

MIQDASH BETHEL COVENANT INSTITUTION

Texas/Louisiana | miqdashbethel@gmail.com

985-250-9060 | 402-218-9530 | miqdashbethel.org

*Authority: The Tanakh — The Word of **Yahweh** Alone*

WHEN NATIONS BOW TO NATIONS

A COVENANT WITNESS ON THE QUESTION OF FOREIGN POLITICAL INFLUENCE OVER THE OFFICES OF THE AMERICAN PRESIDENCY AND CONGRESS

Zionist Lobbying, Israeli Intelligence Operations, and the Law of the King

May 2026 | Miqdash Bethel Covenant Institution

Evidentiary Standard: **Devarim (Deuteronomy) 19:15** — Two or Three Witnesses

This document is a formal covenant witness produced by Miqdash Bethel Covenant Institution under the authority of the Tanakh alone. It examines, through the evidentiary lens of **Devarim (Deuteronomy) 19:15**, a question that has moved from the margins of American political discourse into its center: *Has the office of the American Presidency and the Congress of the United States been systematically compromised by Zionist political influence — financial, intelligence, and ideological — to the detriment of the American people and in violation of every principle the Tanakh establishes for righteous governance?*

This witness does not come from a political party, a nationalist movement, or an antisemitic ideology. It comes from **Yahweh's** own Law — the Torah — which established the standard for righteous governance of any nation long before the modern state of Israel was declared, long before the United

States was founded, and long before AIPAC wrote its first check. The plumb line is not partisan opinion. The plumb line is **Devarim (Deuteronomy) 17:14-20**, and it will be applied here with precision.

This document is directed simultaneously to Judaism, Christianity, and Islam — three covenant traditions that each possess within their own sources the standard by which this matter must be measured. **Yahweh's** Torah supersedes all commentary. The Talmud, the Church councils, and the hadith serve as historical reference only. The Torah alone is the court.

PART I

THE COVENANT FRAMEWORK: DEVARIM 17 AND THE LAW OF THE KING

Yahweh anticipated this moment. Before Israel ever set foot in the land, before any king ever sat on any throne, **Yahweh** established the precise conditions under which a nation's leadership would become compromised. The passage is **Devarim (Deuteronomy) 17:14-20** — the Law of the King. It contains four specific prohibitions that function as a diagnostic framework for evaluating political corruption by foreign entanglement.

"He shall not multiply horses for himself, nor cause the people to return to Egypt in order to multiply horses... And he shall not multiply wives for himself, lest his heart turn away; nor shall he greatly multiply silver and gold for himself." — Devarim (Deuteronomy) 17:16-17

THE FOUR PROHIBITIONS — APPLIED TO MODERN GOVERNANCE

- **Prohibition 1 — Not to Multiply Horses (Military Alliance Dependency):** In the ancient Near East, Egypt was the preeminent supplier of war horses — military hardware. A king who depended on Egypt's horses for national security had surrendered strategic independence. The modern equivalent is a government whose military posture, arms transfers, and combat doctrines are shaped by — or withheld from — a foreign government's strategic preferences. The documented record shows that U.S. military policy toward the Middle East has been consistently shaped by Israeli strategic interests, with consequential decisions made in Washington that serve Tel Aviv's security calculations before American ones.
- **Prohibition 2 — Not to Return the People to Egypt (Foreign Dependency):** The prohibition against returning to Egypt is a prohibition against national dependence on a foreign power — economic, financial, or political. When a government's officials cannot criticize a foreign government without risking their careers, when campaign finance creates structural dependence on foreign-aligned donors, when a foreign intelligence service can survey the sitting president's communications without consequence — the nation has returned to Egypt. The people are dependent on a foreign master.
- **Prohibition 3 — Not to Multiply Wives (Political Entanglement for Alliance):** Shlomo's multiplication of wives was not primarily about lust — it was a political instrument. Foreign wives meant foreign alliances, and foreign alliances meant foreign gods entered the covenant community. The modern political equivalent is the systematic placement of foreign-aligned officials — through appointment, lobbying pressure, and donor leverage — into positions of governmental authority. When a foreign lobby group can effectively direct personnel appointments to the Secretary of State's office, the king has multiplied wives.

THE SOLOMON RECORD — WHAT THE TEXT ACTUALLY SHOWS

The account of **Shlomo (Solomon)** and his foreign wives is the most detailed case study in the Tanakh of precisely how political entanglement through alliance-marriage produces covenant destruction.

Melachim Aleph (1 Kings) 11:1-13 is not a story about sexual excess. It is a story about a king whose foreign policy apparatus — built through treaty marriages — systematically imported the gods of every nation he allied with into the covenant community of **Yahweh**.

"Now King Solomon loved many foreign women, along with the daughter of Pharaoh: Moabite, Ammonite, Edomite, Sidonian, and Hittite women, from the nations concerning which Yahweh had said to the people of Israel, 'You shall not enter into marriage with them, neither shall they with you, for surely they will turn away your heart after their gods.' Solomon clung to these in love. He had 700 wives, who were princesses, and 300 concubines. And his wives turned away his heart." —

Melachim Aleph (1 Kings) 11:1-4

The prohibition in **Devarim (Deuteronomy) 7:3-4** was not racial. It was covenantal. Each foreign wife represented a treaty with a foreign power — a Moabite wife secured the Moabite alliance; a Sidonian wife secured the Phoenician alliance; an Ammonite wife secured the Ammonite alliance. These were not personal relationships first. They were political instruments. The spiritual corruption — the altar to Ashtoreth, the high place for Chemosh, the shrine for Milcom — followed the political entanglement as inevitably as the prophet said it would. **Shlomo did not first become an idolater and then a political compromiser. He first became a political compromiser, and idolatry was the result.**

Yahweh's verdict in **Melachim Aleph (1 Kings) 11:11** is stated without softening: *"Since this has been your practice and you have not kept My covenant and My statutes that I have commanded you, I will surely tear the kingdom from you."* The kingdom was torn. The political entanglement destroyed the covenant community's unity permanently. The ten northern tribes never returned to the Davidic throne.

THE MODERN APPLICATION — CONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATIONS THROUGH FOREIGN POLITICAL ALLIANCE

The United States Constitution contains explicit structural prohibitions against the kind of foreign political entanglement Shlomo's marriages embodied. These are not suggestions. They are the American governing covenant's equivalent of **Devarim 17's** prohibition against multiplying wives — barriers against the penetration of foreign interests into the governing structure. They are being systematically violated.

The Foreign Emoluments Clause — Article I, Section 9, Clause 8:

"No person holding any Office of Profit or Trust under them, shall, without the Consent of Congress, accept of any present, Emolument, Office, or Title, of any kind whatever, from any King, Prince, or foreign State." — U.S. Constitution, Article I, Section 9, Clause 8

This clause is the constitutional equivalent of **Devarim 17:17**. It prohibits exactly what Shlomo's foreign marriages accomplished — the acquisition of personal benefit from a foreign sovereign in exchange for political loyalty. When a former senior White House official departs government and within months receives a \$2 billion investment from a foreign sovereign wealth fund — as Jared Kushner received from Saudi Arabia's Public Investment Fund in 2022 — the Emoluments Clause framework asks the same question the prophet asked: *"Can he break the covenant and yet escape?"* (**Yechezkel/Ezekiel 17:15**). The constitutional covenant and the Torah covenant ask the same question with the same answer embedded in it.

The Foreign Agents Registration Act (FARA):

FARA requires any person acting as an agent of a foreign principal — advocating, lobbying, or distributing information on behalf of a foreign government or political party — to register publicly with the Department of Justice. It is the constitutional mechanism designed to make foreign political entanglement visible and accountable. Its systematic non-enforcement against pro-Israel lobbying

organizations — despite documented historical findings that AIPAC's predecessor was required to register as a foreign agent — represents a structural failure of exactly the transparency the constitutional covenant requires.

The Logan Act:

The Logan Act prohibits unauthorized private citizens from negotiating with foreign governments on matters involving disputes with the United States. It is designed precisely to prevent the kind of back-channel foreign alliance-building that Shlomo's foreign wives represented — private relationships that produce public policy outcomes outside the constitutional framework.

The Constitutional Oath — The Plumb Line:

Every member of Congress, every President, every Cabinet official swears an oath to *"support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic."* The oath does not permit a carve-out for financial supporters, for allied foreign governments, or for lobbying organizations whose candidate success rate exceeds 95%. **The oath is a covenant.** When a legislator cannot vote honestly on military aid policy because a foreign-aligned PAC controls the funding of their next primary race, that oath has been broken — not ceremonially, but structurally. The marriage to the foreign interest has turned the heart, exactly as **Melachim Aleph (1 Kings) 11:4** said it would.

The Personnel Placement Pattern — The Modern Marriage Alliance:

Shlomo's treaty marriages did not merely bring foreign women into Jerusalem. They brought foreign religious and political systems — priests, shrine builders, advisers — into the covenant community. The modern equivalent is the systematic placement of foreign-aligned personnel into positions of governmental authority through the pressure of major donors and lobbying organizations. When a \$106 million donor to a presidential campaign reportedly pushes for a specific appointment to the office of Secretary of State — and that official then provides the lobbying organization access to internal national security discussions — this is not coincidence. It is the treaty-marriage dynamic operating through the modern political system. The shrine has been built. The foreign god has been given a seat at the table.

The prophet's word stands over every administration that has permitted this structure: **Hoshea (Hosea) 7:8** — *"Ephraim mixes himself among the peoples; Ephraim is a cake not turned."* A leadership burned on one side by foreign money and raw on the other when facing its own people. The covenant community deserves to know which side it is looking at.

- **Prohibition 4 — Not to Multiply Silver and Gold (Financial Dependency):** The Torah does not prohibit national prosperity. It prohibits the multiplication of personal financial dependency — the kind that creates obligation and shapes policy. When \$106 million flows from a single pro-Israel donor to a presidential campaign; when a lobbying group spends over \$100 million in a single election cycle to elect and defeat specific legislators; when foreign-directed contracts fund AI messaging operations designed to shape American public opinion — the silver and gold of the foreign interest has multiplied into the governance structure of the nation.

THE TORAH'S DIAGNOSIS EXTENDED — WHEN THE GOLD MULTIPLIES INSIDE THE SYSTEM

Devarim (Deuteronomy) 17:17 prohibits the king from greatly multiplying silver and gold for himself — not because wealth is evil, but because personal financial accumulation through the power of office creates the precise dependency structure that corrupts governance. A king whose personal wealth is entangled with the foreign alliances he is supposed to evaluate neutrally cannot govern justly. His judgment on the alliance is inseparable from his judgment on his portfolio. This is not an ancient problem. It is the documented operating condition of the American Congress and multiple executive administrations.

The STOCK Act (2012) — The Law That Confesses the Problem:

In 2012, Congress passed the Stop Trading on Congressional Knowledge Act — a law whose very title constitutes a confession. Members of Congress had been trading financial markets using non-public information obtained through their legislative roles, their committee assignments, and their advance knowledge of government policy decisions. This is insider trading. In any private citizen, it is a federal crime. For members of Congress, prior to 2012, it was operating practice. The STOCK Act made it nominally illegal. Its enforcement mechanism — a **\$200 fine** for late disclosure — rendered it functionally toothless.

The Documented Pattern — Trading on Legislative Foreknowledge:

Studies examining congressional trading records against market benchmarks have consistently found that members of Congress — particularly those sitting on committees with relevant oversight authority — significantly outperform the market. This is not talent. This is access.

- **Intelligence and Armed Services Committees:** Members receive classified briefings on defense posture, military operations, and national security threats before that information becomes public. Defense contractor stocks move on that information. Committee members holding those stocks benefit from their own classified briefings in ways no ordinary investor can.
- **The Burr and Loeffler Trades (February 2020):** Before public COVID-19 health warnings were issued, Senators Richard Burr and Kelly Loeffler — both of whom had received classified pandemic briefings — sold significant stock holdings while publicly reassuring constituents. Senator Burr sold between \$628,000 and \$1.7 million in stocks in the days following a private Senate Intelligence Committee briefing. The foreknowledge was institutional. The benefit was personal. The loss was borne by the public trading blind.
- **Health and Pharmaceutical Committees:** Members sitting on committees with oversight of pharmaceutical regulation and health legislation hold positions in drug companies whose

valuations are directly affected by committee decisions on drug approval, pricing policy, and regulatory frameworks. The pattern mirrors the intelligence committee problem precisely.

- **Banking and Financial Committees:** Members with oversight of financial regulation and banking law hold positions in financial institutions whose valuations are directly affected by those regulatory decisions. Advance knowledge of regulatory relief, stress test parameters, and interest rate policy creates the same insider advantage.

The Pelosi Pattern — The Most Publicly Documented Case:

Paul Pelosi, husband of former House Speaker Nancy Pelosi — whose committee jurisdiction encompassed major technology legislation — generated documented returns that significantly outperformed market benchmarks during periods of active technology policy decisions. Options trades in major technology companies were purchased and exercised around legislative decisions affecting those companies. When publicly questioned, the Speaker invoked free market principles. The Torah applies a different standard: **Devarim (Deuteronomy) 16:19** — *"You shall not pervert justice. You shall not show partiality, and you shall not accept a bribe, for a bribe blinds the eyes of the wise and subverts the cause of the righteous."* A financial position in a regulated industry is a standing conflict of interest — a bribe paid to oneself before the vote is cast. It blinds the holder every time a related decision is called.

The Foreign-Aligned Financial Loop:

The connection to this document's primary subject is direct and documented. Members of Congress who receive substantial funding from AIPAC-aligned donor networks vote on annual military aid packages to Israel that run in the billions of dollars. That military aid funds Israeli defense contractors — several of which hold dual U.S.-Israeli stock listings and have U.S.-traded subsidiaries. A legislator who receives pro-Israel campaign funding, votes for the aid package, and holds positions in defense contractors whose revenues are boosted by that aid has created a closed financial loop: *foreign political alliance money → legislative vote → defense contract revenue → stock appreciation → personal wealth multiplication.* This is not a theoretical construct. It is the logical extension of

the documented financial relationships into the market structures where American legislators are known to operate.

Devarim (Deuteronomy) 17:17 anticipated exactly this condition: *"Nor shall he greatly multiply silver and gold for himself."* The phrase *"for himself"* is the diagnostic marker. The multiplication of gold is forbidden not when it flows into the public treasury for the public's benefit, but when it flows into the personal holdings of the official whose governance decisions produce it. When the king's portfolio benefits from the king's policy, the king's policy is no longer the nation's policy. It is the king's investment strategy dressed in the language of governance.

Yeshayahu (Isaiah) 1:23 names what the prophets called this condition without diplomatic softening: *"Your princes are rebels and companions of thieves. Everyone loves a bribe and runs after gifts. They do not bring justice to the fatherless, and the widow's cause does not come to them."* The widows and the fatherless in this document's context are the American taxpayers who fund a government that trades against their interests from inside the legislative chamber — and the victims of conflicts sustained by policy decisions made by officials whose portfolios depend on those conflicts continuing.

Devarim (Deuteronomy) 17:19-20 commands that the king read the Torah all the days of his life, *"that his heart may not be lifted up above his brothers, and that he may not turn aside from the commandment, to the right or to the left, so that he may continue long in his kingdom."* The king who reads the Torah governs by **Yahweh's** standard. The king who does not — who governs instead by the financial and political calculus of foreign interests — has already left the covenant, whatever confession he makes on Sunday or Saturday or Friday.

PART II

WHAT THE PROPHETS CALLED IT: POLITICAL IDOLATRY

The prophets of **Yahweh** did not limit their witness to individual sin. They spoke directly and repeatedly against the political idolatry of seeking salvation from foreign powers — placing the nation's trust in human military and financial alliances rather than in **Yahweh**'s covenant. These texts are not historical relics. They are living covenant testimony.

YESHAYAHU (ISAIAH) 31:1-3 — WOE TO THOSE WHO GO DOWN TO EGYPT

"Woe to those who go down to Egypt for help and rely on horses, who trust in chariots because they are many and in horsemen because they are very strong, but do not look to the Holy One of Israel or consult Yahweh!" — Yeshayahu (Isaiah) 31:1

The prophet's word is not addressed to pagans. It is addressed to **Yahweh**'s own covenant community — people who call on His name but whose practical governance depends on the military and financial machinery of a foreign power. "Egypt" is not a geographical location in this text. It is the name of any system of foreign dependency that substitutes for covenant faithfulness. The question the prophet puts before the nation is simple: *Who do you actually trust?* The answer is revealed not in public declarations but in where the money flows and whose favor you cannot afford to lose.

HOSHEA (HOSEA) 7:8-11 — EPHRAIM MIXES AMONG THE NATIONS

"Ephraim mixes himself among the peoples; Ephraim is a cake not turned... Ephraim is like a silly dove, without sense — they call to Egypt, they go to Assyria." — Hoshea (Hosea) 7:8, 11

The image is devastating in its precision. A "cake not turned" is bread burned on one side and raw on the other — a leadership that presents one face to the people and another face to its foreign patrons. Ephraim is "mixed among the peoples" — the covenant boundary has dissolved. Foreign interests,

foreign money, and foreign intelligence operations are not external threats being resisted; they have been absorbed into the governing structure itself. The leadership calls to Egypt (financial and military dependency) and goes to Assyria (political cover from another power center) — and calls this diplomacy.

YIRMEYAHU (JEREMIAH) 17:5 — THE CURSE OF TRUSTING IN MAN

"Cursed is the man who trusts in man and makes flesh his strength, whose heart turns away from Yahweh." — Yirmeyahu (Jeremiah) 17:5

The Hebrew word translated "trusts" is *batach* (Strong's H0982), which in its covenant context means *to be so secure in something that you are willing to be carelessly dependent upon it*. This is precisely the structure of political compromise: not a single act of treason but a settled dependence — a condition in which the official, the legislative body, the executive office, cannot afford to act against a foreign interest because their political survival is entangled with it. The prophet names this condition a *curse* — not a mistake, not a compromise, but a covenant rupture.

YECHEZKEL (EZEKIEL) 17:15 — BREAKING COVENANT FOR EGYPT'S HORSES

"But he rebelled against him by sending his ambassadors to Egypt, that they might give him horses and a large army. Will he thrive? Can one escape who does such things? Can he break the covenant and yet escape?" — Yechezkel (Ezekiel) 17:15

The prophet's rhetorical questions carry the full weight of covenant law: *Can one break the covenant and escape?* The answer embedded in the question is no. When a governing authority substitutes foreign military hardware, foreign financial leverage, and foreign intelligence cooperation for covenant fidelity, it has broken the covenant — regardless of what the official religious language of the nation claims. The breach is not in the words spoken at inaugurations. It is in the structure of dependency that makes honest governance impossible.

PART III

THE EVIDENTIARY RECORD: TIER ONE WITNESSES

*Confirmed, legally established, or officially verified facts — meeting the Devarim 19:15
two-or-three-witnesses standard*

A. DOCUMENTED ISRAELI INTELLIGENCE OPERATIONS AGAINST THE UNITED STATES

The following cases are not allegations. They are legally established facts — convictions, guilty pleas, and intelligence agency findings. They constitute multiple independent witnesses to a documented pattern of Israeli intelligence penetration of the American governmental structure.

CASE	ACTOR	ESTABLISHED FINDING
Jonathan Pollard (1985)	U.S. Navy intelligence analyst	Convicted — delivered 800+ classified documents to Israel, including NSA manuals and U.S. intelligence asset identities. Described as the most damaging confirmed case of Israeli espionage against the United States.
Ben-Ami Kadish (2008)	Former U.S. Army engineer	Pleaded guilty to acting as unregistered Israeli agent — passed classified documents on nuclear weapons and fighter jets to the same Israeli handler who ran Jonathan Pollard.
Lawrence Franklin / AIPAC Scandal (2004-2005)	Pentagon analyst; two AIPAC staffers	Franklin pleaded guilty to passing classified U.S. policy documents on Iran to AIPAC staffers, who allegedly relayed them to Israeli diplomats. Establishes AIPAC as a conduit for classified intelligence transfer.

White House StingRays (2019)	U.S. counterintelligence finding	U.S. intelligence concluded Israel was likely responsible for placing cell-phone surveillance devices (IMSI catchers) near the White House and other sensitive Washington locations — targeting the communications of the sitting President and senior aides.
-------------------------------------	----------------------------------	---

B. AIPAC ELECTORAL POWER — DOCUMENTED FINANCIAL RECORD

In the 2024 election cycle, **AIPAC** shifted from traditional lobbying to a dominant electoral force. The following figures are drawn from Federal Election Commission records, FARA filings, and investigative reporting corroborated by multiple independent sources.

- **\$100 million+** spent in the 2024 election cycle — making AIPAC the single largest PAC in the country by 2025-2026.
- **95%+ success rate** for AIPAC-backed candidates by 2026 — creating measurable legislative deterrence: lawmakers who cannot afford to criticize Israel for fear of triggering a multimillion-dollar primary challenge.
- **Primary targeted spending:** AIPAC used its super PAC — the United Democracy Project (UDP) — to fund primary challenges against candidates critical of Israel, most notably defeating incumbent Democrats Jamaal Bowman and Cori Bush.
- **\$5 million through shell PACs** — A March 2026 investigation found that AIPAC funneled funds through shell PACs to obscure its involvement in high-tension primaries.
- **FARA exemption:** In the 1960s the U.S. government forced AIPAC's predecessor to register as a foreign agent. A subsequent rebranding as a domestic lobbying group removed that requirement. As of 2026, activists continue to pressure the DOJ to reinstate FARA registration.

C. ISRAELI GOVERNMENT DIRECT CONTRACTS WITH U.S. POLITICAL OPERATIVES

The following is drawn from FARA filings submitted to the U.S. Department of Justice in early 2026. These are **legally registered disclosures**, not allegations.

The Brad Parscale Contract (\$9 million): The Israeli Ministry of Foreign Affairs expanded its contract with Clock Tower X LLC — a firm led by former Trump campaign manager Brad Parscale — with the following documented objectives:

- Target Generation Z through TikTok, Instagram, and YouTube
- Release 100+ advertisements per month generating 50 million impressions
- **Specifically influence the output of Artificial Intelligence models** and distribute pro-Israel content through newly created websites designed to appear as independent news sources

Israel's Foreign Influence Budget: Reports indicate Israel increased its total foreign influence budget to \$150 million by late 2024. This is not a domestic lobbying effort. This is a foreign government funding a domestic information operation inside the United States — a fact that qualifies, by any honest legal reading, for FARA scrutiny.

D. MIRIAM ADELSON — DOCUMENTED CAMPAIGN FINANCE

Miriam Adelson, widow of Sheldon Adelson, contributed \$106 million to Donald Trump's 2024 campaign, making her the third-largest individual donor. In December 2025, at a White House Hanukkah reception, Trump publicly stated she had offered \$250 million toward a potential third-term bid. Reports further allege that Adelson pushed for the appointment of Marco Rubio as Secretary of State; AIPAC officials have since acknowledged that Rubio provides them access to

internal government discussions. These are documented facts from public disclosures and reporting — not allegations from anonymous sources.

E. THE USS LIBERTY (1967) — DOCUMENTED GOVERNMENT SUPPRESSION

On June 8, 1967, Israeli forces attacked the USS Liberty — an American naval vessel in international waters — killing 34 American servicemen and wounding 171. The attack lasted over two hours. Retired Captain Ward Boston, senior legal counsel to the Navy's 1967 inquiry, later signed a sworn affidavit stating that President Lyndon Johnson and Defense Secretary Robert McNamara **ordered investigators to conclude the attack was a case of "mistaken identity"**, despite the inquiry's own finding that it was deliberate. Documentation shows the administration scrambled to inform Soviet Premier Kosygin that the attack was an error — prioritizing geopolitical management over accountability to the American servicemen who died.

This is not contested history. It is documented in sworn testimony, declassified records, and the public affidavit of the Navy's own legal counsel. It represents the first confirmed instance in the modern era of an American president suppressing evidence of an Israeli attack on American personnel to protect the political relationship. The prophetic framework of **Yeshayahu (Isaiah) 31:1** names this precisely: a leadership that trusts in the foreign alliance more than it trusts in **Yahweh's** standard of justice — and pays the price in the blood of its own people.

PART IV

THE EPSTEIN FILES: RAW INTELLIGENCE EVALUATED UNDER DEVARIM 19:15

In January 2026, following the passage of the Epstein Files Transparency Act, the Department of Justice released approximately 3.5 million pages of documents related to the Jeffrey Epstein investigation. Among these was a 2020 FBI memorandum based on a Confidential Human Source (CHS) — an unverified informant whose claims were recorded by the FBI but not adjudicated as fact. This document requires the full application of the **Devarim (Deuteronomy) 19:15** evidentiary standard before any institutional position can be formed on its contents.

WHAT THE CHS MEMORANDUM CONTAINS — THE CLAIMS

- **Direct Compromise Allegation:** The CHS alleged that Israel held leverage over Donald Trump, which supposedly influenced his presidency.
- **Jared Kushner as Primary Conduit:** The CHS described Kushner as the "real brains" behind the Trump organization and primary conduit for Israeli and Russian interests, specifically through ties to the Chabad-Lubavitch network.
- **Epstein as Mossad Asset:** The CHS claimed Epstein was a co-opted Mossad agent, used to gather compromising information on powerful individuals.
- **Chabad-Lubavitch Alignment:** The CHS highlighted that on the day Trump was elected in 2016, Jared Kushner and Ivanka Trump visited the gravesite of Rabbi Schneerson — which the source interpreted as symbolic alignment with Chabad leadership.

DEVARIM 19:15 APPLIED — THE ONE-WITNESS PROBLEM

Devarim (Deuteronomy) 19:15 is unequivocal: *"A single witness shall not suffice against a person for any crime or for any wrong in connection with any offense that he has committed. Only on the evidence of two witnesses or of three witnesses shall a charge be established."*

A Confidential Human Source is a single witness whose identity cannot be tested, whose motives cannot be examined under oath, and whose claims have been explicitly characterized by the FBI itself as

"**claims under review**" — not substantiated facts. The White House and DOJ have described the specific allegations as "unfounded and false." Former Mossad director Yossi Cohen has called the Epstein-Mossad claims "baseless and inconsistent with Mossad's operations."

The **Devarim 19:15** verdict on the CHS memorandum is therefore this: **The document's existence is a confirmed witness. Its contents are a single unverified source. The matter is not established.** Any covenant institution that treats these allegations as proven facts has violated the evidentiary standard of the Torah it claims to honor.

At the same time, the geopolitical friction evidence partially complicates a "total control" thesis: In April 2026, Trump brokered a 10-day ceasefire between Israel and Lebanon that Israeli intelligence reportedly found "premature" and "furious" over. Trump has also signaled openness to a new nuclear deal with Iran that directly opposes Netanyahu's strategic goals. These facts do not clear the record — they confirm that the relationship is transactional, contested, and marked by real conflict of interest, not simple obedience.

Covenant conclusion on the Epstein Files: The documents are legitimate evidentiary material warranting further investigation and independent corroboration. They cannot currently establish the specific "direct compromise" claim under **Devarim 19:15**. They can legitimately be cited as: *"A 2020 FBI memorandum records allegations from a confidential source that remain under review and unverified by independent witnesses."*

PART V

THE HISTORICAL PRESIDENTIAL PATTERN

Applied across the modern American presidency, the Law of the King in **Devarim (Deuteronomy) 17** reveals a consistent pattern. The following table evaluates documented presidential postures through the Tanakh's four prohibitions — not through partisan political preference, but through the covenant standard alone.

PRESIDENT	DOCUMENTED POSTURE	COVENANT ASSESSMENT (DEVARIM 17)
Harry S. Truman (1945-1953)	First to recognize the State of Israel in 1948. Documented that his White House faced a "constant barrage" of pressure from Zionist groups.	Moderate — Maintained arms embargo during 1948 Arab-Israeli War; did not fully surrender to donor pressure.
Lyndon B. Johnson (1963-1969)	First "unabashedly pro-Israel" president. Authorized major arms sales. Suppressed investigation into the 1967 USS Liberty attack — 34 Americans killed.	SEVERE — Suppression of the Liberty attack represents a documented covenant rupture: the blood of American citizens sacrificed to protect a foreign alliance.
Richard Nixon (1969-1974)	Despite private antisemitism, provided massive military and economic aid to Israel during the 1973 Yom Kippur War — driven by domestic political pressure.	Significant — Policy shaped by geopolitical calculation and donor influence despite personal reservations.
Ronald Reagan (1981-1989)	Granted Israel major non-NATO ally status. Expanded access to American defense technology. Increased strategic integration.	High — The 'strategic asset' framework embedded Israeli interests structurally into U.S. defense posture.
George H.W. Bush (1989-1993)	Withheld loan guarantees to pressure halt to settlement construction. Described himself as "one lonely little guy" against the Israel lobby.	Resistant — One of two presidents to measurably push back against the lobbying structure. Paid a political price.
Bill Clinton (1993-2001)	Exceptionally close U.S.-Israel relationship. Oslo Accords framework did not confront settlement expansion.	High — Relationship driven by personal ties to Israeli leadership and strong pro-Israel donor networks.

Dwight D. Eisenhower (1953-1961)	Forced Israeli withdrawal from Egyptian territory during the 1956 Suez Crisis. Threatened to cut all official and private aid to Israel.	Resistant — The president who most clearly enforced covenant principles of national sovereignty over alliance dependency.
Donald Trump (2017-2021 / 2025-present)	Moved U.S. embassy to Jerusalem. Recognized Israeli sovereignty over the Golan Heights. Received \$106M from Miriam Adelson. Surrounded by Kushner/Chabad network. Subject of unverified FBI CHS compromise allegation.	Highest documented financial entanglement of any administration. Specific compromise claim unverified under Devarim 19:15.
Joe Biden (2021-2025)	Self-described Zionist. Maintained strong military support through the 2023-2024 Gaza conflict despite massive civilian casualties.	High — Public ideological identification with Zionism; policy consistently aligned with Israeli security interests over humanitarian law.

The pattern is not ambiguous. The exceptions — Eisenhower and George H.W. Bush — prove the rule by the political cost they paid for resistance. The norm across the modern American presidency has been **structural accommodation to Israeli and Zionist political interests**, ranging from moderate to severe. The prophet's question in **Yeshayahu (Isaiah) 31:1** applies to the entire institution: ***"Do not look to the Holy One of Israel or consult Yabweh?"***

PART VI

THE THREE-RELIGION AUDIT

This covenant witness reaches Judaism, Christianity, and Islam simultaneously — not to inflame division between them, but because **Yabweh's** Torah establishes the standard that precedes and

supersedes all three traditions' secondary commentary. Each tradition possesses within its own primary sources the tools to evaluate this matter. Each is called to apply those tools honestly.

JUDAISM — THE MASORETIC STANDARD

The Torah that Judaism honors as its supreme authority is the same Torah that prohibits a king from multiplying horses, multiplying foreign political entanglements, and creating financial dependencies on foreign powers (**Devarim 17:14-20**). The prophetic tradition that Judaism traces — from Yeshayahu to Yirmeyahu to Yechezkel — consistently condemns political idolatry: the substitution of foreign alliance for covenant faithfulness.

The relevant question for the Jewish community is not whether Israel deserves security — it does, under **Yahweh's** covenant promises. The question is whether the methods employed — the financing of American political campaigns, the placement of foreign-aligned personnel in U.S. government positions, the intelligence operations against a nominal ally — serve the covenant or violate it. **Yahweh's** own Torah did not authorize Israel to secure its borders through the corruption of other nations' governance structures. The ends do not sanctify the means in the Torah. **Devarim (Deuteronomy) 16:20: "Justice, justice you shall pursue."** The word is repeated. It is not negotiable.

CHRISTIANITY — THE FIRST-CENTURY COVENANT FRAMEWORK

Christian readers must interpret the relevant New Testament passages in their proper context: first-century Second Temple Judaism under Roman occupation. The instruction in **Romans (Romiyim) 13:1-7** — to submit to governing authorities — was written to a community living under Nero's Rome. It is not a command to ignore documented governmental corruption. It is a command not to mount armed political revolution. These are different instructions.

The prophetic tradition Yeshua stood in — the tradition of Yirmeyahu, Amos, Yeshayahu, Mikhah — consistently required public witness against governmental corruption, foreign entanglement, and the abuse of the poor. **Mikhah (Micah) 6:8**: "*What does Yahweh require of you but to do justice, to love covenant loyalty, and to walk humbly with your Elohim?*" The Christian who honors a foreign political lobby's financial capture of the American government while calling it "supporting Israel" has confused political Zionism — a 20th-century secular nationalist movement — with the covenant promises of **Yahweh** to Avraham. These are not the same thing, and the Torah never authorized one in place of the other.

ISLAM — THE ISNAD STANDARD AND UMMAH SOVEREIGNTY

Islamic jurisprudence applies the *isnad* standard — a rigorous chain of transmission — to determine the reliability of a claim. Applied to the Epstein Files CHS memorandum, the *isnad* is broken: a single anonymous source whose identity and motives cannot be verified. Under Islamic evidentiary standards, as under the Torah's **Devarim 19:15**, the specific claim of personal compromise is not established.

What is established — the documented AIPAC electoral operations, the FARA-registered foreign influence contracts, the confirmed Israeli espionage cases, the USS Liberty suppression — meets even the strictest *isnad* standard, because these facts are drawn from court records, guilty pleas, sworn affidavits, and legally registered government filings. The ummah's concern is not with the Jewish people — with whom Islam shares the Abrahamic covenant heritage — but with the structural compromise of governmental integrity through foreign financial and intelligence operations, which no just government in any tradition can ignore.

PART VII

SEVEN INSTITUTIONAL FINDINGS

1. **FINDING ONE:** Israeli intelligence has conducted confirmed, legally established espionage operations against the United States (Pollard, Kadish, Franklin/AIPAC, White House StingRays). This is not contested history. It is documented in courtroom records and government intelligence findings. It constitutes independent witness testimony under **Devarim 19:15** to a pattern of systematic penetration of American governmental structures by Israeli intelligence.
2. **FINDING TWO:** AIPAC has functioned as a dominant electoral force in American politics — spending over \$100 million in the 2024 election cycle, achieving a 95%+ candidate success rate, and creating a documented deterrence environment in which legislators cannot criticize Israeli policy without risking their political survival. This constitutes a documented instance of the Torah's prohibition against the multiplication of foreign financial dependency (**Devarim 17:17**) operating within the American governmental structure.
3. **FINDING THREE:** The Israeli government has directly contracted U.S. political operatives through FARA-registered agreements to shape American public opinion — including through AI model manipulation and fake independent news websites. This constitutes a documented foreign influence operation inside the United States, registered under FARA and therefore legally acknowledged as a foreign government's domestic messaging apparatus.
4. **FINDING FOUR:** The suppression of the USS Liberty investigation by President Johnson, documented in the sworn affidavit of the Navy's own legal counsel, constitutes a documented instance in which an American president placed the foreign alliance relationship above accountability for the deaths of American servicemen. The prophet's indictment — *"Woe to those who go down to Egypt for help"* (**Yeshayahu 31:1**) — finds its precise historical fulfillment in this event.

5. **FINDING FIVE:** The historical pattern across the modern American presidency shows structural accommodation to Israeli and Zionist political interests as the governing norm, with the exceptions of Eisenhower and George H.W. Bush proving the rule by the political cost they paid for resistance. This pattern meets the **Devarim 19:15** standard of multiple witnesses to an established condition.

 6. **FINDING SIX:** The specific claim that Donald Trump is personally "compromised" through Israeli intelligence leverage, derived from the 2020 FBI CHS memorandum, does not currently meet the **Devarim 19:15** standard of two or three independent witnesses. The memorandum documents a single unverified source. The claim must be held in the category of unestablished allegation pending independent corroboration. Miqdash Bethel Covenant Institution will not publish this claim as established fact.

 7. **FINDING SEVEN:** The distinction the Torah requires — between the Jewish people as **Yahweh's** covenant community and the political state of Israel as a 20th-century nationalist entity — is critical. This document's witness is not against the Jewish people. It is against the structural corruption of governmental integrity by any foreign interest — Jewish, Gentile, or otherwise — that violates the standard of **Yahweh's** Law. Political Zionism is a secular nationalist movement. It is not the covenant of Avraham, Yitzchak, and Ya'akov. Conflating the two is a theological error that this institution cannot and will not sanction.
-

PART VIII

CLOSING COVENANT WORD

"It is better to take refuge in Yahweh than to trust in man. It is better to take refuge in Yahweh than to trust in princes." — Tehillim (Psalms) 118:8-9

The question this document has examined is ultimately not a political question. It is a covenant question. Every nation that has placed its trust in foreign military alliances, foreign financial dependency, and foreign intelligence networks rather than in **Yahweh's** standard of justice has paid the price the prophet announced. The documentation in the preceding pages is not the cause of America's political crisis. It is the symptom of a deeper covenant rupture — a nation that was founded with covenant language but has, over generations, substituted the language of the covenant for the practice of the covenant.

The Tanakh's answer to this condition is not revolution. It is not antisemitism. It is not partisan political realignment. It is *teshuvah* — return. The return the Torah calls for is to **Yahweh's** standard: **Devarim (Deuteronomy) 16:20** — justice, justice, you shall pursue. **Mikhah (Micah) 6:8** — do justice, love covenant loyalty, walk humbly with your **Elohim**. **Yirmeyahu (Jeremiah) 22:3**: "***Do justice and righteousness, and deliver from the hand of the oppressor him who has been robbed. And do no wrong or violence to the resident alien, the fatherless, and the widow, nor shed innocent blood in this place.***"

Thirty-four American servicemen died on the USS Liberty because a president chose a foreign alliance over covenant accountability. Hundreds of thousands of Palestinian civilians have been killed or displaced in a conflict American tax dollars and American weapons have sustained. Legislators cannot speak honestly about American policy because a foreign lobby's financial infrastructure has made honesty politically lethal. These are not political abstractions. These are covenant failures with names and faces and graves.

Yahweh asked through the prophet Yirmeyahu: "***They have forsaken Me, the fountain of living waters, and hewed out cisterns for themselves, broken cisterns that can hold no water.***" (**Yirmeyahu (Jeremiah) 2:13**). The foreign alliances, the foreign money, the foreign intelligence networks — these are the broken cisterns. They cannot hold water. They never have. The covenant answer has always been, and remains: **Yahweh** alone.

"Only on the evidence of two witnesses or of three witnesses shall a charge be established." — Devarim (Deuteronomy) 19:15

"...so that he may continue long in his kingdom, he and his children, in Israel." — Devarim (Deuteronomy) 17:20

SCRIPTURE INDEX

REFERENCE	CONTENT
Bereishit (Genesis) 15:18	The Covenant with Avraham — foundational covenant promise
Shemot (Exodus) 23:32	"You shall make no covenant with them or with their gods"
Vayikra (Leviticus) 19:15	"You shall do no injustice in judgment"
Devarim (Deuteronomy) 16:20	"Justice, justice you shall pursue"
Devarim (Deuteronomy) 17:14-20	The Law of the King — four prohibitions against foreign dependency
Devarim (Deuteronomy) 19:15	Two-or-three-witnesses evidentiary standard
Yehoshua (Joshua) 24:15	"Choose this day whom you will serve"
Tehillim (Psalms) 118:8-9	"Better to take refuge in Yahweh than to trust in princes"
Yeshayahu (Isaiah) 30:1-3	"Woe to the rebellious children... who make an alliance not of My spirit"
Yeshayahu (Isaiah) 31:1-3	"Woe to those who go down to Egypt for help and rely on horses"
Yirmeyahu (Jeremiah) 2:13	"They have forsaken Me, the fountain of living waters"
Yirmeyahu (Jeremiah) 17:5	"Cursed is the man who trusts in man and makes flesh his strength"

Yirmeyahu (Jeremiah) 22:3	"Do justice and righteousness, deliver from the hand of the oppressor"
Yechezkel (Ezekiel) 17:15	"Can he break the covenant and yet escape?"
Hoshea (Hosea) 7:8-11	"Ephraim mixes himself among the peoples... they call to Egypt, they go to Assyria"
Mikhah (Micah) 6:8	"Do justice, love covenant loyalty, walk humbly with your Elohim"

DISCUSSION QUESTIONS FOR INDIVIDUAL STUDY AND GROUP TEACHING

1. Devarim 17 prohibits a king from multiplying horses, wives, silver, and gold — all as forms of foreign dependency. Where do you see each of these prohibitions violated in the modern documented record, and what does that violation cost the nation?
2. The prophet Hoshea calls Ephraim "a cake not turned" — a leadership that shows one face to the people and another to its foreign patrons. Can you identify specific examples in the historical presidential record where this double face is visible?
3. The USS Liberty case involves the documented suppression of evidence of an Israeli attack that killed 34 Americans. How should a covenant community evaluate a government that places a foreign alliance above accountability for its own citizens' deaths?
4. The Devarim 19:15 standard requires two or three witnesses before a charge is established. How does this standard protect both the accused and the community — and what is lost when a community abandons this standard in either direction (convicting on one witness, or refusing to acknowledge multiple confirmed witnesses)?
5. Political Zionism is a 20th-century secular nationalist movement. The covenant of Yahweh with Avraham, Yitzchak, and Ya'akov is a theological reality spanning millennia. What is the danger — for all three Abrahamic traditions — of conflating these two distinct realities?

6. The Three-Religion Audit applies the Torah's standard to Judaism, Christianity, and Islam simultaneously. What is the covenant responsibility of each community when its political leadership has demonstrably violated the standards its own tradition affirms?

7. Yirmeyahu 2:13 contrasts the fountain of living water (Yahweh) with the broken cisterns (foreign alliances). In your own community, national, or spiritual context, where have broken cisterns been substituted for the fountain? What does return — teshuvah — look like in practice?

MIQDASH BETHEL COVENANT INSTITUTION

Texas/Louisiana | miqdashbethel@gmail.com | 985-250-9060 | 402-218-9530 | miqdashbethel.org

When Nations Bow to Nations — A Covenant Witness on Zionist Political Influence | May 2026

Authority: The Tanakh — The Word of Yahweh Alone | Devarim 19:15 — Two or Three Witnesses