

The Absmart Difference – CO₂ Emissions Monitoring Its all About the Volume of Waste Generated



Two rigs running side by side, same Operator, same Drilling Contractor, same Service Providers. The only thing that differentiates these two rigs is the Environmental Compliance approach to Waste Management

The wells are identical in hole sizes, casing depths, TD depths, downhole tools and mud type.

REACTIVE RIG # A

RIG A BIO: Typical land rig, the same shale shakers that was installed when the rig was first deployed to the field 10-15 years ago and are dressed with course cut mesh screens. This rig has had almost no upgrades and minimum maintenance, springs are broken on the shakers, the skirt has rips and tares, the g-force output on the vibratory motors is half of what was advertised, the single centrifuge is almost never turned on, the mixing hopper has almost no shearing capability, the pits have square bottoms, the suction & discharge are directly on top of one another, and the mud balance is a typical water based mud balance used on both WBM & OBM. Does this sound familiar?

PROACTIVE RIG # B

RIG B BIO: This rig has been well maintained and has had some upgrades. There have been 3 drying shakers installed and two centrifuges (one high speed and one big bowl), the effluent from the drying shakers gets processed through the high-speed polishing centrifuge. For a weighted mud system greater than 11 ppg the primary shakers are dressed with 270 mesh screens while the drying shakers are dressed with 50 mesh screens. The low-speed big bowl centrifuge recovers the barite, the high speed removes the LGS. The mixing hopper is a high shear design that discharges into rounded bottom tanks equipped with tank eductors, and the mud is measured using real time drilling fluids instrumentation.

THE DELIVERABLE

The underlying objective in any drilling application is to remove the maximum amount of solids on the first pass using the primary shakers. The caveat is that there is a trade off as to how much solids can effectively be screened out vs the volume of waste that gets generated. This is where the understanding of screening can help you make sense out of why your counterparts next door are drilling their wells at a 1/4 the cost of yours.

At Absmart, we measure all your Key Performance Indicators in real time, that's the Absmart Difference

GOOD DRILLING FLUIDS MANAGEMENT PRACTICE

Carryover is an important KPI to understand. If you are currently discharging more than one bbl of whole mud with every bbl of drilled cuttings, your system could use some tuning.

Barite Concentration it is an important concept to understand. Knowing the difference between the two types of solids, HGS (barite) and LGS (drilled solids, ultrafines & colloids). We must never use drilled solids as a weight material. For every bbl of drilled solids not removed from the system requires significant dilution volume to maintain programmed specs.

ASG (Average Specific Gravity of Solids) is a great one-point identifier to quantify what is weighting up your system. Less than 3.0 SG is trouble zone, less than 2.4 SG is unacceptable.

Contact your local Absmart agent for a consultation.

info@absmartusa.com

The Absmart Difference – CO₂ Emissions Monitoring

Its all About the Volume of Waste Generated



INTERVAL WELL DATA:

Hole Size: 8.5" Interval Length: 12,000 ft Interval Days: 30 days to TD

Key Performance Indicators	Reactive Rig A	Proactive Rig B
Total Cuttings Volume Generated	843 bbls	843 bbls
Volume of Solids Removed	590 bbls @ 70% eff	716 bbls @ 85% eff
Volume of Solids not Removed – Recirculated back down the well	253 bbls @ 70% eff	126 bbls @ 85% eff
Volume of Mud Recycled per day	No recycling	40 bbls per day
Cost savings due to Recycling	None	\$240,000 (\$8,000/day conservative)
Dilution volume required to maintain the mud system	2276 bbls to maintain a 10% LGS	696 bbls to maintain a 7% LGS
Total Cost for Dilution (\$150/bbl @ 80/20 OWR)	\$341,336	\$104,446
Volume of Waste Generated	1003 bbls (1.7X carryover)	430 bbls (0.6X carryover)
Total Cost for Waste Disposal @ ~\$75/Ton	\$31,093	\$13,325
Total Cost for Diesel Generator \$2.19/gal	\$54,750	\$37,887
Waste hauling costs @ \$600/load	\$13,819	\$5822
Water hauling Costs @ \$600/load	\$1654	\$600
Base Oil hauling Costs @ \$600/load	\$10,406	\$2154
Diesel Hauling Costs @\$600/load	\$3759	\$2602
TOTAL INTERVAL COSTS	<u>\$456,817</u>	<u>\$166,836</u>

Disclaimer: These estimates are used to compare the two different ways to approach your drilling fluids management. There are significant costs savings on multiple fronts if good drilling fluids practices are utilized.

The Absmart Difference – CO₂ Emissions Monitoring Its all About the Volume of Waste Generated



ENVIRONMENTAL SOCIAL GOVERNANCE (ESG) METRICS

SOURCES OF CO2 EMISSIONS	REACTIVE RIG A	PROACTIVE RIG B
Base Oil Trucking	16 trips	5 trips
Base Oil Trucking Emissions @ 200 mile round trip & 10 mpg	3316 kg CO ₂	1014 kg CO ₂
Water Trucking Trips	3 trips	1 trip
Water Trucking Emissions @ 200 mile round trip & 10 mpg	526 kg CO ₂	260 kg CO ₂
Waste Trucking Trips	28 trips	12 trips
Waste Trucking Emissions 200 mile round trip & 10 mpg	5628 kg CO ₂	2412 kg CO ₂
Diesel Trucking	8 trips	5 trips
Diesel Trucking Emissions @ 200 mile round trip & 10 mpg	1530 kg CO ₂	1058 kg CO ₂
Diesel Consumption (generators)	305,400 kg CO ₂ @ 2.5 gal/ft	211,367 kg CO ₂ at 1.73 gal/ft
TOTAL CO₂ EMISSIONS	316,400 kg CO₂	vs 213,710 kg CO₂

www.EPA.gov

1 liter of Diesel weights 835 grams.

Diesel consists of 86.2% Carbon, or 720 grams of Carbon per liter of diesel

In order to combust this Carbon to CO₂, 1920 grams of Oxygen is needed

The sum then is 720 + 1920 = 2640 grams of CO₂/liter of diesel consumed

Or 10,180 grams of CO₂ per gallon of diesel fuel consumed