor” is what we picture-it saying, not what it's actually saying.

(Objection:) “Two are better than one,... And though a man might prevail against one who is alone, two will withstand him—a 3-fold cord is not quickly broken.” (Eccl 4:9-12, ESV). We tend to read-into statements. Is the 3-fold cord talking about a husband, wife & God? It can be, but that doesn't imply that he doesn't have other wives as well, for the man who wrote it had many wives.

(New, Sept. 22, 2019) (clarified)

(How do you answer Genesis 2:24?)

(Now copied up-front to roughly p. 19, but also retained here)

“Therefore a man shall leave his father & his mother & hold fast to his wife, & they shall become one flesh.” (Gen 2:24, ESV). Does that solve the problem of plural spouses? Does it imply that you can only have one wife & one husband? If you carefully added another spouse, would you be violating your “one-flesh” commitment to your first spouse? Would everything be all mixed up?

The answer to that question is yes, if your spouse doesn't approve of such a thing. But what is the answer if your spouse also believes in plural-spouses & you've both agreed to do that??? After all, Gen. 2:24 is talking about a man's first wife, for it talks about him leaving his father & mother.

Is that what Gen. 2:24 means, or are you misinterpreting what it says? We thought that way, too, until we discovered that the Lord had never done-away with a man having plural-wives.

At first, we understood it to mean that the Lord (through Moses) was talking about the man's first marriage & not about additional marriages. That is true, but then sometime later, we realized (because of a discovery in the New Testament) that the part about “the two becoming one flesh” also applies to each wife that the man “joins to.”

We can only think that the Lord foresaw our issue, for He inspired Paul in the New Testament to give a new insight. Now, that insight wasn't talking about plural-spouses, but it reveals that Gen. 2:24 also applies to each spouse that a person adds-on: “Do you not know that your bodies are the members of Christ?” (1 Cor 6:15a, see vss 13b-20), implying that each of us is “married to” Jesus.

[Yes, it implies that, but that's a terribly weak argument, for that is a different kind of “marriage.” But as you will see here, “Christ marrying us” is a lot more than just “similar:” “For we are members of His body & of His flesh & of His bones”]. (next paragraph)

Paul (through the Holy Spirit) makes it more clear in Eph. 5:29-32 (see vss 25-33), (where he is talking about husbands loving their wives in the same way that Christ loves the Church): “For no one ever hated his own flesh, but nourishes & cherishes it, just as the Lord does the church. For we

are members of His body, of His flesh & of His bones²⁵. 'For this reason a man shall leave his father & mother & be joined to his wife, and the two shall become one flesh.' This is a great mystery, but I speak concerning Christ & the church.” (NKJV). (See important footnote below).

Note that Paul (through the H. S.) called this “a great mystery.” If you think about it, it is a great mystery how each of us become members of Jesus' “bone of my bones....” Each of us, right now—not just when we reach Heaven, are (imperfect) members of Christ's “body.” That means when we surrender our lives to Christ, we become spiritually-married to Jesus. Isn't that what Paul meant when he said, “but I speak concerning Christ & the church?” Yes, it does.

We can't understand it, for we don't perceive it, but each of us (already now, today) is committed to (spiritually-married to) Christ, & Christ is committed to (spiritually-married to) each of us. But where did Paul (through the H. S.) get that from? Probably from the Holy Spirit opening his eyes to it, but it also came from Gen. 2:23 & 24, which he quoted.

Now isn't it interesting that the Lord inspired Paul to say in Ephesians. Yes, this is important information in the Bible, but we think that the Lord inspired Paul to describe-it this special, unique way for us today, almost 2,000 years later. And why? So that we can see that Gen. 2:24 can also apply to each spouse that we add-on. For it makes use of the whole verse (& also verse 23) to apply to Christ's “marriage” to each of us. Why? So that we can see that both Gen. 2:23 & 24 together, can apply to each spouse that we commit our lives to in marriage. It's a holy-thing.

No, we didn't prove it here. We're just showing that Gen. 2:23-24 is capable of applying to each additional spouse. But also, it shows that these verses are not a command to have only one wife.

Now, some claim that Christ is only spiritually-married to the Church as a unit, not individually. But even in Eph. 5:30 that we just looked at: “...we are members of his body” (ESV, see vss 30-32), i.e. individually. This is also confirmed in 1 Cor. 12:27: “Now you are the body of Christ & individually members of it.” (ESV, see vss 12-31). Just as each marriage is a commitment between the 2 individuals, so also is each spiritual-marriage to Christ, an individual commitment.

Now, the following is sort-of anti-climatic, compared to what we just proved, but in “proofing” this section awhile ago, the Lord opened my eyes to a fourth text that reveals that the last-part of Gen. 2:24 can apply to plural-wives.

1 Cor. 6:15,16 (ESV) says, “Do you not know that your bodies are the members of Christ? Shall I then take the members of Christ & make them the members of a prostitute? Never! Or do you not know that he who is joined to a prostitute becomes one body with her? For as it is written, 'The two shall become one flesh.' “

I've looked at this text more than 100 times in my life, & I never saw this before. It implies that committing fornication (sexual intercourse) with a prostitute—even one time, fulfills the last part of Gen. 2:24, for you have become one-body (“one flesh”) with her (& will also “become one” in her punishment, if you don't repent). But “the two shall become one-flesh” is also fulfilled with anyone else, e.g. a boy-friend/girl-friend that you aren't married to.

Do you now see that Gen. 2:24 doesn't really say what you thought it said? If it applies to becoming one flesh with a prostitute or with a boyfriend or girlfriend, then wouldn't it also apply to each spouse that you add-on??? Of course, it does. And, we'll also prove that it isn't wrong, unless, of course, if you are also violating something else, then it does become wrong.

(the first two proofs)

Could it be that the Muslims were partly right (& also the “Mormons” of the 1800's)? Most

²⁵ Note that most modern translations are translated from the Critical Text, but we show you that the Critical Text is counterfeit (see footnote #4 above). That scribe deliberately mistranslated words & deliberately made a number of omissions in the New Testament. This is one of these places where he omitted “of His flesh & of His bones.” The great-majority of the ancient manuscripts include these words.

Christians know that the Lord allowed the ancient Jews to have more than one wife, but “everyone” thought that that was an “Old Covenant thing” “for the hardness of their hearts,” that was nailed to the Cross & was done-away & was only “a shadow of things to come” (Col 2:14-17). But could it be that this liberty was never nailed to the cross (neither for men nor for women)???

But you say, “But wait a second. How can you say that it was never done away for women, for the Bible doesn't even mention about the possibility of women having more than one husband???” So how can you say it wasn't done-away???”

You are right that there's not even one text, “pro or con,” about women having plural husbands. But if the Lord never forbade it, then how could He do-away with it??? He can't. And since the Lord never said “No” to women having plural-husbands, then how could He punish them for doing it??? He couldn't. So, why didn't the Lord inspire someone, somewhere in the Bible, to make it clear that it was wrong, if it truly were wrong???

Now, we are going to prove (below) a scientific conclusion verifying God's capability) that the Lord really did foresee every-tiny detail of each of you, on this very day at this instant of time, everything that you ever did & ever will do, just like the Bible says (Ps 139:16, see vss 1-16).

The book mentioned in verse 16 is the “book of records,” which was obviously written at the same time as the Book of Life (see vs 16). The Bible says that the Book of Life was written from the foundation of the world (Rev 17:8b, see vss 1-14). Now, the Greek word for “world” in verse 8b is “Kosmos,” from which we get the word cosmos, the universe. (That's the original meaning). That implies that every-detail of our lives was written even before the universe/world was made!

(the first proof)

Here's your proof in one sentence: (All you need is this one sentence): “The Lord can't condemn anyone for something that He never warned us about in the entire-Bible!” Some will argue back, “Well, the Bible doesn't warn against using illicit drugs, & they are wrong.”

True, but how do you know that illicit drugs are wrong? It's because they violate principles of the Bible, but how can you say that “women with plural husbands” violates anything in the Bible, especially since we've already proven that Gen. 2:23 & 24 can include plural spouses???

Some could use reasoning to “prove” that women having plural-husbands is wrong, but reasoning becomes dangerous when you don't have scriptures to justify your reasoning. Look, no matter how you look at it, God knows all things (1 John 3:20, see vss 19-22). He's not like us people who overlook things or forget things. No matter how you look at it, God is infinite. He made the universe, & everything He does is well-thought-through, because He isn't fallible like we humans are.

So how can you even imagine the Lord overlooking the possibility of women having plural-husbands. Can't you just hear women in our day saying, “It isn't fair! If men can have plural-wives, then women should have plural-husbands, too!”

(And believe it or not, they are right, for if only men can have plural-wives, then “the rich,” “the handsome,” “the strong” & “the famous” will get those wives, leaving more single-men than ever, without any wife at all).

No-one can out-think the Lord. So, how could you even imagine that the Lord wouldn't see the possibility of this issue arising sooner or later??? In fact, ungodly people thought that up a long time ago. It's called polyandry (plural husbands). (Polygamy is a general term for both sexes).

And that's why we Christians have been so against this belief. Not only did we think it was wrong, but also, we had only seen what ungodly people have done with such things. We've never seen how godly people would handle this belief.

Can you now see that the Lord had-to foresee the possibility of women having plural husbands? And since He, at least, foresaw the possibility, then what does that tell you? Since God is love &

since He doesn't want anyone ignorantly disobeying Him (for He couldn't punish them if they were ignorant about it), then that tells you that He would have said something against it if it were wrong.

“Well, it's not bad enough for God to punish them, but it's still wrong.”

The Lord wouldn't want you to do anything wrong, would He? The Lord wants people to be peaceful & happy. But someone is harmed when we do wrong. That's why the Lord declares something categorically-wrong, because someone will be harmed, no matter how you do it. But this very fact shows us that the Lord would have said something if “women & plural-husbands” were wrong.

In fact, He even would have said something against it in the Old Covenant, for their covenant was to keep the law (the Torah, which means “law”). If God didn't “spell-it-out” in the Torah—and He didn't on women having plural husbands, then they didn't have-to heed it. That means that a Jewish woman has always been free to have plural-husbands if...

But the same thing is true for Christian women: Since God is love & would have told us if it were categorically-wrong, then that means that it's not necessarily wrong for women to have plural-husbands. It can be wrong if any other principles of the Bible are violated, but not otherwise.

For example, if a wife still hates her husband, while marrying an extra man, then that would be sin for it would be “adultery of the heart.” She still has-to keep her promise to love, cherish... for better or for worse... her present husband.

(the second proof, assuming...)

You can also use the following arguments to back-up that first proof, but here's a different proof, assuming... We prove, with science backing it up, that the Lord is very capable of foreseeing every atomic-particle in every atom in the entire universe, & then “watching the movie” progress in time, inserting “a communication” or a miracle occasionally (or sending an angel to save someone's life).

That proof is immediately below, but first, let's prove that, if the Lord did accurately foresee every tiny-detail, then silence about “plural-husbands” in the scriptures proves that He truly allows it. If that is true, then the Lord would have foreseen, before He made the universe, every-single detail about every-single woman who would ever heed our counsel & marry plural-husbands.

Now if that were wrong, then don't you think that the Lord would have inspired one of Bible-writers to write at least one sentence against that, so that most of them would avoid that??? That's right. If it were wrong to have plural-husbands, then the Lord would have made it clear in the Bible. Why? Because He loves us, & He wouldn't want even one of those very-women that He foresaw doing wrong, to think that it's OK to do that.

How could he punish you women when He foresaw each of you do it, & yet didn't even have one sentence placed in the Bible, pro or con??? Can't you just hear these very women, who put this into practice, now facing God, soon to be punished for having plural husbands (2 to 4 or more):

Can't you just hear them earnestly pleading to God:

“Then, why didn't you tell us? You foresaw us believing those authors. You even foresaw us marrying & living with those husbands before You made anything. Then, why didn't You make it clear in the scriptures that it was wrong????!!! Couldn't you have added at least one sentence???”

“If You had done this, then those authors wouldn't have even proclaimed it, & thus, we wouldn't have been fooled by them, had they never taught it. So, How can You punish us for this, when you foresaw us do it, & yet didn't even warn us??? Didn't You want us to be in Heaven???”

If that were to really happen, then God would be a **tyrant!** But the Lord isn't a tyrant. God the Father loves us just as much as Jesus does (yes, He really does; look up John 14:8-10). Therefore, our Heavenly Father also has to be fair, just like Jesus is.

So, our Heavenly Father could never punish anyone for something wrong, if He hadn't made it

clear in the Bible, especially “if” (yet to be proven) He foresaw them doing it before He even made the universe. That is not the way our God is, nor has He ever been, nor ever will be!

So, “there's no way” that God forbade women from having plural husbands. If it were wrong, He would plainly tell us somewhere in the Bible, because He even foresaw His loyal followers marrying plural husbands before making the universe! And He didn't do anything to correct the problem??? No, the Lord wouldn't want anyone to needlessly perish (2 Pet 3:9. see vss 8-13).

So if we truly prove (with science verifying His capability) that the Lord did foresee every tiny detail in the entire universe, all the way to the end of time, then can you now see that we have proven that the Lord allows women to have plural-husbands??? We think that many of you will fully agree with us (i.e. no way that He forbade it!). (And as you will see, it's not just a permissive “OK;” it's God's recommended way for all of us, as well as His preferred way of escape).

And that's not all. If the Lord has always allowed women to have plural-husbands, from the beginning of time till now, then this same proof also implies that the Lord also approves of men having plural-wives from the beginning of time till today. But you will see stronger proofs.

(Yes, guys, but “don't go out & marry them on the spot!!!” The Lord wants you to be more careful than most of us have been when courting one-wife. The Lord doesn't want to see even one divorce (abandonment) among us (except for the case of adultery, i.e. fornication, Matt 5:21,22)).

Yes, we realize that some women don't have that much confidence & would be uncomfortable to take action, based on this proof alone. But don't worry; there are more proofs below. But also, the Lord foresaw your uneasiness, & so He even hid His approval in His Holy Bible (a 3rd proof).

We also explain why He had to remain silent about women having plural-husbands & why He even had to hide His approval, instead of just plainly saying it. But also, the very fact that He hid that approval, instead of plainly stating it, reveals that He had a **big reason** why He had to hide it.

“Predestination-denominations,” you need to take action now.

Several denominations have a form of predestination, or at least believe that God “saw it all.” [Presbyterians; those believing that God predestined “the saved” but not “the lost” (Missouri Synod Lutherans, etc.), & many denominations that believe that God foresaw it all (Baptists...)].

You-denominations (believing this) don't need the “scientific conclusion” to make a decision. (Yes, you need it to back-up your belief, but not to make a decision). So, take action now. Get together with fellow-pastors & elders of your city & make a decision. Then, contact headquarters & ask them to set-up a study-committee to verify whether this claim is true.

(a foreword for “liberals” & “unbelievers”)

Now, if we prove from this great scientific conclusion that the Lord is capable of foreseeing so accurately that He wouldn't even miss by an electron, then will you liberals & non-believers now believe the scriptures that say, over & over, that He did see everything (e.g. Isa 44:6-8)?

Look at all the prophecies of Jesus in the Bible & how accurately they have been fulfilled. It tells us that he would cast the pieces of silver “to the potter,” & that he was buried in the potter's field. (Sorry, I don't have time to look up all of that right now).

Psalm 22 & Isa 53 gives so many accurate details about how Jesus would die. How would the Lord ever know all of those details if He could only vaguely see the future??? There's no way that the Lord could have ever guessed those tiny details, if He didn't accurately foresee it.

And just think of all of those miracles that Jesus did, of raising a rotting-corpse to life (Lazarus, John 11:38-44). “There's no-way” that the tomb didn't stink, & even the “bindings” that were wrapped around him surely stank. Read that chapter & you will see that this was one of the reasons that Jesus was put to death. At a later feast, they even wanted to put Lazarus to death as well, for

many came to that feast to see both Jesus & Lazarus, & started believing (12:1-11).

But don't be critical of yourselves, those of you who haven't believed that these things really happened. Why? Because an Enemy has been at work to negate the facts written in the Bible, & he has done a pretty-good job in making it look like these things didn't really happen. That's why you "liberals" are where you are, today, because of these distorted "facts."

But here we are at a great turning point. You are about to see undeniable scientific evidence that God the Father & Jesus are very capable of doing everything it says in the Bible. And "liberals," don't blame yourselves for rejecting the creation story, for the vast number of you have only seen the arguments in favor of evolution. There are many scientists today (Christians) who believe that there is more scientific-evidence for "Creation" than there is for "Evolution."

[Don't get the wrong idea. The great majority of believing-scientists believe that the universe is billions of years old. Even the rocks & water on the earth are of years old, for it doesn't contradict Genesis 1:1-3.

And yes, there have been mutations & "survival of the fittest" ever-since man's fall. That's only two reasons why there are so many kinds of butterflies, kinds of moths, kinds of cats & kinds of dogs. Thirdly, the Lord created a huge variety of genetics in each male & each female of each kind.

Either it's a cat or it's a dog (a cheetah is a dog, not a cat). There are no half dog-cats, nor half moth-butterflies, etc. Genetics reveals which kind. Yes, there are "mule"-type offspring in horse-donkeys & sheep-goats, but they aren't legitimate for they can't reproduce.

The earth (as we know it) was made shortly after Satan was cast-out of Heaven (Rev 12:7-9, see Gen 3:1, see vss 1-19, esp. vs 15). The Lord foresaw (without forcing them) that Adam & Eve would fall. So, He let our world become "the battle ground." That's why our world isn't very pleasant nor peaceful, because of Satan seducing people to be evil (Isa 14:3-20, Ezek 28:11-19)]. (God is preparing us to take Satan's *place*!).

(New, Sept. 22, 2019: As of today, the next 2 sections have been copied (& perhaps clarified) up-front. But we also left these 2 sections here, for they are part of what is immediately above & immediately below)

(scientific-proof that God was capable, without forcing anyone)

After more than 70 years of research, many nuclear-physicists concluded that there is no law of nature that holds the nucleus of the atoms together. The various kinds of atoms are made-up of 3 building-blocks, protons, neutrons & electrons. For instance, an oxygen atom has 8 protons & 8 neutrons that are tightly-packed together, that form the nucleus of the atom. The 8 electrons "swarm around" that nucleus in various electron-shells.

They knew this fact well-before World War II, & it puzzled the scientists on how those protons could be so tightly packed together. You see, protons have a positive charge, & electrons have a negative charge. The electrons are attracted to protons & swarm-around the nucleus of the atom.

But protons are repelled by protons, & yet they are all tightly-packed together, no matter how big the atom is. This really puzzled the scientists back then, & still does today. You see, the attraction of electrons to protons is inversely proportional to the square of the distance (just like gravity). And protons are repelled from protons just as much as electrons are attracted to protons.

That means that if you were to cut the distance in half, between the 2 protons & the 2 electrons of helium, then the attractive force is 2 times 2, i.e. 4 times as much. And if you cut the distance in half again, then the attractive force is 4 times 4, 16 times as much. And if you cut it half yet-again, it's 16 times 16, 256 times as much. And if you cut it in half yet-again, it's 256 times 256, i.e. 65,536 times as much as the attraction-force of the actual electrons to the protons in *helium*!!!

Now, we aren't nuclear-physicists & don't know if the protons are packed even tighter than that, but this illustrates the absolutely monstrous force required to hold the 2 protons together! Just think how huge the required force is in the big atoms (gold, lead, uranium)???

For instance, helium only has 2 protons. So if those 2 protons were circling around each other at that last distance that we calculated, then they would still be repelled 65,536 times as much as they are attracted to the two electrons that actually swarm around them. (Actually, it's half of that, 32,768 times as much, for there are two electrons swarming around them).

Can you see, now, why that nuclear physicist said (in a popular science magazine 3-4 years ago), "By all the laws of nature, the nucleus of the atom should explode?" They concluded. "There can't be 'no god.' "

But I've heard (much later) that some of the scientists are saying, "We just haven't found it yet." Do you realize that they are lying??? (You see, atheists don't want this fact to get-out, because they lose their excuse to sin if they have to acknowledge that there is a God).

Can you see how ridiculous that statement is? Now the attraction of the 2 electrons to the 2 protons in helium is sizable. But here is a repulsive force of thousands of times as much as the attractive force between the electron & proton of an hydrogen atom. And yet they say, "We just haven't found it yet???"

Now, they've been studying this for more than 70 years, & they know far-more about nuclear physics now, than they knew back then... So, how could they fail to find, after 70 years of intense research, an attractive force that is as monstrous as that??? No, the atheist-scientists are just saying that to blind us, for they know.

But don't criticize the scientists that say that. "There are no atheists in fox-holes." They say that because they don't want to acknowledge that there is any God, & so, they try to cover it up. When they find out that the Lord is far better than even we Christians believed (Part 2 & Part 1, etc.), then they will turn to Jesus, for their major motivation to justify their illegitimate sex, etc.

But there is one-more thing that you need to see about this. If we hadn't illustrated it with a helium atom, we wouldn't have realized it. Keep in mind that we aren't nuclear physicists & don't know the other nuclear forces, but here is what would happen from the electrical forces alone:

If God were to stop holding the nucleus of helium together (2 protons, 2 neutrons), then those 2 protons would blast-away from each other, & one electron would go-after one proton, & the other would go-after the other proton, & you would end-up with hydrogen, perhaps deuterium (1 proton, 1 neutron), but more likely, regular hydrogen without any neutrons.

But let's take it a step further. If the Lord were to stop holding a huge atom like uranium, they would blast-away much-much harder. All of the protons would blast-away in every direction, & each electron would chase after the proton that passed by closest it. So, the entire uranium atom would become hydrogen or deuterium or perhaps tritium (1 proton & 3 neutrons).

In other words, if the Lord were to stop holding these nuclei together, we & everything in the entire world, would instantly become hydrogen gas! The whole world would become a giant ball of hydrogen gas. (Wow, that's something to think about).

Is this conclusion correct? Yes, for that nuclear physicist concluded, "By all of the laws of nuclear physics, the nucleus of the atom should explode." If the nucleus were to explode, then what would you have? That's right, hydrogen, with the electrons chasing after one of the protons.

And that's only if the protons & electrons don't burst apart, which they probably would. For a proton would require a huge-force to hold it's own electrical charge, too. And so our guess is that our whole earth wouldn't even be a ball of hydrogen. Instead, it would be a compacted mass of sub-atomic, "micro-micro-micro particles" whose electric-charge has "shorted out!"

But don't worry. That isn't going to happen, for we reveal (below) that God predestined (planned) everything so that the universe would end-up eternally safe. No, the Lord isn't going to miss-predict by an electron. He won't have to "vaporize" this universe & try again, because He made sure by predestining every atomic-particle, all without forcing anything or anyone.

No, God refuses to start over & thus cause His Son go-through that horrible-death on the Cross

again! He created the world to be inhabited (Isa 45:18, see vss 15-19). And so, He made-sure that He wouldn't miss by predestining every electron & every atomic & sub-atomic particle.

(But, Who is holding it all together?)

“Science doesn't prove predestination. It just reveals that the Lord is capable of foreseeing the future without even missing by an electron. But the Bible, itself, declares who is holding it together. And that's *amazing*, for who would ever think that a rock has to be held-together???

Many of you know that in God “...we live & move & have our being” (Acts 17:28, see vss 22-29). That verse tells us that it's God that gives us life & breath & movement, etc., but it doesn't tell us the detail about holding it together, nor does it tell us who is holding it together.

But, another text says that Jesus made everything & is holding it all together (Col 1:15-17, see vss 12-20). The last part of verse 17b says, “& in him all things hold together.” (ESV). The ESV has translated it correctly, for that is the primary meaning of that Greek-verb (not “consist”).

But the full meaning of that particular Greek-verb requires 5 words: “were and are holding together” (active, not passive). Instead of Jesus holding it together, Jesus is doing something that causes itself to hold together.

Now, who would ever think that a rock or a piece of steel would have to be constantly “held” together??? And yet, that verse in the Bible was written almost 2,000 years ago! But don't get the wrong idea. Jesus isn't doing whatever He wants; He's constantly following the the laws of nature, except in the cases when He works a miracle.

For instance, He caused the sun (or rather the earth) to move backwards 10 degrees/steps, all without any g-forces (Isa 38:8, see vss 1-8). The speed at the equator (from rotation) is about 1,000 mph (1500 kph), & yet Jesus rotated the earth backwards without any g-forces! **Wow!**

Satan can counterfeit it through optics, but Jesus effectively shut-off “the law of g-forces” while He did it. In other words, there is no “law of g-force” nor is there “the law of gravity.” Those force-laws constantly-occur because Jesus causes them except when doing a miracle.

So is the Bible correct about Jesus constantly holding everything together??? Yes, for the nuclear physicist agreed. Both we & our entire planet would become a huge ball of hydrogen gas (or much-worse). But Jesus isn't going to do that, for He created the world to be inhabited (Isa 45:18, see vss 15-25). Jesus loves us, & He's not going to start all-over again.

How do we know? Because the Holy Bible repeatedly says that God not only foresaw it all, but He also predestined the entire story, not just the story of our earth, but also the entire universe. For example, Rom. 8:29 says, “For whom He foreknew, He also predestined to be conformed to be conformed to the image of His Son, that He might be the firstborn among many brethren.” (NKJV).

So, He's not going to miss by an electron on what He planned, & thus He will never have-to start-over again. We discuss more about this further below, so that you can conceive how He might have predestined it, & also so that you can conceive why He had to predestine it.

But for right now, we'll just say that He had to predestine it if He wanted the universe eternally secure “from sin” from then-on. The Lord had to have the universe eternally free from sin, so that He would not have to predestine anything ever-again. From then on, the earth will be eternally secure, with no more tears, no death, no sorrow nor crying nor pain, forevermore (Rev 21:3,4).

(objection)

Most men will say, “Why do you want to have more than one wife? Are you just a 'lust-nut???' ” “Everyone” seems to think that the-only reason to have plural-wives is to have extra sexual pleasure.

Some “multi-wife” Muslims have certainly acted as if extra sexual pleasure was the reason for having more wives, but “not so” with the fundamentalist “Mormons,” who are very conservative,

very careful to act godly with their (typically) 4 wives. The fundamentalist “Mormons” practice that because it helps protect the husband from adultery (but it doesn't protect their wives!).

We know what we are saying, for we have visited these conservative-Mormons & have been impressed with their every-day way of treating everyone, including their wives. They dress & act very conservatively. They even have separate worship services, one for the men & one for the women (& smaller children) to avoid temptation to want “someone else” that they can't have.

Our answer is, “There's a lot more to marriage than just sex. Just ask any married wife.” The marriage-motivations of most-wives are significantly different from most-men's motivations.

In present-day marriages, the relationships between many husbands & wives have broken-down. They've been together too much, have gotten tired of each other & thus “have gotten into each other's hair,” trying to “remake” the husband, “making mountains out of mole-hills,” etc. Many marriages would be better off if the couple were to live separately, & only see each other frequently for modest spans-of-time.

Living separately would help, but it wouldn't solve the whole problem. It would be much better if they'd not only live separately, but also if the husband has at least one other wife (also living separately), & if the wife has at least one other husband who also lives separately. But that doesn't mean that they can't live together for 2-3 days at a time, etc. (Some may live in their various spouses' homes as much as they live in their own home).

No, the issue is not just “sex.” Many marriages would never have broken-up if they had known that the Lord fully approves of more than one spouse (i.e. approves, if they live & act properly).

You see, wives (& husbands) often “make war” in order to have an excuse to marry the one they have their-eye on (or are wishing to find anybody better). This temptation greatly diminishes, because they can court another “spouse” if they aren't satisfied. So, it not only helps those who “are getting into each others hair,” but also helps those attracted to someone else's spouse.

What happens to those who divorce (or run away) in order to have this so-called better spouse? Many or most of them eventually divorce, too. Why? Because they have disobeyed the Lord, for one thing, & the (true) Holy Spirit has now left them because they aren't repenting. And so, they frequently have a terrible-time getting along, & also difficulties arise. They keep trying “to stick it out,” because they know they “should.” But eventually, most of them “split.”

But there is also another reason why those “2nd marriages” don't work either: You see, they are headed into the very same age-old problem of constantly living together with only one spouse & are seeing each other too much & are generating difficulties.

Had they taken their time to add-on that person, instead of divorcing (or running away...), then they could have enjoyed the company of both spouses. The original spouse would have been a lot happier (not having been rejected nor treated nasty), & they wouldn't have “gotten into each others hair” nearly as much, because they would only be with each spouse about “half as much.”

But if they realized that the Lord approves of plural-spouses (& also had put away their lust) & carefully courted “their new interest” the way the Lord would have them do it, then they would have kept the Holy Spirit & been at peace with God (thus, more inclined to get-along with their present-spouse). And if they finally realize that that-fiancée isn't a godly choice, then they would spare themselves many sorrows. What a contrast!

But it will help plural marriages if each spouse also supports himself/herself (like the prophecy of Isa 4:1, see 3:16 to 4:6). And when they are together they “split the bill.” That way, there won't be as many squabbles over how to spend the money, which is also a common issue.

But this is especially important for men who can't afford to support a wife, for that “opens the door” for almost everyone to have “plural-spouses.” But even “the rich” would be benefited with this arrangement (helping most of their wives a little, but fully-supporting certain others who don't

have any income), for “the rich” would also have less squabbles. Yes, you “rich” can still have a huge house, where everyone can-come-together on special occasions, as long as it's not “a harem.”

But for best results, everyone needs to live separately most of the time (not in harems). Then, they visit each of their spouses frequently, even over-night, or even for over a weekend, etc. Sometimes you visit your spouses, & sometimes your spouses visit you, so you hardly ever live alone. You are almost always with one of your spouses (except when at work, etc., etc.).

In conclusion, do you still-think the main-reason for plural-marriages is sex??? Not always, for there is a lot more to marriage than just “sex:” friendship, companionship, enjoying each other's presence, going to places together & doing (non-sexual) things together. All of these are key components in any marriage & especially important for “one-spouse” marriages.

We hope that you are seeing that there might be some advantages to both husbands & wives having 2 to 4 spouses. But these are just insights, not proofs. These insights just show that it makes sense. Another proof is yet below, but next, let's answer the greatest objection:

(Where did this “one-wife” law come from?)

It was the Romans that forbade more than one wife, not the Jews. That law wasn't a problem for the idol-worshipping Gentiles, for they had no qualms with licentiousness & temple prostitutes for that was part-of idol worship. We know for sure that the Babylonian-law (a few hundred years earlier) allowed the men “to run around” all they wanted. It was only the women that were forbidden. The “one-wife” law (& probably those “loose-laws”) continued in each succeeding empire between Babylon (Chaldea, 600 BC) & the Roman empire in Jesus' day.

But this “one wife” commandment was a perplexing problem with the Jews, because they new that temple prostitutes (& other kinds of adultery) were wrong. That is why the Jews had such a problem of divorcing their wives over ultra-minor things, in order to marry someone else.

Since they weren't allowed to add-on a wife, they found an excuse to divorce their wife & go get the next one. Read the Gospels & you'll see that Jesus repeatedly opposed this, teaching to not divorce & marry someone else (e.g. Matt 19:3-9). That was one of the biggest reasons why they wanted to get rid of Jesus. They wanted to hang-onto their sin, & not let anyone oppose them. .

For 600+ years the Jews hadn't been allowed to have more than one wife (except perhaps during the short period of Maccabean independence). Since it was the idol-worshipping gentiles that caused the “one wife” laws, the Jews still knew that the Lord still allowed plural-wives.

(New, Sept. 25, 2019) (Next section was copied up-front & (perhaps) modified)

God's Hidden-Approval of Women Having Plural-Husbands

(It's actually a third proof. The fact that the hidden approval is there, proves it again!).

The hidden-approval is in Num. 30:6a (vs 7a in the Catholic, Orthodox-Christian & Jewish Bibles). There are two reasons why God picked this exact-spot to hide approval. First, it was a appropriate place to insert His approval, because it was in the midst of Baal-of-Peor (Chs. 25 to 31), where the Moabite women seduced the Israelis.

[If all of the Israelis had-had plural-wives, instead of only a few men, & if they were practicing Part 1 (JSS-Love), then it would have been a lot easier to escape the Moabite women's temptations].

Secondly, the Lord made a super-significant connection between the hidden-approval of Part 1 & this hidden-approval (of Part 2). Why? To give you hesitant-people assurance that both hidden-approvals are truly from God. They aren't just coincidence. He planned it that way. (see website)

[Info. about biblical-Hebrew: There is no word in biblical-Hebrew (nor in biblical-Greek) for “wife” (nor “husband”). Instead, it's “his woman” means his wife & “her man” means “her husband.”

Biblical-Hebrew only has two tenses: 1) Perfect tense: fully completed action, & 2) Imperfect tense: all future tenses & all on-going tenses not yet completed].

The hidden approval (Num. 30:6a in Protestant Bibles, vs 7a in other Bibles) is so simple that a first-semester Hebrew-student could translate it (except for one word!). Literally it says, “And if his-she [or she of him] had to a man...,” except the “had” has a prefix that adds meaning.

It says “had to a man,” (with a special meaning to “had”) because the woman was the lesser individual. The word “to” changes the meaning from “had a man” to “belonged to a man” or “was married to a man” or “had married to a man.”

Keep in mind the possibility that God was hiding this. That's why it says “his-she” (“she of him”), instead of the usual “his wife” or “his daughter.” I wish I could confirm this, but this is very likely the only place in the entire Hebrew-scriptures where “his-she” occurs. Because it leaves an uncomfortable uncertainty about whether it means “his-wife” or “his-daughter.” And so, “his-she” is probably never-used anywhere except here.

Can you see that the Lord might be hiding it, because He didn't say “his daughter” or “his woman” (wife), which would eliminate the confusion? No, the Lord was always very careful to distinguish whether it was his-daughter or his-wife.

But here in this text, it appears to be (“on the surface”) confusion as to which God Himself meant (This was God's very-own words, transmitted by Moses, vs 1 (vs 2 in other Bibles)). But by pondering over it, you, yourself, will see how it can only be one choice.

All of the translations that I have say “she,” instead of “his-she,” because that makes sense to our present-day mind (up-till this new discovery of “plural-spouses”). After all, “it doesn't make sense” for “his woman” to “have to” a second husband, does it???? You see, the previous paragraph was talking about his daughter. And thus, “she” makes sense to us, for God Himself was talking about the man's daughter in the previous paragraph.

But is this verse (6a) talking about his daughter??? If you'll carefully examine this paragraph, you will have-to say, “No, it can't be talking about the man's daughter. Because the LORD (Jehovah/Yahweh) doesn't make mistakes. If the LORD wanted to use the word “she” to mean the man's daughter, then He would have said, 'And if she...' instead of 'And if his-she..'

The translators all said “she,” but we just-now showed you that the LORD would have said “she” (instead of his-she) if the LORD meant the man's daughter. Why? Because God doesn't make mistakes like humans do. He precisely foresaw (& predestined) every tiny detail (to the end of time), all without forcing anyone to do anything.

But since it can't mean his daughter, then what does it mean??? There is only one choice left. It has-to mean “his-wife!!!” Until now, we all thought, “That can't possibly be.” But now that we've proven “plural spouses” two different ways, can you now see that this was God's way of hiding it?

(additional evidence/proofs in God's hidden approval)

Only one of my Bibles (KJV) got the past-tense correct & translated it “And if she had at all...” That verbal-stem (of this word “had” here) is either 1) a very rarely-used prefix or verbal-stem, or 2) a shortened form of “hithpael” verbal-stem (with the “h” left-off).

But either way, it doesn't negate any of the proof, because the word “his-she” is what proves it, not the verb “had.” But also, the verb is past-tense, no matter what prefix or verbal-stem is used (except “yod,” of course, which makes it on-going or future.. “Past tense” rules-out the LORD talking about his daughter, because she's too-young to marry (vs 3, see vss 3-6a).

But some of you listeners will think, “Well, it's just talking about much-later in her life.” That's probably what the Bible translators thought, & so they translated it present or future tense, instead of past-tense. But does that make sense??? If God Himself meant “his daughter,” then wouldn't He have used present or future tense like the translators did, instead of past tense???

And if the LORD Himself were to use past-tense, then wouldn't He first mention about her growing up & marrying him, before discussing the rest of the verse? It only makes sense that He would. No, the LORD purposely said used past-tense, to give-us a 2nd "hint" that He, Himself (see vs 1, vs 2 in other Bibles) wasn't talking about his daughter, but rather, his-wife.

("Well, maybe it was a misprint or a mistake or...")

It's impossible for it to be the man's daughter, for it was spoken by God-Himself who doesn't make mistakes (vs 1 in Protestant Bibles (vs 2 in other Bibles)), for Moses' scribe wrote it down as soon when Moses spoke it. Didn't we show you that God foresaw the entire story of the universe & that He won't mispredict by even an electron? So, how could He mis-state anything??? A mistake like that would change "the story" a vast amount. God doesn't make mistakes like people do.

You see, chapters 26-30 were written in the time between the sin of Baal-of-Peor (ch. 25) & when the Midianites came to attack (probably the next day, ch. 31). They thought that they could now conquer Israel, because they (along with the Moabite women) had gotten Israel sin. And so, this hidden approval was written before they came to attack.

And there are no textual-copying variations on this text. The ancient manuscripts all say, "And if his-she...", which is typical of the vast majority of verses in the entire Old-Testament. (It's the New-Testament that has a lot of textual-copying variations, not the Old Testament).

You see, to this very day, if a Jewish scribe makes "a typo" on a leather scroll, he doesn't "just fix" the "typo," he un-sews it from the scroll & throws it away (destroys it?) & re-writes that whole sheet & sews it back-into the scroll. That's why there are rarely "typo's" in Hebrew manuscripts.

Also, there are no "I forgot's" in the Torah (the first 5 books of the Bible). Moses had his scribes write down everything right away (except when Moses was alone on Mt. Sinai). In contrast, the New Testament Gospels were written very-many years later. And so, they didn't always remember exactly what Jesus said or did.

And so, that verse can't be talking about his daughter, because God Himself would have said "and if she..." instead of "and if his-she..." if the LORD meant the man's daughter. In fact, I can just-imagine his scribe interrupting Moses at this point (for God spoke to Moses & then Moses to him), "Wait a second. Don't you mean 'his daughter' (a single word, 3 Hebrew-letters long) instead of 'his-she' (a single word, 3 Hebrew-letters long)???"

And knowing how careful the Old-Covenant Jews were in not changing even one-letter of a word in the Bible, doubly especially if God said it, we feel confident that Moses would surely have replied, "No, write 'his-she'," for that's what the LORD [or rather Yahweh or Jehovah]] said."

Having seen God's fire on Mt. Sinai & having heard His monstrous voice when He spoke the 10 commandments (Ex 20:18,19, see vss 1-21), the Israelites "didn't play games" with God's word, for He was (& still is) "a consuming fire" (Deut 4:24 & Heb 12:29, ESV).

But the LORD said it that way to hide His approval of a wife having more than one husband. Had the LORD said "his woman" instead of "his she," then it would have been so blunt, that some Jews might have acted on it back then, either in the days of Moses or later.

And, the Lord didn't want them to act on it till our day (already partly-discussed). But if the LORD hadn't hidden His approval, then He would have made it clear by just saying "his woman," to get rid of all doubt. Isn't that what the Lord (our Lord) would do??? But the Lord wanted to save it for our day. (The 3rd & biggest reason is near the end of this extended-preview).

(What was that special meaning of that word "had" used here?)

The verbal-stem on this particular Hebrew-verb "had," isn't a common prefix or verbal-stem, unless it's a shortened form of "hithpael (without the 'h')." You'll have to check with an expert, but we seriously doubt that there is any-such prefix or verbal-stem.

If so, then it has to be a shortened-form of the hithpael verbal-stem. If that is so, then probably the LORD-Himself had them purposely leave-of the “h” (the “hay”). (There are a number of places in the scriptures where certain Hebrew words have been shortened; so it's very-possible).

And why would the LORD purposely have them shorten that verbal-stem? Because it would have made the text too blunt, & thus some of the Jews might have let their women have plural-husbands.” As we've already said, the Lord was saving this teaching for our day, not for then.

And why would it make it so blunt??? Because the “hithpael” verbal-stem would not only make it intensive (like the “pael” verbal-stem), but also make it reflexive (himself/herself). So the “hithpael” verbal-stem would make “had” become “actually had herself...” or “even had for herself,” or “boldly had for herself...”

So, the super-literal translation of the first part of this verse is, “& if his-she [or she of him] even had for herself to a man...” Now, we've proven that “his-she” has to be his wife, but the past-tense of “had” doubly-implies that God was talking about his-wife, not his daughter. But thirdly, the hithpael stem of “even for herself” implies it's his wife, not than his daughter.

So an accurate translation would be, “And if his-wife had actually married herself to a man...” The rest of the “paragraph”(vss 6-8) continues concerning a vow that this other-husband heard her say, and how he reacted to hearing that vow.

But the “intensive & reflexive” verbal-stem would make it a bold step “out of the ordinary.” So if the Lord hadn't shortened the verbal-stem, then some of the Jewish husbands might have allowed their wives to do that. But leaving off the “h” put the Jews into confusion & hesitation.

(A fourth “discourager” to keep the Jews from letting their wives...)

Also, the Lord added even a 4th “discourager” from doing that. The Lord made the vow (of the wife) to be a rashly- or jokingly-spoken “needer-vow” that could never be retracted if the husband didn't immediately revoke her vow. And what was the type of her vow??? An “abstinence vow,” rashly or jokingly spoken.

Now, an abstinence-vow can mean other things, but to her husband, it would mean an abstinence from sex with him. Even a jokingly-spoken vow like that would enrage her husband, even though he could still revoke that vow. It was because he would think that it was prompted by her having another husband. And so apparently, the Jews decided to not let their wives to have more than one husband, because they didn't want her to even have the possibility of making a vow like that.

Oh, if only they had known how many “one-spouse” wives today (wanting to get rid of “hubby”) have “abstained,” hoping that he will go-get someone-else (or a prostitute), to have an excuse to divorce him. Many a husband has fallen from his wife's evil-withholding of sex. Jesus said, “Temptations are sure to come, but woe to the one through whom they come.” (Luke 17:1, vss 1-4).

How much better it would be for all of us to have “plural-wives” & “plural husbands,” so that no-one “can pull that trick anymore!” That trick becomes an ineffective-weapon if “everyone” has plural-spouses, including the wives.

Are you “hesitant people” convinced, now that you see that the LORD truly-did hide his approval of women having plural spouses? And since we showed you that women have always been free to have plural-husbands from the beginning of time, then that tells us that God even approved of men & women having plural-spouses in the days of Adam & Eve!

So that reveals that Adam & Eve truly did “set a higher standard” than what the LORD told them. For all of their descendants only had one wife, even in Cain's family-line (down to the 5th generation, Gen 4:17-24). So apparently, Satan really did persuade Adam & Eve to only allow one spouse (& to forbid any kind of premarital sex (see Part 1), solving the problem by picking a wife for them when they reached puberty, like many ancient Jews did (Mal 2:14, see vss 13-16)).

(“That would be a big mistake for a woman to have 2 masters.” (Matt 6:24))

Yes, there are a few husbands that are bosses over their wives in the USA. But they are misunderstanding what the Lord intended (Eph 5:25-29, see vss 22-33). But with a woman who has plural husbands & has her own house/apartment, she will now be freer than ever. For each husband is only “the head of the house” when he is with her. He “has no say” over her at any other time.

Besides, he should never be her boss, even when he's with her. He's supposed to act as “the head of the wife” (vs 23a) in the same way as Jesus is the head of the church. Jesus doesn't force to the church to do anything. He only calls them to follow what He says. We believe that husbands should do the same, even if they only have one wife.

And yes, the wife is to submit to her own husbands (it says so in vss 22 & 24), but “submit” in the Bible means differently than “obey.” “To submit” more closely means “to be subject to,” rather than “to obey someone” like a boss (1 Pet 5:5, see vss 5-7).

(conclusion on approval of women having plural-husbands)

Surely the vast majority of you Bible-believers (& “liberals,” too) are now convinced that the Lord truly-did hide the entire teaching of women having more than one husband. But there is one more thing that needs to be answered.

You have seen that Adam & Eve definitely taught “only-one spouse,” & you have seen that God actually approves of plural-spouses, even for women. So, there is no way that Adam & Eve taught what the Lord taught them, for the Lord doesn't change (Mal 3:6, see vss 1-7). (The Lord's ways of dealing with us do change, but the Lord's character, His standards of “right & wrong” don't change, for that's what the Lord “is”).

The very fact that the Lord hid His approval “tells you” that “something is fishy.” Obviously, something had happened earlier that caused people to forbid women having plural husbands.

Most of you want to know why the Lord had-to to hide His approval of women having plural-husbands. There had to be a huge reason why. Wouldn't there have been a lot less wickedness in this world? The answer is “Yes;” we discuss two major reasons here in this very section. They help to explain why it was essential for the Lord to hide everything about women having plural-husbands.

But the 3rd & biggest reason that the Lord has allowed Satan to continue his trap until now, is because the Devil will then get caught in his very-own trap! But let's get the “proof-type” things established first, before we look at that 3rd reason. And besides, that 3rd reason has a new-teaching that most of you will surly struggle over.

But after you're convinced, you'll realize that this additional teaching will “make everything” in the Bible fit-together. But also, this new-teaching will help unite the conflicting Bible-beliefs (of various denominations), for “all” of the Bible-believing denominations are partly right.

(The answer requires parts from each of the conflicting denominational-teachings, for “each side” gets its belief from the very-same Bible that the “other side” gets its belief. “Every” denomination is partly-right, for they got it from the Bible. But it's “in piecing it all together” that we get the correct answer). Here are the first 2 reasons why the Lord had to hide-it:

1) If “plural-spouses” is true, it's obvious that we Christians are going to get excited about Part 1 & Part 2. But also, multitudes unbelievers will start following the Lord & will get super-excited (about both Parts 1 & 2).

When we realize that the Lord is better than we've ever dreamed, then it will make it easier for all of us to stay closer to the Lord than ever. And when we are faithful to Him, then the Lord can then give-back the Pentecostal Holy Spirit. (Even Pentecostals agree that we've only had a small portion of that gift so far). And when the Gospel “has been proclaimed in all creation under heaven” (Col 1:23b, ESV, see vss 21-23), then

shall the end come (Matt 24:14, see vss 3-32). Had Christians known Pats 1 & 2, from the days of Jesus or earlier, we wouldn't be excited about Part 1 & Part 2, but the excitement about these 2 new teachings will play a major part in hastening the coming of Jesus. That's a major reason (not the biggest) why God hid "women having plural-husbands."

2) The world would be lot-less wicked if everyone had always practiced "plural spouses" (& especially practiced "Part 1"). We'd guess that the world would be 10% as wicked. (You're not picturing the contrast because you're only picturing Part 2 & its short-term effect. It's in primarily forbidding Part 1 (& also Part 2) that wickedness is cumulatively, increasingly-induced over centuries & millenniums).

Now, why would the Lord want the world to be 10 times more wicked??? No, the Lord hates wickedness & doesn't want the world more wicked. But at the same time, the Lord needs to convince us of the monstrous, cumulative-consequences of full-blown sin!, so that we'll never sin even a little!

And since Satan set up the traps to forbid God's primary ways of escape, then the Lord simply allowed Satan to continue-seducing people to be increasingly wicked. But now, it's time to reveal these things, so that we can see the great-contrast between our old beliefs & our new beliefs!

Why? So that the Lord can now reap a huge "pre-harvest" of loyal Christians & take them to Heaven. But you "rapture-people" need to realize that it's the 144,000 that are taken to Heaven (Rev 14:4b, see vss 1-5). (They are a different kind of "virgin" & can include married people, as well as prostitutes who cease defiling themselves with men).

The 144,000 are called the "firstfruits to God & to the Lamb" (vs 4b,NKJV). Had we known that they are "the firstfruits of those who are alive" (compare 1 Cor 15:20 & Matt 27:52,53, see vss 50-54), then we would realize that they are taken before Jesus comes in the clouds of glory (Matt. 13:39, see vss 24-30 & 36-43).

But how is 144,000 huge? 144,000 isn't huge, but when it happens the 2nd time, the number might be 2 billion. Both so-called "raptures" happen during the First-Seal (2nd fulfillment, Rev 6:1,2), while we are swiftly taking the Gospel to the world (the 2nd time). Both "raptures" are a surprise (Matt 24:36-44). The 2nd "rapture" is at the end of the First-Seal, so that all can get ready "to exit" before "the Antichrist" (Rev 3:10, see vss 7-13).

After the First-Seal, then Satan & his hosts are let-loose to do the Antichrist (Rev 13). Woe to those living then. The Devil again forbids Parts 1 & 2, & bad-people become horribly-wicked, worse than today (Rev 18:1-3).

Then Jesus' followers become the "Great-Multitude" (Rev 7:9, see vss 9-17). They are, "the ones coming out of the Great-Tribulation" (7:13-14,ESV), i.e. Armageddon (Rev 16:16, see vss 12-16 & Dan 12:1, see vss 1-3). They are "a great multitude that no-one could number" (Rev 7:9a) because most of them come-out of the Antichrist (Rev 18:4,5). Next, Jesus comes in the clouds, destroys the wicked, resurrects the dead & takes the Great-Multitude to Heaven.

Why are we telling these things? Because this great contrast between good & evil is what the Lord needs to thoroughly convince us that sin doesn't pay & progressively gets worse.

You see, "after it's over," the Lord needs the universe to be eternally safe, to never have sin & rebellion rise again (Nah 1:9, see whole book). By making "the story" end-up with a horrendous contrast between good & evil, it will thoroughly convince us all to never sin again.

But look what would happen if God had plainly told us (long ago), about women having plural-husbands, & had reinstated both Parts 1 & 2. The contrast between good & evil would have been modest. Thus, some people wouldn't see the importance of not-sinning a little-bit. There's no-end to even "a little-bit of sin;" it would gradually grow until rebellion would rise again.

You see, when the Devil does "the Antichrist," he will re-instate these 2 traps (forbid Parts 1 & 2). Many bad-people (who want to) will become more wicked than the most-wicked person ever!

And that's why Jesus hid His approval of women having plural-husbands, so that Satan could keep-on forbidding Parts 1 & 2. Then when he's let-loose to do the Antichrist, he can easily reinstate his-forbidding of Parts 1 & 2,

for it would only be, say, 30 years earlier, when everyone was forbidding Parts 1 & 2. So we want to encourage all of you to “follow the Lamb wherever He goes” (Rev 14:4, see vss 1-5) & become part of the second “144,000,” so that you can be taken to Heaven before Satan starts the Antichrist. For everyone who meets those conditions will be taken in that 2nd so-called “rapture” (Rev 3:10, see vss 7-13).

Yes, anyone can do it, for it's one of the seven Holy-Spirit-gifts, purchased by Jesus shedding His blood for us seven different times, starting at Gethsemane & ending when He was pierced (Rev 5:6, see vss 1-14). (Don't worry, for some of those conditions of the 144,000 (Rev. 14:4,5) are symbolic, rather than literal).

3) But the most important reason-why is near the end of this extended-preview.

(the third proof)

(Why doesn't the New Testament make it clear)

If the Lord were no longer allowing men to have more than one wife, then wouldn't the New Testament tell us so somewhere??? Why isn't there any comment anywhere? One reason is because it was against the law in the Roman Empire to have more than one wife & no-one was practicing it. (They ruled with a rod of iron).

You see, the Gospel went far beyond the Roman Empire, to places where it was even customary, or at least, where they could legally have plural wives. Well then, why didn't the the Lord inspire the New Testament to make it clear that that's done away with, at least for the sake of people in far-away lands??? (The Lord would have inspired such a statement if it really were so).

Yes, there are instructions for elders & deacons to be “the husband of one wife” (1 Tim. 3:2, see vss 1-7 & vs 12, see vss 8-11), but those verses aren't even speaking about men not having “more than one wife,” for it was out of the question (against the law in the Roman Empire) for anyone to have more than one wife.

Instead the texts on elders & deacons were speaking of men who were still married to their original wife (who had never divorced & married someone else, i.e. “the husband of one wife”). Those verses weren't even speaking of the issue of “more than one wife,” for there wasn't anyone doing that. And if there were, then they were doing it illegally, and the Lord doesn't allow us to disobey “the law” (1 Pet 2:13-15).

But here is an even shorter proof: Since Gen. 2:24 doesn't forbid men from having plural wives, then there is nothing in the entire Bible forbidding men to have plural wives! And the Lord can't condemn anyone for something that He never warned us about in the entire Bible! That's all the proof you need, but let's continue with this so-called “3rd proof.”

So then, how can the Lord condemn us if He didn't make it clear in the New Testament, which all Christians revere? Well, we've already been through this before on God not saying something against women having plural-husbands.

Just picture Him condemning the very-men that He foresaw do it, who answer-back, “Well, You foresaw us marrying those women. Why didn't you warn us, back then, & have something put in the New Testament, so that we'd know for sure that it was done away??? Had You done that, then those authors that persuaded us wouldn't have written virginsaverd.org.... So, how can you...”

As we said above, the Lord would be a tyrant if He did that. God is love & is totally without reproach. So, that's proof, again, that the Lord never did-away with men having plural-wives. This fact also implies that, since God saw every microscopic detail, that He obviously had a super-good reason for hiding it, instead of plainly telling us that plural-wives/spouses are OK.

(fourth proof—multi small-proofs)

WHAT WAS, OR WASN'T, NAILED TO THE CROSS? (next several sections)

Yes, many Christians have held-on exclusively to the New Testament, thinking that everything in the Old Testament was done away, thinking that the only reason for including the Old Testament was to retain the prophecies of Jesus & to show the history of where we came from.

Neither they, nor the great majority, have ever realized that the Old Covenant regulations on “more than one wife” still apply today: e.g. don't diminish your first-wife's food & clothing & her marital rights (Ex 21:10, see vss 7-11) (unless she also agrees to reduce his marital rights), don't marry both a woman & her mother (Lev 20:14, “it is wickedness,” KJV & NKJV, see vss 10-16), not to marry too many wives, “lest his heart turn away,” (Deut 17:17, ESV, see vss 14-20), etc.

But you object, “but those restrictions are in the Old Covenant! I can't follow that!!!”

Let us ask you, is marrying more than one wife a moral issue? Christians around the world have consistently thrown-up-their-arms” in “violent” protest against any such teaching.

So, is it a moral issue? Yes, there is no doubt that it definitely is a moral issue of “right & wrong.” (We also agree that it's a moral issue, except that we believe that 2-4 (or more) spouses are morally “right,” & that breaking the regulations on having “more than one wife” would be morally wrong). Well then, what did Jesus say about the moral commandments?

As most of you know, many of the “Old Covenant things” have been nailed to the cross (e.g. Col 2:14-17), but what about the moral commandments? Were the moral commandments also nailed to the cross??? Some Christian groups have thought that the commandments were also nailed to the cross, but then, were re-instated in the New Testament. But let's see what Jesus says about that:

“...For truly, I say to you, until heaven & earth pass away... whoever relaxes one of the least of these commandments & teaches others to do the same, will be called least in the kingdom of heaven,...” (Matt 5:17-19, ESV). (We've greatly abbreviated this quote in order for you clearly see the point. But look it up & you will see that we haven't taken it out of context at all. Also, you will want to look at Jesus' similar statement in Luke 16:17, (see context, vss 16-18)).

Has heaven & earth passed away yet? The Bible says that heaven & earth will pass away (as we presently know them), but that hasn't happened yet. Well then, did the moral commandments pass away when Jesus died on the cross??? No, Jesus made it clear that the commandments will remain till heaven & earth pass away, i.e. till “the end of time.”

(an objection on Matt 5:17-19)

Now, many in the past have used verse 17, “Do not think that I have come to abolish the law or the prophets. I have not come to abolish them but to fulfill them.” (ESV). After quoting, they would say, “Jesus fulfilled all things. So, you no-longer need to follow those things.”

Where did they get the idea that Jesus fulfilled all things? Well, they got it from Luke 24:44. You can see it a little more clearly in the KJV & NKJV, “Then He said to them, 'These are the words which I spoke to you while I was with you, that all things must be fulfilled in the Law of Moses & in the... ...concerning Me.' ” (NKJV, emphasis supplied, see vss 30-49).

So, did Jesus fulfill all things? “Yes” & “No.” Jesus fulfilled all things concerning Himself, for that is what the above verse actually says. But Jesus hasn't yet fulfilled everything. For one thing Jesus still has to cause heaven & earth to pass away, & many other things as well. But Jesus truly did fulfill all the prophecies in the Old Testament about His earthly life, about His death, etc., etc.

But that wasn't even what Jesus was talking about in Matt. 5:17. What Jesus was talking about in Matt. 5:17, was that He came to fulfill the full-meaning of those commandments (Isa 42:21, “he shall magnify the law & make it honorable,” KJV, (first fulfillment, see vss 18-25)). That's what Jesus was fulfilling, rather than to put an end to all of the commandments.

If you will look at the rest of the Sermon on the Mount (Matt. Chapters 5 & 6 & 7), you will see

that-that is exactly what Jesus did in the Sermon on the Mount. Jesus fulfilled the meaning of what it is to murder, the meaning of what it is to commit adultery, etc. in the Sermon on the Mount. The Jews had never understood these things before, but even many Christians today, still haven't realized the full-meaning of those words, either.

So, what was nailed to the cross?

Yes, Jesus did get rid of the Old Covenant ceremonies & statutes & judgments, many of which are a shadow of things to come (Col 2:17, see vss 8-17). But, Jesus never came to do away with the moral law (Matt 5:17-19, see also Luke 16:17 & its context vss 16-18).

If you will look carefully at these verses (Matt 5:17-19 & Luke 16:16-18), you will realize that Jesus didn't come to do away with any of the moral commandments, not even the Old Covenant regulations on having more than one wife (for “everyone” knows that it was OK for the Old-Testament-Jews to have more than one wife, e.g. Ex. 21:10, see vss 7-11). The issue has been whether or not Christians can also have that privilege.

You see, there is only one new moral-commandment talked about in the entire New Testament. All of the rest of the commandments already existed in the Old Covenant. And even this new commandment is only a modification of an old commandment (Lev 19:18):

Jesus modified “Love your neighbor as yourself” (vs 18), calling us to love one another just as much & in the same way as Jesus loved us (John 13:34,35). Wow, what a challenge, to love anyone, even your best friend as much as Jesus loved us! What a challenge!

Well then, what was nailed to the cross? Jesus wiped away the things that were contrary to us & against us, all of those tedious rules & regulations that had nothing to do with righteousness (see Col 3:8-17, especially vss 15-17). There, it's talking about the Old Covenant things that were against us & contrary to us.

But having plural-wives (or women, plural husbands) is a liberty, a freedom & is not against us, as long as you practice it as Jesus would have you practice it, & if you don't break the regulations on whom & how many you can marry, e.g. to not marry one of your wives's sisters, while that wife is still alive (Lev 18:18, see vss 1-18). Just look at the problems that it caused Jacob (Gen 29 & 30). Surely, rival-sisters (even “friendly-sisters”) will cause unforeseen difficulties.

(One way to determine what was done-away)

(We need to explain that the words, “the law,” are used 2 different ways in the Bible. Jesus used it for the moral-commandments, but it's also used in Galatians (& Acts 15, etc.) for the Old Covenant, because their covenant was to keep all of that “law,” including all of the rituals, etc. (Gal 3:10, see vss 1-14). The “Law” is a translation of the Hebrew word, “Torah,” meaning “law” (used by the Jews to refer to the 5 books of Moses & especially to refer the Old Covenant, (which includes both the moral-commandments & the “ritual” instructions)).

One way to determine what was done away, is to look at Gal. 3:17 (ESV) & connect it with verse 19: “This is what I mean. The law which came 430 years afterward,” [after the promise to Abram (Gen 15) that his seed would number like the stars & that his descendants would come out from slavery 400 years from that very day. It was 430 years because Moses killed an Egyptian & fled. Cont.:] “does not annul a covenant previously ratified by God, so as to make the promise void.”

That particular covenant to Abram was prior to the Old Covenant. In fact we think of that covenant as a “New Covenant” promise, because that is where Abram “believed the Lord, & he counted it to him as righteousness” (Gen 15:6, ESV, compare Rom 4:3, see vss 1-12). Even “circumcision” didn't start till 10+ years later (when Abraham was called “to conceive” Isaac, Gen 17:1-14). And the real Old Covenant didn't begin till 430 years after the “400 year promise.”

But let's connect this with verse 19 (NKJV): “What purpose then does the law serve? It was

added because of transgressions, till the Seed should come to whom the promise was made...” (“The Seed” refers to Jesus: i.e. till Jesus should come to whom the promises were made). A good way to know what was nailed to the cross, is whether it was added in the Old Covenant, 430 years after the promise to Abraham. Generally, the things that were added were nailed to the Cross, but as you will see (2 sections down), there are some exceptions.

Those ritual regulations were added at Mt. Sinai (Gal 3:19,17. see vss 10-29), but the moral-commandments already existed & were just re-stated at Mt. Sinai. If you'll search Genesis, you will find that most of those moral commandments were already stated, long-before Mt. Sinai.

Even Abraham's father had plural-wives (Gen 20:12, see vss 1-18), whom Abraham highly regarded (Gen 24:1-4, see 22:20-24 & 24:1-9). So apparently, Abraham was not offended by his father having 2 wives. After all, Abraham wouldn't have had Sarah his wife (his half-sister, Gen 20:12) if his father hadn't had 2 wives. So, he obviously had respect for his father having 2 wives.

(One reason why Abraham & Isaac only had one wife (except the slave-wife given by Sarah) was because there weren't any women that were acceptable in God's eyes, except from Abraham's relatives (Gen 24:1-9).

That's why Abram & his brother married super-close relatives in their own family (Gen 11:29, see vss 27-30, 20:12, see vss 1-13) & even Jacob married very-close relatives of both parents (Gen 28:1,2). It was because they were afraid to marry anyone else. So, it wasn't necessarily because they were following the “only one spouse” rule.

(Were the moral regulations on plural-wives added at Sinai)

These “plural-wife” regulations appear to be added during the Old Covenant, but were they? We can't be sure, but there are evidences that Abraham already believed that plural-wives was OK. Abraham's relatives were still practicing “plural wives” in Jacob's day, for Laban couldn't “have pulled that trick on Jacob” if it weren't the custom in their family (Gen 29:25-28, see vss 21-30). And yet, both Abraham & Isaac insisted on getting a wife for their sons from their relatives!

But also the Lord gave Abraham a number of commandments, statutes & laws (Gen 26:5, see vss 1-5), but we don't know which commandments they were. If “plural-wives” really is true, then it's likely that the commandments to Abraham included some or all of the regulations on plural-wives.

But we think the Lord didn't tell us what the commandments to Abraham were, because it wasn't needed. As you will see, it doesn't make any difference whether “plural-wife” laws were added at Sinai or not. There is another way to prove it (next section), even if they were added at Sinai.

But even if the plural-wife regulations were only given to the Jews, it still would have been morally wrong for the Jews to break them e.g. 1) don't “short-change” your present spouse; 2) don't marry rival sisters; 3) don't marry your sister, aunt, niece, cousin, etc. 4) don't marry a woman & her mother, because evil thoughts can come into your mind; 5) don't marry too many, lest you drift-away from God.

Both David & Solomon broke some of those regulations because they didn't know, for one of the 5 books of Moses was lost (probably Deut., see 2 Chron 34:14-16, see vss 14-28). They sinned innocently, but wouldn't it have been much better had they known? Yes, it would. So, those “plural-wife” regulations are moral.

Well, then, what did Jesus say about the moral=commandments? “For truly I say to you, until heaven & earth pass-away, not an iota, not a dot, will pass from the law until all is accomplished.” (Matt 5:18, ESV, see vss 17-20). So according to Jesus, if it's a moral regulation, then it still applies to us Christians. So, the “plural-wife” regulations couldn't have been nailed to the Cross, not even “one of the least of these commandments” (vs 19, ESV, NKJV) has been done-away.

And as for the right to have plural-wives, it's obvious that it wasn't done-away either, for it is a liberty, a freedom for each of us to choose. And the only way that a liberty could be taken away, is

to plainly forbid it in the New Testament. How else could you forbid a liberty, for it's not a "thou shalt not..." commandment, nor is it a commandment at all??? There's no other way.

(Anything that involves "love your neighbor" wasn't nailed to the Cross).

We need to explain on the regulations on plural-wives that were added in the Old Covenant, because some will surely think that they were done-away, because it looks-like they were added at Mt. Sinai (Gal 3:19, see vss 1-20). But as we said, they were probably given to Abraham.

Now, I had read the following text dozens & dozens of times, & had never-seen it before, not till "less-than a year ago." But when Paul (through the Spirit) quoted some of the 10-commandments from the Old Testament, he added, "...& if [there is] any other commandment, are [all] summed up in this saying, 'You shall love your neighbor as yourself.' " (Rom 13:9b, NKJV see vss 8-13)

We always thought that if you'd keep the commandment to love your neighbor, then you wouldn't be breaking any of the commandments, "for love is the fulfillment of the law" (vs 10b). That's true, but also "the converse" is true as well:

If breaking an Old-Covenant instruction would mean that you would be failing to love your neighbor, then that instruction is actually a moral-commandment! (If you don't think so, then ponder over verse 9b again, "& if there is any other commandment,..."). If you would be violating "love your neighbor" by disobeying an instruction, then that instruction has to be a commandment.

No, you don't have to follow that instruction "to the letter," because you're not a Jewish-Jew, but you do need to heed the principle of that Old-Covenant instruction. I first learned that principle from pondering over an Old-Covenant instruction (somewhere) about placing a modest-wall around the edge of your roof (for it was common to go-up the stairs & onto the "roof"). But did you realize that this is a moral-commandment??? Well, it is because you would be violating "love your neighbor," which also includes your wife & your children.

Some people who haven't read this instruction might think that it was OK to not have a railing *if* they all agree to not go near the edge. It sounds safe, but there are many things that could happen. Children get careless & forget, or children could be playing & lose their balance & fall-off, or someone could get super-sick & lose their balance, or a neighbor could come over, etc. So, the only safe thing to do is to follow the counsel of that Old-Covenant instruction.

Well, it's the same way with the moral-commandments for plural wives—which also apply to women having plural-husbands (just "flip-flop" the instruction, so that it applies to women having plural-husbands). They are definitely moral issues of "love yourself" & "love your spouse:" e.g. 1)

A man is still responsible to provide for his present wife if he's been doing that, (unless they make a mutual-agreement); 2) he mustn't marry rival-sisters; 3) he mustn't marry too many, etc., all for good reasons, because they affect "love your neighbor as yourself" or at least, "love yourself."

So, the regulations on men (& women) having plural-spouses" still apply because they are moral-regulations. Because anything that breaks "love your neighbor" is also a moral-commandment & has not been nailed to the Cross!

(The decision of the Jerusalem Counsel)

The famous Jerusalem council (Acts 15) decided whether the Gentiles (not the Christians-Jews) should "keep the law of Moses" (Acts 15:5b, see vss 1-5). Peter agreed with Paul & Barnabas, saying, "...why do you test God by putting a yoke upon the neck of the disciples, which neither our fathers nor we were able to bear? But we believe that through the grace of the Lord Jesus Christ, we shall be saved in the same manner as they." (Acts 15:10-11, NKJV, see vss 8-29).

In the decision they reached at that council (Acts 15), there was nothing spoken about getting-rid-of any freedoms & liberties in the Old Covenant, nor in any other place in the New Testament. This ("no freedoms done-away") again implies (a quasi-proof) that the Lord never forbade Christian men

from having freedom to have more than one wife.

But we need to add a caution about Acts 15 (quoted above). Many have assumed that only the 4 things that they mentioned in their final decision (Acts 15:28,29) are to be kept, & that everything else in the entire Old Covenant, including the moral-commandments, is to be thrown away (& then re-instated in the New Testament).

Note that the council only mentioned one commandment (fornication), which most modern translations unjustifiably translate as “sexual immorality.” The reason they included fornication was because premarital-fornication wasn't clearly spelled out in the Old Covenant. Since you have to search carefully to even see it in the Old Covenant, they included fornication (which includes premarital-) in the list to make it more clear.

Also, the new Christians already knew that it was wrong to worship an idol, but they needed to make it clear that it was also wrong to eat (usually meat) sacrificed (dedicated) to an idol. So they also added that requirement also, to make it more plain.

None of the 10 commandments were mentioned, nor even the Old Testament commandments to love the Lord & to love your neighbor. Why? That was because the moral-commandments weren't the issue that they was discussing. Because even the Gentile-Christians knew that it was wrong to break the moral-commandments.

Otherwise, the Jerusalem Counsel would have included the moral commandments, if it were part of the issue. The issue was over whether the Gentiles should be circumcised, & also whether the Gentile-Christians should keep those ceremonies, rules, rites & regulations of the Old Covenant.

(3rd reason for hiding “women/plural-husbands:” catch Satan in his trap)

The 2nd reason of hiding “women having plural-husbands” is almost as big as this one, but this 3rd reason is absolutely vital. Most of us Christians have thought that Jesus did it all, & that we don't play any part in conquering Satan & in restoring “the kingdom,” except for taking the Gospel to the whole world, bringing people to Jesus & those kinds of things.

Well, that is partly right, for Jesus purchased all of the victory that is needed, by shedding His blood 7 different times, starting at Gethsemane & ending when the soldier pierced Him to make-sure that He was dead.

But that doesn't mean that we don't play a part in getting Satan caught in his-own trap. Didn't Paul (through the H.S.) prophesy, “The God of peace will soon crush Satan under your feet (Rom 16:20a)?” Who is it that does it??? It's God who does it. But whom does He do it through? He will do it through us!

So, how does God do it through us? In Revelation, you find that none of us were worthy to open the prophetic-scroll or to even look at it (Rev. 5:1-5, see vss 1-14). But fortunately, God took-action by sending His only-begotten Son as a seed in the Woman, Mary (Actually God's Church, but also Mary, Rev. 12:1-5).

Each time Jesus shed His blood, He purchased a special manifestation of the Holy Spirit. That's why the Lamb of Rev. 5:6b has “...7 horns & 7 eyes, which are the 7 spirits of God sent out into all the earth” (ESV, see vss 4-7). (These 7 Spirits are 7 manifestations of one Holy Spirit, each functioning in a unique way, discussed further below).

Jesus paid for all of our sins so that everyone who turns to Jesus can be forgiven. But Jesus also accomplished a lot more than just forgiveness when He died for us. Some of these 7 manifestations of the Holy Spirit enable us to live a victorious life.

Most of us experienced Christians have seen someone who was a despicable-slave to sin, who turned completely-around & became a “victorious” Christian. We were amazed at the abrupt change

in their life “for the better.”

But in contrast, we've looked at our own lives & have seen ourselves “fall flat on our face” so many times that it deeply-hurts. And yet, we wonder how that person who was a slave to alcohol, drugs & evil was so consistently “victorious,” not completely victorious, but vastly more victorious than before. And we say, “Why can't I be victorious like that?”

Consequently, most Christians have concluded that it's impossible to constantly-live a victorious life. And they are right—that is, if you don't know the secret—for no-one has done it in 2,000 years. That's pretty-good proof, isn't it??? **Wrong!!!**

(the secret to living a victorious life)

The secret is that Jesus made it one of the Holy Spirit-gifts He Jesus purchased by shedding His blood the fifth-time (probably when Jesus fell beneath the load of the cross, which is so fitting, for we, too, aren't able to overcome, not of ourselves).

But note-that God made it a **gift**, “lest anyone should boast” (Eph 2:8,9,NKJV, see vss 4-10). That's why we are saved by grace through faith, so that no-one can boast about being saved (vs 8) nor boast about having great faith. (Even faith is a gift for the same reason (vs 8,9).

And why is it so important that we not ever boast, not even a trillion years from now??? Because that's where Satan fell, & sin would rise-again if we ever got to glorying about “what **I** did” (Isa 14:12-14, see vss 4-20, Ezek 28:11-19). If the Lord doesn't want us to glory about our faith, nor glory about being saved, then it's far-more important to not glory about living a victorious life, for we would especially want to glory about “what **I** did.”

So, the only way that the Lord will help you live a victorious life is for you to daily (“constantly”) acknowledge that you could never do it (nor anyone else) without that Holy-Spirit gift (the 5th H.S. gift).

And keep in mind that you are still “a sinner” because of all of your past. For none of us have ever lived a sinless life (Ps 14, Rom 3:9-20, see vss 1-31). And consequently, none of us are saved by living a victorious life from then on, for God has made salvation a gift, lest anyone should boast. But also, we are totally dependent on Jesus' 5th Holy-Spirit gift every step of the way. Otherwise, it won't happen (no grounds for glorying, whatsoever).

In order to receive that special Holy-Spirit gift, you also need to die daily (“constantly”) to everything that is contrary to the principles of God. But that, too, is “a joint venture,” for you can't die to yourself without that same Holy Spirit gift, because it subdues your heart, so that you can give-up those unacceptable desires.

There was a Baptist preacher who used to say, “Everything is a gift,” & it's true, even our extreme determination & effort is a gift from above. That gift motivates us & strengthens us to try hard. Without all of those “gifts,” our efforts would be vain. We have absolutely nothing to glory about, **period!**

Doesn't the Bible say, “For nothing will be impossible with God.” (Luke 1:37, see vss 26-38)? But also: “No, in all these things, we are more than conquerors through him who loved us.” (Rom 8:37, see 7:24-25a, 8:3-4,)?

And what did Paul (through Jesus) mean by being more than conquerors? Rom. 8:29 reveals what Paul was thinking: “For whom He [God the Father] foreknew, He also predestined to be conformed to the image of His Son, that He [Jesus] might be the firstborn among many brethren.” (NKJV, bracket comments supplied).

What did Paul mean by being more than conquerors??? That's right, God has predestined some of

us at the end of time to be so “conformed to the image of” Jesus, that we fully reflect His character. That's what Paul meant by being “more than conquerors.”

“But that dishonors Jesus!”

And many of you “have flown off the handle” into a rage at such a statement, for you think that this takes-away glory from Jesus. No, instead of stealing Jesus' glory, it glorifies Jesus. Just ask any father who has a son that far-exceeds him.

“That's my son there, the President of the USA. I'm just a barber in a small town, but look at my son!” “That's my son there, the richest man in the whole world! I'm just a brick-layer, working for a brick-laying company, but look at my son! He's 'a chip off the old block.' ” Are they jealous of their son? No, just the opposite. They are exceedingly proud of & joyful for their son. (Those men are proud of their sons in a way that pleases the Lord (without any self-glorifying).

Well, what about Jesus? Will He be jealous of us, His children (men, women & even young children), when we fully reflect the image of Jesus??? By no means, for He is the One who made us & is even the one holding us together. No, we don't match the glory of Jesus. But even if we did match that glory in the eyes of the angels & people in Heaven, Jesus would rejoice. For there is nothing more that Jesus would want than for us to be “little chips off the old block, Jesus.”

That is what Jesus wants more than anything! Nothing will honor Jesus more, because that was Jesus' goal when He made the earth. Jesus called for us to overcome 7 times in Revelation, & even an 8th time in Rev 21:7: “the one who conquers [NKJV 'overcomes'] will have this heritage, & I will be his God & he will be my son.” (ESV, see vss 1-8).

And what does Jesus mean by us being His son? It means that those of us who overcome will “be conformed to the the image of His son” (Rom 8:29). We think that it is more than just “a title” that Jesus honors us with.

Our guess is that this is how Jesus conforms us to His-own image, for we could never match what Jesus did—except in one *way. The only way that even the greatest Christian will be conformed to His image, is for Jesus to impart His-own Spirit into us, so that everything we do, everything we say, every look on our face, will be prompted by Jesus' unique-Spirit within us.

(All of us have the indwelling H.S. of Jesus, but we are speaking of Jesus Himself actually imparting His-own spiritual-attitude within us, which is much more than just “Jesus dwelling in us” through the indwelling H.S. Jesus only gives that to those who overcome sufficiently. He only manifests His spiritual-attitude to “overcomers” when each want to look & act & speak & respond the way Jesus would, if Jesus were to have that person's physical make-up & personality).

So, what will Jesus have on this earth in the last days? That's right, Jesus is going to have thousands (probably) of “little Jesus's” all over this world, fully reflecting His image. Why? Because Jesus'-own Spirit is dwelling within them. That's why. Jesus will then be living in thousands of followers, fully representing Him.

(Would you like to see how we—through Jesus' gift—crush Satan under our feet?)

The answer is found in the prophetic-vision about “the dragon,” Satan, in Rev. 12:7-12. Verse 7 starts shortly before the earth, as we presently know it, was made.

The “dragon,” Satan (vs 9a), had discovered how beautiful, brilliant & persuasive he was (Ezek 28:11-15, see vss 1-19) & had rebelled against God the Father & against Jesus, His Son, & was then cast out of Heaven (Rev 12:8), along with a third of all of the angels that rebelled with him (vss 3,4 & 7, compare Rev 1:20).

[Yes, Ezek. 28:11-19 described the king Tyre, but the Lord was actually talking about Satan when he rebelled. That's why it talks about him as being “an anointed guardian cherub” (vs 14,ESV), one

of the covering cherubs, covering God's bright glory in Heaven (Ex 25:18-20, see vss 10-22). My guess is that Jesus was the other “covering cherub.” See also Isa 14:12-15, see vss 3-20)].

This world was “without form & void” (just water & a thick cloud above it & rocks earth, etc. beneath the water, Gen 1:1-2) until shortly after Satan was kicked-out of Heaven. That's when Jesus started making this earth, as we know it, to give Satan a “battle-ground” to get-back at God for kicking him (& his angels) out of Heaven (Rev 12:3,4, see vss 1-6).

The Lord foresaw (w/o forcing them) that Adam & Eve would fall from Satan's temptation, persuading them to eat the Tree of Knowledge of Good & Evil (Gen 3:1-6). So, the Lord let Satan have a way to get-back at God the Father & Jesus. But little did Satan know, that all of his temptations, down through the ages, were preparing these people to take the place of Satan & his fallen angels (Dan 7:27, see vss 1-28, Rev 20:4, see vss 1-6). .

Because of fighting against Satan's temptations, God's people will then know the drawbacks & the evil of sin. When Satan is thrown into the Lake of Fire, all of those living then will be totally convinced that any kind of sin is totally unacceptable “in Eternity.” For rebellion would rise-up again & rob us of the beautiful peace & tranquility that God will have already given us prior to that time: 1) when we will be taken to Heaven & then later 2) when we will be taken to the New Earth, Rev 21:4, see vss 1-5).

(the part we humans play)

But let's look at the one-part that we humans play in God using us to bruise Satan under our feet (Rom 16:20, KJV), i.e. to cast-down Satan & to restoring the kingdom back to God & to Christ (Rev 12:10, see vss 7-12). (But don't get the wrong idea. The one part we play continues from then, on, all the way till Jesus comes in the clouds of glory).

But the word “bruise” can also mean “crush.” Actually, there are 2 more times (later yet) when God also uses His people to bruise, & eventually crush, Satan under their feet (just before Jesus comes in the clouds of glory (Dan 7:27, see vss 1-28) & during the 1,000 till Satan gets cast into the Lake of Fire (Rev 20, see vss 4 & 7-10). But we are just focusing on the first time that Satan gets bruised under our feet, for that event is when Satan gets caught in his-own trap.

Rev. 12:10-11 reveals that Satan's accusing-mouth will be stopped (by God's people, vs 11). That implies that we have something to do with “the kingdom of our God & the authority of His Christ...” (vs 10a) being established. That happens when Satan's mouth is stopped from accusing us day & night (stopped accusing some of us, not all of us).

But Rev 12 reveals roughly when this event of verses 10 & 11 occurs. Some have thought that this kingdom restored (in verses 10-11) was when Jesus died & was resurrected. Yes, the victory on the cross was a partial fulfillment.

The Kingdom of Grace was established from Jesus' victory on the cross (Heb 4:16, see vss 14-16), but God's people overcoming in Rev. 12:11 wasn't actually fulfilled when Jesus conquered on the cross. The power for us to overcome was purchased by Jesus shedding His blood 7 times, but the actual overcoming-victory is still-future (not long from now).

Jesus' victory on the Cross was the first fulfillment, but this is a dual (triple) prophecy. There is a 2nd fulfillment that will “soon be” fulfilled sometime in the near-future. How do we know? Three reasons: 1) we had nothing to do with Jesus' victory on the Cross; like verse 11 says, 2) for verse 11 (about our overcoming) hasn't yet been fulfilled. 3) Satan is still accusing us night & day, yes, even while John was seeing Revelation in 90+ AD/CE, all the way to this very day (vs 10b).

We can only overcome sufficiently through the Subduing Holy Spirit, but when we do, Jesus imparts His-own special “Spirit” (imparting Himself) into each of us. (Since Jesus is holding every-single atom together in each one of us, it's not hard for Jesus to impart Himself into each

overcomer).

Jesus'-own "Spirit" (Himself, not the Holy Spirit) provides the capability for each of us to react with the-look on our face like Jesus would have if He were you, & to act & say & do like Jesus would do if He were you. (But Jesus can only accomplish this through us, only if we want to & only if we try & only if we know what Jesus was like, so that we know how we should act). When this transformation into the image of Jesus consistently happens, then Satan's accusing mouth is stopped!

When verse 11 is fulfilled then Satan no longer has anything to accuse many of us "little Jesus's" (it's plural, implying that there have to be at least 2 "little Jesus's"), then Gabriel (or someone) will declare: "...Now the salvation & the power & the kingdom of our God & the authority of His Christ have come, for the accuser of our brothers has been thrown down, who accuses them day & night before our God." (vs 10, ESV).

If you will look carefully at this quote, you will see 2 clues on how Satan gets cast-down: What is Satan called here? "The accuser of our brothers," (implying that humans said that, since they called us "our brothers." But even those who believe that the dead are sleeping know that there are already many people in Heaven (Matt 27:51-53)).

But the point is, this is where the Lord reveals that Satan has been accusing & was still accusing people in 90+ AD/CE when Revelation was written. And yes, he is still accusing us day & night yet to this day. And why is Satan accusing us humans??? Because he knows that as long as he can keep-on accusing all of us humans, then he is still-safe from God's kingdom being established,

(When is the kingdom of God established?)

God's plan is to give the kingdom back to us (Dan 7:27, see vss 23-27), but but He refuses to do it until He has some people that are fit to give-back the kingdom-to. Yes, the Kingdom of Grace was won by Jesus on the Cross, but the ownership of the world ("the former dominion" that Adam & Eve had, Mic 4:8, ESV, see vss 1-8) hasn't yet been restored. The Lord created this world for mankind to have dominion over (Gen 1:26b & 28b, see vss 24-28), & He insists on giving-back that dominion to mankind (Mic 4:8, Dan 7:27, see vss 23-27).

But that dominion comes in two stages. When the kingdom is won back a few years from now by, say, a few thousand "little Jesus's," most of God's people won't yet be victorious. That's not good enough for the Lord. The Lord isn't going to give back "the former dominion" to mankind until all of God's (living) people are victorious, (shortly before Jesus comes in the clouds of glory).

When Jesus comes in the clouds, all of God's people will be overcomers (little Jesus's), for even those who come out of "Babylon" (Rev 18:4,5, see vss 1-8) will immediately receive the 7th "Holy Spirit" (Jer 31:34, see vss 31-34), which instantly transforms them into "instant overcomers." Those living-overcomers at the end of time become Jesus' Bride (Rev 19:7,8, see vss 1-8).

(That's whom the Great Multitude of Rev. 7:9-14 are, who "have washed their robes & made them white in the blood of the Lamb" (vs 14b). They are "the ones coming out of the great tribulation," i.e. Armageddon (vs 14, ESV & NKJV; the KJV mistranslated their own Greek manuscripts; see NKJV)).

So when that victory is won the first time (by a few thousand "little Jesus's"). what will the Lord do, since He can't give the dominion back to us, not till all of God's living-people are ready & Jesus comes again (the 3rd (partial) fulfillment of Rev 12:10-11)?

Rev. 12:10 says what He's going to do: "...Now the salvation & the power & the kingdom of our God & the authority of his Christ have come, for the accuser ...has been thrown down, who..." That's right, the kingdom goes back to God & the authority of it goes back to Christ, until all of God's (living) people are fully ready for Jesus to come in the clouds of glory.

But as we said, when we were talking about the remaining verses of Rev. 12, the first & 3rd fulfillments are only partial fulfillments, but the 2nd fulfillment fulfills all of the verses. The ownership (the first dominion of Mic 4:8) is first restored to God & Jesus, & then later, is given back to those overcomers just before Jesus comes in the clouds of glory (Dan 7:27, see vss 23-27).

But what happens when the ownership-kingdom goes back to God & Jesus? Verse 12b tells us that the Dragon (Satan) goes-into a rage & vents his wrath on God's people. In verses 13-17 he chases God's people into the wilderness. But if you will look at Mic. 4, you'll see the same "story."

"The former dominion" returns in verse 8 (see vss 1-8), but look what happens in the succeeding verses: In verses 9 & 10 God's people go into great distress, like a woman having great birth-pangs. Then in verses 11 & 12, the nations come to harm God's people, but instead (vs 13), the "daughter of Zion" (God's people) "thresh" (like threshing wheat, where there is chaff that is blown-away by the wind) & conquer. That happens while Jesus is on His way to come & get them.

So, Mic. 4:8-13 agrees with Rev. 12:10-17, except that it fills-in more details. Now, isn't that enough evidence that "the kingdom of our God & the authority of his Christ" (the former dominion) will come before the Devil does the work of the Antichrist??? Surely, you can't deny it. But as we said the ownership-kingdom is first given to God & "his Christ" until just before Jesus comes in the clouds of glory. And then at that time, God gives back to them the former dominion, for all of God's people that remain on the earth will then be instant, "perfect" overcomers.

But it won't happen the way it looks-like

Now, these verses look-like (at first appearance) that the Dragon (Satan) will immediately pursue the woman (the Church) as-soon as he is cast-down. Remember that John saw a vision of the Dragon & the Woman, not of Satan & the Church. In "the Greek," the Dragon was cast-down into the earth. Now, the word "into the earth" can mean either "to the earth" or "into the earth," because "in earth" (in their language) was viewed as the place where we walk.

But in this case, it should actually be translated as "into the earth" (as we understand "earth"), because the Dragon had already been cast "to the earth" in verse 9b. So if he's cast-down even further, it would have to be "into the earth."

And if the dragon (the dragon-figure, not Satan himself) is cast "into the earth," then what does that tell you? It tells that Satan & his hosts are "paralyzed" for awhile & can't do anything until God allows "the Dragon" to come back-up.

This agrees with verse 13a, "And when the dragon saw that he had been thrown down" into "the earth..." The dragon is paralyzed when cast into the earth (see below), & so he doesn't see it until after he is awakened (much later, see below). Then the Dragon pursues the Woman (vss 13-17).

The Dragon is figuratively cast into the earth some time, while we Christians are swiftly taking the Gospel to all the world, much like they did 2,000 years ago. But this time, we will have much-greater success than they did 2,000 years ago, because Satan will be shut down & even "paralyzed" (when the ownership-kingdom is established) so much that he won't even be able to see what is going on (Joel 2:20 agrees with the Dragon being cast into the earth; see vss 18-29).

And then after we are done taking the Gospel to the whole world, (much more could be said about this), then the Lord awakens Satan, to let him do the work of the Antichrist (Rev 12:13-17).

And why would the Lord allow Satan to do the Antichrist? Because more souls will be won (after the Antichrist is exposed as a fraud) than have been won in the entire history of the world. Those souls who lose their lives as martyrs are saved (Rev 6:9-11; Rev 13:13-15. see vss 1-18). But also after the Antichrist is exposed, an angel calls for God's people to come-out of Babylon (Rev 18:4,5), which become the Great Multitude that no-one could number (Rev. 7:9-17).

“But how does “women having plural-husbands” fit-in with this?

So, how does discovering Part 2 (& Part 1) catch Satan in his-own trap, & thus shut Satan's accusing mouth? Parts 1 & 2, or rather, the hiding of Parts 1 & 2, are the two biggest traps that Satan has ever set.

Down through the ages, more souls have fallen-first from sexual sins & marriage sins, than have fallen-first from all of the other sins put together! Yes, those people eventually fall-into all of the other sins, but they generally fall into sexual & marriage sins first, and then, the other sins.

What does that tell you??? Two things:

1) It tells you that you will be a-lot less likely to fall if you know & practice Parts 1 & 2, for you will have much better self-control if you practice (properly) Parts 1 & 2 (similar to 1 Cor 7:5b, see vss 2-5, discussed below).

But Satan's accusations (of those who are trying to overcome) 'overcome are beyond committing out-&-out sins. But practicing Parts 1 & 2 also helps us control our sinful thoughts, as well.

So, practicing Parts 1 & 2 make it much easier to overcome—provided you are acknowledging your total-dependence on the Subduing H.S. (not “taking the credit for it”).

But also, the Devil watches more for marriage-sins & sexual-sins (& thoughts) than he does for anything else, for those have always been his two biggest traps. So, having your sex-drive & “marriage-drive” well under control (by practicing Parts 1 & 2) is a great defense against those temptations. But also, Satan is watching for other sins, as well.

2) When we discover Parts 1 & 2, it makes us realize that the Lord is more wonderful than we've ever imagined. And what does that do for us? It multiplies our loyalty to God because of Him being so wonderful. It motivates us to shun everything that the Devil stands for & to completely unite on the Lord's side.

It makes us want to get rid of the one who set-up these 2 traps & has caused all the troubles that we have seen. He has tried to take-down our world with him by making our world so wicked that God would have to destroy it.

And so, this motivates us to become “little Jesus's” in order to cast-down Satan & restore the kingdom back to God & Christ (Rev 12:10,11), who will later, fully-give the dominion back to Mankind when Jesus comes in the clouds of Glory (Dan 7:25b, 2nd fulfillment of Mic 4:8).

The Bible repeatedly says that the Enemy will get caught in his own trap (e.g. Ps 9:15,16). So apparently, re-establishing Parts 1 & 2 (his 2 biggest traps) will inspire us so much that our loyalty will be 100% toward the Lord. Then, that will “tilt the balance” to where Satan is overcome & eventually, completely cast down & destroyed.

But apparently, the converse is also true, that we never would have fully conquered Satan, if the Lord hadn't hidden Parts 1 & 2 until now, &/or if we had failed to established Parts 1 & 2 in our day. That's why the Lord had to hide these 2 teachings, because we would never have overcome Satan if the Lord hadn't hidden these 2 discoveries.

What about Part 1?

Both Parts 1 & 2 are God's fulfillment of the “Elijah Message” of Mal. 4:5,6: “...& he will turn the hearts of fathers to their children, & the hearts of children to their fathers,...” (vs 6a, ESV). Many have not realized that this is a dual prophecy, once in Jesus' day & again in the last-days (look carefully at Matt 17:11-12 & see one “Elijah-message” in the future, & the other in the past (John the Baptist's message, vs 13, see vss 1-13)), “lest I come & smite the land with a decree of utter destruction.” (vs 6b).

Also, it was prophesied that John the Baptist would only fulfill the part of turning the fathers to the children (Luke 1:17, see vss 8-23). When parts 1 & 2 take a-hold in our churches & start proclaiming the Gospel, then many parents will turn their hearts toward their children.

But most of all, there will be a huge number of teenagers & young-adults who have never-known

Jesus before, who will turn their hearts toward their dads (& of course toward their mom's, too, but especially their wayward dads). Multitudes of young guys are going to go-after their dad's & bring them back to Jesus. What a reversal! You'll see why when you read Part 1.

We haven't yet written an extended-preview of Part 1, but when you are reading our older writings, you can use the same principles to prove Part 1 as well. (The proofs in the old writings on Parts 1 & 2 are not-nearly as clear & convincing). So when you see that something was never once mentioned in the entire Bible, neither pro nor con, then what does that tell you???

No, it doesn't tell you that “that-something” isn't wrong; rather, it tells you that “that-something” isn't necessarily wrong. Total silence in the Bible only tells you that it can be acceptable to God, as long as you don't violate any of the other commandments/instructions of the Bible.

But there is one exception: Anything, even something that is not-wrong in the Bible, becomes wrong if you believe it is wrong & yet you do it, even though it is not-wrong in God's eyes (Rom 14:23). For instance many have believed that sex is only for procreation (for conceiving children).

I believed that when I was young & still single (as a Protestant, not a Catholic), but that teaching violates the Bible & cannot possibly be true. (Yes, Catholics, it not only violates the Protestant Holy Bibles. It also violates your-own Holy Bible).

For 1 Cor. 7:2 counsels people to get married so that they can control the temptation to commit fornication (unlawful sexual intercourse). And how does marriage control that temptation? That's right, by husbands & wives periodically having sex together, it relieves the sex-drive & thus, reduces the temptation to have forbidden sex with someone else.

But in verses 3-5 Paul (through the Holy Spirit) continues to stress the importance of husbands & wives periodically coming together to have sex. They are not to needlessly abstain from their spouse's reasonable request, except by agreement for a limited-time of prayer. Then in verse 5, he concludes by explaining why it is so important to come together periodically (sexually): “...so that Satan may not tempt you because of your lack of self-control” (1 Cor 7:5b, ESV, see vss 2-5).

Now some Catholics have thought that Paul said this by permission from God, because of verse 6. The answer is “No, verse 6 is leading-into Paul's discussion on celibacy. The Lord allowed Paul to state his viewpoint, but as for verses 2-5, they were fully inspired by God. How do we know? Because the counsel in verses 2-5 protects us from being severely tempted by the Devil. Now, isn't that enough proof???

But remember, if you believe that it's wrong, even though the Bible says that it is not wrong (& is even recommended), then you will be sinning. And why is that so? Because you would be disobeying what you think God wants, & yet are purposely doing it. That's why.

So Roman Catholics, please make-up-your-mind. We hope that you will realize that “procreation only” is a man-made rule. (Satan “snuck-in,” without them realizing it, & counterfeited the Holy Spirit, & thus moved your leaders (for hundreds of years) to teach this false teaching). Why did Satan do that? So that his devils can then tempt your people much-more readily (1 Cor 7:5, see vss 2-5). So, which are you going to believe, “man's” teaching or your-own Holy Bible???

Counsel to All of Us Christians

Priests, bishops—all the way up to Pope Francis—it pays to know your Bible well, to help prevent the Enemy from “sneaking-in” & leading you far astray from the principles of the Holy Bible. All of us need to do that: Bible-believing Protestants, liberal Protestants, Orthodox leaders & people, Roman Catholic leaders & people, even Jehovah's Witnesses,

(I can't say “Latter-day Saints.” You are such wonderful people who love the Lord, but you'll be led astray as long as you depend-on to your 3 books. You believe that your 3 books agree with the Bible, but that's because you are interpreting the Bible by those books. Let the Bible interpret itself

(comparing scripture with scripture); then you'll see that those books do contradict the Bible).

But Can't Tradition Help Us Find the Truth?

Tradition? Yes, it can help a lot, for it is easy to misinterpret the Bible, for You have to fit all of the scriptures of the Bible together, or you won't get the right answer. Tradition will help you find the right answer, but only if "tradition" comes from the days of the Apostles, for they did have "the Spirit of Truth" (John 15:12-15, also 14:26, see 15:1-16:33) that guided them into all truth back then (1 John 2:20,26-27, see vss 18-27).

But the Apostolic-Church lost their first love & didn't repent (Rev 2:4,5. see vss 1-7). So, the Lord had to take away (largely, not completely) the Pentecostal spiritual gifts & the Spirit of Truth. That's why there are so many conflicting beliefs today. We don't have a lot of the Spirit of Truth, yet. That's why we have so many conflicting teachings. Many devils "snuck-into" many churches, counterfeit "holy spirits," that led many churches to believe many contradictory things.

They all believed that they were right, but all of them can't be true (2 Tim 3:16,17, see 2:14-3:17). But surely, the Lord will soon give-back the Spirit of Truth to guide us into all truth. And when He does, we believe that the Lord will then lead us back together, all who love & follow the Lord. .

But for now, you can look at the tradition in the days of Apostles, & that will help you determine which is true. Or better-yet, you can search-out every-single text in the entire Bible on a given subject, & then keep-on prayerfully-pondering over all of those texts, until the Lord opens your eyes on how they-all fit-together.

Because "tradition" can lead you further & further astray if you depend on later & later traditions. The closer you can get to the days of the Apostles, the more-sure you can be that "that-tradition" is correct. Because the Spirit of Truth was largely taken away at the end of the Apostolic-Days (roughly 110 AD/CE, when persecution by the Romans began).

But even the Apostles, who had the Spirit of Truth, didn't know these new teachings of Part 1 & "plural-spouses," for the Lord thoroughly-hid it since the days of Adam & Eve. So the Spirit of Truth couldn't guide them into those 2 truths, because the Lord wanted them to be discovered in our day, in order to catch Satan in his-own trap. So actually, the only sure, safe way to determine all of our beliefs, is to prayerfully fit all texts in the Holy Bible on a given subject.

Let's Not Be Critical of Those Who Have Fallen in the Past

But also, we've been so critical of Catholic priests that have done shameful, sexual things. But instead, we should be pitying them. When they Listen to the confessions of all their parishioners, it discourages them & makes them prone to give-in to temptation. But most of all, their priests never knew that God has provided a way for celibates to maintain their-own (sexual) self-control. When they learn these things & practice them, faithful priests won't be falling-into these sins again.

But we also need to pity teenagers & "singles" & courting-couples, for they never knew that the Lord has provided a way for them, too, to control their desire to be naked (Rev 16:15), their desire for illegitimate sex (Acts 15:29b, but Part 1 reveals that modern translations mis-translate a word). When they learn these things, then they will have better control of themselves.

Look, if husbands & wives need to "come together" periodically, not only physically but also sexually, so Satan doesn't tempt them by their lack of self-control, then what do teenagers & singles & especially courting-couples need??? Yes, that's right, they need a way of escape, too. And to think that we have been so critical of teenagers when they have rebelled or have give-in to sex!

Now some of you are saying. "Well, I can handle it." Yes, you "have handled it" up till now, but why do you want to think of it as evil or "not the best," when the Lord obviously doesn't view it that way? Yes, the Lord not only tolerates what we call "JSS" (Jesus Satisfying Solution) in Part 1; but He also hid His full approval in the Bible, just-like He hid His full-approval of plural-spouses.

The Lord hid this for the same reason that He hid His full approval of plural spouses: because Satan is the one who “gave us” our present-rules on morality, thus taking away God's 2 primary ways of escape from sexual-sins & marriage-sins. So the Lord allowed him to keep-on teaching this false morality, so that we might see how wicked the world has become because of these 2 false-teachings, to hide these 2 teachings till now, in order to catch Satan in his-own trap.

So, the Lord not only tolerates JSS & plural-spouses, but also fully approves of both teachings. But at the present time, we leave it to you to see the old proofs on Part 1, & then for you to take the same principles that we used in this extended preview & you yourselves prove Part 1 as well!

Please, readers, carefully, prayerfully examine Part 1, for the sake of teenagers, singles & courting-couples—and for your own sakes as well, for most of you married people have also been tempted by someone at times—even though you were having frequent-sex with your spouse—for this is also God's way of escape for married people.

Please, carefully & prayerfully examine the proofs of Part 1. For on many occasions, Part 1 is far-more important than Part 2, to help you to stay-faithful to Jesus.