

AI Writing Evaluation Sample — Analytical Writing Annotation •

Original Text:

“Technology is something that helps people in their lives, but sometimes it also makes life worse because people forget how to talk to each other.”

Evaluation:

GRAMMAR (3/5)

Minor issues with word choice and parallelism → readability mildly affected → check consistency of plural forms.

CLARITY (1/5)

“Technology” too broad → reader unsure what kind of tech or how it helps → define the scope (e.g., social media, smartphones).

TONE (1/5)

Neutral and impersonal → lacks stance or emotional register → adopt a more analytical or critical tone.

STRUCTURE (1/5)

Juxtaposition implied but undeveloped → argument lacks contrast → use clearer cause/effect phrasing.

ENGAGEMENT (1/5)

Flat delivery → no vivid detail or perspective → add concrete examples to invite reader interest.

Suggested Revision:

“Although Snapchat facilitates connection and communication between American teens ages 16–18, pervasive use also hinders their ability to communicate verbally with one another.”

Purpose: •

Demonstrates my process for analyzing AI-generated writing for clarity, tone, and structure, then rewriting for greater specificity, precision, and human engagement.