

MIQDASH BETHEL COVENANT INSTITUTION

508(C)(1)(A) FREE CHURCH • SOLE TANAKH AUTHORITY

A Study for Judaism • Christianity • Islam

DOCUMENT 173

WHY DID YAHWEH CHOOSE THE HEBREW PEOPLE?

A Covenant Deep Dive into Divine Election

From Avram of Ur to the Children of Yisra'el at Sinai

A Three-Religion Covenant Response

June 2026

Elder Kepha Arcemont, Founder

miqdashbethel.org • miqdashbethel@gmail.com • 402-218-9530

PO Box 762, Pearl River, Louisiana 70452

REACHING JUDAISM, CHRISTIANITY, AND ISLAM

☆ JUDAISM	† CHRISTIANITY	C• ISLAM
Judaism defines the Hebrew election through the covenant promises to Avraham (Abraham), Yitzchak (Isaac), and Ya'akov (Jacob) — and the Sinai covenant where Yisra'el (Israel) formally received the Torah. The concept of <i>am segulah</i> (עַם סְגֻלָּה, treasured people) anchors the Jewish self-understanding of chosenness as a covenant calling, not ethnic supremacy.	Christianity inherits the election of Yisra'el (Israel) through the Tanakh — the Hebrew scriptures — but largely reframes it through the lens of the Church as the "new Israel" or the "true Israel." This transfer of election is nowhere found in the Written Tanakh and represents an addition the Tanakh itself does not authorize. The election of the Hebrew people remains intact on Tanakh terms.	Islam honors Banu Isra'il (بَنُو إِسْرَائِيل, the Children of Israel) as a people specially favored by Allah in the Qur'an (Q. 2:47, 45:16). The Qur'an affirms their election while also asserting the covenant was conditional and subject to forfeiture through disobedience — a framework the Written Tanakh itself shares at Shemot (Exodus) 19:5.

DOCTRINAL AUTHORITY — STANDING RULE OF MIQDASH BETHEL COVENANT INSTITUTION

The sole binding authority of Miqdash Bethel Covenant Institution is the *Tanakh* — the written covenant of **Yahweh**. No Talmudic tractate, Church council decree, papal encyclical, hadith collection, or extra-biblical tradition carries doctrinal weight equal to or greater than the written Torah. Where any tradition conflicts with the written covenant, the written covenant governs without exception. The Talmud, New Testament, and Qur'an are cited as historical reference and theological comparison only. The **Devarim (Deuteronomy) 19:15** two-or-three-witness evidentiary standard governs all textual claims in this document. Why the Tanakh, and not a later text layered atop it? Because the written covenant given at Sinai carries its own internal claim to completeness and permanence — **Devarim (Deuteronomy) 4:2**: *"You shall not add to the word that I command you, nor take from it."* A covenant that forbids addition cannot

be lawfully completed by a later text, however sincerely offered. Any document — Talmudic, Christian, or Islamic — that proposes itself as a necessary addition to the Written Tanakh is, on the Tanakh's own terms, addressing a covenant it cannot lawfully amend. This is not a rejection of the sincerity of those traditions. It is a recognition that only one written text was given the self-authenticating claim of sole sufficiency, and Miqdash Bethel Covenant Institution holds to that text alone.

HOW TO READ THIS DOCUMENT

This document applies the sole authority of the written *Tanakh*. **Yahweh (יהוה)** and **Elohim (אֱלֹהִים)** appear in bold throughout. Hebrew book names are given with English in parentheses. Hebrew transliterations appear in *bold italic* with English meaning in parentheses at every occurrence. Scripture references are bold. Three audiences are addressed simultaneously: Judaism, Christianity, and Islam. Rabbinic commentary, New Testament texts, and the Qur'an are cited as historical and comparative reference — not as binding authority. The Written Tanakh governs all conclusions.

TANAKH BOOKS CITED IN THIS DOCUMENT

DIVISION	BOOKS CITED	PURPOSE IN THIS STUDY
Torah	Bereishit (Genesis), Shemot (Exodus), Vayikra (Leviticus), Bemidbar (Numbers), Devarim (Deuteronomy)	Foundation of the covenant — the call of Avram, the patriarchal covenants, the Exodus, and the Sinai election at Shemot 19:5–6
Nevi'im (Prophets)	Yehoshua (Joshua), Yeshayahu (Isaiah), Yirmeyahu (Jeremiah), Amos, Hoshea (Hosea), Mikhah (Micah)	The purpose of election for the nations; covenant faithfulness and failure; the universal scope of Yahweh's plan
Ketuvim (Writings)	Tehillim (Psalms), Mishlei (Proverbs), Nechemiah (Nehemiah)	Witness to Yahweh's sovereign election; the memory of the covenant; blessing extended to all peoples

I. FRAMING THE QUESTION — WHAT "CHOSEN" ACTUALLY MEANS IN THE WRITTEN TANAKH

I-A. The Hebrew Word *Bachar* (בָּחַר) — Deliberate, Purposeful Selection

The foundational Hebrew word behind the concept of divine election is *bachar* (בָּחַר), Strong's H977. According to the Brown-Driver-Briggs Hebrew Lexicon (BDB), *bachar* (בָּחַר) does not describe an arbitrary selection or a random lottery. It describes a deliberate, careful examination of alternatives followed by a purposeful choice for a specific function. The image BDB gives is that of a refiner examining metals — selecting the one suited to the task at hand. When the verb is used of **Yahweh's** election of Yisra'el (Israel), the sense is not impulsive divine favoritism but the sovereign, examined decision of a covenant-maker who knows precisely what He is doing and why.

The word appears in its most concentrated theological form in **Devarim (Deuteronomy) 7:6–8** — the passage Mosheh (Moses) delivers as Yisra'el (Israel) stands at the border of the land:

"For you are a people holy (kadosh, קָדוֹשׁ) to Yahweh your Elohim. Yahweh your Elohim has chosen (bachar, בָּחַר) you to be a people for His own possession (am segulah, עַם סְגֻלָּה) out of all the peoples who are on the face of the earth. It was not because you were more in number than any other people that Yahweh set His love on you and chose you, for you were the fewest of all peoples, but it is because Yahweh loves you and is keeping the oath that He swore to your fathers, that Yahweh has brought you out with a mighty hand." (Devarim [Deuteronomy] 7:6–8 — JPS/ESV composite)

This single passage answers the question before it can be misframed. The Tanakh itself rules out two common misreadings: (1) that Yisra'el (Israel) was chosen because of demographic size or national power; and (2) that Yisra'el (Israel) was chosen because of its own inherent righteousness. Both are explicitly denied. The election rests on two things only: *ahavah* (אַהֲבָה, love) and *shevuah* (שְׁבוּעָה, sworn oath) — **Yahweh's** own love and **Yahweh's** own oath. The choice originates entirely in **Yahweh**, not in the recipient.

I-B. What the Election Was NOT — Devarim 9:4–6 and the Denial of Merit

Mosheh (Moses) goes further in **Devarim (Deuteronomy) 9:4–6**, preemptively shutting a door the human heart is always tempted to open — the conclusion that being chosen implies deserving:

"Do not say in your heart, after Yahweh your Elohim has thrust them out before you, 'It is because of my righteousness that Yahweh has brought me in to possess this land'... Know, therefore, that it is not because of your righteousness that Yahweh your Elohim is giving you this good land to possess, for you are a stubborn people." (Devarim [Deuteronomy] 9:4–6)

The Hebrew word translated "stubborn" is *geshe-oref* (קָשֶׁה-עֹרֵף) — literally, "hard-necked" or "stiff-necked" — a livestock image: an animal that refuses to turn its neck when the yoke is applied. This is the covenant's own honest self-assessment of the people it chose. The election was not a reward for goodness. The election was an act of **Yahweh's** sovereign purpose, rooted in His own faithfulness to His own word — the oath sworn to Avraham (Abraham), Yitzchak (Isaac), and Ya'akov (Jacob) (**Devarim [Deuteronomy] 9:5**).

II. THE FOUNDATION — YAHWEH CALLS AVRAM OUT OF UR

The election of the Hebrew people does not begin with a nation — it begins with a single man called out of the darkness of one of the ancient world's most sophisticated pagan centers. Understanding the beginning answers the question of the purpose.

II-A. Bereishit 12:1–3 — The Original Call and Commission

Avram (אַבְרָם — later renamed Avraham, אַבְרָהָם) is called by **Yahweh** in **Bereishit (Genesis) 12:1–3** out of Ur Kasdim (אֹּר כַּשְׁדִּים, Ur of the Chaldeans) — the wealthiest city of ancient Sumer, a cultural and economic powerhouse saturated in moon-god worship and polytheistic religion. The archaeological record of Ur (excavated extensively by Leonard Woolley beginning in 1927) confirms its status as a center of Sumerian religious practice; its patron deity was Nanna, the moon god. When **Yahweh** calls Avram (Abraham) out of this environment, He is not selecting someone already ritually pure. He is calling out of pagan darkness the one through whom He will plant His covenant witness in the earth. The text of the call is decisive:

"Now Yahweh said to Avram: 'Go from your land and your kindred and your father's house to the land that I will show you. And I will make of you a great nation, and I will bless you and make your name great, so that you will be a blessing. I will bless those who bless you, and him who

dishonors you I will curse, and in you all the families of the earth shall be blessed." (Bereishit [Genesis] 12:1–3)

Three elements of the original call establish the purpose of the entire election that follows.

First, it is unconditional at its origin — **Yahweh** declares what He will do before Avram (Abraham) has done anything. **Second**, Avram (Abraham) himself is to become a *berakah* (בְּרָכָה, blessing) — the purpose of his election is to produce blessing for others.

Third — and this governs the entire subsequent history of the Hebrew people — the scope of the blessing is not Avram's descendants alone. It is *kol mishpechot ha-adamah* (כָּל מִשְׁפְּחוֹת הָאָדָמָה) — **all the families of the earth**. The election of one man, one family, one people, is always oriented outward. It was never designed to be inward-facing.

II-B. Bereishit 15 — The Covenant Cut in Fire

In **Bereishit (Genesis) 15**, the covenant promise is formalized in one of the Tanakh's most dramatic covenant-sealing ceremonies. Avram (Abraham) is instructed to prepare a sequence of animals, split them, and lay the halves opposite each other — the ancient Near Eastern form of a *berit* (בְּרִית, **covenant**), in which the parties walk between the pieces, symbolically invoking the same fate upon themselves if they violate the agreement. But in this covenant, only **Yahweh** passes through — represented by a *tanur ashan* (תַּנּוּר עֶשֶׂן, smoking oven) and a *lapid esh* (לִפְיֵד אֵשׁ, flaming torch). Avram (Abraham) is put in a deep sleep (*tardemah*, תַּרְדֵּמָה) — he does not walk between the pieces. **Yahweh** alone passes through (**Bereishit [Genesis] 15:17**).

This is theologically critical: the Avrahamic (Abrahamic) covenant is unilateral on Yahweh's side. Its ultimate fulfillment does not depend on Avram's (Abraham's) or Israel's performance — it depends on Yahweh's own sworn oath.

II-C. Bereishit 17 — The Name Change and the Scope of the Promise

In **Bereishit (Genesis) 17**, **Yahweh** changes Avram's (Abraham's) name — from Avram (אַבְרָם, "*exalted father*") to Avraham (אַבְרָהָם, "*father of a multitude [of nations]*"):

"No longer shall your name be called Avram, but your name shall be Avraham, for I have made you the father of a multitude of nations." (Bereishit [Genesis] 17:5)

The election of the Hebrew people through Avraham (Abraham) is not an election into exclusivity — it is an election into responsibility. The very name of the patriarch announces the mission: to be the father from whom covenant blessing flows to multitudes, not to a closed bloodline.

III. THE THREE TANAKH REASONS FOR THE CHOICE

The Written Tanakh does not leave the question of "*why Yisra'el (Israel)?*" unanswered. It gives three explicit reasons — each grounded in Yahweh, not in the people themselves. The **Devarim (Deuteronomy) 19:15** evidentiary standard requires at least two witnesses; all three are drawn directly from the primary covenant text.

III-A. Reason One — Yahweh's Ahavah (אַהֲבָה, Love)

The first reason is stated without elaboration in **Devarim (Deuteronomy) 7:8**: "*because Yahweh loves you.*" The Hebrew root *ahav* (אַהֵב, H157) — covenant love, active and choosing — appears here as the terminal reason. **Yahweh** does not explain the love with a further cause. The love is the cause. This is precisely the covenant's insistence that the election begins in Yahweh and not in the people. The rabbis debated whether the love preceded the choice or followed it; the Tanakh simply presents the two as inseparable: Yahweh loved, and therefore Yahweh chose.

But the Tanakh does not leave *ahav* (אהב, love) as a bare declaration without texture. It fills the word over hundreds of pages with a specific character — and that character is unlike anything the surrounding ancient Near Eastern religious world attributed to its deities. **The gods of Egypt, Canaan, Mesopotamia, and Babylon were not typically described as loving their people.** They were powerful, capricious, demanding, and transactional — they required sacrifice and ritual appeasement in exchange for protection. **Yahweh's** relationship with Yisra'el (Israel) is categorically different at its root, and the Tanakh insists on naming that difference with a word the ancient world would have found extraordinary applied to a deity: *ahavah* (אהבה, love).

The richest elaboration of what this love actually looks like in practice comes from **Hoshea (Hosea) 11:1–4**, where **Yahweh** speaks in language that no ancient Near Eastern god ever used about its people:

"When Yisra'el (Israel) was a child, I loved him (ahavtihu, אהבתיהו), and out of Mitzrayim (Egypt) I called my son. The more they were called, the more they went away... Yet it was I who taught Efrayim (Ephraim) to walk; I took them up by their arms, but they did not know that I healed them. I led them with cords of human kindness, with bands of love (avotot ahavah, עֲבֹתוֹת אֲהָבָה), and I became to them as one who eases the yoke on their jaws, and I bent down to them and fed them." (Hoshea [Hosea] 11:1–4)

The images **Yahweh** uses here are parental — not kingly, not judicial, not contractual. A parent teaching a child to walk. A parent lifting a child by the arms. A parent bending down to feed. *Avotot ahavah* (עֲבֹתוֹת אֲהָבָה, bands of love) — the cords that **Yahweh** uses to lead are not chains of coercion but the tender restraints of one who refuses to let go even when the child pulls away. The love that drove the election of the Hebrew people is not the cool affection of a sovereign for a useful instrument. It is the aching, persistent love of one who chooses and keeps choosing even when the chosen turn away.

Devarim (Deuteronomy) 7:8 uses the word *hashak* (חָשַׁק, H2836) alongside *ahav* — a word that carries the sense of a deep attachment, a clinging desire, an affection that fixes itself and does not release. The same word appears in **Bereishit (Genesis) 34:8** to describe a man utterly attached to someone he loves. **Yahweh's** love for Yisra'el (Israel) is described with the vocabulary of personal, binding, unwavering attachment — not duty, not policy, not cosmic utility. The election did not produce the love. The love produced the election.

Yirmeyahu (Jeremiah) 31:3 confirms that this love predates the covenant events at Sinai and is not contingent on what came after them:

"I have loved you (ahavti otakh, אָהַבְתִּי אוֹתְךָ) with an everlasting love (ahavat olam, אֲהָבַת עוֹלָם); therefore I have continued my faithfulness to you."

The Hebrew *ahavat olam* (אֲהָבַת עוֹלָם, everlasting love) — love of the age, love without terminus — is the ground on which the entire covenant relationship rests. It is not a love that began at Sinai and could end at the first violation. It is a love that preceded Sinai and outlasts every failure that followed it. The covenant at Sinai did not create the love; it formalized a relationship the love had already initiated.

This is why the election cannot be explained by anything in the people themselves. The love precedes them. It chose Avraham (Abraham) before Avraham had demonstrated anything. It called Yisra'el (Israel) out of Egypt before the people had kept a single commandment. It renewed the covenant in **Yirmeyahu (Jeremiah) 31** after generations of violation. It is precisely the kind of love that theological traditions across all three audiences find difficult to fully contain within their frameworks — because it is not a love that follows merit, responds to performance, or requires the beloved to earn what it has already decided to give. It is the love of Yahweh — sovereign, initiating, and by the Tanakh's own testimony, everlasting.

This is the terminal reason. Not size. Not righteousness. Not history. Not ethnic distinctiveness. "Because Yahweh loves you" — and in the Tanakh, that sentence is a complete answer.

III-B. Reason Two — Yahweh's Oath to the Avot (אבות, Fathers)

The second reason given in **Devarim (Deuteronomy) 7:8** is Yahweh's *shevuah* (שבועה, sworn oath) — the oath He swore to the patriarchs. This anchors the election of the people at Sinai to the covenant at Bereishit (Genesis) 15. Yahweh is not beginning a new relationship with a new people at Sinai. He is fulfilling a sovereign commitment He made centuries earlier to Avraham (Abraham), Yitzchak (Isaac), and Ya'akov (Jacob). The Hebrew word *la-avoteikhem* (לאבותיכם, to your fathers) in **Devarim (Deuteronomy) 7:8** ties every Israelite at Sinai directly to the Genesis covenant-cutting of chapter 15. The election of a people is the fulfillment of a promise made to a man.

But the weight of this reason cannot be felt without understanding what a *shevuah* (שבועה, sworn oath) actually meant in the ancient covenant world — and what it cost Yahweh to make one. An oath in the ancient Near East was not a strong promise that could be renegotiated under pressure. It was a self-imprecation — a formal act in which the one swearing called down consequences upon himself if he failed to keep his word. To swear was to bind oneself irrevocably. The oath-taker placed himself under the terms of the oath in a way that could not be undone simply by changing his mind. When Yahweh swears, He is using the covenantal language of absolute, irreversible self-commitment.

The Tanakh captures the full force of this at **Bereishit (Genesis) 15**, the covenant-cutting ceremony between Yahweh and Avraham (Abraham). Avraham (Abraham) prepares the animals, splits them, and lays the halves opposite each other — the ancient form in which both covenant parties would normally walk between the pieces, invoking upon themselves the fate of the divided animals if they broke the covenant. But Avraham (Abraham) is put into a deep sleep — *tardemah* (תרדמה, H8639), the same word used when Yahweh caused Adam to sleep before the creation of Chavah (Eve) in **Bereishit (Genesis) 2:21**. He does not walk through. Only Yahweh passes — as a smoking oven and a flaming torch, *tanur ashan ve-lapid esh* (תנור עשן ולפיד אש). Yahweh alone takes the oath. Yahweh alone accepts the terms. The covenant is unilateral at its foundation — its ultimate fulfillment rests entirely on Yahweh's own faithfulness to His own sworn word, not on anything Avraham (Abraham) or his descendants will or will not do.

This is a staggering theological fact that the Tanakh does not soften. The sovereign creator of heaven and earth walked between the pieces. He bound Himself. He swore by Himself — because there is no higher authority to swear by — as Bereishit (Genesis) 22:16 makes explicit when Yahweh renews the oath after the Akeidah (עקידה, binding of Yitzchak [Isaac]):

"By myself I have sworn, declares Yahweh, because you have done this thing and have not withheld your son, your only son, I will surely bless you and I will surely multiply your offspring as the stars of heaven and as the sand that is on the seashore."

Yahweh swears by His own name — by His own existence and character — because nothing greater exists to guarantee the oath. The oath is as unbreakable as Yahweh Himself.

Tehillim (Psalm) 105:8–10 carries this same weight forward into Israel's worship memory, showing that the covenant people understood the oath not as a one-time historical event but as the permanent foundation of their existence as a people:

"He remembers His covenant forever, the word that He commanded, for a thousand generations — the covenant that He made with Avraham (Abraham), His sworn oath (shevuato, שבועתו) to Yitzchak (Isaac). He confirmed it to Ya'akov (Jacob) as a statute, to Yisra'el (Israel) as an everlasting covenant."

Three patriarchs. Three generations. One unbroken *shevuah* (sworn oath) threading through all of them. The election at Sinai — the entire Exodus, the giving of the Torah, the entry into the land — is the working out of what was sworn before any of the Sinai generation was born.

This threading is precisely what **Mosheh** (Moses) presses upon the people in **Devarim (Deuteronomy) 7:8**. The generation standing at the border of the land did not generate the covenant that was bringing them there. They inherited it. The love **Yahweh** had was **Yahweh's** own love; the oath **Yahweh** kept was an oath He swore to men who were already centuries in their graves. The people at Sinai — and every generation of the covenant people since — stand inside a story whose beginning they did not author and whose guarantee they cannot revoke, because it does not rest on them. It rests on the sworn word of the One who walked between the pieces alone.

This is also why **Vayikra (Leviticus) 26:44–45** can say what it says even in the darkest passage of the covenant, when **Yahweh** is speaking of the consequences of the most severe covenant violation:

"Yet for all that, when they are in the land of their enemies, I will not spurn them, neither will I abhor them so as to destroy them utterly and break my covenant with them, for I am Yahweh their Elohim. But I will for their sake remember the covenant with their forefathers, whom I brought out of the land of Egypt in the sight of the nations, that I might be their Elohim."

Even exile — the most extreme covenant consequence — does not break the sworn oath. It cannot. The oath was sworn by **Yahweh**, in the name of **Yahweh**, on the authority of **Yahweh** alone. The only thing that could void it would be **Yahweh** ceasing to be what He is. And the Tanakh's answer to that possibility is given in **Yirmeyahu (Jeremiah) 31:35–36**:

"Thus says Yahweh, who gives the sun for light by day and the fixed order of the moon and the stars for light by night... If this fixed order departs from before me, declares Yahweh, then shall the offspring of Yisra'el (Israel) cease from being a nation before me forever."

The continued existence of the physical universe is **Yahweh's** own pledge that the covenant seed of **Avraham (Abraham)** will not be destroyed.

The *shevuah* (שבועה, sworn oath) is therefore not the second reason among equals. It is the structural guarantee beneath the entire covenant history of the Hebrew people — the bedrock on which every subsequent act of **Yahweh** toward Yisra'el (Israel) stands. The love chose. The oath secured. And what the oath of **Yahweh** secures, nothing in heaven or earth has the standing to undo.

III-C. Reason Three — Yahweh's Purpose for the Nations

The third reason is structural rather than stated as an explicit explanation, but it runs through the Tanakh like a spine from **Bereishit (Genesis) 12:3** onward: the election of Yisra'el (Israel) is always oriented toward *the nations*.

Yeshayahu (Isaiah) 42:6 makes this explicit in the first *Eved Yahweh* (עֶבֶד יְהוָה, Servant of Yahweh) passage:

"I, Yahweh, have called you in righteousness; I will take you by the hand and keep you; I will give you as a covenant for the people, a light for the nations."

Two distinct commissions are joined in a single verse. The first is *berit am* (בְּרִית עַם, **covenant for the people**) — the covenant function among the covenant community itself. The second is *or la-goyim* (אֹר לְגוֹיִם, **light for the nations**) — a function directed entirely outward, beyond the covenant community, toward those who do not yet stand inside it. Both commissions belong to the same election. Neither can be separated from the other without distorting the whole.

The Hebrew word *or* (אֹר, H216 — **light**) is not a passive or static image in the Tanakh. Light by its very nature does not contain itself. It is not a possession that can be locked away, hoarded, or reserved for the one who holds the lamp. It is an active, outward-moving, emanating reality. The moment it exists, it illuminates what surrounds it. When **Yahweh** declares at the opening of creation "*yehi or*" (יְהִי אֹר, "*let there be light*," Bereishit [Genesis] 1:3), the light that came into being was not contained — it filled. In the same way, *or la-goyim* (*light for the nations*) is not a description of what Yisra'el (Israel) *possesses* but of what Yisra'el (Israel) *does* — and of what the election *is for*. It is not a metaphor for cultural superiority, ethnic distinction, or religious exclusivity. It is a functional description of a calling embedded in the election from its first utterance in Bereishit (Genesis) 12:3: that through Avraham (Abraham), *all the families of the earth shall be blessed.*

Yeshayahu (Isaiah) 49:6 presses this point further and more dramatically than any other passage in the Tanakh. **Yahweh** speaks:

"It is too light a thing that you should be my servant to raise up the tribes of Ya'akov (Jacob) and to bring back the preserved of Yisra'el (Israel); I will make you as a light for the nations (or la-goyim, אֹר לְגוֹיִם), that my salvation may reach to the end of the earth."

The language here is striking. The internal restoration of Yisra'el (Israel) — the gathering of its own scattered tribes, the healing of its own covenant community — is described as "*too light a thing*" for the full scope of the servant's mission. The nations-scope of the calling *exceeds* even the restoration of the chosen people themselves. This is not a diminishment of Yisra'el's (Israel's) value — it is the Tanakh's own declaration that the election was designed with a reach no ethnic boundary could contain.

The Tanakh states this purpose with explicit clarity even before Sinai. In Bereishit (Genesis) 18:18–19, **Yahweh** discloses to Avraham (Abraham) the covenant logic of His own choice:

"Avraham will surely become a great and mighty nation, and all the nations of the earth shall be blessed in him. For I have chosen him, that he may command his children and his household after him to keep the way of Yahweh by doing righteousness and justice (tzedakah u-mishpat, צְדָקָה וּמִשְׁפָּט), so that Yahweh may bring to Avraham what He has promised him."

Here is the mechanism of the blessing the nations were promised. **Yahweh** chose Avraham (Abraham) so that Avraham (Abraham) would raise up a household — and through that household, a nation — that would *embody and transmit* the way of **Yahweh**: *tzedakah* (צְדָקָה, **righteousness**) and *mishpat* (מִשְׁפָּט, **justice**). These are not merely internal ethics for Yisra'el's (Israel's) own community. **They are the covenant's own description of the character of Yahweh — and the chosen people were elected to be the living demonstration of that character before the watching nations. The blessing to all families of the earth comes through the witness of a people who walk in righteousness and justice.**

Yisra'el (Israel) was therefore chosen not to be the *destination* of covenant blessing but to be its conduit. A destination receives and retains. A conduit receives in order to transmit. The distinction is not subtle — it is the difference between an election that serves the elect and an election that serves *through* the elect. The Tanakh consistently holds to the latter. The moment any reading of the Hebrew election converts the calling into a closed vessel rather than an open channel —

reserving **Yahweh's** light only for those inside the bloodline, treating the covenant as a privilege rather than a commission — it has departed from what the Tanakh's own text requires. The election is **Yahweh's** sovereign instrument for bringing the knowledge of His character, His righteousness, and His covenant instruction to all of humanity. It is instrumental — it exists for a purpose larger than itself, because **Yahweh** Himself is larger than any one person He has ever called.

This also means that the nations who receive the light are never an afterthought in the covenant. They are its intended audience from before the first word was spoken to Avram (Abraham) in Ur. The election does not produce a finished product locked away in a closed tradition — it produces a witness people whose entire calling is to demonstrate, by the quality of their covenantal life, the character of the **Elohim** who chose them. When the election is understood this way — as *or la-goyim* (light for the nations), as *berit am* (covenant for the peoples), as *tzedakah u-mishpat* (righteousness and justice) made visible in history — the question is no longer only "why did Yahweh choose the Hebrew people?" The deeper question the Tanakh presses toward is: "what is the Hebrew people's chosen life producing in the world?" That is the question the election has always been asking.

IV. THE THREE-FOLD CALLING AT SINAI — SHEMOT 19:5–6

At the mountain of Sinai (סִינַי), **Yahweh** delivers through Mosheh (Moses) the clearest articulation of the purpose of the election in the entire Tanakh. The people have come out of Egypt (Mitzrayim, מִצְרַיִם). They stand at the mountain. And **Yahweh** speaks:

"Now therefore, if you will indeed obey my voice and keep my covenant, you shall be my treasured possession (am segulah, עַם סְגֻלָּה) among all peoples, for all the earth is mine; and you shall be to me a kingdom of priests (mamlechet kohanim, מַמְלַכֶּת כֹּהֲנִים) and a holy nation (goy kadosh, גּוֹי קָדוֹשׁ)." (Shemot [Exodus] 19:5–6)

Three titles are given. Each carries a precise covenant meaning that the Tanakh itself defines. Critically, the three-fold calling is introduced with a conditional — *im shamo'a tishme'u* (אִם שָׁמוּעַ תִּשְׁמְעוּ, "if you will indeed obey"). The election of the people in terms of origin (the Avrahamic oath) is unconditional. The covenant calling at Sinai — the titles and privileges and purposes — is conditional on covenant faithfulness. This distinction is critical and is examined in Section V.

IV-A. Am Segulah (עַם סְגֻלָּה) — Yahweh's Treasured Possession

The word *segulah* (סְגֻלָּה, H5459) carries the sense of a privately held treasure — personal property set apart from the common and guarded with special care. Cognate terms in ancient Semitic languages (Akkadian *sikiltum*) confirm the meaning: something one has personally selected and holds as one's own valued possession, distinct from general holdings. The word appears again in **Devarim (Deuteronomy) 7:6** and **Malakhi 3:17**:

"They shall be mine, says Yahweh of Hosts, in the day when I act, my treasured possession (segulah, סְגֻלָּה)." (Malakhi 3:17)

The significance of this title is not self-congratulation — it is *relational*. To be **Yahweh's am segulah (treasured possession)** is to be brought into a different quality of relationship with the covenant-maker than any other nation on earth.

IV-B. Mamlechet Kohanim (מַמְלַכֶּת כֹּהֲנִים) — A Kingdom of Priests

This title is the clearest expression of the functional purpose of the election. A *kohen* (כֹּהֵן, priest) in the Tanakh is a mediating figure — one who stands between parties, who brings the one who is separated into the presence of the one from whom they are separated. A *mamlechet kohanim* (מַמְלַכֶּת כֹּהֲנִים, kingdom of priests) is therefore a nation called to serve a priestly function — not primarily for itself, but on behalf of the nations surrounding it. The nations of the earth are the congregation. Yisra'el (Israel) is called to be the nation that mediates **Yahweh's** covenant knowledge, His justice, His character, and His instruction to those who do not yet have it. This calling is not ethnic privilege — it is a covenant responsibility of the highest order.

IV-C. Goy Kadosh (גוי קדוש) — A Holy Nation

The Hebrew *kadosh* (קדוש, H6918) means "*set apart, consecrated, distinct*" — and in the context of the covenant it means set apart for a purpose, not merely set apart *from* others. A *goy kadosh* (גוי קדוש, **holy nation**) is a nation that lives in such a way that its difference from the surrounding nations is visible, purposeful, and representative of **Yahweh's** own character. **Vayikra (Leviticus) 19:2** states the basis directly:

"You shall be holy, for I Yahweh your Elohim am holy."

The holiness demanded of the people is grounded in and derived from Yahweh's own nature. The calling is not to self-righteousness but to a life that images Yahweh's own character before the nations.

V. THE CONDITION — THE COVENANT WAS NOT UNCONDITIONAL IN ITS FULL SCOPE

One of the most consequential misreadings of the Hebrew election in all three traditions is the collapse of the distinction between the unconditional element and the conditional element of the covenant. The Tanakh is precise on this distinction and the confusion between the two has produced centuries of theological error.

V-A. What Was Unconditional — The Avrahamic Oath

The oath **Yahweh** swore to Avraham (Abraham) in **Bereishit (Genesis) 15** — sealed by **Yahweh** alone passing between the pieces — is unilateral. **Yahweh's** commitment to bring a covenant people into existence through the seed of Avraham (Abraham) does not depend on Yisra'el (Israel)'s obedience. Even when the people are in exile, even when they have violated the covenant in the severest terms, **Yahweh** has not abandoned the oath. **Vayikra (Leviticus) 26:44–45** states this with precision:

"Yet for all that, when they are in the land of their enemies, I will not spurn them, neither will I abhor them so as to destroy them utterly and break my covenant with them, for I am Yahweh their Elohim. But I will for their sake remember the covenant with their forefathers, whom I brought out of the land of Egypt in the sight of the nations, that I might be their Elohim."

The seed of Avraham (Abraham) survives because **Yahweh's** oath survives.

V-B. What Was Conditional — The Sinai Covenant Titles and Blessings

The covenant given at Sinai — the *Torah* (תורה, **instruction**) with its corresponding blessings and curses — is explicitly conditional. **Devarim (Deuteronomy) 28** sets out the terms with unmistakable clarity: obedience produces blessing; violation produces curse. The list of blessings in **Devarim (Deuteronomy) 28:1–14** corresponds precisely to the list of curses in **Devarim (Deuteronomy) 28:15–68**. The covenant is not a blank check. **Yahweh** set the terms in advance, called witnesses (heaven and earth, **Devarim [Deuteronomy] 30:19**), and the people accepted. The conditional nature of the Sinai covenant does not undermine the unconditional nature of the Avrahamic promise — it defines what faithful participation in that promise looks like while the promise itself remains in force.

V-C. The People's Response — Na'aseh Ve-Nishma (נעשה ונשמע)

In **Shemot (Exodus) 24:7**, after the covenant terms are read aloud before all the people, the congregation of Yisra'el (Israel) gives its reply — one of the most remarkable covenant affirmations in the entire Tanakh:

"All that Yahweh has spoken we will do, and we will obey (na'aseh ve-nishma, נעשה ונשמע)."

The Hebrew is striking: *na'aseh* (we will do) precedes *ve-nishma* (and we will hear/obey). The people commit to action before full comprehension. This is not irrationality — it is covenant loyalty: trusting the covenant-maker's character before understanding every clause. The people accepted the covenant knowingly, publicly, and with a

two-witness ratification: **Yahweh** and the assembled nation. This acceptance is the covenant's own basis for holding the people accountable throughout the Tanakh's subsequent history.

VI. FIVE COVENANT WITNESSES — THE DEVARIM 19:15 STANDARD ON DIVINE ELECTION

No doctrine may stand on a single text per the **Devarim (Deuteronomy) 19:15** two-or-three-witness standard. The following five witnesses from across the Tanakh corroborate the covenant framework of **Yahweh's** election of the Hebrew people — its source, its purpose, and its scope.

Witness One — Nechemiah 9:7 — The Memory of the Election Confirmed

Generations after Sinai, when the returned exiles stand in covenant renewal under Ezra and Nechemiah (Nehemiah), the Levites recite the covenant history publicly. Their recitation in **Nechemiah (Nehemiah) 9:7** states:

"You are Yahweh, the Elohim who chose Avram (Abraham) and brought him out of Ur of the Chaldeans and gave him the name Avraham."

This confirms that centuries after the original call, the community's understanding of its own election traces it directly back to Avraham's (Abraham's) call from Ur — not to ethnicity, not to merit, but to **Yahweh's** sovereign act at the beginning.

Witness Two — Amos 3:2 — Election Carries Greater Accountability

The prophet **Amos 3:2** delivers one of the Tanakh's sharpest reframings of the election:

"You only have I known (yada'ti, יָדַעְתִּי) of all the families of the earth; therefore I will punish you for all your iniquities."

The Hebrew *yada'* (יָדַע, H3045) in this covenantal sense means far more than intellectual awareness — it means intimate covenant knowledge, the kind of knowing that constitutes a binding relationship. **Yahweh's** witness through Amos confirms that election is not a blank check of protection — it is a covenant relationship that carries proportional accountability. The greater the closeness, the greater the responsibility.

Witness Three — Hoshea 11:1 — The Relational Origin of the Election

In **Hoshea (Hosea) 11:1**, **Yahweh** uses a parental image that strips any impersonal or merely contractual reading of the election:

"When Yisra'el (Israel) was a child, I loved him, and out of Mitzrayim (Egypt) I called my son."

The election is described in terms of parental love — the love that initiates before the child has done anything to merit it. The Exodus is here characterized not primarily as a political rescue but as a father calling his son. The root of the election is relational intimacy, not transactional calculation.

Witness Four — Yeshayahu 43:10 — Election as Covenant Witness

In **Yeshayahu (Isaiah) 43:10**, **Yahweh** states the functional purpose of the election with unmistakable legal precision:

"You are my witnesses (edai, עֵדַי), declares Yahweh, and my servant whom I have chosen, that you may know and believe me and understand that I am He. Before me no elohim (god) was formed, nor shall there be any after me."

The Hebrew *edai* (עֵדַי, my witnesses) is a legal term — the same family as the witness standard of **Devarim (Deuteronomy) 19:15**. The people are chosen to be living testimony to **Yahweh's** identity as the one sovereign **Elohim** before all the nations. The election is a legal appointment to a witness function.

Witness Five — Mikhah 4:2 — The Nations Coming to the Covenant People's Light

The prophet **Mikhah (Micah) 4:2** describes the ultimate covenant trajectory that the election of the Hebrew people was always aimed toward:

"Many nations shall come, and say: 'Come, let us go up to the mountain of Yahweh, to the house of the Elohim of Ya'akov (Jacob), that He may teach us His ways and that we may walk in His paths.' For out of Tzion (Zion) shall go forth the Torah, and the word of Yahweh from Yerushalayim (Jerusalem)."

The election of one people produces the instruction that draws all peoples. The end state of the covenant is not exclusivity — it is universal access to the instruction that the covenant people were chosen to carry and demonstrate.

VII. THREE-RELIGION AUDIT — HOW EACH TRADITION HANDLES THE ELECTION

ELEMENT	JUDAISM	CHRISTIANITY	ISLAM
Covenant Strength	Preserves the particularity of the election — Yisra'el (Israel) remains am segulah (treasured possession) in perpetuity. The covenant memory survives exile, persecution, and dispersion precisely because the Avrahamic oath is unconditional.	Preserves the ethical dimension of the calling — justice, care for the stranger, love of neighbor — which the Tanakh embeds in the election from the beginning. The Hebrew prophets remain central to Christian ethical tradition.	Affirms the honor of Banu Isra'il (Children of Israel) as specially favored by Allah and preserves the universal dimension of the election — the covenant was always aimed at all peoples, not one people alone.
Covenant Departure	The rabbinic framework of being chosen carries the risk of inward-facing election — treating am segulah (treasured possession) as a status of separation rather than a commission of priestly service toward the nations. Amos 3:2 corrects this: election means greater accountability, not protection from it.	The transfer of the election from Yisra'el (Israel) to the Church — the "true Israel" claim — is nowhere found in the Written Tanakh and is an addition the covenant text does not authorize. The election of Avraham (Abraham)'s covenant seed is not revoked in the Tanakh and cannot be revoked by a document the Tanakh says was not permitted to add to it.	The Qur'anic position that the election was entirely forfeited through Israel's disobedience conflicts with Vayikra (Leviticus) 26:44–45, where Yahweh explicitly states He will not break His covenant with the seed of Avraham (Abraham) even when they are in the land of their enemies. The conditional element of Sinai does not void the unconditional element of Bereishit 15.
Covenant Witness	Return to Shemot 19:5–6: the three titles — am segulah, mamlechet kohanim, goy kadosh — are not titles of privilege alone. They are a	Strip the replacement theology overlay and return to the plain text: the election of Israel stands on the Tanakh. The covenant calling	The Qur'an's own affirmation that Allah gave Banu Isra'il fadl (فَضْل, favor/preference) above the worlds (Q. 45:16) is

	commission. The priestly calling of Israel toward the nations has never been rescinded by the Written Tanakh.	of a life that images Yahweh's character before the nations is the same calling whether it is carried by a member of the covenant community at Sinai or by anyone who has since come under its instruction.	consistent with the Tanakh's own account. The point of convergence for all three traditions is the commission: the election exists to serve a purpose larger than the elected. That purpose — light to the nations — was never completed and was never cancelled.
--	---	---	---

VIII. SEVEN COVENANT DECLARATIONS ON DIVINE ELECTION

#	COVENANT DECLARATION	BASIS
I	Yahweh chose the Hebrew people — bachar (בָּחַר) — through a deliberate, sovereign, purposeful act rooted entirely in His own love and His own sworn oath. The election originates in Yahweh, not in the recipient people.	Devarim (Deuteronomy) 7:6–8
II	The election was not based on size, power, or righteousness. Yahweh explicitly denies all three as the reason. The Hebrew people are described by Yahweh Himself as a stubborn (qeshe-oref, קָשֶׁה-עֹרֵף, hard-necked) people — chosen in spite of their nature, not because of it.	Devarim (Deuteronomy) 7:7; 9:4–6
III	The election traces to a single call — Yahweh's call of Avram (Abraham) out of Ur Kasdim — and is sealed by a unilateral covenant oath in Bereishit 15 where Yahweh alone passes through the covenant pieces. The election is grounded in Yahweh's faithfulness to His own word.	Bereishit (Genesis) 12:1–3; 15:17–18; Nechemiah (Nehemiah) 9:7
IV	The purpose of the election is threefold and explicitly stated at Sinai: am segulah (treasured possession), mamlechet kohanim (kingdom of priests), goy kadosh (holy nation). All three titles are functional commissions, not trophies of status.	Shemot (Exodus) 19:5–6
V	The election has two layers — unconditional (the Avrahamic oath, which cannot be broken) and conditional (the Sinai covenant titles and blessings, which are contingent on obedience). The two layers must be kept distinct. Disobedience forfeits the conditional blessings but cannot void the unconditional oath.	Bereishit (Genesis) 15; Devarim (Deuteronomy) 28; Vayikra (Leviticus) 26:44–45

VI	The election was never inward-facing. From its first statement in Bereishit 12:3 ("in you all the families of the earth shall be blessed") through Yeshayahu 42:6 ("a light for the nations") to Mikhah 4:2 (nations coming to Zion for Torah), the election of Israel was always a commission directed outward toward all humanity.	Bereishit (Genesis) 12:3; Yeshayahu (Isaiah) 42:6; Mikhah (Micah) 4:2
VII	Election carries greater accountability, not less. Amos 3:2 makes clear that Yahweh's intimate covenant knowing of Israel means that their violations are judged more severely, not overlooked. Chosenness is a covenant relationship with proportional moral weight.	Amos 3:2; Devarim (Deuteronomy) 19:15

IX. SCRIPTURE INDEX

REFERENCE	SUBJECT	SECTION
Bereishit (Genesis) 12:1–3	The call of Avram out of Ur — original commission and nations-scope of the election	II-A
Bereishit (Genesis) 15:17–18	Yahweh alone passes through the covenant pieces — unilateral oath	II-B
Bereishit (Genesis) 17:5	Name change to Avraham — father of a multitude of nations	II-C
Shemot (Exodus) 19:5–6	Am segulah, mamlechet kohanim, goy kadosh — the three-fold Sinai commission	IV
Shemot (Exodus) 24:7	Na'aseh ve-nishma — the people's covenant acceptance	V-C
Vayikra (Leviticus) 19:2	You shall be holy for I Yahweh your Elohim am holy — the basis of goy kadosh	IV-C
Vayikra (Leviticus) 26:44–45	Yahweh will not break the covenant even in exile — unconditional element preserved	V-A
Devarim (Deuteronomy) 4:2	Do not add to the word — the Written Tanakh's self-authenticating sole sufficiency	Doctrinal Authority
Devarim (Deuteronomy) 7:6–8	Am segulah — bachar — not because of size or merit, but love and oath	I-A; III-A, B

Devarim (Deuteronomy) 9:4–6	Election not because of righteousness — qeshe-oref (stiff-necked) people	I-B
Devarim (Deuteronomy) 19:15	Two-or-three-witness evidentiary standard governing all textual claims	Doctrinal Authority; VI
Devarim (Deuteronomy) 28:1–68	Conditional covenant structure — blessings for obedience, curses for violation	V-B
Devarim (Deuteronomy) 30:19	I have set before you life and death — covenant choice structure	V-B
Yehoshua (Joshua) 24:2–3	Your fathers served other gods beyond the River — Avraham called out of paganism	II-A
Amos 3:2	You only have I known — election carries proportional accountability	Witness Two; VIII
Mikhah (Micah) 4:2	Nations coming to Zion for Torah — the nations-scope destiny of the election	Witness Five; VIII
Hoshea (Hosea) 11:1	When Israel was a child I loved him — parental covenant love as origin of election	Witness Three
Yeshayahu (Isaiah) 42:6	Or la-goyim — a light for the nations — the outward purpose of the election	III-C; VIII
Yeshayahu (Isaiah) 43:10	You are my witnesses — election as legal appointment to covenant witness function	Witness Four
Malakhi 3:17	They shall be my segulah (treasured possession) in the day I act	IV-A
Nechemiah (Nehemiah) 9:7	You chose Avram and brought him out of Ur — covenant memory confirms the origin of election	Witness One

"Now therefore, if you will indeed obey my voice and keep my covenant, you shall be my treasured possession (am segulah, עַם סֶגֶלָה) among all peoples, for all the earth is mine; and you shall be to me a kingdom of priests (mamlechet kohanim, מַמְלַכֶּת כֹּהֲנִים) and a holy nation (goy kadosh, גּוֹי קָדוֹשׁ)."

Shemot (Exodus) 19:5–6 — The Written Covenant of Yahweh

MIQDASH BETHEL COVENANT INSTITUTION

Document 173 • Why Did Yahweh Choose the Hebrew People? • June 2026

miqdashbethel.org • miqdashbethel@gmail.com • 402-218-9530 • PO Box 762, Pearl River, Louisiana 70452

508(c)(1)(a) Free Church • Sole Tanakh Authority • Reaching Judaism, Christianity, and Islam