


From: **Robert J. Iverach, Q.C.** info@actionalberta.ca  
Subject: ActionAlberta #43 - WE ARE IN A "NATIONAL CLIMATE EMERGENCY"!!  
Date: June 19, 2019 at 1:32 AM  
To: Robert J. Iverach biverach@mac.com

QR

Like Tweet in



## ACTION ALBERTA

Website: <https://actionalberta.ca>

Twitter: [https://twitter.com/action\\_alberta](https://twitter.com/action_alberta)

Facebook:

<https://www.facebook.com/EnoughisEnoughCanadiansFightBackforOurEnergySector/>

HELLO ALL (The Group of 6,530+ and growing):

WE ARE IN A "NATIONAL CLIMATE EMERGENCY"!!

## **WE ARE IN A NATIONAL CLIMATE EMERGENCY!**

The Federal Liberals have now set the **main issue in the upcoming Federal election**. YES, **0.04%** carbon dioxide in the air is now an **EMERGENCY!!** CAN YOU BELIEVE IT?

Said another way, the emergency is **1 molecule out of 2,500 molecules!** or **1 penny out of \$25.00!** or **1 metre out of 2.5 kilometers!**

The problem is that **most Canadians have NO idea** how much CO2 is around us. **Please, ask your friends** - I bet most will guess that CO2 is **20% to 50%**. That is the problem! We are being **taxed on 0.04% CO2 in the air without knowing the real facts.**

**Canada is now in the sentencing phase of a trial. The only problem is that Canada never had the trial!**

Canada has not had a serious **impartial** commission on whether man-made CO2 is causing "climate change", but Canada is taxing it all the same. And **by "impartial" I mean a commission where the evidence is presented by BOTH sides of the climate change argument - not just those being paid to push the climate change argument.** Canada has **blindly signed on to United Nation's policies!**

So here we go!

On the evening of June 17, 2019, the **House of Commons** passed a **motion declaring a "national climate emergency"** and supporting Canada's commitment to meet the **Paris Agreement emissions targets.**

The motion passed 186-63 with **Liberals, New Democrats, Bloc Quebecois and Greens supporting it** and **Conservatives voting against.** The motion was put forward by Environment and Climate Change Minister Catherine McKenna (aka "**Climate Barbie**").

The motion **describes climate change as a "real and urgent crisis, driven by human activity,"** notes how it is impacting Canadians, and states the need to pursue clean growth methods to reduce greenhouse gas emissions.

Given this, the House has voted to "declare that Canada is in a **national**

Given this, the House has voted to declare that Canada is in a **national climate emergency** which requires, as a response, that Canada commit to meeting its national emissions target under the Paris Agreement and to making deeper reductions in line with the Agreement's objective of holding global warming below two degrees Celsius and pursuing efforts to keep global warming **below 1.5 degrees Celsius**.

Forcing a vote on the motion meant all MPs had to stand up and be counted, whether or not they support Canada meeting the Paris targets. **The key election issue is now set!**

<https://www.ctvnews.ca/politics/canada-s-house-of-commons-has-declared-a-national-climate-emergency-1.4470804>

### **CANADA MAY NEED HIGHER CARBON TAXES TO MEET PARIS TARGETS: PARLIAMENTARY BUDGET OFFICE**

A **5% carbon tax is NOT enough!** Canada's price on carbon will have to be **five times what it is now** if the country is to reach its **Paris Agreement greenhouse-gas emissions targets** just by charging for those emissions, Parliament's budget watchdog stated in a report issued on June 13, 2019.

The \$20-a-tonne federal levy on fuels is **set to rise to \$50 a tonne by 2022**. It applies in provinces that don't have equivalent carbon-pricing systems of their own. **The Parliamentary Budget Office** says **it won't be enough**. In a recent report Thursday, the PBO says an **additional levy** that goes beyond fuels would have to start at \$6 per tonne of emissions in 2023 and rise to \$52 by 2030.

Combined with the current federal fuel charge, that would add up to **\$102 per tonne**. The PBO estimates that would mean **an additional cost of 23 cents for a litre of gasoline**.

<https://www.pbo-dpb.gc.ca/en/blog/news/closing-gap-carbon-pricing-paris-target>

### **THE GUARDIAN NEWSPAPER SPURS MEDIA OUTLETS TO CONSIDER STRONGER "CLIMATE LANGUAGE"- THE MEDIA FIX IS IN!**

The **Guardian Newspaper** recently decided to alter its style guide to **better**

convey the “environmental crises” unfolding around the world has prompted some other media outlets to reconsider the terms they use in their own coverage.

The Guardian announced it would now routinely use the following terms:

- c  
l  
i  
m  
a  
t  
e  
e  
m  
e  
r  
g  
e  
n  
c  
y  
,  
c  
r  
i  
s  
i  
s  
o  
r  
b  
r  
e  
a  
k  
d  
o  
w  
n  
i  
n  
s  
t  
e  
a



u  
o  
f  
c  
l  
i  
m  
a  
t  
e  
c  
h  
a  
n  
g  
e  
g  
l  
o  
b  
a  
l  
h  
e  
a  
t  
i  
n  
g  
i  
n  
s  
t  
e  
a  
d  
o  
f  
g  
l  
o  
b  
a  
l  
w  
a  
r  
m  
.



ing climate science denial  
error climate denial  
instead of c



I  
i  
m  
a  
t  
e  
s  
c  
e  
p  
t  
i  
c

In Canada, a memo was sent by the standards editor of the CBC to staff acknowledging that a **“recent shift in style at the British newspaper the Guardian has prompted requests to review the language we use in global warming coverage”**.

**Senior CBC management** told staff they are able to use the terms **“climate crisis”** and **“climate emergency”** when covering the wide-ranging impacts of temperature rises around the world. As Paul Hambleton, standards editor of CBC News stated: **“We recognize that ‘climate crisis’ and ‘climate emergency’ are increasingly common expressions** in debates over what to do about global warming.

So our own CBC has now begun spinning the left's view of climate change and we see this in other media sources - which just happen to be the recipients of their share of the Federal Liberal's \$600 million in press funding!

**The Guardian’s editor-in-chief, Katharine Viner** stated: **“The phrase ‘climate change’, for example, sounds rather passive and gentle when what scientists are talking about is a catastrophe for humanity.”**

Newsrooms around the globe are making the shift.

[https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2019/may/24/media-outlets-guardian-reconsider-language-climate?  
fbclid=IwAR2GtSytXeWOfuXc0TSxdAlxZznLnsWmVJ6gnDHr6G9mcsPeoi17PN  
S9ASE](https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2019/may/24/media-outlets-guardian-reconsider-language-climate?fbclid=IwAR2GtSytXeWOfuXc0TSxdAlxZznLnsWmVJ6gnDHr6G9mcsPeoi17PN59ASE)

**OUR READERS' COMMENTS SECTION**

**1. From a Reader:** Any carbon tax implies that we humans can control or stop climate change if we throw enough money at it. Climate change is **inevitable** and we humans can do nothing but prepare for it – not stop it nor control it! “Climate Emergency”? Hogwash. What we have is a made-at-home Canadian crisis created by Justin Trudeau and his Liberal cronies! Folks – we Canadians