

MIQDASH BETHEL COVENANT INSTITUTION

Pearl River, Louisiana | miqdashbethel@gmail.com | 985-250-9060 | miqdashbethel.org

A COVENANT WITNESS TO THE REAL LANDSCAPE OF WAR:

Iran, Britain, the Nuclear Question, and the Blood on Every Hand

Including a Covenant Critique of the Prometheian Action Broadcast by Susan Kokinda

Issued April 2026 | Miqdash Bethel Covenant Institution

Authority: The Tanakh — The Word of Yahweh Alone | Standard: Devarim 19:15 — Two or Three Witnesses

"He that justifieth the wicked, and he that condemneth the just, even they both are an abomination to Yahweh."

— Mishlei (Proverbs) 17:15

INTRODUCTION: THE PLUMB LINE BEFORE THE NARRATIVE

A video has circulated presenting a thesis: Iran was never the true target of the 2025–2026 war — the British imperial financial system was. The presenter, Susan Kokinda of Prometheian Action, argues that Trump is executing a coordinated campaign to dismantle London's commodity pricing architecture, with the Iran strikes as a weapon in that larger war.

This document does two things. First, it applies the covenant evidentiary standard of Devarim 19:15 to the actual documented facts of the war — the timeline, the nuclear intelligence record, the Netanyahu-Hamas funding history, the British conduct, and the civilian death toll that Kokinda's framework never mentions. Second — in Part Five — it conducts a claim-by-claim covenant critique of the Prometheian Action broadcast itself, evaluating each assertion against the two-or-three-witnesses standard.

No party is exempt from this standard. No flag is honored above the plumb line. And no analysis — however sophisticated its commodity pricing architecture — is exempt from the covenant question: where are the dead, and who bears witness for them?

PART ONE: THE REAL CAUSES OF THE WAR — DOCUMENTED EVIDENCE

I. The Timeline: How the 2025–2026 War Was Built

The war did not begin on a single day. It accumulated through decisions made across years:

Date	Event
June 12, 2025	Israel launches preemptive strikes on Iranian nuclear and military sites. The Twelve-Day War begins.
June 22, 2025	US launches Operation Midnight Hammer: 7 B-2 bombers, 125+ aircraft, 14 GBU-57 bunker-busters on Fordow, Natanz, Isfahan.
June 24, 2025	Ceasefire announced. Iran struck Al Udeid Air Base in Qatar in retaliation.
July 2025	Pentagon: Iran's nuclear program set back ~2 years. Iran suspends IAEA cooperation.
Sept. 2025	UN snapback sanctions reimposed. Iran formally ends all JCPOA obligations. IAEA cannot verify stockpiles.
Dec. 28, 2025	Mass protests erupt in Iran following currency collapse and 72% food price rise.
Jan. 8–9, 2026	Iranian security forces massacre protesters. Death toll: 12,000–36,500 documented. Ordered by Khamenei.
Feb. 28, 2026	US and Israel launch full-scale war on Iran. Supreme Leader Khamenei assassinated in Israeli airstrike.
March 20, 2026	Iran fires two ballistic missiles at Diego Garcia (US-UK base). One intercepted, one fails.
April 2026	Continued US-Israeli strikes. Civilian casualties mounting. Lebanon war ongoing. Hormuz disrupted.

Sources: Congressional Research Service IN12571; Wikipedia 2026 Iran War; ABC News timeline March 2026; UK Parliament Research Briefing CBP-10521

II. The Nuclear Question: What the Intelligence Actually Said

The stated US justification was Iranian nuclear capability. The intelligence record reveals a documented contradiction:

DOCUMENTED INTELLIGENCE CONTRADICTION — PER DEVARIM 19:15

- March 2025 — US Intelligence Community assessed Iran 'is not building a nuclear weapon' and Khamenei 'has not reauthorized the nuclear weapons program he suspended in 2003.' (Congressional Research Service IN12571)
- June 2025 — President Trump publicly rejected this assessment and proceeded with strikes.

- July 2025 — Pentagon found Iran's program set back ~2 years, not eliminated.
- Before strikes: IAEA confirmed Iran held 9,247 kg of enriched uranium (up to 60%) — sufficient for multiple bombs if weaponized.
- Feb. 2026 — Trump envoy Witkoff stated Iran's breakout time was 'a few weeks.'
- Oct. 2025 — All IAEA oversight formally ended. Location of HEU stockpiles unverified.

Sources: Congressional Research Service IN12571; CSIS analysis; Air & Space Forces Magazine; National Interest Feb. 2026

The covenant witness must state clearly: **Iran was enriching uranium at documented levels.** The regime was also massacring its own population. But the US intelligence community's own assessment contradicted the administration's stated trigger. Both things are simultaneously true — and the covenant witness holds both without collapsing either.

III. Netanyahu's Hamas Strategy: The Documented Record

The claim that Netanyahu deliberately funded Hamas to forestall a Palestinian state is not speculation. It is documented from Israeli primary sources:

FIVE-WITNESS EVIDENTIARY RECORD — NETANYAHU / HAMAS / QATAR — PER DEVARIM 19:15

- WITNESS 1 — Former PM Ehud Olmert (Politico, 2023): 'In the last 15 years, Israel did everything to downgrade the Palestinian Authority and to boost Hamas. Bibi saved them. Bibi made a deal with Qatar and they started to move millions and millions of dollars to Gaza.'
- WITNESS 2 — Former PM Ehud Barak (Israeli Army Radio, Aug. 2019): Netanyahu's main strategy was to keep Hamas 'alive and kicking' to weaken the PA, even at the expense of 'abandoning the citizens of the south.'
- WITNESS 3 — Former Shin Bet Chief Nadav Argaman (Channel 12, 2025): Warned Netanyahu in 2019 that 'funds from Qatar to Gaza have been diverted to Hamas's military wing.' Netanyahu replied: 'I've heard, we'll continue the process.'
- WITNESS 4 — IDF Military Intelligence (2020): Confirmed Hamas military chief Deif was siphoning \$4 million monthly from Qatari funds. Netanyahu's office informed. Process continued.
- WITNESS 5 — Shin Bet Investigation Report (March 2025): 'Hamas took advantage of those years to build up its military strength, in large measure thanks to the strategic support of Iran and the use of the funds that came from Iran and from Qatar.'
- ADDITIONAL — Qatargate Investigation (2025): Two of Netanyahu's top aides arrested for unlawful paid ties to Qatar. Shin Bet chief Ronen Bar confirmed investigation as 'complex and multi-faceted.'

Sources: Haaretz Jan. 2026; CNN Qatari payments investigation; Times of Israel March 2025; Israel Hayom Feb. & Dec. 2025; Wikipedia — Israeli support for Hamas

Devarim 19:15 requires two or three witnesses. The record provides **five independent Israeli witnesses** — all from within the Israeli security and political establishment — confirming the deliberate strategy.

PART TWO: THE BRITISH GEOPOLITICAL LANDSCAPE — WHAT IS REAL

I. What the Evidence Actually Confirms: The Financial Architecture

Prometheian Action's description of London's commodity pricing role is factually accurate as a structural matter:

Factor	Documented Reality
Brent crude benchmark	Priced through ICE Futures Europe (London) since 1988. Sets reference price for ~2/3 of global oil trading.
London Metal Exchange	Global benchmark for copper, aluminum, zinc, lead, nickel, tin.
London Gold Fix	London Bullion Market Association. Established pricing mechanism for global gold markets.
Lloyd's of London	Global maritime war risk insurance premiums — directly affects shipping cost calculations in conflict zones.
Gold flow to New York	Physical gold moved from London vaults to New York in 2025–2026, confirmed by Bloomberg/FT, driven by tariff arbitrage.
Copper migration	LME publicly acknowledged copper pricing authority migrating toward US COMEX markets.

Sources: Financial Times reporting 2025–2026; Bloomberg; LME public statements; ICE Futures Europe filings

These structural facts are real. Kokinda is accurate on the architecture. The question — addressed in Part Five — is whether Trump's actions constitute a deliberate campaign to dismantle it, or a byproduct of other policy objectives.

II. What the Evidence Shows About Britain in This War

The documented British behavior during the war is substantially different from what 'empire fighting for survival' would predict:

DOCUMENTED BRITISH CONDUCT IN THE WAR — THE ACTUAL RECORD

- Feb. 28, 2026 — PM Starmer REFUSED Trump's request to use Diego Garcia and RAF Fairford for offensive B-2 bomber staging. Cabinet ministers including Rachel Reeves and Ed Miliband opposed at National Security Council.
- March 1, 2026 — Starmer authorized LIMITED US use of UK bases for 'specific and limited defensive purpose' only — targeting Iranian missile sites. NOT offensive.
- UK Foreign Secretary Cooper stated: 'We were not and continue not to be involved in offensive action, and we've taken a different view from the US and Israel on this.'

- RAF Typhoons deployed to intercept Iranian drones and missiles in Qatar, Jordan, Bahrain, Iraq, and Cyprus. Defensive operations only.
- March 20, 2026 — Iran fired two ballistic missiles at Diego Garcia. One intercepted, one failed. Iran struck British-associated territory directly.
- Trump publicly criticized Starmer: 'It's very sad to see that the relationship is obviously not what it was.'
- April 19, 2026 — UK preparing EU 'reset' legislation to deepen EU alignment, in response to US-UK relationship strain over the Iran war.

Sources: Wikipedia — UK involvement in 2026 Iran war; Time Magazine March 4 2026; Al Jazeera; CFR analysis March 2026; Washington Today April 19 2026

Britain **resisted** offensive participation, suffered Iranian retaliation on its joint base, is experiencing a fracture with Washington, and is now pivoting toward Europe. This is a mid-sized power navigating an American war it did not choose — not a hidden empire pulling geopolitical strings.

III. The Bessant Meeting: The More Straightforward Interpretation

The Bessant-Reeves 'economic fury' language directed specifically at Britain (not Japan or Italy) is real and notable. But the more straightforward explanation holds: London has been documented as a significant financial transit point for Iranian sanctions evasion. US Treasury OFAC enforcement records show UK-linked financial entities in Iranian sanctions evasion networks. Bessant directing that language to Reeves reflects **documented financial intelligence about UK-linked Iranian money flows** — not a signal that Britain is Trump's primary strategic target.

Carnegie Endowment analysis (March 2026): 'What's remarkable is not the canard that Netanyahu pushed Trump to attack Iran. Rather, what stands out is the degree to which Trump decided to partner with Israel in this war. This war is different.' The primary driver was the Trump-Netanyahu partnership, not a British-Empire dismantling strategy.

PART THREE: THE BLOOD THE FRAMEWORKS DO NOT COUNT

I. The Iranian People — Murdered by Their Own Regime and by the War

The single greatest failure of the Prometheian Action framework is what it does **not say**. The Iranian people — whose lives are the ground on which every geopolitical chessboard operates — are entirely absent from Kokinda's analysis.

DOCUMENTED CIVILIAN DEATHS — TWO SOURCES OF KILLING

- REGIME MASSACRE (Jan. 8–9, 2026): 12,000–36,500 killed by Iranian security forces. Ordered directly by Khamenei. IRGC used phrase 'al-nasr bil-ru'b' — victory through terror.

Amnesty International confirmed killings in 13 cities, 8 provinces. Children killed. Families charged 'bullet fees' to receive bodies.

- UN Special Rapporteur Mai Sato (Jan. 2026): At least 5,000 killed; medical sources put figure as high as 20,000.
- 2026 WAR STRIKES — HRANA (April 7, 2026): 1,701 confirmed civilian deaths from US-Israeli strikes.
- March 7, 2026 — Iranian Red Crescent: 6,668 civilian units struck, including 5,535 residential units, 14 medical centers, 65 schools.
- Feb. 28, 2026 — Shajareh Tayyebbeh girls' elementary school, Minab, Iran: struck by missile. 156 killed — including 120 schoolchildren. Multiple investigations concluded the US was responsible.
- April 2, 2026 — US struck Karaj bridge during Sizdah Be-dar holiday. 8 killed, 95 wounded. A second strike (double tap) hit after first responders arrived.
- Raha Bohlouli-Pour, 22 years old — shot at Tehran's Fatemi Square, January 8, 2026. Her name in Farsi means 'free.' She was not an organizer. She simply was.

Sources: Iran International Jan. 25, 2026; Amnesty International; Wikipedia 2026 Iran Massacres; HRANA Casualty Reports April 2026; UK Parliament CBP-10462

The covenant standard of **Yahweh** does not ask whether the dead were killed by an empire or an anti-empire. It asks whether innocent blood was shed. **Dam naki** — innocent blood — was shed in massive quantities: by the Iranian regime against its own people, and by the US-Israeli military against Iranian civilians.

II. The Covenant Standard Applied Without Partiality

Party	Covenant Assessment
Iranian Regime / Khamenei	Ordered massacre of 12,000–36,500 protesters (Jan. 2026). 'Al-nasr bil-ru'b' — victory through terror. Dam naki — innocent blood — shed by government against its own people.
Netanyahu / State of Israel	Deliberately funded Hamas through Qatar 2018–2023 despite five internal warnings. Kept Palestinian threat alive to avoid two-state solution. Strikes on Lebanese and Iranian civilians documented.
Trump Administration / US Military	Overrode own intelligence community's March 2025 assessment. Strike killed 120 schoolgirls in Minab. Double-tap strike at Karaj hit first responders. No congressional declaration of war.
British Government	Refused offensive participation. Suffered Iranian missile attack on Diego Garcia. Faces diplomatic and economic costs. Limited enabling role through defensive base authorization.
British Financial Architecture	City of London commodity pricing is real documented structural power. Gold/copper migration real. Whether this constitutes 'empire vs. republic' war — not supported by British conduct evidence.
The Iranian People	The only party with clean hands. Massacred first by their own government, then caught in a foreign war. Entirely absent from the

Party	Covenant Assessment
	Prometheian Action analysis. The covenant witness must name them.

PART FOUR: WHAT IS TRUE, WHAT IS SPIN, WHAT IS MISSING

I. What Prometheian Action Gets Right

- The London commodity pricing architecture (Brent crude, LME, Lloyd's, Gold Fix) is real and documented.
- The gold-to-New-York flow and copper pricing migration are confirmed by Bloomberg and the LME itself.
- The Bessant-Reeves 'economic fury' language directed specifically at Britain is on record.
- Netanyahu's Hamas-Qatar funding strategy is confirmed by five independent Israeli witnesses.
- Trump's prohibition of further Israeli strikes on Lebanon — which shocked Netanyahu — is documented.

II. What Prometheian Action Overstates or Cannot Support

The claim that the Iran strikes were primarily a British-Empire dismantling strategy is interpretive architecture not supported by a single documented statement from any US official.

The claim that Britain is a 'collapsing empire' being systematically dismantled is not consistent with the documented British conduct — refusal of offensive participation, active defensive operations, and a pivot toward Europe.

The LaRouche-tradition framework — that all geopolitical events are best explained through British imperial agency — is pre-formed ideological architecture. It takes real structural observations and places them inside an unfalsifiable template.

III. What Is Missing — What the Covenant Witness Must Supply

Kokinda's framework has no category for the dead. A covenant witness who cannot name the 22-year-old student named Raha, or the 120 children of Minab, has not fulfilled the witness standard — regardless of how accurate the commodity pricing analysis may be.

PART FIVE: COVENANT CRITIQUE OF THE PROMETHEIAN ACTION BROADCAST

*Susan Kokinda — "Iran Wasn't the Target. The British Imperial System Was."
Each claim evaluated under Devarim 19:15 — two or three independent witnesses required*

The following five-claim critique applies the Devarim 19:15 evidentiary standard to each of Kokinda's primary assertions. The standard is simple: does the claim have two or three independent witnesses? If not, it cannot stand as established covenant fact — regardless of how compelling the narrative architecture may be.

Source material evaluated: *Susan Kokinda, Prometheian Action, 'Iran Wasn't the Target. The British Imperial System Was.'* (April 2026). Full transcript reviewed.

CLAIM 1 — 'Iran wasn't the target. The British imperial system was.'

COVENANT VERDICT: *OVERSTATED — Does not meet the Devarim 19:15 evidentiary standard*

What Kokinda says:

Trump's Iran strikes were not primarily about nuclear capability but about dismantling the City of London's global financial architecture. The Strait of Hormuz was London's leverage, and Trump's real target was the system that monetized it.

What is accurate:

It is true that the Iran nuclear deal (JCPOA) had UK, French, and German co-signatories. It is true that London's commodity pricing infrastructure (Brent crude, Lloyd's, LME) benefited from Middle East instability. It is true that Trump publicly used the phrase 'World Extortion' on Truth Social. These are real contextual facts.

What is overstated:

The claim that the British imperial system — not Iranian nuclear capability — was Trump's primary strategic target is an interpretive leap not supported by a single documented statement from Trump, Bessant, Hegseth, or any US official. The publicly stated US military objectives were explicitly and repeatedly: eliminate Iran's nuclear weapons capability and delivery systems. Kokinda's 'real target' claim requires us to believe the US president is hiding his true geopolitical agenda from the public while broadcasting it to London's Chancellor of the Exchequer. This is not documented. It is speculation dressed as geopolitical analysis.

The Devarim 19:15 test:

How many independent witnesses confirm that Britain — not Iran's nuclear program — was Trump's primary target? Answer: zero. Trump, Bessant, Hegseth, and Caine all stated nuclear objectives publicly. No US official has corroborated Kokinda's reframing. The claim fails the evidentiary standard.

What the evidence actually shows:

Britain was implicated in Iranian financial flows (OFAC records), creating real tension with Bessant. But being a secondary complication in a war is not the same as being its primary target.

CLAIM 2 — Bessant's 'economic fury' language delivered only to Britain signals deliberate imperial dismantling.

COVENANT VERDICT: *PARTIALLY ACCURATE — Factual core is real; interpretation is strained*

What Kokinda says:

Secretary Bessant used 'economic fury' language specifically in his meeting with UK Chancellor Rachel Reeves but not with the Japanese or Italian finance ministers he met the same day. This proves Trump was sending a targeted message to London about ending its financial control.

What is accurate:

This claim has the strongest factual foundation in the entire broadcast. The Treasury readout is real. The differentiated language is real. Bessant did not use 'economic fury' framing with Japan or Italy. This is a genuinely notable data point.

What is missing:

The Prometheian Action interpretation — that this signals a coordinated anti-British-Empire campaign — is only one possible explanation. A more straightforward reading is the one supported by OFAC enforcement records: the UK has been a documented conduit for Iranian sanctions evasion for years. Bessant warning Reeves may simply mean: we know money has been moving through London to Iran, and we are putting you on notice. That is a targeted law enforcement message, not an empire-dismantling declaration.

The Devarim 19:15 test:

The factual observation meets the standard. The 'empire dismantling' interpretation does not. Two witnesses for the fact; zero witnesses for the interpretation.

CLAIM 3 — Trump 'disarmed Netanyahu' rather than doing Netanyahu's bidding. Netanyahu needed Iran.

COVENANT VERDICT: *STRONGEST CLAIM — Corroborated on the Netanyahu-Hamas strategy; speculative on Trump's intent*

What Kokinda says:

Netanyahu needed a permanent Iranian threat to justify his emergency government, suppress the Palestinian Authority, bury the two-state solution, and keep himself in power. Therefore Trump — by eliminating Iran's nuclear capability — took Netanyahu's leverage away. This is the opposite of doing Netanyahu's bidding.

What is accurate:

This is the most substantively sound claim in the broadcast, and it is grounded in documented Israeli primary-source testimony. Five independent Israeli witnesses — Olmert, Barak, Argaman, IDF Military Intelligence, and the Shin Bet 2025 investigation — all confirm Netanyahu deliberately funded Hamas through Qatar to suppress the Palestinian Authority and forestall a Palestinian state. The analysis that a permanent Iranian threat served Netanyahu's domestic political survival is not Kokinda's invention — it is a documented argument made by Israeli military and political figures.

What is missing:

Whether Trump consciously designed his Iran policy to strip Netanyahu's leverage — as opposed to simply pursuing US strategic interests that had that effect as a byproduct — is not established by any documentary evidence. Kokinda presents this as deliberate strategy. It may be structural consequence. The Carnegie Endowment (March 2026) noted the more accurate frame: Trump decided to partner with Israel, not that Netanyahu pushed Trump. These are different things.

The Devarim 19:15 test:

The Netanyahu-Hamas-Qatar strategy meets the standard: five witnesses. Trump's conscious intent to counter Netanyahu does not meet the standard: zero witnesses.

CLAIM 4 — London's financial architecture constitutes 'world extortion' being systematically dismantled by Trump.

COVENANT VERDICT: *PARTIALLY ACCURATE* — *Architecture is real; 'world extortion' and 'systematic dismantling' are polemic*

What Kokinda says:

The City of London controls global commodity pricing through Brent crude, the LME, Lloyd's war risk premiums, and the Gold Fix. Every Middle East crisis sent traders to London to manipulate prices. This is managed conflict as a revenue stream — 'world extortion' in Trump's own words. Trump's tariff offensive is systematically dismantling it by moving gold to New York and copper pricing to COMEX.

What is accurate:

The structural description of London's commodity pricing role is factually accurate. Brent crude, LME, Lloyd's, and the Gold Fix are real, real, real, and real. The gold-to-New-York flow is documented by Bloomberg and the Financial Times in 2025–2026. The LME publicly acknowledged copper pricing migration toward US markets. These are not invented claims.

What is overstated:

First: calling this 'world extortion' is Trump's political rhetoric, not an economic analysis. The London commodity pricing architecture was built over more than a century through post-WWII institutional design — it was not constructed as a criminal extraction scheme, even if it has generated significant structural advantage for British financial institutions. Second: the gold and copper migration documented in 2025–2026 is substantially driven by tariff arbitrage — traders moving metals to avoid import costs — not a planned Trump campaign against British financial hegemony. The LME's concession about copper migration reflects market economics responding to US tariff policy, not an empire-toppling strategy.

The Devarim 19:15 test:

The structural facts meet the standard. The 'deliberate empire-dismantling campaign' interpretation does not. Trump's tariff policy has disrupted London's pricing position as a byproduct, not as a primary design.

CLAIM 5 — The Hudson Institute's push for a King Charles visit represents the empire's 'last diplomatic card.'

COVENANT VERDICT: *WEAKEST CLAIM — Low evidentiary weight; high rhetorical effect*

What Kokinda says:

The Hudson Institute, a neoconservative think tank, suggested King Charles visit Trump to rebuild the US-UK personal relationship. This proves the British Empire is desperate and sending its king as its last card because all other leverage has failed.

What is accurate:

Luke Coffee of the Hudson Institute did make this argument publicly. King Charles visiting the US in this period was being discussed. Hudson does have longstanding ties to neoconservative foreign policy and 'special relationship' advocacy. These are real facts.

What is missing:

A think-tank analyst suggesting a royal visit is the definition of ordinary diplomatic commentary. Think tanks make such suggestions continuously. The US-UK relationship has experienced friction — documented. But friction in an alliance is not the same as an empire desperately playing its last card. Royal visits are routine diplomatic instruments. There is no evidence that Hudson Institute's suggestion represents a coordinated British imperial strategy rather than one analyst's op-ed suggestion.

The Devarim 19:15 test:

This claim fails the evidentiary standard entirely. One think-tank commentary piece, unsupported by any corroborating witness, does not establish that the British Empire is making its last stand through a royal visit.

CRITICAL OMISSION — The Iranian people are entirely absent from Kokinda's analysis.

COVENANT VERDICT: *COVENANT FAILURE — A witness who cannot see innocent blood cannot testify to the full truth*

What Kokinda omits:

The entire broadcast — twelve-plus minutes of geopolitical analysis — contains zero mention of: the January 2026 massacres (12,000–36,500 Iranian protesters killed by their own government); the 120 schoolchildren killed in Minab by a US strike on February 28, 2026; the Karaj bridge double-tap that killed civilians and first responders; Raha Bohlouli-Pour and the tens of thousands like her who died on the streets of Tehran. The

Prometheian Action framework processes every death as a data point in a geopolitical chess match between empires.

Why this matters covenantally:

The Tanakh does not grade geopolitical analysis on sophistication. It grades witnesses on whether they see *dam naki* — innocent blood — and name it. Mishlei (Proverbs) 17:15 condemns both justifying the wicked and condemning the just. A framework that sees the Strait of Hormuz as 'London's leverage' while having no category for Raha Bohlouli-Pour's name is a framework that has pre-selected which lives count as evidence.

The LaRouche inheritance:

Prometheian Action operates from the tradition of Lyndon LaRouche's political movement, which has for decades centered the 'British Empire' as the primary explanatory framework for world events. This pre-formed template — unfalsifiable by design — means every geopolitical data point gets routed through the same explanatory machine. Gold moves to New York? Empire collapsing. King visits? Empire desperate. Schoolchildren die in Minab? Not in the template.

The covenant standard:

Per Devarim 1:17, the judge shall hear the small as well as the great. A covenant witness who cannot hear the small — who cannot name the 22-year-old student named Raha, or the 120 children of Minab — has not fulfilled the witness standard, regardless of how accurate their commodity pricing analysis may be.

PART FIVE SUMMARY — VERDICT TABLE

The following table summarizes the covenant verdict on each of Kokinda's five primary claims, plus the critical omission:

Claim	Verdict	Covenant Assessment
Claim 1: Iran strike = British Empire as primary target	<i>OVERSTATED</i>	Real geopolitical context; not established as primary intent. US stated objectives were nuclear, not imperial.
Claim 2: Bessant 'economic fury' only to Britain = imperial signal	<i>PARTIALLY ACCURATE</i>	Factual core is real. More straightforward explanation: UK-linked Iranian sanctions evasion (OFAC record).
Claim 3: Netanyahu 'needed' Iran / Hamas-Qatar funding	<i>STRONGEST CLAIM — CORROBORATED</i>	Five independent Israeli witnesses confirm the strategy. Kokinda's framing of Trump as deliberate counter-agent is not established.
Claim 4: London financial architecture = 'world extortion'	<i>PARTIALLY ACCURATE</i>	Architecture is real and documented. 'World extortion' is polemic. Gold/copper migration real but tariff-driven, not empire-dismantling campaign.

Claim	Verdict	Covenant Assessment
Claim 5: King Charles visit = empire's last card	WEAKEST CLAIM	No evidentiary support beyond think-tank commentary. Royal visits are routine diplomatic instruments.
Missing entirely: The Iranian people / civilian dead	CRITICAL OMISSION	No mention of January 2026 massacres (12,000–36,500 dead). No mention of Minab schoolchildren. No mention of Karaj bridge double-tap. The framework has no category for dam naki.

Conclusion on Prometheian Action: Susan Kokinda and the Prometheian Action network occupy a specific and identifiable position in the American geopolitical commentary landscape. They inherit the LaRouche movement's unfalsifiable 'British Empire' explanatory framework, which they apply with consistency and commitment. Their financial architecture analysis is genuinely accurate at the structural level. Their Netanyahu-Hamas-Qatar evidence is corroborated and important. But their primary thesis — that the Iran war's real target was the British imperial system — does not meet the Devarim 19:15 standard of two or three independent witnesses. And their silence on the dead — on Raha, on the Minab schoolchildren, on the Karaj bridge victims, on the 12,000 to 36,500 massacred in Tehran's streets — is not an oversight. It is the inevitable consequence of a framework that processes people as geopolitical variables rather than as nephesh — living souls made in the image of **Elohim**.

COVENANT VERDICT: THE WORD OF YAHWEH APPLIED

"These six things doth Yahweh hate: yea, seven are an abomination unto him: A proud look, a lying tongue, and hands that shed innocent blood, a heart that deviseth wicked imaginations, feet that be swift in running to mischief, a false witness that speaketh lies, and he that soweth discord among brethren."

— Mishlei (Proverbs) 6:16–19

To Iran's regime: You massacred your own people. Khamenei's order — 'al-nasr bil-ru'b,' victory through terror — is an abomination before Yahweh. The blood of those protesters cries from the ground.

To Netanyahu and the State of Israel: You funded Hamas through Qatar while five of your own intelligence officials warned you. You kept the conflict alive to avoid a Palestinian state. These are not allegations. These are your own officials' testimony.

To the Trump Administration: You struck when your own intelligence community said Iran was not building a nuclear weapon. You killed 120 schoolgirls in Minab. You struck

civilians at Karaj, then struck again when first responders arrived. These are human lives made in the tzelem Elohim.

To Britain: Your commodity pricing architecture has genuinely served as a mechanism of financial leverage over global markets. Your limited enabling role in this war — even for defensive purposes — implicates you. The costs you now face are real.

To Susan Kokinda and Prometheian Action: Your structural observations about London's financial architecture are largely accurate. Your interpretive framework is not supported by documented evidence at the level of its primary claim. And your analysis has no room for the Iranian girl named Raha, or the 120 children of Minab. A geopolitical framework that cannot see innocent blood is not truth. It is a different kind of propaganda.

CLOSING COVENANT STATEMENT

This institution — Miqdash Bethel Covenant Institution — stands under the standard of Devarim 19:15: two or three witnesses per claim, without partiality to any nation, flag, or political alignment. We hold no brief for the Iranian regime, for Netanyahu, for Trump, for Starmer, or for the City of London.

We hold one standard: **The Word of Yahweh alone.** And that Word requires that innocent blood be named, that false witnesses be exposed, and that no empire — British, American, or Israeli — be excused from the covenant verdict. It also requires that no geopolitical analyst — however accurate their commodity pricing data — be excused from naming the dead.

Miqdash Bethel Covenant Institution

Pearl River, Louisiana | miqdashbethel@gmail.com | 985-250-9060 | miqdashbethel.org
Authority: *The Tanakh — The Word of Yahweh Alone* | *Devarim 19:15 — Two or Three Witnesses*
Issued: April 2026 | [CovenantWitness_IranWarRealLandscape_CritiqueEdition_Apr2026.docx](#)