WHY NOAH'S FLOOD ("WhyNoahsFlood22.pdf") Dec. 24, 2020

(New, Nov. 5, 2019) (Most of the first half of this E-book was written in 2019)

The <u>Real</u> Reason For Noah's Flood

But You Haven't Seen All of the Evidence That God Has Hidden About Satan's Trap

You will have a hard time believing this, until you see the evidence that God has hidden in His Word. Would you believe that the biggest cause of the world becoming so wicked so quickly, prior to Noah's Flood, was because of Satan's trap??? And you evolutionist-Christians say, "Now, that's ridiculous, for all of that story is only symbolical!" Well the evidence is there, hidden in the Bible. It's unbelievable how the Lord has even hidden the <u>real</u> cause of those people's wickedness.

But before we get to the real cause of Noah's Flood, we've got to, at least, convince those of you who are evolution-Christians, that it really was feasible for the Lord to cause a universal flood, a flood so big that it destroyed every human being (except those in the Ark). No we aren't going to try to prove "Creation vs Evolution," but we do want you to, at least, see that the Lord has even hidden the "*feasibleness*" of such a universal flood that would truly destroy *every* human being.

Even unbelieving geologists admit that there really was a massive flood over much of the earth, for there are many evidences, across the entire earth, that it actually occurred. But many of you don't really think that <u>everyone</u> could have been destroyed (except in the Ark); for you look at all of those tall mountains & can't visualize water covering the tops of all of those tall mountains.

You are right that the water never got that deep (that high), for it didn't need to, to cover the highest mountain. You see, the Lord didn't start making any *tall*, jagged mountains until 150+ days after of Noah's Flood began. Those mountains became tall & jagged from God pushing-out the land, to make the Mediterranean Sea, from pushing North & South America over here, from shaping India, etc. But before the flood, all of the mountains were what we would call, "huge hills," probably a little smaller than Mount Zion & the Mount of Olives in Israel (just big hills).

Why Would God Have to Destroy the Whole World in Only 1656 Years?

But what good will it do if you don't believe that God truly destroyed that <u>whole</u> world with a flood??? You need to read this portion if you doubt that, for you will see that the Bible reveals how it truly was feasible for <u>everyone</u> to be destroyed, <u>except</u> those inside the Ark. But, Bible believers, you also need to see this, for the great majority of you have never realized what the Lord did.

The first thing you need to realize is that it had never rained prior to Noah's Flood (Gen 2:5-6). Yes, there were huge (probably thunderhead-type) clouds, without any lightning nor thunder nor rain nor high winds inside the cloud. Why not? Because clouds can't form raindrops (nor hail) without particles of dust inside each droplet of water. Well then, how did the plants & animals & people get water? The water was supplied from fountains/springs within the Garden of Eden, which flowed out from the Garden of Eden (the highest "plateau-like "region" on the earth) & then parted into 4 major rivers (Gen 2:10-14) which flowed out to the land (not to the ocean). The Lord had "terrain-ed" the land so that each of these rivers branched-out into smaller rivers, & then to "rivulets" & then to creeks & then to "creek lets" all over the entire continent (except for the scattered "mountains" (huge hills). And thus, the water soaked into the soil near the rivers & creek lets. The men & animals got their water from the rivers & creeks branching off those rivers. (The people & the animals instinctively knew to not urinate nor "poop" into the streams, for they were drinking from those streams). But that's not all. It was extremely humid back then (3:19a, see vss 8-19), with huge clouds all over the entire ocean & earth. The water in the many streams (& in the soil near the streams) evaporated up into the air. But also the land, including the so-called "mountains," were covered with grass & luxurious

foliage, which also evaporated (transpired) into the air. All of this evaporation, plus the evaporation from the ocean, caused huge clouds, all across the ocean & the earth, none of which could rain (not till the Lord "seeded" the clouds with dust during the Flood). At night time, a huge, heavy dew fell on all of the ground & watered the ground (Gen 2:5-6), including all of the so-called mountains. But it was so humid, night & day, that the plants didn't lose lots of water, like they do today. Also, the top-soil was deep & very absorbent & provided plenty of water for the plant-roots to absorb. So, that's how the trees & plants got their water, if they were on a [Plants & animals were huge, not just dinosaurs, even 40 ft "mountain" or where-ever. (13 m) tall ferns. The remains of humans, as much as 24 feet (8 m) tall, have been repeatedly found in coal for many, many decades. But the atheistic geologists, who don't want to believe in God, keep-on denying it. Fallen man still had some dominion over the animals (Gen 1:26, see vss 24-31). And so, the carnivorous dinosaurs only ate animals, not humans, for they looked-up to humans as far-superior].

Secondly, you need to know

that the Lord only made one, huge continent in the beginning, not several continents like we have today (they were formed near the end of the Flood). That was how the river coming out of the Garden of Eden watered the whole earth. Gen 1:9 says that "the waters" were gathered together into one place, which implies that the land (singular) was also in one place (Gen 1:9, see 1-13), surrounded by the water (vs 10: "seas," plural). Even the evolution-geologists agree that North & South America were once part of Europe & Africa. (Near the end of the Flood, God pushed North & South America over here, pushed-out the Mediterranean Sea, etc.). That huge continent was already made billions of years earlier (probably when the universe was made). You see, the creation story in Gen ch. 1 & 2 is only the story of creating the earth as we know it. If you look carefully at Gen 1:1-8, you will see that the water, & therefore the land underneath, was The continents we have today came from that huge continent. Since already there. our present-day continents have uranium deposits that are billions of years old, that means that-that huge continent had been made billions of years earlier & came from underneath the water, just like There are evidences that imply that that the scriptures say (Gen 1:9, see vss 9-13). continent was also a huge, floating continent (floating a modest distance above the bottom of the ocean, with a monstrous "pocket of air" trapped underneath it, so that it could float. How do we First of all, that compressed-air underneath that continent was needed, in case know? the Lord had to destroy the earth with a flood. If the fountains of the deep were broken-up deep enough, then that pressurized-air would blast dirt up into the sky, thus causing violent rain for 40 days & nights, Obviously, the base of that continent was made out of rigid rock, like the present-day continents are, except without any cracks in it to leak air or water. But that vast pocket only had water prior to creation of the earth (in Gen ch. 1 & ch 2), & thus had been resting on the bottom of the ocean till then. Our guess is that the Lord lifted one end of that huge continent clear out-of the water, & the water in that pocket started falling back into the ocean. Then He started pulling out that continent diagonally, until that entire continent "slipped out-of" the water (diagonally), thus filling the entire pocket with air. He also lifted-off the water on top of that continent from that end to the other end (probably simultaneously), lifting if as-if He were "unzipping" it from one end of the earth to the other. This allowed air to replace the water as it was being lifted (unzipped") off-of the top surface of that continent, all without requiring a huge amount of suction to lift it off. He probably did the same thing to the water under the continent, also "unzipping" it from the continent, thus greatly reducing the amount of force to (diagonally) slip that continent out of the water. All of that water was then poured back-into the ocean where the continent had been taken from. Then the Lord compressed the air in "the pocket" under the continent, to get it to the proper pressure. (Picture a huge, flexible diaphragm "cupping" sufficient air, & then bringing that flexible "diaphragm-cup" up to where the bottom of the pocket is). Then He set that continent on-top of the water & removed the "flexible-diaphragm" (that probably never existed). That continent would now float with a vast amount of compressed air underneath of it.

As you will see, the Lord already knew that sin would one-day arise on this earth & that He would have to destroy the world with a flood, & that Jesus would have to die a gruesome death on the cross. You see, the scriptures say that Jesus was slain from the foundation of the universe (or world, Rev 13:8)). That's also why He made the earth so that He could destroy it with a flood, because He already knew it was going to happen. As you will see, He did it all by foreseeing what would happen, not by forcing anyone to do anything. They all made/make their own choices.

But when God began Noah's Flood,

He broke-up "fountains of the deep." (Since the Tree of Life is now in the midst of the New Jerusalem in Heaven (Rev 22:1-2), we think that the Lord jerked-out the entire Garden of Eden & took it up to Heaven, to save it for us to enjoy when we get there someday. Eating monthly from the Tree of Life is how we will live forever). The ESV says, "all the fountains of the great deep burst forth" (Gen 7:11, see vss 6-12). Apparently, the Lord jerked-out the Garden of Eden so deep that the trapped-air under the continent started **blasting out** of those springs/fountains, blasting-up air & water & dirt high into the sky (causing violent rain to fall, & also causing the continent to gradually sink). Since it had never rained before the Flood (Gen 2:5-6), because there weren't any dust particles in the sky to (every rain-drop needs a dust-particle for it to rain), then the Lord had to supply dust particles to cause Noah's Flood. He did that by jerking-out "all the fountains of the great deep" (vs 11, ESV). This caused Noah's Flood by continually *blasting* dirt up into the sky until the air underneath that continent escaped. When the fountains of the deep burst-forth, they **blasted** dirt particles clear-up into the clouds. That implies that the earth was floating, because if that continent didn't have a pocket of pressurized air beneath it, then there wouldn't be any dirt or water blasting up into the sky. That air underneath that huge continent continued to blast out for 40 days, until the pressure of that escaping air was too weak to shoot-up air & dirt into the sky. Then the violent rain ceased, & it only rained sporadically from then-on. As the air underneath that huge continent was diminishing, it also caused that huge continent to sink deeper, but not too deep, for it then rested on the land underneath (i.e. no longer floating).

And you still say, "Well,

no flood could ever make the water even that high." Oh yes, it could. That's because you don't realize all that God did to design the world in a way that He could destroy all human beings with a universal flood, if necessary. The Lord had to design it in a very special way if He wanted to have that capability if necessary, all without having to create & "un-create" a vast amount of extra water.

We are guessing that those mountains were in the center of that huge continent. That's how all of the water could run out of the Garden of Eden & flow to <u>all</u> of the land on that continent. Yes, surely there were hills & "terrain" all over that continent, but the Lord surely designed the hills to be smaller & smaller near the edges of that continent. Why? Because He did it largely by the water running off. The water near the edges never got as high as it did near the center.

Because <u>most</u> of the rain was dumped near where the fountains of the deep were broken-up. That's where the dust particles were coming from that caused so much rain, from the broken-up fountains basting dirty air (from beneath the continent) & dirty water up to the sky.

So the water on the land kept building-up more & more & more. But it was building-up much faster than it could run-off, because the average slope of the land was small, causing the water to run off <u>very</u> slowly. But also, that vast continent was composed of all of the continents that we now have, plus even more land than that. And so, the rise in height of the water only barely increased the flow, because the average slope was so small & the distance to the edge so far away.

You don't think so??? Then why did it take 150 days (5 months) for even the ark to come to rest??? It was because the water was running-off very, very slowly. And the water was still running off long after that. The Lord didn't even form the mountains around them till 10 months had passed (Gen 8:1-5), & it was still too-wet for them to get out till a whole year later (vss 13-14).

So, can you see that it was feasible for the Lord to cover the highest mountains (near the garden of Eden) by 15 cubits (*more* than 22.5 feet/7 meters, for they were giants back then)???

But where did the Lord get enough

clouds to keep it constantly raining for 40 days? You see, at least two-thirds of the world was "ocean," a vast, huge ocean, at least twice as big as that continent. The clouds weren't just over the land. There was a lot of evaporation from the surface of the ocean (non-salty water, back then), & thus there were also lots of huge clouds over that ocean. So, the Lord just kept bringing more & more clouds from across the ocean, to where the dirt was blasting up into the sky, until the 40 days were completed (one of God's numbers). The Lord kept bringing clouds for rain, so that the highest (so-called) mountain was covered by 15 cubits (the cubit longer back then, for people were taller), thus drowning every human (outside of the Ark).

But how could the water get that high? Wouldn't the water just keep running-off & not build-up? Yes, the water was running-off, for the Lord only used the water that was on this planet. There was so much water that it took 1 year & 10 days for the water to run-off the land & be dry enough for Noah's family to come out of the ark (see Gen 7:11 & 8:14). And yes, the water would build more slowly, because the water was also running-off, but look at how high bad floods can get today, & those rains were nothing compared to that deluge. But there is a third reason why the water got so high. That whole continent was somewhat flat, compared to the elevations & slopes we have today. The highest mountains were near the Garden of Eden, the highest plateau on the entire continent. Water runs-off a lot slower on relatively flat land. But 4th, that continent was absolutely vast in every direction. (All of our present-day continents came from that one, monstrous continent. And so it was thousands & thousands of miles (1,600s of km & 1600s of km) in every direction. That continent was more vast than any continent, today. Since it was such a long distance to the ocean in every direction (& such a shallow slope), the water took months & months & months to run-off. So in answer to your question, yes, it was feasible for the water to get that high. The water-level was probably the highest at the end of the 40-day deluge. The sporadic rain from then-on wasn't sufficient to raise the flood-level any higher after that.

But surely, all of the humans & land-animals had already died, even the longest-necked dinosaurs. They had to resist that constant deluge of rain, with high winds & tidal waves. Even if they were still able to keep their heads out of the water, they died from exhaustion, not able to keep holding-up their heads.

What a sad story, all because they had become so wicked that every imagination of the hearts was only evil, continually (Gen 6:5, see vss 1-5), full of violence (vs 11). How did those people become a lot more corrupt than people even are today?

That's why we went to the trouble to convince you that Noah's Flood really was feasible, because it really did happen. The Lord may not have done everything the way we pictured it, but still, it actually happened.

But the Lord has hidden in the Bible the real reason why He had to destroy them—because of Satan's trap that we've been discussing.

(New, Dec. 21. 2020) Enhanced in many places, from here-onward:

Early Bible Clues of the Real Cause of the Flood

Everyone thinks that Abel was Adam & Eve's second son (first Cain, & then Abel), but Abel's name indicates otherwise: (After all, the Bible had to leave-out a vast number of details). There were many men on the earth when Cain & Abel were fully grown. Cain said so when God

confronted him about killing Abel (Gen 4:14b, see vss 1-15). So, it wouldn't be surprising if Abel were the 10th son of Adam & Eve (e.g. 30 years younger than Cain, or even a later son), for Adam & Eve were very-busy having children in order to populate the earth.

We'd guess that Adam saw (early-on) where the world was headed. Apparently, <u>not even one</u> of Adam's children (so far) were obeying God. Surely, that is why his so-called "2nd son" was named "Abel." Abel's name is actually the <u>exact</u>- (not modified) Hebrew-word, meaning "emptiness" or "vanity" i.e. "<u>futility!</u>" We think Adam named him "futility" because it seemed futile to raise any more children.

Apparently, Adam had seen every-single child going astray <u>before</u> Abel was even born. After seeing, say 20 (or more) boys & girls defiantly disobeying God (i.e. 40 years later), it wouldn't be surprising for Adam to be so discouraged that he didn't even want to try to raise any more children. So apparently, Adam already felt that it was in-vain to even raise children, except that he still wished for, at least, <u>one</u> son that would faithfully follow the Lord like He did. "But lo & behold," the very son that he named "Futility" (i.e. "why even try") became Adam's <u>first</u> son to walk in the ways of God! Who knows? Maybe Abel chose to follow God, because of Adam explaining why he named him "Futility." Perhaps "Futility" wanted to cheer up his dad & found it rewarding to please both his dad & God. "Futility" must have really been faithful, for even Jesus called Abel "righteous" (Matt 23:35, NKJV, see vss 31-36) That's why Cain killed Abel "because his works were evil, & his brother's righteous" (1 John 3:12b, see vss 10-12).

"Well, maybe that's just coincidence???" If you will read the next sections with an open mind, you will see that an extreme minority followed God prior to Noah's Flood). Several months earlier (before discovering that there were 7 (actually 8) "don'ts" that are not in the Bible), we had already extracted the following, God's hidden "Bible-clues" on what the pre-Flood people were doing, that caused the Flood. It should "blow your minds" to see what the pre-Flood people were doing, & <u>why</u> they were doing that:

But before leaving "Cain & Abel," we need to mention a key "clue" on how the world got bad so quickly. Why didn't God severely punish Cain, perhaps even put him to death, for murdering his brother? We always thought that it was because there were so few people on the earth. No, that's not the case, for there were <u>a lot of</u> grown men on the earth when Cain killed Abel, probably a lot more than 20 fully-grown sons (Gen 4:13-14, see vss 8-16). (It doesn't say when Abel was murdered), Cain thought that every man that finds him would kill him, for he already guessed what he deserved (Gen 9:6, see vss 1-7). Yes, the Lord caused the earth to bring-forth less abundantly, but it is a super-small punishment for murder-for no man had ever murdered—and not just somebody, but even one of his very own brothers. This was a serious crime that no-one had ever done. And yet, God only punished him by causing the ground to not be as fruitful (the mark set on him was to protect him, not to punish him, Gen 4:10-16)). Why didn't the Lord, at least, severely-punish him, if not put him to death??? You will find the answer in the next section. "No punishment" for Cain "opened the door" for "sinners" (not God) to set the trend toward "no punishment at all" for the worst sin of all-murder. But don't blame God for the pre-Flood world becoming so wicked. As you will see, it was the pre-Flood people that decided that. Their evil desires persuaded their hearts to let people go unpunished for murder. Think about it as you read the next section, for the Lord would never have set-up the opportunity for the "no punishment for murder" if Satan hadn't set-up the trap of "only one spouse."

"Not Punishing Cain," Reveals That Satan Had Tampered With God's Commandments

In fact, you may as well know that the fact that God didn't actually punish Cain, <u>proves</u> that God's commandments had been tampered with. How? God <u>doesn't change</u> on moral laws (what's right & what's wrong, Mal 3:6, see vss 1-12).

As soon as Noah & his family came out of the Ark to start a new life, the Lord now <u>specified</u> that any beast or any man who kills anyone, was to be put to death (Gen 9:5-6, see vss 1-7). That was the "price" to pay for murdering somebody—at the expense of their own life. This reveals what God's standard was for punishing a murderer—the death penalty.

Yes, "mercy-people," it's OK to delay the death penalty, so that the murderer has plenty of opportunity to repent, & in certain <u>rare</u> cases, for the President or the Governor to legitimately pardon a murderer. But, this instruction of putting to death a murderer, was never nailed to the Cross, nor any other commandment that involves "right & wrong" (Matt 5:17-19).

Many of you have tried to "twist" Matt. 5:17-19, but there is no-way to get-around verse 18 (ESV): "For truly, I say to you, until heaven & earth pass away, not an iota, not a dot, will pass from the law till all is accomplished."

Yes, there <u>was</u> \underline{a} law that truly was nailed to the cross (Col 2:12-17), but Jesus makes it clear that He is talking about the <u>moral</u>-commandments (Matt 5:19, ESV), not all of those ordinances & sacrifices & rituals, but rather the moral commandments: "Therefore, whoever relaxes one of the least of these <u>commandments</u> & teaches others to do the same will be called least in the kingdom of heaven..." (vs 18, emphasis supplied). So, Jesus was/is saying that the moral commandments <u>still</u> <u>apply</u>.

Since heaven & earth haven't yet passed away, then Jesus makes it clear that <u>not even</u> <u>"an</u> <u>iota"</u> (vs 18) <u>of any moral-commandment</u> has changed in the slightest (vs 19, Matt. 5)).

Yes, all of that Old Covenant stuff (ordinances, regulations, etc., that were <u>added-on</u> to the commandments (Gal 3:19. see vss 15-22), was nailed to the cross (see Col 2:13-17), but the <u>moral</u> commandments were <u>never</u> nailed to the cross, like some have thought.

Now, surely God's instruction to punish a murderer by death is a moral-commandment. For if they aren't punished it affects society, because many will murder if there is no punishment. Punishing murderers helps to preserve society from at least one form of wickedness. Now, keep in mind that it doesn't mean that God cannot forgive that person, & that is why it is wise to delay the execution a decent amount of time, So that a person can come to his/her senses & truly repent.

But in our day, the government isn't necessarily run by Christians. And so we cannot make it happen, but still, we can encourage the government to punish murders with death. And surely, that will help <u>a little</u> (not a lot) toward a more "civilized society."

The death-penalty will on help a little. What people also need is to find Jesus & to follow Him. And that is one of the purposes of this website. When this message takes-hold, many wicked people will turn from their wicked-ways & loyally follow Jesus (with the help of the Holy Spirit). Just wait & see. You will hardly believe how many people, that presently <u>hate</u> God will love Him from then, on.

And since God does not change His moral commandments, then the Lord <u>would have</u> had Cain put to death, like He <u>later</u> commanded after the Flood (in Gen. 9:5-6), but there surely must have been a reason why the Lord didn't at that time:

This is proof that Satan <u>did</u> tamper with God's commandments, because the Lord <u>would have</u> commanded Adam & the grown men to put Cain to death—and to also to do that to any other person in the futures that would murder. Had the Lord punished Cain with death, the whole world (as will see below) would have been a lot slower in becoming wicked. For there were already plenty of men on the earth, & it would have made people a lot more hesitant to murder someone.

And thus (as you will see), the world wouldn't have become so terribly wicked if Cain had been put to death. The biggest problem before Noah's Flood was "violence" (murders, etc., see Gen 6:11b, see vss 5-14) before the Flood. Had God punished Cain with death, this would have *greatly delayed* Noah's Flood, perhaps delayed the Flood for several thousand years more (perhaps, never).

If Satan hadn't messed-up God's original commandments on

sex & marriage, then Cain would have been put to death for murdering his brother, just like the Lord instructed *later*. This was a serious crime that <u>no one</u> had <u>ever</u> done, nor did <u>anyone</u> ever murder again, till <u>5 generations</u> afterwards (of Cain's descendants, Gen 4:17-24).

We have thought that God spared Cain because there were so few people, but we already showed you that-that was false. But even if there were only 2 extra people (besides Adam & Eve) on the entire earth, God would have had Cain put to death, for that was "the price" that a person had to pay for murdering someone. You see, it would not be any problem for Adam & Eve, for they could still raise many more children, & everything could still go-on & progress.

No, the reason why the Lord spared Cain, was "to set-up" evil people (5 generations later) to not establish <u>any</u> punishment <u>at all</u> for murdering someone. Lamech (in Gen 4:19-24) took advantage of God's "supposed" mercy on Cain & threatened anyone from punishing him: "If Cain shall be avenged seven-fold," if anyone were to take vengeance on him, "then Lamech 77-fold."

Had the Lord put Cain to death, then Lamech would probably have been put to death also, for the Lord surely would have given that instruction to them for any future murders. This would have stopped the tradition that Lamech started (of "no punishment at all" for murder), but it wouldn't have stopped the wickedness that was continually increasing. So, the Lord decided to let the people have what they wanted (no punishment), so that the pre-Flood world wouldn't drag on & on for several thousands of years. It was better to start with the post-Flood world that wasn't nearly as prosperous.

But How Could the Lord Not Punish Cain in Order to Let Them Become Wicked???

Note that we said, "to <u>Let</u> them..." The Lord didn't <u>desire</u> them to become wicked. He just "opened the door" for them to have what they wanted. There are 2 reasons:

1) Believe it or not, the biggest reason that the Lord spared Cain from death was for <u>our</u> sake at the end of time, , a) to reassure us these false-commandments—especially "only one spouse—are not from Him, for (as you will see below) "only one spouse" was what they primarily fell from, & b) that we might see where evil eventually leads: "that every intention of the thoughts of" their hearts becoming "only evil continually" (Gen 6:5 ESV, see vss 5-8). Is that hard to believe? Read-on & you for yourself! that it really is true, that sin never stops getting worse & worse!

2) Because the Lord saw that things were so prosperous before the Flood that people didn't have much desire to follow the Lord. They had an abundance of food & didn't have to work hard to get it. (Those are two reasons why they were frequently "partying" & had too much idle time like Sodom & Gomorrah did ("abundance of idleness," Ezek 16:49, see vss 48-50). Even sinners were huge & healthy (the remains of healthy giants in coal deposits as much as 18-24 ft. (5.5-7 m) tall) & lived hundreds of years without much physical problems (for their food was far-more nutritious than our food is today). Everyone was a lot smarter & felt very-independent & felt free to chose which things they would follow & which they would ignore. The Lord saw that virtually no-one was following the Lord & that very few in the future would. Also, He saw that the world wold eventually become just as wicked-thousands of years later, of course-as it was in Noah's day. So, God just decided to let them become so wicked that He would have to destroy So, He let them have what they (& Satan) wanted (unlimited-freedom to do them. whatever they wanted-even to murder if they wanted to! No, the Lord didn't want that, but He foresaw (predestined) that there would be a huge harvest at the end of time (in our day, e.g. Rev 7:14, see vss 9-17) that would offset vastly-more than the few people (if any) that He could have saved before the Flood.

But the people saw-through the "facade" of Lamech's threat of "77 fold vengeance." If it hadn't been for their careless concern about Lamech killing someone, then they might have done

something about it. But because they didn't act on it, then it became a <u>custom</u> to not punish <u>anyone</u> <u>at all</u> for murdering someone! That is why this account of Lamach's threat was put in the Bible, to give us a clew to why the Pre-Flood became so wicked so soon. (The Lord predestined for these stories to be put in the Bible, so that we could figure out what actually happened back then).

That was "the key that opened the door to" the world to be destroyed within only 1656 years (total), by Noah's Flood. Read on. You'll see <u>why</u>, "forbidding Plural-Spouses" (actually, all 7 false "don'ts") led to the statement in Gen. 6:5 (ESV, see vss 1-8) that says: "The LORD saw that the wickedness of man was great in the earth, & that every intention of the thoughts of his heart was only evil continually."

Are You Now Ready to See the Clues on <u>Why</u> God had to Destroy Them?

Gen. 4:1 to 7:10 reveals that the world became wicked so rapidly that God had to destroy it only 1656 years later to Noah's Flood (Add-up the birth-ages of each father of Noah's ancestors, etc.). From the very beginning, only a tiny minority followed God, & later, only one family-line, & at the very last, only one family (Noah's, Gen 5:1 to 6:8).

Now, Adam lived more than half of that time-span (930 years). But Lamech, Noah's father (a different "Lamech" who was a righteous person) was born 56 years before Adam died, & thus knew Adam very-well. But the Lord had Lamech die very-young (777 years old, not God's "wrap-up" number). only 5 years before the Flood! Since Lamech would only make it to 782 years, the Lord decided to let Lamech's years end with God's numbers "777."

Why did the world get so wicked so quickly??? It was because of no punishment at all for murder, but we didn't know that till 4 or 5 years ago. We wondered why the world had to be destroyed so quickly (1656 years). How could they get so wicked so soon (e.g. Gen 6:5,11-12)?

After pondering over this & examining related scriptures, we began "piecing together" the scriptural-record. As you surely know by now, the world was/is predestined, just like the Bible repeatedly says¹. (Impossible? We thought so, too, because God doesn't force anyone to be saved or lost. See footnote to see how science reveals important clues).

This is how the Lord did it, our oversimplified,

human picture of how He <u>could</u> have done it: God pictured every atom, actually every particle of every atom in the whole universe that Jesus would be making. (Impossible??? Apparently not, for Jesus is holding together every particle of every atom in the whole universe, & has been from the beginning of time. Well, if Jesus can hold all of that together, then surely God could picture far more than all that.

Then He simply followed what would happen until He reached a critical point. Then He surely went to the trouble to foresee "*every possibility*" of what *could* happen from that critical point, onward (e.g. for a person headed toward an accident, He could design it to let that person miss the accident (by making some small modifications), or just get moderately hurt (by reducing the modifications), or get severely hurt, or become a quadriplegic, or die, etc.).

There were/are many choices, depending on what would be best for that particular person. The same thing is true with answering a person's prayer: not only a) yes, b) no, c) wait awhile, but also d) partial answers, e.g. partial healing through natural-methods, etc. Every prayer that was ever prayed in faith, was already answered by one of these many ways—long before Jesus even <u>started</u>

¹ Science has verified that something is holding together every atom. Otherwise, they would explode. Jesus is holding every atom in the whole universe together, Col. 1:17b: "& in Him all things hold together" (ESV). Even scientists (who believe in God, but not atheistic scientists who refuse to believe the evidence), scientists have concluded that there is no nuclear force that holds atoms together, for there is no nuclear force that huge that could hold the atom together, especially for huge atoms. But obviously, something *is* holding them together, and the Bible says that "that-something" *is Jesus!* (Col 1:17b, see vss 15-20].

making any of the universe, before He had made the first star or first angel or anything.

At each & every critical point, the Lord chose which "possibility" would be predestined, based on what would happen in the future—all without forcing anyone. Surely God had much-faster ways of finding what He wanted—far beyond our human comprehension.

If necessary, He even went back to the beginning of the universe, or back to the beginning of the Earth (as we now know it), or back to when Noah's Flood would be ending, when the Lord would be burying all of the dead plants & animals (to make coal & oil & natural gas), & when He would be remaking the continents & the oceans & the lakes & the mountains on our world.

God kept making modifications on what would be made (or formed) at each of the three "beginnings"—until "the story" of the universe was *exactly* what He wanted it to be (for the sake of each & every person & angel in the whole universe. Any of these modifications of these various "beginnings" would *greatly* change the story that He was foreseeing.

He kept on foreseeing what would happen with each of the various possibilities at each & every critical point, picking the best choice (for the sake of each & every person & for the sake of Eternity), & then proceeding further down in time—all the way to when Satan & his hosts would be cast into the Lake of Fire.

And yes, even Lucifer (who later became Satan) was predestined. Everything that he would do was predestined—in a way that he, himself, was totally to blame, not God. But why did God predestine this great rebellion that has greatly troubled this world?

No, the Lord doesn't like rebellion & doesn't want rebellion, but **if** He didn't plan the <u>rebellion</u>, then there would <u>always</u> be the <u>possibility</u> to rebellion sometime, somewhere in "never-ending Eternity." But God has designed the story to end-up thoroughly-convincing to the whole world to <u>never</u> let rebellion <u>ever</u> start again (Nah 1:9, see whole book)! The Lord designed this rebellion that we are in, so that the <u>whole universe</u> will be eternally <u>secure</u> forever & <u>ever & ever !!!</u>

The Lord may have even had to "back-track" all the way back to the terrain of the continents & start again, in order to get what He needed. But in thoroughly designing all of this by seeing what would happen, the Lord will not miss by an electron on what will happen—all without forcing any human being—all the way to the very end (all the way to the beginning of Eternity).

Did you "catch that?" The Lord didn't just predestine by outwardly seeing how people would react & live. No, He predestined <u>every</u> electron & <u>every</u> atom in <u>each</u> person's body, so that He would never miss by even an electron in their body, on what would happen.

But I recently discovered that the Lord did a much more thorough job than I was picturing. I was thinking that the Lord was designing for what would happen at the end—to convince all of us that sin & rebellion are out of the question—so that sin would never arise again (Nah 1:9, see whole book).

Yes, that was the most important reason why God was predestining the universe. But at each step of the way, & with each & every person's future at stake, the Lord also was thoughtful of each & every person that would be involved—both bad persons & good persons. In 1 Thess. 5:18, it says, "In everything give thanks; for this is the will of God in Christ Jesus for you." (NKJV).

What that verse is actually saying, is that even the worst thing in the whole world should happen to you, it is really for your best good. Any of the other possibilities would have been even worse for you, had you been spared from that terrible event. Jesus will show those of us who make it to Heaven, what would have happened, if that terrible event had not happened.

After seeing what would have happened, we will gladly thank Jesus for that terrible event. God went to the trouble to be thoughtful for every individual, both "good" & "bad," so that no one can reproach Him for anything, "so that every mouth shall be stopped & the whole world may be accountable to God..." (Rom 3:19, see vss 9-20). Not even the wicked will be able to reproach Him, for surely the Lord will show them what *would have* happened as well—so that they cannot

reproach Him. .

What a wonderful God we serve! But what else would God be??? If you had been God, wouldn't you want the whole universe to appreciate you & to love you & to call you the most wonderful God that we could ever *imagine!!!* Yes, you would, & you *might have* even predestined everything *exactly* as God did!

That is why 1 Thess. 5:18 calls for us to thank Him *in advance*, acknowledging (in faith, trusting) that each terrible event that happens to us was really for our best good. Since we don't know what all the other possibilities were, each of us has to go by faith, trusting that it really was for your best good.

Cont,: The Predistined-Clues on Why the Pre-Flood World Became so Wicked:

Since every electron is predestined, then the Lord surely foresaw our question & hid the needed clues in the *Bible*, so that we could figure out what really happened to cause the world ro become so wicked so quickly. This is what we fitted-together:

Gen. 6:5 & verse 11 say that the earth was utterly wicked, filled with violence & every imagination of their hearts was only evil continually. But Jesus told us that the last-days would be like the days of Noah (Matt 24:36-39). Jesus described the activities of the people in Noah's day as "eating & drinking, marrying & giving in marriage" (vs 38, ESV & NKJV).

Now, I always <u>thought</u> that Jesus meant that they were just carrying on normal activities, <u>but</u> Jesus was actually describing their <u>problem</u>. Those people loved to celebrate at feasts & weddings.

There was plenty of food & it didn't take a lot of work to obtain (Like Sodom that had to be destroyed because of "abundance of idleness," Ezek 16:49, see vss 48-50)., for the earth was filled with violence, & many people were constantly being killed off. But the part that I never noticed was that Jesus implied that marrying & giving in marriage was connected to feasting,

Now, I've read that verse hundreds of times & never saw it before. Now, those people lived hundreds of years (900+ years² for the sons of God, & surely at least, a 3- or 4-hundreds year life-span for evil people). So how was it that they were frequently marrying & giving in marriage??? Yes that is how, by divorcing & marrying someone <u>else</u>.

That apparently happened repeatedly early-on, & that is one reason why they left God, because Adam (not God) told them that they could only have one spouse. Surely they married-off their children at puberty like the Jews often did (to "eliminate the problem" of premarital sex, Mal 2:13-16). For they didn't know anything about the drawbacks of marrying them off at puberty. And of course, Adam & Eve chose their mate for them, for they were too-young to choose wisely. And of course, even Adam & Eve didn't have too many available children to choose from, for most of the older ones were already married & weren't allowed another spouse. So, that made things difficult, too.

And when those young, married teenagers matured, their "tastes for women/men" began to "mature" also. Invariably, they saw somebody else that they wanted much-more—who was veryoften, somebody else's spouse. So, they boldly disobeyed "God".(actually disobeyed Adam), left their spouse & married the "better one," who also left his/her spouse.

But it didn't stop after they divorced the first one & married the 2^{nd} one. They kept on repeating this process every time that they lusted after someone "better." That is why Jesus said that they

² Is 900+ years life-span impossible? No, for the remains in coal reveal that everything was huge back then. The soil was so fertile, because it had never rained yet (Gen 2:4-6). So the water soluble minerals remained in the soil, that are needed for growing, didn't "leech away." Plus the soil was loaded with ingredients that retained moisture & allowed the soil to "breathe." So, the people before the Flood had nutrition far beyond anything we humans have today. That's why the faithful ones lived, typically, more than 900 years.

were marrying & giving in marriage, because most of them married many times during their lifespan.

"Well then, why didn't they do like

people do today & just live together???" If you remember back 30 or 40 years ago, most of the divorcing Christians tried to get married to their paramour. But about that time, many boy-friends & girl-friends started "just living together," rather than hiding it. Then about 5 or 10 years later most divorcing Christians also started "just living together," rather than marrying a second time.

But also, many unbelieving-rich (or famous) people (today) don't stop with just one girl-friend. In our day they live with a bunch of girlfriends, & are not married to any of them. Why didn't they just do that back then???

There are 3 reasons:

1) They still wanted to respect Adam. Since Adam had told them to only have one wife, they heeded him & limited it to only one wife—at a time. They liked that part (only one wife), just as the Gentiles in Bible days, who visited temple prostitutes, etc. But that wasn't the only reason:

2) Early on, the world was hardly populated at all & food was abundant. They loved to have fun together & had lots of feasts with all of their friends. (Jesus said "eating & drinking" as well as "marrying & giving in marriage"). And one of their most favorite feasts were marriage feasts, especially if they were the bride & groom. It is still that way in Israel & in the nations in the Middle-East. They celebrate for many hours & some, even for many days. And so, they didn't want to miss-out on celebrating their new "marriage" with their friends. So, they just chose to marry, but without making *any* commitment of "till death do you part."

3) Satan also made-sure that they wouldn't want to keep their present wife, while adding-on another wife. Adam had made it clear that they weren't to have more than one wife, & they didn't want to disobey him. But that's not all. When Satan moves upon a person's heart to lust for something, he also reinforces that lust by moving upon that person to despise & loathe what he/she already has. That makes them lust all the more for what they want to have. That's why the children of Israel weren't just tired of manna. The Devil moved upon them to loathe that manna with disgust (Num 11:4-6, see vss 4-35), so that they would lust all the more to have Well, Satan used that same technique to get the people to loathe their flesh to eat. spouse & lust for "someone else" Their spouse, that they once loved so much that they married him/her, they now despised & looked at him/her with disgust, So the Devil doublemoved upon the people back then (& in our day as well) to lust even more for that person that they now long to have. That way, Satan made sure that they wouldn't just add-on an additional spouse, but would rashly get rid of their spouse & get married the one that they now They wanted to get married & live together, especially since they weren't lusted to have. making any commitment to stay together, for they wanted to celebrate their new marriage with their friends. Even their parents "joined in" by repeatedly giving their daughter to the next groom.

Later-on, it became worse:

Lamech (the disobedient one, the first one to have 2 wives) became the 2nd murderer & pronounced a threat, "If Cain's revenge is 7-fold, then Lamech's is 77-fold." (Gen 4:24, see vss 19-24). From that time-on, no-one punished anyone for murder—not till after the world had been destroyed by the Flood (Gen 9:6, see vss 1-7).

How can you know? Because God was giving us clues in the scriptures on what happened. If Lamech had been punished, then the Lord would have said so, so that we wouldn't come to this conclusion of "no punishment for murder."

(If God had (or, had-had) Cain severely punished, then Lamech wouldn't have gotten-away "Scott-free." But even with God not punishing Cain, they still <u>could have</u> (collectively) put a stop to Lamech's threat, so that everyone would really hesitate to murder someone from then. But they

didn't. Why? They probably didn't think about where this would lead. But it was also, because of their own evil hearts, thinking that they might also want to murder some-one someday & not be punished. No, the Lord wasn't to blame for that. The Lord just set-it-up to make it easy for Lamech—and for everyone else—to follow their evil hearts. If they had wanted greater peace & security, they would have (collectively) punished Lamech for what he did. But since they didn't punish Lamech, then they didn't punish the next one, nor the next one, nor...

God waited till <u>after</u> the Flood to tell them to punish murderers (Gen 9:6, for this is the <u>only</u> record of such an instruction), for the Lord was giving us (today) clues on how it happened so quickly. That, again, is proof, for the Lord would have told them to punish Lamech, to put a stop to this "no punishment," & it that clue would have been in the scriptures—regardless of whether they heeded it or not. So that proves that the Lord didn't tell them prior to the Flood. In other words, the Lord <u>planned</u> for them to "self-destruct" through wickedness by not commanding them —all without God being to blame.

Since the Lord predestined everything without forcing anyone, then you can be sure that God waited till <u>after</u> the Flood, to give this commandment (just like the Bible says), He did it for the <u>very-same</u> reason as He kept totally silent on Part 2) until now: to catch Satan in his own trap at the end of time. Frequently changing spouses by murdering (without even being punished at all) would cause the world to become extremely wicked very quickly.

So the world became worse & worse, but didn't become utterly wicked until the days of Noah. The Lord didn't tell Noah to build the Ark (Noah's Ark) until he was 480 years old, & it took 120 years for Noah to build it (the Flood began in his 600th year, Gen 6:3-8, &7:6, see 6:1 to 7:6).

So as time went-on, people became more & more wicked. Gen. 6:5b says that eventually, every imagination of their hearts was only evil, continually. So, rather than experiencing the pain (from their former spouse's possible anger, hatred & even revenge) from divorcing them & marrying someone else, the majority simply started murdering their spouses, women (as well as men), murdering their own husband (when asleep, so that there wasn't much struggle). Then they quickly got married to the one that they lusted for.

Now, there were obviously many other kinds of murders going on as well, but "murdering in order to marry someone else" was obviously a very-common problem. But apparently they didn't just murder & change spouses once or twice, but apparently every "few" (surely less than every 50) years, for even the wicked lived hundreds of years (900+ years for the sons of God). And it wasn't just a few of them that were wicked—most of them—for murdering their own spouse gradually made "*every* imagination of their heart" was "only evil continually!"

As time went on, they started re-marrying faster & faster. We'd guess that as soon as they murdered their spouse & married the next one, they <u>both</u> realized that <u>the same thing</u> was going to happen <u>one</u> or the <u>other</u>. The question would have been, "Which of us two will get murdered next?" That inspired both of them to find somebody as <u>quickly</u> as possible, <u>before</u> their spouse would find someone.

And so neither of them waited very long to find someone else, lest he/she become the next victim. So, we would guess that this "murder to marry" was as soon as one of them could find someone else to marry. (Wow! That's the price of sin! "Sooner or later, what you do, eventually comes back to you!"). Can you now see how that every imagination of their heart became only evil continually?

If they had only known that God approves of adding-on a spouse by carefully courting, then God wouldn't have had-to destroy them till thousands of years later, perhaps/probably never.

You ask, "When Adam Saw Them Going Astray,

why Didn't He Correct His Teachings?" We are guessing that Adam realized, early-on, that they had made a mistake in making the sex & marriage commandments stricter than what the Lord had

told them. And so, Adam <u>probably</u> asked God if he should now-start teaching what he was <u>supposed</u>-to. If he did ask that, then the Lord would have told him "No," for as the Lord prophesied (Ps 9:15-16, Ps 7:14-16), Satan will get caught at the end of time, in his very-own trap that he had made to persuade human beings to sin & rebel. Surely the Lord answered Adam something like that, to assure him that it was best to not change it, but rather, to let the Devil (& his hosts) to be caught in his (their) own trap.

"That's an Interesting Conclusion, But is That What Really Happened?"

Now, some of you may wonder if that was what basically happened, for there is <u>some</u> room for doubt (not really, for surely, if you have any faith at all, then you can now see that God, not only said so, but was also capable of predestining the position of <u>every</u> atom & <u>every</u> electron in <u>every</u> person's body at <u>every</u> instant of time, <u>all</u> by just planning <u>everything</u> that would <u>cause</u> these things to happen).

But let me ask you, why did the Lord place all of these clues in various places in the Bible, if it wasn't that the Lord was answering our prayers & questions (for our sake), that we might realize (in our day) what basically caused the utter-wickedness of the pre-Flood people???

For it's obvious from Genesis, that Adam commanded them to only have one spouse, for even Cain's family only had only one wife (at a time) till the 5th generation of Cain's descendants (Gen 4:17-24). By then, there was a huge number of people on the earth, for the great majority of Eve's children already had great-great grandchildren, of whom also already had many grandchildren, of whom also already had children.

The Lord hid these clues in the Bible to wake us up (at the end of time), that Satan really did mess-up God's commandments on sex & marriage. That is the real reason-why God <u>didn't</u> punish Cain at all, to <u>allow</u> the <u>people</u> to establish "no punishment at all for murder." Once this fact was established—in combination with the 8 (10) false commandments—and with Satan subliminally moving them to want to do wicked things—it <u>quickly</u> brought-down the pre-Flood world (1656 years). The <u>people</u> (not the Lord) decided to not punish Lamech (the 2nd murderer), which opened the flood-gates toward destruction.

The Lord foresaw that He would hardly have any success in reaching the people before the Flood. Plus He saw that the world would eventually become just as wicked, anyway, if He let "the story drag out" thousands of years longer.

So, "He opted to" just <u>let</u> the pre-Flood world quickly "drown" in its own wickedness by: 1) <u>not</u> correcting the 8 (10) false-commandments, & also 2) by <u>not</u> punishing Cain. Why? The Lord did that in order to catch Satan in his very-own trap—thousands of years later, in our day. For these 8 (10) have <u>always</u> caused—in combination with Satan taking advantage of people's emotional-desires—they have moved great-multitudes to either give-in to sin or to rebel, all the way down through the ages, all the way to our day.

That is how important "<u>our day</u>" is to to the Lord, for the Lord has predestined us (in our day) to crush the serpent's (Satan's) head (Gen 3:15, see vss 1-15) & thus finish the work of salvation on earth. (For Satan being cast into the Lake of Fire is the last major event before Eternity begins).

The Lord let that pre-Flood world plunge-into wickedness, in order to save this discovery of "the 8 (10)" till our day, in order to catch Satan in his-own trap at the end of time. The Lord did that so that we might experience the *great contrast* between" the old morality" & the Lord's *intended* morality—so that we might starting walking with God, much like Enoch walked with God (Gen 5:24, see vss 21-24).

How Does God's Kingdom Come?

Our discovery of this, sets-up the circumstances for God to "bruise/crush Satan under our feet"

(Rom 16:20) not too long from now. For it reveals that Satan was able to make-use of these 8 (10) false commandments, in order to get the pre-Flood world utterly wicked. Plus it gives us "another clue" on how the Devil has continued to make use of these false-commandments to get our <u>post</u>-Flood world wicked (down through the generations, but especially in our day.

The wickedness in our world is now diminishing, for Satan is also being <u>slowly</u> shut-down (so that we can soon have great success in taking the Gospel to "the lost"). But that "great success" with "the lost" will <u>only happen</u> if we start exposing these 8 (10) false teachings & start teaching a <u>new</u> way of life.

By the time that we finish taking the Gospel to the <u>whole</u> world (with these new teachings), then the wickedness of the world will <u>almost</u> be non-existent (also because of Satan being shut-down). Yes, we are talking about the <u>whole</u> world becoming Christian (except for an insignificant minority). For Buddhism, Hinduism & Islam, etc. will vanish (for Satan will no longer be allowed to keep these false religions going).

Yes, the Bible "hints" that the whole world will become Christian, for when the Devil is (later) let loose to do the Antichrist he will gain authority "over every tribe & people & language & nation" (Rev 13:7b, ESV, see vss 5-8), in other words, the entire world. The only way that the Antichrist can do that, is if the entire world is of the same religion (Christianity of some sort). So the Bible does "hint" that the entire world (except for an insignificant minority) will become Christian of some sort.

But thirdly (with Satan out of the way & with these new teachings established), then the wicked people will put away their wickedness & start loyally following God. And not only they, but we Christians also, will have a lot more success in overcoming sin & will be far-more faithful than we have ever been (up till then).

We have always thought that God's kingdom comes when Jesus comes in the clouds of glory and it does! But the Bible reveals that the Lord's "kingdom" will have already come before then. For God's kingdom comes when Satan can no longer accuse God's people, i.e. can no longer call the Lord's people his followers (Rev 12:10-11, see vss 7-12. But if you will read vs 11 before reading vs 10, then you can see that God's kingdom comes when Satan can no longer (legitimately) accuse many of the Lord's people.

Yes, Satan's "head" is partially "bruised/crushed" at that time (Rom 16:20). Yes, <u>God</u> is the one that bruises/crushes him, but He uses "<u>our</u> feet" to <u>do</u> it! (Read on). Note in verses 12 & onward, that this kingdom begins <u>before</u> Satan is let-loose to do the work of the Antichrist!

And yes, people, there will be "a rapture" that will be fulfilled <u>twice</u> (many things are fulfilled twice) during the that we take the Gospel to the world. That 2^{nd} fulfillment will take <u>everyone</u> who <u>gets ready</u>, even if it is billions of people (Jesus promised it in Rev 3:10, see vss 7-13).

Yes, that so-called "rapture" is even prophesied in the Bible. The 144,000 will be taken to Heaven <u>before</u> "the harvest" (before Jesus comes in the clouds of glory, Rev 14:4b & then vss 1-3, context: vss 1-5). Since the 2^{nd} fulfillment doesn't have any restrictions on who, or how many, then as many as get-ready (through God's enabling gifts) can be taken to Heaven. And yes, it happens <u>before</u> the 2^{nd} fulfillment of the three angels' messages in verses 6-13.

(continue on next page)

But <u>who</u> is it that will take those 3 messages??? It will be those who are left behind, because they decided "to relax" & thus did not cooperate with the Lord in order to get ready. Yes, people, you can get ready & meet the conditions of the 144,000³, for the requirements are not what you think they are (see footnote).

Yes, the time of the Antichrist will be the worst period of time that the world has <u>ever</u> seen. But even from that terrible period of time, God wins all who die as martyrs, plus He also wins the "great multitude that no man could number" (Rev 7:9-17). How do we know?

Many of us "oldsters" didn't know that that great multitude that no man could number, will come out of the Antichrist (*after* he is exposed) when the Lord calls them out (Rev 18:4, see vss 4-8). Why didn't we know? Because the KJV (which many Christians were using back then) left out the word "the" in the verse that says, "...These are the ones coming out of <u>the</u> great tribulation...." (Rev 7:14b, see vss 7-17).

<u>The</u> great tribulation is "Armageddon (Rev 16:16, see vss 1-21) which happens during the 7 last plagues, just before Jesus comes in the clouds of glory. So that great multitude truly does come out of the Antichrist, out of every tribe & nation & tongue & people. Zechariah says that they will be one-third of the population of the entire world (Zech 13:8-9). So even from the Antichrist, God will have great success.

Now, isn't this surprising that the Lord would have such great success at the very end of time??? Didn't Jesus say, "Strive to enter through the narrow door, for many, I tell you, will seek to enter & will not be able." (Luke 13:24, ESV see vss 22-30? Similarly, "For the gate is narrow & the way is hard that leads to life, & those who find it are few." (Matt 7:14, see vss 13-14)? Then how is it that such a large percentage will have eternal life, both in the 2 so-called "raptures" & also at the end of time?

Yes, it has always been true only a small percentage will receive eternal life—up till now. But that has been because of so many falling from these 8 (10) false-commandments. But with these new teachings, many more of those who are trying to follow Jesus will then be successful.

No, they don't earn their way to Heaven, nor do they necessarily-lose eternal life by slipping & falling, for we are saved by the blood of Jesus (e.g. Eph 1:7, see vss 3-14, Heb 9:12-14).

The reason why so many have lost eternal-life in the past (who were seeking to be justified by Christ) was because they kept hanging-on to their sin, instead of repenting & putting that particular sin completely away (Matt 7:21-23).

Oh yes, they believe that they have repented from that particular sin hundreds of times, but Jesus doesn't count it as repentance. Because they have never given-up their love for that sin (Luke 14:26-27 & 33, see vss 25-33) & keep-on coming back to that very-same sin.

Because they have never latched-hold of Jesus' redeeming power <u>to cleanse us from sin</u> (1 John 1:9, 2 Cor 7:10, see vss 9-11). If they never give-up that love for that sin, then Jesus will have to say, "I never knew you; depart from Me, you who practice lawlessness." (Matt 7:23, NKJV, see vss 21-23).

³ God's definition of "a virgin" is someone who has "not defiled themselves with women [in God's eyes]" (Rev 14:4a & 2 Cor 11:2), Look at these 2 verses & you will see that it includes <u>married</u> people & even <u>former</u> <u>prostitutes!</u> For when a whore surrenders his/her life to Jesus, then Jesus regards him/her as if he/she had <u>always</u> been chaste & un-defiled.

And also, the Lord looks at every faithful Christian as if he/she were a Jew (Rom 2:28-29). And guess what??? You don't even have to know everything. As long as you are following the little-lamb (which is what it actually says in "the Greek") wherever He leads you, then you, too, can be taken to heaven with them—even though you haven't yet learned a lot of things in the Bible! (But do learn what you can & follow it).

So don't say you can't do it, for the Lord is promising a special <u>Spirit</u> (purchased by Jesus' shed-blood, Rev 5:6, see vss 1-10) that will <u>enable</u> you to overcome if you <u>try</u> (compare Rev 7:14, see vss 9-14, & also compare Rev 12:11, see vss 7-12).

God allowed this false-morality to continue all the way down to our day, because it was doable, i.e. it could <u>(& was)</u> being done. But the problem with that old morality was that only a very few latched-hold & stopped being a slave to their sins (John 8:34, see vss 31-36). In these very verses, Jesus promised to free us from those sins that enslave us. So believe what Jesus said; try with all your heart, & you will have that victory over your pet-sin.

So how is it that so many more get saved under this new morality? All of those strict teachings in the New Testament still apply. The only thing that is different is that the 8 (10) are now exposed as counterfeit.

But this new morality opens the door for much better ways of escape from sin. For instance the primary way of escape from fornication is to share JSS-Love, instead of "fucking." The primary way of escape from leaving your spouse for someone-else is to look for someone that would be acceptable to court (perhaps that very person) & then marry him/her as an additional spouse.

These ways are acceptable to God & provide much better satisfaction than just fleeing from fornication, or from just fighting-off that temptation. Multitudes will now find that they can now put-away their pet-sins & follow Jesus. And they can be assured of eternal-life, for they have broken-away from their sins that they have been a slave to & no longer practice them. These are only 2 examples. The New Morality opens the door for other *primary* ways of escape as well.

That is the only difference between the new morality & the old morality, but that difference is *huge!* Multitudes will now be free to break away from their sins that they have been a slave to.

That is why God prophesied such huge results of great multitudes being taken to Heaven, both in the 2nd so-called rapture & also when Jesus comes in the clouds of glory (the Great-Multitude & the many martyrs that will die during the Antichrist). Both of those are going to be huge harvests (a sizable percentage, perhaps 50%).

Continuing on what caused Noah's Flood

But there was one word of encouragement in the Bible during that time. After Adam was 130 years old, he finally fathered <u>one</u> faithful son, Seth who also fathered <u>one</u> faithful son, Enosh (Gen 5:3, see vss 1-5). Apparently, Adam's faithful son (or grandson) persuaded some of Adam's other sons to start serving the Lord, for that was when men started calling on the Lord (Gen 4:25-26).

So, the Lord did have a *little* success that lasted for several generations, until "the sons of God saw that the daughters of men were attractive" & started marrying them, instead of marrying the daughters of God (ESV, Gen 6:1-3). That began the great-downfall of the pre-Flood people, when the people really started getting wicked (vs 3).

So, these 3 things forced God to destroy the world with a flood, 1) the 8 (10) false "don'ts", especially the counterfeit commandment of only one spouse, 2) no punishment for murdering & 3) most of the godly men marrying worldly wives. The first 2 reasons made most of the world super-wicked, but the third reason vastly-reduced the population of the "sons of God."

Surely, it wasn't very many years before the Lord called Noah to build the Ark. God didn't choose to destroy the world, not until there was only <u>one</u> family left (Noah's family, plus his father, who died within 5 years before the Flood, & his grandfather (Methuselah) who finally died in the year of the Flood. Methuselah's name means something like "at his death, the deluge"—fulfilled that very year!

```
[Less important ("old") writings on Noah's Flood:]
Is our day like it was in Noah's Day?
```

The world today isn't that bad yet (because people get punished for murdering), but we had seen a lot of divorce in order to marry someone else. Fortunately, that "divorcing to have" has now greatly dropped in the Churches (It was Satan that was causing that, so that he could claim that there was no benefit in becoming a Christian).

But worse yet, we see multitudes that have left God & are just living together (with at least one of them thinking that he/she might change "partners" when he/she finds a "better one." That's often why they don't get married, because <u>one</u> of them refuses to make the commitment of marriage. (Those people will be much-more likely to make a commitment if they learn that they can have other spouses).

But today's world has grown very wicked over the last 100 years. Why??? We think of our present moral standards (which include these 8 (10) false-commandments) as a high & holy ideal, but could it be that 8 (10) are *the cause*???

Could it be that the world is so wicked because Satan "subliminally" persuaded Adam & Eve to raise the standard higher than what God told them, to forbid any kind of sex & also to limit people to only one spouse??? As you will see below, the people before the Flood generally obeyed the "only one wife" rule, but they often left their wife (or their wife left them) in order to have someone else that they wanted. We see a lot of that in our day, too.

We think of loosening the standard as bad, but there are also drawbacks to raising the standard. Many people today hate God, because they think that 1) the Lord doesn't allow any sex at all apart from marriage, & 2) forbids more than one spouse. Those people that have hated God from their teenage years, onward, often become very wicked people. Some of those people get into terrible sexual evils, which might not have happened, had they known about 1) JSS-Love (acceptable "lesser-forms of sex") & 2) acceptable "plural spouses." In contrast, they might have even fallen in love with the Lord.

But that's not all. Many people in excellent churches, who <u>did</u> follow the Lord & are still regularly attending church, etc., etc., have also fallen into sexual & marriage sins. I'm talking about good, Bible-believing/Bible-practicing churches! And, I'm talking about people in those great churches, who truly did love the Lord & wanted to follow Him. They weren't hypocrites when they started out. They were overcome by Satan's temptations. And, once they give-in, they don't stop sinning (most of them, a few repent & straighten-up. But most of them start lying to themselves (perhaps even before they fell), imagining that they can "keep right-on sinning" & God will "keep right-on forgiving" them. Not so (Matt 7:21-23, see 13-27, Luke 13:27, see 22-30).

But also, we who are following God, no longer have God's <u>primary</u> ways of escape & are <u>much-more</u> apt to fall. Many of us married people know what it's like to be terribly attracted to "somebody else." We usually think of pastors who run away with a church member as innately evil, but could it be that most of them started out good, but gradually yielded to those terrible temptations, little-by-little, & eventually "made the jump???"

People, it's time to put a stop to these natural-consequences of "no sex at all till marriage" & "one wife, & only one wife." So when you are convinced that the Lord never commanded these teachings, then let's start making efforts to convince our churches to believe & practice these new teachings of appropriate JSS-Love & appropriate "plural spouses."

Note: None of the following should be in this E-book, but we just discovered this mistake today (Dec. 11, 2020). But we can't delete it yet, till we double-check

What We Are Picturing (Eventually) With This New Way of Life

(If not-yet convinced, see the next sections on "Why Noah's Flood." Then come here).

By the way, Gals, "the ball" "is now in your court" for asking to share love & also for marrying. Why? Things are now changing, & <u>men</u> are now going to <u>choose</u> <u>women</u> for lots of positions of leadership, in the churches, in government & in society (& much-more leadership in the family, too). Because women will become *more* <u>precious</u> to men, more than they have ever been before. No, we aren't just flattering you; because that is what it will be.

But "the ball is also in your court" for sharing love together, because men are (almost) "always ready for love." So it is *best* for women to "do the *asking*," for women aren't always in the mood. That way, women can ask at the times when they they are in the mood for love, so that men aren't disappointed, either.

Encouragement to take action:

But also, women, you will find it a lot easier "to be in that mood" when you share your love with lots of different men. And why do that??? Because you love them, too, & because sharing JSS-Love will no longer "be put on a pedestal," no longer regarded as an extreme thing to do. Sharing love with the same husband/boyfriend isn't always exciting, "after the newness wears-off."

But it will make it a lot more inspiring "when you open up" & share your love with lots of men that you care about, even those that you will never marry. Just because they wouldn't be a good choice (or beyond your reach), doesn't mean that you don't love them,.

But you used to think, "But that would be sinful." We reply, "Just because that man is married to someone-else, doesn't mean that you don't <u>also</u> love him & he <u>also</u> loves you. No, he isn't to share the sexual-symbol of marriage with you, but instead, JSS-Love, for you-two aren't married to each other—and perhaps never will be.

And as we have shown above, that was why the Lord was totally-silent (& even hid His approval) about the lesser-forms of sex, because *it isn't wrong at all* if shared properly. In fact, we even showed you that JSS-Love is God's preferred way of escape, whenstrongly tempted. Coveting only becomes wrong when you are coveting what you <u>can't</u> *have*, *or coveting what you* <u>shouldn't</u> *have*.

And besides as we showed you that the Lord has even allowed you women to have more than one husband, (each usually living separately, occasionally living-with each spouse a reasonable amount of time, so that each of your spouses has proper attention & vice versa).

Why are we repeating these things??? Because it is time to put-away these old attitudes & start believing that it truly is right (if your heart is-right). It is time to take action, when you see the opportunity, & share JSS-Love with many friends—not too often with any particular person (if married & not courting each other)—but often with <u>someone</u> that you care about.

Why? Because it will make the whole world sweeter & happier when "everyone" is expressing love to each other—at least with hugs & kisses & fond expressions & "daily" sharing JSS-Love with someone-different (frequently, not neglecting her children nor her husband(s), of course)—far different from our present society. For it is a privilege that the Lord <u>gave</u> us in the very beginning (& is now being restored).

No, she doesn't over-load herself. She just manages her time better, so that she has time for all

of thee things, including worship & Bible-study. If she is stressed-out or doesn't feel like it, then she doesn't share love with others—only when it feels comfortable. For that is the way women functions best (men, too, but not quite to that extreme).

And He even gave you women the privilege of carefully courting & marrying a number of husbands—only those who truly fit, & only those that you are sure that you can "stick-with" till death do you part.

"Variety is the Spice of Life:"

Almost all of us are more interested in doing something different, than in doing the same thing. So what makes you think that it has to be sinful, if that person is more special & more interesting??? It doesn't have to be, if your heart is right. You see, sharing JSS-Love with someone-different multiplies your love for your-own husband! You will appreciate your-own husband(s) a lot more, when you share JSS-Love with others, & yes, sometimes by even adding a husband—because, at the very-least, it was your husband who gave you these freedoms, & also because you aren't tired of him anymore. Your present husband will then be more special to you, even if you share love with someone "better than" he, because he is precious to you in his own, special way, & no longer, "just the same-old husband." And also, you will find that For that is a law of nature: that we are your husband appreciates you at lot more, too. more interested, when we *have* something different & when we *do* something different, rather than just having "the-same-old husband" & "doing the same-old thing." No, it was Satan, rather than God, that has caused us to miss-out on this variety in our lives all of these years.

<u>Wow</u>!!! Our whole way of life will be amazingly transformed by you women taking action on these 3 things: 1) greeting friends by hugging & kissing & showing kindnesses, 2) frequent JSS-Love with all of those that you care about & 3) sometimes, adding an additional husband. Remember, you can't marry all of them, but you <u>can</u> share love with them. It will become so-right—if your heart remains loyal to your Lord & remains loyal to each, & every husband. And you will find that the number of men that you care about (that you share JSS-Love with) will keep increasing. You will even get responses, saying, "You are asking these guys. Well then, how about <u>me</u>?" And another one will join-in & say, "Me <u>too</u>!" And it will seem so right, that you'll become <u>thoroughly convinced</u>! Just think of it, women, gradually-transforming the <u>world</u>, to be more kind, to be more thoughtful, more loving..., <u>one</u> person at a time. So women, take action & you will be <u>amazed</u> at how "your little world all around you" will gradually be transformed. If a lot of you women take hold, then we men will <u>gladly rejoice</u>!

But you reply,

"I can't ask a thing like that (ask for JSS-Love)!" Yes, that has been true in our <u>present</u> society, for "everyone" has thought that any sex was <u>wrong, wrong, wrong</u>!!! Look, what did we discover??? Didn't the Lord even hide his approval of that??? Then <u>why</u> should you feel embarrassed & feel that asking is such an <u>extreme</u> thing??? It is not extreme anymore.

After all, men who are convinced about JSS-Love <u>want</u> a woman to ask. So, why not step-out on faith, even if you are one of those that isn't that desirable??? You might get turned-down by a few, but many godly men, who love Jesus, will gladly <u>honor</u> you.

Men, if you want to encourage women to frequently share JSS-Love, then you need to respond favorably to those who aren't desirable. It is not only what Jesus <u>would have</u> done (if He had lived in our day), but also, it encourages other believing-women to also share their love, as well.

So men, rather than saying "not now," make an appointment instead (& keep it). That way, that

woman doesn't get discouraged. In fact, that is a good idea to respond to <u>all</u> women by making an appointment, instead of saying "Not now"—which hurts a woman's feelings, because she will think that you aren't interested in her. Remember, guys, women are <u>very-sensitive people</u>! That is the way that the Lord "designed" women to be (most of them)--very sensitive "creatures."

Our whole attitude about this will gradually be transformed, among those that believe these new teachings. JSS-Love will become so common, that people won't hesitate in the slightest (once a day with someone different, sometimes twice a day). as long as both of them want to share it, & as long as it fits-into everyone's schedule. But don't feel like you have to share it with someone-different everyday. Women, just make that the general-trend of your free-time, once a day, only when you feel like it, for it will be a blessing to "your whole little world" around you.

"All he talks about is sex, sex, sex."

Now, some of you are surly thinking that this author only thinks about sex & about promoting sex. Fart from it. Remember that it is pretty-hard to talk about anything else, since this website is exposing Satan's greatest series of deceptions that he ever gave: his 8 (9) false prohibitions of 1) no sex at all, not even self-masturbation, 2) no lesser forms of sex, 3) no plural- marriages,... 8) no nakedness with friends, not even in private 9): no sex, even for married people (except for procreation), which violates the scriptures, etc. That is why you hear that word (sex) so much.

All 9 of those are from Satan's craftiness, not only to get many to rebel, but also to get most of the other people to eventually fall into sexual-sins (&/or marriage-sins) that <u>truly-are</u> wrong. More people have fallen from this series of false-prohibitions—than from any other temptation.

Satan delights in these 9 false-prohibitions, because he can severely tempt people who heed them, because it weakens their self-control (1 Cor 7:5b, see vss 2-5) from "no sex at all." That is why so many celibate-priests have given-in to many terrible, sexual sins, & why so many young people have given-in to premarital sex. And those who practice "procreation only" have been severely tempted to "cheat," or to give-in to whores &/or prostitutes. Isn't it better to heed what the Bible says (1 Cor 7:2-5), than to give-in & then ask the priest to forgive you—which Jesus says that he cannot do, if you don't repent & stop doing it (Matt 7:21-27)???

There is also a similar lack of self-control (to a lesser extent) from the rest of the 9 falseprohibitions, as well. For instance, forbidding nakedness with others also weakens self-control for even husbands to be seriously tempted to look at pornography, & causing young & old to be seriously tempted to be naked in various ways. Similarly, being confined to only one spouse, & not even allowed to express affection to anyone else, increases the temptation to "cheat" or "to ditch their spouse for "somebody else." Sorry, it is true.

And just think of the terrific damage to society from those who have left the church & have rebelled against "everything." Many of them never would have rebelled & would never have gotten into such serious evils & such horrendous crimes, if they had known what we now know.

Sorry that we have to talk about it so much.

There is a lot more to life than sex, especially for us loyal Christians. If we learn to manage our time better, then we can work-in more worship-time & Bible-study than we ever have in the past, as well as enough time for JSS-Love daily—all without forgetting our spouses.

But to express <u>any</u> kind of love with others, is a very important part of life, which adds a lot of happiness & meaning to life, especially if you include JSS-Love with it. It isn't a matter of needing sex that often, or of primarily thinking about sex. It is a matter of frequently expressing love back & forth to others, most of whom need that kindness of your love, which boots their happiness & meaning in life & encourages many to keep-on going.

And, a part of that love is to hug & kiss most of your friends, once a day when you see them. But the point is that in the future, when you see someone that you want to share love with (& he also wants), that it will be natural to include JSS-Love. Because it will become so common that we won't even think about it. It will seem like the right thing, the natural thing to do.

But we need to caution those of you who crave sex, to not share JSS-Love <u>several</u> times a day. For it can harm your nervous-system—at least, it <u>seriously</u>-harms men & teenage-boys if they do. But men, if a situation happens where you need to share JJS-Love with several women (e.g. at your birthday party, with lots of women-friends attending), then there is an answer for you: Share "<u>glorified</u>-oral-sex" with each of them, one woman at a time, with him receiving the same at the end, by the woman of his choice (or by "drawing straws:"

Description of Glorified Oral-Sex:

He Starts kissing & caressing her all-over (except the inner-cheeks of her bottom), starting with her heals on her back-side, & then kissing & caressing (sometimes gently biting??) her all-over on her front side, expressively saying, "Oh Julie (or whoever, between each kiss)... Oh Julie... Oh Julie... Oh Julie... I love you... I love you..., My lover..., my lover..." over & over, varying the words. (He can say it or sing it to the tune of "The Blessing" by Kari Jobe). Then he ends-up by by beginning at her toes & kissing & caressing her, all the way to her front-bottom. Then he starts giving her love through oral sex. But at the same time, he inserts the fingers of one hand into her vagina & gently plunges the palm of his hand against her bottom (stroking in & out). He also reaches up the other hand to caress her face & her neck & her shoulder & perhaps her breast on one side. Meantime, she is groaning-sexually, shifting her bottom sideways, however she is moved to do, while his mouth follows her vulva & clitoris wherever she shifts. At the same time, she tries to groan-out, saying or singing (if she is able) the same tune (perhaps a little slower, whatever she is able to do), "Oh Joh-ohn... (or whoever) Oh Joh-ohn... Oh Joh-ohn... Oh Joh-ohn... I love you... I love you..., my lover... my lover...," while he is "humming" the same tune (as best as <u>he</u> can), while he is loving her clitoris. At the same time, she is stroking herown breasts & "side-chests" (lubricated), where the sexual nerves are (if she even able to do that, considering the terrific feelings that she is experiencing). When she gets close to reaching her orgasm, she may want him to stop everything except the oral sex. That way she can concentrate on reaching the climax. And if there is time, he gives each woman her shower immediately after her oral sex (for it isn't best for him to "be showered" too many times, if he is Then at the end, he finishes with the woman of his sharing this with several women). choice (or by "drawing straws"). He can share any kind of JSS-Love with the woman that he has picked, so that he, also, is satisfied. But one of the best ways, is to also give him glorified oral-sex, which is even more glorious than what we have described so-far. Everything is the same till oral-sex, except that her voice & her kisses & caresses are in a feminine way, with her long hair "caressing" his skin as she moves along. But when she gets to his front-bottom, she lubricates (cheap vegetable shortening (fully hydrogenated) works better than Crisco or animal shortenings) his breasts & "side-chests" (where the sexual-nerves are), & she lubricates his entire bottom-area, except where her mouth is going. She doesn't have to install a condom if everyone has passed the "PIN-Number test." Then instead of staying between his legs, she climbs over his head & lays face-down, with her bottom toward his face.

Women will really love that. They will be satisfied, concerning the situation. If he shares that with each one of them (even 20 women), treating each one of them as <u>special</u> (for

<u>you</u> invited them), then you might be exhausted, but you won't harm your nervous system that day. But perhaps, a better way is to also invite a few special men-friends (not too many), for the girls want good-odds of sharing JSS-Love with <u>you</u>, for it is <u>your</u> birthday & <u>you</u> invited each one of them. (The girls "draw straws" (etc.) to see who gets to share JSS-Love with whom). And of course, all of the girls, at least, get kisses & hugs from you (if nothing else), both before & afterwards (& in-between!).

Weekly Get-Together Groups:

Eventually, "nakedness (in private)" will become so common that many of our friends will frequently gather together in small-groups, to be naked together—to just share (naked) Christian-fellowship in the presence of Jesus (Matt 18:19-20) & to enjoy Christian-fellowship with each other (sharing a few scriptures & spiritual-thoughts—for it certainly isn't wrong for fellow-Christians to be naked together in private. Otherwise, the Lord would have said something about it. And besides. the Lord loves it when we come close to each other in Christ's presence (prophesied in Mal 3:16-17). How do we know? Because the Lord delights in us becoming intimate with <u>Him</u>! And when we become intimate with each other, in the presence of Christ, we naturally become intimate with all Three (not just Jesus). For we then realize that our beautiful relationship with each other, is actually coming from Jesus bestowing His blessing on our "naked" gathering.

But also, most of that group won't hesitate to also share JSS-Love with "<u>any</u>" of those that are present (partner chosen by "chance," so that <u>none</u> are neglected), though they will obviously have their <u>preferences</u> on whom they <u>would have liked to</u> share JSS-love with. But they will gladly share love with "that less-desirable person" who <u>needs</u> love just as much as the others do. But the one that missed-out on getting the one that he/she wanted, will keep-on hoping: "Maybe next-time I'll get the chance to-share love with that precious-person," for that group will regularly get together, probably once a week.

But many of you are thinking, "Yeah, sure! They are just bringing-in Jesus & "Christianthoughts" (into their get-together) in order to make it <u>look-like</u> it is acceptable." <u>Wrong!</u> <u>Wrong!</u> <u>Wrong!!!</u> That is because this is new to you & you have never experienced such a thing. You have never even experienced Naked-Worship, either. And so, you immediately react with your traditional ways of thinking. No, Jesus will be right there with them, honoring the <u>entire</u> activity, honoring it just as much as He honors a husband & wife, when they share their love through sex.

Naked-Worships

In fact, you probably thought that Naked-Worship meetings were just to win souls—far from it! You will find that your most meaningful worships will be when you come & worship together in Naked-Worship services—just <u>the opposite</u> of what you would expect.

No actually, naked-worships are for <u>all</u> of us. Yes, some may never come, but those that do come—with an open mind & an open heart—will discover what we are talking about. You will find yourself closer to God than you have ever been. So, keep on encouraging those who have never tried it. Many of them will eventually check it out & find-out what we are talking about.

"Our whole <u>world</u>" will change through Naked-Worshps—one person at a time. Wait until you have experienced naked-worship a couple of times, & then give it a try with one of the naked-group get-togethers. You will find that all Three (The Fathe, Son & Holy Spirit) will be present (not just Jesus)! Then you will know what we are talking about. <u>Glory</u>!!!

Who was it that opened our eyes to this gift, hidden for thousands of years??? It was the Father, the Son & the Holy Spirit, all working together in harmony with each other, that "created" this new way of life that we are about to experience, this new 'day" (Isa 45:8, prophesied in Ps 118:24, see vss 19-24) (not us, for we were just "Their pawns"),. In that psalm you will note that, living a righteous life is also, in some way, connected to this "new day" (see also the prophesies of Isa 45:8, Rom 8:18-25, vs 29 & 37)). People will be so appreciative of this new gift that they will be *totally-devoted* to the Lord. So, the Lord responds by giving these appreciativepeople a Holy-Spirit-gift that enables them to also live a righteous life, (prophesised in Jer 31:34, see vss 31-34, also Isa 45:8, Rev 5:6, see vss 1-14). And since those in the get-together will-have seen the great contrast between this previous way-of-life (as we have known it) & this "entirely-new" way-of-life, then consequently, their *love* & appreciation & *devotion* to the Lord & their thankfulness for this great gift, will cause their hearts to overflow in love & gratitude for our Maker! They will-have become so used-to this new way of life, that they feel totally comfortable about being naked in the presence of Jesus & talking about Him in the presence of their naked friends. For they now know (from experience) that Jesus loves them & accepts them, *especially* when they are naked in His presence. No, Jesus won't delight in seeing their nakedness. Instead, Jesus delights in their intimate-love for him, expressed in their "nakedness in His presence." This intimate expression of being nude in Jesus' presence brings them intimately-close to Jesus, & even intimately-close to their friends—even friends that they aren't married to!

Yes, all of this is shocking to you, for it takes time to adjust to these new values. But eventually, you will see these things that I "am picturing" to you right now. Yes, what we have pictured is only vague, for we haven't experienced it yet, either. But you will surely see something like that, take-place. Yes, that time is coming & is not far away, when you people (as a whole) won't hesitate to share in all of these things that I am describing to you.

We are not kidding. Eventually, believers won't think anything about sharing JSS-Love, & won't think anything about asking for it, either. And yes, men can still ask if they want to, but at the present time, women tend to think of a man that asks, as a "sex-nut," for women know that men are much more inclined toward sex than <u>most</u> women are. So, it is better for women to do the asking. And being a man, I can tell you right now that men will be <u>greatly-relieved</u> if you women do <u>most</u> of the asking. That way they know that you are truly in the mood for love. And since it was you women that asked, then they know that you apporve, and that makes all the difference & pleases a man <u>very-much</u>!!!

Also, you may as well know that our whole way of life will greatly-change with these new teachings. No, it won't be like it was in the past, when even sinners put sexual-love on a pedestal & thought of it as some great thing. When this new way of life takes=hold in our society (& that day will surely come, for we are expecting the whole world to come to Jesus through this), then sharing love with many-different people, will be so common that people won't hesitate to share love with someone different every-single day, & sometimes even share with different people <u>twice</u> a day—if it fits into their schedule.

Remember that your spouses take priority, but also, remember to share love with your manydifferent friends of the opposite sex, & sometimes even with a special friend of the <u>same</u> sex, as well. You women will find JSS-Love especially meaningful with some of your special womenfriends, for your love for each other is <u>far-different</u> from Lesbians & is pleasing in God's eyes.

Whereas, there is something that is displeasing to God in "Lesbian-sex" (Rom 1:26, see vss

just as men-fucking-men is disgusting in God's sight (Rom 1:27-), for the Lord designed for men to marry women, not men to marry men. If homosexuals & Lesbians want to share love, they are free to (in God's eyes) if they stick-with JSS-Love. Sorry, it is still wrong for Lesbians & homosexuals to go beyond JSS-Love.

But take heart, those of you who are genetically-inclined toward the same sex. The Lord will soon, not only shut-down Satan from tempting you this way, but will also restore all things, even restoring all genetic defects, including homosexual genes. And then, you should be attracted to the proper sex—if you choose to.

And that will make it much easier for you homosexuals & Lesbians to follow the Lord, when He does this. You can wait for that day, but why don't you pray & ask the Lord to genetically heal you from this defect? I know of one man that suddenly switched from being attracted to men & started being romantically-attracted to women. Perhaps the Lord will do that for you (now) as well, if you ask Him in faith, believing that He is capable of doing it. It isn't out of the question.

The joy, the peace, the love for each other & the satisfaction will pervade <u>all</u> of us. All of this blessing will "over-flow" from our hearts like a mighty stream. Everyone will love Jesus so much that <u>most</u> of them cannot help but follow Him. What a contrast from our old way of life**!].**

(New, Sept. 13, 2019 or *much* earlier, from here-onward, except for a *few* "up-dates"

A lot of repetition, but at least, it also has a number of "new" (new to you) insights:

A More Thorough Discussion on.

The Seven "Prohibitions" on Sex & Marriage That the Bible is *Totally Silent* About:

#1) <u>Self-masturbation</u>. "Everyone" believes that it cannot be done without sinful thoughts & feelings, but they are deeply mistaken. And you say, "And what would you be thinking & feeling??" Yes, there are acceptable ways that meet God's approval. One of them is to just focus on what you are doing & not think about anyone (or anything) else.

And yes, there are other acceptable things to think/picture when relieving yourself, sexually. These are discussed in stories of my own experiences, near the end of supposed "don'ts" #2) & #4) & other places as well.

But what we haven't realized is, that there is a reason why God allows, & even recommends it, for singles who don't have anyone to share love with. But before we talk about that, we need to talk about why many people object to self-masturbation, especially married people, who already have their spouse to share sexual-love with. (Most of them don't sympathize with those of us who don't have anybody).

Most people that object can only

think of it as self-gratification, & therefore is not justified. And yes, many teenagers, who have gotten into self-masturbation, often do it for self-gratification & often end-up doing it many times (e.g. 10 times) a day.

They do it indulge in sinful thoughts & feelings, but especially to get "the high" that comes from reaching the "climax" (ejaculation/orgasm). But what they don't realize that they are doing it so much, that they are actually wearing-out their nervous system, & also wearing out their physical & mental capabilities.

Many of those who abuse themselves, lose interest in just about everything & can hardly perform

in sports or work or school, not just because of lack of motivation, but especially because their nervous system (& their whole body) being absolutely worn-out. Not only are you sinning against God in your thoughts & feelings, but also, you are wearing-out your body by overtaxing your nervous system—to the point that you are hardly capable of doing <u>anything</u>.

Young people (& some adults), that's absolutely wrong. Your body is the temple of God (1 Cor 6"19-20), & you are to get some exercise & take care of your body, health-wise. Why? If your body is where Jesus dwells (inside of you, Jesus' "temple"), then you need to represent Jesus & all that He stands for.

Doesn't Jesus expect you to be a blessing to people in this world??? Yes, He does. Well then, put away your filthy thoughts & stop trying to live just-for pleasure (living for "the high") & start using moderation. Once a day is plenty to satisfy your sexual needs, & you won't wear-out your body with once a day.

But there's "a plus" for those of you who have been over-indulging. You'll feel a lot better, a lot fresher, & yes, you'll even enjoy your "sexual high" a lot more than you have in a long time. That's why I usually wait about 3 days before masturbating (for there are ways to self-masturbate that do meet the Lord's approval). Why not every day? Because when I wait 3 days or so, it's a lot more meaningful & satisfying. And also, I can reach a climax more easily, & even reach a higher climax, if I wait at least 3 days.

But if you will even limit yourself to once a day, it will actually be a blessing to your overall happiness & contentment, plus, as you will see, it will also help you control those sexual-temptations that "will come at you" from day to day.

But you object, saying, "But even if there are acceptable ways to do it,

what good is self-masturbation, other than for self-gratification?" We answer, it's <u>super-important</u>, not only for singles who don't have anybody, but also *absolutely-essential* for priests & celibates. And the Bible even (indirectly) talks about that:

1 Cor. 7:2-5 counsels husbands & wives to periodically come together, sexually, "...so that Satan does not tempt you because of your lack of self-control" (vs. 5b). If they don't periodically have sex, then their self-control diminishes with time. The longer they delay, the less self-control they have.

(Saint) Paul was speaking of husbands & wives, but the same thing applies to celibates & "singles" (& married people, whose spouse is refusing to have sex with them). That's why *appropriate* self-masturbation (no evil thoughts/feelings) is so important. Periodically releasing that sexual energy helps them maintain good self-control, so that the Satan can't tempt them nearly as strongly.

If priests & nuns & monks & other celibates will do this, then they will find that they have a lot more self-control, & will be a lot less likely to fall into these terrible sins that so many of them have fallen into.

But, they also need to have Jesus dwelling in them, & they also need to be committed to staying loyal to Jesus & committed to all that He stands-for. If they do these, & if they also periodically release themselves, sexually (once ever 3 days for me), & if they will believe & claim the promise of 1 Cor. 10:13 (see vss 1-13), then they will have victory over all of those terrible temptations.

But believe it or not, the Lord has even *hidden* His *approval* of it in the *New* Testament! And you say, "That can't possibly be!" That's because it's so well hidden that you have never seen it!

#2) <u>The lesser forms of sex</u> are not even mentioned in the Bible, little-alone forbidden. (Ask anyone who has read the Bible many times, & he/she will confirm that the lesser forms of sex are never even mentioned).

(And you reply, "Yes, they are, it says over & over in the New Testament, 'sexual immorality.'

And besides, their thoughts would be evil if they did that." Yes, **if** their thoughts are longing "to have" that person (that they <u>can't</u> have), or if they are longing to cheat (commit fornication, sexual intercourse, which the Lord <u>definitely</u> forbids, then that would definitely be wrong).

Prior to 1900 AD/CE, the definition of both "adultery" & "fornication" was (& still is in the cheaper dictionaries) "voluntary sexual intercourse..." (becoming "one-flesh" with someone). But from 1900 AD onward, the Christian world gradually changed the meaning of these (biblical-Greek & Hebrew) words to include the lesser forms of sex, calling it "sexual immorality."

Apparently they found archaeological evidence to justify this change, but don't forget that the Devil could have caused those records to be so, & not God. The Lord doesn't accept definitions from sources outside of the Bible. Yes, that may be their definition in that society, but God doesn't accept any society's definitions, not even Jewish customs outside-of the Bible. Instead, the Lord hides His definition in the Bible.

500 years ago the Protestant reformers met-up-with similar problems & established the principle that the Bible is its own interpreter: If there is any disagreement over what a word means (in the original Greek & Hebrew), then the Lord has hidden <u>His</u> definition of what that word means, somewhere in the Bible.

And since the Bible never even speaks of the lesser forms of sex, & since the Lord did hide <u>*His*</u> definition in the Bible (1 Cor 6:15-16), then Bible translators have no right to change the definition from "sexual intercourse" to "sexual immorality." They never had the right to change that, for they had no justification in the Bible.

So the <u>Lord's</u> actual definition of adultery & fornication agrees with the ancient definition in English (for at least 700 years <u>prior</u> to 1900 AD/CE), "voluntary sexual intercourse..." Since the lesser forms of sex aren't even mentioned in the Bible, then how can <u>God's</u> definition include the lesser forms of sex??? It can't, not by the Protestant principle that the Bible is its own interpreter, i.e. that the Lord interprets what <u>He</u> means by that word, by revealing it somewhere in the scriptures.

But in addition to that,

as you will see below, the Lord has also <u>hidden</u> His <u>approval</u> of <u>properly</u>-sharing the lesser forms of sex (we call it, JSS-Love, Jesus' Satisfying Solution). It's amazing, because God's hidden approval even includes ("by natural default") the Lord's restrictions of a) forbidding sexual intercourse with anyone other than your spouse, & b) not "uncovering" nor seeing that person's nakedness, either.

But also, we don't want to discourage

those of you who are coming out-of worldly, ungodly sex. As you will see, the Lord has even hidden <u>*His*</u> definition of "uncovering nakedness." God only forbids the exposing of the region from the waist to the thighs, that's all.

Now, remember that there are more details to know than what we are saying here: but there are even ways to be totally naked with each other, such as something blocking the view of that region, or by being blind-folded, or by turning your head & closing your eyes until your friend is "in place..." As we said, there is more to it than that, but this gives you an idea that you can be totally naked together at times & still meet the approval of God, *if* you do the right things.

Yes, "JSS-Love" *can* be sin, such as by picturing sin or desiring to sin. But it's not necessarily so, for the Lord has given us acceptable ways to think & "to picture" (while sharing JSS-Love) that *don't* violate any of His commandments.

But You Reply, "But that's Absolutely disgusting!"

Yes, we know that this whole idea (in-fact, this whole website) is disgusting to those of you who were brought-up with strict moral standards. But that's because you have been inculcated from

infancy, all the way till now, to be repulsed at these things.

Believe it or not, we are <u>thankful</u> that you were brought-up with these strict standards (**if** Christlike-love was also abundant in your family & in your church). Why? Because up till now, that was the best way to avoid falling into sin, under the present standards of morality:

a) Being very careful to keep your mind & heart from thinking (& feeling) sinful thoughts.

b) Wearing very conservative & non-attractive clothing, especially the married Christians, & doubly-especially the married women;

c) Living very decently & conservatively;

d) Most Americans have forgotten about this one: Being very careful about associating (& becoming too familiar) with the opposite sex. If you associate too much with the opposite sex, your eyes & heart are likely "to wander," especially married Christians. But "singles."too, can also get in trouble with too much associating with the opposite sex, for your mind can begin to wander & desire "to see more," or to "be nakedness with," or to "want premarital sex," etc.

e) For couples to have long courtships, to make sure that their fiancée isn't just "putting their best food forward" & thus, deceiving you on what they will be like.

f) To be very careful (& sparing) with hugging & kissing, etc., for it will gradually grow to be more & more desire "to go to bed with" each other will also increase even more.

g) Etc., etc., etc.

All of these are very important, if you want to stay faithful to God, under the *present* teachings of morality. But we contend that these 7 things (that we thought were "don'ts") are not necessarily wrong & can be done (with God's approval), as long as you don't violate any of the other principles & commandments of God.

And yes, when these new teachings are believed &

practiced, then we won't need to be nearly as conservative in our associations with the opposite sex. Why? Because practicing these new teachings (appropriate self-masturbation or appropriate JSS-Love (i.e. appropriate lesser forms of sex)), actually *increases our self-control*, if shared properly.

It says so (is implied) in 1 Cor. 7:5 (see vss 1-9), so that you can withstand sexual temptations a whole-lot better. What does it say will happen, if you don't periodically relieve yourself, sexually? It says that Satan will be more-able to tempt you, because your sexual self-control will diminish. It says, "...so that Satan may not tempt you because of your lack of self-control." (1 Cor 7;5, ESV).

But you say, "Those verses don't say that!"

You are right; it doesn't <u>directly</u> say that, for it is speaking of the importance of <u>husbands &</u> <u>wives</u>, <u>periodically</u> coming together (sexually) to prevent their self-control from weakening.

Look, if husbands & wives can lose their sexual self-control from failing to periodically share sexual-love together, then what does that imply about celibates & singles??? That's why teenagers (including myself long ago, when I was young) have had such great temptations to be naked & even temptations to "fuck."

And that is why so many Roman Catholic priests get into sexual-trouble. Their self-control diminishes less & less & less & less as the years go bye, all because they refrain from even masturbating. And we want to be so critical of those priests that fall, not realizing that they fell because of Satan "worming-into" their teachings to totally abstain from any kind of sex. That teaching & the "procreation only" teaching (for husbands & wives), violate 1 Cor 7:5 (discussed here).

I, too, had the same problem with my self-control weakening more & more as the years went bye (especially during each August). A few of my high school classmates married as soon as they graduated, in order to have sex. But I didn't find who I was looking for till I was 24 & didn't marry until I was 25. I can still remember, only 2 weeks before my wedding, the most gorgeous prostitute that I had ever seen, was smiling at me as she was walking toward me & winked-hard at me as she passed bye.

I was so shocked that I could hardly keep on walking past her. I was almost paralyzed. I just cried-out to the Lord (in my heart) & kept-on slowly, determinedly putting one foot in front of the other. The Lord sustained me, & I made it all the way to marriage as a virgin.

The reason why I didn't give-in was because, I knew that there wasn't any temptation that God couldn't deliver me from (1 Cor 10:13, see 1-13). So, I kept-on trusting God, while still walking away from her. And I made it! *Hallelujah*!!!

My temptation was so great because I wasn't periodically relieving myself through selfmasturbation. For my fiancée & I didn't believe in having sex until we were married. But, I would have had much more self-control (nor sinned in lesser ways) had I known about appropriate selfmasturbation periodically.

But that's not the only reason why JSS-Love (or at least, self-masturbation) is so important.

I also remember (in August) only 3 days before we

married, my fiancée wanted me to lay on-top of her (with our clothes on, of course). All of a sudden, my sexual-reflexes took control started me, & I started, uncontrollably "humping." (That was the only time in my whole life, that my body's reflexes ever took-over like that. Believe it or not, it wasn't me doing it; it was either the Devil or my body's reflexes that were doing it!).

I got off of her & started biting my <u>knuckles</u>! I just sat there & could hardly handle that terrific temptation, for we both loved the Lord & didn't want to sin. (It's a sin, even if it's only 3 days away).

We parted shortly after that, & I went back home (to the place where we were going to live together). But I was still almost out-of control. I knew I had to do something to bring myself back under control. Looking back on it, I can only think that it was the Holy Spirit that was guiding me on what to do.

I didn't know (at that time) that self-masturbation wasn't necessarily wrong, but I selfmasturbated, using that as my "way of escape" (1 Cor 10:13), all without thinking or picturing my fiancée or anything else, for I knew that that wouldn't be right. So I only focused on what I was actually doing. That action relieved me & brought myself back under control.

The next morning, I felt that what I did was wrong, but that it was what I needed to do under the circumstances (i.e. was the "lesser-sin"), far better than outright-sinning. And I was right about it being the lesser of the two evils, not knowing that what I did is actually acceptable with God. Yes, what I did was wrong, but only because, <u>if you think</u> it's wrong & you do it, then it <u>is</u> wrong, <u>even</u> *if* God actually approves of it (Rom 14:23, see vss 20-23). (Because you are disobeying what you <u>think</u> that God has commanded).

But I can only think that it was the Lord that guided me to take care of that problem that special way. For He inspired me to stay loyal to Him when I did that, even in my mind & heart.

"Oh Lord, thank You for delivering me on both of those occasions, & on <u>all</u> of the many other occasions in my life as well. Thank you so much for delivering me, over & over again! [1 Cor 10:13, see vss 1-13]. My love for you is **beyond words!!!**"

Now, it's the same with sharing JSS-Love (appropriate sharing of the lesser forms of sex with someone). Periodically sharing JSS-Love will also give a person much better self-control—

probably even better sexual self-control than self-masturbation. But neither of us have ever experienced that yet.

Why? Up till "now," the Enemy ("Satan") has blocked us authors from sharing anything like that. Yes, we even know of people in our own community that have believed & practiced these new things (JSS-Love & also "Plural-Spouse" courtships_ for at least 2 years, now, but <u>we</u>, ourselves, haven't had that opportunity yet (because of the Enemy's blocking & maneuvering).

In conclusion of "Prohibition" #2,

if sharing the lesser forms of sex were categorically wrong, then why didn't God say so, instead of being totally silent about it??? The very fact that God was totally silent about it (as well as silent about all 7 of them) can only mean that the Lord "has hidden something up his sleeve" (has been hiding something) about the lesser forms of sex. So, there has to be, at least, one way (JSS-Love that is acceptable to God.

But also, many of you singles will think that sharing JSS-Love is a very big thing that only should be done if you are severely tempted, etc. That's because, under the old system of things," sex was a super-big, super-bad thing to do, if you weren't married.

Well guess what? It's not super-big nor super-bad under the new system of things. Kids are going to be sharing JSS-Love with their siblings & with the neighbor's child before they even reach puberty. So, it's not going to be a big thing.

But even with you adults, it won't be long before you share JSS-Love with so many people, that you won't think anything about it. For it's not wrong in God's eyes, & is even pleasing in Lord's eyes, for the love that is shared in JSS is akin to the love that husbands & wives share with their spouse.

Many of you have pictured God as tolerating the husbands, sharing love with their wives (sexually). Not so; never was so. No, God views their sexual-love, as *the most precious thing* that husbands & wives share, if it is truly shared with intimate love for each other. For that kind of love is akin to God.

In fact, the Lord longs for us to have that kind of intimate-love of Him & He of us, not necessarily sexually, but at least, that kind of intimate-love of God (& of God for us) from our inmost hearts. Yes, that's what the Lord longs from each & every one of His children.

Does that surprise you? Well let me ask you, if you were God, then wouldn't <u>you</u> want your children (the people you created) to love you just as intimately as new-husbands intimately love their new bride???

Yes you would, for you are not a machine & neither is the Lord. The Lord has feelings & emotions, much like we do, except without any sinful emotions (like lust or hatred or greed). And so, He longs for intimate-love & appreciation from each of us, just as much as you newly-weds intimately-love your new wife! That's right. Ask Him!

So Christians, just start thinking that JSS-Love isn't a big thing—yes, it is in terms of your love for each other (no matter who that "other" is, for you no longer have to think that that the only person that you can love is your spouse). Yes, that love for each other is precious, even if it's just JSS-Love with a good (or even a new)-friend that you are never going to marry.

What a lie, to think that you can only love one person. And also, what a lie to think that you are stealing love from your spouse, by sharing love with someone else. There are different levels of love. So, make sure that your love for your husband, your love for your wife "is top on the list," but don't hesitate to share JSS-Love with any friend that you want to.

So, it's a good idea (as soon as you are convinced) to start thinking that JSS-Love is not a big thing. You can share it with anyone & everyone that you want to (that is, if "time" & "location" & "opportunity" & "the situation" allow for you two to share JSS-Love).

But husbands & wives, don't jump-into this until you & your spouse are agreed to it. Your spouse could divorce you, for in the eyes of the public, any kind of sex with anyone else is regarded as adultery. So, you both need to be convinced, & you both need to be allowed to do it.

But many fear that their spouse will

lose their love for them if they start sharing JSS-Love with others, especially with others that are more handsome/beautiful, etc. No, on the contrary, most spouses are going to love you even more than ever before.

"Now, why would they love me even more than ever?" Do you realize the great contrast between this new-way of life & the old-way??? That ought to tell you that your fears are unwarranted. The great majority of them are going to be <u>so thankful</u> for you letting them share JSS-Love with others, that they will be so appreciative that they can't help-but love you all the more. After all, you could have forbidden your spouse, who would have had-to abstain if you did that. So, most godly spouses will choose to love you all the more. In fact, most followers of Jesus will just naturally love you all the more, for you have become even more precious in their sight, for letting them have that freedom.

Now, there may be a few that will love you less or even despise you, but not with the great majority. Why? Because most of them can't help but be thankful & love you all the more, for you letting them have this new freedom.

OK, so you aren't as beautiful nor as suave as some of those that your spouse shares JSS-Love with. But your spouse isn't married to them (& will never be, with some of them). But you two have built-up an intimate relationship over the years (hopefully). You may not be as beautiful, etc., but no one can take the place of <u>you</u>.

But also, your marriage difficulties will smooth out a lot better, for you two won't "be in eachother's hair" nearly as much. Because you two won't be with each-other as much—but make sure to be with each other a lot. But it needs to be significantly less, because that's why "molehills" became "mountains," from being with each other too much.

All of those "molehills" will naturally shrink down from being "mountains," back-down to "molehills" again, if you will spend time with other people as well & not as much with "just you & your spouse."

And one great way to do that is to invite a husband & wife (or a single man & a single woman) to dinner, then each share JSS-Love with the other person's spouse (or friend). In the past, that often was "disaster," under the old system of things. But if you will read "supposed prohibition #3)," then you'll see that it doesn't have to be disastrous anymore. You'll be surprised how much better you can get-along with your spouse when it's not just you & your spouse together.

Continuing the List of the Seven So-Called "Prohibitions" That God is Silent About:

#3) <u>Women having plural-husbands</u> (simultaneously) is not mentioned, "pro or con" <u>anywhere</u> in the Bible.

(And you answer back, "Oh yes it is, when

God first stated the principle of marriage, Gen. 2:24, 'Therefore a man shall leave his father & his mother & hold fast to his wife, & they shall become one-flesh.' (ESV). Besides that, the Genesis story verifies that they only had one wife & one husband, in the beginning, prior to Noah's Flood."

Yes, you are right about them only having one wife/husband before the Flood, but who was it that told them to do that? Was it God or Adam (through Satan's subtle craftiness)??? And as for Gen 2:24 being a commandment (or a principle), we thought so too, prior to discovering that the Lord

had never done away with men having more than one wife. (Doubt that??? Read-on).

But did you ever notice that Gen. 2:24 isn't worded as a commandment, for it is just giving instructions about him marrying his *first* wife, for the Lord preceded the statement with him leaving "his father & his mother." (And you are thinking, "the first & *last* wife," but it doesn't say that).

Also, you are thinking (as we also did) that the two "becoming one flesh" would be sin if they became "one flesh" with anyone else (1 Cor 6:15,16). That is true if that were with anyone <u>other</u> than an additional spouse.

But we, still later, found proof in

the <u>New</u> Testament that this very-same statement (Gen 2:23-24) also applies to having <u>plural</u> spouses. And yes, we know that many of you think, "That's **impossible!**" Well, "read on" & you will see that it really is hidden in the New Testament, if you will keep an open mind. Isn't it amazing that the Lord was careful on how his Bible-writers worded each of these scriptures that were needed? They worded it in a way that would convince <u>you</u> that this teaching <u>really is</u> true, all without themselves even realizing what they were implying in their writings.

And why is that hidden proof even there, at all, in the New Testament? It's because the Lord foresaw your misunderstanding of Gen. 2:24. And so, The Lord purposely hid that proof in the New Testament, to help you see (in these last days) that Gen. 2:24 doesn't really mean what you had always thought it meant.

Now, if you truly find that what we are saying really is so, then wouldn't the Lord be wonderful, that He made-sure to <u>clarify</u> all of these issues in His holy Word??? (Yes, He becomes wonderful to all of us who end-up being convinced that God really did hide this for our day).

But how could the Lord hide his approval if He's totally silent about this???

But <u>also</u>, the Lord <u>also</u> went to the trouble to actually <u>hide His **approval**</u> in the Bible as well, of women having plural-husbands, confirming that it truly can be OK (not <u>necessarily</u> wrong). (Again, you say, "That can't possibly be!). Yes, it <u>can</u> be wrong, but it also can be <u>right</u>!). And if women can have more than one husband, then surely it is true that men can also (still) have more than one wife, even in our day!

So for now, just keep an open mind about the *possibility* that it really could be true, especially since Gen. 2:24 is not even worded as a <u>command</u>, After all, if the Lord even hid his approval of women having plural-husbands, then how could Gen. 2:24 really mean "only one spouse???" So, that means that the Bible is totally silent (never made it clear either way) whether women weren't allowed to have more than one husband, & yet He as even hidden His approval of it in His Holy Scriptures.

But don't get the wrong idea:

The Muslims & the "plural-spouse Mormons" (of the 19th century & today), etc. made/make the mistake of living together with all of their wives in harems. Women don't appreciate harems, & neither does God. The Lord states a much better idea in His Holy Word. The Lord recommends for them to each live separately & has hidden it in a prophecy (you probably won't even catch-on, but it's hidden in Isa. 4:1 (see vss 1-5 & also ch. 3)), which makes Plural-Spouses much-more acceptable.

"But It's Against the USA-Law for Anyone to Have More than One Spouse."

Yes, it does look like it's illegal, & it <u>was</u> truly illegal a long time ago, but not anymore. It is now legally possible for <u>anyone</u> to have as many "spouses" as they want to, in the USA (& in many other developed countries)—that is, <u>as long as</u> they <u>don't</u> register these marriages with the government.

The reason why is because our nation (& other nations) have become so ungodly, that they virtually have-to allow anyone to live with as many "anyone" as they wish, as long as all of them are agreeable to that.

Technically, that old law of "only one spouse" still stands & <u>makes it look-like</u> it's illegal, but <u>only if</u> you register more than one husband or more than one wife with "<u>the government</u>." Look, if ungodly people (like even John Wayne) can legally have as many women, & if popular/wealthy women can have as many men as they want, without marrying any of them, then doesn't it make sense that godly Christians can also <u>legally</u> have plural-spouses, if that's what they believe???

Look, let's be fair. If it's acceptable for ungodly people to do that, then it needs to be acceptable for Christians, who believe in plural spouses, to do that—after they marry, of course. Isn't that right???

If you get married in the church to more than one wife or husband (or even if sinners just start living together with several, all without marrying any of them), all without registering it with the government, then it's now legal. For anyone can live with anyone anymore, as long as it is agreeable with all of them. And, many sinful people have been doing just that.

In fact, even the fundamentalist "Mormons,"

(fundamentalist Latter-Day Saints) have been legally practicing it for (surely) more than 30 years. And recently, I heard that a number of Muslims have also been secretly doing that, & have been raising <u>huge</u> families (from their many so-called "girlfriends," with (e.g.) 8 children per "girlfriend")--in order to help the Muslim-population to become a <u>majority</u>.

[It scares us, but don't worry. Believe it or not, Islam (& all other Non-Christian religions) are about to crumble. They should collapse not too long from now. That will "open the door" for us Christians to take the Gospel to the *whole* world. Yes, the Bible (indirectly) prophesies (Rev 13:7-8, see vss 1-8) that every tribe & nation will, one day, follow the Antichrist. You see, Buddhists, Hindus, Muslims & Christians are so prejudiced against each other, that none of them would be deceived by what each of the other 3 would be deceived by. So, the only way that the Antichrist can deceive the whole world, is for the whole world to all have the same, basic religion---prior to the Antichrist rising-up, e.g. the whole world becoming Christian of some sort, You see, that's what this website is all about. It is prior to the time of the Antichrist. preparing the way for us Christians to take the Gospel to everyone in the whole-wide-world. But without these new teachings in this website, we'll never even be able to reach our own neighbors next door, who aren't interested in God at all. Without these new teachings, we will never be able to reach the "down & outs" & "the wicked." But with these new teachings (& with the Holy Spirit), we are going to see multitudes turn away from their alcohol & their drugs & their whores & prostitutes & their violence & crime. That's why God is sending this message at this time, to get all of us ready to reach the whole world! Believe it or not, virtually all Christians, including Roman Catholics, will *eventually* believe this message. Why? For Protestants, they will "latch-hold" because they will see the changed lives of all of these people that were once so godless, before they found Jesus (Matt 7:15-23). But for Roman Catholics, they will also come around when see the great harvest of souls that they can win by accepting JSS-Love & Plural Spouses. Not convinced? Then just wait long enough for it to happen & you will

see. No, this would never happen without the Lord also assisting us by pouring-out the Holy Spirit.

But We Haven't Finished the List of the Seven:

#4) We originally had "7" without this one, but we always wondered if there wasn't another one, because the last 2 so-called ""don'ts"" could be lumped together as one. But it wasn't until last Friday, upon going to bed, that I realized this one. So, I got up & started writing.

This is one more traditional "prohibition" that the Bible is also totally silent about. This one has been a traditional prohibition by ultra-conservative Christians for hundreds (thousands?) of years: to be very careful in associating with the opposite sex, not too often & not too much. For frequent association easily becomes friendship, & friendship easily turns into mutual-attraction to eachother, & mutual-attraction (if unchecked) can gradually turn into either a) covetousness "to have each other" or b) lust for "a secret affair."

When that happens, it's amazing how their hearts start forgetting God & gradually finding a way to justify their future (&/or past) actions. When their lusts are great enough, "wrong" starts becoming "right" & "right" starts becoming "wrong," so much that their future (& past) transgressions seem justified in their own minds. Many such people never truly repent from that time, onward, & end-up losing eternal life, all because they never "untwisted" their minds from making "wrong," "right" & thus, never repented. But not long from now, many of those fallen Christians will find God's acceptable ways of escape (appropriate JSS-Love & appropriate Plural Spouses) & will then, once again, repent & be restored to God. (I've already seen some do that).

For thousands of years, Orthodox Jews required that the women & small children sit separately (e.g. in the back) from the men. Ultra-conservative Christians have also done something similar, with some of them (e.g. fundamentalist LDS-"Mormons") even having (or have-had) separate services for the women & small children. Why? They often say that the reason why is because the women distract the men from worshiping, & that can be true. But there is also another reason for ultra-conservative Jews & Christians: Too much association with the opposite sex breeds "familiarity," which often leads to either a) secret affairs or b) leaving your spouse for someone else. The ultra-conservative Christians are right about that. My own experience testifies to that: When my wife & I had very small children, we attended a small church of about 150 people, many of which were about as young as we were & also had small children. I well remember one couple that were about 5 years further along than we were. They were about as sociable Christians as you ever meet. His wife was not only super friendly, but also superbeautiful. She was chatting with me from the pew behind me. I would have *loved to* have been friends with her, but I couldn't. Why? Because I knew that my heart would have been stolen if I did. It took all that I could do to be polite, & yet not respond in an open, friendly way.

Eventually, she caught on. We still remained friendly to each other, but "friendly at a distance," so to speak, for I knew that I wasn't safe with it being any more than that. But "my story" gets worse. I regularly attended Cradle-Roll & later, Kindergarten (Sunday School), so that I could be with my two young daughters. By the time I started attending Kindergarten with my child/children, they started putting me to work in leading the Kindergarten service. And as you can guess, I became friendly with several of those women & really appreciated them. But

"my story" gets worse-yet. We moved away after being there for 10 years, & we only returned a few times. But we learned that the husband of the super-sociable couple had left his beautiful wife & was even going with someone else. A few years later, I lost my wife to her Sunday-School teacher of her (very-small) class. I sensed that it wasn't wise for her to be going to that particular class, for he was an old friend of her family. But I didn't say anything. I understand why she favored him, for I, too, have been attracted to women Sunday-School teachers & speakers. She was looking from a worldly standpoint, for he was more successful, financially. But

"many years later-yet, I returned to that church where we raised our small children. I found that every one of those wonderful, godly Christian women had also split (or had been split) from their husbands, all except one couple who were still together all those years. Now, don't tell me that too much association with other people of the opposite sex doesn't play a part in divorce & remarriage. Had I not remained stiffly-loyal to the Lord & very careful in my association with other women, I'd guess that I, too, would have given-in. I'm not the only one that believes this. Many ultra-conservative Christians are very careful in their associations with "the opposite sex." Historically, couples who have faithfully practiced these precautions (& stay loyal to God) have had a much higher success-rate of staying together, than those that were not as careful in associating with the opposite sex.

So under the old system of things, if you want to stay together till death do you part, then you

need to be careful in your association with the opposite sex. And yet in the Bible, you won't find one place where it cautions against a lot of association with the opposite sex.

It would have been wise for the Bible to say that, for the sake of all who lived prior to our day, for many would have been more careful, if that caution were in the Bible. But instead, the Lord decided in favor of <u>convincing us</u> in <u>our</u> day, that associating with the opposite sex isn't <u>necessarily</u> unwise, that is if you believe & practice JSS-Love & Plural Spouses.

And yet you reply, "Well, you'd still have to be careful in associating with the opposite sex, even if you practiced JSS-Love & Plural Spouses." Yes, to some extent, but you don't have to be nearly as careful as you do with "the old system of things." For you would now be free to share JSS-Love with them if you choose to (& if their spouses are amenable to such a thing, etc., etc.). And also, you would now be free (in most cases) to consider the possibility of courting & marrying one or more of those you associate with, if that is whom you would choose to marry.

But even with this new freedom, you still have to be careful with is your heart, that your heart doesn't get stolen by some of your close friends, doubly-especially if one of them is someone that you <u>cannot</u> have as a spouse. Yes, there are some that you aren't allowed to marry, such as two sisters, or two brothers, etc., etc.

But remember, neither you nor we (not the vast majority of you) have experienced relationships with JSS-Love & plural spouses. So, we don't know yet "the in's & the out's" of JSS-Love & Plural Spouses. All that you will know, after reading this website, is that the Lord truly does approve of appropriate JSS-Love with others (& also approves of appropriate marriages with more than one spouse). So, we've got a lot to learn.

But one more thing we can be sure. After you convinced by our case, you can then be sure that the Devil truly did steal these teachings from mankind. He stole them for a reason: All the way, down through the ages, mankind's biggest problems were sexual sins & marriage sins. That has always been mankind's biggest problem, & much of the reason why is because Satan "stole" God's preferred way of escape to sexual sins, and also His preferred way of escape for marriage sins.

Also, <u>every</u> nation & empire that collapsed in the past, fell from "breakdown of the family" (i.e. from families falling apart). That's a known fact. So, maybe Satan knew what he was doing in forbidding these new teachings on sex & marriage. So maybe, "forbidding these 2 new teachings" (forbidding JSS-Love & Plural Spouses) were/are to blame for much of the wickedness of the world, throughout history.

No, we don't have any experience with these new teachings at the present time. But if we see great changes for the better in the lives of Christians, when these new teachings are put-into practice, then we will know for sure that these new teachings really are from the Lord (Matt 7:13-20).

But we have "a surprise" to add that

just happened to me only 3 days ago: But Islam, an off-shoot of Christianity that approves of plural wives, has traditionally gone to even greater extremes to avoid associations with the opposite sex. Just yesterday, I watched a modern Syrian comedy-movie where Muslim men & women were celebrating a wedding—separately. The bride & many women were intensely-celebrating in one room, & the groom & many men intensely-celebrating in another room. But amazingly, I saw that comedy when visiting my Yezidi ("Eezeedy") friends from Iraq. The Muslims have severely terrorized the Yezidis for about a thousand years. (These Yezidis were watching it because it was making fun of their weak-efforts to try to avoid association with the opposite sex). But in contrast, the Yezidis have no problem in associating with the opposite sex. Yezidi weddings are also intense, like that Muslim wedding, except all the men & women celebrate it together, instead of separately (lasting about 4-5 hrs). And yet, the Yezidis don't have much problem of spouses leaving each other for "someone better." Now, how can they freely associate, & the

Muslims can't??? You need to know that they keep this answer secret, because of opposition from Muslims & Christians: The reason why they don't have a problem is because they have traditionally, for thousands of years, shared an ultra-conservative form of JSS-Love with "others⁴." I thought that you might like to know that there truly is one form of JSS-Love that has been (& is being) successfully practiced for thousands of years. That's *probably* why most of them never became Christians. We'd guess that when Christians brought/bring the Gospel to them & found-out/find-out about this form of "JSS-Love," that the Christians jumped/jump to the traditional conclusion, saying, "Oh NO !!! That's wrong !!!" But the Yezidis weren't (& aren't) about to give-up their custom that helps them prevent adultery & fornication. We'd guess that's why the Yezidis stand stiffly for their beliefs, totally rejecting Christianity. Yes. their belief has helped keep their husbands & wives from leaving for "someone better," but it has also kept them from finding Jesus-until perhaps, now. But maybe when they find that their form of JSS-Love is approved by God, perhaps most of them will now start believing in Christianity-In fact, I already know of one Yezidi-leader that practices "Yezidi," but also maybe. believes in Jesus. So, let's pray for them.

But after pondering over that Muslim comedy,

I began to realize that it's true that avoiding association with, & even avoiding seeing, the opposite sex can "backfire" when they do associate, or even when they do see "one." Their unholy desires can become worse than if they had actually been associating with each other to some extent. It's true. It all depends on "where the mind wanders."

The Muslims are making a mistake. If they think that their mind "will get turned-on" if they see "somebody," it will. So, "total isolation" is not good, either, not even "under the old system of things." Carrying things to extremes like "no association at all" can back-fire on them.

A Recent Example of How I Overcame a Similar Temptation:

Just 2 days ago, the Devil awoke me early that morning & loudly proclaimed (in a dream from him), "<u>Association</u>!!!" He immediately (vividly) showed me a gorgeous, "breasty," 20 year-old joining our men's baseball team. She was looking up at me, with a beautiful smile on her face, like so many gorgeous girls do, as if she were saying "Wouldn't you just love to <u>have me!</u>" No, I wouldn't "just love to have" her, for there are big drawbacks to those "super-attraction" women.

Satan was trying to get me to lust, or at least delight in her, so that he could accuse me of

⁴ Yezidis have special, tightly-woven, long-sleeve, close-fitting "body-suites," probably made out of a special material, for sharing the lesser forms of sex with "others." My guess is that they lay on top of each other, much like sexual intercourse, except externally (not "going in"). Probably, the woman is on-top & moves back & forth to cause her clitoris to slide back & forth along the man's penis (with the 2 body-suite cloths in-between) until they reach a climax. I don't know anything but probably, they "water-proof" that tightly-woven region with wax, so that semen can't ooze out. The wax would also act as a lubricant. But if you want put this method into practice without the possibility of "an accident," then you need to <u>find-out</u> the "how-to" details from the Yezidis who have <u>experience</u> with that!

But recently (Mar. 12, **2020**) I realized that there is evidence that Abraham's family were probably practicing a form of JSS-Love, even before Abraham & Sarah (& Lot) left Haran. The Bible says that when Isaac married Rebekah & took her into his mother's tent (who had died about 4 years earlier), that He was comforted after his mother's death (Gen 24:67, see vss 62-67). Now, I had always wondered about what that meant, but assumed that he was comforted to have someone feminine. But recently, I wondered if there was a connection between Isaac having sex with his wife & his relationship with his mother, Sarah. Since both Abraham & Sarah were originally Yezidi's, it could very-well be that Sarah used to share the Yezidi form of JSS-love with her son, Isaac. There is no way to prove it, but it certainly looks like Isaac was comforted by having sex with his wife from then-on, because he had not had Yezidi-JSS with his mother since the day she died. Perhaps. No, he didn't share Yezidi-JSS with his wife, but both kinds of sex do bestow comfort, and that would explain how Isaac was comforted after his mother's death.

committing fornication with her in my heart. He was trying to accuse me of desiring to have sexual intercourse with her, even if I were only just delighting in her, all *without any* evil thoughts.

For the Devil is "the accuser of the brethren" & will accuse you, even if you <u>might have been</u> thinking/feeling evil; <u>unfair</u>!, <u>unfair</u>!, <u>unfair</u>!!!). But I resisted that temptation. That temptation would have been a lot less severe if it were a mixed team of men & women, rather than just one in the midst of a bunch of men. (One girl, regularly associating with a bunch of men—is not a good idea, if you want them to keep their hearts right, not even if they believe & practice JSS-Love).

Now, some of you men may be wondering

whether you should read this. Yes, **you** *need to see this*, for you are feeling temptation in just reading these things. Look, you've been tempted before, & you didn't handle it very well. Well, it's time for you to learn how to handle these temptations. I'm giving you your first lesson <u>on how to</u> <u>overcome a temptation</u> like that. So, it is very important for you to ponder on how you would handle these temptations.

"God has chosen the foolish things of the world to confound the wise;..." (1 Cor 1:27a, KJV, see vss 22-31). Now, most of God's answers are very sensible, but <u>a few</u> of God's answers seem superfoolish to us. And yes, <u>God's</u> answers for sexual- & marriage-temptations seem about as foolish as you can get.

So, what is God's preferred way,

("<u>the</u> way") in a situation like this? The Devil put this temptation in the context of being free to associate when your group believes in JSS-Love. (I had just written the above-portion the night before, on how the Yezidis don't have to worry about "association" because of their ancient custom of practicing an ultra-conservative form of JSS-Love. That's why he started out the dream by proclaiming "<u>Association</u>!!!" But Satan's intent was evil).

As we said, it's not wise, even with JSS-Love, for just <u>one</u> gal to regularly associate with the <u>same</u> bunch of men. Thoughts can become evil, even if she isn't gorgeous. It's far-better to be a more-equally balanced group of men & women.

Attraction, & thus, temptation, can grow with time, when regularly associating, like in the dream that that the Devil gave me, but also in a more equally mixed group. So, <u>what is God's preferred answer</u>, when you become tempted in a situation like this? The Lord's preferred answer is to offer/ask to share JSS-Love, rather than to have "an affair" with her. (In some situations like these, God's answer may also include: considering the possibility of adding an extra spouse).

In a case like that dream, she would probably say "No," or would "put you on the waiting list," several weeks away. That's fine, for the Lord still has an answer. Since you can't share JSS-Love with her (or have to wait a long time), then you do the second-best thing when you get home & are alone. You just imagine *appropriate* JSS-Love with her, while self-masturbating.

But when the Lord recommends something like that,

that is totally contrary to mankind's traditional teaching, then it seems foolish to us. That's only natural to be repulsed by it, because our minds have been ingrained to think & reason in that traditional way of thinking.

But not only does the Lord's teaching (about JSS-Love) seem foolish, but also God's preferred way of escape seems even more-so, "*absolutely*-foolish." Man's answer is to "flee fornication" (1 Cor 6:18, KJV, see 13b-20). And if you can't figure out a better way to escape than to flee, then at least, *flee* from giving-in to fornication, like it says in 1 Cor 6:18.

That verse warns that <u>of all sins</u>, the <u>most important</u> sin to flee from is fornication (most translations say, "sexual immorality," but the Bible's (I.e. <u>God's</u>) definition is "...fornication..."), because committing fornication would sin against (harm) your own body. And it does. When I was younger, I saw a number of men give-in to fornication. They were never the same

again. In fact when I talked to them, they seemed to have aged, seemed empty, no longer having a contented-look on their face, without a motivation to do anything. I saw the same thing with men who were regularly indulging. All they seemed to think about was themselves. Their countenance reflected a sadness, with no real motivation for anything else, an emptiness that I Apparently, they were just living for "the next event." Something didn't want to have. even seemed to be wrong with their bodies, even for those "first-timers." Their skin seemed aged & more marked, & their bodies looked flaccid, half-alive. How sad. But in contrast, happily-married men (Christians) don't seem that way. In general, they are contented & reasonably happy most of the time. They can take an interest in just about anything, including people, & are highly motivated, & act as if they have much to live-for. Yes, they love to have sex with their wife, but they aren't "just living for the next event" like those guys were. Isn't that true with most happily married, Christian men, most of the time??? What a contrast! I have also seen a husband who was mean & "chased away" his loyal wife & was having an secret affair, unknown to her. His countenance had changed so much that I kept thinking that he was someone He seemed a lot older than he, & his skin & his features were a lot more marked. else. Unlike the others I've mentioned, he was a lot happier, but I could tell that something was wrong with his form of happiness. It was easy to sense that something wasn't right, especially when I discovered that it really was him, & not someone else. How was it that he was so much happier with his wife out of the house??? So the point is, flee from fornication, even you Christians who are tempted to have an affair, for you won't be the same if you do. It will <u>hurt</u> you, as well as hurt your skin & body.

But all sin originates in the heart, & fleeing fornication doesn't keep a person from still <u>desiring</u> that sin (fleeing from it, but their heart still desiring it). But in contrast, God's preferred way of escape (1 Cor 10:13, see 1-14) will not only provide "<u>the</u> way of escape" (vs 13) from <u>physically</u> participating in fornication, but will also <u>subdue their desire</u> to indulge in fornication.

But, it seems like "the stupidest thing you could

ever do," to share JSS-Love with her. Wouldn't you want to desire her all the more & perhaps even do "the real thing" instead of JSS-Love? Yes, if you are truly wanting to sin against God, then participating in JSS-Love will open the door for you to *cheat* when you do it (if the other person is also willing to commit fornication).

But if you truly want to heed God & "*flee*" from fornication, then properly sharing JSS-Love will greatly relieve your problem. Why? Because your sex-drive is relieved 10 fold when you reach a sexual-climax in either JSS-Love or in appropriate self-masturbation.

Satan stole this way of escape from us, but the Lord promises to restore such thefts (in "the spiritual realm") by seven-fold (Prov 6:30-31 (written in the context of "stealing," by having an adulterous affair with your neighbors wife), see vss 20-35). So, the Lord will make-sure to restore what He promised.

So recently, we have asked the Lord to restore that "theft" (of Satan stealing the teaching of JSS-Love from us), to restore to each of us (that now believe & practice JSS-Love), by making the "7 fold" to be 3 times more pleasure, plus 4 times more satisfaction (& conviction that JSS-Love really is the right thing to do). So, don't be surprised if your pleasure & satisfaction is just as much when sharing JSS=love, as it is when you share "husband & wife sex" with your spouse.

So when Christians choose "<u>the</u> way of escape" of JSS-Love (or if necessary, self-masturbation, while <u>picturing</u> JSS-Love with him/her), they will not only relieve that sex-drive that was building up inside of them, but will also be satisfied with their way of escape. Thus, their previous desire to commit fornication will now be resolved & will no longer be a viable temptation.

But, we also need to point-out that

you have to picture/think acceptable thoughts, or else. JSS-Love will also become sin. Instead of

picturing her nude & sharing sexual intercourse with her (without being married to her), instead, you picture, or even pretend with her, that you are married to each other (or picture what you would do "down the road," <u>if (or when)</u> you got married to each other). And, that makes your thoughts acceptable to God, as long as you don't actually do "JSS-Love," & don't actually do what husband & wives do.

And you are saying, "No,... no,... that wouldn't be right..."

Yes, yes, I know. People have fought against our answer for more than 10 years. But let me ask you, WHO decides whether it's right or not??? That's right, it's GOD who decides whether it's right or wrong, not us.

But look at it this way: Would it be wrong if you two really were married to each other??? (It would be stupid for her to marry you, if you are an old man & she is a young adult, but technically, it's not wrong in God's eyes. Abraham was vastly older than Keturah, & yet he had many sons by her. Keturah must have been a very godly woman, for most of her sons were faithful to God (Gen 25:1-6). And she didn't mind being married to such a good, old-man, because he was goo & kind & rich & easy to get-along with).

But if you two really were married to each other, then would it be wrong for you two to share JSS-Love??? Of course not, for you two would even be free to have "husband & wife sex." Well, if that is true, & all of you know that that it's true, then how can you say it's wrong to picture what that would be like, since that is acceptable to God???

Maybe you aren't ready yet, to buy-into this way of "picturing/pretending," but for now, just keep it in the back of your mind, so that you can "come around to that idea" when everything fits-together sufficiently.

But how did you handle that temptation that

the Devil gave you: You know, your female baseball partner, because you have never-yet shared JSS-Love with anyone?

It's been a long time since I've had to practice a "JSS" way of escape. Yes, I do have to relieve my sex drive every 3 days or so, in order to maintain good sexual self-control (like Paul advised in 1 Cor 7:2-5), but it has been a long time since I have had to take the way of escape & picture JSS-Love with the person that I'm tempted about (or with someone a lot more acceptable, if that woman happened to be an evil-minded person)..

But the Devil had disturbed me enough that I thought it was a good idea to resolve that temptation by picturing JSS-Love with her, rather than to keep-on resisting that temptation until that temptation gradually subsided.

So, I pictured that very person that the Devil showed me (while relieving myself through selfmasturbation). I just pictured how I would handle that situation & considered what possible "JSSactivities" we would do, knowing that she would never be my wife (2 reasons), while at the same time, picturing that. That's all. It completely eliminated that temptation, just as it had done before, time & again.

[By the way, I have to interject that there are going to be a lot of young-adult women that are going to share JSS-Love with older men. (But watch-out & make sure that they are adults, if you live in the USA, so that you don't go to prison for it). And also, there may be some young women that aren't really committed Christians, but will join a church that believes in JSS-Love, just to get a little extra money. I'm not saying that you can't share JSS-Love with such a person, but be careful. What you really want are (adult) girls that really-do love the Lord, & thus really-do love you, for the atmosphere from an ungodly girl can "rub-off on you" & will not give you the satisfaction that a sincere, godly girl will.

Older men appreciate so much when young-adult women give them attention. Most older men will gladly give her gifts for the pleasure of sharing JSS-Love with her. (Well, that's the truth, isn't

it? And it's not wrong, as long as they are sincere gifts of appreciation (& as long as the men aren't short-changing the Lord)--and as long as the girls are <u>not</u> charging for "their services" like prostitutes do (for the US government will try them as prostitutes if they do).

{But young women, make sure that you are dealing with godly men—ones that have that atmosphere of "Jesus" around them, ones that you're confident that you can trust, not just anyone. Don't take the risk of getting raped & even murdered. And don't expect to get rich off of this, either, for these men are godly Christians that also have-to remember to be faithful to the Lord's work (financially) as well, & thus, can't waste a lot of money, either. And also, *proper* JSS-Love takes more time than a prostitute takes, because in doing some "love-activities" together, it makes it more enjoyable, more meaningful, more precious. (Even husbands & wives will also want to share some of these love-activities with their spouse). So, don't expect as much money as a prostitute gets. Even when JSS-Love becomes popular, it might not even be as much as you would get from a minimum-wage job. But those gifts will still help you, financially, especially if you are going to school. But also, you aren't taking the risks that prostitutes take, for you are dealing with men that are a lot more godly than their "customers"].

There is also a similar problem with "free association" in

"the new system" of JSS-Love & Plural Spouses. There is something else that is also needed, in addition to JSS-Love & Plural Spouses, & that is touching & expressing affection, discussed in the next section.

For example, I was picturing a young woman playing on a men's baseball team & realized that there is a problem with that, even "under the new system of things." The men's desires become worse if they associate with her, but can't touch her, than if they were free to occasionally touch her & hug & kiss her. To not be free to touch her only increases their desire for her.

It's true. It makes her *more desirable* than ever, if all the guys *aren't* free to occasionally express affection to her with a "touch" or a hug or a kiss. Do you realize that? Yes, it depends on where "the mind" goes. If a person's heart isn't right, t won't make any difference no matter what they do, but it will help those who *want to* control their desires.

Wow!!! It's just the opposite of what you would think, just like the Muslims thinking that they can control their desires better if they don't see any of "them." It also backfires if a person is not free to touch, hug or kiss. Giving her a touch or a hug or a kiss gives them some satisfaction, just like it would if they shared JSS-Love with her.

Thus, it would help keep their desires more controllable. After all, most of them wouldn't get to share JSS-Love with her most of the time, but they would get the satisfaction of a hug & kiss at every game. (As you will see, JSS-Love is a "one on one" experience & cannot be done like an assembly-line, with a bunch of men standing in line).

It's the same with the women that I associated with in that Kindergarten Sunday School that I mentioned, all of whom eventually left their husbands for "someone better." People's desires for "someone better" become greater when they frequently associate with each other, but aren't free to occasionally touch or to give each other a hug, etc.

JSS-Love & Plural Spouses will largely eliminate this problem, but a touch, a hug or a kiss will also give that person some satisfaction & better self-control, just the opposite of what you would think it would.

Often what happens is that Christians headed toward adultery, converse with each other, all without any touching at all. But their their desires keep increasing, & thus, they get more & more

careless about "putting down" wrong thoughts. Eventually, the look of desire in their eyes reveals their real heart.

Their desires might not have gotten out of control like that if they had been free, from the very beginning. to occasionally touch, hug & kiss (as well as, free to share JSS-Love, if & when they wanted to). And of course, they now also have the option of adding an additional spouse.

But there are cases where the two individuals can't marry each other (discussed...). In those cases they have to just treat each other as a "mini-wife/mini-husband," loving each-other just as much as husbands & wives do, but only sharing JSS-Love, always knowing that they will never marry each other.

If there heart was pure in the beginning, then they might not have been quite as strongly attracted to that person, if they had been free to occasionally touch, hug & kiss from the very beginning. That little satisfaction would have reduced that "yearning' that started growing inside of them, & thus, they might have been able to control it better. However, God's preferred ways of escape (JSS-Love & Plural Spouses) would have been even more effective.

So, they might have been able to appreciate that person without losing loyalty to their spouse.

#5) "Don't express affection (repeatedly kissing, caressing & hugging) someone's spouse" is not mentioned, "pro nor con," (not even as wise counsel) <u>anywhere</u> in the Bible. If it were categorically-wrong for men & women to have plural spouses, or if it were categorically-wrong to share JSS-Love (Jesus' Satisfying Solution, proper sharing of the lesser forms of sex) with a <u>married</u> person, then wouldn't it have been wise for the Lord to counsel us to avoid expressing affection to someone else's <u>spouse</u>??? Of course it would, for doing that might be disastrous to their marriage.

"Everyone" knows that it isn't <u>technically</u>-wrong to hug & kiss your neighbor's wife. It's just not a very wise thing to do, **if** sharing your love with a married person (through the lesser forms of sex) is categorically wrong. For you might get into trouble if you repeatedly hug & kiss him/her.

Now, it would have been beneficial up till now, if the Lord had counseled against such. But since sharing JSS-Love (lesser forms of sex) with your neighbor's wife isn't necessarily wrong (if shared properly, without sinful hearts & thoughts), then the Lord chose to remain totally silent about this. Why?, for our sake, today, to help "wake us up" that JSS-Love isn't necessarily wrong!

#6) Your son or daughter exposing his/her nakedness to you.

And you object, saying,"The reason why the Lord didn't say anything, is because the parents have to change the diapers of their children when they are babies." We answer, "Have you ever noticed how "wordy" the 5 books of Moses are (the only place in the Bible that makes it clear that it's even wrong for relatives, even brothers & sisters, to see each other's nakedness)?

Teenagers & boys & girls, it's morally-wrong (in God's eyes) to even expose your nakedness to your brother or sister or anyone else. & Christians have traditionally known this (though many are now, quote, "forgetting" that rule). But nowhere, not even in the Old Covenant, was it forbidden for a parent to see their own child's nakedness. The child was not to see the parent's nakedness, but not vice versa.

And you think that was because a parent has to change their diapers when they are babies. But the five books of Moses (the first 5 books of the Bible) are very wordy, because it was the Lord that was doing the speaking (except for the frequent stories). No, if the Lord wanted to forbid it, all He had to add was, "...after they are weaned." But the Lord chose to remain totally silent about it.

Now, some of you may still object because many translations (including the KJV) translate Lev. 18:6 as, "None of you shall approach any one of his close relatives to uncover nakedness." (ESV), but the Hebrew scriptures don't say that. The Jewish <u>Stone Edition</u> of the Jewish scriptures translates it more closely to what it actually says, "Any man shall not approach his close relative to uncover nakedness; I am HASHEM." ("HA SHEM" means, "the Name" & was used to replace the

actual name of God, Yahweh or Jehovah, but most Jews have stopped using HA SHEM & use "ADONAI," which means "LORD").

The point we are making is that there is only one "any," & that "any" is at the <u>beginning</u> of the sentence & is in-front of the word "man," i.e. "any man." There is no "any" in front of "near of kin" or "close relative." And that is amazing. The Lord was <u>even</u> very careful to not put the word "any" in front of "close relative." for that would have included a person's son & a person's daughter.

Look, many children crave to be naked. No parent should require a child to take off all of their clothes in their presence. But children, including single adults, long to be naked. Well, the Lord has given them one outlet where they can be visibly naked in the presence one or both parents (one child at a time, for children are not to see the nakedness of their siblings). Now, isn't it better for them to be naked in the presence of their parent, than to be naked with someone else, who might get them into trouble???

Today, we haven't thought of nakedness as a big issue, but it's terribly wrong in God's eyes. In the Old Covenant, the Lord even commanded a brother & sister to be put to death *if* they saw each other's nakedness (Lev 20:17, "cut-off" meant "put to death," so that there was <u>no possibility</u> of them <u>ever</u> coming back into Israel sometime later).

But you say, "But that's 'Old Covenant!' " Yes, it is, & we don't have to put to death those that sin that way. But remember, the Lord only had people put people to death on <u>serious</u> issues. If it was a serious issue in the Old Covenant, & since it's also a moral issue, both then & now, then what makes you think that it's OK for a brother & sister to see each other's nakedness??? No, even the New Te4stament forbids you from exposing your nakedness. Doing such a thing can even cause you to miss-out on Heaven (Rev 16:15 & other places, too).

A Problem Of Young People & Singles

Young people, you need to realize why you are so strongly tempted to expose your nakedness. Your Sex-drive & your nakedness-drive are closely-connected. People, this is one of the reasons why it is so important to relieve yourself, sexually, (through appropriate JSS or through appropriate masturbation). If you do so, you will find that you will also be able to control your "naked-drive" as well. It's all connected together.

Young people, multitudes of you have been giving-in to being naked with... It seems so innocent to you, but it isn't at all. Such an action prompts evil thoughts & is even more dangerous than that. The Lord knows that sooner or later, it will place you in the path of <u>great</u> temptation.

That's why the Lord forbids you from this activity that seems so innocent to you, because it opens the door to even worse sins. The Lord says "No, only with your parents or with your spouse (*after* you get married). (JSS-Love also allows nakedness, but you have to do it in a way that neither of you can see each others nakedness (from the waist to the thighs)).

You think you do it because you want to, but Jesus knows better. Jesus said that the sinner becomes a slave to his-own sin (John 8:34-36, see vss 31-47). You reply, "I'm not a slave. I do it because I want to." That's true, but those very "wants" are causing you to be a slave. You cannot stop, either.

Yes, you can stop for an hour or even a day, but you cannot permanently stop, for you are a slave to your desires. The <u>only</u> way that you are going to break-free is to realize that it truly is sin, & that you are a helpless-slave to that sin. As soon as you realize that, then cry-out to the One that can free you from your captivity, to God (or Jesus). Cry-out in helpless-frustration, pleading, *"Lord! Free me from this sin that I'm held-by! HELP Me!!!"* And, He will, if you earnestly plead, believing that He will rescue you from your desires that are controlling you.

And then after being convinced from this website, then start relieving yourself periodically

through appropriate self-masturbation or through expressing appropriate JSS-Love with a friend (who also is convinced).

Then you will see that you will be able to control your sensual- & sexual-drive much better, (control better your sensual-attraction, your "naked-drive" & your sex-drive). (As for me, generally, it is best to relieve myself once every 3 days; otherwise my sensual-attraction gets less & less & less controllable, the longer I put off relieving myself).

One Time When Jesus Delivered Me

I remember one time when I was a (somewhat-older) college student & still single, that the Devil had finally gotten control of me, & I was even doing things that I (at least, my mind) didn't want to do (because I might get caught). When it looked like I was going to get caught, I cried out-loud to the Lord, "*Lord*, <u>HELP</u> me!!!" Instantly, that controlling power (the Devil) was GONE!!!

I was amazed & could hardly believe that the Lord could answer instantly like that. I was so thankful for the Lord's mercy that I repented from that sin from then-on. (For I didn't want a devil to get control of me ever-again).

If You Want God to Deliver You, Then You Have to <u>Try</u>

Well, the Lord will do the same for you. He will deliver you from your slavery as well, if you will cry-out to Him in faith (without any unbelief at all), <u>expecting Him to do it</u>.

But the only way you'll be delivered is if you are also trying for all you are worth, truly trying to break-free from that slavery! If the lame man at the pool of Bethesda (John 5:8-9, see 5-9) hadn't tried to get up when Jesus told him to, would he have been healed. No, he had to try to get-up.

No, Jesus won't do it for you (& neither will the Holy Spirit). <u>You</u> have to <u>try</u>. That shows them that you (perhaps not your heart, but at least your mind) truly wants to break-free from that desire that is enslaving you. When They see you keeping-on trying & pleading, & thus realizing that you can't do it without them, <u>then</u> they will deliver you.

Continuing the List of Seven

7a) <u>Touching a minor's sexual organs</u>:

The laws in the USA forbid anyone, including the child's parents, from even touching the sexual organs of a minor (under the age of 18). Neither is the minor to even touch the sexual organs of the adult. You can go to prison for that, even if the child asks you to.

But where does it say that in the Bible??? It doesn't. The Bible is totally silent about that. Now, isn't that amazing that the Lord never said anything at all about that, nor anything similar to that. Now, why didn't the Lord say something???

Now, the Bible does say that we are to obey the laws of the land. And as for sexual intercourse (becoming one-flesh w/...), the Bible does make it clear that a person is to only have sexual intercourse with his/her spouse (or spouses), but not with anyone else. So that implies that people are forbidden to have sexual intercourse with their daughter/son. But otherwise, the Bible is totally silent, "neither pro nor con," about touching your children's sexual organs, nor about the child touching the parent's sexual organs.

So, could it be that touching your own child's sexual organs <u>can</u> be wrong, but is <u>not necessarily</u> wrong??? It appears that God was also hiding this secret for us near the end of time. Apparently, believe it or not, apparently it is possible to meet the Lord's approval in doing these things.

Now that one is hard for us Americans "to swallow," for "everyone" has thought that that's the most evil thing for a parent to do to their child. (And yes, if it were true that the Lord categorically-forbids the lesser forms of sex, which "all" Americans have always believed was wrong, then it would be an evil thing to do.

But as you will see, God hasn't forbidden the lesser forms of sex (called "JSS," Jesus' satisfying solution). Yes, we have to obey the laws of the land, & those laws can be obeyed, even though it's

OK in the eyes of the Lord. But minors have to share JSS-Love with minors, not adults. So, it is important for parents to get their children practicing JSS-Love with their little friends, so that they avoid the common evils associated with "experimenting with sex," etc.

If you don't get them started "on the right road" while they are young (at least before puberty), then they might-be headed "down the wrong road" toward evil, if they even <u>once</u> experiment with sex (after puberty), even if all they do is self-masturbation—only <u>one</u> time. Their hearts will probably be stolen (especially boys) if they even masturbate once with evil thoughts.

If they do it with evil thoughts, then it won't be long before they star using filthy language (& have filthy attitudes) with their friends. Plus, they almost always turn to alcohol & drugs (even if they are minors), to get more effect from whatever sex they do. So, it's better to get them started with JSS-Love, with godly, Christian friends, <u>well-before</u> they reach puberty. Then hopefully, they won't go down the wrong path toward evil. (Read on, but please start thinking about that).

7b) Child molesting:

That's what they call it in the USA. "Molesting" is a general term of "taking advantage of someone" or "mistreating or abusing someone," but society (especially Christians) have categorically-classified any kind of sexual activity with a child as "molesting."

But where do Christians get that idea from the scriptures??? They can't, for the Lord didn't even mention anything, "neither pro nor con" <u>anywhere</u> in the entire scriptures. Go ahead & search for it, but you won't find it. And besides, since the Lord didn't forbid adults to share JSS-Love (Jesus' Satisfying Solution) with each other, & since the Lord never forbade children (teenage children & even younger) from sharing JSS-Love, then why would you even imagine that He would forbid a parent from sharing his/her love with their child (through JSS)???

And if a teenage boy wants to expose his nakedness to his mom, then what would you suppose that he's going to want next??? That's right, JSS-Love, "parent-child JSS-Love." Far better to get that from his mother than for him to "experiment with sex" with his sister, or to seduce the neighborhood girl (or girlfriend)---and you <u>know</u> what kind of sex <u>they</u> would do, since they are doing it in secret. But we are so prejudiced & thus think that all of this has to be <u>wrong!</u>

Now, isn't that amazing! Because many parents (& other adults)--not just those that do it & get caught—have also been tempted to share sexual love with their child. The Lord showed me that my own mother was tempted that way, but never actually did it—and that was <u>before</u> there was such a law.

He showed me one time when I was about 6 or 7 years old, when the Devil got the best of my Mom, who was a good, happy, peaceful Christian. My Mom saw me coming toward her bedroom door & quickly stepped into her room & undressed what she could in that little amount of time (don't worry, she died a long time ago & won't hear this) while I was passing by her bedroom door. She expressed an expression of joy & relief from not having much clothes on, as if she delighted to be naked.

I turned my head & looked at her. I think she was trying to lure me to come into her bedroom, but she "goofed" by turning her head <u>too</u> abruptly, & by looking at me as if she were <u>shocked</u> for me to see her partially undressed. Since she looked shocked, I interpreted it to mean that I wasn't supposed to turn my head & see her partial undressed. And so, I walked on bye.

I am so thankful that she goofed in such a way that it never happened, for that <u>one</u> event would have turned my whole life toward sin & sex from that time, onward. For we all believed that any such thing was categorically-wrong. Thus (because of believing that it's wrong, Rom 14:22-23), then the Devil could take advantage of that & tempt both of us even more severely.

But that one sin wouldn't have stopped with just one event, because "the sinner" always becomes <u>a slave</u> to his/her sin (John 8:34, see vss 31-36). And because the sinner becomes a willful-slave to his/her-own sin (wants to sin), he/she & <u>cannot</u> stop without the help of Jesus!

[Sinner, if you want to stop & can't, then cry-out (out-loud) to Jesus in faith, <u>believing</u> that He has the <u>power</u> to free you from that slavery. Jesus suffered & died in order to free you from those sins. So when you cry-out to Jesus in faith, He will break those chains from you. But you have to <u>do your part</u>, too, & try hard. You have to try to break free, while realizing that you are <u>utterly helpless</u> without Jesus enabling you.

When Jesus breaks those chains, then profusely *thank Him* for making you free. (You will feel a new freedom). But be sure to keep-on resisting that temptation to get back into that sin. And then continue doing your part to avoid that sin in the future, lest it take complete control of you again!].

And it is wrong, even in the eyes of God, for a mother to be visibly naked in the presence of her child. As you will see, her child (even her adult-child) can be visibly naked in <u>her</u> presence, & the two can even share their love together, appropriately, through the lesser forms of sex. But the child is <u>not</u> to see <u>his mother's</u> (or father's) nakedness (the region from the waist to the thighs).

But look, why do you think this is so horrible? Yes, if it were true that any kind of sex at all was wrong with anyone except your spouse, then it would be horrible to share such a thing with your child. But if it meets God's approval for two adults—& even for two children—to share appropriate love through the lesser forms of sex (since that *isn't* against the law), then what makes you think that it is terribly wrong for a parent to share his/her love with his/her own child???

If it is true that the Lord approves of 2 teenagers (& even younger than that) sharing JSS-Love with each other (& likewise 2 adults), because that isn't against the law, then what makes you think that God doesn't allow the teenager (or even younger) to ask his/her parent to share JSS-Love with him/her (if it isn't against the law)??? So if the Lord approves of the one, then you can be sure that He also approves of the other, as long as it isn't against the law where they are at.

No, we can't do that where it is against the law (but you can go somewhere else, occasionally, where it's legal). But the day is coming when (believe it or not) we believers will become the majority, & <u>then</u> we will modify that law, so that parents can share love like that, if the child desires it (asks for it).

And yes, a Christian should **never** <u>molest</u> (take advantage-of or harm) <u>anyone</u> "in any shape, way or form." But they call it "child molesting," even if the child asks for it, even if there is no molesting involved.

But if the child wants to be naked with Mom or Dad, & if he/she wants to share love with his/her parent, & if they share it in a place where it is legal, & if they share it appropriately, according to what the Bible says, then <u>how</u> can you call it evil??? God didn't! Many a parent (& many a child, too) would love that new freedom.

(Earlier writing, a lot of repetition)

So, Did God Just "Happen to Completely-Forget" These Seven Different "Prohibitions" About Sex & Marriage???

Do your realize what the odds are for even a <u>human being</u> to completely forget to say even <u>one</u> thing that they wanted to say—& keep on forgetting to say that thing, throughout their entire life-time??? I would guess that the odds of that happening are about one chance in a <u>million!</u>

And yet, we want to think that God "just happened to forget to mention" these 7 important things (important to say if they truly were categorically-wrong), forgotten for the entire 1500 year period that it took to write the whole Bible!.

I think all Christians believe that God is omniscient (all-knowing), omnipresent (present everywhere), all-powerful, etc., etc. You could explain (as we did) why the Lord *might be* silent

about women having plural husbands (& as you will see, even that explanation is ridiculous). But how can you explain why He didn't say something about the other six so-called ""don'ts"," if they truly are categorically wrong???

So, Why Was the Lord Silent About All 7 of These?

Now, tell me that the Lord wasn't hiding something, when He (the Bible) was totally silent about all 7 of these "don'ts". Wouldn't God's people (up till now) have been benefited by these "don'ts", if they really were true, but God couldn't confirm them. Otherwise we never would catch-on that the Devil had messed-up those teachings. But neither could he correct any of them, if He wanted to hide till our day, for God's people would have caught-on thousands of years ago.

The Lord was silent because this silence verifies that Satan truly did set-up a trap regarding sex & marriage. The Lord couldn't even partially "ratify" any of these 7 things, because not one of them is fully correct. For if He did, then we would misunderstand & think that all 7 of them are correct, & thus, we would never "catch-on" that all 7 of them need correcting.

But at the same time, the Lord didn't want to correct the real truth about these teachings, not if He wanted to save the discovery till "the time of the end," for it would prematurely expose & negate Satan's trap. For Satan's trap (these 7 distorted ""don'ts"") are largely to blame for the wickedness of the world, not just in our age, but all the way, down through the ages. (Sound impossible? Read-on).

So the Lord only had one choice, if He wanted to leave Satan's trap alone, letting it remain until near the end of time. After all, the present teachings are livable. After all, we have been living in that trap for 6,000 years, & many have been saved without falling into sexual- & marriage-sins. But that "many," is a very-great minority in the world, & even a great minority within the church! Few have succeeded. Yes, many of those that fell have repented & have been forgiven, but just think how much better it would have been if they had never fallen.

"But Our Present Teachings on Morality Seems So <u>Virtuous</u>.

So, How Could Satan Use *That* as a Trap?"

You question, "But it's such a small sin, compared to murdering & things like that." To you it may seem small, but not to God. Did you ever notice that in the 10 commandments (Ex 20:1-17) that the commandment against adultery is in-between the commandment to not murder & the commandment to not steal? There is a reason for this.

Even the rejected spouse feels that their spouse was "stolen from them," & at the same time, feels "murdered" by their spouse who now hates them & rejects them. You don't think so??? You've got to be blind to what happens to those who "lose their lover" for someone else. They feel murdered. In fact in the USA, we went through a huge rash of people (mostly men), murdering their spouse that was leaving them, & then took their own life also.

That was a stupid thing for them to do, for the Lord has a way of making things beautiful out-of each & every tragedy. But that's how much it hurts, for even those who won't do such a rash thing like that. It's super-painful. I know, for I went through a "rejection-divorce" like that.

But those sexual & marriage sins are much bigger than that. That's why Satan developed this trap. The sinner lies to himself/herself & thinks it's not such an evil thing to do. But when he/she gives-in, even before he/she takes action, while the decision is only mentally made, Satan moves-in & gradually starts taking more & more control of that person, tempting them to do worse & worse sins.

One sin opens the door for bigger sins. Why? Because, no longer is God his/her master. They, not God, become the ones to decide which of God's commandments they will keep & won't keep. And when their desire becomes great enough (through Satan's temptations), they end-up rejecting

one commandment after another, becoming worse & worse & worse.

But getting back to why the Lord kept silent on these: The Lord couldn't confirm any of these seven so-called ""don'ts"" because the Lord couldn't say what we thought He should say. Those statements needed correcting & weren't necessarily wrong.

But also, He couldn't correct those teachings, either, & still keep it as a surprise for our day. If He had corrected any one of these 7 so-called ""don'ts"," He would have revealed at least part of God's true teachings about these things. Thus, Satan's trap would have been, at least, partially negated 1000's of years ago, back in the days of Moses (or at least by Jesus' day).

But the Lord didn't want to expose Satan's distortions (on sex & marriage), not yet. But you object, "But why not! Wouldn't the world have been a whole-lot better if He had?" Yes, the whole world would have been a whole-lot less sinful. (We estimate that that the cumulative-effect of Satan's trap, over many centuries, has caused the world to be 10 times as wicked as it would have been).

But the Lord wasn't just concerned about "the present." He was out to get everyone to realize that it is absolutely necessary for Satan needed to be destroyed (in the Lake of Fire). So, the Lord allowed the whole world to become so wicked that everyone would realize that Satan & his hosts have to be destroyed.

But also, the Lord had two goals that were even greater than that:

The Lord not only wanted Satan to be overcome by Jesus. The Lord also wanted us to a) reject Satan's sins so well that we, too, could thoroughly overcome Satan's temptations by perfectly reflecting the image of Jesus. (It says so in Rev 12:11; our overcoming stops Satan's accusing mouth (see vss 7-12), & also in Rom 8:29, (see vss 12-37). So, don't think that it's impossible to do, for the Bible "prophesies" (in these texts) that we really-are going to do it!). (The reason why Paul experienced repeated failure (failing in terms of overcoming, Rom 7:13-24) was because he didn't know the secret to overcoming. God made overcoming (Rev 2:7, 11, 17, etc.) a Just as salvation & faith are gifts, so that no one gift so that no one could boast. can boast (Eph 2:8-10), so also is overcoming a gift of the Holy Spirit, purchased by Jesus shedding His blood, Rev 5:6. see vss 1-7) that *enables* us to overcome. You see, Lucifer fell from glorying over how beautiful & persuasive he was (Ezek 28:11-19). We, too, would not be exempt from falling if we had something to glory about. So, the Lord set the standard so high that no human could overcome in his own power. Jesus purchase the 7 [-fold] Spirits of God by shedding His blood 7 different times for us. Without these Spirit-gifts, none of us could ever live a virtuous life. But the only way that the Lord will give us the 5th Spirit-gift (probably purchased by Jesus falling beneath the load of the Cross, unable to carry it) is by daily acknowledging that we aren't able to remain victorious without Jesus' gifts. Thus. we don't have anything to glory about (not even "partial credit," no matter how hard we have to try), for none of us could be victorious, "24/7," without this 5th Spirit-gift. This acknowledgment protects us from any pride at all We call the 5th Spirit-gift the Subduing-Spirit that subdues our hearts & enables us to overcome.

b) The Lord's biggest-goal has been to make the universe eternally secure from sin arising ever-again (Nah 1:9, see whole book). That is why the Lord allowed the Devil to continue his trap until now, so that we could see how horrible sin can got. If He had corrected these false teachings in Moses' day or in Jesus' day, then the world would have been (we estimate) one-tenth as wicked, & people wouldn't have realized how horrible sin can get. But by waiting till our day to reveal this, with the world as wicked as it has been, we can now see the great contrast between sin & righteousness. For we adults will have lived in both of these "eras:" 1) the world at its worst (because of the Devil's trap), & 2) the world greatly improved with the

Devil's trap exposed, i.e. with everyone turning away from evil & turning toward Jesus, through the Worldly Christians will then realize that the Lord's requirements are a lot Holy Spirit. more reasonable than they had ever dreamed (& so will we). Both they & we will rejoice in this new freedom that makes it a lot easier to keep free from evil thoughts that have plagued us Christians, & to keep all of us from "out & out" sins, too. [Can't picture it? That's because sometimes, God's answers are completely backwards to what we would think (1 Cor 1:25-29). Some of you will just have to wait to see the "fruits of" changed-lives in (formerly) evil-We will feel so free & so in-love with the Lord (in contrast to people (Matt 7:15-20)]. our former life), & we will see how feasible it is to overcome (through Jesus' gifts),. Consequently, many of us will gain courage & press toward that mark of living a victorious life, And why will we be so eager to overcome (through Jesus' gift)? To stop Satan's mouth from accusing us, so that: "...Now salvation, & strength & the kingdom of our God, & the power of His Christ have come, for the accuser of our brethren,...has been cast down. And they overcame him by..." (Rev 12:10,11, NKJV, see vss 7-12).

[Less important ("old") writings on Noah's Flood:]

Is our day like it was in Noah's Day?

The world today isn't that bad yet (because people get punished for murdering), but we do see a lot of divorce & marrying someone else. But worse yet, we see multitudes that have left God & are just living together (with at least one of them thinking that he/she might change "partners" when he/she finds a "better one." That's often why they don't get married, because <u>one</u> of them refuses to make the commitment of marriage. (Those people will be much-more likely to make a commitment if they learn that they can also have other spouses).

But today's world has grown very wicked over the last 100 years. Why??? We think of our present moral standards as a high & holy ideal, but could it be that they are <u>the cause</u>??? Could it be that the world is so wicked because Satan "subliminally" persuaded Adam & Eve to raise the standard higher than what God told them, to forbid Parts 1 & 2 (especially Part 1, discussed elsewhere)???

We think of loosening the standard as bad, but there are also drawbacks to raising the standard. Many people today hate God, because they think that 1) the Lord doesn't allow any sex at all apart from marriage, 2) forbids more than one spouse. Those people that have hated God from their teenage years, onward, often become very wicked people. Some of those people get into terrible sexual evils, which might not have happened, had they known about 1) JSS-Love (acceptable "lesser-forms of sex") & 2) acceptable "plural spouses." In contrast, they might have even fallen in love with the Lord.

But that's not all. Many people in excellent churches, who <u>did</u> follow the Lord & are still regularly attending church, etc., etc., have also fallen into sexual & marriage sins. I'm talking about good, Bible-believing/Bible-practicing churches! And, I'm talking about people in those great churches, who truly did love the Lord & wanted to follow Him. They weren't hypocrites when they started out. They were overcome by Satan's temptations. And, once they give-in, they don't stop sinning (most of them, a few repent & straighten-up. But most of them start lying to themselves (perhaps even before they fell), imagining that they can "keep right-on sinning" & God will "keep right-on forgiving" them. Not so (Matt 7:21-23, see 13-27, Luke 13:27, see 22-30).

But also, we who are following God, no longer have God's <u>primary</u> ways of escape & are <u>much-</u> <u>more</u> apt to fall. Many of us married people know what it's like to be terribly attracted to "somebody else." We usually think of pastors who run away with a church member as innately evil, but could it be that most of them started out good, but gradually yielded to those terrible temptations, little-by-little, & eventually "made the jump???"

People, it's time to put a stop to these natural-consequences of "no sex at all till marriage" & "one wife, & only one wife." So when you are convinced that the Lord never commanded these teachings, then let's start making efforts to convince our churches to believe & practice these new teachings of appropriate JSS-Love & appropriate "plural spouses."

But Can it Possibly Be?

Adam (not God) taught the same standards on marriage & sex that we Christians have today, except for (probably) marrying-off their children at puberty, like many Jews did (Mal. 2:13-16). Forbidding Part 2 (& especially Part 1) are Satan's *biggest traps*, but God hid these "clues" till now, in order to catch Satan in his very-own trap.

Let's explain: Throughout the ages, more people have fallen from sexual sins & marriage sins than any other sin, because of forbidding Parts 1 (JSS) & 2 (plural spouses). Once they lose their connection with God, then Satan drives them on-into more & more & worse & worse sins. Satan has tried to (cumulatively) get each generation to become worse & worse & worse.

Why?, to get back at God by trying to "pull down" us humans (who are gong to take the place of him & his fallen angels, Rev 12:7-17), so that we, too, might be destroyed (also Rev 20:4, see 1-4, & 22:5b, see 3-6).

Forbidding Part 1 (JSS, discussed elsewhere) is the biggest reason for gross-wickedness, today. But, as you will see below, forbidding Part 2 was the biggest reason for wickedness, back in Noah's day.

So, this message is 10 times more important than you think, & yet some of you still think that it can't possibly be true. Many Bible-believers think that we are doing fine, that the Lord is about to come because the world is becoming so wicked & because we are <u>almost</u> finished taking the Gospel to the world. You are deeply <u>mistaken</u>! God has a big surprise for us:

You are overlooking the vast multitudes who "aren't interested," because they won't surrender to Christ—no, not till these 2 teachings are restored. You forgot that God will restore <u>all</u> things <u>before</u> the end of time, including doctrines (Matt 17:11b, see vss 9-13). Since Jesus said all things would be restored, then doesn't it make sense that <u>some</u> traditional-teachings would also be restored?

That implies that more than likely, not all of the traditional-teachings are correct at the present time, especially when you consider that there are so many different teachings in so many different denominations. They all can show you from the Bible, <u>why</u> they believe what they do—from certain scriptures, but <u>not</u> from all of them. The Enemy is to blame for all off these conflicting teachings & denominations, by filling each conflicting denomination with feelings of inspiration, that what <u>they</u> teach is the <u>truth!!!</u>, the whole truth & nothing but the <u>truth</u>!!!

Could it be that <u>none</u> of us have correctly fitted <u>all</u> of the scriptures together???, that each one of these conflicting teachings is "a <u>little-bit</u> right???, i.e. that the texts that they use do say something similar to that???, that the real answer lies in studying-out all of these conflicting verses, until the Holy Spirit opens our eyes to see how it <u>all</u> fits together??? Yes, we wouldn't be surprised if there will also be other traditional teachings (not mentioned here) that will also be restored soon.

Yes, we believe that the day is coming when the Lord will give-back the "Spirit of Truth," which will guide <u>all</u> of us (all who are the Lord's) into <u>all</u> truth (John 16:13). When the Lord gives that back, not only will all of the churches that believe the Bible start coming together, but they will also start believing the <u>very-same things</u>! (This is much different than previous ecumenical attempts. For not only will we (eventually) become united in beliefs, but will also be filled with the Holy Spirit & become perfectly united in brotherly-love & fellowship with each other, perfectly united as <u>ONE</u>!). We believe that many of Jesus' signs of the last days are

now coming to an end (Matt 24, Mark 13 & Luke 21). Jesus worded it that way to hide His surprise for us: (that better days are coming, less sinful & more comfortable, while taking the Gospel to the whole world—that is, until the Antichrist). No longer will only a few be saved (Matt 7:13-14). That, too, is about to come to an end. When the great majority of the world start believing (& practicing) JSS & plural spouses, then Satan's 2 biggest traps will be removed, & multitudes will start believing & yes, even *faithfully* following the Lord. You don't think so? Even during the time of the Antichrist, Jesus will have a bigger harvest of souls than there has ever been in the history of the world. Not only will multitudes die as martyrs, but also a vast multitude will come-out of the Antichrist after his reign has ended & he's exposed. One of the 24 elders in Revelation revealed to John that the "...great multitude that no-one could number..." (Rev 7:9, see vss 9-17) will come-out of "the great tribulation" (vs 14, ESV & NKJV (the KJV mistranslated it)), which is "a time of trouble such as never has been since there was a nation" (Dan 12:1, see vss 1-4), which is also Armageddon, which happens after the Antichrist, during the 7 last plagues, just before Jesus comes in the clouds of glory (Rev 16:16, see 12-21). Now, isn't that far more people than "few there be that find it" (KJV, Matt 7:13,14)??? Yes it is. So, could it be that lots-more people will be saved, saved for eternity, from now, on??? Think about it.

Someday Satan will deceive every tribe & nation, from the North Pole to the South Pole, through the Antichrist (Rev. 13:7 & 14, see vss 1-17). But the <u>only</u> way he can deceive the <u>whole</u> world, is for the entire world to be <u>one</u> basic religion. (Buddhists won't "buy-it," Hindus won't buy-it & Muslims won't "buy" what Christians will be <u>deceived</u> by). So, we <u>aren't</u> going "Home" until the <u>whole</u> world becomes Christian (just one more evidence that vast numbers of people will soon be saved, not all of them saved for eternity, but will, at least, will become Christians of some sort).

But ultra-conservatives,

that doesn't mean that your conservative practices weren't best under the old system of things, for they <u>were</u> the right thing to do, up till now. But now that God is moving in a new direction to restore these 2 teachings, then the traditional "precautions" will no longer be needed & will be a hindrance. Yes, these 2 systems don't fit-together & will seem objectionable at first. But the whole world (even our present members) will be better off, once these teachings are established.

[New, *prior* to Feb., 2019) **E** verything written below (except for a few "insertions") was

written <u>long</u>-before we discovered that there are 7 "prohibitions" that the Bible is silent about. Yes, there are some portions that are valuable, such as "What was or wasn't nailed to the Cross" & a more complete hidden approval of women having plural husbands. But at this point (w/o reading it), we think you can skip the rest of this E-book]

[There are also some "spots" that I was working on a long time ago, but never got back to finish them. Usually, there are a bunch of blank-lines at the "spots" where I'm not yet finished). Some things below will be redundant, because we haven't had time to re-write all of this. Also, the subject from here, onward, is primarily on plural spouses (except for the last pages of this "book"].

[This was the introduction of this E-book, last Jan, 2019]: (except for a few "insertions")

(the issue)

Most of us think that loosening standards is terrible, & that tightening standards isn't that bad. But we showed you the results before the Flood. And in our day, most people under 30 leave "church," with many couples just living together, not interested in God. If these men knew that the Lord approves of plural-spouses (& Part 1), they would come to Jesus & wouldn't hesitate to marry.

So, is it important? Forbidding Parts 1 & 2 are Satan's greatest traps that have made the world

so wicked. Had we known these 2 teachings a hundred years earlier, many people who are now "not interested," would <u>never</u> have left the churches. The churches in Europe & Britain would now be full, with many-more church buildings. But best of all, most of those people would put away alcohol & drugs, be peaceful & happy, in-love with Jesus & <u>loyally</u> following the Lord.

Removing these 2 traps does 3 things: 1) quenches many sin-problems <u>within</u> our churches, 2) fills followers with great love & loyalty to Jesus, 3) reaches vast-multitudes who can <u>now</u> believe & repent with <u>these</u> messages. So, those of you who will reject this, remember this:

When you see people <u>flocking</u> to <u>these</u> churches (any denomination, for there's a legal way), when you see spouses in-love with each other & deeply in-love with Jesus, putting away <u>all</u> evil, surrendering their lives <u>entirely</u> to Christ, when you see them carefully courting each other (3 $\frac{1}{2}$ years suggested), to <u>be sure</u> to keep their <u>promise</u> "till death do you part," then reconsider. Your eyes will be opened when you read the <u>very-same words</u> & wonder <u>why</u> you didn't see it before.

Look at it from a "common sense" point of view: Wouldn't it be far-better for married people to carefully/prayerfully add a spouse, while still loving & cherishing the first spouse even <u>more</u> (for <u>allowing</u> them to...), rather than for them "to deal treacherous blows" to their present spouse???

They try to get their spouse to leave & get someone else. They **hate** him/her because he/she keeps them from gratifying their desire. If they knew that they could <u>have</u> "that person," then they could relax & put-away their lust, for usually it's a fellow church-member.

We all know of marriage-suicides & of shattered lives of the forsaken ones, but also, many tragedies are from rejection. I was almost one of those secret-tragedies early-on. "Oh, you poor dear, your husband took his life!" But the Lord sustained me & gave me a beautiful bliss during that last 9 months of a 3 ½ year long, "one-sided <u>war</u>."

So please, put away your prejudices & prayerfully-keep an open mind. You'll see quick answers to many questions & and clear proofs from the <u>*Bible*</u>. You'll be shocked when you read p. 9 $\frac{1}{2}$.

(traditional rebuttals)

Yes, there's a legal-way to have plural-spouses in the USA today (& elsewhere). <u>But</u> doesn't this sound **ungodly**, totally <u>contrary</u> to Christianity? The answer is "Yes" & "No." "Yes," when <u>ungodly</u> people practice it, for they <u>aren't</u> following the Lord. It's also sort-of "Yes," for it goes against everything that we Christians have-had ingrained in us. So, it will take time to accept it. But it's also "No," for as you will see, it can be done without violating <u>any</u> principle of the Bible.

But we were taught that it's not just foolish, but also **wrong**?, that Old Testament plural-wives was God's <u>permissive</u> will: "because of the hardness of your heart,..." (Matt 19:8a,ESV, see vss 3-9), changing the quote from "to divorce your wives" to: "to have plural-wives."

It sounds true, but is it??? Where is your proof that God forbids plural-spouses? The verse, "Therefore a man shall leave his father & ... hold fast to his wife, & ... become one flesh" (Gen. 2:24, ESV), doesn't say what you think. Adding on a spouse doesn't break the adulterycommandment in the 10 commandments (Ex 20:14, see vss 1-17), for it talks about adding-on a wife in the next chapter (21:10, see vss 7-11). But, the New Testament never shows that the Lord nailed this freedom to the cross, nor does it show that He changed the definition of adultery. That's because Jesus *promised* that not even a tittle (the smallest stroke of a letter) of the moral commandments would fail (Luke 16:17, see vss 14-18). Yes, those little rules & regulations of the Old Covenant were nailed to the Cross (Col 2:13-17) for they were even burdensome to the Jews (Acts 15:10,11, see vss 1-35), but there is no record that any freedoms of any sort were nailed to the Surely you can't imagine how, but we prove that *none* of the regulations on Cross. "plural-wives" were nailed to the Cross. And if the plural-marriage regulations weren't nailed to the Cross, then (reversing the logic) it still has to be OK. Otherwise, those commandments would have to be nailed to the cross. It was the Gentiles (who had temple prostitutes) who made

it illegal, not the Jews. Otherwise, the Jews still would have had plural-wives in Jesus' day. That's why the Jews were urgent about putting away their wives to get someone else, & thus hated Jesus (Matt 19:3-9), because it was against the Roman law to have more than one wife. Jesus always spoke in the context of one wife, but never-once spoke against plural wives. As we prove below, Gen. 2:23,24 (the two becoming one flesh) also applies to plural spouses.

(New, Aug. 14, 2019) next "half-page"

Some think that Matt. 19:9 speaks against plural-spouses: "...whoever divorces his wife, except for fornication, & marries another, commits adultery; & whoever marries her who is divorced commits adultery." (NKJV margin). Amazingly, this <u>same</u> verse also applies to plural spouses. If he divorces (or if even one of his wives divorces him & doesn't commit fornication), then he is forbidden to marry any more wives. Nor can he marry her who is illegitimately divorced (i.e. when neither she nor her husband has committed fornication). This is why a long courtship is recommended (3 ½ years suggested). If even one of your spouses changes his/her mind & rejects "plural spouses," & also refrains from fornication, then you are stuck & cannot add-on any more spouses. You will be committing adultery if you do. Nor can you marry anyone else if even one of your spouses abandons you, for abandoning your spouse is the same as divorcing your spouse (in "plural spouses").

More Traditional Rebuttals

Since there is nothing in the entire Bible (pro- or con-) about women having plural-husbands, then how can you say it's wrong, since Gen 2:24 isn't what you think? God is love, & He wouldn't want anyone to sin, for sin always causes harm to someone. So God, who is all-knowing, would make-sure to warn women to not have plural-husbands if it were sinfully-wrong, wouldn't He???. So, how can you say that it is wrong for women to have plural-husbands??? We show from science that God could foresee every tiny detail, just like the Holy Bible says. Since God "saw it all," that means that He would *really be* reproached for punishing people that He *foresaw* doing it & yet never warned them. Isn't God love? Doesn't He want them to be saved? Then if it were wrong, wouldn't He warn them, especially since He foresaw them actually doing it??? And if it has *always* been OK for women to have plural-husbands from the *very*-beginning, then what about men??? But unlike the traditions of Muslims & fundamentalist "Mormons," we don't recommend harems. You'll have to see it to believe. The Lord not only remained silent about women & plural spouses, but also carefully hid His full-approval of women having pluralhusbands. And if the Lord approves of (not just permits) women, then what about men having "Jewish-Jewish" women were never forbidden to have plural-husbands. plural-wives??? Their covenant was to keep "the law" (the Torah, 5 books of Moses). If it didn't say it in the Torah, then they didn't have to heed it (if their husband says "OK" & if it's legal, like the USA (unlike the 1800's)). "Plural-spouses" are legal today, as long as you don't register it with your state or nation. Anyone can live with anyone today, have a fancy-wedding in a church & a church wedding-certificate, *if* they don't register it with the government.

You've rejected this by quoting, "Therefore a man shall leave his father & his mother & hold fast to his wife, & they shall become one flesh." (Gen. 2:24, ESV). Then you quote,"but from the beginning it was not so." (Matt 19:8b, see vss 3-9), changing the saying from "to divorce" to "to marry plural-wives." Then you you verify it by pointing out that Adam & Eve's "gooddescendants" all had one wife, even Noah & his 3 sons. But could it be that that's what <u>Adam & Eve</u> taught them, not <u>God</u>??? We prove below that Adam & Eve <u>didn't</u> teach what the Lord actually taught them. "This will blow your minds," but we reveal from the <u>New</u> Testament that Gen. 2:23 & 24 (a man leaving parents to marry) <u>also</u> includes "<u>plural</u>-spouses! So, Gen. 2:23-24 truly <u>can't</u> mean "only one spouse." We also show <u>why</u> Adam & Eve's descendants had one spouse, <u>why</u> the Lord <u>had to hide</u> all comments about women having pluralhusbands & <u>why</u> He had to <u>hide</u> His <u>approval</u> of it. And suddenly, everything <u>fits together</u>!

You want to see the proofs, but what good will it do if we don't answer your objections first? Would you believe those proofs, even though the proof has you "locked-in" so tightly that you can only conclude is that it has to be true? No, you wouldn't, because you'd still have all these other objections, encouraging you that it's wrong, but most of all, it's because "a person convinced against his will is of the same opinion still."

Look, which do you want most of all? Do you want to please your fellow believers, or do you want to please God. Do you want to know the truth, or do you just want a way-out? It's not us that you'll have-to face, it's the Lord.

Right now, you don't know, because you've only read this far. So, it's possible that you are right, but it's also possible that God really has hidden it in His Holy Bible. Yes, you can keep your prejudices for now, but the only way you will know if it truly is from the Lord, is to you keep your mind open enough for you to carefully/prayerfully examine the *possibility*.

But we still need to remove the main objections first, so that it will be easier for your mind & heart to consider the possibility. The first objection we need to answer is the most common one, Gen. 2:23,24.

But always remember this as you consider our case: We aren't saying that our present system of only-one-spouse is bad, for it is do-able. That's why the Lord let Satan continue these 2 traps, because they are not only livable, but also, you can be saved by following the old system. But that doesn't mean that the one-spouse system is God's preferred way.

The problem is that a much greater percentage of people rebel against God, plus a much larger number of young people leave the Lord because of these present teachings, & of those who follow the Lord, many more fall into sexual-sins & marriage sins than would fall under God's preferred system.

And that's why God hid this, so that we could see the great contrast between the one-spouse system & the plural-spouse system. And also, that's why He hid it till now, so that there would be a great revival of righteousness in God's people, which will catch Satan in his-own trap, (which still remains to be demonstrated that it really is so).

We know that you want to see the proofs, but what good will it do, if we don't answer your objections first?

The reason why the plural marriages of Muslims & Fundamentalist Mormons haven't worked well is because of 2 reasons: 1) Harems don't work well. Women like to "deal 1 on 1" with their husband, plus it's difficult to get along with their fellow women, when they constantly live with them. 2) It only helps protect the husband from temptation, not his wives. "It takes 2 to tango." Since women have just as much (or more) to do with husbands being tempted, then women need plural-husbands to help protect them from temptation, too.

Our present system of only-one-spouse isn't working either. We've already mentioned about the massive percentage of divorces in the USA, etc. If it works so well, then why are there so many divorces???

But also, a number younger men aren't finding a wife, & multitudes of older women are being bypassed! Why? Because most older men are marrying younger women, leaving less younger women for the younger men to marry. It's only natural, when men can only have one wife, to prefer a younger wife, but look at the problem it's producing! Single people die younger than married people, especially men.

But also in our one-spouse system, there are lots of single people, many of which are afraid to marry, because they don't want to take a chance of being hurt by their spouse rejecting them & then leaving. And even many of those that are married, aren't getting along with each other, because they are constantly with that same person, & "mole-hills are made into mountains."

And thirdly, our one-spouse doesn't work very well when the ratio of men to women gets out-of balance. For instance, there were a lot of women didn't get husbands after World War 2, because many men lost their lives in the war. And look at all of the older women who would love to have a good husband, but are without a husband today. The older men prefer to marry a younger woman, so most of the older women are left-out.

People die younger who aren't married, especially men. But under the one-spouse system, the older women & the fearful & less-desirable men are all left without a spouse. And, I can well-imagine that many younger men have a hard-time finding a good wife (because women prefer a more-successful, a more "down-to-earth," i.e. an older man who appreciates them <u>more</u> than younger-men do). So many young men are left-behind & have-to search long & hard to find someone & some never do.

So, can you honestly say that our one-spouse system is better than the one-husband/plural-wife system??? But the Lord is promoting (hidden for thousands of years) a system that is far-better than either of those two systems. (But don't judge us by what the ungodly do. They are different).

As you will see below, God is wiser than man & recommends women having plural husbands. The one-husband/plural-wife system only worked (somewhat) back in the days when many men died from wars & many women were available.

In our society of a balance of men & women, if only men can have plural-spouses, then "the rich," "the handsome," "the strong" & "the famous" will get those wives, leaving more single-men than ever, without any wife at all. But in allowing women to have just as many spouses as men do, then "everyone" can have plural-spouses (theoretically).

So whether you think it's wrong or not, then surely you can see that it's a more-fair system than men-only having plural-spouses. Actually, it's even more-fair than the drawbacks we mentioned on the one-spouse system. Surely you can see that many more older women would be married, & that many-more single-men would also be married. And surely you can see that husbands & wives would be much more apt to get-along with their spouse, less-apt "to get into each other's hair," because of living-with a variety of spouses (one spouse at a time, no harems, see Isa. 4:1...).

(more objections)

Don't say, "But that can't be, for if men have several wives, & women several husbands, then everyone could marry everyone???" No, they can't, for the Lord forbids too many spouses. And don't say, "Well then, how would they know whose baby it was?" The great majority of times (even for "an accident"), there are ways for the mother to know who is the father within a year "Then. from birth. But today in developed nations, they can determine the father. won't everyone get greedy for better spouses & start stealing, even murdering to get them?" What you're picturing is "everyone going wild." The ungodly may do that, but it reduces temptation, & can even be the way of escape, for those who love & follow the Lord. They will take-their-time courting each one, for now they have JSS (see Part 1) so that they can take 3 1/2 years to court, to make sure that they can keep their word "till death do you part." You might say, "But only 'the rich' can have that." Yes, if you do what they did. But in the Holy Bible you will find a prophecy (Isa 4:1, yet future, see 3:16 to 4:6) that reveals how even poor-people who have housing, can have plural-spouses! It even gives clues where each finances himself/herself (except the expense of raising children) & lives separately (apparently visiting or living-with each spouse a couple of days). Now, isn't that amazing that the Bible would even <u>suggest</u> such a thing, yet <u>future</u>! Ponder about that. It's still <u>future</u> because verse 2 says "in that day" (ESV), & <u>everything</u> in verses 2-6 is <u>obviously</u> yet-future! (<u>Ponder</u> about that).

(getting "the background-picture")

Do you remember 1 Cor 10:13, "No temptation has overtaken you that is not common to man. God is faithful, & he will not let you be tempted beyond your ability, but with the temptation, he will also provide <u>the</u> way of escape, that you may be able to endure it." (ESV).

It shocked us when we finally noticed (1-2 years ago) that it said, "*the* way of escape," for we had memorized it in the KJV (who mistranslated their own manuscripts, see NKJV). Yes, the Lord has a *special* way of escape for every temptation. Yes, we've always had various way of escape from "marriage sins," e.g. "flee fornication,..." (1 Cor 6:18, KJV, see vss 11b-20), "just say no." But an Enemy (Satan) long ago, took away our privilege of both men & women having plural-spouses.

Why did He do that? Because Part 2 (& Part 1) are God's <u>greatest</u>-ways of escape from sexual sins & marriage sins. So, Satan "subliminally" persuading Adam & Eve to <u>forbid</u> these 2 ways of escape. Thus, the Devil created his two greatest "<u>traps</u>" to get people to fall into sin. Down through the ages, more people have fallen from sexual- & marriage-sins, than from any other sin. Just read the Old Testament, & you'll agree, for idolatry "was" licentiousness & temple-prostitutes.

You reply, "Well, they just need to stay close to the Lord and "say 'No.' "Did you forget that we have an Enemy that loves "to hound-us" & keeps "pounding-us" to give-in??? Wouldn't it have helped to have this way of escape of adding on a wife or wives???

But also, Satan knew that if he could get them to fall on even <u>one</u> sin, that they would lose their connection with God & gradually drift worse into <u>all</u> of the other sins (James 2:10, see vss 1-13).

You may think, "Well, that's not <u>that</u> bad of a sin," but it is in God's eyes. God says, " 'For the man who does not love his wife but divorces her,' says the LORD, the God of Israel, covers his garment with violence, says the LORD of hosts. So guard yourselves in your spirit, & do not be faithless." (Mal 2:16, ESV, see vss 13-16).

Yes, that's an Old Testament verse, but it's obvious that it also applies to any of us who are tempted to "deal treacherously" (vss 15, KJV) with our spouse. If you don't think it hurts, then why have there been so many husbands that have murdered their wife, who was planning to leave him for someone else, & then finished-off themselves with suicide. And **You** don't think it <u>hurts</u>???

No, I (the writer) didn't want to do what they did, for I still loved her & didn't want to disobey God, but I was seriously considering suicide, even though it's wrong to do that. You see, not only was my wife my best friend, but also she was my only <u>real</u> friend. Yes, I had several friends at church, & a lot of friends at work, but I "didn't go out with the guys" like most men do. And so, it left me completely devastated. (Also, it was gloomy winter-time).

No, I didn't know any of this new teaching (Part 2) of "plural-wives" & "plural-husbands" back then. But had I known it, I would have gladly welcomed her to court & marry "her new love," for he was her Sunday-school teacher & a wonderful Christian in our local church.

Yes, I would have been glad, if only she would still love me, instead of treating me coldly as a total stranger, yes, <u>even if</u> I weren't able to have a second wife. I would have said, "Yes, if only you will <u>still love <u>me-e-e!!!</u></u>" (I'm speaking primarily of my need for <u>friendship</u>-love from my wife, but also sex, of course). It <u>hurts</u>! It hurts deeply.

No, this story isn't a proof, but we hope that it prompts you to ponder, "could it really be true?" Could it be that it would be better than ditching your spouse for someone else? We believe that it would, yes, for the sake of <u>both</u> of the paramours. First, from a "common sense" point of view, but secondly, they <u>wouldn't</u> be disobeying the Lord & lose eternal life (if what we say is true).

And besides, maybe the two would then take time to court each other, instead of running-off with

him/her. And thus, they might see that that-potential-spouse wouldn't be a good fit for them. Thus, they might spare a tragic second marriage. (Most of those marriages only make it a few years).

(Before you see the proofs, you need to know...)

Some of you who see these proofs will want to participate "ever so badly," but won't be able to, that is, if you want to obey the Lord. Why? Because your spouse needs to be convinced as well.

You see, when you got married, you probably didn't realize that you had made an unspoken vow to your spouse. When you married, you didn't know about this new teaching. Both of you were picturing that this would be your only spouse, "for better or worse,...till death do you part."

Your spouse needs to "see the light" as well as you, & <u>then</u> both of you can then add an extra spouse, etc. But don't lose heart. Now that we have written this more clearly, we think that church after church & denomination after denomination will now catch-on & put it into practice. But even if we are disappointed, surely lots more people will believe this ("in due time").

And when your spouse sees wonderful Christians living a very respectable, happy married life with 2-4 spouses (or more), then he/she will be more likely to "come around." Also, we give some tips (on the website) that will help you to (indirectly, subtly) help your spouse to "see the light."

If you're reading to prove us wrong, there's a proper way to do it.

You don't want "just any-old rebuttal," do you? Instead, you want to prove that our case "doesn't hold water," right? If you've been busy thinking-up your rebuttals while reading, then you might-not grasp what we said, because you've been concentrating on your rebuttals, rather than listening & pondering over the case.

In order to avoid missing-out on grasping our case, first write-down as many rebuttals as you can think of (enough words to remind you). Then if you think of another rebuttal while reading, then stop & mark your place & jot-down the next rebuttal(s). Then, return to the place where you left-off (backing-up a few paragraphs to make-sure that you have the context), & then continue.

But if you're reading so fast that you don't take time to ponder over what you read, then you'll completely miss the point we made. And thus, your rebuttals won't even counter the case we made, because you never grasped the case.

But most of all, the most important ingredient: to plead for the Lord to be with you & to protect you from deception, but also, to give you an open mind. For spiritual things are spiritually discerned (1 Cor 2:14, see vss 13-16). For you don't want to argue against the truth. And besides, if you don't grasp our point of view, then how can you convince us that we are all wet???

(general objections)

(Objection:) "You need to understand <u>theology</u>." What is theology? It's the study of God & everything related to Him. But when you study theology, you are getting what <u>man</u> thinks God is like. In this article, you are studying what has been hidden from the days of Adam & Eve. So, how could your theology courses know about that???

(Objection:) "That's the general tenor of the scriptures." Is it??? Then why do different people have different "tenors of the scriptures???" When I was a young man (unmarried), I thought that the general tenor of the scriptures was "procreation-only," not realizing that it contradicts the Bible (1 Cor. 7:1-5). No, "the general tenor" is what <u>we</u> picture-it saying, not what it's actually saying.

(Objection:) "Two are better than one,... And though a man might prevail against one who is alone, two will withstand him—a 3-fold cord is not quickly broken." (Eccl 4:9-12, ESV). We tend to read-into statements. Is the 3-fold cord talking about a husband, wife & God? It <u>can</u> be, but that doesn't imply that he doesn't have <u>other</u> wives as well, for the man who wrote it had many wives.

(New, Sept. 22, 2019) (clarified)

(How do you answer Genesis 2:24?)

(Now copied up-front to roughly p. 19, but also retained here)

"Therefore a man shall leave his father & his mother & hold fast to his wife, & they shall become one flesh." (Gen 2:24, ESV). Does that solve the problem of plural spouses? Does it imply that you can only have one wife & one husband? If you carefully added another spouse, would you be violating your "one-flesh" commitment to your first spouse? Would everything be all mixed up?

The answer to that question is yes, *if* your spouse doesn't approve of such a thing. But what is the answer if your spouse also believes in plural-spouses & you've both agreed to do that??? After all, Gen. 2:24 is talking about a man's <u>*first*</u> wife, for it talks about him leaving his father & mother.

Is that what Gen. 2:24 means, or are you misinterpreting what it says? We thought that way, too, until we discovered that the Lord had never done-away with a man having plural-wives.

At first, we understood it to mean that the Lord (through Moses) was talking about the man's *first* marriage & not about additional marriages. That is true, but then sometime later, we realized (because of a discovery in the New Testament) that the part about "the two becoming one flesh" also applies to *each* wife that the man "joins to."

We can only think that the Lord foresaw our issue, for He inspired Paul in the New Testament to give a new insight. Now, that insight wasn't talking about plural-spouses, but it reveals that Gen. 2:24 *also* applies to each spouse that a person adds-on: "Do you not know that your bodies are the members of Christ?" (1 Cor 6:15a, see vss 13b-20), *implying* that each of us is "married to" Jesus.

[Yes, it implies that, but that's a terribly weak argument, for that is a different kind of "marriage." But as you will see here, "Christ marrying us" is a lot more than just "similar:" "For we are members of His body & of His flesh & of His bones"]. (next paragraph)

Paul (through the Holy Spirit) makes it more clear in Eph. 5:29-32 (see vss 25-33), (where he is talking about husbands loving their wives in the same way that Christ loves the Church): "For no one ever hated his own flesh, but nourishes & cherishes it, just as the Lord does the church. For we are members of His body, of His flesh & of His bones⁵. 'For this reason a man shall leave his father & mother & be joined to his wife, and the two shall become one flesh.' This is a great mystery, but I speak concerning Christ & the church." (NKJV). (See important footnote below).

Note that Paul (through the H. S.) called this "a great mystery." If you think about it, it is a great mystery how each of us become members of Jesus' "bone of my bones...." Each of us, right now not just when we reach Heaven, are (imperfect) members of Christ's "body." That means when we surrender our lives to Christ, we become spiritually-married to Jesus. Isn't that what Paul meant when he said, "but I speak concerning Christ & the church?" Yes, it does.

We can't understand it, for we don't perceive it, but each of us (already now, today) is committed to (spiritually-married to) Christ, & Christ is committed to (spiritually-married to) each of us. But where did Paul (through the H. S.) get that from? Probably from the Holy Spirit opening his eyes to it, but it also came from Gen. 2:23 & 24, which he quoted.

Now isn't it interesting that the Lord inspired Paul to say in Ephesians. Yes, this is important information in the Bible, but we think that the Lord inspired Paul to describe-it this special, unique way for us today, almost 2,000 years later. And why? So that we can see that Gen. 2:24 *can* also apply to each spouse that we add-on. For it makes use of the *whole* verse (& also verse 23) to apply to Christ's "marriage" to each of us. Why? So that we can see that both Gen. 2:23 &24 together, *can* apply to each spouse that we commit our lives to in marriage. It's a holy-thing.

No, we didn't prove it here. We're just showing that Gen. 2:23-24 is *capable* of applying to each additional spouse. But also, it shows that these verses are *not* a command to have only one wife.

Now, some claim that Christ is only spiritually-married to the Church as a unit, not individually.

⁵ Note that most modern translations are translated from the Critical Text, but we show you that the Critical Text is counterfeit (see footnote #4 above). That scribe deliberately mistranslated words & deliberately made a number of omissions in the New Testament. This is one of these places where he omitted "of His flesh & of His bones." The great-majority of the ancient manuscripts include these words.

But even in Eph. 5:30 that we just looked at: "...we are <u>members</u> of his body" (ESV, see vss 30-32), i.e. individually. This is also confirmed in 1 Cor. 12:27: "Now you are the body of Christ & <u>individually</u> members of it." (ESV, see vss 12-31). Just as <u>each</u> marriage is a commitment between the 2 individuals, so also is each spiritual-marriage to Christ, an individual commitment.

Now, the following is sort-of anti-climatic, compared to what we just proved, but in "proofing" this section awhile ago, the Lord opened my eyes to a *fourth* text that reveals that the *last-part* of Gen. 2:24 *can* apply to plural-wives.

1 Cor. 6:15,16 (ESV) says, "Do you not know that your bodies are the members of Christ? Shall I then take the members of Christ & make them the members of a prostitute? Never! Or do you not know that he who is joined to a prostitute becomes one body with her? For as it is written, 'The two shall become one flesh.' "

I've looked at this text more than 100 times in my life, & I never saw this before. It implies that committing fornication (sexual intercourse) with a prostitute—even <u>one</u> time, fulfills the last part of Gen. 2:24, for you have become one-body ("one flesh") with her (& will also "become one" in her punishment, if you don't repent). But "the two shall become one-flesh" is also fulfilled with anyone else, e.g. a boy-friend/girl-friend that you aren't married to.

Do you now see that Gen. 2:24 doesn't really say what you thought it said? If it applies to becoming one flesh with a prostitute or with a boyfriend or girlfriend, then wouldn't it also apply to each spouse that you add-on??? Of course, it does. And, we'll also prove that it isn't wrong, unless, of course, if you are also violating something else, then it does become wrong.

(the first two proofs)

Could it be that the Muslims were *partly* right (& also the "Mormons" of the 1800's)? Most Christians know that the Lord allowed the ancient Jews to have more than one wife, but "everyone" thought that that was an "Old Covenant thing" "for the hardness of their hearts," that was nailed to the Cross & was done-away & was only "a shadow of things to come" (Col 2:14-17). But could it be that this liberty was <u>never</u> nailed to the cross (neither for men nor for women)???

But you say, "But wait a second. How can you say that it was never done away for women, for the Bible doesn't even mention about the *possibility* of women having more than one husband??? So how can you say it wasn't done-away???"

You are right that there's not even one text, "pro or con," about women having plural husbands. But if the Lord never forbade it, then how could He do-away with it??? He can't. And since the Lord never said "No" to women having plural-husbands, then how could He punish them for doing it??? He couldn't. So, <u>why</u> didn't the Lord inspire someone, somewhere in the Bible, to make it <u>clear</u> that it was wrong, **if** it truly were wrong???

Now, we are going to prove (below) a scientific conclusion verifying God's *capability*) that the Lord really did foresee every-tiny detail of each of you, on this very day at this instant of time, everything that you ever did & ever will do, just like the Bible says (Ps 139:16, see vss 1-16).

The book mentioned in verse 16 is the "book of records," which was obviously written at the same time as the Book of Life (see vs 16). The Bible says that the Book of Life was written from the foundation of the world (Rev 17:8b, see vss 1-14). Now, the Greek word for "world" in verse 8b is "Kosmos," from which we get the word cosmos, the universe. (That's the original meaning). That implies that every-detail of our lives was written even before the universe/world was made!

(the first proof)

Here's your proof in one sentence: (All you need is this one sentence): "The Lord can't condemn <u>anyone</u> for something that He <u>never</u> warned us about in the <u>entire-Bible!</u>" Some will argue back, "Well, the Bible doesn't warn against using illicit drugs, & they are wrong."

True, but how do you know that illicit drugs are wrong? It's because they violate principles of the

Bible, but how can you say that "women with plural husbands" violates anything in the Bible, especially since we've already proven that Gen. 2:23 & 24 *can* include plural spouses???

Some could use reasoning to "prove" that women having plural-husbands is wrong, but reasoning becomes dangerous when you don't have scriptures to justify your reasoning. Look, no matter how you look at it, God knows all things (1 John 3:20, see vss 19-22). He's not like us people who overlook things or forget things. No matter how you look at it, God is infinite. He made the universe, & everything He does is well-thought-through, because He isn't fallible like we humans are.

So how can you even imagine the Lord overlooking the possibility of women having pluralhusbands. Can't you just hear women in our day saying, "It isn't fair! If men can have pluralwives, then women should have plural-husbands, too!"

(And believe it or not, they are right, for if only men can have plural-wives, then "the rich," "the handsome," "the strong" & "the famous" will get those wives, leaving more single-men than ever, without any wife at all).

No-one can out-think the Lord. So, how could you even imagine that the Lord wouldn't see the possibility of this issue arising sooner or later??? In fact, ungodly people thought that up a long time ago. It's called polyandry (plural husbands). (Polygamy is a *general* term for both sexes).

And that's why we Christians have been so against this belief. Not only did we think it was wrong, but also, we had only seen what ungodly people have done with such things. We've never seen how godly people would handle this belief.

Can you now see that the Lord had-to foresee the possibility of women having plural husbands? And since He, at least, foresaw the possibility, then what does that tell you? Since God is love & since He doesn't want anyone ignorantly disobeying Him (for He couldn't punish them if they were ignorant about it), then that tells you that He would have said something against it if it were wrong.

"Well, it's not bad enough for God to punish them, but it's still wrong."

The Lord wouldn't want you to do <u>anything</u> wrong, would He? The Lord wants people to be peaceful & happy. But someone is harmed when we do wrong. That's why the Lord declares something categorically-wrong, because <u>someone</u> will be harmed, no matter <u>how</u> you do it. But this very fact shows us that the Lord would have said something if "women & plural-husbands" were wrong.

In fact, He even would have said something against it in the Old Covenant, for their covenant was to keep the law (the Torah, which means "law"). If God didn't "spell-it-out" in the Torah—and He didn't on women having plural husbands, then they didn't have-to heed it. That means that a Jewish woman has <u>always</u> been free to have plural-husbands if...

But the same thing is true for Christian women: Since God is love & would have told us if it were categorically-wrong, then that means that it's *not necessarily* wrong for women to have plural-husbands. It *can be* wrong if any other principles of the Bible are violated, but not otherwise.

For example, if a wife still hates her husband, while marrying an extra man, then that would be sin for it would be "adultery of the heart." She still has-to keep her promise to love, cherish... for better or for worse... her present husband.

(the second proof, assuming...)

You can also use the following arguments to back-up that first proof, but here's a *different* proof, assuming... We prove, with science backing it up, that the Lord is very capable of foreseeing every atomic-particle in every atom in the entire universe, & then "watching the movie" progress in time, inserting "a communication" or a miracle occasionally (or sending an angel to save someone's life).

That proof is immediately below, but first, let's prove that, *if* the Lord did accurately foresee every tiny-detail, then <u>silence</u> about "plural-husbands" in the scriptures proves that He truly allows it. *If* that is true, then the Lord would have foreseen, before He made the universe, every-single

detail about every-single woman who would ever heed our counsel & marry plural-husbands.

Now if that were wrong, then don't you think that the Lord would have inspired one of Biblewriters to write at least <u>one</u> sentence against that, so that most of them would avoid that??? That's right. If it were wrong to have plural-husbands, then the Lord would have <u>made it clear</u> in the Bible. Why? Because He loves us, & He wouldn't want even <u>one</u> of those very-women that He foresaw doing wrong, to think that it's OK to do that.

How could he punish you women when He foresaw each of you do it, & yet didn't even have one sentence placed in the Bible, pro or con??? Can't you just hear these very women, who put this into practice, now facing God, soon to be punished for having plural husbands (2 to 4 or more):

Can't you just hear them earnestly *pleading* to God:

"Then, <u>why</u> didn't you <u>tell</u> us? <u>You</u> foresaw us believing those authors. <u>You</u> even foresaw us marrying & living with those husbands before You made anything. Then, <u>why</u> didn't You make it <u>clear</u> in the scriptures that it was <u>wrong???!!!</u> Couldn't you have added at least <u>one</u> sentence???

"If You had done this, then those authors wouldn't have even proclaimed it, & thus, we wouldn't have been fooled by them, had they never taught it. So, <u>How can You</u> punish us for this, when you <u>foresaw</u> us do it, & yet didn't even warn us??? Didn't You <u>want</u> us to be in *Heaven*???"

If that were to really happen, then God would be a **tyrant**! But the Lord isn't a tyrant. God the Father loves us just as much as Jesus does (yes, He really <u>does</u>; <u>look up</u> John 14:8-10). Therefore, our Heavenly Father also has to be fair, just like Jesus is.

So, our Heavenly Father could <u>never</u> punish anyone for something wrong, **if** He hadn't made it clear in the Bible, especially "if" (yet to be proven) He foresaw them doing it before He even made the universe. That is not the way our God is, nor has He ever been, nor ever will be!

So, "there's <u>no way</u>" that God forbade women from having plural husbands. If it were wrong, He would plainly tell us somewhere in the Bible, because He even foresaw His loyal followers marrying plural husbands before making the universe! And He didn't do anything to correct the problem??? No, the Lord wouldn't want anyone to needlessly perish (2 Pet 3:9. see vss 8-13).

So if we truly prove (with science verifying His capability) that the Lord did foresee every tiny detail in the entire universe, all the way to the end of time, then can you now see that we have proven that the Lord allows women to have plural-husbands??? We think that many of you will fully agree with us (i.e. <u>no way</u> that He forbade it!). (And as you will see, it's not just a permissive "OK;" it's God's <u>recommended</u> way for <u>all</u> of us, as well as His preferred way of <u>escape</u>).

And that's not all. **If** the Lord has always allowed women to have plural-husbands, from the beginning of time till now, then this same proof also <u>implies</u> that the Lord also approves of men having plural-wives from the beginning of time till today. But you will see stronger proofs.

(Yes, guys, but "<u>don't</u> go out & marry them on the spot!!!" The Lord wants you to be more careful than most of us have been when courting <u>one</u>-wife. The Lord doesn't want to see even <u>one</u> divorce (abandonment) among us (except for the case of adultery, i.e. fornication, Matt 5:21,22)).

Yes, we realize that some women don't have that much confidence & would be uncomfortable to take action, based on this proof alone. But don't worry; there are more proofs below. But also, the Lord foresaw your uneasiness, & so He even hid His <u>approval</u> in His Holy Bible (a 3rd proof).

We also explain <u>why</u> He <u>had to</u> remain silent about women having plural-husbands & <u>why</u> He even had to <u>hide</u> His approval, instead of just plainly saying it. But also, the very fact that He hid that approval, instead of plainly stating it, reveals that He had a <u>**big reason** why He had to hide it.</u>

"Predestination-denominations," you need to take action now.

Several denominations have a form of predestination, or at least believe that God "saw it all." [Presbyterians; those believing that God predestined "the saved" but not "the lost" (Missouri Synod

Lutherans, etc.), & many denominations that believe that God foresaw it all (Baptists...)].

You-denominations (believing this) don't need the "scientific conclusion" to make a decision. (Yes, you need it to back-up your belief, but not to make a decision). So, take action now. Get together with fellow-pastors & elders of your city & make a decision. Then, contact headquarters & ask them to set-up a study-committee to verify whether this claim is true.

(a foreword for "liberals" & "unbelievers")

Now, if we prove from this great scientific conclusion that the Lord is <u>*capable*</u> of foreseeing so accurately that He wouldn't even miss by an electron, then will you liberals & non-believers now believe the scriptures that say, over & over, that He did see everything (e.g. Isa 44:6-8)?

Look at all the prophecies of Jesus in the Bible & how accurately they have been fulfilled. It prophesies about Judas & how he would betray Jesus & exactly how much he sold him for (30 pieces of silver). It tells us that he would cast the pieces of silver "to the potter," & that he was buried in the potter's field. (Sorry, I don't have time to look up all of that right now).

Psalm 22 & Isa 53 gives so many accurate details about how Jesus would die. How would the Lord ever know all of those details if He could only <u>vaguely</u> see the future??? There's no way that the Lord could have ever guessed those tiny details, if He didn't accurately foresee it.

And just think of all of those miracles that Jesus did, of raising a rotting-corpse to life (Lazarus, John 11:38-44). "There's no-way" that the tomb didn't stink, & even the "bindings" that were wrapped around him surely stank. Read that chapter & you will see that this was one of the reasons that Jesus was put to death. At a later feast, they even wanted to put Lazarus to death as well, for many came to that feast to see both Jesus & Lazarus, & started believing (12:1-11).

But don't be critical of yourselves, those of you who haven't believed that these things really happened. Why? Because an Enemy has been at work to negate the facts written in the Bible, & he has done a pretty-good job in making it look like these things didn't really happen. That's why you "liberals" are where you are, today, because of these distorted "facts."

But here we are at a great turning point. You are about to see undeniable scientific evidence that God the Father & Jesus are very capable of doing everything it says in the Bible. And "liberals," don't blame yourselves for rejecting the creation story, for the vast number of you have only seen the arguments in favor of evolution. There are many scientists today (Christians) who believe that there is more scientific-evidence for "Creation" than there is for "Evolution."

[Don't get the wrong idea. The great majority of believing-scientists believe that the universe is billions of years old. Even the rocks & water on the earth are of years old, for it doesn't contradict And yes, there have been mutations & "survival of the fittest" ever-Genesis 1:1-3. since man's fall. That's only two reasons why there are so many kinds of butterflies, kinds of moths, kinds of cats & kinds of dogs. Thirdly, the Lord created a huge variety of genetics in each male & each female of each kind. Either it's a cat or it's a dog (a cheetah is a dog, not a cat). There are no half dog-cats, nor half moth-butterflies, etc. Genetics reveals which kind. Yes, there are "mule"-type offspring in horse-donkeys & sheep-goats, but they aren't legitimate for they can't reproduce. The earth (as we know it) was made shortly after Satan was cast-out of Heaven (Rev 12:7-9, see Gen 3:1, see vss 1-19, esp. vs 15). The Lord foresaw (without forcing them) that Adam & Eve would fall. So, He let our world become "the battle ground." That's why our world isn't very pleasant nor peaceful, because of Satan seducing people to be evil (Isa 14:3-20, Ezek 28:11-19)]. (God is preparing us to take Satan's *place!*).

(New, Sept. 22, 2019: As of today, the next 2 sections have been copied (& perhaps clarified) up-front. But we also left these 2 sections here, for they are part of what is immediately above & immediately below)

(scientific-proof that God was capable, without forcing anyone)

After more than 70 years of research, many nuclear-physicists concluded that there is no law of

nature that holds the nucleus of the atoms together. The various kinds of atoms are made-up of 3 building-blocks, protons, neutrons & electrons. For instance, an oxygen atom has 8 protons & 8 neutrons that are *tightly-packed* together, that form the nucleus of the atom. The 8 electrons "swarm around" that nucleus in various electron-shells.

They knew this fact well-before World War II, & it puzzled the scientists on how those protons could be so tightly packed together. You see, protons have a positive charge, & electrons have a negative charge. The electrons are attracted to protons & swarm-around the nucleus of the atom.

But protons are repelled by protons, & yet they are all tightly-packed together, no matter how big the atom is. This really puzzled the scientists back then, & still does today. You see, the attraction of electrons to protons is inversely proportional to the square of the distance (just like gravity). And protons are <u>repelled</u> from protons just as much as electrons are <u>attracted</u> to protons.

That means that if you were to cut the distance in half, between the 2 protons & the 2 electrons of helium, then the attractive force is 2 times 2, i.e. 4 times as much. And if you cut the distance in half again, then the attractive force is 4 times 4, 16 times as much. And if you cut it half yet-again, it's 16 times 16, 256 times as much. And if you cut it in half yet-again, it's 256 times 256, i.e. 65,536 times as much as the attraction-force of the actual electrons to the protons in *helium*!!!

Now, we aren't nuclear-physicists & don't know if the protons are packed even tighter than that, but this illustrates the absolutely monstrous force required to hold the 2 protons together! Just think how huge the required force is in the <u>big</u> atoms (gold, lead, uranium)???

For instance, helium only has 2 protons. So if those 2 *protons* were circling around each other at that last distance that we calculated, then they would still be repelled 65,536 times as much as they are attracted to the two electrons that actually swarm around them. (Actually, it's half of that, 32,768 times as much, for there are *two* electrons swarming around them).

Can you see, now, why that nuclear physicist said (in a popular science magazine 3-4 years ago), "By all the laws of nature, the nucleus of the atom should explode?" They concluded. "There *can't* be 'no god.' "

But I've heard (much later) that some of the scientists are saying, "We just haven't found it yet." Do you realize that they are <u>lying</u>??? (You see, atheists don't want this fact to get-out, because they lose their excuse to sin if they have to acknowledge that there is a God).

Can you see how ridiculous that statement is? Now the attraction of the 2 electrons to the 2 protons in helium is sizable. But here is a repulsive force of thousands of times as much as the attractive force between the electron & proton of an hydrogen atom. And yet they say, "We just haven't found it yet???"

Now, they've been studying this for more than 70 years, & they know far-more about nuclear physics now, than they knew back then... So, how could they fail to find, after 70 years of intense research, an attractive force that is as *monstrous* as that??? No, the atheist-scientists are just saying that to blind us, for they know.

But don't criticize the scientists that say that. "There are no atheists in fox-holes." They say that because they don't want to acknowledge that there is any God, & so, they try to cover it up. When they find out that the Lord is far better than even we Christians believed (Part 2 & Part 1, etc.), then they will turn to Jesus, for their major motivation to justify their illegitimate sex, etc.

But there is one-more thing that you need to see about this. If we hadn't illustrated it with a helium atom, we wouldn't have realized it. Keep in mind that we aren't nuclear physicists & don't know the other nuclear forces, but here is what would happen from the electrical forces alone:

If God were to stop holding the nucleus of helium together (2 protons, 2 neutrons), then those 2 protons would blast-away from each other, & one electron would go-after one proton, & the other would go-after the other proton, & you would end-up with hydrogen, perhaps deuterium (1 proton, 1 neutron), but more likely, regular hydrogen without any neutrons.

But let's take it a step further. If the Lord were to stop holding a huge atom like uranium, they

would blast-away <u>much</u>-much harder. All of the protons would blast-away in every direction, & each electron would chase after the proton that passed by closest it. So, the entire uranium atom would become hydrogen or deuterium or perhaps tritium (1 proton & 3 neutrons).

In other words, if the Lord were to stop holding these nuclei together, we & everything in the entire world, would instantly become hydrogen gas! The whole world would become a giant ball of hydrogen gas. (Wow, that's something to think about).

Is this conclusion correct? Yes, for that nuclear physicist concluded, "By all of the laws of nuclear physics, the nucleus of the atom should explode." If the nucleus were to explode, then what would you have? That's right, hydrogen, with the electrons chasing after one of the protons.

And that's only if the protons & electrons don't burst apart, which they probably would. For a proton would require a huge-force to hold it's own electrical charge, too. And so our guess is that our whole earth wouldn't even be a ball of hydrogen. Instead, it would be a compacted mass of sub-atomic, "micro-micro particles" whose electric-charge has "*shorted out!*"

But don't worry. That isn't going to happen, for we reveal (below) that God predestined (planned) everything so that the universe would end-up eternally safe. No, the Lord isn't going to miss-predict by an electron. He won't have to "vaporize" this universe & try again, because He made sure by predestining every atomic-particle, all without forcing anything or *anyone*.

No, God <u>refuses</u> to start over & thus cause His Son go-through that horrible-death on the Cross again! He created the world to be inhabited (Isa 45:18, see vss 15-19). And so, He <u>made-sure</u> that He wouldn't miss by predestining every electron & every atomic & sub-atomic particle.

(But, <u>*Who*</u> is holding it all together?)

"Science doesn't prove predestination. It just reveals that the Lord is *capable* of foreseeing the future without even missing by an electron. But the Bible, itself, declares who is holding it together. And that's *amazing*, for who would ever think that a rock has to be held-together???

Many of you know that in God "...we live & move & have our being" (Acts 17:28, see vss 22-29). That verse tells us that it's God that gives us life & breath & movement, etc., but it doesn't tell us the detail about holding it together, nor does it tell us <u>who</u> is holding it together.

But, another text says that Jesus made everything & is holding it all together (Col 1:15-17, see vss 12-20). The last part of verse 17b says, "& in him all things hold together." (ESV). The ESV has translated it correctly, for that is the *primary* meaning of that Greek-verb (not "consist").

But the full meaning of that particular Greek-verb requires 5 words: "were and are holding together" (active, not passive). Instead of Jesus holding it together, Jesus is doing something that causes *itself* to hold together.

Now, who would ever think that a rock or a piece of steel would have to be constantly "held" together??? And yet, that verse in the Bible was written almost 2,000 years ago! But don't get the wrong idea. Jesus isn't doing whatever He wants; He's constantly following the the laws of nature, except in the cases when He works a miracle.

For instance, He caused the sun (or rather the earth) to move backwards 10 degrees/steps, all without any g-forces (Isa 38:8, see vss 1-8). The speed at the equator (from rotation) is about 1,000 mph (1500 kph), & yet Jesus rotated the earth backwards without any g-forces! *Wow*!

Satan can counterfeit it through optics, but Jesus effectively shut-off "the law of g-forces" while He did it. In other words, *there is no* "law of g-force" nor is there "the law of gravity." Those force-laws constantly-occur because Jesus *causes* them *except* when doing a miracle.

So is the Bible correct about Jesus constantly holding everything together??? Yes, for the nuclear physicist agreed. Both we & our entire planet would become a huge ball of hydrogen gas (or much-worse). But Jesus isn't going to do that, for He created the world to be inhabited (Isa 45:18, see vss 15-25). Jesus loves us, & He's not going to start all-over again.

How do we know? Because the Holy Bible *repeatedly* says that God not only foresaw it all, but

He also predestined the entire story, not just the story of our earth, but also the entire universe. For example, Rom. 8:29 says, "For whom He foreknew, He also predestined to be conformed to be conformed to the image of His Son, that He might be the firstborn among many brethren." (NKJV).

So, He's not going to miss by an electron on what He planned, & thus He will never have-to startover again. We discuss more about this further below, so that you can conceive how He might have predestined it, & also so that you can conceive <u>why</u> He had to predestine it.

But for right now, we'll just say that He had to predestine it if He wanted the universe eternally secure "from sin" from then-on. The Lord had to have the universe eternally free from sin, so that He would not have to predestine anything ever-again. From then on, the earth will be eternally secure, with no more tears, no death, no sorrow nor crying nor pain, forevermore (Rev 21:3,4).

(objection)

Most men will say, "<u>Why</u> do you want to have more than one wife? Are you just a '<u>lust-</u><u>nut</u>???'" "<u>Everyone</u>" seems to think that the-only reason to have plural-wives is to have extra sexual pleasure.

Some "multi-wife" Muslims have certainly acted as if extra sexual pleasure was the reason for having more wives, but "not so" with the fundamentalist "Mormons," who are very conservative, very careful to act godly with their (typically) 4 wives. The fundamentalist "Mormons" practice that because it helps protect the husband from adultery (but it doesn't protect their wives!).

We know what we are saying, for we have visited these conservative-Mormons & have been impressed with their every-day way of treating everyone, including their wives. They dress & act very conservatively. They even have separate worship services, one for the men & one for the women (& smaller children) to avoid temptation to want "someone else" that they can't have.

Our answer is, "There's a lot more to marriage than just sex. Just ask any married wife." The marriage-motivations of most-wives are significantly different from most-men's motivations.

In present-day marriages, the relationships between many husbands & wives have broken-down. They've been together too much, have gotten tired of each other & thus "have gotten into each other's hair," trying to "remake" the husband, "making mountains out of mole-hills," etc. Many marriages would be better off if the couple were to live separately, & only see each other frequently for modest spans-of-time.

Living separately would help, but it wouldn't solve the whole problem. It would be much better if they'd not only live separately, but also if the husband has <u>at least</u> one other wife (also living separately), & if the wife has <u>at least</u> one other husband who also lives separately. But that doesn't mean that they can't live together for 2-3 days at a time, etc. (Some may live in their various spouses' homes as much as they live in their own home).

No, the issue is not just "sex." Many marriages would <u>never</u> have broken-up if they had known that the Lord fully approves of more than one spouse (i.e. approves, **if** they live & act <u>properly</u>).

You see, wives (& husbands) often "make war" in order to have an excuse to marry the one they have their-eye on (or are *wishing* to find *anybody* better). This temptation greatly diminishes, because they can court another "spouse" if they aren't satisfied. So, it not only helps those who "are getting into each others hair," but also helps those attracted to someone else's spouse.

What happens to those who divorce (or run away) in order to have this so-called better spouse? Many or most of them eventually divorce, too. Why? Because they have disobeyed the Lord, for one thing, & the (true) Holy Spirit has now left them because they <u>aren't</u> repenting. And so, they frequently have a terrible-time getting along, & also difficulties arise. They keep trying "to stick it out," because they know they "<u>should</u>." But eventually, most of them "split."

But there is also another reason why those "2nd marriages" don't work either: You see, they are headed into the <u>very same</u> age-old problem of constantly living together <u>with only *one*</u> spouse & are seeing each other too much & are generating difficulties.

Had they taken their time to <u>add-on</u> that person, instead of divorcing (or running away...), then they could have enjoyed the company of <u>both</u> spouses. The original spouse would have been a lot happier (not having been rejected nor treated nasty), & they wouldn't have "gotten into each others hair" nearly as much, because they would only be with each spouse about "half as much."

But if they realized that the Lord approves of plural-spouses (& also had put away their lust) & carefully courted "their new interest" the way the Lord would have them do it, then they would have kept the Holy Spirit & been at peace with God (thus, more inclined to get-along with their present-spouse). And if they finally realize that that-fiancée isn't a godly choice, then they would spare themselves *many* sorrows. What a contrast!

But it will help plural marriages if each spouse also supports himself/herself (like the prophecy of Isa 4:1, see 3:16 to 4:6). And when they are together they "split the bill." That way, there won't be as many squabbles over how to spend the money, which is also a common issue.

But this is especially important for men who can't afford to support a wife, for that "opens the door" for almost everyone to have "plural-spouses." But even "the rich" would be benefited with this arrangement (helping most of their wives a little, but fully-supporting certain others who don't have any income), for "the rich" would also have less squabbles. Yes, you "rich" can still have a huge house, where everyone can-come-together on special occasions, as long as it's not "a harem."

But for best results, everyone needs to live separately <u>most of</u> the time (not in harems). Then, they visit each of their spouses frequently, even over-night, or even for over a weekend, etc. Sometimes you visit your spouses, & sometimes your spouses visit you, so you hardly ever live alone. You are almost always with one of your spouses (except when at work, etc., etc.).

In conclusion, do you still-think the main-reason for plural-marriages is sex??? Not always, for there is a lot more to marriage than just "sex:" friendship, companionship, enjoying each other's presence, going to places together & doing (non-sexual) things together. All of these are key components in any marriage & especially important for "one-spouse" marriages.

We hope that you are seeing that there might be some advantages to both husbands & wives having 2 to 4 spouses. But these are just insights, not proofs. These insights just show that it makes sense. Another proof is yet below, but next, let's answer the greatest objection:

(Where did this "one-wife" law come from?)

It was the <u>Romans</u> that forbade more than one wife, not the Jews. That law wasn't a problem for the idol-worshiping Gentiles, for they had no qualms with licentiousness & temple prostitutes for that was part-of idol worship. We know for sure that the Babylonian-law (a few hundred years earlier) allowed the men "to run around" all they wanted. It was only the women that were forbidden. The "one-wife" law (& <u>probably</u> those "loose-laws") continued in each succeeding empire between Babylon (Chaldea, 600 BC) & the Roman empire in Jesus' day.

But this "one wife" commandment was a perplexing problem with the Jews, because they new that temple prostitutes (& other kinds of adultery) were wrong. That is why the Jews had such a problem of divorcing their wives over ultra-minor things, in order to marry someone else.

Since they weren't allowed to add-on a wife, they found an excuse to divorce their wife & go get the next one. Read the Gospels & you'll see that Jesus repeatedly opposed this, teaching to not divorce & marry someone else (e.g. Matt 19:3-9). That was <u>one</u> of the biggest reasons why they wanted to get rid of Jesus. They wanted to hang-onto their sin, & not let <u>anyone</u> oppose them.

For 600+ years the Jews hadn't been allowed to have more than one wife (except perhaps during the short period of Maccabean independence). Since it was the idol-worshiping gentiles that caused the "one wife" laws, the Jews still knew that the Lord still allowed plural-wives.

(New, Sept. 25, 2019) (Next section was copied up-front & (perhaps) modified)

God's Hidden Approval of Women Having Plural-Husbands

(It's actually a third proof. The fact that the hidden approval is there, proves it <u>again</u>!).

The hidden-approval is in Num. 30:6a (vs 7a in the Catholic, Orthodox-Christian & Jewish Bibles). There are *two* reasons why God picked this exact-spot to hide approval. First, it was a appropriate place to insert His approval, because it was in the midst of Baal-of-Peor (Chs. 25 to 31), where the Moabite women seduced the Israelis.

[If <u>all</u> of the Israelis had-had plural-wives, instead of only a <u>few</u> men, & if they were practicing Part 1 (JSS-Love), then it would have been a lot <u>easier</u> to escape the Moabite women's temptations].

Secondly, the Lord made a super-significant connection between the hidden-approval of Part 1 & this hidden-approval (of Part 2). Why? To give you hesitant-people assurance that <u>both</u> hidden-approvals are <u>truly</u> from God. They aren't just coincidence. He <u>planned</u> it that way. (see website)

[Info. about biblical-Hebrew: There is no word in biblical-Hebrew (nor in biblical-Greek) for "wife" (nor "husband"). Instead, it's "his woman" means his wife & "her man" means "her husband." Biblical-Hebrew only has two tenses: 1) Perfect tense: fully completed action, & 2) Imperfect tense: all future tenses & all on-going tenses not yet completed].

The hidden approval (Num. 30:6a in Protestant Bibles, vs 7a in other Bibles) is so simple that a first-semester Hebrew-student could translate it (except for one word!). Literally it says, "And if his-she [or she of him] had to a man...," except the "had" has a prefix that adds meaning.

It says "had to a man," (with a special meaning to "had") because the woman was the lesser individual. The word "to" changes the meaning from "had a man" to "belonged to a man" or "was married to a man" or "had married to a man."

Keep in mind the possibility that God was hiding this. That's why it says "his-she" ("she of him"), instead of the usual "his wife" or "his daughter." I wish I could confirm this, but this is <u>very</u> <u>likely</u> the <u>only</u> place in the <u>entire</u> Hebrew-scriptures where "his-she" occurs. Because it leaves an uncomfortable uncertainty about whether it means "his-wife" or "his-daughter." And so, "his-she" is <u>probably</u> never-used anywhere except here.

Can you see that the Lord <u>might be</u> hiding it, because He didn't say "his daughter" or "his woman" (wife), which would eliminate the confusion? No, the Lord was always <u>very</u> careful to distinguish whether it was his-daughter or his-wife.

But here in this text, it *appears to be* ("on the surface") confusion as to which God Himself meant (This was God's very-own words, transmitted by Moses, vs 1 (vs 2 in other Bibles)). But by pondering over it, you, yourself, will see how it can <u>only</u> be <u>one</u> choice.

All of the translations that I have say "she," instead of "his-she," because that makes sense to our present-day mind (up-till this new discovery of "plural-spouses"). After all, "it doesn't make sense" for "his woman" to "have to" a <u>second</u> husband, <u>does</u> *it???!* You see, the previous paragraph was talking about his daughter. And thus, "she" makes sense to us, for God Himself was talking about the man's daughter in the previous paragraph.

But is this verse (6a) talking about his daughter??? If you'll carefully examine this paragraph, you will have-to say, "No, it **can't** be talking about the man's daughter. Because the LORD (Jehovah/Yahweh) doesn't make mistakes. *If* the LORD wanted to use the word "she" to mean the man's daughter, then He would have said, 'And if <u>she</u>...' instead of 'And if <u>his-she</u>...'

The translators all said "she," but we just-now showed you that the LORD would have said "she" (instead of his-she) if the LORD meant the man's daughter. Why? Because God doesn't make mistakes like humans do. He precisely foresaw (& predestined) every tiny detail (to the end of time), all without forcing anyone to do anything.

But since it <u>can't</u> mean his daughter, then what does it mean??? There is only <u>one</u> choice left. It <u>has-to</u> mean "his-<u>wife</u>!!!" Until now, we all thought, "That can't possibly be." But now that we've proven "plural spouses" two different ways, can you now see that this was God's way of <u>hiding</u> it?

(additional evidence/proofs in God's hidden approval)

Only one of my Bibles (KJV) got the past-tense correct & translated it "And if she had at all..." That verbal-stem (of this word "had" here) is either 1) a very rarely-used prefix or verbal-stem, or 2) a shortened form of "hithpael" verbal-stem (with the "h" left-off).

But either way, it doesn't negate <u>any</u> of the proof, because the word "<u>his-she</u>" is what proves it, not the verb "had." But also, the verb is past-tense, no matter what prefix or verbal-stem is used (except "yod," of course, which makes it on-going or future.. "Past tense" rules-out the LORD talking about his daughter, because she's too-young to marry (vs 3, see vss 3-6a).

But some of you listeners will think, "Well, it's just talking about much-later in her life." That's probably what the Bible translators thought, & so they translated it present or future tense, instead of past-tense. But does that make sense??? If God Himself meant "his daughter," then wouldn't He have used present or future tense like the translators did, instead of past tense???

And if the LORD Himself were to use past-tense, then wouldn't He <u>first</u> mention about her growing up & marrying him, <u>before</u> discussing the rest of the verse? It only makes sense that He would. No, the LORD purposely said used past-tense, to give-us a 2^{nd} "hint" that He, Himself (see vs 1, vs 2 in other Bibles) <u>wasn't</u> talking about his daughter, but rather, <u>his-wife</u>.

("Well, maybe it was a misprint or a mistake or...")

It's impossible for it to be the man's daughter, for it was spoken by God-Himself who doesn't make mistakes (vs 1 in Protestant Bibles (vs 2 in other Bibles)), for Moses' scribe wrote it down as soon when Moses spoke it. Didn't we show you that God foresaw the entire story of the universe & that He won't mis-predict by even an electron? So, how could He mis-state anything??? A mistake like that would change "the story" a vast amount. God doesn't make mistakes like people do.

You see, chapters 26-30 were written in the time between the sin of Baal-of-Peor (ch. 25) & when the Midianites came to attack (probably the next day, ch. 31). They thought that they could now conquer Israel, because they (along with the Moabite women) had gotten Israel sin. And so, this hidden approval was written before they came to attack.

And there are no textual-copying variations on this text. The ancient manuscripts all say, "And if his-she...," which is typical of the vast majority of verses in the entire Old-Testament. (It's the *New*-Testament that has a lot of textual-copying variations, <u>not</u> the Old Testament).

You see, to this very day, if a Jewish scribe makes "a typo" on a leather scroll, he doesn't "just fix" the "typo," he *un-sews it from the scroll & throws it away (destroys it?)* & re-writes that whole sheet & sews it back-into the scroll. That's why there are rarely "typo's" in Hebrew manuscripts.

Also, there are no "I forgot's" in the Torah (the first 5 books of the Bible). Moses had his scribes write down everything right away (except when Moses was alone on Mt. Sinai). In contrast, the New Testament Gospels were written very-many years later. And so, they didn't always remember exactly what Jesus said or did.

And so, that verse <u>can't</u> be talking about his daughter, because God Himself would have said "and if she..." instead of "and if <u>his</u>-she..." if the LORD meant the man's daughter. In fact, I can just-imagine his scribe interrupting Moses at this point (for God spoke to Moses & then Moses to him), "Wait a second. Don't you mean 'his daughter' (a single word, 3 Hebrew-letters long) instead of 'his-she' (a single word, 3 Hebrew-letters long)???"

And knowing how careful the Old-Covenant Jews were in not changing even one-letter of a word in the Bible, doubly especially if <u>God</u> said it, we feel confident that Moses would surely have replied, "No, write '<u>his-she</u>'," for that's what the LORD [or rather Yahweh or Jehovah]] <u>said</u>."

Having seen God's fire on Mt. Sinai & having heard His monstrous voice when He spoke the 10 commandments (Ex 20:18,19, see vss 1-21), the Israelites "didn't play games" with God's word, for He was (& still is) "a consuming fire" (Deut 4:24 & Heb 12:29, ESV).

But the LORD said it that way to hide His approval of a wife having more than one husband. Had the LORD said "his woman" instead of "his she," then it would have been so blunt, that some Jews *might* have acted on it back then, either in the days of Moses or later.

And, the Lord didn't want them to act on it till our day (already partly-discussed). But if the LORD hadn't hidden His approval, then He would have made it clear by just saying "his woman," to get rid of all doubt. Isn't that what the Lord (our Lord) would do??? But the Lord wanted to save it for our day. (The 3rd & biggest reason is near the end of this extended-preview).

(What was that special meaning of that word "had" used here?)

The verbal-stem on this particular Hebrew-verb "had," isn't a common prefix or verbal-stem, unless it's a shortened form of "*hithpael* (without the 'h')." You'll have to check with an expert, but we seriously doubt that there is any-such prefix or verbal-stem.

If so, then it <u>has to be</u> a shortened-form of the hithpael verbal-stem. If that is so, then probably the LORD-Himself had them <u>purposely</u> leave-of the "h" (the "hay"). (There are a number of places in the scriptures where certain Hebrew words have been shortened; so it's very-possible).

And why would the LORD <u>purposely</u> have them shorten that verbal-stem? Because it would have made the text too blunt, & thus some of the Jews might have let their women have plural-husbands." As we've already said, the Lord was saving this teaching for our day, <u>not</u> for then.

And why would it make it so blunt??? Because the "hithpael" verbal-stem would not only make it intensive (like the "pael" verbal-stem), but also make it reflexive (himself/herself). So the "hithpael" verbal-stem would make "had" become "actually had herself..." or "even had for herself," or "boldly had for herself..."

So, the super-literal translation of the first part of this verse is, "& if his-she [or she of him] even had for herself to a man..." Now, we've proven that "his-she" has to be his wife, but the past-tense of "had" doubly-implies that God was talking about his-wife, not his daughter. But thirdly, the hithpael stem of "even for herself" implies it's his wife, not than his daughter.

So an accurate translation would be, "And if his-wife had actually married herself to a man..." The rest of the "paragraph" (vss 6-8) continues concerning a vow that this other-husband heard her say, and how he reacted to hearing that vow.

But the "intensive & reflexive" verbal-stem would make it a bold step "out of the ordinary." So if the Lord hadn't shortened the verbal-stem, then some of the Jewish husbands <u>might have</u> allowed their wives to do that. But leaving off the "h" put the Jews into confusion & hesitation.

(A fourth "discourager" to keep the Jews from letting their wives...)

Also, the Lord added even a 4th "discourager" from doing that. The Lord made the vow (of the wife) to be a rashly- or jokingly-spoken "needer-vow" that could <u>never</u> be retracted *if* the husband didn't <u>immediately</u> revoke her vow. And what was the type of her vow??? An "<u>abstinence</u> vow," rashly or jokingly spoken.

Now, an abstinence-vow can mean other things, but to her husband, it would mean an abstinence from sex with him. Even a jokingly-spoken vow like that would enrage her husband, even though he could still revoke that vow. It was because he would think that it was prompted by her having another husband. And so apparently, the Jews decided to not let their wives to have more than one husband, because they didn't want her to even have the <u>possibility</u> of making a vow like that.

Oh, if only they had known how many "one-spouse" wives today (wanting to get rid of "hubby") have "abstained," hoping that he will go-get someone-else (or a prostitute), to have an excuse to divorce him. Many a husband has fallen from his wife's evil-withholding of sex. Jesus said, "Temptations are sure to come, but woe to the one through whom they come." (Luke 17:1, vss 1-4).

How much better it would be for <u>all of us</u> to have "plural-wives" & "plural husbands," so that <u>no-one</u> "can pull that trick anymore!" That trick becomes an ineffective-weapon if "everyone" has

plural-spouses, including the wives.

Are you "hesitant people" convinced, now that you see that the LORD truly-did hide his approval of women having plural spouses? And since we showed you that women have <u>always</u> been free to have plural-husbands from the beginning of time, then that tells us that <u>God</u> even approved of men & women having plural-spouses in the days of Adam & Eve!

So that reveals that Adam & Eve truly did "set a higher standard" than what the LORD told them. For all of their descendants only had one wife, even in <u>Cain's</u> family-line (down to the 5th generation, Gen 4:17-24). So apparently, Satan really did persuade Adam & Eve to only allow one spouse (& to forbid <u>any kind</u> of premarital sex (see Part 1), solving the problem by picking a wife for them when they reached puberty, like many ancient Jews did (Mal 2:14, see vss 13-16)).

("That would be a big mistake for a woman to have 2 masters." (Matt 6:24))

Yes, there are a few husbands that are bosses over their wives in the USA. But they are misunderstanding what the Lord intended (Eph 5:25-29, see vss 22-33). But with a woman who has plural husbands & has her own house/apartment, she will now be freer than ever. For each husband is only "the head of the house" when he is with her. He "has no say" over her at any other time.

Besides, he should <u>never</u> be her boss, even when he's with her. He's supposed to act as "the head of the wife" (vs 23a) <u>in the same way</u> as <u>Jesus</u> is the head of the church. Jesus doesn't force to the church to do anything. He only calls them to follow what He says. We believe that husbands should do the same, even if they only have <u>one</u> wife.

And yes, the wife is to submit to her own husbands (it says so in vss 22 & 24), but "submit" in the Bible means differently than "obey." "To submit" more closely means "to be subject to," rather than "to obey someone" like a boss (1 Pet 5:5, see vss 5-7).

(conclusion on approval of women having plural-husbands)

Surely the vast majority of you Bible-believers (& "liberals," too) are now convinced that the Lord truly-did hide the entire teaching of women having more than one husband. But there is one more thing that needs to be answered.

You have seen that Adam & Eve definitely taught "only-one spouse," & you have seen that God actually approves of plural-spouses, even for women. So, there is no way that Adam & Eve taught what the Lord taught them, for the Lord doesn't change (Mal 3:6, see vss 1-7). (The Lord's ways of dealing with us do change, but the Lord's character, His standards of "right & wrong" don't change, for that's what the Lord "is").

The very fact that the Lord hid His approval "tells you" that "something is fishy." Obviously, something had happened earlier that caused people to forbid women having plural husbands.

Most of you want to know <u>why</u> the Lord had-to to <u>hide</u> His approval of women having pluralhusbands. There had to be a <u>huge</u> reason why. Wouldn't there have been a lot less wickedness in this world? The answer is "Yes;" we discuss two major reasons here in this very section. They help to explain why it was <u>essential</u> for the Lord to <u>hide <u>everything</u> about women having plural-husbands.</u>

But the 3rd & biggest reason that the Lord has allowed Satan to continue his trap until now, is because the Devil will then get caught in his very-own *trap!* But let's get the "proof-type" things established first, before we look at that 3rd reason. And besides, that 3rd reason has a new-teaching that most of you will surly struggle over.

But after you're convinced, you'll realize that this additional teaching will "make everything" in the Bible fit-together. But also, this new-teaching will help unite the conflicting Bible-beliefs (of various denominations), for "all" of the Bible-believing denominations are *partly* right.

(The answer requires parts from each of the conflicting denominational-teachings, for "each side" gets its belief from the very-same Bible that the "other side" gets its belief. "Every" denomination

is partly-right, for they got it from the Bible. But it's "in piecing it all together" that we get the *correct* answer). Here are the first 2 reasons why the Lord had to hide-it:

1) If "plural-spouses" is true, it's obvious that we Christians are going to get excited about Part 1 & Part 2. But also, multitudes unbelievers will start following the Lord & will get super-excited (about both Parts 1 & 2). When we realize that the Lord is better than we've ever dreamed, then it will make it easier for all of us to stay closer to the Lord than ever. And when we are faithful to Him, then the Lord can then give-back the Pentecostal Holy Spirit. (Even Pentecostals agree that we've only had a small portion of that gift so far). And when the Gospel "has been proclaimed in all creation under heaven" (Col 1:23b, ESV, see vss 21-23), then shall the end come (Matt 24:14, see vss 3-32). Had Christians known Pats 1 & 2, from the days of Jesus or earlier, we wouldn't be excited about Part 1 & Part 2, but the excitement about these 2 new teachings will play a major part in hastening the coming of Jesus. That's a major reason (not the biggest) why God hid "women having plural-husbands."

2) The world would be lot-less wicked if everyone had always practiced "plural spouses" (& especially practiced "Part 1"). We'd guess that the world would be 10% as wicked. (You're not picturing the contrast because you're only picturing Part 2 & its *short-term* effect. It's in primarily forbidding Part 1 (& also Part 2) that wickedness is cumulatively, increasingly-induced over centuries & millenniums). Now, why would the Lord want the world to be 10 times more wicked??? No, the Lord hates wickedness & doesn't want the world more wicked. But at the same time, the Lord <u>needs</u> to <u>convince</u> us of the monstrous, cumulative-consequences of <u>full-</u> blown sin!, so that we'll never sin even a little! And since Satan set up the traps to forbid Parts 1 & 2, then the Lord simply allowed Satan to continue-seducing people to be increasingly wicked. But now, it's time to reveal Parts 1 & 2 & to completely shut-down Satan (sorry, not discussed here), so that we can see the great-contrast! Why? So that the Lord can now reap a huge "pre-harvest" of loyal Christians & take them to Heaven. But you "rapture-people" need to realize that it's the 144,000 that are taken to Heaven (Rev 14:4b, see vss (They are a different kind of "virgin" & can include prostitutes who cease defiling 1-5). The 144,000 are called the "firstfruits to God & to the Lamb" (vs themselves with men). 4b,NKJV). Had we known that they are "the firstfruits of those who are alive" (compare 1 Cor 15:20 & Matt 27:52,53, see vss 50-54), then we would realize that they are taken before Jesus comes in the clouds of glory (Matt. 13:39, see vss 24-30 & 36-43). But how is 144,000 huge? 144,000 isn't huge, but when it happens the 2nd time, the number *might* be 2 billion. Both so-called "raptures" happen during the First-Seal (2nd fulfillment, Rev 6:1,2), while we are swiftly taking the Gospel to the world (the 2nd time). Both "raptures" are a surprise (Matt 24:36-44). The 2nd "rapture" is at the end of the First-Seal, so that all <u>can</u> get ready "to exit" <u>before</u> "the Antichrist" (Rev 3:10, see vss 7-13). After the First-Seal, then Satan & his hosts are let-loose to do the Antichrist (Rev 13). Woe to those living then. The Devil again forbids Parts 1 & 2, & bad-people become horribly-wicked, worse than today (Rev 18:1-3). Then Jesus' followers become the "Great-Multitude" (Rev 7:9, see vss 9-17). They are, "the ones coming out of the Great-Tribulation" (7:13-14,ESV), i.e. Armageddon (Rev 16:16, see vss 12-16 & Dan 12:1, see vss 1-3). They are "a great multitude that no-one could number" (Rev 7:9a) because most of them come-out of the Antichrist (Rev 18:4,5) Next, Jesus comes in the clouds, destroys the wicked, resurrects the dead & takes the Great-Multitude to Heaven. Why are we telling these things? Because this **great** contrast between good & evil is what the Lord needs to thoroughly convince <u>us</u> that sin doesn't pay & progressively gets worse. You see. "after it's over," the Lord needs the universe to be eternally safe, to never have sin & rebellion rise again (Nah 1:9, see whole book). By making "the story" end-up with a horrendous contrast between good & evil, it will thoroughly convince us all to never sin again. But look

what would happen if God had plainly told us (long ago), about women having plural-husbands, & had reinstated both Parts 1 & 2. The contrast between good & evil would have been modest. Thus, some people wouldn't see the importance of not-sinning a little-bit. There's no-end to even "a littlebit of sin;" it would gradually grow until rebellion would rise again. You see, when the Devil does "the Antichrist," he will re-instate these 2 traps (forbid Parts 1 & 2). Many bad-people (who want to) will become more wicked than the most-wicked person ever! And that's why Jesus hid His approval of women having plural-husbands, so that Satan could keep-on forbidding Parts 1 & 2. Then when he's let-loose to do the Antichrist, he can easily reinstate hisforbidding of Parts 1 & 2, for it would only be, say, 30 years earlier, when everyone was forbidding So we want to encourage <u>all</u> of you to "follow the Lamb wherever He Parts 1 & 2. goes" (Rev 14:4, see vss 1-5) & become part of the second "144,000," so that you can be taken to Heaven before Satan starts the Antichrist. For everyone who meets those conditions will be taken in that 2nd so-called "rapture" (Rev 3:10, see vss 7-13). Yes, anyone can do it, for it's one of the seven Holy-Spirit-gifts, purchased by Jesus shedding His blood for us seven different times, starting at Gethsemane & ending when He was pierced (Rev 5:6, see vss 1-14). (Don't worry, for some of those conditions of the 144,000 (Rev. 14:4,5) are symbolic, rather than literal).

3) But the most important reason-why is near the end of this extended-preview.

(the third proof)

(Why doesn't the New Testament make it clear)

If the Lord were no longer allowing men to have more than one wife, then wouldn't the New Testament tell us so somewhere??? Why isn't there any comment anywhere? One reason is because it was against the law in the Roman Empire to have more than one wife & <u>no-one</u> was practicing it. (They ruled with a rod of iron).

You see, the Gospel went far beyond the Roman Empire, to places where it was even customary, or at least, where they could legally have plural wives. Well then, \underline{why} didn't the the Lord inspire the New Testament to make it clear that that's done away with, at least for the sake of people in far-away lands??? (The Lord would have inspired such a statement if it really were so).

Yes, there are instructions for elders & deacons to be "the husband of one wife" (1 Tim. 3:2, see vss 1-7 & vs 12, see vss 8-11), but those verses aren't even speaking about men not having "more than one wife," for it was out of the question (against the law in the Roman Empire) for <u>anyone</u> to have more than one wife.

Instead the texts on elders & deacons were speaking of men who were still married to their <u>original</u> wife (who had never divorced & married someone else, i.e. "the husband of one wife"). Those verses weren't even speaking of the issue of "more than one wife," for there wasn't <u>anyone</u> doing that. And if there were, then they were doing it illegally, and the Lord doesn't allow us to disobey "the law" (1 Pet 2:13-15).

But here is an even shorter proof: Since Gen. 2:24 doesn't forbid men from having plural wives, then there is nothing in the entire Bible forbidding men to have plural wives! And the Lord can't condemn <u>anyone</u> for something that He <u>never</u> warned us about in the <u>entire</u> Bible! That's all the proof you need, but let's continue with this so-called "3nd proof:"

So then, how can the Lord condemn us if He didn't make it clear in the New Testament, which all Christians revere? Well, we've already been through this before on God not saying something against women having plural-husbands.

Just picture Him condemning the <u>very</u>-men that He foresaw do it, who answer-back, "Well, <u>You</u> foresaw us marrying those women. <u>Why</u> didn't you warn us, back then, & have <u>something</u> put in the New Testament, so that we'd know <u>for sure</u> that it was done away??? Had You done that, then those authors that persuaded us wouldn't have written virginsaverd.org.... So, <u>how</u> can you..."

As we said above, the Lord would be a <u>tyrant</u> if He did that. God is love & is totally without reproach. So, that's proof, again, that the Lord never did-away with men having plural-wives. This fact also <u>implies</u> that, since God saw every microscopic detail, that He obviously had a <u>super-good</u> reason for hiding it, instead of plainly telling us that plural-wives/spouses are OK.

(fourth proof—multi small-proofs)

WHAT WAS, OR WASN'T, NAILED TO THE CROSS? (next several sections)

Yes, many Christians have held-on exclusively to the New Testament, thinking that everything in the Old Testament was done away, thinking that the only reason for including the Old Testament was to retain the prophecies of Jesus & to show the history of where we came from.

Neither they, nor the great majority, have ever realized that the Old Covenant regulations on "more than one wife" still apply today: e.g. don't diminish your first-wife's food & clothing & her marital rights (Ex 21:10, see vss 7-11) (unless she also agrees to reduce <u>his</u> marital rights), don't marry both a woman & her mother (Lev 20:14, "it is <u>wickedness</u>," KJV & NKJV, see vss 10-16), not to marry too many wives, "lest his heart turn away," (Deut 17:17, ESV, see vss 14-20), etc.

But you object, "but those restrictions are in the <u>Old Covenant</u>! I can't follow that !!!"

Let us ask you, is marrying more than one wife a *moral* issue? Christians around the world have consistently thrown-up-their-arms" in "violent" protest against any such teaching.

So, is it a moral issue? Yes, there is no doubt that it definitely is a moral issue of "right & wrong." (We also agree that it's a moral issue, except that we believe that 2-4 (or more) spouses are morally "right," & that breaking the <u>regulations</u> on having "more than one wife" would be morally wrong). Well then, what did Jesus say about the moral commandments?

As most of you know, many of the "Old Covenant things" have been nailed to the cross (e.g. Col 2:14-17), but what about the moral commandments? Were the moral commandments also nailed to the cross??? Some Christian groups have thought that the commandments were also nailed to the cross, but then, were re-instated in the New Testament. But let's see what <u>Jesus</u> says about that:

"...For truly, I say to you, until heaven & earth pas away... whoever relaxes one of the least of these commandments & teaches others to do the same, will be called least in the kingdom of heaven,..." (Matt 5:17-19, ESV). (We've greatly abbreviated this quote in order for you clearly see the point. But look it up & you will see that we haven't taken it out of context at all. Also, you will want to look at Jesus' similar statement in Luke 16:17, (see context, vss 16-18)).

Has heaven & earth passed away yet? The Bible says that heaven & earth will pass away (as we presently know them), but that hasn't happened yet. Well then, did the moral commandments pass away when Jesus died on the cross??? No, Jesus made it clear that the commandments will remain till heaven & earth pass away, i.e. till "the end of time."

(an objection on Matt 5:17-19)

Now, many in the past have used verse 17, "Do not think that I have come to abolish the law or the prophets. I have not come to abolish them but to fulfill them." (ESV). After quoting, they would say, "Jesus fulfilled all things. So, you no-longer need to follow those things."

Where did they get the idea that Jesus fulfilled all things? Well, they got it from Luke 24:44. You can see it a little more clearly in the KJV & NKJV, "Then He said to them, 'These are the words which I spoke to you while I was with you, that all things must be fulfilled in the Law of Moses & in the... (NKJV, emphasis supplied, see vss 30-49).

So, did Jesus fulfill all things? "Yes" & "No." Jesus fulfilled all things concerning *Himself*, for that is what the above verse *actually* says. But Jesus hasn't yet fulfilled everything. For one thing Jesus still has to cause heaven & earth to pass away, & many other things as well. But Jesus truly did fulfill all the prophecies in the Old Testament about His earthly life, about His death, etc., etc.

But that wasn't even what Jesus was talking about in Matt. 5:17. What Jesus was talking about in Matt. 5:17, was that He came to fulfill the full-<u>meaning</u> of those commandments (Isa 42:21, "he shall magnify the law & make it honorable," KJV, (first fulfillment, see vss 18-25)). That's what Jesus was fulfilling, rather than to put an end to all of the commandments.

If you will look at the rest of the Sermon on the Mount (Matt. Chapters 5 & 6 & 7), you will see that-that is exactly what Jesus did in the Sermon on the Mount. Jesus fulfilled the <u>meaning</u> of what it is to murder, the meaning of what it is to commit adultery, etc. in the Sermon on the Mount. The Jews had never understood these things before, but even many Christians today, still haven't realized the full-meaning of those words, either.

So, what was nailed to the cross?

Yes, Jesus did get rid of the Old Covenant ceremonies & statutes & judgments, many of which are a shadow of things to come (Col 2:17, see vss 8-17). But, Jesus <u>never</u> came to do away with the <u>moral</u> law (Matt 5:17-19, see also Luke 16:17 & its context vss 16-18).

If you will look carefully at these verses (Matt 5:17-19 & Luke 16:16-18), you will realize that Jesus didn't come to do away with <u>any</u> of the <u>moral</u> commandments, not even the Old Covenant regulations on having more than one wife (for "everyone" knows that it was OK for the Old-Testament-<u>Jews</u> to have more than one wife, e.g. Ex. 21:10, see vss 7-11). The issue has been whether or not Christians can also have that privilege.

You see, there is only one new moral-commandment talked about in the <u>entire</u> New Testament. All of the rest of the commandments already existed in the Old Covenant. And even this new commandment is only a modification of an old commandment (Lev 19:18):

Jesus modified "Love your neighbor as yourself" (vs 18), calling us to love one another just as much & in the same way as Jesus loved us (John 13:34,35). Wow, what a challenge, to love *anyone, even your best friend* as much as Jesus loved us! What a challenge!

Well then, what was nailed to the cross? Jesus wiped away the things that were contrary to us & against us, all of those tedious rules & regulations that had nothing to do with righteousness (see Col 3:8-17, especially vss 15-17). There, it's talking about the Old Covenant things that were against us & contrary to us.

But having plural-wives (or women, plural husbands) is a liberty, a freedom & is not against us, as long as you practice it as Jesus would have you practice it, & if you don't break the regulations on whom & how many you can marry, e.g. to not marry one of your wives's sisters, while that wife is still alive (Lev 18:18, see vss 1-18). Just look at the problems that it caused Jacob (Gen 29 & 30). Surely, rival-sisters (even "friendly-sisters") will cause un-foreseen difficulties.

(One way to determine what was done-away)

(We need to explain that the words, "the law," are used 2 different ways in the Bible. Jesus used it for the moral-commandments, but it's also used in Galatians (& Acts 15, etc.) for the Old Covenant, because their covenant was to keep <u>all</u> of that "law," including all of the rituals, etc. (Gal 3:10, see vss 1-14). The "Law" is a translation of the Hebrew word, "Torah," meaning "law" (used by the Jews to refer to the 5 books of Moses & <u>especially</u> to refer the Old Covenant, (which includes both the <u>moral</u>-commandments & the "ritual" instructions)).

One way to determine what was done away, is to look at Gal. 3:17 (ESV) & connect it with verse 19: "This is what I mean. The law which came 430 years afterward," [after the promise to Abram (Gen 15) that his seed would number like the stars & that his descendants would come out from slavery 400 years from that very day. It was 430 years because Moses killed an Egyptian & fled. Cont.:] "does not annul a covenant previously ratified by God, so as to make the promise void."

That particular covenant to Abram was *prior* to the Old Covenant. In fact we think of that covenant as a "New Covenant" promise, because that is where Abram "believed the Lord, & he

counted it to him as righteousness" (Gen 15:6, ESV, compare Rom 4:3, see vss 1-12). Even "circumcision" didn't start till 10+ years later (when Abraham was called "to conceive" Isaac, Gen 17:1-14). And the *real* Old Covenant didn't begin till 430 years after the "400 year promise."

But let's connect this with verse 19 (NKJV): "What purpose then does the law serve? It was <u>added</u> because of transgressions, till the Seed should come to whom the promise was made..." ("The Seed" refers to Jesus: i.e. till Jesus should come to whom the promises were made). A good way to know what was nailed to the cross, is whether it was added in the Old Covenant, 430 years after the promise to Abraham. Generally, the things that were added were nailed to the Cross, but as you will see (2 sections down), there are some exceptions.

Those ritual regulations were added at Mt. Sinai (Gal 3:19,17. see vss 10-29), but the moralcommandments already existed & were just re-stated at Mt. Sinai. If you'll search Genesis, you will find that most of those moral commandments were already stated, long-before Mt. Sinai.

Even Abraham's father had plural-wives (Gen 20:12, see vss 1-18), whom Abraham highly regarded (Gen 24:1-4, see 22:20-24 & 24:1-9). So apparently, Abraham was not offended by his father having 2 wives. After all, Abraham wouldn't have had Sarah his wife (his half-sister, Gen 20:12) if his father hadn't had 2 wives. So, he obviously had respect for his father having 2 wives.

(One reason why Abraham & Isaac only had one wife (except the slave-wife given by Sarah) was because there weren't any women that were acceptable in God's eyes, except from Abraham's relatives (Gen 24:1-9). That's why Abram & his brother married super-close relatives in their own family (Gen 11:29, see vss 27-30, 20:12, see vss 1-13) & even Jacob married very-close relatives of <u>both</u> parents (Gen 28:1,2). It was because they were afraid to marry anyone else. So, it wasn't necessarily because they were following the "only one spouse" rule.

(Were the moral regulations on plural-wives added at Sinai)

These "plural-wife" regulations appear to be added during the Old Covenant, but were they? We can't be sure, but there are evidences that Abraham already believed that plural-wives was OK. Abraham's relatives were still practicing "plural wives" in Jacob's day, for Laban couldn't "have pulled that trick on Jacob" if it weren't the custom in their family (Gen 29:25-28, see vss 21-30). And yet, both Abraham & Isaac *insisted* on getting a wife for their sons from their *relatives*!

But also the Lord gave Abraham a number of commandments, statutes & laws (Gen 26:5, see vss 1-5), but we don't know which commandments they were. If "plural-wives" really is true, then it's likely that the commandments to Abraham included some or all of the regulations on plural-wives.

But we think the Lord didn't tell us what the commandments to Abraham were, because it wasn't needed. As you will see, it doesn't make any difference whether "plural-wife" laws were added at Sinai or not. There is another way to prove it (next section), *even if* they were added at Sinai.

But even if the plural-wife regulations were only given to the Jews, it still would have been morally wrong for the *Jews* to break them e.g. 1) don't "short-change" your present spouse; 2) don't marry rival sisters; 3) don't marry your sister, aunt, niece, cousin, etc. 4) don't marry a woman & her mother, because evil thoughts can come into your mind; 5) don't marry too many, lest you drift-away from God.

Both David & Solomon broke some of those regulations because they didn't know, for one of the 5 books of Moses was lost (probably Deut., see 2 Chron 34:14-16, see vss 14-28). They sinned innocently, but wouldn't it have been much better had they known? Yes, it would. So, those "plural-wife" regulations are moral.

Well, then, what did Jesus say about the moral=commandments? "For truly I say to you, until heaven & earth pass-away, not an iota, not a dot, will pass from the law until all is accomplished." (Matt 5:18, ESV, see vss 17-20). So according to Jesus, if it's a moral regulation, then it still applies to us Christians. So, the "plural-wife" regulations couldn't have been nailed to the Cross,

not even "one of the least of these commandments" (vs 19, ESV, NKJV) has been done-away.

And as for the right to have plural-wives, it's obvious that it wasn't done-away either, for it is a liberty, a freedom for each of us to choose. And the only way that a liberty could be taken away, is to plainly forbid it in the New Testament. How else could you forbid a liberty, for it's not a "thou shalt not..." commandment, nor is it a commandment at all??? There's no other way.

(Anything that involves "love your neighbor" wasn't nailed to the Cross).

We need to explain on the <u>regulations</u> on plural-wives that were added in the Old Covenant, because some will surely think that they were done-away, because it looks-like they were added at Mt. Sinai (Gal 3:19, see vss 1-20). But as we said, they were <u>probably</u> given to Abraham.

Now, I had read the following text dozens & dozens of times, & had never-seen it before, not till "less-than a year ago." But when Paul (through the Spirit) quoted some of the 10-commandments from the Old Testament, he added, "...& if [there is] any other commandment, are [all] summed up in this saying, 'You shall love your neighbor as yourself.' " (Rom 13:9b, NKJV see vss 8-13)

We always thought that if you'd keep the commandment to love your neighbor, then you wouldn't be breaking any of the commandments, "for love is the fulfillment of the law" (vs 10b). That's true, but also "the <u>converse</u>" is true as well:

If breaking an Old-Covenant instruction would mean that you would be failing to love your neighbor, then that instruction is actually a *moral*-commandment! (If you don't think so, then ponder over verse 9b again, "& if there is any other commandment,..."). If you would be violating "love your neighbor" by disobeying an instruction, then that instruction has to be a commandment.

No, you don't have to follow that instruction "to the letter," because you're not a Jewish-Jew, but you do need to heed <u>the principle</u> of that Old-Covenant instruction. I first learned that principle from pondering over an Old-Covenant instruction (somewhere) about placing a modest-wall around the edge of your roof (for it was common to go-up the stairs & onto the "roof"). But did you realize that this is a <u>moral</u>-commandment??? Well, it is because you would be violating "love your neighbor," which also includes your wife & your children.

Some people who haven't read this instruction might think that it was OK to not have a railing *if* they all <u>agree</u> to not go near the edge. It sounds safe, but there are many things that could happen. Children get careless & forget, or children could be playing & lose their balance & fall-off, or someone could get super-sick & lose their balance, or a neighbor could come over, etc. So, the only safe thing to do is to follow the <u>counsel</u> of that Old-Covenant instruction.

Well, it's the same way with the moral-commandments for plural wives—which also apply to <u>women</u> having plural-husbands (just "flip-flop" the instruction, so that it applies to women having plural-husbands). They are definitely moral issues of "love yourself" & "love your spouse:" e.g. 1)

A man is still responsible to provide for his present wife if he's been doing that, (unless they make a *mutual*-agreement); 2) he mustn't marry rival-sisters; 3) he mustn't marry too many, etc., all for good reasons, because they affect "love your neighbor as yourself" or at least, "love yourself."

So, the regulations on men (& women) having plural-spouses" still apply because they are <u>moral-regulations</u>. Because anything that <u>breaks</u> "love your neighbor" is also a <u>moral</u>-commandment & has <u>not</u> been nailed to the Cross!

(The decision of the Jerusalem Counsel)

The famous Jerusalem council (Acts 15) decided whether the <u>Gentiles</u> (not the Christians-Jews) should "keep the law of Moses" (Acts 15:5b, see vss 1-5). Peter agreed with Paul & Barnabas, saying, "...why do you test God by putting a yoke upon the neck of the disciples, which neither our fathers nor we were able to bear? But we believe that through the grace of the Lord Jesus Christ, we shall be saved in the same manner as they." (Acts 15:10-11, NKJV, see vss 8-29).

In the decision they reached at that council (Acts 15), there was nothing spoken about getting-ridof any freedoms & liberties in the Old Covenant, nor in any other place in the New Testament. This ("no freedoms done-away") again implies (a quasi-proof) that the Lord never forbade Christian men from having freedom to have more than one wife.

But we need to add a caution about Acts 15 (quoted above). Many have assumed that only the 4 things that they mentioned in their final decision (Acts 15:28,29) are to be kept, & that everything else in the entire Old Covenant, *including* the moral-commandments, is to be thrown away (& then *re-instated* in the *New* Testament).

Note that the council only mentioned one commandment (fornication), which most modern translations un-justifiably translate as "sexual immorality" (see Part 1 in homepage0nn.pdf on the website). The reason they included fornication was because *premarital*-fornication wasn't clearly spelled out in the Old Covenant. Since you have to search carefully to even see it in the Old Covenant, they included fornication (which includes premarital-) in the list to make it more clear.

Also, the new Christians already knew that it was wrong to worship an idol, but they needed to make it clear that it was also wrong to eat (usually meat) sacrificed (dedicated) to an idol. So they also added that requirement also, to make it more plain.

None of the 10 commandments were mentioned, nor even the Old Testament commandments to love the Lord & to love your neighbor. Why? That was because the moral-commandments weren't the issue that they was discussing. Because even the Gentile-Christians knew that it was wrong to break the *moral*-commandments.

Otherwise, the Jerusalem Counsel would have included the moral commandments, if it were part of the issue. The issue was over whether the Gentiles should be circumcised, & also whether the Gentile-Christians should keep those ceremonies, rules, rites & regulations of the Old Covenant.

(3rd reason for hiding "women/plural-husbands:" catch Satan in his trap)

The 2nd reason of hiding "women having plural-husbands" is almost as big as this one, but this 3rd reason is absolutely vital. Most of us Christians have thought that Jesus did it all, & that we don't play any part in conquering Satan & in restoring "the kingdom," except for taking the Gospel to the whole world, bringing people to Jesus & those kinds of things.

Well, that is *partly* right, for Jesus purchased <u>all</u> of the victory that is <u>needed</u>, by shedding His blood 7 different times, starting at Gethsemane & ending when the soldier pierced Him to make-sure that He was dead.

But that doesn't mean that we don't play a part in getting Satan caught in his-own trap. Didn't Paul (through the H.S.) prophesy, "The God of peace will soon crush Satan under <u>your</u> feet (Rom 16:20a)?" Who is it that does it??? It's <u>God</u> who does it. But whom does He do it <u>through</u>? He will do it <u>through</u> us!

So, how does God do it through us? In Revelation, you find that none of us were worthy to open the prophetic-scroll or to even look at it (Rev. 5:1-5, see vss 1-14). But fortunately, God tookaction by sending His only-begotten Son as a seed in the Woman, Mary (Actually God's Church, but also Mary, Rev. 12:1-5).

Each time Jesus shed His blood, He purchased a special manifestation of the Holy Spirit. That's why the Lamb of Rev. 5:6b has "...7 horns & 7 eyes, which are the 7 spirits of God <u>sent out</u> into <u>all</u> the earth" (ESV, see vss 4-7). (These 7 Spirits are 7 manifestations of <u>one</u> Holy Spirit, each functioning in a unique way, discussed further below).

Jesus paid for all of our sins so that everyone who turns to Jesus can be forgiven. But Jesus also accomplished a lot more than just forgiveness when He died for us. Some of these 7 manifestations of the Holy Spirit <u>enable</u> us to live a victorious life.

Most of us experienced Christians have seen someone who was a despicable-slave to sin, who turned completely-around & became a "victorious" Christian. We were amazed at the abrupt change in their life "for the better."

But in contrast, we've looked at our own lives & have seen ourselves "fall flat on our face" so many times that it deeply-hurts. And yet, we wonder how that person who was a slave to alcohol, drugs & evil was so consistently "victorious," not completely victorious, but vastly more victorious than before. And we say, "Why can't I be victorious like that?"

Consequently, most Christians have concluded that it's impossible to constantly-live a victorious life. And they are right—that is, if you <u>don't</u> know the <u>secret</u>—for <u>no-one</u> has done it in 2,000 years. That's pretty-good proof, isn't it??? **Wrong!!!**

(the secret to living a victorious life)

The secret is that Jesus made it one of the Holy Spirit-gifts He Jesus purchased by shedding His blood the fifth-time (probably when Jesus fell beneath the load of the cross, which is so fitting, for we, too, aren't able to overcome, not of ourselves).

But note-that God made it a **gift**, "lest <u>anyone</u> should boast" (Eph 2:8,9,NKJV, see vss 4-10). That's why we are saved by grace through faith, so that no-one can boast about being saved (vs 8) nor boast about having great faith. (Even faith is a gift for the same reason (vs 8,9).

And why is it so important that we not ever boast, not even a trillion years from now??? Because that's where Satan fell, & sin would rise-again if we ever got to glorying about "what \underline{I} did" (Isa 14:12-14, see vss 4-20, Ezek 28:11-19). If the Lord doesn't want us to glory about our faith, nor glory about being saved, then it's far-more important to not glory about living a victorious life, for we would <u>especially</u> want to glory about "what \underline{I} did."

So, the only way that the Lord will help you live a victorious life is for you to daily ("constantly") acknowledge that you could <u>*never*</u> do it (nor <u>*anyone*</u> else) without that Holy-Spirit gift (the 5th H.S. gift).

And keep in mind that you are still "a sinner" because of all of your past. For none of us have ever lived a sinless life (Ps 14, Rom 3:9-20, see vss 1-31). And consequently, none of us are saved by living a victorious life from then on, for God has made salvation a *gift*, lest anyone should boast. But also, we are totally dependent on Jesus' 5th Holy-Spirit gift every step of the way. Otherwise, it won't happen (no grounds for glorying, whatsoever).

In order to receive that special Holy-Spirit gift, you also need to die daily ("constantly") to everything that is contrary to the principles of God. But that, too, is "a joint venture," for <u>you</u> <u>can't die to yourself</u> without that same Holy Spirit gift, because it subdues your heart, so that you can give-up those unacceptable desires.

There was a Baptist preacher who used to say, "*Everything* is a gift," & it's true, even our extreme determination & effort is a gift from above. That gift motivates us & strengthens us to try hard. Without all of those "gifts," our efforts would be vain. We have absolutely nothing to glory about, **period!**

Doesn't the Bible say, "For nothing will be impossible with God." (Luke 1:37, see vss 26-38)? But also: "No, in all these things, we are more than conquerors through him who loved us." (Rom 8:37, see 7:24-25a, 8:3-4,)?

And what did Paul (through Jesus) mean by being more than conquerors? Rom. 8:29 reveals what Paul was thinking: "For whom He [God the Father] foreknew, He also predestined to be conformed to the image of His Son, that He [Jesus] might be the firstborn among many brethren." (NKJV, bracket comments supplied).

What did Paul mean by being more than conquerors??? That's right, God has predestined some

of us at the end of time to be so "conformed to the image of" Jesus, that we fully reflect His character. That's what Paul meant by being "more than conquerors."

"But that dishonors Jesus!"

And many of you "have flown off the handle" into a rage at such a statement, for you think that this takes-away glory from Jesus. No, instead of stealing Jesus' glory, it glorifies Jesus. Just ask any father who has a son that far-exceeds him.

"That's my son there, the President of the USA. I'm just a barber in a small town, but look at my son!" "That's my son there, the richest man in the whole world! I'm just a brick-layer, working for a brick-laying company, but look at my son! He's 'a chip off the old block.' " Are they jealous of their son? No, just the opposite. They are exceedingly proud of & joyful for their son. (Those men are proud of their sons in a way that *pleases* the Lord (without any self-glorying).

Well, what about Jesus? Will He be jealous of us, His children (men, women & even <u>young</u> children), when we fully reflect the image of Jesus??? By no means, for He is the One who made us & is even the one holding us together. No, we don't match the glory of Jesus. But even if we did match that glory in the eyes of the angels & people in Heaven, Jesus would rejoice. For there is nothing more that Jesus would want than for us to be "little chips off the old block, Jesus."

That is what Jesus wants <u>more</u> than <u>anything</u>! Nothing will honor Jesus more, because that was Jesus' goal when He made the earth. Jesus called for us to overcome 7 times in Revelation, & even an 8th time in Rev 21:7: "the one who conquers [NKJV 'overcomes'] will have this heritage, & I will be his God & he will be my son." (ESV, see vss 1-8).

And what does Jesus mean by us being His son? It means that those of us who overcome will "be conformed to the the image of His son" (Rom 8:29). We think that it is more than just "a title" that Jesus honors us with.

Our guess is that this is <u>how</u> Jesus conforms us to His-own image, for we could never match what Jesus did—<u>except</u> in one *way. The only way that even the greatest Christian will be conformed to His image, is for Jesus to impart His-own Spirit into us, so that everything we do, everything we say, every look on our face, will be prompted by Jesus' unique-Spirit within us.

(All of us have the indwelling H.S. of Jesus, but we are speaking of Jesus Himself actually imparting His-own spiritual-attitude within us, which is much more than just "Jesus dwelling in us" through the indwelling H.S. Jesus only gives that to those who overcome sufficiently. He only manifests His spiritual-attitude to "overcomers" when each <u>want to</u> look & act & speak & respond the way Jesus would, if Jesus were to have that person's physical make-up & personality).

So, what will Jesus have on this earth in the last days? That's right, Jesus is going to have thousands (probably) of "little Jesus's" all over this world, fully reflecting His image. Why? Because Jesus'-own Spirit is dwelling within them. That's why. Jesus will then be living in thousands of followers, fully representing Him.

(Would you like to see how we—through Jesus' gift—crush Satan under our feet?) The answer is found in the prophetic-vision about "the dragon," Satan, in Rev. 12:7-12. Verse 7 starts shortly before the earth, as we presently know it, was made.

The "dragon," Satan (vs 9a), had discovered how beautiful, brilliant & persuasive he was (Ezek 28:11-15, see vss 1-19) & had rebelled against God the Father & against Jesus, His Son, & was then cast out of Heaven (Rev 12:8), along with a third of all of the angels that rebelled with him (vss 3,4 & 7, compare Rev 1:20).

[Yes, Ezek. 28:11-19 described the king Tyre, but the Lord was actually talking about Satan when he rebelled. That's why it talks about him as being "an anointed guardian cherub" (vs 14,ESV), one of the covering cherubs, covering God's bright glory in Heaven (Ex 25:18-20, see vss 10-22). My

guess is that Jesus was the other "covering cherub." See also Isa 14:12-15, see vss 3-20)].

This world was "without form & void" (just water & a thick cloud above it & rocks earth, etc. beneath the water, Gen 1:1-2) until shortly after Satan was kicked-out of Heaven. That's when Jesus started making this earth, as we know it, to give Satan a "battle-ground" to get-back at God for kicking him (& his angels) out of Heaven (Rev 12:3,4, see vss 1-6).

The Lord foresaw (w/o forcing them) that Adam & Eve would fall from Satan's temptation, persuading them to eat the Tree of Knowledge of Good & Evil (Gen 3:1-6). So, the Lord let Satan have a way to get-back at God the Father & Jesus. But little did Satan know, that all of his temptations, down through the ages, were preparing these people to take the place of Satan & his fallen angels (Dan 7:27, see vss 1-28, Rev 20:4, see vss 1-6).

Because of fighting against Satan's temptations, God's people will then know the drawbacks & the evil of sin. When Satan is thrown into the Lake of Fire, all of those living then will be totally convinced that any kind of sin is totally unacceptable "in Eternity." For rebellion would rise-up again & rob us of the beautiful peace & tranquility that God will have already given us *prior* to that time: 1) when we will be taken to Heaven & then later 2) when we will be taken to the New Earth, Rev 21:4, see vss 1-5).

(the part we humans play)

But let's look at the <u>one</u>-part that we humans play in God using us to bruise Satan under our feet (Rom 16:20, KJV), i.e. to cast-down Satan & to restoring the kingdom back to God & to Christ (Rev 12:10, see vss 7-12). (But don't get the wrong idea. The one part we play continues from then, on, all the way till Jesus comes in the clouds of glory).

But the word "bruise" can also mean "crush." Actually, there are 2 more times (later yet) when God also uses His people to bruise, & eventually crush, Satan under their feet (just before Jesus comes in the clouds of glory (Dan 7:27, see vss 1-28) & during the 1,000 till Satan gets cast into the Lake of Fire (Rev 20, see vss 4 & 7-10). But we are just focusing on the first time that Satan gets bruised under *our* feet, for that event is when Satan gets caught in his-own trap.

Rev. 12:10-11 reveals that Satan's accusing-mouth will be stopped (by God's people, vs 11). That implies that we have something to do with "the kingdom of our God & the authority of His Christ..." (vs 10a) being established. That happens when Satan's mouth is stopped from accusing us day & night (stopped accusing some of us, not all of us).

But Rev 12 reveals roughly when this event of verses 10 & 11 occurs. Some have thought that this kingdom restored (in verses 10-11) was when Jesus died & was resurrected. Yes, the victory on the cross was a partial fulfillment.

The Kingdom of Grace was established from Jesus' victory on the cross (Heb 4:16, see vss 14-16), but God's people overcoming in Rev. 12:11 wasn't actually fulfilled when Jesus conquered on the cross. The *power* for us to overcome was purchased by Jesus shedding His blood 7 times, but the actual overcoming-victory is still-future (not long from now).

Jesus' victory on the Cross was the first fulfillment, but this is a dual (triple) prophecy. There is a 2^{nd} fulfillment that will "soon be" fulfilled sometime in the near-future. How do we know? Three reasons: 1) we had nothing to do with Jesus' victory on the Cross; like verse 11 says, 2) for verse 11 (about our overcoming) hasn't yet been fulfilled. 3) Satan is still accusing us night & day, yes, even while John was seeing Revelation in 90+ AD/CE, all the way to this very day (vs 10b).

We can only overcome sufficiently through the Subduing Holy Spirit, but when we do, Jesus imparts His-own special "Spirit" (imparting Himself) into each of us. (Since Jesus is holding every-single atom together in each one of us, it's not hard for Jesus to impart Himself into each overcomer).

Jesus'-own "Spirit" (Himself, not the Holy Spirit) provides the *capability* for each of us to react

with the-look on our face like Jesus would have if He were you, & to act & say & do like Jesus would do if He were you. (But Jesus can only accomplish this through us, <u>only</u> if we want to & <u>only</u> if we try & <u>only</u> if we know what Jesus was like, so that we know how we <u>should</u> act). When this transformation into the image of Jesus consistently happens, then Satan's accusing mouth is <u>stopped!</u>

When verse 11 is fulfilled then Satan no longer has anything to accuse many of us "little Jesus's" (it's plural, implying that there have to be at least 2 "little Jesus's"), then Gabriel (or someone) will declare: "...Now the salvation & the power & the kingdom of our God & the authority of His Christ have come, for the accuser of our brothers has been thrown down, who accuses them day & night before our God." (vs 10, ESV).

If you will look carefully at this quote, you will see 2 clues on how Satan gets cast-down: What is Satan called here? "The accuser of *our* brothers," (implying that *humans* said that, since they called us "our brothers." But even those who believe that the dead are sleeping know that there are already many people in Heaven (Matt 27:51-53)).

But the point is, this is where the Lord reveals that Satan has been accusing & was still accusing people in 90+ AD/CE when Revelation was written. And yes, he is still accusing us day & night yet to this day. And why is Satan accusing us humans??? Because he knows that as long as he can keep-on accusing <u>all</u> of us humans, then he is still-safe from God's kingdom being established,

(When is the kingdom of God established?)

God's plan is to give the kingdom back to us (Dan 7:27, see vss 23-27), but but He refuses to do it until He has some people that are fit to give-back the kingdom-to. Yes, the Kingdom of Grace was won by Jesus on the Cross, but the *ownership* of the world ("the *former dominion*" that Adam & Eve had, Mic 4:8, ESV, see vss 1-8) hasn't yet been restored. The Lord created this world for mankind to have dominion over (Gen 1:26b & 28b, see vss 24-28), & He insists on giving-back that dominion to mankind (Mic 4:8, Dan 7:27, see vss 23-27).

But that dominion comes in two stages. When the kingdom is won back a few years from now by, say, a few thousand "little Jesus's," most of God's people won't yet be victorious. That's not good enough for the Lord. The Lord isn't going to give back "the former dominion" to mankind until <u>all</u> of God's (living) people are victorious, (shortly before Jesus comes in the clouds of glory).

When Jesus comes in the clouds, <u>all</u> of God's people will be overcomers (little Jesus's), for even those who come out of "Babylon" (Rev 18:4,5, see vss 1-8) will immediately receive the 7th "Holy Spirit" (Jer 31:34, see vss 31-34), which instantly transforms them into "instant overcomers." Those living-overcomers at the end of time become Jesus' Bride (Rev 19:7,8, see vss 1-8).

(That's whom the Great Multitude of Rev. 7:9-14 are, who "have washed their robes & made them white in the blood of the Lamb" (vs 14b). They are "the ones coming out of <u>the</u> great tribulation," i.e. Armageddon (vs 14, ESV & NKJV; the KJV mistranslated their <u>own</u> Greek manuscripts; see NKJV)).

So when that victory is won the first time (by a few thousand "little Jesus's"). what will the Lord do, since He can't give the dominion back to us, not till <u>all</u> of God's living-people are ready & Jesus comes again (the 3rd (partial) fulfillment of Rev 12:10-11)?

Rev. 12:10 says what He's going to do: "...Now the salvation & the power & the kingdom of our God & the authority of his Christ have come, for the accuser ...has been thrown down, who..." That's right, the kingdom goes back to God & the authority of it goes back to Christ, until <u>all</u> of God's (living) people are fully ready for Jesus to come in the clouds of glory.

But as we said, when we were talking about the remaining verses of Rev. 12, the first & 3^{rd} fulfillments are only partial fulfillments, but the 2^{nd} fulfillment fulfills <u>all</u> of the verses. The ownership (the first dominion of Mic 4:8) is first restored to God & Jesus, & then later, is given

back to those overcomers just before Jesus comes in the clouds of glory (Dan 7:27, see vss 23-27).

But what happens when the ownership-kingdom goes back to God & Jesus? Verse 12b tells us that the Dragon (Satan) goes-into a rage & vents his wrath on God's people. In verses 13-17 he chases God's people into the wilderness. But if you will look at Mic. 4, you'll see the same "story."

"The former dominion" returns in verse 8 (see vss 1-8), but look what happens in the succeeding verses: In verses 9 & 10 God's people go into great distress, like a woman having great birthpangs. Then in verses 11 & 12, the nations come to harm God's people, but instead (vs 13), the "daughter of Zion" (God's people) "thresh" (like threshing wheat, where there is chaff that is blown-away by the wind) & conquer. That happens while Jesus is on His way to come & get them.

So, Mic. 4:8-13 agrees with Rev. 12:10-17, except that it fills-in more details. Now, isn't that enough evidence that "the kingdom of our God & the authority of his Christ" (the former dominion) will come *before* the Devil does the work of the Antichrist??? Surely, you can't deny it. But as we said the ownership-kingdom is first given to God & "his Christ" until just before Jesus comes in the clouds of glory. And then at that time, God gives back to them the former dominion, for all of God's people that remain on the earth will then be instant, "perfect" overcomers.

But it won't happen the way it looks-like

Now, these verses look-like (at first appearance) that the Dragon (Satan) will <u>immediately</u> pursue the woman (the Church) as-soon as he is cast-down. Remember that John saw a vision of the <u>Dragon</u> & the <u>Woman, not</u> of Satan & the Church. In "the Greek," the Dragon was cast-down <u>into</u> the earth. Now, the word "into the earth" can mean either "to the earth" or "into the earth," because "in earth" (in their language) was viewed as the place where we walk.

But in this case, it should actually be translated as "*into* the earth" (as we understand "earth"), because the Dragon had already been cast "to the earth" in verse 9b. So if he's cast-down even further, it would have to be "*into* the earth.

And if the dragon (the dragon-figure, not Satan himself) is cast "*into* the earth," then what does that tell you? It tells that Satan & his hosts are "paralyzed" for awhile & can't do anything until God allows "the Dragon" to come back-up.

This agrees with verse 13a, "And when the dragon <u>saw</u> that he <u>had been</u> thrown down" <u>into</u> "the earth..." The dragon is paralyzed when cast into the earth (see below), & so he doesn't <u>see</u> it until <u>after</u> he is awakened (much later, see below). <u>Then</u> the Dragon pursues the Woman (vss 13-17).

The Dragon is figuratively cast into the earth some time, while we Christians are swiftly taking the Gospel to all the world, much like they did 2,000 years ago. But this time, we will have much-greater success than they did 2,000 years ago, because Satan will be shut down & even "paralyzed" (when the ownership-kingdom is established) so much that he won't even be able to see what is going on (Joel 2:20 agrees with the Dragon being cast *into* the earth; see vss 18-29).

And then after we are done taking the Gospel to the whole world, (much more could be said about this), then the Lord awakens Satan, to let him do the work of the Antichrist (Rev 12:13-17).

And why would the Lord allow Satan to do the Antichrist? Because more souls will be won (after the Antichrist is exposed as a fraud) than have been won in the entire history of the world. Those souls who lose their lives as martyrs are saved (Rev 6:9-11; Rev 13:13-15. see vss 1-18). But also after the Antichrist is exposed, an angel calls for God's people to come-out of Babylon (Rev 18:4,5), which become the Great Multitude that no-one could number (Rev. 7:9-17).

"But how does "women having plural-husbands" fit-in with this?

So, how does discovering Part 2 (& Part 1) catch Satan in his-own trap, & thus shut Satan's accusing mouth? Parts 1 & 2, or rather, the hiding of Parts 1 & 2, are the two biggest traps that Satan has ever set.

Down through the ages, more souls have fallen-first from sexual sins & marriage sins, than have fallen-first from all of the other sins put together! Yes, those people eventually fall-into all of the other sins, but they generally fall into sexual & marriage sins first, and <u>then</u>, the other sins.

What does that tell you??? Two things:

1) It tells you that you will be <u>a-lot less</u> likely to fall if you know & practice Parts 1 & 2, for you will have much better self-control if you practice (properly) Parts 1 & 2 (similar to 1 Cor 7:5b, see vss 2-5, discussed below). But Satan's accusations (of those who are trying to overcome) 'overcome are beyond committing out-&-out sins. But practicing Parts 1 & 2 also helps us control our sinful thoughts, as well. So, practicing Parts 1 & 2 make it <u>much easier</u> to overcome —provided you are acknowledging your total-dependence on the Subduing H.S. (not "taking the credit for it"). But also, the Devil watches more for marriage-sins & sexual-sins (& thoughts) than he does for anything else, for those have always been his two biggest traps. So, having your sex-drive & "marriage-drive" well under control (by practicing Parts 1 & 2) is a great defense against those temptations. But also, Satan is watching for other sins, as well.

2) When we discover Parts 1 & 2, it makes us realize that the Lord is more wonderful than we've ever imagined. And what does that do for us? It multiplies our loyalty to God because of Him being so wonderful. It motivates us to shun everything that the Devil stands for & to completely unite on the Lord's side. It makes us want to get rid of the one who set-up these 2 traps & has caused all the troubles that we have seen. He has tried to take-down our world with him by making our world so wicked that God would have to destroy it. And so, this motivates us to become "little Jesus's" in order to cast-down Satan & restore the kingdom back to God & Christ (Rev 12:10,11), who will later, fully-give the dominion back to Mankind when Jesus comes in the clouds of Glory (Dan 7:25b, 2nd fulfillment of Mic 4:8). The Bible repeatedly says that the Enemy will get caught in his own trap (e.g. Ps 9:15,16). So apparently, re-establishing Parts 1 & 2 (his 2 biggest traps) will inspire us so much that our loyalty will be 100% toward the Lord. Then, that will "tilt the balance" to where Satan is overcome & eventually, completely cast down & destroyed. But apparently, the converse is is also true, that we <u>never</u> would have fully conquered Satan, if the Lord hadn't hidden Parts 1 & 2 until now, &/or if we had failed to established Parts 1 & 2 in our day. That's why the Lord had to hide these 2 teachings, because we would *never* have overcome Satan if the Lord hadn't hidden these 2 discoveries.

What about Part 1?

Both Parts 1 & 2 are God's fulfillment of the "Elijah Message" of Mal. 4:5,6: "...& he will turn the hearts of fathers to their children, & the hearts of children to their fathers,..." (vs 6a, ESV). Many have not realized that this is a dual prophecy, once in Jesus' day & again in the last-days (look carefully at Matt 17:11-12 & see one "Elijah-message" in the future, & the other in the past (John the Baptist's message, vs 13, see vss 1-13)), "lest I come & smite the land with a decree of utter destruction." (vs 6b).

Also, it was prophesied that John the Baptist would only fulfill the part of turning the fathers to the children (Luke 1:17, see vss 8-23). When parts 1 & 2 take a-hold in our churches & start proclaiming the Gospel, then many parents will turn their hearts toward their children.

But most of all, there will be a <u>huge</u> number of teenagers & young-adults who have never-known Jesus before, who will turn their hearts toward their dads (& of course toward their mom's, too, but especially their wayward dads). Multitudes of young guys are going to go-after their dad's & bring them back to Jesus. What a reversal! You'll see why when you read Part 1.

We haven't yet written an extended-preview of Part 1, but when you are reading our older writings, you can use the same principles to prove Part 1 as well. (The proofs in the old writings on

Parts 1 & 2 are not-nearly as clear & convincing). So when you see that something was never once mentioned in the entire Bible, neither pro nor con, then what does that tell you???

No, it doesn't tell you that "that-something" isn't wrong; rather, it tells you that "that-something" isn't <u>necessarily</u> wrong. Total silence in the Bible only tells you that it <u>can be</u> acceptable to God, as long as you don't violate any of the <u>other</u> commandments/instructions of the Bible.

But there is one exception: Anything, even something that is not-wrong in the Bible, becomes wrong if you believe it is wrong & yet you do it, even though it is not-wrong in God's eyes (Rom 14:23). For instance many have believed that sex is only for procreation (for conceiving children).

I believed that when I was young & still single (as a Protestant, not a Catholic), but that teaching violates the Bible & cannot possibly be true. (Yes, Catholics, it not only violates the Protestant Holy Bibles. It also violates <u>your-own</u> Holy Bible).

For 1 Cor. 7:2 counsels people to get married so that they can control the temptation to commit fornication (unlawful sexual intercourse). And how does marriage control that temptation? That's right, by husbands & wives periodically having sex together, it relieves the sex-drive & thus, *reduces* the temptation to have forbidden sex with someone else.

But in verses 3-5 Paul (through the Holy Spirit) continues to stress the importance of husbands & wives *periodically* coming together to have sex. They are not to needlessly abstain from their spouse's *reasonable* request, except by agreement for a limited-time of prayer. Then in verse 5, he concludes by explaining why it is so important to come together periodically (sexually): "...so that Satan may not tempt you because of your lack of self-control" (1 Cor 7:5b, ESV, see vss 2-5).

Now some Catholics have thought that Paul said this by permission from God, because of verse 6. The answer is "No, verse 6 is leading-into Paul's discussion on <u>celibacy</u>. The Lord <u>allowed</u> Paul to state his viewpoint, but as for verses 2-5, they were fully inspired by God. How do we know? Because the counsel in verses 2-5 <u>protects</u> us from being <u>severely</u> tempted by the Devil. Now, isn't that enough proof???

But remember, if you believe that it's wrong, even though the Bible says that it is <u>not</u> wrong (& is even recommended), then you will be sinning. And why is that so? Because you would be <u>disobeying</u> what you <u>think</u> God wants, & yet are purposely <u>doing</u> it. That's why.

So Roman Catholics, please make-up-your-mind. We hope that you will realize that "procreation only" is a man-made rule. (Satan "snuck-in," without them realizing it, & counterfeited the Holy Spirit, & thus moved your leaders (for hundreds of years) to teach this false teaching). Why did Satan do that? So that his devils can then tempt your people <u>much-more</u> readily (1 Cor 7:5, see vss 2-5). So, which are you going to believe, "man's" teaching or your-own Holy Bible???

Counsel to All of Us Christians

Priests, bishops—all the way up to Pope Francis—it pays to know your Bible well, to help prevent the Enemy from "sneaking-in" & leading you far astray from the principles of the Holy Bible. All of us need to do that: Bible-believing Protestants, liberal Protestants, Orthodox leaders & people, Roman Catholic leaders & people, even Jehovah's Witnesses,

(I can't say "Latter-day Saints." You are such wonderful people who love the Lord, but you'll be led astray as long as you depend-on to your 3 books. You believe that your 3 books agree with the Bible, but that's because you are interpreting the Bible by those books. Let the Bible interpret itself (comparing scripture with scripture); then you'll see that those books do contradict the Bible).

But Can't Tradition Help Us Find the Truth?

Tradition? Yes, it can help a lot, for it is easy to misinterpret the Bible, for You have to fit <u>all</u> of the scriptures of the Bible together, or you won't get the right answer. Tradition will help you find the right answer, but <u>only if</u> "tradition" comes from the days of the Apostles, for they did have "the Spirit of Truth" (John 15:12-15, also 14:26, see 15:1-16:33) that guided them into all truth back

then (1 John 2:20,26-27, see vss 18-27).

But the Apostolic-Church lost their first love & didn't repent (Rev 2:4,5. see vss 1-7). So, the Lord had to take away (largely, not completely) the Pentecostal spiritual gifts & the Spirit of Truth. That's why there are so many conflicting beliefs today. We don't have a lot of the Spirit of Truth, yet. That's why we have so many conflicting teachings. Many devils "snuck-into" many churches, counterfeit "holy spirits," that led many churches to believe many contradictory things.

They all believed that they were right, but all of them can't be true (2 Tim 3:16,17, see 2:14-3:17). But surely, the Lord will soon give-back the Spirit of Truth to guide us into <u>all</u> truth. And when He does, we believe that the Lord will then lead us back together, all who love & follow the Lord.

But for now, you can look at the tradition in the days of Apostles, & that will help you determine which is true. Or better-yet, you can search-out <u>every-single text</u> in the entire Bible on a given subject, & then keep-on prayerfully-pondering over all of those texts, until the Lord opens your eyes on how they-all fit-together.

Because "tradition" can lead you further & further astray if you depend on later & later traditions. The closer you can get to the days of the Apostles, the more-sure you can be that "that-tradition" is correct. Because the Spirit of Truth was largely taken away at the end of the Apostolic-Days (roughly 110 AD/CE, when persecution by the Romans began).

But even the Apostles, who had the Spirit of Truth, didn't know these new teachings of Part 1 & "plural-spouses," for the Lord thoroughly-hid it since the days of Adam & Eve. So the Spirit of Truth couldn't guide them into those 2 truths, because the Lord wanted them to be discovered in our day, in order to catch Satan in his-own trap. So actually, the <u>only</u> sure, safe way to determine <u>all</u> of our beliefs, is to prayerfully fit <u>all</u> texts in the Holy Bible on a given subject.

Let's Not Be Critical of Those Who Have Fallen in the Past

But also, we've been so critical of Catholic priests that have done shameful, sexual things. But instead, we should be pitying them. When they Listen to the confessions of all their parishioners, it discourages them & makes them prone to give-in to temptation. But most of all, their priests <u>never</u> <u>knew</u> that God has provided a way for <u>celibates</u> to maintain <u>their-own</u> (sexual) self-control. When they learn these things & practice them, <u>faithful</u> priests <u>won't</u> be falling-into these sins again.

But we also need to pity teenagers & "singles" & courting-couples, for they never knew that the Lord has provided a way for them, too, to control their desire to be naked (Rev 16:15), their desire for illegitimate sex (Acts 15:29b, but Part 1 reveals that modern translations mis-translate a word). When they learn these things, then they will have better control of themselves.

Look, if husbands & wives need to "come together" periodically, not only physically but also sexually, so Satan doesn't tempt them by their lack of self-control, then what do teenagers & singles & <u>especially</u> courting-couples need??? Yes, that's right, they need <u>a way of escape</u>, too. And to think that we have been so <u>critical</u> of teenagers when they have rebelled or have give-in to sex!

Now some of you are saying. "Well, I can handle it." Yes, you "have handled it" up till now, but why do you want to think of it as evil or "not the best," when the Lord obviously doesn't view it that way? Yes, the Lord not only tolerates what we call "JSS" (Jesus Satisfying Solution) in Part 1; but He also hid His *full approval* in the Bible, just-like He hid His full-approval of plural-spouses.

The Lord hid this for the same reason that He hid His full approval of plural spouses: because Satan is the one who "gave us" our present-rules on morality, thus taking away God's 2 *primary* ways of escape from sexual-sins & marriage-sins. So the Lord allowed him to keep-on teaching this false morality, so that we might see how wicked the world has become because of these 2 false-teachings, to hide these 2 teachings till now, in order to catch Satan in his-own trap.

So, the Lord not only tolerates JSS & plural-spouses, but also fully approves of <u>both</u> teachings. But at the present time, we leave it to you to see the old proofs on Part 1, & then for you to take the same principles that we used in this extended preview & <u>you</u> yourselves prove Part 1 as well!

Please, readers, carefully, prayerfully examine Part 1, for the sake of teenagers, singles & courting-couples—and for your *own* sakes as well, for most of you married people have also been tempted by someone at times—even though you were having frequent-sex with your spouse—for this is also God's way of escape for married people.

Please, carefully & prayerfully examine the proofs of Part 1. For on many occasions, Part 1 is *far-more* important than Part 2, to help you to stay-faithful to Jesus.

Very-Old Writings, from here to the end: (Probably-not of much value, but not checked) [Less important ("old") writings on Noah's Flood:]

Is our day like it was in Noah's Day?

The world today isn't that bad yet (because people get punished for murdering), but we do see a lot of divorce & marrying someone else. But worse yet, we see multitudes that have left God & are just living together (with at least one of them thinking that he/she might change "partners" when he/she finds a "better one." That's often why they don't get married, because <u>one</u> of them refuses to make the commitment of marriage. (Those people will be much-more likely to make a commitment if they learn that they can also have other spouses).

But today's world has grown very wicked over the last 100 years. Why??? We think of our present moral standards as a high & holy ideal, but could it be that they are <u>the cause</u>??? Could it be that the world is so wicked because Satan "subliminally" persuaded Adam & Eve to raise the standard higher than what God told them, to forbid Parts 1 & 2 (especially Part 1, discussed elsewhere)???

We think of loosening the standard as bad, but there are also drawbacks to raising the standard. Many people today hate God, because they think that 1) the Lord doesn't allow any sex at all apart from marriage, 2) forbids more than one spouse. Those people that have hated God from their teenage years, onward, often become very wicked people. Some of those people get into terrible sexual evils, which might not have happened, had they known about 1) JSS-Love (acceptable "lesser-forms of sex") & 2) acceptable "plural spouses." In contrast, they might have even fallen in love with the Lord.

But that's not all. Many people in excellent churches, who <u>did</u> follow the Lord & are still regularly attending church, etc., etc., have also fallen into sexual & marriage sins. I'm talking about good, Bible-believing/Bible-practicing churches! And, I'm talking about people in those great churches, who truly did love the Lord & wanted to follow Him. They weren't hypocrites when they started out. They were overcome by Satan's temptations. And, once they give-in, they don't stop sinning (most of them, a few repent & straighten-up. But most of them start lying to themselves (perhaps even before they fell), imagining that they can "keep right-on sinning" & God will "keep right-on forgiving" them. Not so (Matt 7:21-23, see 13-27, Luke 13:27, see 22-30).

But also, we who are following God, no longer have God's <u>primary</u> ways of escape & are <u>much-more</u> apt to fall. Many of us married people know what it's like to be terribly attracted to "somebody else." We usually think of pastors who run away with a church member as innately evil, but could it be that most of them started out good, but gradually yielded to those terrible temptations, little-by-little, & eventually "made the jump???"

People, it's time to put a stop to these natural-consequences of "no sex at all till marriage" & "one wife, & only one wife." So when you are convinced that the Lord never commanded these teachings, then let's start making efforts to convince our churches to believe & practice these new

teachings of appropriate JSS-Love & appropriate "plural spouses."

But Can it Possibly Be?

Adam (not God) taught the same standards on marriage & sex that we Christians have today, except for (probably) marrying-off their children at puberty, like many Jews did (Mal. 2:13-16). Forbidding Part 2 (& especially Part 1) are Satan's *biggest traps*, but God hid these "clues" till now, in order to catch Satan in his very-own trap.

Let's explain: Throughout the ages, more people have fallen from sexual sins & marriage sins than any other sin, because of forbidding Parts 1 (JSS) & 2 (plural spouses). Once they lose their connection with God, then Satan drives them on-into more & more & worse & worse sins. Satan has tried to (cumulatively) get each generation to become worse & worse & worse.

Why?, to get back at God by trying to "pull down" us humans (who are gong to take the place of him & his fallen angels, Rev 12:7-17), so that we, too, might be destroyed (also Rev 20:4, see 1-4, & 22:5b, see 3-6).

Forbidding Part 1 (JSS, discussed elsewhere) is the biggest reason for gross-wickedness, today. But, as you will see below, forbidding Part 2 was the biggest reason for wickedness, back in Noah's day.

So, this message is 10 times more important than you think, & yet some of you still think that it can't possibly be true. Many Bible-believers think that we are doing fine, that the Lord is about to come because the world is becoming so wicked & because we are <u>almost</u> finished taking the Gospel to the world. You are deeply <u>mistaken</u>! God has a big surprise for us:

You are overlooking the vast multitudes who "aren't interested," because they won't surrender to Christ—no, not till these 2 teachings are restored. You forgot that God will restore <u>all</u> things <u>before</u> the end of time, including doctrines (Matt 17:11b, see vss 9-13). Since Jesus said all things would be restored, then doesn't it make sense that <u>some</u> traditional-teachings would also be restored?

That implies that more than likely, not all of the traditional-teachings are correct at the present time, especially when you consider that there are so many different teachings in so many different denominations. They all can show you from the Bible, <u>why</u> they believe what they do—from certain scriptures, but <u>not</u> from all of them. The Enemy is to blame for all off these conflicting teachings & denominations, by filling each conflicting denomination with feelings of inspiration, that what <u>they</u> teach is the <u>truth!!!</u>, the whole truth & nothing but the <u>truth!!!</u>

Could it be that <u>none</u> of us have correctly fitted <u>all</u> of the scriptures together???, that each one of these conflicting teachings is "a <u>little-bit</u> right???, i.e. that the texts that they use do say something similar to that???, that the real answer lies in studying-out all of these conflicting verses, until the Holy Spirit opens our eyes to see how it <u>all</u> fits together??? Yes, we wouldn't be surprised if there will also be other traditional teachings (not mentioned here) that will also be restored soon.

Yes, we believe that the day is coming when the Lord will give-back the "Spirit of Truth," which will guide <u>all</u> of us (all who are the Lord's) into <u>all</u> truth (John 16:13). When the Lord gives that back, not only will all of the churches that believe the Bible start coming together, but they will also start believing the <u>very-same things</u>! (This is much different than previous ecumenical attempts. For not only will we (eventually) become united in beliefs, but will also be filled with the Holy Spirit & become perfectly united in brotherly-love & fellowship with each other, perfectly united as <u>ONE</u>!). We believe that many of Jesus' signs of the last days are now coming to an end (Matt 24, Mark 13 & Luke 21). Jesus worded it that way to hide His surprise for us: (that better days are coming, less sinful & more comfortable, while taking the Gospel to the whole world—that is, until the Antichrist). No longer will only a few be saved (Matt 7:13-14). That, too, is about to come to an end. When the great majority of the world start believing (& practicing) JSS & plural spouses, then Satan's 2 biggest traps will be removed, &

multitudes will start believing & yes, even <u>faithfully</u> following the Lord. You don't think so? Even during the time of the Antichrist, Jesus will have a bigger harvest of souls than there has ever been in the history of the world. Not only will multitudes die as martyrs, but also a vast multitude will come-out of the Antichrist <u>after</u> his reign has ended & he's exposed. One of the 24 elders in Revelation revealed to John that the "...great multitude that no-one could number..." (Rev 7:9, see vss 9-17) will come-out of "<u>the</u> great tribulation" (vs 14, ESV & NKJV (the KJV mistranslated it)), which is "a time of trouble such as never has been since there was a nation" (Dan 12:1, see vss 1-4), which is also Armageddon, which happens <u>after</u> the Antichrist, during the 7 last plagues, just before Jesus comes in the clouds of glory (Rev 16:16, see 12-21). Now, isn't that far more people than "few there be that find it" (KJV, Matt 7:13,14)??? Yes it is. So, could it be that lots-more people will be saved, saved for eternity, from now, on??? Think about it.

Someday Satan will deceive every tribe & nation, from the North Pole to the South Pole, through the Antichrist (Rev. 13:7 & 14, see vss 1-17). But the <u>only</u> way he can deceive the <u>whole</u> world, is for the entire world to be <u>one</u> basic religion. (Buddhists won't "buy-it," Hindus won't buy-it & Muslims won't "buy" what Christians will be <u>deceived</u> by). So, we <u>aren't</u> going "Home" until the <u>whole</u> world becomes Christian (just one more evidence that vast numbers of people will soon be saved, not all of them saved for eternity, but will, at least, will become Christians of some sort).

But ultra-conservatives,

that doesn't mean that your conservative practices weren't best under the old system of things, for they <u>were</u> the right thing to do, up till now. But now that God is moving in a new direction to restore these 2 teachings, then the traditional "precautions" will no longer be needed & will be a hindrance. Yes, these 2 systems don't fit-together & will seem objectionable at first. But the whole world (even our present members) will be better off, once these teachings are established.