

**VILLAGE OF CAMILLUS
REGULAR ZOOM MEETING
February 1, 2021**

Present: Mayor Richard Waterman
Deputy Mayor Martin Rinaldo
Trustee Ann Eckert
Trustee Michael McBride
Trustee Brian Raichlin

Attorney: Robert J. Allan

7 person in attendance

Mayor Waterman opened the meeting at 6:00 p.m. with the Pledge of Allegiance and introduced Tom Blair and Doug Sutherland to make a presentation for the Camillus Mills Development-Phase 2 project.

Doug Sutherland led a discussion detailing the Camillus Mills Phase 2 design of a three story, 60,000 square feet building along Nine Mile Creek with a projected start date of construction in early summer 2021. There was also mention of a Phase 3 project which would not start until after Phase 2 is well under way.

The building to be constructed would be in the flood zone which means the first occupied floor needs to be 12 feet above the existing concrete slab. The site is also a NYS Brownfield site and there is a voluntary clean-up agreement with the DEC to agree to certain protocols, tests and procedures. This project would also include a space for development with the Creek Walk.

Mr. Blair stated there were four things needed from the Village which included a motion accepting the site plan application for Camillus Mills Phase 2 and Phase 3, the Village Board would become the lead agency for SEQRA purposes and to classify the action as an unlisted action, the project application materials be forwarded to the Onondaga County Planning Agency to begin the reviewing and recommendation process, and the Village Board would need to set a Public Hearing for the site plan review.

Bill Reagan questioned the width of the driveway stating based on the 30 foot height of the building, code would require a 26 foot wide. In accordance with Appendix D of the NYS Fire Code, there cannot be overhead wires as they would interfere with aerial firefighting apparatus and currently there are overhead wires present. Mr. Blair stated he had spoken with engineering who had contacted the fire department and received approval for the plans. Chief McBride had not received a copy of the plans as of this afternoon and would have a problem with the wires. The original plan had to be modified from the historic feel to a more modern feel with a flat roof per SHIPO's request. Mr. Blair suggested over the next thirty days we should hold an on sight meeting with the engineers and fire chief to go over the plans.

Mr. Sutherland and Ashley Anderson continued the presentation for Camillus Mills Phase 2 with a detailed screen show and a copy of the documents available in the office for public reviewing. Bill Morse asked for clarification on the number of public parking spaces as 46 spaces and 44 spaces were indicated. Mr. Sutherland stated he would confirm the information but thought the correct number was 46 spaces. There will be 58 one and two bedroom units and size of the units are 620 – 970 square feet with an anticipated 100-110 residents. With Phase 1, Phase 2, and Phase 3 completed there are anticipated a total of 187 parking spaces. Phase 2 and Phase 3 are all part of this application.

Bill Morse stated he did not think this was an unlisted action but thought it was a Type 1 action. After some discussion, Tom Blair suggested making the change from an unlisted action to a Type 1 action and complete the long SEQRA form with additional ten questions.

Upon motion of Trustee McBride, seconded by Trustee Eckert and unanimously approved by the Board to make the Village the lead agency for Camillus Mills – Phase 2 and Phase 3 project and the Type 1 action for SEQRA purposes.

Upon motion of Trustee McBride, seconded by Trustee Rinaldo and unanimously approved by the Board to refer the application to the County Planning Agency for their referral.

Upon motion of Trustee McBride, seconded by Trustee Raichlin and unanimously approved by the Board to hold a Public Hearing on March 1, 2021 at 6:00 p.m. for the purpose of Camillus Mills – Phase 2 and Phase 3 site plan approval.

Mayor's Announcements

Mayor Waterman stated the Board needs to set a Public Hearing for the purpose of banning outdoor/open burning in the Village of Camillus.

Upon motion of Trustee Rinaldo, seconded by Trustee Raichlin and unanimously approved by the Board to hold a Public Hearing on March 1, 2021 at 6:00 p.m. to approve Local Law #1 to modify the code for the Village to prohibit outdoor/open burning in the Village of Camillus.

Mayor Waterman stated a Public Hearing needs to be set for the purpose of approving the 5-year plan. The 5 year Plan includes sidewalk replacement with priority along Main Street from Newport Road to Leroy Street and sections along First Street in the area of the Camillus Senior Center, First Street Road improvements, Maple Drive resurfacing between Timber Ridge Road and Rolling Hills Road, creating a connected walking trail along Nine Mile Creek from Main Street to Newport Road in conjunction with the second phase of the Camillus Mills project, Kastor Avenue resurfacing and Elderkin Avenue resurfacing.

Upon motion of Trustee Rinaldo, seconded by Trustee Eckert and unanimously approved by the Board to hold a Public Hearing on March 1, 2021 at 6:00 p.m. to approve the Community Development for Main Street Project for milling and paving and approval of the 5-year plan.

Mayor Waterman stated the next meeting will be held March 1, 2021 as a Zoom meeting at 6:00 p.m.

Mayor Waterman stated this past Thursday he and the DPW employees met with Downtown Decorations and viewed a lot of Christmas decorations and the general feeling is to stay with the wreath and candle decoration, just under 50" with LED lights. Downtown Decorations will be coming to the Village to test a display wreath either Thursday or Friday. Mayor Waterman also spoke to a company in Minnesota but pricing is about the same. The estimated cost per decoration is \$550.00 and we need eighteen but will order twenty to have two extras available. He will try to negotiate the price to the budgeted amount of \$10,000.00. Mayor Waterman will take photos of the wreath on the pole and make them available to the Board members and requests all the Board members provide input.

Bill Morse stated Onondaga Community Development has requested the Village Board complete the SEQRA form for the Community Development money the Village has been approved. The milling and paving of Main Street needs to go through environmental review at the Federal level. Bill Morse and Bob Allan stated this is an unlisted action and should not be required but since there is no impact, the Village Board will complete the form as requested.

Short Environmental Assessment Form Part 1

1. Does the proposed action only involve the legislative adoption of a plan, local law, ordinance, administrative rule, or regulation? No
2. Does the proposed action require a permit, approval or funding from any other government Agency? Yes
3. a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action? 1.96 acres
- b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed? 1.96 acres
- c. Total acreage (project site and any contiguous properties) owned or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor? 1.96 acres
4. Check all land uses that occur on, are adjoining or near the proposed action: Urban, Aquatic
Commercial
5. Is the proposed action,
 - a. A permitted use under the zoning regulations? N/A
 - b. Consistent with the adopted comprehensive plan? N/A
6. Is the proposed action consistent with the predominant character of the existing built or natural landscape? YES

7. Is the site of the proposed action located in, or does it adjoin, a state listed Critical Environmental Area? If Yes, identify: NO
8. a. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels? NO
- b. Are public transportation services available at or near the site of the proposed action? YES
- c. Are any pedestrian accommodations or bicycle routes available on or near the site of the proposed action? YES
9. Does the proposed action meet or exceed the state energy code requirements? If the proposed action will exceed requirements, describe design features and technologies: YES
10. Will the proposed action connect to an existing public/private water supply? If No, describe method for providing potable water: NO
11. Will the proposed action connect to existing wastewater utilities? If No, describe method for providing wastewater treatment: NO
12. a. Does the project site contain, or is it substantially contiguous to, a building, archaeological site, or district which is listed on the National or State Register of Historic Places, or that has been determined by the Commissioner of the NYS Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation to be eligible for listing on the State Register of Historic Places? YES
- b. Is the project site, or any portion of it, located in or adjacent to an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory? YES
13. a. Does any portion of the site of the proposed action, or lands adjoining the proposed action, contain wetlands or other waterbodies regulated by a federal, state or local agency? YES
- b. Would the proposed action physically alter, or encroach into, any existing wetland or waterbody? If Yes, identify the wetland or waterbody and extent of alterations in square feet or acres: NO
14. Identify the typical habitat types that occur on, or are likely to be found on the project site. Check all that apply: Urban
15. Does the site of the proposed action contain any species of animal, or associated habitats, listed by the State or Federal government as threatened or endangered? NO
16. Is the project site located in the 100-year flood plan? YES
17. Will the proposed action create storm water discharge, either from point or non-point sources? If Yes, YES
- a. Will storm water discharges flow to adjacent properties? NO
- b. Will storm water discharges be directed to established conveyance systems (runoff and storm drains)? If Yes, briefly describe: YES

18. Does the proposed action include construction or other activities that would result in the impoundment of water or other liquids (e.g., retention pond, waste lagoon, dam)? If Yes, explain the purpose and size of the impoundment: NO

19. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the location of an active or closed solid waste management facility? If Yes, describe: NO

20. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste? If Yes, describe: YES

Short Environmental Assessment Form Part 2

1. Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations?

2. Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land?

3. Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community?

4. Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the establishment of a Critical Environmental Area (CEA)?

5. Will the proposed action result in an adverse change in the existing level of traffic or affect existing infrastructure for mass transit, biking or walkway?

6. Will the proposed action cause an increase in the use of energy and it fails to incorporate reasonably available energy conservation or renewable energy opportunities?

7. Will the proposed action impact existing: a. public / private water supplies?

b. public / private wastewater treatment utilities?

8. Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of important historic, archaeological, architectural or aesthetic resources?

9. Will the proposed action result in an adverse change to natural resources (e.g., wetlands, waterbodies, groundwater, air quality, flora and fauna)?

10. Will the proposed action result in an increase in the potential for erosion, flooding or drainage problems?

11. Will the proposed action create a hazard to environmental resources or human health?

_____ Check this box if you have determined, based on the information and analysis above, and any supporting documentation, that the proposed action may result in one or more potentially large or significant adverse impacts and an environmental impact statement is required.

X Check this box if you have determined, based on the information and analysis above, and any supporting documentation, that the proposed action will not result in any significant adverse environmental impacts.

The voting was as follows:

Richard Waterman	Mayor	No impact
Ann Eckert	Trustee	No impact
Mike McBride	Trustee	No impact
Martin Rinaldo	Trustee	No impact
Brian Raichlin	Trustee	No impact

The SEQRA was duly approved and signed.

Mayor Waterman stated he received a call from Allan Gregory from the USDA saying the Village was approved for a \$20,000.00 grant and a \$70,000.00 loan at 2.125% for fifteen years at an approximate cost of \$5400 per year. The money should arrive in two to three months if approved tonight. The vehicle would replace our current red truck which would be sold. The new vehicle is a state bid from Van Bortel Ford in Rochester. Attorney Allan stated the Village would need a bonding attorney. Mayor Waterman stated the fee for the bonding attorney was included in the estimate.

Upon motion of Mayor Waterman, seconded by Trustee Rinaldo and unanimously approved by the Board to accept the USDA offer of \$90,000 as a \$20,000 grant and \$70,000 loan at 2.125% interest for fifteen years.

Mayor Waterman stated the Village received a letter from New York State regarding a statewide audit reviewing the impact of COVID on the 2021 budget. Mayor Waterman read the letter which was previously forwarded to the Board members.

Camillus Fire Department

Chief McBride stated the new engine is in and they are waiting on the new radios to be installed before they put it into service in a couple weeks. Chief McBride will be picking up the Chief trucks in Albany on Thursday and the radios will be installed on Monday. Two new applications are waiting to be voted on at the next fire department meeting. There is an EMT class on Tuesday and Thursday nights. Still no word on the \$100,000 bridge money. Mayor Waterman stated Key Bank called and the money is in escrow for the new engine and waiting to be transferred to Marion.

The surplus Chief's truck was parked in front of the Camillus Fire Department to be sold and received several bids with the highest bid being from Apple Auto at \$8,501.

Upon motion of Trustee McBride, seconded by Trustee Raichlin and unanimously approved by the Board the Camillus Fire Department 2014 Dodge Ram pick-up truck was declared surplus and the bid of \$8,501 was accepted from Apple Auto as the highest bidder.

Chief McBride will contact Apple Auto and request a cashiers check, the receipt will show “as in” condition, and the money will be placed in A232 Truck Reserve Fund.

Mayor Waterman stated there will be a Public Hearing at the Town of Camillus on February 9, 2021 for a four year contract with Fairmount Fire Department. Town Council representative, Mary Lubber, explained there would be an increase in the Fairmount Fire Department budget every year for four years. Mayor Waterman stated he asked about a multi-year contract for the Camillus Fire Department and was told there would not be a multi-year contract and it would be dependent on performance over the next six months. A discussion was had regarding the Town Board’s request to see more calls answered, better responsiveness, and stronger membership and how to respond to those requests.

Trustee McBride suggested signing members up for day and night crews. Mayor Waterman asked about the charge for EMS training. Chief McBride stated the fire department is having to pay for EMS training now as New York State has not paid the past two years.

Code Enforcement Officer

Code Enforcement Officer stated there was been one application review and they will need a variance, one construction inspection, eleven commercial fire inspections, three complaints, seven violation inspections resulting in three new notices of violations, two meetings attended, and four miscellaneous actions.

Attorney Allan asked about 107-109 Glade Ridge Court. The building permit application has been rejected, now the person needs to request a variance and a proposed sub-division for the Zoning Board and the Village Board and Attorney Allan would like both Boards to meet at the same time.

Engineering

Bill Morse stated he should be getting a review letter out to Camillus Mills in the next couple days so they will have time to respond before the next meeting.

Approval of Vouchers

Mayor Waterman asked if there were any questions regarding the vouchers.

Upon motion of Trustee Eckert, seconded by Trustee Raichlin and unanimously approved by the Board, Abstract # 3, was approved as follows:

General Fund	\$29,932.10
--------------	-------------

Meeting Minutes

Mayor Waterman asked if there were any corrections to the January 4, 2021 Minutes. There were none.

Upon motion of Trustee Raichlin, seconded by Trustee McBride and unanimously approved by the Board, the Minutes for January 4, 2021 were approved.

Old Business

Trustee Raichlin asked about repairs on the firehouse stating the Chief's trucks have been taken care of. Mayor Waterman will look at the budget with Chief McBride and prioritize the repairs needed.

Trustee Report

Trustee Eckert stated the sidewalk snow removal is going good. Mayor Waterman agreed that the DPW has been doing a great job with the sidewalks.

Upon motion of Trustee McBride, seconded by Trustee Rinaldo and unanimously approved the meeting adjourned at 7:54 p.m.

Carrie Grooms
Sharon Norcross