Molecular Mechanisms of Metabolic Resistance to Synthetic and Natural Xenobiotics
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Abstract
Xenobiotic resistance in insects has evolved predominantly by increasing the metabolic capability of detoxificative systems and/or reducing xenobiotic target site sensitivity. In contrast to the limited range of nucleotide changes that lead to target site insensitivity, many molecular mechanisms lead to enhancements in xenobiotic metabolism. The genomic changes that lead to amplification, overexpression, and coding sequence variation in the three major groups of genes encoding metabolic enzymes, i.e., cytochrome P450 monooxygenases (P450s), esterases, and glutathione-S-transferases (GSTs), are the focus of this review. A substantial number of the adaptive genomic changes associated with insecticide resistance that have been characterized to date are transposon mediated. Several lines of evidence suggest that P450 genes involved in insecticide resistance, and perhaps insecticide detoxification genes in general, may share an evolutionary association with genes involved in allelochemical metabolism. Differences in the selective regime imposed by allelochemicals and insecticides may account for the relative importance of regulatory or structural mutations in conferring resistance.
INTRODUCTION

Resistance of insects to xenobiotics, which include naturally occurring plant allelochemicals and synthetic insecticides, is an ongoing challenge to pest management and at the same time an ideal system for studying the processes of microevolution and environmental adaptation. At the biochemical level, resistance to xenobiotics typically involves increases in the metabolic capabilities of detoxifying enzymes as well as decreases in target site sensitivity. In the last decade, dramatic progress has been made in identifying genes associated with xenobiotic resistance and structural changes affecting their functions. Insect proteins targeted by xenobiotics (target site proteins) generally become insensitive to xenobiotics through a few conserved point mutations, probably because their functions are so vital that only a few changes can decrease their xenobiotic sensitivity without disrupting their normal functions (37). In contrast, genes associated with metabolic resistance mediate environmental response functions (9) that have relatively fewer intrinsic constraints and tend to be more tolerant of genomic changes altering function, expression, or both. Sequence amplifications, transcriptional enhancements, and coding mutations in genes encoding esterases, cytochrome P450 monooxygenases (P450s), and glutathione-S-transferases (GSTs) have been identified as resistance mechanisms. With excellent reviews on these enzymes detailing their contributions to resistance (36, 55, 104), we emphasize the internal spontaneous genomic alterations leading to amplification, overexpression, and coding sequence alteration of these metabolic genes. Given the involvement of esterases, P450s, and GSTs in protecting insects against both plant allelochemicals and insecticides, we also review the biochemical/molecular mechanisms for allelochemical tolerance and examine evolutionary associations between insecticide resistance and allelochemical adaptation.

MOLECULAR MECHANISMS OF METABOLIC RESISTANCE TO INSECTICIDES

P450-Mediated Resistance

Because of their genetic diversity, broad substrate specificity, and catalytic versatility, P450s and their associated P450 reductases comprise the only metabolic system that can mediate resistance to all classes of insecticides (36). More than 660 insect P450 genes, distributed in CYP4, CYP6, CYP9, CYP12, CYP15A, CYP18A, CYP28A, CYP29A, CYP48, CYP49, CYP301-CYP318, CYP319A, CYP321A, CYP324, CYP325, CYP329, and CYP332-CYP343 families and subfamilies, have been characterized in genome sequencing projects and smaller resistance research projects (23, 92, 116; http://drnelson.utmem.edu/cytochromeP450.html, http://p450.antibes.inra.fr/). Several approaches, including cross-strain comparisons of gene sequences, copy number, expression levels, and substrate binding preferences, have been used to determine the molecular mechanisms for P450-mediated resistance.

Resistance via upregulation. To date, no gene amplification events have been documented to account for P450-mediated resistance. Rather, 25 P450 genes, belonging to the families CYP4, CYP6, CYP9, and CYP12, are overproduced via upregulation in resistant insects. The genomic changes leading to P450 overexpression have been ascertained for only a few of the 25 P450 genes implicated in insecticide resistance, and these changes are discussed below.

Upregulation via mutations in trans-regulatory loci. Although overexpression could theoretically result from increases in transcription, mRNA stability, and/or protein translation, it appears that in most cases increased expression is achieved through mutations and insertions/deletions (indels) in cis-acting promoter sequences and/or
trans-acting regulatory loci. Several approaches, including genetic crosses, chromosome substitution, promoter sequence comparisons, and transgenic analyses, have been employed to identify changes responsible for hypertranscription of house fly (M. domestica) P450 CYP6A1 and CYP6D1, and fruit fly (Drosophila melanogaster) P450 CYP6A2, CYP6A8, and CYP6G1 genes.

In M. domestica, alleles of CYP6A1 (on chromosome 5) and CYP6D1 (on chromosome 1) in insecticide-resistant Rutgers and Learn pyrethroid-resistant (LPR) strains are downregulated by factors derived from chromosome 2 of susceptible strains, as evidenced by reduction of transcription of both resistance genes to the level in susceptible strains when both copies of chromosome 2 in crosses were from susceptible strains (16, 77). These observations suggest that overexpression of CYP6A1 and CYP6D1 alleles is due at least in part to loss-of-function mutations in negative regulatory loci on chromosome 2 of the Rutgers and LPR strains. For CYP6D1, a Gfi-1-like protein designated MdGfi-1 may serve as a negative regulatory repressor (61). For CYP6A1, linkage analysis has indicated that its regulatory repressor locus is located close to aristapedia and carnation eye, two markers on chromosome 2, to which loci for DDT and diazinon resistance are mapped (36). An aliesterase found in this region, MdxE7, has been proposed as the trans-repressor locus for CYP6A1 because CYP6A1 overproduction was associated with the absence of the wild-type Gly137 MdxE7 allele in the strains tested (99). However, the subsequent discovery of the wild-type Gly137 MdxE7 allele in the pyrethroid-resistant LPR strain, which overexpresses CYP6A1, argues against this hypothesis (105). More experiments utilizing MdxE7 RNAi or CYP6A1 promoter-MdxE7 protein gel shift assays may clarify whether MdxE7 aliesterase is simply a detoxification enzyme or whether it also functions as a negative repressor.

In D. melanogaster, CYP6A2 and CYP6A8 genes (on chromosome 2) are also downregulated by repressor loci present on chromosome 3, the homolog of the house fly chromosome 2 (31, 79, 80). As in the case of the house fly CYP6A1 and CYP6D1, hypertranscription of CYP6A2 and CYP6A8 in the DDT- and malathion-resistant 91-R and MHIII-D23 strains is due at least in part to loss-of-function mutations in the repressor loci (79, 80). At present, the nature of these repressor loci remains unknown. Given that ecdysone response element (EcRE) and Broad-complex (BR-C) binding sites are present within its promoter (31) and that maintenance of the constitutive expression of CYP6A2 depends on the synthesis of endogenous ecdysone in both susceptible and resistant strains (111), expression of CYP6A2 may be regulated by ecdysone receptor (EcR) and BR-C.

Upregulation via indels or mutations in cis-acting elements. Cross-strain comparisons of the promoter sequences for the house fly genes CYP6D1 (104, 106) and CYP6D3 (63) as well as fruit fly genes CYP6A2 (31), CYP6A8 (80), CYP12A4 (12), and CYP6G1 (17, 25, 102) have been conducted to identify mutations and indels in cis-acting elements that might cause upregulation. Neither nucleotide substitutions nor indels are found in the 5′-promoter and 3′-untranslated region (UTR) sequences of CYP12A4, although lufenuron resistance maps to a 30-kb region at 91F3 where the overexpressed CYP12A4 resides (12). In contrast, multiple mutations and/or short indels are detected in the promoter sequences of CYP6D1 (43 mutations, one 15-bp insertion located downstream of a putative silencer element for the Gfi-1-like repressor MdGfi-1), CYP6D3 (16 nucleotide substitutions), and CYP6A8 (14 mutations, two short deletions of an AA dinucleotide and three short deletions of a TAC trinucleotide). Whether and how these changes contribute to the overexpression of the corresponding resistant P450 genes need to be resolved.

In the CYP6A2 promoter region, there are six nucleotide substitutions between the DDT-resistant CYP6A2 91R allele and the
DDT-susceptible CYP6A2 ry allele (31). Transformation of the CYP6A2 91R allele with its upstream promoter sequence into DDT-susceptible ry506 flies resulted in a moderate level of constitutive expression of the CYP6A2 91R allele, whereas expression of the resident CYP6A2 ry allele was barely detectable. Such differential expression of the two CYP6A2 alleles in the same genetic background suggests that the six nucleotide substitutions are partially responsible for the upregulation of the CYP6A2 91R allele in resistant strains.

Perhaps the most striking genomic changes in cis are found in the 5’- promoter region of the overexpressed CYP6G1 alleles in D. melanogaster and its sister species D. simulans, which appear to contribute to resistance to DDT, imidacloprid and nitenpyram (two neonicotinoid nicotinic acetylcholine receptor agonists), and lufenuron (an insect growth regulator) (17, 25, 102). In D. melanogaster, a defective Gypsy-like long terminal repeat (LTR) retrotransposon known as Accord is inserted approximately 300 bp upstream from the transcription start site. Two worldwide surveys confirm a perfect correlation of the Accord insertion with DDT and imidacloprid resistance and with CYP6G1 upregulation, although there is variation in Accord insertion size across lines (17, 25). In parallel, a full-length (4803-bp) Doc non-LTR retrotransposon is inserted 200 bp upstream of the putative transcription start site of the homologous CYP6G1 gene in the California D. simulans populations (102). This D. simulans Doc insertion also leads to upregulation of CYP6G1, but its correlation with DDT resistance appears to be less significant than that of the D. melanogaster Accord insertion (102). The mechanisms by which these Accord and Doc insertions might cause CYP6G1 upregulation encompass a wide range of options, from disrupting existing repressor elements, to introducing enhancer elements, to altering the physical distance between regulatory elements and the transcriptional start site. These mechanisms remain to be analyzed.

Resistance via coding sequence changes. An increasing amount of evidence, including the incomplete loss of resistance when P450s are not overexpressed in D. melanogaster, suggests that point mutations may play a secondary role in P450-mediated resistance (36). Examination of the alleles present in different strains indicates that varying numbers of amino acid substitutions exist in the CYP6X1, CYP6D1, CYP6D3, and CYP6A2 sequences of resistant strains (36, 63, 104, 135). More directly, molecular modeling and heterologous expression have indicated that the three amino acid mutations (R335S, L336V, and V476L) present in the DDT-resistant CYP6A2 allele are in close proximity to the active site and are responsible for increasing its metabolism of DDT, 7-ethoxycoumarin, and 7-benzoxyloxy coumarin (2, 36).

Defining catalytic activity. Attributing insecticide resistance to an overexpressed P450 necessitates determining its metabolic activity against that insecticide. Among the 25 overexpressed P450 genes, only house fly CYP6D1 (104, 132), CYP6A1 (4, 36, 99, 104), and CYP12A1 (49) and D. melanogaster CYP6A2 (2, 32, 100) and CYP6A8 (52) have been catalytically defined to any extent. In vitro metabolism assays in the presence or absence of CYP6D1 antibody have demonstrated that CYP6D1 can catalyze hydroxylation of the pyrethroid insecticides permethrin, deltamethrin, and cypermethrin at the...
position of the pyrethroid phenoxybenzyl moiety in the presence of cytochrome b5 (104, 132). Heterologous expression in *Escherichia coli* of CYP6A1 and CYP12A1, both of which are overexpressed in the diazinon-resistant Rutgers strain of the house fly, has demonstrated that they metabolize diazinon by desulfuration and oxidative ester cleavage (4, 49, 99). CYP6A1 also epoxidizes the cyclodiene insecticides aldrin and heptachlor as well as a variety of terpenoids, including farnesyl, geranyl, and neryl methyl esters, and juvenile hormones I and III (5, 36). Given that CYP6A1 mRNA levels are higher in the pyrethroid-resistant LPR strain than in the Rutgers strain, even though LPR has lower diazinon resistance (104), the possibility exists that CYP6A1 is also capable of metabolizing pyrethroids.

Heterologous *E. coli*-mediated expression of CYP6A2, which is overexpressed in the DDT- and malathion-resistant 91-R strain of *D. melanogaster*, has demonstrated that it metabolizes aldrin, heptachlor, diazinon, aflatoxin B1, and 7,12-dimethylbenz[a]anthracene (2, 36, 100). Heterologous yeast-mediated expression of CYP6A8 isolated from the susceptible Oregon-R-C strain has indicated that it is capable of metabolizing lauric acid but not DDT or heptachlor (52). Although CYP6G1 and CYP12A4 have not been directly tested for insecticide metabolism in any of these in vitro systems, transgenic *D. melanogaster* overexpressing CYP6G1 or CYP12A4 display moderate DDT resistance or enhanced lufenuron resistance, respectively, suggesting that the CYP6G1 and CYP12A4 proteins can metabolize DDT and lufenuron, respectively (12, 25).

**GST-Mediated Resistance**

Another important group of metabolic enzymes involved in insecticide resistance are GSTs. GSTs can mediate resistance to organophosphate (OP), organochlorines, and pyrethroids. To date, seven GST genes have been implicated in insecticide resistance by gene amplification or overexpression.

**Diversity, nomenclature, and functions.** GSTs were originally grouped into three classes (I, II, and III) in insects (18, 33, 96, 117). Mammalian GSTs, in contrast, were grouped into one microsomal and eight cytosolic classes (Alpha, Mu, Pi, Theta, Sigma, Zeta, Kappa, and Omega), with members in each class sharing 40% or higher amino acid identity (18). As insect genome projects revealed increased diversity of GSTs (23, 92, 96, 117), a unified nomenclature system has been proposed in which the insect GST classes are assigned Greek letters corresponding to the mammalian GST classes (18). According to the unified system (18), class I and III insect GSTs are designated Delta and Epsilon classes, respectively, and class II insect GSTs are included in several mammalian classes, with many belonging to the Sigma class. Accordingly, the name of each GST is composed of the initials of the species scientific name, followed by the acronym GST, a capital letter for the initial of the class name, and a numeral for the individual gene (e.g., *AgGSTD2* = *AgGST1–2*) (18).

GSTs play a pivotal role in detoxification and cellular antioxidant defense against oxidative stress by conjugating reduced glutathione (GSH) to the electrophilic centers of natural and synthetic exogenous xenobiotics, including insecticides, allelochemicals, and endogenously activated compounds, such as unsaturated carbonyls, epoxides, organic hydroperoxides, lipid peroxidation products, and oxidized DNA bases (33, 78, 85). Some insect GSTs catalyze the dehydrochlorination of DDT to the noninsecticidal metabolite DDE (78, 85, 95, 113) by using GSH as a cofactor rather than as a conjugate. In addition to conjugation and dehydrochlorination, GSTs are also involved in intracellular and circulatory transport of endogenous lipophilic compounds, xenobiotic binding, and sequestration. Conjugation, dehydrochlorination, or xenobiotic binding and
sequestration may be responsible for resistance of insects to OP (59), organochlorines (95, 113), and pyrethroids (119, 121).

**GST gene amplification.** Among the six GST genes implicated in insecticide resistance, two of them appear to be amplified in resistant strains. These include *MdGSTD3* in OP-resistant *Musca domestica* and *NlGSTD1* in pyrethroid-resistant *Nilaparvata lugens.*

**GST genes and OP resistance in *Musca domestica.*** On the basis of biochemical evidence showing elevated production of three GST isoforms in OP-resistant house fly strains, four house fly GST genes belonging to the Delta class were isolated (114, 124). Northern blot analysis revealed greater levels of transcripts of the four GST genes in the OP-resistant Cornell-R (resistant) strain compared with susceptible strains. When compared within the isogenic set of Cornell-R, -R* (revertant susceptible), and -HR (hyper-resistant) strains, however, only the abundance of *MdGSTD3* and *MdGSTD4* transcripts was correlated with the resistance level (114, 124). Screening of a Cornell-HR genomic DNA library with a 170-bp fragment specific for *MdGSTD3* and *MdGSTD4* identified 10 loci: 3 for *MdGSTD3*, 2 for *MdGSTD4*, 2 for *MdGSTD5*, and 3 fusion genes containing the 5′ end of *MdGSTD3* and the 3′ end of *MdGSTD4* or *MdGSTD5* (133). Further *MdGSTD3*-specific genomic PCR revealed 12 *MdGSTD3*-like loci in the OP-resistant Cornell-R strain but only 3 *MdGSTD3*-like loci in the susceptible strain, suggesting that amplification and postduplication divergence of the *MdGSTD3* and *MdGSTD4* genes may contribute to OP resistance in *M. domestica.* Heterologous expression and metabolism assays showed that MdGSTD3 and MdGSTE6A (MdGST-6A), but not MdGSTD4, can metabolize methylyparathion, diazinon, and lindane (113, 125), indicating that MdGSTD3 is involved in OP resistance but MdGSTD4 is not. Cross-strain comparisons can be used to determine the involvement of MdGSTE6A in OP resistance.

**NlGSTD1 and pyrethroid resistance in *Nilaparvata lugens.*** Elevated GST activities have recently been implicated in pyrethroid resistance in several insects (119). In contrast to DDT and OP resistance, GSTs are not involved in the direct metabolism of pyrethroids but rather are involved in the sequestration of pyrethroids and/or the detoxification of lipid peroxidation products induced by pyrethroids. In the brown planthopper, *Nilaparvata lugens,* partial protein purification demonstrated that pyrethroid resistance was associated with the GST fraction having peroxidase activity (119, 121). Subsequent cloning and heterologous expression of *NlGSTD1* cDNA isolated from the resistant strain indicated more directly that it coded for a peroxidase (121). Northern blot analyses demonstrated higher levels of *NlGSTD1* transcripts in the resistant strain than in the susceptible strain. Southern analysis aimed at determining the copy number for this gene detected several more intense bands in the resistant strain, indicating that the *NlGSTD1* gene confers pyrethroid resistance via gene amplification in *N. lugens.*

**GST overexpression.** The remaining five GST genes implicated in insecticide resistance appear to be overexpressed in resistant insects. These include *PxGSTE1* in OP-resistant *Plutella xylostella,* *AgGSTe2* in DDT-resistant *Anopheles gambiae,* *DmGSTD1* in DDT-resistant *D. melanogaster,* and *AaGSTD1* and *AaGSTE2* in DDT-/pyrethroid-resistant *Aedes aegypti.*

**PxGSTE1 and OP and benzoylphenylureas resistance in *Plutella xylostella.*** The diamondback moth, *Plutella xylostella,* a worldwide pest of brassicaceous crops, has displayed an extraordinary capacity to develop resistance to all classes of insecticides including OP and benzoylphenylureas. Four GST isoenzymes have been purified from *P. xylostella,*
two of which, GST3 and the closely related GST4, degrade the OP insecticides parathion, methylparathion, and paraoxon (20, 64). That both the methylparathion-resistant strain and the tebufenuron-resistant strain overproduce these two OP-metabolizing isoenzymes allowed subsequent isolation of the GST3 gene, PₓGSTE1, from the methylparathion-resistant caterpillars (59). The heterologously expressed PₓGSTE1 metabolized the OP insecticides (parathion and methylparathion) and model substrates (CDNB and DCNB) at efficiencies comparable to that of the purified GST3 isoenzyme. Although Northern and Western blot analyses documented higher PₓGSTE1 expression in the methylparathion-resistant strain, Southern blot analyses failed to provide any evidence of gene amplification, suggesting that overproduction of PₓGSTE1 results from upregulation in the methylparathion-resistant strain. Upregulation of PₓGSTE1 is also responsible for chlorfluazuron resistance in the chlorfluazuron-selected resistant strain (109).

AgGSTE2 (AgGST3–2) and DDT resistance in Anopheles gambiae. Earlier biochemical studies revealed an association between elevated GST activities (eightfold) and DDT resistance in the African malaria mosquito Anopheles gambiae (91). Efforts to characterize these DDT-metabolizing GST isoenzymes led to the cloning of 10 Delta (AgGSTD1 through AgGSTD10), 1 Sigma (AgGSTS1), and 2 Epsilon (AgGSTE1 and AgGSTE2) GST genes from A. gambiae (94, 95). Another 18 GST genes have been identified by the A. gambiae genome project (92, 96).

Among the 31 GSTs, AgGSTD5, AgGSTD6, and AgGSTE2 metabolize DDT when heterologously expressed in E. coli (85, 94, 95). The DDT dehydrochlorinase (DDTase) activity of the AgGSTE2 exceeds the levels reported so far for any GST enzyme and is 350-fold higher than those of the AgGSTD5 and AgGSTD6 GSTs (85). Moreover, AgGSTE2 is overexpressed at both mRNA and protein levels in the DDT-resistant ZAN/U strain and is physically located within the Epsilon GST cluster that coincides with rtd1, one of the two major DDT-resistant loci identified with microsatellite markers in the ZAN/U strain (27, 85, 93, 95). Collectively, these findings suggest that AgGSTE2 is responsible for some level of DDT resistance in this strain.

DmGSTD1 and DDT resistance in Drosophila melanogaster. Although increased GST activity has been reported in several resistant strains of D. melanogaster, direct molecular evidence for the involvement of GSTs has been demonstrated only in the DDT-resistant PSU-R strain selected from the susceptible Oregon-R strain (115). Of the two Delta GST sequences analyzed, the DmGSTD1 protein was overproduced in the resistant PSU-R strain and metabolized DDT. Thus, DmGSTD1 overexpression is the major mechanism underlying DDT resistance in the PSU-R strain of D. melanogaster.

AaGSTD1 and AaGSTE2 and DDT/pyrethroid resistance in Aedes aegypti. In the DDT-resistant GG strain of the yellow fever mosquito Aedes aegypti, two of three biochemically and immunologically distinct GST isoenzymes, GST-1a and GST-2 (AaGSTD1), are overexpressed (47) either 2- to 5-fold (GST-1a) or 25- to 50-fold (AaGSTD1) depending on the age and sex of the individuals (47). Overexpression of AaGSTD1 in the resistant GG strain is due largely to a loss-of-function mutation in an unidentified trans-acting repressor that acts to repress AaGSTD1 mRNA transcription and/or decrease AaGSTD1 mRNA stability in the susceptible strains (48). However, in the DDT- and permethrin-resistant PMD-R strain, AaGSTD1 was not overexpressed (78); rather, AaGSTE2, the putative ortholog of AgESTE2, was overexpressed. Heterologous expression demonstrated that the recombinant AaGSTE2–2 enzyme exhibits both DDTase and glutathione peroxidase activity.
Esterase-Mediated Resistance

The third important group of metabolic enzymes involved in insecticide resistance are esterases. Esterases are frequently implicated in the resistance of insects to OP, carbamates, and pyrethroids through gene amplification, upregulation, coding sequence mutations, or a combination of these mechanisms.

Esterase mutations. Mutations in esterase-coding sequences have been documented in the OP-resistant dipterans *Culex tarsalis*, *Chrysomyia putoria*, *M. domestica*, and *L. cuprina*, in the hymenopteran *Anisopteromalus calandrae*, and in the lepidopteran *Plodia interpunctella* (15, 22, 127, 134). Staining of protein gels with general carboxylesterase substrates revealed that OP resistance in these species is associated with decreased or null carboxylesterase activity. Molecular data support the so-called mutant aliesterase hypothesis (84), which suggests that OP resistance results from amino acid substitutions that increase OP hydrolysis but reduce carboxylester hydrolysis.

OP resistance in *L. cuprina* and *M. domestica* maps to a pair of homologous esterase genes, *LcαE7* and *MdαE7*, that share 76% amino acid identity and identical intron positions (15, 22) and have acquired the same Gly137Asp mutation in diazinon-type-resistant strains (22, 83). Molecular modeling of the *LcαE7* protein has indicated that Gly137, one of three residues comprising the oxyanion hole, is positioned just 4.6 Å away from the oxygen of catalytic residue Ser200 (15, 83). Molecular modeling also suggests that the Gly137Asp mutation alters the orientation of the Ser200-attacking water molecule to facilitate its attack on a phosphorylated serine but diminish reactivity with an acylated serine, leading to gains in the rates of OP hydrolysis and losses in carboxylester hydrolysis.

Another mutation, Trp251Leu, is responsible for the malathion-type resistance in *L. cuprina* and is located in the acyl binding pocket, close to the catalytic Ser200 (4.3 Å) in the three-dimensional structure model (15). It has been proposed that the Trp251Leu mutation creates more space to accommodate substrates with bulky acid groups (e.g., malathion) and reduces the steric obstruction to the inversion that must occur around phosphorus during hydrolysis of OPs (51). Kinetic assays show that, unlike the Gly137Asp mutation, the Trp251Leu mutation has exceptionally high hydrolase activity against malathion, intermediate activity against dimethyl OP, and low activity against diethyl OP, consistent with the OP cross-resistance spectrum between the two OP resistance types (15, 51). Artificial substitutions of the Trp251 with other smaller residues show similar (Ser, Ala, Thr) or better (Gly) OP hydrolase and malathion carboxylesterase rates than the natural Trp251Leu mutation. However, the effects of these mutations are not additive; a Gly137Asp/Trp251Leu double mutant is less effective at improving OP hydrolysis and malathion carboxylesterase activities than are two single mutants (51).

Esterase gene amplification. Amplifications of specific esterase genes have been documented in at least two orders, including Hemiptera (*Myzus persicae*, *Schizaphis graminum*, and *N. lugens*) and Diptera (*Culex pipiens* complex and *C. triannulatus*) (41, 60, 97, 120). In *M. persicae*, overproduction of carboxylesterase *E4* or its paralog *FE4* protein via gene amplification is responsible for enhanced degradation and sequestration of a wide range of insecticides including OPs, carbamates, and pyrethroids (42). *E4* and *FE4* genes are products of a recent gene duplication that share 99% nucleotide identity in their cDNA sequence but differ in
their promoter region and 5'-UTR (41, 42). In susceptible wild-type aphids, the \( E^4 \) and \( FE^4 \) esterase genes are adjacent to each other in a head-to-tail arrangement, with the \( E^4 \) gene located 19 kb upstream of the \( FE^4 \) gene. In resistant aphids (R1, R2, or R3), one of the two paralogs is amplified to an extent that is comparable to their resistance levels, while the other gene is maintained as a single copy (40).

The amplicon, or the repeated unit of amplification, is approximately 24 kb for the \( FE^4 \) gene and approximately 20 kb for the \( FE^4 \) gene (41, 42). The \( E^4 \) and \( FE^4 \) amplicons are arranged as tandem arrays of head-to-tail direct repeats, with the \( E^4 \) or \( FE^4 \) gene situated at a position that is close to the 5' end of the \( E^4 \) or \( FE^4 \) amplicon (41, 42).

The \( E^4 \) amplification is genetically linked to the autosomal 1,3 translocation event, whereas the \( FE^4 \) amplification involves multiple rearrangements, including intrachromosomal inversions and interchromosomal reciprocal interchanges, leading to its wide variation in chromosomal location, zygosity, and copy number (11, 39). But all \( FE^4 \)-based resistant aphid clones tested have an \( FE^4 \) amplicon cluster located near the subtelomeric repeat in autosome 1, indicating this is the original site of \( FE^4 \) gene amplification and, possibly, the location of the single-copy \( E^4 \) and \( FE^4 \) genes in susceptible aphids (11). The close proximity of this postulated original \( E^4 \) and \( FE^4 \) locus to the autosomal 1 subtelomeric DNA consisting of numerous copies of a 169-bp Mpr (\( Myzus persicae \) group repeat) (110) may predispose the \( E^4 \) and \( FE^4 \) genes to spontaneous amplification events, which are then selected for because they encode the ability to cope with plant allelochemicals and, more recently, with the widespread use of insecticides. That inverted repeat sequences exist within the \( E^4 \) amplicon and a non-LTR retrotransposon-like sequence is associated with the telomeric DNA (11) suggest the possible involvement of transposable elements.

Similarly, OP resistance in the \( C. pipiens \) complex is due to coamplification (e.g., allele pairs \( A2-B2, A4-B4, \) etc.), single amplification (\( B1 \)), or upregulation (\( A1 \)) of two paralogous esterase loci on chromosome II, \( Est-3 \) encoding A (or alpha) esterase and \( Est-2 \) encoding B (or beta) esterase, which share 47% amino acid identity (29, 97, 118). The two paralogous genes are oriented in a head-to-head arrangement, with the \( Est-3 \) gene (allele \( Est^3 \)) located 1759 bp upstream of the \( Est-2 \) gene (allele \( Est^B1 \)) in the unamplified susceptible Pel-SS strain (50, 53, 54, 118). Immediately upstream of the \( Est-3 \) locus is a full-length putative aldehyde oxidase (AO) gene oriented in a tail-to-tail arrangement with \( Est^3 \) (53). When amplification occurs, the length of the intergenic spacer sequence containing their common promoter region often increases. In the resistant Pel-RR strain containing the \( Est^2-1-Est^B2 \) coamplicon, the intergenic spacer increases from 1759 bp to 2689 bp owing to two large insertions (428 bp and 512 bp, respectively) and one small insertion of 5 bp (50, 54). In the resistant Col strain containing the \( Est^3-1-Est^B1 \) (\( A3-B1 \)) coampli- con, the >10 kb intergenic spacer contains multiple 5'-truncated AO genes around the presumed amplicon breakpoint (29, 53). In the resistant Tem-R strain containing the \( B1 \) amplicon, the \( B1 \) amplification unit covers at least 30 kb, including a constant and highly conserved core of 25 kb (82).

Many other independent de novo amplification events in the two loci generate different allele pairs or alleles that vary in amplicon structure, gene copy number, tissue location, resistance level, fitness cost, and geographical distribution (53, 82). Detailed amplicon structures for these amplified alleles remain largely unknown, but it seems that all amplicons are about 30 to 60 kb long (14, 82) and cluster as a DNA puff in a single extended chromosomal region on chromosome 2L. These amplified alleles (or allele pairs) might replace each other (97) or coexist in the same resistant individuals by forming a composite recombinant allele or allele pair (e.g., \( A2-B2/A4-B4 \)) (10). For any amplified allele or allele pair,
the amplification level is variable and insecticide exposures lead to higher copy number (14).

**Esterase gene upregulation.** The upregulation of esterase genes has been implicated in the resistance mechanisms of species in the orders Hemiptera (the tarnished plant bug, *Lygus lineolaris*, and the green peach aphid, *M. persicae*), Hymenoptera (the parasitoid wasp *Habrobracon hebetor*), Lepidoptera (the Asiatic rice borer, *Chilo suppressalis*), and Diptera [the horn fly, *Haematobia irritans* (L.), and *C. pipiens* complex] (42, 38, 50, 54). In the *E4*-amplified resistant *M. persicae*, cytosines of the CpG doublets within the amplified *E4* gene are methylated (creating 5-methylcytosine) or demethylated by an unknown mechanism (38, 40). Methylation leads to expression of the amplified *E4* genes and demethylation leads to underexpression and gene silencing (38, 39). In the absence of insecticide selection, aphid clones with the R3 level of resistance and 80 methylated *E4* genes sometimes spontaneously demethylate their genes and become revertant clones displaying the R1 level of resistance. Because of their potential for reversal of this process, these revertant clones can spontaneously produce offspring that display the R3 level of resistance (40).

In the *A1*-based resistant *C. pipiens* complex, overproduction of the *A1* allele is achieved through upregulation rather than gene amplification (97). In other resistant strains involving amplification of the *Est-3* and *Est-2* loci, upregulation achieved by expansion of their common promoter region also contributes to the overproduction of both transcripts (28, 50, 54). In fact, transient luciferase expression assays have shown that the intergenic sequence of the *Estα2*-*Estβ2* amplicon isolated from the resistant Pel-RR strain is transcriptionally more active in directing luciferase expression than the wild-type intergenic spacer sequence isolated from the susceptible Pel-SS strain (50, 54).

**BIOCHEMICAL/MOLECULAR MECHANISMS UNDERLYING ALLELOCHEMICAL TOLERANCE**

Although much of the information on xenobiotic metabolism in insects derives from studies of pesticide resistance due to the enormous economic implications of the phenomenon, the relationship between detoxifications of pesticides and allelochemicals is gaining increased recognition (46). The exact nature of this relationship, however, has defied efforts at characterization until the advent of molecular toxicological studies.

Selective agents on insect detoxification systems, allelochemicals, or plant defense chemicals are, in general, fundamentally different from insecticides (7, 8). Whereas insecticides tend to be applied as pure compounds, occasionally with synergists, allelochemicals almost invariably occur as complex mixtures of structurally related compounds. In addition, insecticides are designed to effect rapid mortality; many of the most successful and widely used are fast-acting neurotoxins. In contrast, allelochemicals can function effectively to protect plants from herbivory through antixenosis (nonpreference) or growth reduction (8). Owing to the idiosyncratic distribution of allelochemical biosynthesis among plant families, polyphagous insects likely encounter a broad diversity of chemical structures; in contrast, insecticides are often applied in a broadcast fashion and are more likely to be encountered irrespective of host plant identity. As a result, whereas the acquisition of metabolic resistance to insecticides within a species can be associated with an increase in tolerance of 10,000-fold or greater, intraspecific variation in metabolic resistance to allelochemicals tends to be of much smaller magnitude.

**Esterase and Allelochemical Tolerance**

Esterase involvement in allelochemical metabolism and tolerance has been
documented only at the biochemical level
in a few cases. Two closely related tiger swallowtails, *Papilio glaucus* and *P. canadensis* (described originally as two subspecies of *P. glaucus*), are differentially tolerant of phenolic glycosides in their salicaceous host plants (75). Synergism bioassay with coadministration of the phenolic glycosides salicortin or tremulacin and various inhibitors for detoxification enzymes indicated that esterase is responsible for higher glycoside resistance in *P. canadensis*. Subsequent enzymatic assays demonstrated that soluble esterase activities were threefold higher in *P. canadensis* and inducible by phenolic glycosides (75). In the tobacco cutworm *Spodoptera litura*, sublethal doses of the widely occurring plant glycoside rutin resulted in a significant increase in midgut carboxylesterase activity, even though it is not metabolized (45). A third case involves the gypsy moth, *Lymantria dispar*, in which the survival rate of first instars feeding on artificial diets containing phenolic glycosides was positively correlated with esterase activity, suggesting that esterase may be responsible for glycoside metabolism (76).

**GST and Allelochemical Tolerance**

Participation of insect GSTs in allelochemical tolerance has also been documented primarily at the biochemical level. GST isoenzymes isolated from the polyphagous fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda*, metabolize organothiocyanates (allyl thiocyanate, benzyl thiocyanate, and 2-phenylethyl isothiocyanate) and α,β-unsaturated carbonyls (*trans*-2-octenal, *trans*-2-nonenal, 2, 4-hexadienal, *trans*, *trans*-2, 4-heptadienal, *trans*, *trans*-2, 4-nonadienal, and *trans*, *trans*-2, 4-decadienal) (122, 123, 130). In contrast, GSTs isolated from the less polyphagous *Trichoplusia ni* can metabolize only two organothiocyanates (allyl thiocyanate and benzyl thiocyanate), and the more specialized *Anticarsia gemmatalis* can metabolize only one organothiocyanate (benzyl thiocyanate), consistent with their host range difference (123).

Biochemical assays have also demonstrated the inducibility of GSTs by allelochemicals and host plant foliage. Among the most potent inducers are host plants in the Apiaceae (including parsley, *Petroselinum crispum*, and parsnip, *Pastinaca sativa*) and Brassicaceae (crucifers), and among the active allelochemical inducers are furanocoumarins (xanthotoxin), indoles (indole-3-carbinol, indole-3-acetonitrile), flavonoids (flavone), isothiocyanates (allyl and benzyl isothiocyanate), α,β-unsaturated carbonyls (*trans*-cinnamaldehyde, *trans*-2-hexenal, *trans*, *trans*-2, 4-decadienal, and benzaldehyde), and glucosinolates (sinigrin and β-phenylethylisothiocyanate) (122, 123). Some allelochemicals, however, act as potent GST inhibitors (quercetin, ellagic acid, juglone, apigenin, and tannic acid) (65, 129) or transcription repressors (quercetin) (1). The presence of effective GST inhibitors or repressors in plant tissues may represent counteradaptations evolved to circumvent GST-mediated metabolism of co-occurring allelochemicals by insect herbivores.

Several cDNA sequences encoding GSTs that may be involved in allelochemical metabolism have been cloned from the spruce budworm, *Choristoneura fumiferana* (34), the tobacco hornworm, *Manduca sexta* (108), and the Hessian fly, *Mayetiola destructor* (128). Although CjGSTS1 (CjGST) from *C. fumiferana* is expressed mainly in fat body and is associated with larval diapause, its inducibility at both mRNA and protein levels by balsam fir foliage and the insecticides (e.g., Bt delta-endotoxin, tebufenozide, and permethrin) suggests that it may also be involved in detoxification of both allelochemicals and insecticides (34). MdesGSTD1 (mdesgst1–1) from the avirulent GP biotype Hessian fly is expressed in midgut, fat body, and salivary glands of larvae. In siRNA experiments, injection of double-stranded MdesGSTD1 RNA into embryos resulted in significantly reduced (50% decrease) survival of first instars on
wheat plants and delayed development in the surviving larvae, implicating MdesGSTD1 in the adaptation of Hessian fly larvae to wheat defense compounds (128).

Among the three M. sexta GST cDNA sequences, MsGSTD1 (MsGST1) and MsGSTS1 (MsGST2) were isolated from larval midguts (108), and MsGSTD2 (GST-msof1) was isolated from adult antennae (98). Of these, MsGSTD1 is inducible not only by the drug phenobarbital, as are many P450s, but also by 2-undecanone, a host plant trichome constituent (108). MsGSTD2 is antennae specific and highly enriched in male antennae (98). Enzymatic analyses have demonstrated that the endogenous GSTs from antennae are capable of metabolizing trans-2-hexenal, a volatile green leaf aldehyde that stimulates the olfactory system of M. sexta. These data, and that the sex pheromone of M. sexta consists of a complex mixture of aldehydes, suggest that MsGSTD2 may play a dual role of detoxifying volatile allelochemicals that interfere with sex pheromone detection and inactivating the aldehyde sex pheromone signal itself (98).

P450 and Allelochemical Tolerance
Insect P450s play a paramount role in allelochemical metabolism and tolerance. P450s metabolize all classes of plant allelochemicals because of their catalytic versatility and broad substrate specificity. Participation of insect P450s in allelochemical metabolism and tolerance has been defined at both the biochemical and molecular levels.

P450 genes involved in allelochemical tolerance. Biochemical evidence for P450 involvement in allelochemical tolerance is compelling. Insect P450s can metabolize a wide range of plant allelochemicals, including furanocoumarins, terpenoids, indoles, glucosinolates, flavonoids, cardenolides, phenylpropenes, ketohydrocarbons, alkaloids, lignans, pyrethrins, and the isoflavonoid rotenone (36, 43, 103); both pyrethrins and rotenone have long been used as botanical insecticides. Moreover, many intact host plants, including corn, parsnip, parsley, cowpeas, cotton, peanuts, soybean, and hairy indigo, and isolated allelochemicals, including monoterpene, indoles, furanocoumarins, and flavones, induce expression of particular P450s (103). The molecular genetics of these metabolic reactions and induction responses, however, have been defined in only a few systems.

Perhaps best characterized are the P450s involved in furanocoumarin metabolism within the genus Papilio (24, 56–58, 68, 71, 103). The first two of these P450 cDNAs, CYP6B1v1 and CYP6B3v1, were isolated from the black swallowtail, P. polyxenes, a specialist restricted to feeding on furanocoumarin-containing plants in Apiaceae and Rutaceae. CYP6B1v1 and CYP6B3v1 are inducible by the linear furanocoumarin xanthotoxin and, to a lesser extent, by the less frequently encountered angular furanocoumarin angelicin (56, 57, 89, 90, 103). Subsequently, cDNAs representing 16 CYP6B genes were isolated from P. glaucus and P. canadensis, two more polyphagous papilionids; transcripts of all these P450s are inducible by furanocoumarins at ecologically relevant concentrations (68). That these Papilio cDNAs encode furanocoumarin-metabolizing P450 enzymes was demonstrated by baculovirus-mediated expression of their cDNAs in SF9 and TN5 cells (6, 19, 71, 86, 103, 126).

Additional strong evidence for P450 participation in plant allelochemical metabolism exists for two other types of interactions between plants and oligophagous insects. Within the cactophilic Drosophila, three CYP28A genes have been isolated from D. mettleri and D. nigrispinosa (43), which develop in necrotic tissues of or soils underlying the alkaloid-containing columnar cacti saguaro and cardon (26). These P450 transcripts are inducible in response to alkaloids, with CYP28A1 in D. mettleri induced by senita alkaloids, CYP28A2 in D. mettleri induced by senita and saguaro alkaloids, and CYP28A3 in D. nigrispinosa induced by saguaro alkaloids.
(26). In *M. sexta*, a specialist on Solanaceae, at least five *CYP4* and three *CYP9A* genes are expressed in the larvae (107, 112). Among these, *CYP4M1* and *CYP4M3* transcripts are induced by the solanaceous allelochemicals nicotine, 2-undecanone, and 2-tridecanone, as well as by the synthetic substance clofibrate. *CYP9A2*, *CYP9A4*, and *CYP9A5* transcripts are induced by 2-undecanone, 2-tridecanone, indole-3-carbinol, xanthotoxin, and clofibrate, but not by nicotine (112), despite its presence in some host plants. Substrate specificities for these P450s have not yet been defined.

**Specialist/generalist counterdefense strategies: CYP6Bs as a paradigm.** Specialization and generalization represent ends of the spectrum for the utilization of host plants by herbivorous insects. Whereas specialists typically encounter high levels of a narrow and predictable range of dietary allelochemicals, generalists have to cope with a tremendous diversity of allelochemicals idiosyncratically distributed among potential host plants. To overcome their unique toxicological challenges, in theory, specialists should have a highly efficient and specialized detoxification system, whereas generalists require an all-purpose detoxification system capable of degrading a broad range of plant toxins present in their host plants as well as complex regulatory machinery capable of inducing a subset of enzymes when encountering a particular allelochemical.

Long-term studies focusing on P450-mediated allelochemical metabolism in two specialists (*P. polyxenes* and the parsnip webworm, *Depressaria pastinacella*) and three generalists (*P. glaucus*, *P. canadensis*, and the corn earworm, *Helicoverpa zeae*) provide a paradigm for dissecting the evolutionary and molecular mechanisms that facilitate polyphagy and specialization. These five lepidopteran species are able to feed on furanocoumarin-containing plants, but their host ranges and the rate at which they encounter furanocoumarins differ significantly. The two specialists feed exclusively on furanocoumarin-containing plants. *P. glaucus* feeds occasionally on furanocoumarin-containing host plants; *H. zeae* feeds rarely on furanocoumarin-containing host plants; and *P. canadensis*, in contrast, normally does not encounter furanocoumarins in any of its host plants. From these five species, two *CYP6B* cDNAs/genes have been characterized from each of the two specialists, nine have been characterized from each of the *Papilio* generalists, and four have been characterized from *H. zeae* (24, 56, 58, 69, 71, 74, 103). Cloning of an additional highly divergent *CYP321A1* sequence from *H. zeae* demonstrated that sequences outside the *CYP6B* subfamily [but phylogenetically in the same clade (71)] also metabolize furanocoumarins (101). Given the similar cloning efforts directed at these species, the differential recovery rates of *CYP6B* sequences favor the hypothesis that generalists may have more allelochemical-metabolizing genes to cope with the diversity of allelochemicals they encounter.

Molecular modeling and mutagenesis studies have demonstrated that CYP6B1 from the specialist *P. polyxenes* has an aromatic resonant network consisting of Phe116 and His117 of SRS1 (substrate recognition site 1), Phe371 of SRS5, and Phe484 of SRS6, as well as a polar cage that surrounds the aromatic network in its hydrophobic catalytic pocket (6, 19, 66). This structural arrangement stabilizes the CYP6B1 catalytic pocket in a configuration optimal for interacting with its principal substrates but compromises its ability to accommodate structurally different allelochemicals. Neither of these networks exists in the catalytic pockets of the generalist *H. zeae* CYP6B8 and *P. glaucus* CYP6B4 (71, 66). As a result, the catalytic pocket predicted in generalist CYP6B proteins appears to be larger and more flexible, allowing for metabolism of a wide range of allelochemicals but at the cost of lower catalytic efficiency.

Metabolic data support these structural predictions, with the generalist CYP6B8 efficiently metabolizing six diverse plant
allelochemicals (xanthotoxin, quercetin, flavone, chlorogenic acid, indole-3-carbinol, and rutin) and the specialist CYP6B1 efficiently metabolizing linear and angular furanocoumarins (xanthotoxin and angelicin) and less efficiently metabolizing flavone and α-naphthoflavone (66, 126). The catalytic efficiencies with which CYP6B8 metabolizes the six allelochemicals are 7.1- to 42.2-fold lower than the levels achieved by CYP6B1 in metabolizing its principal furanocoumarin substrate and are comparable to the rates at which CYP6B1 metabolizes flavone and α-naphthoflavone. CYP6B1 also displays higher activities against linear furanocoumarins than the generalist P450s from the sibling species *P. glaucus* and *P. canadensis* (71). The notably inverse relationship between catalytic efficiencies and substrate ranges of CYP6Bs suggests a trade-off for host plant use strategies, which may partially explain why most herbivorous insects are oligophagous.

Metabolism analyses using larval midguts show that P450-mediated constitutive metabolism of furanocoumarins is significantly higher in the two specialists than in the three generalists (73, 131). The inducibility of P450-mediated furanocoumarin metabolism, however, is generally higher in the three generalists than in the two specialists. Consistent with these larval metabolism assays, transcripts of the specialist *P. polyxenes* CYP6B1 are constitutively detectable and 2.9- to 3.7-fold inducible by xanthotoxin (89), whereas transcripts of the generalist *P. glaucus* CYP6B4 are barely detectable and 312-fold inducible by xanthotoxin (68). Moreover, when transfected in Sf9 cells, the −146/+22 CYP6B1:CAT promoter construct displays 20- to 30-fold-higher basal activities and 17- to 20-fold-higher xanthotoxin-inducible activities than the −506/+29 CYP6B4:CAT promoter construct (81). The CYP6B4 promoter, however, displays higher inducibility than the CYP6B1 promoter (sixfold versus twofold) by xanthotoxin. Mutational analyses suggest that the juxtaposition of the EcRE/ARE (antioxidant-response element)/XRE (xenobiotic-response element)-xan element, C/EBP binding site, and Inr element near the transcription site and TGAC core sequence repeats are responsible for the high level of constitutive transcription of the CYP6B1v3 promoter (13, 88). Variations in the overlapping EcRE/ARE/XRE-xan element and the presence of additional EcRE and Oct-1 elements in the CYP6B4 promoter may account for its lower constitutive expression and higher inducibility (13, 81, 88). All of these findings are consistent with the notion that the regulatory machineries for this detoxification system are on at all times and adjustable within a narrow range in specialists. In contrast, the regulatory machinery in generalists is constitutively off and highly induced by allelochemicals.

**Evolutionary associations between insecticide- and allelochemical-metabolizing P450s.** For almost four decades, it has been hypothesized that the ability to cope with a toxin-rich diet serves as a preadaptation for the acquisition of insecticide resistance (46). Because many synthetic insecticides resemble plant allelochemicals or are, in the case of the pyrethroids, derived from them, the possibility exists that the P450s responsible for insecticide detoxification have evolved from the P450s responsible for allelochemical detoxification. Recent studies on *H. zea* (66, 67, 69, 70, 72, 73, 101) support this notion. In this species, exposure to xanthotoxin enhanced resistance to the insecticide cypermethrin (73), with at least two P450s capable of metabolizing both substrates (66, 73, 101).

The cloning of seven P450s distributed in the CYP6B, CYP4M, and CYP321A subfamilies from this species (67, 69, 101) and induction analyses have demonstrated that CYP321A1 transcripts are highly inducible by xanthotoxin (101), and that four CYP6B transcripts are inducible to varying degrees by a range of allelochemicals naturally encountered in host plants (xanthotoxin, indole-3-carbinol, chlorogenic acid, and flavone) as
well as synthetic chemicals not naturally encountered (cypermethrin and phenobarbital) (70). The CYP6B transcripts are also inducible to some extent by the plant defense signaling molecules jasmonate and salicylate, allowing this species to eavesdrop on plant defense signals and activate their detoxification systems in advance of induced biosynthesis of host plant toxins (72). Baculovirus-mediated expression of the CYP6B8 and CYP321A1 proteins has directly demonstrated that the proteins are capable of metabolizing a range of allelochemicals (xanthotoxin, chlorogenic acid, quercetin, and flavone) and insecticides (diazinon, cypermethrin, and aldrin) (66, 101). These data provided compelling genetic and molecular evidence for an evolutionary association between resistance to insecticides and resistance to plant allelochemicals.

**RESISTANCE EVOLUTION AND TRANPOSABLE ELEMENTS**

Resistance, in nature, is an expansion and fixation of adaptive genomic alterations driven by allelochemical and/or insecticide selection. Because most host plant allelochemicals and insecticides act as selection agents rather than mutagens, adaptive genomic changes should arise primarily from internal spontaneous alteration events. Transposable elements (TEs) not only comprise a significant fraction of eukaryotic genomes but also can have a dramatic impact on the variability of eukaryotic genomes in the forms of insertion and ectopic recombination (30). Thus many of the genomic changes such as gene amplification, overexpression, and coding sequence mutation associated with resistance may be transposon mediated.

That transposons have been found frequently within or in close proximity to resistance genes provides indirect evidence that transposons are involved in generating resistance-related adaptive genomic changes. In *D. melanogaster*, a LTR TE 17.6 and a 1.7-kb Bari-1 TE are present in the 3′-flanking DNA of the DDT-resistant CYP6A2 (36) and the lufenuron-resistant *CYP12A4* (12), respectively, although there appears to be no correlation between TE insertion and resistance. In *M. domestica*, mariner-like TEs exist in the 5′-flanking DNA of the pyrethroid-resistant CYP6D1 and CYP6D3 paralogs (62). Inverted repeat sequences exist within the E4 amplicon in *M. persicae* (11) and two repetitive sequence elements exist within the B1 amplicon in the OP-resistant *Culex pipiens* Tem-R strain (82). Even the two amino acid mutations in the OP-resistant *M. domestica* MdEa7 could be mediated by the transposon *maT*, because two defective genomic copies of *maT* with 85% identity to each other were found in the first intron and in the 3′-flanking sequence of MdEa7, respectively (21).

Several recent studies provide direct evidence for a definite link between resistance and transposons. In the putative Bt-toxin receptor gene cadherin, insertion of a 2.3-kb LTR retrotransposon Hel-1 leads to 3′-truncated nonfunctional cadherin protein and Bt resistance in a laboratory-selected *Heliothis virescens* strain (44). Parallel insertions of *Accord* LTR or *Doc* non-LTR retrotransposon into the 5′-regulatory region of CYP6G1 in *D. melanogaster* or *D. simulans* are associated with CYP6G1 upregulation and DDT resistance (17, 102). Also in *D. melanogaster*, insertion of *Doc1420* retrotransposon into the second exon of CG10618 (CHKov1) generates two sets of altered transcripts and a novel protein that confers OP resistance (3).

The frequency with which transposon-mediated genetic change is associated with insecticide resistance contrasts with the essential absence of transposon involvement in allelic variation in known allelochemical resistance. Transposon-mediated changes in regulation can lead to massive, rapid changes in expression, responses that are potentially highly adaptive in the face of rapid introduction of a major mortality agent in the environment (i.e., an insecticide). Mutational changes in plant allelochemicals are unlikely to bring about orders-of-magnitude changes in mode of action or in toxicity. Accordingly,
mutational change associated with allelochemical resistance may be acquired more slowly as a result of the accumulation of small changes in structural genes.

CONCLUSIONS

Understanding the molecular mechanisms underlying insecticide resistance and elucidating the evolutionary processes by which resistance is acquired remain urgent priorities. New challenges presented by the massive influx of genome sequencing information include developing methods for determining the functions of large inventories of detoxification proteins and characterizing regulatory networks that coordinate responses across gene families. A continuing challenge, however, is to use what is known of molecular mechanisms of metabolic resistance in the design and implementation of environmentally sustainable pest management programs. Irrespective of their structures, modes of action, or methods of application, it is a virtual certainty that the chemical pesticides in use at present, in development, or yet to be conceived will ultimately select for resistance in target insect populations and that such resistance will at least in some cases involve metabolic enzyme systems.
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