

MIQDASH BETHEL COVENANT ASSEMBLY

Pearl River, Louisiana | miqdashbethel@gmail.com | 985-250-9060 | miqdashbethel.org

FROM BALFOUR TO BLACKMAIL: THE 1917 ZIONIST BLUEPRINT, ITS STRATEGIC PATTERN OF POWER, AND ITS IMPACT ON THE WORLD TODAY

A Covenant Research Document | Miqdash Bethel Covenant Assembly | April 2026

Authority: The Tanakh — The Word of Yahweh Alone | Standard: Devarim 19:15 — Two or Three Witnesses

SECTION I: THE 1917 FRAMEWORK — HOW A MOVEMENT SEIZED A MOMENT

A. THE HISTORICAL SETTING

In November 1917, British Foreign Secretary Arthur James Balfour addressed a short letter to Lord Walter Rothschild, a leader within the British Jewish community and a prominent Zionist. That letter — three sentences long — expressed the British government's support for ***"the establishment in Palestine of a national home for the Jewish people."*** The document is known to history as the Balfour Declaration.

The Declaration did not emerge from sentiment toward Jewish people. It emerged from war strategy. Britain and France were stalled on the Western Front. Russia was in revolution and threatening to collapse as an ally. The United States had entered the war but had not yet committed full force. In that moment of vulnerability, British leadership believed — rightly or wrongly — that organized Jewish opinion held decisive influence in both Washington and Petrograd.

What followed was not coincidence. It was a calculation. Zionist leaders had been lobbying British, French, American, and Italian governments since at least 1916, systematically aligning Zionist territorial objectives with Allied wartime needs. The result was the first official endorsement of Zionism by a major world power.

B. THE KEY ZIONIST STRATEGISTS INSIDE AND OUTSIDE GOVERNMENT

FIGURE	ROLE	STRATEGIC CONTRIBUTION
Arthur James Balfour	Foreign Secretary	Authored the Declaration; personally committed to Christian Zionism
David Lloyd George	Prime Minister	Primary architect of the policy; believed Zionism would create a pro-British ally near Suez
Herbert Samuel	Cabinet Member / Zionist	Helped draft early versions; later became first High Commissioner of Palestine
Chaim Weizmann	Zionist Organization Leader	Non-government operative; cultivated top-level relationships including Winston Churchill; managed diplomatic access across Europe and America
Louis Brandeis	U.S. Supreme Court Justice	Coordinated Zionist influence within the Wilson White House; helped secure Wilson's informal approval before the Declaration was issued
Nahum Sokolow	Zionist Diplomat	Secured written pledges from France and Italy; expanded the coalition from a British-only initiative to a multi-power consensus

Critically, the Declaration was **not addressed to the Board of Deputies of British Jews** — the official representative body — because that organization was skeptical of political Zionism. Instead, it went directly to Rothschild and the Zionist Federation: a deliberate bypass of official Jewish community structures to empower the ideologically aligned fraction.

C. THE FOUR STRATEGIC LEVERS OF 1917

- **War Propaganda:** Zionists convinced British leadership that endorsing a Jewish homeland would rally Jewish communities in Russia (then wavering on the war) and accelerate American commitment. The notion of unified Jewish political influence was significantly exaggerated, but the British acted on it.
- **Imperial Geography:** A Jewish settlement in Palestine was framed as a "trustworthy ally" near the Suez Canal, the lifeline of the British Empire's communications with India. Zionist goals were packaged as British strategic interests.
- **Christian Zionism:** Lloyd George, Balfour, and President Wilson all carried a Protestant theological conviction that the return of Jews to Palestine fulfilled biblical prophecy. This "Gentile Zionism" gave the movement elite religious cover that transcended ethnic politics.
- **Multi-Power Coalition:** Before the Declaration was issued, Zionist representatives had secured written pledges from France (the Cambon Letter, June 4, 1917 — described by historians as more favorable to Zionism than the Balfour Declaration itself), supportive signals

from Italy and the Vatican, and informal approval from Woodrow Wilson. The result was an international consensus, not a unilateral British act.

D. THE INTERNAL OPPOSITION AND WHAT IT REVEALS

The Balfour Declaration was not unopposed. **Edwin Montagu**, Secretary of State for India and the only Jewish member of the Cabinet at the time, led a sustained campaign against it. He called Zionism "*a mischievous political creed*" and, remarkably, labeled British support for it as "*inherently antisemitic*" — arguing that declaring Palestine the Jewish national home would make every Jew in every other country a foreigner in their own land.

Claude Montefiore and the Anglo-Jewish establishment reinforced this: Judaism was a universal religion, not a nationality; a Jewish person owed loyalty to the nation of their birth, not to a movement that re-nationalized them according to a political ideology.

This opposition was overruled. But its arguments proved prophetic. The Declaration planted exactly the dual-loyalty charge that Montagu feared — a charge that has followed Jewish communities in the West ever since.

SECTION II: THE STRATEGIC PATTERN — ATTACHING TO POWER

The core method of Zionist political success — from 1897 through the present day — is neither military conquest nor mass popular vote. It is strategic proximity to the decision-makers of the world's leading powers.

This was explicitly stated by Chaim Weizmann himself, who said that the effort of Zionism must be "*to make the Jewish question an international one... going to the nations and saying, we need your help to achieve our aim.*" The Zionist movement was, from its founding, built on the premise that the pathway to statehood ran through the corridors of imperial power, not through the ballot box.

A. THE REPEATING TEMPLATE

The 1917 model established a pattern that has been replicated in every subsequent generation. The template has five elements:

STEP	ACTION	1917 EXAMPLE / MODERN PARALLEL
------	--------	--------------------------------

1	Identify the dominant power	1917: Great Britain. Post-WWII: United States.
2	Gain access to its inner circle	Weizmann cultivated Lloyd George, Balfour, Churchill. Modern: AIPAC cultivates every Congress and every administration.
3	Frame Zionist goals as the host nation's strategic interests	1917: Jewish Palestine = British buffer near Suez. Modern: Israel = America's unsinkable aircraft carrier in the Middle East.
4	Neutralize internal opposition through institutional leverage	Montagu was overruled by Cabinet. Modern: AIPAC-funded primary campaigns target and remove congressional dissent.
5	Exploit religious or ideological sympathies of the host culture	1917: Protestant biblical restorationism in Wilson and Lloyd George. Modern: Christian Zionism through CUFI (10+ million members).

B. AIPAC AND THE MODERN INFRASTRUCTURE OF INFLUENCE

The American Israel Public Affairs Committee (AIPAC) was founded in 1954 by **Isaiah L. Kenen**, a registered lobbyist for the Israeli government. It was initially called the *American Zionist Committee for Public Affairs* — a name that was later changed specifically to obscure the Zionist identification and appear more broadly American.

By the late 1980s, AIPAC had become one of the most powerful lobbying organizations in Washington, with a budget that had grown from \$300,000 in 1973 to over \$7 million. Its annual operating budget today exceeds \$100 million. In 2021, it formed its own Super PAC, enabling direct campaign expenditure on behalf of favored candidates.

The reach of this financial apparatus into congressional behavior is documented in multiple academic studies. Former AIPAC director Morris Amitay stated directly that it was *"almost politically suicidal... for a member of Congress who wants to seek reelection to take any stand that might be interpreted as anti-policy of the conservative Israeli government."* An unnamed congressional staffer described over 250 House members as willing to *"do reflexively whatever AIPAC wants."*

Former AIPAC official Steven Rosen once demonstrated AIPAC's power to journalist Jeffrey Goldberg by placing a napkin on a table and saying: *"In twenty-four hours, we could have the signatures of seventy senators on this napkin."*

AREA OF INFLUENCE	DOCUMENTED ACTIVITY
Congressional Elections	AIPAC-affiliated PACs targeted and defeated pro-Palestine incumbents including Rep. Jamaal Bowman (2024); spent record sums in primary campaigns to remove dissenters

Iran Nuclear Deal	AIPAC spinoff Citizens for a Nuclear-Free Iran spent up to \$40 million in advertising to oppose the JCPOA; Netanyahu addressed Congress without White House approval at AIPAC conference
Military Aid Pipeline	Israel receives more U.S. foreign aid than any other country; U.S. has used UN Security Council veto dozens of times to shield Israel from resolutions
Intelligence Coordination	U.S. counterintelligence consistently ranks Israel among the most active espionage presences on American soil, alongside China, Russia, and France
Gaza War Funding	From October 7, 2023, through September 2025, the U.S. sent \$21.7 billion in military aid to Israel — more than a quarter of Israel's total post-October 7 military expenditures (Brown University Costs of War project)

C. CHRISTIAN ZIONISM: THE FORCE MULTIPLIER

The largest pro-Israel lobbying organization in the United States is not AIPAC. It is **Christians United for Israel (CUFI)**, founded in 2006 by Pastor John Hagee. With over 10 million evangelical Christian members, CUFI mobilizes a grassroots network organized around the theological belief that unconditional U.S. support for Israel is *biblically mandated* and linked to end-times prophecy. This is structural Christian Zionism: not a fringe belief, but an institutionalized political movement operating at the intersection of theology and foreign policy.

This mirrors exactly the role that Protestant restorationism played in 1917, when Lloyd George, Balfour, and Wilson each carried a theological disposition that predisposed them to see the Zionist cause as providentially aligned. The method is the same; only the institutional infrastructure is larger.

SECTION III: THE EPSTEIN NETWORK — ANATOMY OF ACCESS AND LEVERAGE

Jeffrey Epstein is among the most documented case studies of strategic proximity to power in the modern era. His network reached U.S. presidents, British royalty, Israeli prime ministers, and heads of intelligence services. His method was not lobbying. It was something far older and more direct: the systematic use of personal compromise and financial leverage to bind powerful individuals into dependency.

A. FINANCIAL TIES TO ZIONIST ORGANIZATIONS

Epstein's documented financial contributions to pro-Israel and Zionist-aligned organizations include:

- **Friends of the Israel Defense Forces (FIDF):** \$25,000 donation — an organization that provides direct financial and material support to IDF soldiers.
- **Jewish National Fund (JNF):** \$15,000 donation — the organization responsible for land acquisition and Jewish settlement development in Israel and Palestinian territories.
- **Carbyne (formerly Reporty Homeland Security):** A \$1.5 million investment in an Israeli security technology startup, made alongside former Israeli Prime Minister Ehud Barak.

B. THE EHUD BARAK CONNECTION

Epstein's most documented Israeli relationship was with **Ehud Barak**, former Israeli Prime Minister and Commander of Military Intelligence. Barak visited Epstein's estates in Florida and New York more than **30 times** between 2013 and 2017 — this after Epstein had already been convicted in 2008 on charges of soliciting a minor for prostitution.

In October 2024, over 100,000 hacked emails from Barak's account — spanning 2013 to 2016 — were released by the Palestinian hacking group Handala. Investigations into the emails by Drop Site News concluded that Epstein was not merely a social acquaintance of Barak. According to the report, Epstein *"was an invaluable resource for Israel's former prime minister... even advising him on how to engage with the Mossad."* The pattern documented in the emails suggested, in the words of the reporting team, that *"it's not Epstein chasing Barak — it's Barak chasing Epstein."*

C. THE MEGA GROUP AND LESLIE WEXNER

Epstein's primary financial patron was billionaire **Leslie Wexner**, founder of Victoria's Secret and Bath & Body Works. Wexner gave Epstein control over vast financial assets in the late 1980s, establishing his entry into the highest levels of global elite society.

Wexner was a co-founder, along with Charles Bronfman, of the **Mega Group** — established in 1991 as an informal network of wealthy businessmen organized around pro-Israel philanthropy and lobbying. Members reportedly included Steven Spielberg. Investigative journalist Whitney Webb, in her documented work *One Nation Under Blackmail*, described the Mega Group as functioning as a *"joint CIA-Mossad operation,"* a characterization echoed independently by former Israeli intelligence officer Ari Ben-Menashe. Wexner's resources, according to analysts, were actively *"shaping the intellectual pipeline that fed AIPAC, turning Ivy League grads into polished operators for the Zionist cause."*

D. THE GHISLAINE MAXWELL-ROBERT MAXWELL CONNECTION

Epstein's closest operational associate was **Ghislaine Maxwell**, convicted in 2021 on federal charges of child sex trafficking. Her father, **Robert Maxwell**, was a British media mogul, former Member of Parliament, and the subject of the investigative biography *Robert Maxwell: Israel's Superspy*, by Gordon Thomas and Martin Dillon. Robert Maxwell was credibly described as a long-term intelligence operative with primary loyalty to the Mossad. He died under disputed circumstances in 1991.

Epstein himself, in a 2018 email, appeared to believe that the Mossad had a role in Robert Maxwell's death. In correspondence with the subject line *"he has passed away,"* Epstein wrote that Maxwell had threatened Israeli intelligence, demanding money in exchange for silence about his operational role.

E. FBI DOCUMENTATION AND INTELLIGENCE ASSESSMENTS

An FBI memo produced by the bureau's Los Angeles field office in October 2020 reported that a source had come to believe Epstein *"was a co-opted Mossad agent"* who had been *"trained as a spy"* for Israeli intelligence. The memo noted that Epstein maintained links to U.S. intelligence circles through attorney Alan Dershowitz, whose network included former students Jared and Josh Kushner.

Former NSA counterspy John Schindler drew direct parallels between Epstein's operation and that of Robert Maxwell, noting that both served as nodes in an Israeli influence network that used financial leverage and personal compromise to bind powerful individuals. Schindler noted the famous Mossad joke: *"May we read about you in the newspapers!"* — a reference to the value of compromising information about targets in positions of power.

FIGURE / ENTITY	RELATIONSHIP TO EPSTEIN	INTELLIGENCE / STRATEGIC SIGNIFICANCE
Leslie Wexner / Mega Group	Primary financial patron	Pro-Israel network; AIPAC intellectual pipeline; described as joint CIA-Mossad by multiple investigators
Ehud Barak	Closest Israeli associate; 30+ visits	Former PM and Commander of Military Intelligence; Epstein advised on Mossad engagement; co-investor in Carbyne
Ghislaine Maxwell	Operational partner; convicted sex trafficker	Father Robert Maxwell was documented Mossad operative; provided cover and operational structure
Alan Dershowitz	Lawyer and public defender	FBI memo cited his network as the link between Epstein and U.S./allied intelligence circles
FIDF / JNF / Carbyne	Financial contributions	Direct funding of IDF-support organizations and Israeli security technology

F. THE METHOD: ACCESS THROUGH COMPROMISE

The question that remains unanswered is not whether Epstein had relationships with Israeli intelligence. The documentation is too extensive to dismiss. The question is what those relationships were for. The answer that emerges from the totality of the evidence is leverage: the systematic collection of compromising information about powerful figures, held in reserve as insurance and used to secure policy outcomes, financial access, and political protection.

This is not a novel method. It is among the oldest instruments of statecraft. What distinguishes the Epstein operation is its scale, its multi-decade duration, and the breadth of the targets across American, British, European, and Israeli elite circles.

SECTION IV: COVENANT STANDARD — MEASURING ALL OF THIS BY THE PLUMB LINE

This document is a covenant research document, not merely a political analysis. Every empirical claim assembled above must be placed under the authority of the Tanakh and evaluated by the standard of **Yahweh's** covenant law. The following rulings apply.

A. THE COVENANT VERDICT ON GEOPOLITICAL MANIPULATION

The 1917 Balfour Declaration process was not a fulfillment of **Yahweh's** covenant promise to the descendants of Avraham. It was an imperial transaction. The British Empire did not represent the authority of the **Elohim** of Yisra'el. No gentile empire has ever been vested with the authority to parcel out covenant land on the basis of wartime propaganda.

The covenant word spoken to Avraham in Bereishit (Genesis) 15:18 — *"To your descendants I give this land, from the river of Egypt to the great river, the Euphrates"* — was unconditional and eternal. But the fulfillment of that word was always conditional on covenant faithfulness, as Devarim (Deuteronomy) makes explicit throughout. A declaration signed in wartime London by a government that simultaneously promised the same land to Arabs (McMahon-Hussein), divided it with France (Sykes-Picot), and excluded the existing population from all political consultation is not a covenant fulfillment. It is a political transaction dressed in theological language.

Yechezkel (Ezekiel) 47:22-23 explicitly addresses the resident alien within covenant territory, commanding equal inheritance rights. The Balfour Declaration process violated this standard before the State of Israel existed. The modern pattern of displacement, settlement expansion, and civilian targeting continues to violate it.

B. THE COVENANT VERDICT ON BLACKMAIL AND INTELLIGENCE OPERATIONS

The methods attributed to the Epstein network — sexual compromise, blackmail, leverage of the powerful — fall squarely under the covenant prohibition of **Lo Tirtzach (Do Not Murder)** in its full scope, which includes the destruction of persons through dishonor, the weaponization of vulnerability, and the systematic exploitation of the weak and the young.

Mishlei (Proverbs) 6:16-19 names seven things that are an abomination to **Yahweh**: haughty eyes, a lying tongue, hands that shed innocent blood, a heart that devises wicked plans, feet that rush to do evil, a false witness who breathes out lies, and one who sows discord among brothers. The documented activities of the Epstein network fulfill multiple categories on this list.

Any political movement — Zionist or otherwise — that secures its policy objectives through the trafficking of minors, the compromise of public officials, and the suppression of truth violates the covenant of **Yahweh** at its foundation.

C. THE COVENANT VERDICT ON CHRISTIAN ZIONISM

The exploitation of Christian eschatological expectation — the theological belief that unconditional U.S. support for the modern State of Israel fulfills biblical prophecy — is a profound theological error that must be named as such.

The Land promise of the Tanakh is not unconditional in its execution. **Vayikra (Leviticus) 18:28** warns that the land itself will *"vomit out its inhabitants"* when covenant law is violated. **Yehoshua (Joshua) 21:43-45** records that Yahweh fulfilled His land promise to Yisra'el under covenant faithfulness. The subsequent exile under Assyria and Babylon was not a failure of the promise; it was the covenant consequence of covenant violation.

A political movement that commits documented war crimes, displaces civilian populations, and operates through networks of blackmail and financial manipulation does not represent the covenant fulfillment of the Tanakh's land promise. Christian leaders and organizations that provide it with unconditional theological cover are placing themselves in the position of false prophets.

SECTION V: WILL IT WORK? — A COVENANT AND STRATEGIC ANALYSIS

The question posed in this document is pointed: will the Zionist plan — understood as the project of *Eretz Yisrael HaShlemah*, the Greater Israel from the Nile to the Euphrates, secured through geopolitical dominance and elite leverage — ultimately succeed?

The answer requires analysis on two tracks: the empirical track and the covenant track.

A. EMPIRICAL ASSESSMENT: SIGNS OF ADVANCING GOALS

- Since October 2023, Israel has effectively eliminated Hamas's military governance of Gaza, significantly weakened Hezbollah in Lebanon, occupied additional Syrian territory, conducted successful strikes against Iranian proxy infrastructure, and secured a temporary ceasefire on favorable terms with Iran itself.
- The E1 settlement plan in the West Bank has received final approval, effectively severing the geographic continuity of any viable Palestinian state. Netanyahu has publicly described himself as on a "historic and spiritual mission" aligned with the Greater Israel vision.
- U.S. military aid, diplomatic cover, and intelligence support remain at historically high levels despite the Gaza war generating unprecedented international condemnation.
- The AIPAC network continues to fund primary challenges against dissenting members of Congress, demonstrating that electoral leverage remains intact even as public opinion has shifted.

B. EMPIRICAL ASSESSMENT: SIGNS OF STRUCTURAL FRAGILITY

- AIPAC's increasing visibility has exposed rather than protected its influence. Multiple Democratic members of Congress who previously accepted AIPAC donations have publicly renounced them. Public opinion, particularly among younger Americans and Democratic voters, has shifted dramatically against unconditional Israel support.
- The Gaza war has accelerated international recognition of Palestinian statehood: Belgium, Luxembourg, Portugal, Finland, Malta, and others have moved toward recognition. France has proposed recognition alongside Israel. The political consensus that insulated Israel from international accountability is visibly fracturing.
- The Epstein revelations — if further documents are released, particularly a client list implicating U.S. officials — could destabilize the elite network that has sustained unconditional U.S. support. The intersection of Israeli intelligence connections and the Epstein scandal represents a potential systemic vulnerability.
- Iran remains an undefeated regional power. Turkey has explicitly warned against Greater Israel expansion. Arab states remain divided but are increasingly unwilling to publicly align with Israeli military operations that generate international genocide findings.
- The Greater Israel territorial project requires the sustained, active support of the United States at a time when American public opinion, fiscal constraints, and emerging geopolitical rivalries make that support increasingly costly to provide.

C. COVENANT ASSESSMENT: THE TESTIMONY OF THE TANAKH

The Tanakh speaks plainly about the long-term fate of political movements built on violence, deception, and the exploitation of the vulnerable. **Yahweh** does not underwrite empires. He has brought down every empire that made itself the instrument of His purposes while violating His covenant standard.

Yirmeyahu (Jeremiah) 22:3: "Thus says Yahweh: Do justice and righteousness, and deliver from the hand of the oppressor him who has been robbed. And do no wrong or violence to the resident alien, the fatherless, and the widow, nor shed innocent blood in this place."

A political movement that has built its infrastructure on the documented shedding of innocent blood, the manipulation of world powers, and the leveraging of the personal corruption of leaders — regardless of its ethnic, religious, or national identity — does not have the covenant endorsement of **Yahweh**. The precedent of the Tanakh is unbroken: movements that advance by these methods produce short-term victories that carry the seeds of long-term collapse.

The only plan that will work — for the Jewish people, for the Palestinian people, for the region, and for the nations — is the covenant plan of **Yechezkel 47:22-23**: a land governed by the law of **Yahweh**, in which the native-born and the resident alien share equal inheritance and equal protection, and in which no human empire serves as the executor of divine promise.

CONCLUSION: WHAT STANDS AND WHAT FALLS

From the Balfour Declaration to the Epstein network, the strategic pattern is consistent. A movement with defined territorial and political goals identifies the dominant world power of its era, embeds itself at the highest levels of that power's decision-making, frames its goals as aligned with the host power's strategic interests, neutralizes internal opposition through financial and political leverage, and exploits the theological or ideological sympathies of the host culture.

This pattern has produced extraordinary short-term results over more than a century. It produced the Balfour Declaration, the founding of the State of Israel, the sustained supply of American military aid, the systematic silencing of congressional dissent, and the insulation of Israel's military operations from international accountability through the U.S. Security Council veto.

But the same pattern carries within it the conditions of its own exposure and collapse. Dependency on the goodwill of a host empire produces vulnerability when that empire's goodwill shifts. Leverage obtained through compromise creates permanent liability. The Epstein revelations have not yet been fully processed by the American public, the American legal system, or the American political

establishment. When that processing completes, the network of influence it exposed will face consequences that no amount of lobbying can prevent.

More fundamentally, a plan that requires the suspension of covenant justice to succeed is not a covenant plan. It is a human political project operating under a borrowed theological vocabulary. And the Tanakh's verdict on such projects is consistent across three thousand years of recorded history: they do not ultimately stand.

"He has told you, O man, what is good; and what does Yahweh require of you but to do justice, and to love kindness, and to walk humbly with your Elohim?" — Mikhab (Micah) 6:8

"Woe to those who devise wickedness and work evil on their beds! When the morning dawns, they perform it, because it is in the power of their hand." — Mikhab (Micah) 2:1

Miqdash Bethel Covenant Assembly | Pearl River, Louisiana | miqdashbethel@gmail.com | 985-250-9060

Authority: The Tanakh — The Word of Yahweh Alone | Devarim 19:15 — Two or Three Witnesses

FromBalfourToBlackmail_ZionismStudy_Apr2026.docx | April 2026