EXH. N



Disclaimer: This web page was drafted solely using original materials from ECF Nos. 14-38 and 14-41—filed by Mphasis itself. These public exhibits are now being retroactively labeled as 'trade secrets.' The record speaks for itself.

ECF No. 14-38. (pdf) $\overline{\mathbf{T}}$

ECF No. 14-41 (pdf) $\overline{\mathbf{T}}$


Defendant Key Contributions

Inside QBE's 2024 Breach: What Really Happened — A Whistleblower's Firsthand Account As a former technical contributor to QBE's infrastructure modernization and document intelligence efforts, I witnessed firsthand how endpoint mismanagement, policy conflicts, and ignored internal warnings led to one of the most avoidable healthcare data breaches in recent memory.

Key Contributions


- Optimization Demo (Legal NDA Platform): Documented fixes to a broken NDA document processing pipeline. Demonstrated in this live technical demo.
- Legal NDA Logic Remediation: Contributions were acknowledged internally and referenced in Jira tickets long after my departure.
 - 1. Platform Optimization & Demo (Legal NDA Remediation)





As a domain expert, Defendant developed and demonstrated a technical remediation of the QBE Legal NDA platform—originally architected by Accenture—which suffered from material execution failures. These deficiencies were captured in detail via forensic exhibits, including *4Dillip.xlsx*, and substantiated through live-screen video recordings.

Exhibit Video




Despite Defendant's termination, the Accenture team at QBE continued utilizing Defendant's documented methodologies to triage and repair critical system defects—affirming the value and originality of Defendant's contribution.

2. Enhanced Legal NDA Document Decoding for QBE



Hey! How are you? Just checking in from London. If anyone has any questions on that '4dilip.xslx' spread sheet highlighting the 'data not found' prompt returns which are incorrect, reach out as always. If I missed something, please advise. I'm heading out shortly. I hope all is well in NJ. Cheers! Albert



Yes we discussed the issue last two days and two new iiras have



the other will have to be handled by Ishita when she gets back from vacation

Defendant materially improved the Legal NDA decoding pipeline—shifting error-prone record retrievals into deterministic, auditable outcomes. The failure patterns ("data not found") highlighted in 4Dillip3.xlsx triggered follow-on Jira tickets by QBE staff, reflecting continuing reliance on Defendant's diagnostic work and subject-matter expertise.

Acknowledged in Internal Communications:

- · Defendant:
 - "Just checking in from London... if anyone has questions on the '4dilip.xlsx' spreadsheet highlighting the 'data not found' prompt returns... reach out as always."
- Mphasis employee (acting QBE Project Manager):
 - "Yes, we discussed the issue the last two days. Two new Jira tickets have been raised—one assigned to Manjusha, the other to Ishita when she returns."

Disclaimer: Protected Legal Submission

This site contains content directly related to the pending matter Mphasis Corporation v. Defendant, Case No. 25-cv-3175 (JMF), before the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York.

All materials are presented in furtherance of a constitutionally protected and statutorily authorized whistleblower defense. They include sworn declarations, factual assertions, legal arguments, and evidence submitted by the undersigned, appearing pro se.

These disclosures are expressly protected under:

- 18 U.S.C. § 1833(b) Defend Trade Secrets Act (Whistleblower Immunity)
- 18 U.S.C. § 1514A Sarbanes-Oxley Act (SOX)
- 15 U.S.C. § 78u-6(h) Dodd-Frank Act (SEC Whistleblower)
- N.Y. Lab. Law § 740 New York Whistleblower Protection Law

No information herein was obtained through unauthorized access or improper means. All content is submitted in good faith to expose and document systemic compliance failures and risks to public interest, consistent with applicable law.

DISCLAIMER: This summary is a factual representation of public filings and protected disclosures made by the Defendant in accordance with rights under 18 U.S.C. § 1833(b), Sarbanes-Oxley, Dodd-Frank, and New York Labor Law § 740. No confidential, sealed, or privileged information has been disclosed beyond what has been publicly filed in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. This document is provided for transparency and does not constitute legal advice or waiver of any legal rights.



FORGOTTEN QBE LAPTOP DEFENDANT DOMAIN EXPERT