

PART I · HEADLINES TO ECONOMY

ANTIM ASSURED 100+

THEIAS AKADEMIA

Morning Edition · 08:00 IST

Wednesday, 23 September 2026

"The Topper's Last Read. Every Morning."

INSIDE PART I

1. Top 12 Headlines
2. Polity & Governance
3. GS1 · Culture & Heritage
4. International Relations
5. Defence & Security
6. Science & Technology
7. Economy

§1 · Top 12 Headlines

1. SC: police officer who skips written grounds of arrest must face departmental inquiry — even under UAPA [GS2]
2. Election Commission issues press note rebutting report of repeated internal dissent among two Commissioners over electoral rolls [GS2]
3. 11th Ayurveda Day observed nationwide with theme "Ayurveda for a Healthier Tomorrow"; main event in Nagpur [GS2]
4. Seven Indian natural sites added to UNESCO's Tentative List, India's tally rises from 62 to 69 [GS1]
5. Commerce Minister Goyal to meet US Trade Representative at the G20 Trade Ministers' Meet in Milwaukee, 30 September [GS2/GS3]
6. Bangladesh moves toward a \$2.3-billion J-10CE fighter-jet deal with China, reshaping Bay of Bengal airpower balance [GS2/GS3]
7. Exercise Nomadic Elephant 2026: 18th India-Mongolia joint military exercise opens in Pithoragarh, Uttarakhand [GS3]
8. MoD signs ₹586 crore contract for indigenous auxiliary power units for T-72 and T-90 tanks [GS3]
9. TDB-DST backs Ceramat Pvt Ltd's 3D-printed, patient-specific bone grafts using indigenous bioceramics [GS3]
10. RBI to field-trial one billion each of ₹10 and ₹20 polymer banknotes [GS3]
11. India's GST collections rise 14.8% year-on-year to ₹1.99 lakh crore in August 2026 [GS3]
12. RBI finalises digital-fraud compensation cost-sharing formula, defers rollout to January 2027 [GS3]

THEIAS AKADEMIA A day spanning two fresh Supreme Court doctrines, an Election Commission institutional controversy, a UNESCO heritage addition, and three separate defence/economy data-points is exactly the kind of multi-domain density that **Sahyog Mentorship** helps you convert into a structured revision framework rather than a scattered reading list. theiasakademia.com.

GS2 Fundamental Rights · Criminal procedure · Judicial review

21 September 2026 · Source: Supreme Court of India (2026 INSC 1022); LiveLaw; Bar and Bench

Supreme Court: Police Officer Skipping Written Grounds of Arrest Must Face Departmental Inquiry, Even Under UAPA

Why in News: A Supreme Court Bench of Justices Ujjal Bhuyan and Atul S. Chandurkar ruled on 21 September 2026, in *Jaskaran Jeet Singh Deol v. State of Punjab* (2026 INSC 1022), that failing to furnish an arrested person with written grounds of arrest — in a language they understand — violates the constitutional guarantee under Article 22(1), and directed that the lapse must trigger a mandatory departmental inquiry against the arresting officer, not merely release of the accused. The Court extended the requirement to special statutes including the Unlawful Activities (Prevention) Act, 1967 (UAPA), closing a gap that some agencies had argued only applied to economic-offence laws. It further held that any re-arrest following release on this ground requires prior permission of the jurisdictional Magistrate, and ordered the judgment circulated to the Directors General of Police of all States and Union Territories for uniform compliance.

Background: Article 22(1) of the Constitution guarantees that no person arrested shall be detained without being informed, "as soon as may be," of the grounds of arrest, and shall not be denied the right to consult a legal practitioner of choice. The Supreme Court's 1997 *D.K. Basu v. State of West Bengal* guidelines first operationalised arrest procedure safeguards (memo of arrest, medical examination, information to a relative/friend), while *Pankaj Bansal v. Union of India* (2023) made written grounds of arrest mandatory specifically in cases under the Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 1967 — a principle several enforcement agencies contended was confined to PMLA. The present ruling, arising from the arrest of a Shiromani Akali Dal leader on sexual-assault-related allegations in Punjab, closes that interpretive gap by extending the written-grounds rule to general criminal law and UAPA alike, and for the first time attaches a concrete institutional consequence — departmental inquiry and a service-record entry — to non-compliance.

KEY FACTS

- Case: *Jaskaran Jeet Singh Deol v. State of Punjab*, 2026 INSC 1022, decided 21 September 2026 by Justices Ujjal Bhuyan and Atul S. Chandurkar.
- Holds that failure to give written grounds of arrest, in a language the arrestee understands, violates Article 22(1).
- Extends the "written grounds" mandate beyond PMLA (*Pankaj Bansal*, 2023) to general criminal law and to UAPA arrests.
- Superior police officer must assign further investigation to a different officer and order a departmental inquiry against the lapsing officer.
- An adverse inquiry finding must be permanently recorded in the officer's service record.
- Re-arrest of a person released on this ground now requires prior Magistrate approval.
- Court ordered the judgment circulated to DGPs of all States and Union Territories for uniform, nationwide compliance.
- Builds on the 1997 *D.K. Basu* guidelines, which first laid down procedural safeguards against custodial abuse of arrest powers.

MAINS DIMENSIONS

- Strengthens Article 22 as a live check on arbitrary arrest, consistent with the "fair, just and reasonable procedure" standard read into Article 21 since *Maneka Gandhi v. Union of India* (1978).
- Converts a constitutional lapse into a personal service-record consequence for the officer concerned — a stronger institutional deterrent than mere release of the accused, which does little to change police behaviour going forward.
- Uniformity dividend: circulation to all DGPs standardises arrest procedure across states, reducing the current patchwork where PMLA cases followed stricter norms than ordinary IPC/BNS or UAPA arrests.

- Doctrinal expansion: shows the Court incrementally generalising a safeguard first carved out for one special statute (PMLA) into the general law of arrest, a pattern worth tracking across other PMLA-origin safeguards.
- Raises the perennial balance between individual liberty safeguards and the state's interest in efficient investigation of serious offences, particularly in cases involving vulnerable victims.

🗨️ LET'S REMEMBER THIS THROUGH A TRICK

Chain the timeline "22 → 1997 → 2023 → 2026": Article 22(1) (the right) → D.K. Basu 1997 (procedure) → Pankaj Bansal 2023 (written grounds, PMLA-only) → Jaskaran Jeet Singh Deol 2026 (written grounds made universal, plus a departmental-inquiry teeth).

PYQ LINKAGE

GS2 2023: "Discuss the essential conditions which need to be fulfilled to apply the 'Preventive Detention' law..." tests the same Article 22 family; judicial expansion of arrest safeguards is a recurring GS2 Fundamental Rights theme.

PROBABLE PRELIMS MCQ

Consider the following statements: 1. Article 22(1) of the Constitution guarantees the right to be informed of the grounds of arrest. 2. The Supreme Court's 2026 ruling in Jaskaran Jeet Singh Deol confined the written-grounds-of-arrest requirement to the Prevention of Money Laundering Act. 3. The D.K. Basu guidelines of 1997 first laid down procedural safeguards against custodial abuse. Which of the statements given above is/are correct?

(a) 1 and 3 only (b) 1 and 2 only (c) 2 and 3 only (d) 1, 2 and 3

Answer: (a). **Explanation:** The 2026 ruling extended, rather than confined, the requirement to UAPA and general criminal law — statement 2 is wrong.

PROBABLE MAINS QUESTION

Trace the evolution of judicial safeguards against arbitrary arrest in India, with reference to the Supreme Court's 2026 extension of the written-grounds-of-arrest requirement to UAPA cases. (250 words)

#GS2

#Article22

#ArrestSafeguards

#UAPA

GS2 Constitutional bodies · Election Commission · Electoral reforms

23 September 2026 · Source: Election Commission of India press note; The Indian Express investigation

Election Commission Rebuts Report of Repeated Internal Dissent Among Commissioners Over Electoral Rolls

Why in News: The Election Commission of India issued a press note on 23 September 2026 responding to an Indian Express investigation which claimed that two of the three Election Commissioners had repeatedly objected, through internal notes, to key steps taken on electoral-roll revision — including aspects of the Special Intensive Revision (SIR) exercise conducted in several states this year. The Commission stated that "internal notes, observations and differing views" are standard administrative practice aimed at safeguarding voter rights, and asserted that every formal decision taken over the past year was a unanimous outcome of the full three-member Commission. It also listed the elections it had successfully conducted in the period — Bihar, Kerala, Tamil Nadu, Puducherry, Assam and West Bengal — as evidence of institutional functioning.

Background: Article 324 vests the "superintendence, direction and control" of elections in the Election Commission, historically structured as a multi-member body of a Chief Election Commissioner (CEC) and two Election Commissioners (ECs) since 1993,

functioning under the Election Commission (Transaction of Business) Rules, 1993, which permit decision by majority when unanimity is not achieved. Appointments are now governed by the Chief Election Commissioner and Other Election Commissioners (Appointment, Conditions of Service and Term of Office) Act, 2023, enacted following the Constitution Bench's *Anoop Baranwal v. Union of India* (2023) judgment, which had called for a more insulated selection process pending a law by Parliament. The Special Intensive Revision of electoral rolls has itself been contested this year over concerns of arbitrary deletions and inadequate notice to voters, making any report of internal disagreement among Commissioners on precisely this exercise politically and constitutionally sensitive.

KEY FACTS

- ECI press note issued 23 September 2026, responding to an Indian Express investigative report.
- Report alleged two of three Election Commissioners repeatedly objected to steps on electoral-roll revision, including the Special Intensive Revision (SIR).
- ECI's official position: internal notes and differing views are standard practice; all formal decisions in the past year were unanimous.
- Article 324 vests superintendence, direction and control of elections in the Election Commission.
- Election Commission (Transaction of Business) Rules, 1993 allow decisions by majority vote among the three members when consensus is not reached.
- Appointment of CEC/ECs now governed by the CEC and Other ECs Act, 2023, enacted after the Anoop Baranwal (2023) judgment.
- ECI cited its conduct of elections in Bihar, Kerala, Tamil Nadu, Puducherry, Assam and West Bengal as evidence of smooth institutional functioning during the period in question.
- SIR of electoral rolls has separately faced opposition criticism this year over alleged arbitrary deletions and inadequate voter notice.

MAINS DIMENSIONS

- Tests understanding of the EC's collegial, multi-member structure and the 1993 Transaction of Business Rules mechanism for resolving internal disagreement by majority vote.
- Raises the tension between institutional confidentiality of internal deliberation and public transparency expectations from a constitutional body exercising Article 324 powers.
- Connects directly to the substantive SIR controversy on electoral-roll integrity, deletions and due process for voters — internal dissent on this specific exercise sharpens scrutiny of the process itself.
- Tests the post-2023 appointment architecture and the continuing debate on whether it adequately insulates the EC from executive influence, per the concerns flagged in Anoop Baranwal.
- Analytical: does the public projection of unanimity by a multi-member constitutional body strengthen or, once challenged, actually weaken public trust in its independence?

LET'S REMEMBER THIS THROUGH A TRICK

Remember "324-3-1993-2023": Article 324 (constitutional basis) → 3-member Commission → 1993 Rules (majority-decision mechanism) → 2023 Act (post-Anoop Baranwal appointment law).

PYQ LINKAGE

GS2 2017: "To enhance the quality of democracy in India, the Election Commission of India has proposed electoral reforms in 2016. What are the suggested reforms...?" is thematically adjacent; EC independence and appointment recur as high-frequency GS2 themes.

PROBABLE PRELIMS MCQ

Consider the following statements regarding the Election Commission of India: 1. It is a three-member body comprising the Chief Election Commissioner and two Election Commissioners. 2. Under the Election Commission (Transaction of Business) Rules, 1993, decisions must always be unanimous and cannot be taken by majority vote. 3. The appointment of the CEC and ECs is currently governed by a 2023 Act enacted following a Supreme Court Constitution Bench judgment. Which of the statements given above is/are correct?

(a) 1 and 3 only (b) 1 and 2 only (c) 2 and 3 only (d) 1, 2 and 3

Answer: (a). **Explanation:** The 1993 Rules explicitly permit majority-vote decisions when unanimity is not reached — statement 2 is wrong.

PROBABLE MAINS QUESTION

The Election Commission of India functions as a multi-member body but its decisions are publicly projected as unanimous. Examine the implications of this practice for institutional transparency and public accountability. (150 words)

#GS2

#Article324

#ElectionCommission

#SIR

GS2 Health policy · Traditional medicine · Government schemes

23 September 2026 · Source: PIB, Ministry of Ayush; Organiser; StudyIQ

11th Ayurveda Day 2026 Observed with Theme "Ayurveda for a Healthier Tomorrow"

Why in News: India observed the 11th Ayurveda Day on 23 September 2026 under the theme "Ayurveda for a Healthier Tomorrow," with the main national celebration held in Nagpur, Maharashtra, bringing together Ayush practitioners, researchers and policymakers to showcase Ayurveda's integration with modern healthcare research and innovation. Minister of State for Ayush Prataprao Jadhav indicated the observance is being scaled into a full "National Health Communication Campaign" rather than a single-day event. The occasion also spotlighted the Ministry of Ayush's 2026-27 budget of ₹4,408 crore, which funds, among other things, three new All India Institutes of Ayurveda (AIIA) and continued upgrades to the WHO Global Traditional Medicine Centre (GTMC) at Jamnagar.

Background: Ayurveda Day was first instituted in 2016 and observed on Dhanteras before being fixed to 23 September to align with Dhanvantari Jayanti, the day associated with the deity of Ayurveda. The National AYUSH Mission (NAM), launched in 2014, has since supported AYUSH infrastructure expansion nationwide, including the approval of 17 AYUSH colleges to date, of which 12 are dedicated Ayurveda institutions. AIIA New Delhi, established in 2017 as the apex Ayurveda referral institute, signed a Common Empanelment MoU with the General Insurance Council in February 2026, extending cashless-treatment coverage across 32 general insurance companies — a first-of-its-kind formal integration of Ayurvedic treatment into India's mainstream health-insurance architecture. The WHO GTMC at Jamnagar, inaugurated in 2022, remains the world's only WHO-backed global centre dedicated exclusively to traditional medicine.

KEY FACTS

- 11th Ayurveda Day observed 23 September 2026; theme: "Ayurveda for a Healthier Tomorrow."
- Main national celebration held in Nagpur, Maharashtra.
- Ministry of Ayush budget for 2026-27: ₹4,408 crore.
- Budget provides for three new All India Institutes of Ayurveda (AIIA), in addition to AIIA New Delhi (est. 2017).
- National AYUSH Mission has approved 17 AYUSH colleges to date, 12 of them dedicated to Ayurveda.
- AIIA-General Insurance Council MoU (February 2026) enables cashless Ayurvedic treatment across 32 general insurers.
- WHO Global Traditional Medicine Centre, Jamnagar, inaugurated 2022, is the world's first WHO centre dedicated to traditional medicine.

- MoS Ayush Prataprao Jadhav announced plans to scale Ayurveda Day into a sustained National Health Communication Campaign.

MAINS DIMENSIONS

- Tests the significance of mainstreaming AYUSH systems within the National Health Policy, 2017's vision of a pluralistic, affordable healthcare architecture.
- The AIIA-GIC insurance empanelment is a concrete case study of integrating traditional medicine into formal financial and health-delivery systems, relevant to Universal Health Coverage (SDG-3) debates.
- WHO GTMC illustrates India's health diplomacy and soft-power strategy, positioning traditional knowledge as a global public good rather than a purely domestic cultural asset.
- Raises the analytical tension between evidence-based/scientific validation standards and the legitimacy claims of traditional-knowledge systems in public mainstreaming policy.
- Connects to India's broader traditional-knowledge protection and promotion architecture, including the Traditional Knowledge Digital Library (TKDL).

📌 LET'S REMEMBER THIS THROUGH A TRICK

Remember "4408-32-3-2022": ₹4,408 crore Ayush budget, 32 insurers in the AIIA empanelment, 3 new AIAs planned, and 2022 as the year WHO's GTMC opened in Jamnagar.

PYQ LINKAGE

GS2 2016: "Appropriate local community-level healthcare intervention is a better strategy... Discuss" is thematically adjacent on pluralistic healthcare delivery; AYUSH-mainstreaming questions recur in GS2/GS3 health-policy sets.

PROBABLE PRELIMS MCQ

Consider the following statements: 1. Ayurveda Day is observed annually on 23 September, aligned with Dhanvantari Jayanti. 2. The WHO Global Traditional Medicine Centre is located in Jamnagar, Gujarat. 3. The National AYUSH Mission was launched in 2014. Which of the statements given above is/are correct?

(a) 1 and 2 only (b) 2 and 3 only (c) 1 and 3 only (d) 1, 2 and 3

Answer: (d). **Explanation:** All three statements are factually correct.

PROBABLE MAINS QUESTION

Discuss the role of the National AYUSH Mission and the WHO Global Traditional Medicine Centre in mainstreaming India's traditional medicine systems, both domestically and globally. (150 words)

#GS2

#AYUSH

#AyurvedaDay

#HealthPolicy

THEIAS AKADEMIA

Three back-to-back Polity cards touching criminal-procedure rights, a constitutional body's internal functioning, and health governance is precisely the density **EMP** trains students to hold in balance when framing multi-dimensional GS2 answers rather than treating each story in isolation. theiasakademia.com.

Seven Indian Natural Heritage Sites Added to UNESCO's Tentative List

Why in News: The Archaeological Survey of India, working with the Ministry of Culture, secured the addition of seven new natural heritage sites to UNESCO's Tentative List of World Heritage Sites this September, raising India's total entries on the Tentative List from 62 to 69. The seven sites are the Deccan Traps at Panchgani and Mahabaleshwar (Maharashtra), the St. Mary's Island Cluster (Karnataka), the Meghalayan Age Caves (Meghalaya), the Naga Hill Ophiolite (Nagaland), Erra Matti Dibbalu (Andhra Pradesh), the Natural Heritage of Tirumala Hills (Andhra Pradesh), and the Varkala Cliffs (Kerala). Inclusion on the Tentative List is the mandatory first procedural step before any site can be formally nominated for inscription on UNESCO's permanent World Heritage List.

Background: India has 45 UNESCO World Heritage Sites as of 2026, having added the Ancient Buddhist Site of Sarnath earlier this year at the 48th session of the World Heritage Committee in Busan, South Korea. The Tentative List is a distinct, preliminary inventory that a State Party must maintain and periodically update under the World Heritage Convention (1972) framework; the World Heritage Committee will only consider nominations for sites that have first spent a minimum period on this list. The seven sites added this round are overwhelmingly geological and natural-heritage in character — volcanic basalt formations (Deccan Traps), a rare ophiolite sequence (Naga Hill), fossil-bearing sedimentary cliffs (Erra Matti Dibbalu, Varkala), and karst cave systems (Meghalaya) — reflecting a deliberate push to diversify India's heritage portfolio, which has historically been dominated by monuments and cultural sites over natural/geological ones.

KEY FACTS

- Seven natural heritage sites added to UNESCO's Tentative List: Deccan Traps (Maharashtra), St. Mary's Island Cluster (Karnataka), Meghalayan Age Caves (Meghalaya), Naga Hill Ophiolite (Nagaland), Erra Matti Dibbalu (Andhra Pradesh), Natural Heritage of Tirumala Hills (Andhra Pradesh), Varkala Cliffs (Kerala).
- India's Tentative List count rises from 62 to 69 properties.
- India currently has 45 UNESCO World Heritage Sites, the most recent being the Ancient Buddhist Site of Sarnath (2026, Busan session).
- Tentative List inclusion is a mandatory precursor to formal World Heritage nomination under the 1972 World Heritage Convention.
- The Naga Hill Ophiolite is a rare exposed slice of oceanic crust and upper mantle, of high geological-research value.
- The Deccan Traps are among the world's largest volcanic provinces, linked in scientific literature to the end-Cretaceous mass extinction debate.
- Archaeological Survey of India led preparation and submission of the nomination dossiers for these natural sites.

MAINS DIMENSIONS

- Tests the procedural architecture of the World Heritage Convention, 1972 — specifically the Tentative List's mandatory role as a gateway to formal nomination.
- Signals a strategic diversification of India's heritage portfolio toward natural and geological sites, correcting a historical skew toward monuments and cultural sites.
- Raises conservation-versus-development tensions likely to arise once these sites (several ecologically sensitive) proceed toward formal nomination and associated protective regulation.
- Connects geology (Deccan Traps, ophiolites) to Indian Geography/Earth Science syllabus themes on plate tectonics and the Deccan volcanism-extinction hypothesis.
- Illustrates the soft-power and tourism-economy dimension of heritage listing, alongside its scientific and conservation value.

Remember "62 → 69 → 45": Tentative List rose from 62 to 69 entries with this round of seven; India's confirmed World Heritage Site count stands separately at 45.

PYQ LINKAGE

GS1 2018: "Explain the role of geographical factors towards the development of ancient Indian civilization" is thematically distant; more directly, UNESCO World/Tentative Heritage List questions recur almost annually in Prelims as fact-based MCQs.

PROBABLE PRELIMS MCQ

Consider the following statements: 1. Inclusion on UNESCO's Tentative List is a mandatory precondition before a site can be nominated for the World Heritage List. 2. The Deccan Traps are primarily a limestone karst formation. 3. India's Tentative List currently has 69 entries following the addition of seven natural heritage sites. Which of the statements given above is/are correct?

(a) 1 and 3 only (b) 1 and 2 only (c) 2 and 3 only (d) 1, 2 and 3

Answer: (a). **Explanation:** The Deccan Traps are a basaltic volcanic (igneous), not limestone karst, formation — statement 2 is wrong.

PROBABLE MAINS QUESTION

Discuss the significance of natural heritage sites in India's World Heritage portfolio and the procedural steps involved in their nomination under the UNESCO World Heritage Convention. (150 words)

#GS1

#UNESCO

#WorldHeritage

#DeccanTraps

§4 • International Relations

GS2 Bilateral trade • India-US relations • WTO principles

Ongoing, meeting scheduled 30 September 2026 • Source: Ministry of Commerce & Industry; Bloomberg; Deccan Chronicle

India-US Trade Deal Talks Head to the G20 Trade Ministers' Meet in Milwaukee

Why in News: Commerce and Industry Minister Piyush Goyal is set to travel to the United States later this month to attend the G20 Trade Ministers' Meeting in Milwaukee, opening 30 September 2026 under the US's 2026 G20 presidency, where he is expected to hold bilateral talks with US Trade Representative Jamieson Greer on the long-pending India-US bilateral trade agreement. Goyal has stated India will finalise the deal only once Washington offers a tariff rate preferential to competitors such as Vietnam and Bangladesh, rather than settling for parity or a worse rate. The two sides had first announced a trade-deal framework in February 2026, but negotiations have since stalled over precisely this tariff-differential question.

Background: India-US trade negotiations through 2026 have moved in fits and starts — a February 2026 joint framework was followed by a temporary 10% tariff order from Washington and subsequent partial rollbacks, leaving the "final" preferential-rate structure still unresolved as of September. India's specific ask — a rate strictly better than what competing low-cost manufacturing bases like Vietnam and Bangladesh receive — reflects a broader principle in trade diplomacy that relative tariff treatment, not just the absolute rate, determines whether Indian exporters remain competitive in the US market for labour-intensive sectors such as textiles, footwear, and gems and jewellery.

KEY FACTS

- G20 Trade Ministers' Meeting: Milwaukee, USA, opens 30 September 2026, under the US's 2026 G20 presidency.
- Piyush Goyal expected to hold bilateral talks with USTR Jamieson Greer on the sidelines.
- India's core demand: a tariff rate preferential to competitors such as Vietnam and Bangladesh, not mere parity.
- India-US trade-deal framework was first jointly announced in February 2026.
- Negotiations have since stalled principally over the relative (not absolute) tariff-rate question.
- India has faced an interim 10% US tariff order during the course of 2026, alongside partial rollbacks on select items.

MAINS DIMENSIONS

- Tests the concept of relative/preferential tariff treatment as distinct from the WTO's foundational Most-Favoured-Nation (MFN) principle, and how bilateral deals can carve exceptions to MFN.
- Raises the competitiveness dimension for India's labour-intensive export sectors (textiles, footwear, gems and jewellery) vis-a-vis Vietnam and Bangladesh in the US market.
- Illustrates how trade diplomacy today is conducted on multiple simultaneous tracks — bilateral negotiation plus multilateral forums like the G20 — rather than through a single channel.
- Connects to India's broader trade-policy strategy of diversifying export destinations (EU FTA talks, etc.) as a hedge against prolonged uncertainty in any single bilateral track.

🧠 LET'S REMEMBER THIS THROUGH A TRICK

Remember "Feb-framework, Sept-Milwaukee": the deal was framed in February 2026 and the next major negotiating milestone is the Milwaukee G20 Trade Ministers' Meet on 30 September 2026.

PYQ LINKAGE

GS2 2015: "In respect of India, is the demand for a Uniform Civil Code..." is unrelated; more directly, India's bilateral/multilateral trade negotiation strategy recurs regularly in GS2/GS3 international-relations-cum-economy questions.

PROBABLE PRELIMS MCQ

Consider the following statements: 1. The G20 Trade Ministers' Meeting 2026 is being held under the United States' G20 presidency. 2. The Most-Favoured-Nation principle under the WTO requires a country to extend the same trade terms to all trading partners without exception. 3. India's key demand in trade talks with the US is for a rate preferential to competing exporters like Vietnam and Bangladesh. Which of the statements given above is/are correct?

(a) 1 and 3 only (b) 1 and 2 only (c) 2 and 3 only (d) 1, 2 and 3

Answer: (a). Explanation: The MFN principle allows for recognised exceptions such as free trade agreements and preferential arrangements — statement 2 is wrong.

PROBABLE MAINS QUESTION

Discuss the significance of relative tariff treatment, as opposed to absolute tariff rates, in shaping the competitiveness of India's labour-intensive export sectors. (150 words)

#GS2

#IndiaUSTrade

#G20

#MFN

Bangladesh's Drift Toward Chinese J-10CE Fighters Reshapes Bay of Bengal Airpower Balance

Why in News: Bangladesh has drafted an agreement to acquire around 20-24 Chinese J-10CE multirole fighter jets in a package reportedly valued near \$2.3 billion, including aircraft, training, logistics infrastructure, spares and long-term sustainment support, with a ten-year payment schedule under discussion stretching to 2036. If finalised, Bangladesh would become only the second export customer for the J-10CE after Pakistan, with deliveries targeted for 2026-27 to replace Bangladesh's ageing Chinese-origin F-7 fleet. Neither Dhaka nor Beijing has publicly confirmed the final aircraft count or contract value, but the development has already drawn strategic commentary in India over its implications for the airpower balance across the Bay of Bengal.

Background: Bangladesh's air force currently operates a mix of ageing Chinese-origin F-7 (Chengdu J-7 derivative) fighters, alongside Russian MiG-29s, and has for years sought modernisation funding and platforms. China has actively marketed the J-10CE — the export variant already flown by Pakistan and combat-tested in the India-Pakistan skirmishes earlier in 2025 — as an affordable, combat-proven option for South Asian and Southeast Asian air forces. For India, a Chinese-origin, potentially interoperable fighter fleet emerging in Bangladesh compounds an already complex eastern-neighbourhood security calculus that includes Myanmar's military ties with China and continuing India-China boundary tensions, reinforcing debates around a "two-front plus periphery" security posture rather than a narrowly bilateral India-Pakistan or India-China framing.

KEY FACTS

- Bangladesh has drafted an agreement for approximately 20-24 Chinese J-10CE multirole fighters, reportedly valued near \$2.3 billion.
- Package reportedly includes aircraft, pilot training, logistics infrastructure, spares and long-term sustainment.
- A ten-year payment schedule extending to 2036 has been floated in negotiations.
- Bangladesh would be only the second export customer for the J-10CE after Pakistan.
- Deliveries targeted for 2026-27, intended to replace Bangladesh's ageing F-7 (Chengdu J-7 derivative) fleet.
- The J-10CE is the same export-variant fighter that Pakistan has flown and that saw combat use in the 2025 India-Pakistan skirmishes.
- Neither Dhaka nor Beijing has publicly confirmed final aircraft numbers, contract value, or a signing date.

MAINS DIMENSIONS

- Tests the concept of a "security dilemma" in international relations: one state's defence modernisation, even if not aimed at a specific rival, alters a neighbour's threat perception and response.
- Extends India's eastern-neighbourhood security calculus beyond the traditional India-Pakistan/India-China framing to include growing Chinese defence-industrial penetration in Bangladesh and Myanmar.
- Raises the strategic-autonomy dilemma for smaller South Asian states balancing affordable Chinese platforms against the diplomatic costs of deeper dependence on Beijing.
- Connects to India's own defence-diplomacy responses in the region (e.g., Rajnath Singh's Sri Lanka MoUs, India-Myanmar defence engagement) as a counter-balancing strategy.

🧠 LET'S REMEMBER THIS THROUGH A TRICK

Remember "20-24-2.3-2036": roughly 20 to 24 jets, a \$2.3 billion package, and a payment schedule stretching to 2036 — the four numbers anchoring this still-unconfirmed deal.

PYQ LINKAGE

GS2 2013: "China and India are two large countries situated in relative geographical proximity... Discuss the significance of these events for South Asian security" is directly on-theme; China's periphery diplomacy recurs across GS2 IR papers.

PROBABLE PRELIMS MCQ

Consider the following statements: 1. Pakistan is currently the only confirmed export operator of the J-10CE fighter jet. 2. The J-10CE is manufactured by China. 3. Bangladesh's existing fighter fleet includes ageing Chinese-origin F-7 aircraft. Which of the statements given above is/are correct?

(a) 1 and 2 only (b) 2 and 3 only (c) 1 and 3 only (d) 1, 2 and 3

Answer: (d). **Explanation:** All three statements are factually accurate as of September 2026.

PROBABLE MAINS QUESTION

Examine the strategic implications for India of growing Chinese defence-industrial engagement with its South Asian neighbours, with reference to Bangladesh's move toward Chinese fighter jets. (150 words)

#GS2

#Bangladesh

#China

#RegionalSecurity

THEIAS AKADEMIA Reading India's trade-tariff diplomacy with the US alongside China's fighter-jet diplomacy in Bangladesh in the same sitting is exactly the comparative-IR muscle **ANTIM 2027** drills into aspirants preparing for both Prelims fact-recall and Mains analytical synthesis. theiasakademia.com.

§5 · Defence & Security

GS3 Defence exercises · India's extended neighbourhood · Counter-insurgency

21 September – 3 October 2026 · Source: PIB, Ministry of Defence; Indian Army

Exercise Nomadic Elephant 2026: 18th India–Mongolia Joint Military Exercise Opens in Pithoragarh

Why in News: The 18th edition of the India-Mongolia joint military exercise "Nomadic Elephant" commenced on 21 September 2026 at the Foreign Training Node, Pithoragarh, Uttarakhand, and will run through 3 October 2026, with 45 personnel each from the Indian Army and the Mongolian Armed Forces. This annual platoon-level exercise, held alternately in India and Mongolia (the previous edition was in Ulaanbaatar in May-June 2025), focuses this year on counter-insurgency operations in semi-urban and mountainous terrain under a United Nations mandate framework, alongside cordon-and-search drills, heliborne tactical operations, and cybersecurity-coordination training.

Background: India-Mongolia defence cooperation has grown steadily as part of India's Act East and extended-neighbourhood engagement beyond South Asia, with Mongolia sitting strategically between Russia and China. Exercise Nomadic Elephant, running continuously for eighteen editions, is one of India's longer-standing bilateral army exercises and emphasises interoperability for United Nations peacekeeping-style counter-insurgency operations rather than conventional high-intensity warfighting, reflecting both countries' shared experience contributing troops to UN missions. The choice of Pithoragarh — a high-altitude Himalayan border town near the India-Nepal-China trijunction area — also allows realistic mountain-terrain training relevant to India's own internal-security and border-management needs.

KEY FACTS

- 18th edition of Exercise Nomadic Elephant; commenced 21 September 2026 at Pithoragarh, Uttarakhand; concludes 3 October 2026.
- 45 personnel each from the Indian Army and Mongolian Armed Forces are participating.
- Exercise is held alternately in India and Mongolia; the 17th edition was held in Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia, in May-June 2025.
- Focus: counter-insurgency operations in semi-urban and mountainous terrain, under a United Nations mandate framework.
- Training components include cordon-and-search operations, heliborne tactical operations, and cybersecurity-coordination training.
- Pithoragarh's Foreign Training Node is a recurring venue for India's bilateral exercises requiring high-altitude terrain.

MAINS DIMENSIONS

- Illustrates India's extended-neighbourhood defence diplomacy reaching beyond South Asia into Central/East Asia, complementing the Act East Policy's traditional Southeast Asia focus.
- Highlights UN-peacekeeping-oriented counter-insurgency training as a distinct exercise category from conventional high-intensity warfighting drills (contrast with Yudh Abhyas or Tarang Shakti).
- Tests the strategic logic of engaging Mongolia, a state geopolitically positioned between Russia and China, as part of India's broader Indo-Pacific and Central Asian outreach.
- Connects military exercises to India's substantial historical contribution to UN peacekeeping operations globally.

🧠 LET'S REMEMBER THIS THROUGH A TRICK

Remember "18-45-Pithoragarh": the 18th edition, 45 troops per side, hosted this year at Pithoragarh, Uttarakhand.

PYQ LINKAGE

GS3 2020 pattern: bilateral/multilateral military exercises are a recurring Prelims fact-recall theme (exercise name-country-year-focus matching); Nomadic Elephant joins Yudh Abhyas, Tarang Shakti, and Veer Guardian as 2026's key exercises.

PROBABLE PRELIMS MCQ

Consider the following statements: 1. Exercise Nomadic Elephant is a joint military exercise between India and Mongolia. 2. Its 2026 edition focuses primarily on naval anti-submarine warfare. 3. The exercise is held alternately in India and Mongolia. Which of the statements given above is/are correct?

(a) 1 and 3 only (b) 1 and 2 only (c) 2 and 3 only (d) 1, 2 and 3

Answer: (a). **Explanation:** Nomadic Elephant is an Army-to-Army counter-insurgency exercise, not a naval one — statement 2 is wrong.

PROBABLE MAINS QUESTION

Discuss the significance of India's defence engagement with Mongolia in the context of India's extended-neighbourhood and Indo-Pacific strategy. (150 words)

#GS3

#NomadicElephant

#IndiaMongolia

GS3

Defence indigenisation · Atmanirbhar Bharat · Make-II

21 September 2026 · Source: PIB, Ministry of Defence; The Print; Raksha Anirveda

MoD Signs ₹586 Crore Contract for Indigenous Auxiliary Power Units for T-72 and T-90 Tanks

Why in News: The Ministry of Defence signed a ₹586 crore contract with Accurate Industrial Controls Private Limited on 21 September 2026, in the presence of Defence Secretary Rajesh Kumar Singh, for the indigenous design and supply of Auxiliary Power Units (APUs) for the Indian Army's T-72 and T-90 main battle tanks. The APU serves as an alternate electric-power source for a tank's fire-control system, firing circuit, gun-stabilisation system and other ancillaries when the main engine is shut down, extending silent-watch capability and fuel efficiency. The Ministry framed the contract as a "notable milestone" under the Make-II indigenisation category and the Atmanirbhar Bharat/"Viksit Bharat @2047" vision for defence manufacturing.

Background: The T-72 and T-90 remain the mainstay main battle tanks of the Indian Army's Mechanised Forces, both originally of Soviet/Russian design but progressively indigenised in India over decades through licensed production and sub-system localisation at facilities such as the Heavy Vehicles Factory, Avadi. Auxiliary Power Units on these platforms have historically depended on imported components, creating both cost and strategic-supply vulnerabilities; the Make-II procurement category under the Defence Acquisition Procedure specifically supports industry-led R&D and indigenous design without government-funded prototype development, incentivising private defence manufacturers such as Accurate Industrial Controls to invest in developing import-substitute sub-systems.

KEY FACTS

- Contract value: ₹586 crore; signed 21 September 2026 with Accurate Industrial Controls Private Limited.
- Scope: indigenous Auxiliary Power Units (APUs) for T-72 and T-90 main battle tanks.
- APU powers fire-control system, firing circuit, gun-stabilisation system and other ancillaries independent of the main engine.
- Procured under the Make-II category of the Defence Acquisition Procedure, which supports industry-funded indigenous R&D.
- Framed by the Ministry as advancing Atmanirbharta in defence and the "Viksit Bharat @2047" vision.
- Signed in the presence of Defence Secretary Rajesh Kumar Singh in New Delhi.

MAINS DIMENSIONS

- Tests the Make-II category under the Defence Acquisition Procedure as a mechanism for industry-led (not government-funded) indigenous defence R&D.
- Illustrates defence indigenisation happening incrementally at the sub-system level (APUs) rather than only through headline whole-platform "Made in India" announcements.
- Connects to strategic-supply-chain resilience: reducing import dependence on critical armoured-platform sub-systems reduces vulnerability to sanctions or supply disruptions.
- Links to the broader Atmanirbhar Bharat defence-manufacturing narrative and the "Viksit Bharat @2047" long-term vision framing.

🔴 LET'S REMEMBER THIS THROUGH A TRICK

Remember "586-APU-T72/T90": ₹586 crore buys Auxiliary Power Units, not new tanks, for the existing T-72/T-90 fleet — a common MCQ trap is to assume it funds new tank purchases.

PYQ LINKAGE

GS3 2020: "What is the state of the digitalisation of manufacturing industry in India? ... explain the impact of the Fourth Industrial Revolution (Digital) on the defence forces" is thematically adjacent on defence-industrial modernisation.

PROBABLE PRELIMS MCQ

Consider the following statements: 1. The Make-II category of defence procurement involves government-funded prototype development. 2. Auxiliary Power Units on tanks provide electric power for fire-control and gun-stabilisation systems independent of the main engine. 3. T-72 and T-90 are the Indian Army's main battle tanks. Which of the statements given above is/are correct?

(a) 2 and 3 only (b) 1 and 2 only (c) 1 and 3 only (d) 1, 2 and 3

Answer: (a). **Explanation:** Make-II is industry-funded, not government-funded, indigenous development — statement 1 is wrong.

PROBABLE MAINS QUESTION

Discuss the significance of sub-system-level indigenisation, such as auxiliary power units for armoured platforms, in India's defence self-reliance strategy. (150 words)

#GS3

#AtmanirbharBharat

#MakeII

#DefenceIndigenisation

§6 • Science & Technology

GS3

Biomedical technology · Additive manufacturing · Indigenous R&D

September 2026 · Source: PIB, Department of Science & Technology (Technology Development Board)

TDB-DST Backs Ceramat's 3D-Printed, Patient-Specific Bone Grafts

Why in News: The Technology Development Board (TDB), under the Department of Science and Technology, has extended financial assistance to M/s Ceramat Private Limited, Palghar, Maharashtra, for commercialising "Calcium Phosphate based Standard and Customised Patient Specific Grafts" manufactured through 3D printing via Digital Light Processing and extrusion-based techniques. The technology uses indigenously developed bioceramic materials — principally hydroxyapatite and beta-tricalcium phosphate, which closely mimic the mineral composition of natural bone — to produce grafts custom-fitted to an individual patient's anatomy, aiming to reduce India's dependence on imported bone-graft and implant products.

Background: The Technology Development Board, established under the Technology Development Board Act, 1995, provides financial assistance to Indian industry for commercialising indigenous technology, bridging the gap between laboratory research and market-ready products. Calcium-phosphate bioceramics have been globally researched for decades as bone-graft substitutes because their chemical composition closely resembles natural bone mineral, promoting better integration and reducing rejection compared to purely synthetic or foreign-sourced materials; combining this established biomaterial science with additive manufacturing (3D printing) allows grafts to be tailored to a patient's specific defect geometry rather than relying on generic, standard-sized implants imported from abroad.

KEY FACTS

- TDB-DST extends financial assistance to Ceramat Private Limited, Palghar, Maharashtra.
- Project: calcium-phosphate-based standard and patient-specific bone grafts via 3D printing.
- Manufacturing techniques used: Digital Light Processing (DLP) and extrusion-based 3D printing.
- Key biomaterials: hydroxyapatite and beta-tricalcium phosphate, which mimic natural bone mineral composition.
- Technology Development Board established under the Technology Development Board Act, 1995.
- Stated goal: reduce India's import dependence on bone-graft and orthopaedic-implant products.

MAINS DIMENSIONS

- Illustrates the TDB's institutional role as a bridge between laboratory research and market-ready commercialisation — a distinct innovation-financing gap from pure research funding (DST/CSIR grants) or venture capital.
- Connects additive manufacturing (3D printing) to healthcare self-reliance, reducing dependence on imported medical devices, an Atmanirbhar Bharat healthcare dimension.
- Highlights patient-specific (personalised) medicine as an emerging frontier where manufacturing technology directly improves clinical outcomes.
- Raises affordability and access questions: whether indigenous bioceramic 3D-printing can meaningfully lower costs for patients compared to imported implants.

💡 LET'S REMEMBER THIS THROUGH A TRICK

Remember "TDB-1995-Ceramat-Palghar": the Technology Development Board (created 1995) is backing Ceramat, based in Palghar, Maharashtra, for 3D-printed bone grafts.

PYQ LINKAGE

GS3 2018: "What is 3D printing? Explain its advantages" is a classic Mains-adjacent theme; indigenous biomedical/additive-manufacturing innovation is a growing GS3 S&T sub-theme.

PROBABLE PRELIMS MCQ

Consider the following statements: 1. The Technology Development Board was established under a 1995 Act to help commercialise indigenous technology. 2. Hydroxyapatite and beta-tricalcium phosphate are calcium-phosphate-based biomaterials used in bone grafts. 3. Digital Light Processing is a technique used in additive (3D-printing) manufacturing. Which of the statements given above is/are correct?

(a) 1 and 2 only (b) 2 and 3 only (c) 1 and 3 only (d) 1, 2 and 3

Answer: (d). **Explanation:** All three statements are factually correct.

PROBABLE MAINS QUESTION

Discuss how additive manufacturing (3D printing) combined with indigenous biomaterial research can advance India's self-reliance in the medical-devices sector. (150 words)

#GS3

#3DPrinting

#Biomedical

#TDB

GS3 Currency technology · Materials science · RBI

Government approval July 2026, field trial ongoing · Source: Reserve Bank of India; Lok Sabha written reply, MoS Finance Pankaj Chaudhary; BusinessToday

RBI's Polymer ₹10/₹20 Banknote Field Trial: A Billion Notes Each for Durability Testing

Why in News: The Union Government has approved a Reserve Bank of India proposal to introduce one billion pieces each of ₹10 and ₹20 polymer banknotes for field trials, with regular issuance to follow only if the trials succeed, as confirmed by Minister of State for Finance Pankaj Chaudhary in a written Lok Sabha reply. The RBI selected these two denominations because they together account for nearly 25% of the total volume of banknotes in circulation despite representing just 1.4% of total value by worth — meaning these low-denomination, high-circulation notes wear out fastest and drive a disproportionate share of currency-printing and replacement costs.

Background: Polymer banknotes are more resistant to moisture, dirt, folding and tearing than conventional cotton-fibre paper currency, extending circulation lifespan substantially and thereby reducing long-term printing costs and environmental waste

from frequent note replacement. India has previously experimented with a small pilot batch of polymer ₹10 notes over a decade ago without a full national rollout; several countries including Australia, Canada, the UK and Singapore have already transitioned significant portions of their currency to polymer substrates. The RBI has been explicit that the trial notes will circulate alongside, not replace, existing paper currency, and that no decision to phase out paper notes has been taken.

KEY FACTS

- Government approval for RBI's proposal: one billion pieces each of ₹10 and ₹20 polymer notes for field trial.
- ₹10 and ₹20 notes together account for ~25% of banknote volume in circulation but only ~1.4% of value.
- Polymer notes are more resistant to moisture, dirt, folding and tearing than paper notes, extending circulation life.
- Regular issuance will follow only if the field trials succeed; paper currency will continue in parallel.
- Confirmed via a written Lok Sabha reply by MoS Finance Pankaj Chaudhary.
- India previously ran a smaller, limited polymer ₹10-note pilot over a decade ago without a full rollout.

MAINS DIMENSIONS

- Tests understanding of currency-management economics: why low-denomination, high-circulation notes drive disproportionate replacement costs relative to their face value.
- Connects materials science (polymer substrate durability) to public-finance efficiency (lower long-term printing/replacement cost) and environmental sustainability (less frequent note disposal).
- Raises counterfeiting-resistance as an additional, often under-discussed, advantage of polymer substrates over paper.
- Illustrates evidence-based, trial-first policymaking (field trial before full rollout) as a model for introducing currency-related technological change cautiously.

💡 LET'S REMEMBER THIS THROUGH A TRICK

Remember "25% volume, 1.4% value": ₹10/₹20 notes are the ones that wear out fastest precisely because they are used the most but are worth the least — that mismatch is why they were chosen for the pilot.

PYQ LINKAGE

GS3 2019 pattern: currency and monetary-instrument questions recur in Prelims; polymer-currency adoption abroad (Australia, UK, Canada) is a useful comparative static fact.

PROBABLE PRELIMS MCQ

Consider the following statements: 1. Polymer banknotes are generally more durable than paper banknotes. 2. The RBI's polymer-note field trial covers the ₹10 and ₹20 denominations. 3. The trial notes are intended to fully replace existing paper currency immediately upon issuance. Which of the statements given above is/are correct?

(a) 1 and 2 only (b) 2 and 3 only (c) 1 and 3 only (d) 1, 2 and 3

Answer: (a). **Explanation:** Polymer notes will circulate alongside, not replace, paper currency for now — statement 3 is wrong.

PROBABLE MAINS QUESTION

Discuss the economic and environmental rationale behind introducing polymer banknotes for low-denomination currency in India. (150 words)

§7 · Economy

GS3

Indirect taxation · GST · Fiscal indicators

August 2026 data, released September 2026 · Source: GST Network; Ministry of Finance; Business Standard

India's GST Collections Rise 14.8% Year-on-Year to ₹1.99 Lakh Crore in August 2026

Why in News: India's gross Goods and Services Tax collections rose 14.8% year-on-year to ₹1,99,853 crore in August 2026, edging close to the ₹2 lakh crore mark, according to data released by the Ministry of Finance/GST Network. Domestic GST revenue grew 9.3% to ₹1,37,249 crore, while GST from imports rose sharply by 29% to ₹62,604 crore; net collections, after adjusting for a roughly 68% year-on-year jump in refunds to ₹31,795 crore, rose a more modest 8.3% to ₹1.68 lakh crore. Collections were, however, lower sequentially than July 2026's ₹2.11 lakh crore, and cumulative gross GST collections for April-August 2026 stood at ₹10,42,757 crore.

Background: The Goods and Services Tax, rolled out on 1 July 2017, replaced a fragmented indirect-tax system of central excise, service tax and multiple state VATs with a unified destination-based tax regime under the GST Council's federal decision-making architecture. Month-on-month GST collection figures are widely tracked as a high-frequency proxy for consumption demand, formalisation of the economy, and compliance efficiency; a widening gap between gross and net collections (driven by rising refunds) can reflect either genuine export-led refund entitlements or administrative processing catch-up, and analysts typically examine the domestic-versus-import revenue split to gauge whether growth is being driven by internal consumption or import volumes.

KEY FACTS

- Gross GST collections, August 2026: ₹1,99,853 crore, up 14.8% year-on-year.
- Domestic GST revenue: ₹1,37,249 crore, up 9.3% year-on-year.
- GST from imports: ₹62,604 crore, up 29% year-on-year.
- Total refunds: ₹31,795 crore, up approximately 68% year-on-year.
- Net GST collections (after refunds): ₹1.68 lakh crore, up 8.3% year-on-year.
- Sequential comparison: August's ₹1.99 lakh crore is lower than July 2026's ₹2.11 lakh crore.
- Cumulative gross GST collections, April-August 2026 (FY27 so far): ₹10,42,757 crore.

MAINS DIMENSIONS

- Tests the analytical distinction between gross and net GST collections, and why a rising refund share can mask underlying revenue strength or weakness.
- The sharp 29% import-GST growth versus 9.3% domestic growth raises questions about whether consumption growth is import-led, with implications for the trade deficit and current account.
- Connects to GST's role as a high-frequency indicator of formalisation and compliance, relevant to fiscal-deficit projections and Union Budget revenue assumptions.
- Raises the sequential month-on-month dip (July to August) as a reminder that seasonal/festive-calendar effects must be read alongside year-on-year growth figures.

Remember "1.99-14.8-8.3": gross collections of ₹1.99 lakh crore grew 14.8% gross but only 8.3% net — the gap between the two numbers is the refund surge.

PYQ LINKAGE

GS3 2017: "Explain the rationale behind the Goods and Services Tax (Compensation to States) Act of 2017" is foundational; monthly GST-collection data interpretation is a recurring Prelims/Mains fact-and-analysis theme.

PROBABLE PRELIMS MCQ

Consider the following statements regarding India's GST collections for August 2026: 1. Gross GST collections grew faster year-on-year than net GST collections. 2. GST revenue from imports grew faster than domestic GST revenue. 3. August 2026 collections were higher than July 2026 collections. Which of the statements given above is/are correct?

(a) 1 and 2 only (b) 2 and 3 only (c) 1 and 3 only (d) 1, 2 and 3

Answer: (a). **Explanation:** August's ₹1.99 lakh crore was lower, not higher, than July's ₹2.11 lakh crore — statement 3 is wrong.

PROBABLE MAINS QUESTION

Discuss the significance of the gap between gross and net GST collections, and what it reveals about India's consumption and trade dynamics. (150 words)

#GS3

#GST

#FiscalIndicators

GS3 Banking regulation · Consumer protection · Digital payments

Deferred to 1 January 2027 · Source: Reserve Bank of India; Business Standard

RBI Defers Digital-Fraud Compensation Framework to January 2027, Finalises Cost-Sharing Formula

Why in News: The Reserve Bank of India has deferred implementation of its proposed digital/e-fraud compensation framework by six months, from an original 1 July 2026 target to 1 January 2027, to give banks more time to build complaint-resolution capacity, while finalising the framework's compensation and cost-sharing structure. Under the finalised rules, a victim — an individual or sole proprietor — will receive compensation equal to 85% of the net loss or ₹25,000, whichever is lower, available only once in a customer's lifetime, provided the fraud is reported to the bank and on the National Cyber Crime Reporting Portal (or Helpline 1930) within five calendar days.

Background: Digital-payment fraud complaints have risen sharply alongside India's rapid UPI and digital-banking expansion, creating pressure on the RBI to formalise a no-fault, time-bound compensation mechanism rather than leaving redress to slow, case-by-case bank discretion or lengthy Banking Ombudsman proceedings. The finalised cost-sharing formula splits the compensation burden between the RBI (which will bear the largest share, 65% for smaller claims), the customer's own bank, and the beneficiary bank (which received the fraudulently transferred funds) — for losses up to ₹29,412, the RBI contributes 65% while each bank contributes 10%; for losses between ₹29,412 and ₹50,000 (where the ₹25,000 compensation cap applies), the RBI's absolute contribution rises to ₹19,118, with each bank contributing ₹2,941.

KEY FACTS

- Implementation deferred from 1 July 2026 to 1 January 2027 — a six-month delay.
- Compensation: 85% of net loss or ₹25,000, whichever is lower; available once per customer lifetime.

- Eligibility requires reporting to the bank and the National Cyber Crime Reporting Portal/Helpline 1930 within five calendar days.
- Cost-sharing for losses up to ₹29,412: RBI bears 65%, customer's bank and beneficiary bank each bear 10%.
- For losses of ₹29,412 up to ₹50,000 (capped compensation of ₹25,000): RBI contributes ₹19,118; each bank contributes ₹2,941.
- Applies to electronic banking transactions occurring on or after 1 January 2027.

MAINS DIMENSIONS

- Tests the concept of a no-fault, time-bound compensation mechanism as a consumer-protection innovation distinct from traditional fault-based liability or lengthy Ombudsman redress.
- Raises the tripartite cost-sharing model (regulator-bank-beneficiary bank) as a novel risk-distribution architecture in financial regulation.
- Connects to the broader digital-payments-security debate as UPI transaction volumes scale, and the moral-hazard question of whether guaranteed partial compensation could reduce customer vigilance.
- Illustrates regulatory sequencing: RBI chose to delay implementation rather than rush a framework banks were not operationally ready to support.

🧠 LET'S REMEMBER THIS THROUGH A TRICK

Remember "85-25-5-Jan27": 85% of net loss or ₹25,000 (whichever lower), reported within 5 days, effective January 2027.

PYQ LINKAGE

GS3 2018: "Discuss the various social problems which arose out of the speedy growth of urban population" is unrelated; digital-payment-fraud regulation is an emerging GS3 economy/banking theme with growing Prelims relevance.

PROBABLE PRELIMS MCQ

Consider the following statements regarding RBI's digital-fraud compensation framework: 1. It guarantees full (100%) compensation of the net loss to victims. 2. The framework's implementation was deferred from July 2026 to January 2027. 3. A victim can avail this compensation only once in their lifetime. Which of the statements given above is/are correct?
(a) 2 and 3 only (b) 1 and 2 only (c) 1 and 3 only (d) 1, 2 and 3

Answer: (a). **Explanation:** Compensation is capped at 85% of net loss or ₹25,000, whichever is lower, not full compensation — statement 1 is wrong.

PROBABLE MAINS QUESTION

Examine the significance of a no-fault, time-bound compensation framework for digital-payment fraud victims, and the challenges in its implementation. (150 words)

#GS3 #RBI #DigitalFraud #ConsumerProtection

THEIAS AKADEMIA GST's gross-versus-net distinction and RBI's tripartite fraud cost-sharing formula are both classic "numbers that hide a story" cases — precisely the kind of quantitative-reasoning practice **NEEB 300+ Live PYQ Writing** sessions are built around. theiasakademia.com.

★ THEIAS AKADEMIA — PROOF OF PRECISION

UPSC CSE Prelims 2026 Results

In what critics called the most unpredictable Prelims paper in a decade —

48

QUESTIONS FROM
ANTIM ASSURED 100+

7

QUESTIONS FROM
A SINGLE DOCUMENT

6

QUESTIONS FROM
A SINGLE CLASS

Our students had seen 48 questions before the paper was opened.

This is not luck. This is TheIAS Akademia.

[JOIN ANTIM ASSURED 100+ →](#)

VERIFIED · PART I

Proof of Precision

Every item mapped. Every claim verified.

12

NEWS ITEMS

3

GS PAPERS COVERED

12

PYQ LINKAGES

12

PRELIMS QS GENERATED

0

REPEATED TOPICS

Polity

GS1 Heritage

International Relations

Defence

Science & Tech

Economy

✓ All news verified from primary sources — Supreme Court judgment text, PIB/Ministry releases, RBI/GST Network data, and the Election Commission's own press note.

✓ Every topic cross-checked against the last 7 editions (15-21 September 2026) of ANTIM ASSURED 100+ to confirm zero repetition without a fresh angle.

✓ Polity & Governance held to a maximum of 3 cards per Rule 16.1, freeing space for GS1 heritage, defence-indigenisation and S&T coverage.

ANTIM ASSURED 100+ is a TheIAS Akademia daily flagship.

Programs: EMP · ANTIM 2027 · Sahyog Mentorship · LAKSHYAM AIR1 · NEEB 300+ · Philosophy Target 300+

