

8/19/2021

Principle Fanourgiakis,

Thank you for your letter August 18th regarding CDC Guidance. CDC Guidance is not law. Only law is law. The law is there to protect us from governments issuing emergency proclamations when no emergency exists. I am not here to debate Covid, masks, or the CDC, but I am here to show you the law and ask you how are going to accommodate it. It is illegal to mask our children at school. Masking is an option that any child may use if they fear they are in danger. For those aware of their federally protected rights, masks are not mandatory and do not have to be worn.

I'm attaching a Demand For Correction and Investigation that I will file with the attorney general and RCUSD if you do not rescind the mask mandates. You will see, detailed, the 15 laws that you are breaking. Are you aware of the fines associated with breaking those laws?

21USCode 360 bbb-3 states that informed consent must be given and we have the option to refuse any emergency use authorized device of which the mask, the pcr test and the covid vaccine are all EUA. THAT IS FEDERAL LAW. No guidance, no health mandates, no government coercion changes our rights under that law.

By law in order to use any "emergency" device, you would have to prove there is a reason for the emergency and that there are no other safe alternatives. Neither of these qualities has been satisfied as no SarsCov2 virus has ever been isolated or produced in a court of law, nor have the alternatives failed. This is all profit driven hysteria and you are blindly following the guidance no matter what the cost to our children.

There is no mention in your letter regarding religious exemptions . . . a fundamental right guaranteed in our constitution. Or maybe it's been a while since you sat in a classroom and studied the constitution, so I will refresh your memory. We all have inalienable rights that cannot be taken from us. Among those rights are deeply held religious beliefs that must be respected. Violation of those rights are a violation of both

Angela Buonocore
380 Ulmer Court
Redwood City, CA
angela29@yahoo.com

August 31, 2021

Nikolaos Fanourgiakis, Principal
Kennedy Middle School
2521 Goodwin Ave,
Redwood City, CA 94061

Illana P. Mandelbaum
Deputy County Counsel
San Mateo County Counsel's Office
400 County Center, 6th Floor
Redwood City, CA 94063-1662

Subject: Communication, Terms of Conditions and Request for Action Regarding this Letter and the "Notice of Liability for Sanctioning Biomedical, Biotechnology, & Biosynthetic Instruments"

Dear Principal Fanourgiakis, Ms. Mandelbaum,

You have been served two documents, this cover letter and the "**Notice of Liability for Sanctioning Biomedical, Biotechnology & Biosynthetic Instruments**", henceforth shall be referred to as the "Notice of Liability" in this letter. Please read and understand this cover letter and initial claim for contract first before proceeding to review the rest of the documents.

The main objective of this letter is to communicate with you my first and foremost desire and intent is to work cooperatively with you and your school where my daughter is enrolled. Luisa Buonocore wishes to remain enrolled with your school but does not and cannot submit to unfounded obligations that are not within your legal, lawful and jurisdictional rights to demand or control, nor are your demands a condition found within our contract agreement. The conflicts of interests involve my daughter accepting your so-called "medical" treatments as a condition for her continued enrollment. These demands are unacceptable conditions not based on any laws, codes, or ethics. Therefore, your request that my daughter release the control of her domain, body, property, to accepting an unknown, untested, foreign substance, product or device into her body against our will, our medical choice, and will place you in a role of being an accomplice to innumerable counts of crimes of coercion, assault, trespass, transgressions, and more, without limits.

Conditions of enrollment being dependent on accepting unsafe, untested, unethical, unfounded, unsupported, non-peer reviewed, unscientific, so-called "medical" treatments of

any and all kinds are in violation of my and my daughter's unalienable rights to determine what shall, or shall not, be done to our bodies. Refusal of accepting any so-called "medical" treatments should not limit my or my daughter's ability to attend classes, nor have access to school. My medical choice and decisions are a private matter. Our educational opportunities should not depend on anyone outside of ourselves and our attending physician from having any access to my private medical records. Medicine and education are exclusive to each other. You have no legal nor lawful rights to mandate any so-called "medical" treatments onto me or my daughter.

There are basic unalienable rights that should never be trespassed, and that is a man, woman, son or daughter's personal medical care, safety, security and health. Never should medicine, and especially fraudulently mislabeled so-called "medicine", be a one size fits all. And wherever this method of mass medicine is applied the outcome most oftentimes produce unintended negative consequences. In this case, involving the idea of injecting unknown substances that have not received any legally attained ethics committees involvement in any part of the development, distribution and administration of the so-called COVID-19 "vaccine" means that I refuse to involve myself to being treated with a CAFO production-like management and monoculture tactics and practices that use massive amounts of synthetic drugs, chemicals, hormones, anti-biotics, vaccines, and in this case, the so-called COVID-19 "vaccines" onto a world's population of people.

These treatments you are demanding, forcing, coercing, mandating, and interfering into my personal and private matters and medical care involve, without limits, the so-called [COVID-19 vaccines, PCR tests or other similar tests, masks, temperature guns, and or social distancing]. These treatments are also being forced and mandated as requirement for conditions of enrolling in school thus breaching mutual good faith and breach of education contract that I have with you and your school.

However, all that shall be rectified easily with your acceptance that there is no so-called "medical" mandate, enforcements, limitations, conditions of any kind placed on myself as conditions for maintaining enrollment in your school without limits or prejudice. You shall agree that I shall continue with my enrollment at your school without the need to show proof of any so-called COVID-19 "vaccinations", nor submit to any PCR or PCR related tests, or any other "Emergency Use Authorized" (EUA) drug, product (mask) or device, without limits.

Please let me know as soon as possible of your decision that you wish to cooperate with me in the meeting of the minds and to confirm that my daughter's enrollment abilities and opportunities with your school are not tied to the conditions of receiving any vaccinations, so-called "vaccinations", so-called COVID-19 "vaccines", PCR tests and related, EUA products and devices such as the mask. A simple response to this letter is sufficient in stating that, and I will not seek to enforce the attached "Notice of Liability" contract as long as the status in our meeting of the minds remain in effect.

But if you still choose to decide to enforce the so-called “medical” mandates, requirements, enforcements onto me and withhold my claim for cooperative agreement under the terms of conditions that I have stated above and within the ‘Notice of Liability’ contract attached herein, then you are formally served this **“Notice of Liability for Sanctioning Biomedical, Biotechnology, Biosynthetic Instruments”** contract for breach of trust and good will between us, our enrollment in school, and commercial contracts and agreements.

This **“Notice of Liability for Sanctioning Biomedical, Biotechnology, Biosynthetic Instruments”** contract contains therein my claim, statement of facts, terms of conditions to offers of contract, exhibits, and the affidavit of truth. All of my terms of “Conditions to Offers of Contract” as stated herein this letter and in the “Notice of Liability” contract will be enforceable unless you are able to successfully rebut all points accurately and thoroughly in the section entitled, “Affidavit of Truth”. You must review, answer and respond with a point-by-point rebuttal within the fourteen (14) days beginning with the “Effective Date” which is considered the date of your, or your agent’s signed autograph showing proof of receipt of the “Notice of Liability” package.

Provided are three options for your careful consideration and action:

- 1) You can forgo rebutting the affidavit statements only if you wish to state your agreement to my initial and primary terms of conditions stated here in this cover letter that my daughter wishes to remain enrolled at your school without prejudice, punishment, duress of any kind, and without the need to provide proof of vaccinations of any kind, including the so-called COVID-19 “vaccine” at any time now and into the future, and without submitting to any PCR tests and EUA products (the mask) and devices now and into the future. You can submit this in a formal statement sent directly to me.
- 2) If you find fault in any or all of these claims, then please submit a formal rebuttal to every affidavit point, point-by-point, as listed in the “Notice of Liability” section entitled “Affidavit of Truth”, and submit a copy of your rebuttal letter to each of my three witnesses as listed below. The date of the certified receipt of this “Notice of Liability” package begins the start of your fourteen (14) day review, answer and response period. This review period it to provide you an ability to fulfill your due process and an opportunity to exhaust your remedies. If you fail to submit rebuttals to the Affidavit points then you shall fall into “Dishonor” and all my “Conditions to Offers of Contract” will apply.
- 3) If you do not respond to any of the above two points, point 1 and point 2, then your silence is considered acquiesce to my claims and “Affidavit of Truth”. The statement of facts and the “Affidavit of Truth” now stands as true and as law, and therefore, my terms of “Conditions to Offers of Contract” now apply as stated in the **“Notice of Liability for Sanctioning Biomedical, Biotechnology, Biosynthetic Instruments.”**

Please submit all letters and or rebuttals to my three witnesses at these locations:

Witness #1: angela buonocore on behalf of luisa buonocore
380 Ulmer Court
Redwood City, CA

Witness #2: augustine buonocore
2747 El Camino Real
Redwood City, CA

Witness #3: Attorney General Rob Bonta
P.O. Box 944255
Sacramento, CA

“Conditions to Offers of Contract” featured here with full list stated in the “Notice of Liability”:

- 1) I and my daughter will not submit to big pharma military government industry complex “medical” directives whether local, county, state, federal, domestic and foreign powers that stand outside the laws of the U.S. Constitution. Any public officer who has sworn their oath of office, employer, business owner, man or woman, without limits, will be complicit to and indicted as an accomplice to the numerous crimes, assaults, homicide, genocide, and treasons against our nation, world, and mankind, for assisting and abetting the so-called global measures the so-called COVID “vaccine” and “vaccine passports” agendas, without limits.
- 2) I and my daughter will not submit and subject ourselves to any Emergency Use Authorized Devices (EUA’s) that are experimental and have no long term safety studies. This includes the mask and the PCR Test. Federal Law 21USCode 360 bbb-3 (e 1 A ii III) states that we have informed consent and the option to refuse the devices. We are using our informed consent to REFUSE the mask and PCR test as a condition of enrollment in school.
- 3) I and my daughter will not submit and subject ourselves to any so-called “medical” treatments and instruments without proof of ethics-guided human studies under the Nuernberg Code of Ethics **“Trials of War Criminals Before The Nuernberg Military Tribunals Volume II “The Medical Case” “The Milch Case” No. 10, Vol.2”**, pp. 181-182, the “Institutional Review Board” (IRB) or similar, proof of safety against all harm from shedding of the mRNA affects, proof there is no biodistribution of the spike proteins in major organs, no possible prion developments, and proof that no harm can come from other various toxins, known and unknown, listed and unlisted from these mislabeled so-called COVID-19 “vaccines”, without limits.
- 4) If my daughters enrollment in your school is terminated and denied based on condition of receiving the so-called COVID-19 “vaccine”, wearing the mask, or taking the PCR test, you will be personally liable and responsible for violations and interference of the HIPAA laws and the ADA laws, committing medical malfeasance, breach and violation of medical privacy and privilege, interference and breach of private patient physician care, crimes of prejudice and discrimination, medical discrimination, without limits.
- 5) If a meeting of the minds cannot be met, then you will be held personally liable and responsible for direct and indirect damages and losses of all kinds against all of your students for committing crimes against their bodies, crimes of assault, damage by false representation, misrepresentation, malfeasance, medical malfeasance, violation of medical protection and privacy, falsehood, false advertising, false-association claim, misbranding, misdescription, material misrepresentation, reckless misrepresentation, fraud, actual fraud, criminal fraud, extrinsic fraud, and fraud in law by enforcing and mandating the so-

called COVID-19 “vaccines”, PCR tests and related tests, and any and all other EUA products and devices, without limits.

- 6) If you are able to successfully rebut every single point as stated in the “Affidavit of Truth” as part of the “Notice of Liability” contract then you shall be relieved of fault for all claims, relieved of the consequences found in the terms of conditions-based claims, and relieved of criminal claims of assault, trespass and or transgressions, without limits, made against me.

In closing, the importance of maintaining true health cannot be emphasized enough. It is NOT being emphasized by these agencies purported to regulate our health. The only thing they promote has been fear. Attaining true health and practicing proven medical prophylactic measures show how the best natural means to fighting the COVID disease dispels the notion that the world is in a so-called "pandemic". In contrast to the effectiveness of Ivermectin and Hydroxychloroquine and many other useful and approved drugs that are truly safe and effective, the world government's so-called COVID measures and mandates are not only proving useless, not to work, but are proven to be downright dangerous and deadly. Their enforcements of ineffective techniques have caused more bodily injury, damage and death than deaths from all the vaccines combined in the last thirty years.

A recent study came out that tested for the microbial contents found on the masks worn by children on a typical school day. All the masks worn by the children were sterile at the start of the school day, but after just a day of wearing them, the study's labs found that all the masks were contaminated with filth and teeming with serious and dangerous pathogens and microorganisms. Interestingly, all the masks tested were found to be absent of the so-called COVID-19 virus.

Healthy lungs are necessary and vital to keeping the body healthy overall against all kinds of diseases, especially against the respiratory diseases like the COVID-19. A whole year and a half of wearing masks has been most detrimental to the lung, mouth, nasopharyngeal areas, brain, heart, overall health and mental health of everyone. May we all heed the doctor's creed to "*First, Do No Harm*" or "*Primum Non Nocere*" and practice good health instead of practicing fear. There is no fear nor is there a "pandemic" where God's provisions of multiple remedies are being administered with great success by medical doctors all over the world.

In Honor and In Truth,

all rights and remedies reserved

Angela Buonocore

the Americans With Disabilities Act and California Code 51. First violation of the ADA is \$75,000, second fine is \$150,000.

If you do not abide by the law, I and other parents will be forced to submit the Demand Notice to the Attorney General with your name on it. Following that we will file a mass action lawsuit similar to many that are being filed throughout the country. Violation of the law for you personally will mean losing your job and fines. This is no minor matter. You are assaulting our children with the needless deprivation of oxygen. You come after me we will have words. You come after my children and I will go to the ends of the earth to stop you in your tracks and pursue any punishment necessary.

So again, now that you know the law, either show me the **LAW** that supersedes it or tell me how you are going to accommodate it.

Sincerely,

Angela Buonocore

**Notice to Schools imposing illegal emergency mandates on students,
created under authority and the power of the People**

Demand for CORRECTION and INVESTIGATION by the STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Name of School: _____

Address of School: _____

Name (Man or Woman giving Notice): _____

Notice

Notice to Agent is Notice to Principal and Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent

This document is being given as notice for all schools of any type that are enforcing mandates, social distancing between family members or other rules that interfere with the free exercise of use or expression of religion in the above-named school. After this notice is given, it is the responsibility of all employees and people working for this establishment to look deeper into the law so that they may provide due care to any of the members reserving their rights based on the declarations of the membership association they are a part of or as private people.

No claim of an “emergency” or “executive orders” or “health orders” or “city ordinances” excuses you from violating the laws set forth in this notice. All 50 states and their executive, legislative and judicial offices are governmental municipal corporations as evidenced by their Dun and Bradstreet credit profiles, reports and D-U-N-S numbers. A municipal corporation **MUST** have an express agreement with the people to do business with them. For such agreement to be valid, consent of the people must be acquired. If the State claims to be a constitutionally created state operating according to the constitution, all officers must have a filed oath of office to support and defend the Constitution which bars them from imposing state mandates on the people. Either the state is acting as a municipal corporation or a constitutional government forcing mandates on the people which is both unconstitutional as well as treasonous by law. However, the State must follow their own laws as they were drafted to govern their operation, not the private lives and rights of the People.

It is the right of the people to frequently teach the government officials and their agents that we may keep a free government. By denying employment to an employee who is not wearing a mask, has not received the Emergency Use Authorized COVID shot, or refuses Emergency Use Authorized PCR testing for either medical or religious reasons, **YOU ARE IN VIOLATION** of at least eight federal laws and seven California state laws. Any violation of the following laws **WILL BE REPORTED** to the appropriate authorities:

U.S. FEDERAL LAWS

1. U.S. Constitution, Article VI clause 2

“This Constitution, and the Laws of the United States which shall be made in Pursuance thereof; and all Treaties made...shall be the supreme Law of the Land; and the Judges in every State shall

be bound thereby, any Thing in the Constitution or Laws of any State to the Contrary notwithstanding.

The Constitution and all treaties made including human rights treaties are the supreme law of the land. Any laws repugnant to the Constitution and treaties made are null and void on their face.

2. U.S. Constitution, Article 1, Section 8, Clause 17

“To exercise exclusive Legislation in all Cases whatsoever over such District (not exceeding ten Miles square)...and to exercise like Authority over all Places purchased by the Consent of the Legislature of the State in which the Same shall be, for the Erection of Forts, Magazines, Arsenals, dock-Yards, and other needful Buildings;”

The student is a non-citizen national of the United States of America. Congress does not have the power to exclusively legislate laws for the United States of America which is comprised of the 50 sovereign states. A non-citizen national is not a federal 14th amendment citizen and is therefore immune from federal legislative acts.

3. U.S. Constitution, Article 1, Section 8, Clause 3

“Congress Shall Have Power... to regulate Commerce with foreign Nations, and among the several States, and with the Indian Tribes...” The people created the United States Constitution as well as the State Constitutions. Giving power to governments to allow for the establishment of entities in commerce that would use the peoples roads and at times carry passengers or products in order to build wealth that was outside of the individual rights of men and women handling their own private affairs. Because you are working by privilege and are in use of the power of the people, you are not allowed to restrict access of the people to full use and service of your establishment. See below.

Public Law 88-352, Sec. 201 (a) “All persons shall be entitled to the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, and accommodations of any place of public accommodation, as defined in this section, without discrimination or segregation on the ground of race, color, **religion**, or national origin in.”

4. U.S. Constitution, 4th Amendment, Right to Privacy

Forcing a person to wear a mask or receive an Emergency Use Authorized shot without their consent is a violation of the 4th Amendment. Further, gathering vital statistics such as taking one’s temperature is a violation of a person's right to privacy. Violation of this protection will result in your actions being reported to the U.S. Department of Justice, which is required by law to investigate Civil Rights Violations. No law is valid or lawful that violates the Constitution. No health order, emergency order, state of emergency, municipal ordinance, or store policy may suspend or violate the Constitution, period.

5. U.S. Title 52, Civil Rights Act of 1964: Unlawful to Discriminate in place of Public Accommodations

Your school is legally defined as a place of “public accommodation” (even as a private business) and as such you may not prohibit entry or employment by discriminating against someone for their medical condition, disability, or religious views. If someone is unable or unwilling to wear a mask or receive an Emergency Use Authorized shot for one of those reasons you may not prohibit their entry, nor may you file a charge of trespassing because of their legally protected status. **Just as you would not be able to deny employment to someone based on their skin color, you may not deny employment to someone based on their bare face.**

6. U.S. Title 42, Section 12101: Unlawful to Deny Entry (and Employment) to Persons with Disability or perceived medical condition (ADA)

Your school is legally defined as a place of “public accommodation” and as such you may not prohibit entry by discriminating against someone for their medical condition or disability. If someone is unable or unwilling to wear a mask for one of those reasons you may not prohibit their entry, nor may you file a charge of trespassing because of their legally protected status. **Just as you would not be able to deny entry to someone in a wheelchair, you may not deny entry to someone not wearing a mask.** Having someone else shop for them, or requiring curbside delivery is NOT a reasonable accommodation, as it denies the “full enjoyment and equal access to facilities, services and accommodations,” as REQUIRED BY LAW.

7. U.S. Americans with Disabilities Act: Unlawful to Deny Entry (and Employment) to Persons with Disability or perceived medical condition

Your school is legally defined as a place of “public accommodation” and as such you may not prohibit entry or employment by discriminating against someone for their medical condition or disability. If someone is unable or unwilling to wear a mask or receive an emergency authorized shot for one of those reasons you may not prohibit their entry, nor may you file a charge of trespassing because of their legally protected status. **Just as you would not be able to deny entry or employment to someone in a wheelchair, you may not deny entry to someone not wearing a mask or who has received an Emergency Use Authorized shot.**

8. 21 U.S. Code § 360bbb-3: Authorization for Medical products for Use in Emergencies

No Emergency Use Authorized medical devices can be mandated, this includes, but is not limited to, all COVID-19 shots, all masks and other forms of face covering, and PCR testing. Instead, the option to accept or refuse all products is required.

CALIFORNIA STATE LAWS

1. California Constitution, Article 1, Section 1

All people are by nature free and independent and have inalienable rights. Among these are enjoying and defending life and liberty, acquiring, possessing and protecting property, and pursuing and obtaining safety, happiness and privacy. Therefore, attempting to deny a student from acquiring and maintaining equal employment treatment as other employees, as they have a right to enjoy, is unlawful and a violation of Constitutional liberties in California.

2. California Constitution, Article 1, Section 2

Every person may freely speak. A law may not restrain or abridge livery of speech. (Muzzling one's face with a muzzle does not allow for one to freely speak, and it abridges freedom of speech). Therefore, denying employment due to an employee or person not wearing a mask (regardless of having received an Emergency Use Authorized COVID-19 shot) is a violation of the California Constitution.

3. California Constitution, Article 1, Section 4

Free exercise and enjoyment of religious expression without discrimination. If covering one's face or receiving an Emergency Use Authorized shot intrudes on the religious expression of an individual, that right to religious expression may not be denied.

4. California Business and Professions Code 2052: Practicing medicine without a license

Requiring someone to wear a mask or receive an Emergency Use Authorized shot is a medical intervention. Unless you are a licensed medical professional, you have no authority to recommend such a practice. Further, a surgical mask and all COVID-19 shots are designated by the FDA as a "medical device." You have no legal authority, responsibility, or liability to require that of either your students or faculty.

No "emergency order" supersedes established law. Any "health order" related to mask-wearing or shot-receiving is unlawful and unenforceable by law.

5. California Penal Code 538(d) PC: Impersonating a peace officer

You are not a law enforcement officer and have no authority to enforce any law or order. Impersonating a law enforcement officer is a crime in this state under **California Penal Code 538(d) PC**. This violation carries the penalty of one year in jail and a \$2,000 fine. You will be reported to authorities for this violation.

6. California Civil Code 51: Free and Equal Access to Public Accommodations

Your school is legally defined as a place of "public accommodation" and as such you may not prohibit entry or employment by discriminating against someone for their medical condition, disability or religious views. If someone is unable or unwilling to wear a mask for one of those reasons you may not prohibit their entry, nor may you file a charge of trespassing because of their legally protected status. **Just as you would not be able to deny entry or employment to**

someone wearing a turban, you may not deny entry or employment to someone not wearing a mask.

7. California Civil Code 52.1: Tom Bane Act protects personal rights and carries \$25,000 fine for each violation

If any person interferes with threat, intimidation or coercion with the exercise of enjoyment of an individual's rights secured by the Constitution of the United States or the Constitution of California, the Attorney General or any district attorney or city attorney may bring a civil action or injunction in order to protect the peaceable exercise or enjoyment of the rights secured. **A civil penalty of \$25,000 may be assessed against EACH PERSON VIOLATING THESE RIGHTS. Further, an individual may also institute and prosecute a civil case for damages.**

The people realize, the "person" in this statute is one of those acting as government personnel, and this statute does not and cannot apply to the people, who are in the private sector.

Now that the people, our servants, and our corporations have an understanding, the following penalties will be assessed if you wish to infringe upon the people's right to be free:

Please notice as a man or woman working within a corporation, you function by the permission of the people and the law that governs your store or employment facilities. This member of a Private Association, as a religion, is not to wear a mask, consume blood of man or receive vaccines, submit to, or accept any tags or labels in order to be able to buy or sell. If you, or any of your employees or officers, wish to prevent the use of any of your facilities or to intervene with full accommodation, including forced wearing of any garments or mask, this member agrees to not use your facility for \$10,000 per incident and that man or woman reserves the right to use representation of his or her choice after serving an affidavit, to exclusively hear the matter. Furthermore, it is the intention of this member to bring forth an investigation, by the legislature of California, to regulate your affairs and correct any unlawful behavior or any limitations on the rights of the people.

Autograph of member: _____

Date: _____

From: Ilana Parmer Mandelbaum <imandelbaum@smcgov.org>
Date: Aug 20, 2021 at 9:41 AM
To: Bakery <bakery@labiscotteria.com>
Cc: Nick Fanourgiakis <nfanourgiakis@rcsdk8.net>, Antonio Perez <aperez@rcsdk8.net>
Subject: Masking concerns

Dear Ms. Buonocore,

Our office represents the Redwood City School District. Principle Fanourgiakis forwarded me your email regarding concerns about the District's face mask requirements for the 2021-22 school year, and your intention to pursue legal remedies. I'm writing to clarify why the District legally must implement the mask requirement at this time and what your options are if you do not want your child to wear a mask.

The California Department of Public Health, in consideration of updated universal indoor masking recommendations from the American Academy of Pediatrics and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, has issued a [COVID-19 Public Health Guidance for K-12 Schools in California, 2021-22 School Year](#), which clearly states that K-12 students are **required** to mask indoors, with specific exemptions per the [CDPH face mask guidance](#). Likewise, adults in K-12 school settings are required to mask when sharing indoor spaces with students. Persons who are exempted from wearing a face covering due to a medical condition must wear a non-restrictive alternative, such as a face shield with a drape on the bottom edge, as long as their condition permits it.

The District is legally required to follow this CDPH guidance, which has the force of law. The District is additionally required to develop and implement its own local protocols to enforce the mask requirements. To the extent that you disagree with that mandate, you should direct your arguments to the state legislature. The District must abide by the requirements unless and until the CDPH guidance changes.

In light of this legal requirement, students who refuse to wear a face mask and do not have medical documentation certifying to an exemption unfortunately must be excluded from campus. If you do not want your child to wear a mask at school, your child will need to be enrolled in the District's independent study program.

If you have additional concerns on this, I encourage you to contact the San Mateo County Office of Education. You can also find further information in the San Mateo County Office of Education [Pandemic Recovery Framework](#), which is regularly updated to reflect the latest CDPH/CDC requirements.

Thank you,
Ilana

Ilana P. Mandelbaum
Deputy County Counsel
San Mateo County Counsel's Office
400 County Center, 6th Floor
Redwood City, CA 94063-1662
(650) 363-4681
imandelbaum@smcgov.org

Friday, August 20, 2021

Hana P. Mandelbaum

Deputy County Counsel
San Mateo County Counsel's Office
400 County Center, 6th Floor
Redwood City, CA 94063-1662

Cc. Principle Nick Fanourgiakis

Dear Ms. Mandelbaum,

Thank you for responding with your understanding of the current climate.

Let me start out by saying that law is law. No amount of coercion or manipulation of the law indemnifies you from harm and damage you cause to other human beings through your reckless behavior and attempts to deny our children of oxygen. Allow me to address your concerns.

You have not provided any proof or cited any law that the district "legally must implement the mask requirement." Until you provide and show me a law that supersedes 21 US code 360 bbb-3 stating clearly the federal law that no emergency use device can be mandated, then I will assume that you either cheated your way through law school or you have no fear of being thrown in prison. Remember that after World War II the excuse the nazi's gave that, "we were just following orders," was no defense against the judgements that came down from the lawful regime that followed.

Guidance from the CDC and health department is not law. I had to laugh out loud when I read your comment that Health Department guidance has the "force of law." You know what has the force of law? Law. Real law has the force of law. I think you need a refresher course on who makes laws and who doesn't. Quite frankly it's embarrassing to read your letter.

The district is never legally required to break the law. If the district tells us to jump off a cliff are we legally required to do so?

We will not be providing a medical exemption. We see through the scam that is the "medical exemption," because any doctor that provides one loses his or her medical license. But good news. The law allows all children to attend school without a mask. In order to help you and the district I have already provided a strongly held religious belief statement that serves as a religious exemption. You can apply either the law or the religious exemption to assure that my child is no longer forced to inhale carbon dioxide and deprive her of oxygen.

Best,

Angela Buonocore