

MIQDASH BETHEL COVENANT ASSEMBLY

Pearl River, Louisiana | miqdashbethel@gmail.com | 985-250-9060 | miqdashbethel.org

A COVENANT WITNESS TO A WORLD WITHOUT A PLUMB LINE

Christian Zionism, Dispensationalism, and the Prophets They Silenced

A Covenant Response to the Tucker Carlson / Nathan Apffel Interview

Tucker Carlson Network | April 7, 2026

Miqdash Bethel Covenant Assembly | Pearl River, Louisiana | April 2026

Authority: The Tanakh — The Word of Yahweh Alone | Standard: Devarim 19:15 — Two or Three Witnesses

PREFATORY NOTE TO THE WORLD

On April 7, 2026, Tucker Carlson and filmmaker Nathan Apffel broadcast an Easter morning witness to a watching world. Tucker spoke with clarity, moral horror, and evident courage about things most voices in his industry will not name. He saw something real. He named real evil. He pointed to the right problem. And then, standing at the edge of the covenant, he turned back — not because he lacked sincerity, but because he lacks the plumb line.

That plumb line is not in the New Testament alone. It is not in any commentary or doctrinal tradition. It is in the **Tanakh** — the Written Word of **Yahweh** — the only source that predates, judges, and measures all of it. This assembly speaks from that authority. We speak to Tucker, to Nathan, to every Christian, Jew, and Muslim watching, and to the nations.

What follows is not a condemnation of Tucker Carlson. He is asking the right questions. This is the answer he has not yet received — from the source he has not yet opened.

PART ONE: WHAT TUCKER AND NATHAN GOT RIGHT

I. THE INSTITUTIONAL CHURCH IS CORRUPTED — AND THE TANAKH AGREES

Tucker Carlson and Nathan Appfel correctly identified that the institutional structure of American Christianity produces corruption. Nathan stated it plainly: if there is no external accountability, any system will corrupt those inside it — unconsciously tilting every sermon to protect the institution, whether around tithing or Zionism. Tucker said it another way: the point of Paula White's church was not to shepherd people but to produce a television show.

The Tanakh named this precisely. The prophet **Yirmeyahu** (Jeremiah) spoke to the professional shepherds of his generation:

Yirmeyahu (Jeremiah) 23:1–2 — Woe to the shepherds who destroy and scatter the sheep of My pasture! — declares Yahweh. Therefore thus says Yahweh, the Elohim of Israel, concerning the shepherds who tend My people: You have scattered My flock and driven them away, and you have not attended to them; behold, I am about to attend to you for the evil of your deeds, declares Yahweh.

And **Yechezkel** (Ezekiel) delivered perhaps the sharpest covenant indictment of the producer-shepherd:

Yechezkel (Ezekiel) 34:2–4 — Son of man, prophesy against the shepherds of Israel; prophesy and say to them: Thus says Yahweh Elohim to the shepherds: Woe, shepherds of Israel who have been feeding themselves! Should not the shepherds feed the flock? You eat the fat and clothe yourselves with the wool; you slaughter the fat sheep without feeding the flock. Those who are sickly you have not strengthened, the diseased you have not healed, the broken you have not bound up, the scattered you have not brought back, nor have you sought for the lost.

Paula White stands in a production studio before 200 people on Easter Sunday with fog machines and a jib arm — and **Yahweh** says: *woe*. Tucker named the phenomenon. The Tanakh names the judgment.

II. THE EASTER MORNING TWEET — NAMED CORRECTLY AS EVIL

Tucker Carlson correctly identified Trump's Easter morning tweet as evil — a promise to destroy civilian infrastructure in Iran, mockery of Islam, profanity on the holiest morning of the Christian calendar. He stated what no major media voice has stated with comparable moral clarity: killing noncombatants is **always wrong**. That is not a political position. That is the Torah standard.

Shemot (Exodus) 23:7 — Keep yourself far from a false matter, and do not kill the innocent or the righteous, for I will not acquit the wicked.

Devarim (Deuteronomy) 20:10–11 — When you approach a city to fight against it, you shall offer it terms of peace. If it agrees to make peace with you and opens to you, then all the people who are found in it shall become your forced laborers and shall serve you.

Tucker also identified something deeper: that what you do to others will be done to you. He called this the law of the jungle. The Tanakh calls it *midab k'neged midab* — measure for measure — and it is not an observation of nature but a decree of **Yahweh**:

Ovadyah (Obadiah) 1:15 — For the day of Yahweh draws near on all the nations. As you have done, it will be done to you. Your dealings will return on your own head.

Tucker felt this truth at the moral level. The Tanakh establishes it as covenant law. He got it right. He simply did not know the Source from which he was reading.

III. THE CORRUPTION OF THE FAITH BY POLITICAL POWER

Tucker correctly named the sacrilege of comparing Trump to Jesus. An evangelical leader — inside the White House on Easter — drew a direct parallel between Trump's political persecution and the suffering of the Messiah. Tucker called it what it is: vile. He is right. But Tucker does not yet have the full covenant vocabulary to explain *why* it is so catastrophically wrong.

The corruption of covenant witness by political alignment is not new. The prophet **Yirmeyahu** stood in the Temple gate and delivered the same charge to those who called on the divine name while supporting injustice:

Yirmeyahu (Jeremiah) 7:9–11 — Will you steal, murder, commit adultery, swear falsely, offer sacrifices to Baal and walk after other gods that you have not known,

and then come and stand before Me in this house, which is called by My name, and say, We are delivered! — that you may do all these abominations? Has this house, which is called by My name, become a den of robbers in your sight?

The institution that does not hold its political patron accountable to the covenant standard has become, in the language of **Yahweh**, a den of robbers. Tucker is watching this in real time and has no theological framework to fully name it. That framework exists. It is the Tanakh.

PART TWO: WHERE TUCKER AND NATHAN STOPPED SHORT

IV. TUCKER'S CONFUSION ABOUT DISPENSATIONALISM: HE SEES THE FRUIT BUT NOT THE ROOT

Tucker admitted openly: 'I don't know anything about dispensationalism.' He called Christian Zionism a 'brain virus' and a 'heresy.' He is directionally correct. But without the root, he cannot offer the remedy — and without the remedy, his critique generates heat without light, confusion without covenant.

Here is what Tucker needs to understand, and what this assembly now states for the world:

WHAT DISPENSATIONALISM ACTUALLY IS

Dispensationalism is a 19th-century theological system invented by Anglo-Irish preacher John Nelson Darby (1800–1882). It was popularized in the United States through the Scofield Reference Bible (1909) and institutionalized at Dallas Theological Seminary. It divides history into seven 'dispensations' — distinct eras of divine management — and most critically, it makes a sharp separation between 'Israel' (ethnic, national, earthly promises) and 'the Church' (a NT mystery entity with heavenly promises).

This separation is the core error — and it is not found anywhere in the Tanakh.

The Tanakh does not divide humanity into competing redemptive tracks. **There is one covenant.** **There is one standard.** **There is one instruction.** Yahweh addressed this directly through Yechezkel:

Yechezkel (Ezekiel) 47:22–23 — You shall divide it by lot for an inheritance among yourselves and among the aliens who stay in your midst, who bring forth sons in your midst. And they shall be to you as the native-born among the sons of Israel; they shall be allotted an inheritance with you among the tribes of Israel. And in the tribe with which the alien stays, there you shall give him his inheritance, declares Yahweh Elobim.

There is one land. One inheritance. One covenant people — defined by covenant faithfulness, not ethnic origin. **Dispensationalism collapses this into a racial theology of prophetic management.** That is not the Tanakh. That is Darby.

DISPENSATIONALISTS ARE TALMUDISTS WRAPPED IN CHRISTIAN VOCABULARY

Here is the charge that neither Tucker nor Nathan made, but that the Tanakh requires: Dispensationalism functions exactly as the Talmudic rabbinic system functions. Both impose an elaborate interpretive grid over the plain text of the Written Word. Both elevate their commentary tradition to the level of Scripture. Both divide what **Yahweh** joined. Both require the practitioner to read the Written Word *through* the grid rather than letting the text speak for itself.

The Talmud says: the oral law given at Sinai is co-equal with the written Torah. Dispensationalism says: the seven-dispensation framework given to Darby is the key to reading Scripture. Neither claim is found in the Tanakh. Both systems produce the same outcome: a scholar class that interprets the Word for the people, and a people who never encounter the Word directly.

Devarim (Deuteronomy) 30:11–14 — For this commandment which I command you today is not too difficult for you, nor is it out of reach. It is not in heaven, that you should say, Who will go up to heaven for us to get it for us and make us hear it, that we may observe it? Nor is it beyond the sea, that you should say, Who will cross the sea

for us to get it for us and make us hear it, that we may observe it? But the word is very near you, in your mouth and in your heart, that you may observe it.

Tucker recommended the New Living Translation of the New Testament. The Assembly commends the intent, but raises it: **read the Tanakh. Read it first.** Read it in Robert Alter's translation, or Everett Fox's, or the JPS 1985. Read it without Darby. Without Scofield. Without the dispensational grid. Let **Yahweh** speak in His own voice. Then ask what is actually written.

V. THE PROMISED LAND WAS ALREADY FULFILLED — YEHOSHUA 21 IS THE COVENANT ANSWER

The most important textual fact that dismantles dispensationalism is one that dispensationalists cannot afford to read plainly: the land promise to Avraham (Abraham) was fulfilled under Yehoshua (Joshua).

Yehoshua (Joshua) 21:43–45 — So Yahweh gave Israel all the land which He had sworn to give to their fathers, and they possessed it and lived in it. And Yahweh gave them rest on every side, according to all that He had sworn to their fathers, and no one of all their enemies stood before them; Yahweh gave all their enemies into their hand. Not one of the good promises which Yahweh had made to the house of Israel failed; all came to pass.

All came to pass. Every word. The land promise delivered to Avraham in Bereishit (Genesis) 15:18 — from the river of Egypt to the great river Euphrates — was fulfilled. The Tanakh says so in unambiguous Hebrew prose. This text alone dismantles the dispensationalist requirement that the Abrahamic land promise remains open, unfulfilled, and awaiting a modern political state to complete it.

Dispensationalism *requires* this text to mean something other than what it says. It must. Because if **Yahweh** already kept His promise — which He did — then the theological foundation of Christian Zionism's claim that the 1948 establishment of modern Israel is a fulfillment of biblical prophecy is not a fulfillment at all. It is a reassignment of a completed covenant to a new political project.

'IT IS FINISHED' — WHAT YESHUA ACTUALLY SAID

Tucker correctly observed that Yeshua (Jesus) did not send his disciples to kill. He sent them to die — to bear witness. Tucker felt the power of the Greek word *tetelestai* — 'it is finished' — without understanding its full covenant weight.

Tetelestai is perfect tense in Greek: an action completed in the past with permanent, ongoing results. The 19th-century Dispensationalist tradition claims this ended the 'dispensation of Law' and inaugurated the 'dispensation of Grace' — a new age in which Torah observance is superseded. This is where Tucker's theological confusion, and the world's, begins.

The covenant witness of this Assembly is this: **Yeshua's 'it is finished' is a priestly declaration, not an abrogation of the Tanakh.** It is the declaration of a *kohen gadol* (high priest) who has examined the sacrificial offering and found it without blemish — declaring the work of that specific atonement complete. It does not say the Written Word of **Yahweh** is annulled. Yeshua himself said the opposite:

Mattityahu (Matthew) 5:17–18 — Do not think that I came to abolish the Torah or the Prophets; I did not come to abolish but to fulfill. For truly I say to you, until heaven and earth pass away, not the smallest letter or stroke shall pass from the Torah until all is accomplished.

Heaven and earth have not passed away. The Written Word stands. The dispensationalist claim that the cross ended Torah and inaugurated a churchly replacement is not in the Tanakh. It is Marcion's heresy, dated to 144 CE — condemned by the early assemblies themselves. Tucker would benefit from reading Marcion's history before accepting Darby's framework.

PART THREE: WHAT NEITHER TUCKER NOR NATHAN NAMED

VI. THE EVANGELICAL CHURCH AS AN INSTRUMENT OF THE GREATER ISRAEL PROJECT

Tucker raised the question directly in his interview with Mike Huckabee: is the evangelical church being used? Huckabee told Tucker on camera that they — meaning modern Israel's political leadership — can take the land. The 'greater Israel project' is not a conspiracy theory. It is a documented political program, and the dispensationalist theological framework is its primary American constituency.

The organization Christians United for Israel (CUFI) claims over 10 million members and lobbies the U.S. government for unconditional military and financial support of the Israeli state. Their theological basis is the 'Blessing Doctrine' — a reading of Bereishit (Genesis) 12:3: 'I will bless those who bless you and curse those who curse you.'

The covenant problem with this application is not that the text is false. It is that the text has been ripped from its covenant context and applied to a modern nation-state that did not exist when the covenant was made — and which has not demonstrated covenant faithfulness as the condition of that blessing.

Bereishit (Genesis) 15:18 — On that day Yahweh made a covenant with Avram, saying, To your descendants I have given this land, from the river of Egypt as far as the great river, the river Euphrates.

The covenant with Avraham was *conditional* — grounded in covenant faithfulness (Bereishit 17:1: 'Walk before Me and be blameless'). It was not a blank check delivered to every subsequent government that claims descent. The Tanakh applies the condition explicitly:

Vayikra (Leviticus) 18:24–25 — Do not defile yourselves by any of these things; for by all these the nations which I am casting out before you have become defiled. For the land has become defiled, therefore I have brought its punishment upon it, so the land has spewed out its inhabitants.

The land covenant is not ethnic. It is covenant. Any people dwelling in the land who violate the covenant are subject to the same expulsion. This includes the ancient Canaanites. It included ancient Israel — which **Yahweh** removed from the land through Assyria and Babylon. It is not suspended for a modern political state on the basis of genetic continuity.

The evangelical church that supports modern Israeli military operations unconditionally — including the bombing of civilian infrastructure in Gaza and Iran documented in this library — has been instrumentalized as a foreign policy tool. **Yahweh** names this through the prophet **Yirmeyahu**:

Yirmeyahu (Jeremiah) 23:16-17 — Thus says Yahweh of hosts: Do not listen to the words of the prophets who are prophesying to you. They are leading you into futility; they speak a vision of their own imagination, not from the mouth of Yahweh. They keep saying to those who despise Me, Yahweh has said, You will have peace; and for everyone who walks in the stubbornness of his own heart, they say, Calamity will not come upon you.

VII. THE FALSE PROPHET STANDARD — DEVARIM 18:22 APPLIED

The Tanakh provides a precise, outcome-based test for prophetic claims. It is not a spiritual impression. It is not a denominational consensus. It is measurable:

Devarim (Deuteronomy) 18:20–22 — But the prophet who speaks a word presumptuously in My name which I have not commanded him to speak, or which he speaks in the name of other gods, that prophet shall die. You may say in your heart, How will we know the word which Yahweh has not spoken? When a prophet speaks in the name of Yahweh, if the thing does not come about or come true, that is the thing which Yahweh has not spoken. The prophet has spoken it presumptuously; you shall not be afraid of him.

Every dispensationalist preacher who set a date for the Rapture and was wrong. Every voice that prophesied the imminent return of Christ in 1948, in 1967, in 1973, in 1988, in 2000, in 2012 — **Devarim 18:22** has already adjudicated them. The outcome-based test is the only test **Yahweh** authorized. No denominational vote, no seminary credential, no television audience overrides it.

Nathan Apffel pointed to Paula White's 200-person Easter congregation operating as a production studio for political access. Tucker Carlson pointed to the sacrilege of comparing Trump to the Messiah. The Tanakh

points to the source: false prophecy, spoken presumptuously, in the name of **Yahweh**, that has not come to pass. This is the covenant charge.

VIII. THE ANTI-MESSIAH AGENDA — RUSHING PROPHECY TO CONTROL THE WORLD

Tucker asked the right question without naming what he saw: why are people accelerating toward catastrophe? Why bomb civilian infrastructure if it cannot achieve the stated military objective? Why the 'thrill of the killing,' as Tucker put it?

The answer that neither Tucker nor Nathan named is this: there is a faction within the dispensationalist movement that believes it can *engineer* the end-times scenario — that by precipitating certain geopolitical events (war with Iran, rebuilding the Third Temple, establishing Greater Israel), they can trigger the prophetic clock and accelerate the return of their expected messiah. This is not the fringe. This is the operating theology of segments of the Israeli settler movement, some American evangelical institutions, and individuals with access to U.S. foreign policy.

The Tanakh names this as the Woe of Amos:

Amos 5:18–20 — Woe to you who are longing for the day of Yahweh, for what purpose will the day of Yahweh be to you? It will be darkness and not light; as when a man flees from a lion and a bear meets him, or goes home, leans his hand against the wall and a snake bites him. Will not the day of Yahweh be darkness instead of light, even gloom with no brightness in it?

The party that rushes toward the Day of **Yahweh** does not understand what it is rushing toward. The dispensationalist who engineers Armageddon does not control **Yahweh**. **Yahweh** controls Armageddon. The one who presumes to manufacture prophetic fulfillment has placed himself above the Word — which is precisely what the Torah defines as the spirit of the anti-messiah.

Trump's war with Iran, described by Tucker and documented in the Miqdash Bethel library (Doc 33), is being interpreted by segments of this faction as a fulfillment of Ezekiel 38–39 (Gog and Magog). The political manipulation of that interpretation — using military action to confirm theological expectation — is not

covenant faithfulness. It is a covenant violation of the highest order. It is the false prophet speaking presumptuously in the name of **Yahweh**.

PART FOUR: THE COVENANT ANSWERS TUCKER COULD NOT GIVE

IX. QUESTION 1 — 'I AM THE WAY, THE TRUTH, AND THE LIFE': THE HEBREW READING

Tucker said: Yeshua sends his disciples out to die for truth — not to kill. He is correct. But the full covenant reading goes deeper than any Greek frame can carry.

When Yeshua declared 'I am the way, the truth, and the life' (Yochanan/John 14:6), he was speaking in a Hebraic idiom to Hebrew-thinking disciples. The Greek words are *hodos*, *aletheia*, and *zoe*. Their Hebrew covenant equivalents are:

The Way — *derekh* (דֶּרֶךְ): **Yahweh is the way.** Devarim 5:33: 'You shall walk in all the way which Yahweh your Elohim has commanded you.' The way is the covenant instruction. Not a personality. Not a denominational road. The lived covenant path of **Yahweh**.

The Truth — *emet* (אֱמֶת): **Yahweh's instruction is the truth.** Tehillim (Psalm) 119:142: 'Your righteousness is an everlasting righteousness, and Your Torah is truth.' The truth is not a credal proposition. It is the living, written instruction of **Yahweh**.

The Life — *chayyim* (חַיִּים): **Yahweh's covenant is life.** Devarim 30:19–20: 'I have set before you life and death, the blessing and the curse. So choose life in order that you may live, you and your descendants, by loving Yahweh your Elohim, by obeying His voice, and by holding fast to Him; for this is your life.'

Yeshua was not displacing the Tanakh. He was declaring himself the embodiment of its covenant content. Those who read 'I am the way' as an exclusion of the Tanakh have read the Greek and missed the Hebrew. Tucker is right that no president is that way. He is right that no military campaign is that way. The covenant assembly of **Yahweh** says further: no denomination that violates the Tanakh is that way.

X. QUESTION 2 — DO YOU NEED YESHUA TO BE SAVED? WHAT THE TANAKH ACTUALLY SAYS

This is the question the world is asking, and the institutional church has failed to answer from the correct source.

The Tanakh does not use the Greek word *soteria* (salvation) as a theological category of eternal life contingent on assent to a creedal proposition. The Hebrew concept of *yeshua* (יְשׁוּעָה) — from which the name Yeshua derives — means *deliverance, rescue, covenant restoration*. Its covenant framework is grounded in return:

Yechezkel (Ezekiel) 18:21–23 — *But if the wicked man turns from all his sins which he has committed and observes all My statutes and practices justice and righteousness, he shall surely live; he shall not die. All his transgressions which he has committed will not be remembered against him; because of his righteousness which he has practiced, he will live. Do I have any pleasure in the death of the wicked, declares Yahweh Elohim, rather than that he should turn from his ways and live?*

Yahweh's answer is: return. Turn. This is *teshuvah* — the covenant mechanism of restoration. It requires no intermediary institution, no denominational membership, no creedal subscription. It requires covenant faithfulness to the Written Word of Yahweh. Judaism, Christianity, and Islam — all three traditions — are measured by that standard. None is exempt. None has a denominational fast lane.

This does not dismiss Yeshua's covenant significance. **It places it in its correct Tanakh context:** a covenant witness who embodied the Written Word, whose life demonstrated what *derekh, emet,* and *chayyim* look like in a human being — and who called all people to the same covenant return that Yahweh has always required.

XI. QUESTION 3 — TUCKER'S CONFUSION ABOUT CHRISTIANS LIKE MIKE HUCKABEE

Tucker is genuinely confused about Mike Huckabee. He should be. Huckabee is a Southern Baptist pastor who serves as U.S. Ambassador to Israel. He told Tucker, on camera, that they — meaning the Israeli

government — can take the land. He supports the Greater Israel project in explicit terms. He uses Genesis 12:3 as the basis for unconditional U.S. military and financial support.

Tucker recognizes the contradiction. A man who claims to follow the Yeshua who said 'blessed are the peacemakers' and 'put away your sword' is simultaneously cheerleading the bombing of civilian infrastructure and the seizure of Palestinian land. Tucker sees the incoherence. He does not yet have the Tanakh vocabulary to name it.

The covenant name for what Huckabee represents is *navi sheker* — the false prophet — not because he is insincere, but because his prophecy fails the Devarim 18:22 test, and because he speaks in the name of **Yahweh** on behalf of a political program that the Tanakh itself does not authorize. Navot's vineyard (Melachim Aleph / 1 Kings 21) is the governing precedent: when political power takes land from those who hold it by covenant right, using legal mechanism, **Yahweh** sends Eliyahu (Elijah) to the doorstep of the powerful with a question:

Melachim Aleph (1 Kings) 21:19 — *Thus says Yahweh: Have you murdered and also taken possession? ... In the place where the dogs licked up the blood of Navot, the dogs will lick up your blood, even yours.*

The covenant witness to Mike Huckabee, to the Greater Israel project, and to the dispensationalist church that funds it, is the same question **Yahweh** sent to Achav (Ahab): *Have you murdered and also taken possession?*

PART FIVE: THREE-RELIGION AUDIT

XII. FOR JUDAISM — THE STATE IS NOT THE COVENANT

The covenant address to our Jewish brothers and sisters is this: the modern State of Israel is not the fulfillment of the Abrahamic covenant. It is a political nation-state, operating under the laws and interests of nation-states.

Its military operations, its settlement programs, and its treatment of non-Jewish populations are subject to the same Tanakh standard that judged every king of ancient Israel.

The prophets did not spare Israel. **Amos** declared: 'You only have I known of all the families of the earth; therefore I will punish you for all your iniquities' (**Amos 3:2**). The covenant does not produce immunity. It produces accountability. Every bomb dropped on a civilian, every child killed in Gaza or Iran, every family expelled from a home — **Devarim 19:15** demands two or three witnesses. The witnesses exist. They have been documented in this library. The silence of the synagogue before these facts is the silence **Amos** addressed.

The dispensationalist claim that the Jewish people have a divine mandate to hold every inch of biblical territory regardless of covenant faithfulness is not in the Tanakh. It is Darby, imposed on the Hebrew text. The authentic Torah position is the one stated in Vayikra 18:24–25 and confirmed in Yehoshua 21:43–45: the land is **Yahweh's**, held in covenant trust, forfeit by covenant violation.

XIII. FOR CHRISTIANITY — THE TREE IS NOT THE ROOT

The covenant address to our Christian brothers and sisters across every denomination: you were grafted into the olive tree (Romans 11:17). The tree is the covenant. The root is the Tanakh. You were not grafted into a replacement institution. You were not grafted into a political alliance with a modern nation-state. You were grafted into the covenant of Yahweh — which requires of you exactly what it requires of every covenant person: justice, mercy, and covenant faithfulness.

The dispensationalist teaching that separates 'the Church' from 'Israel' as two distinct divine programs is not found in the Tanakh. It was invented in the 19th century by a man who was not a prophet by the Devarim 18:22 standard. The Scofield Reference Bible that mainstreamed it is a commentary elevated to the status of Scripture — the same error that produced the Talmud, with the same consequences: the plain text is buried under the grid.

Tucker Carlson asked what Christianity is when separated from the production studio, the political alliance, and the denominational grid. The covenant answer is: it is return to the Tanakh. It is the ancient paths of **Yirmeyahu 6:16**. It is what Yeshua embodied before the church was built. It is accessible to every person, in every nation, without an institution.

XIV. FOR ISLAM — THE COVENANT SPEAKS TO YOU ALSO

The covenant address to our Muslim brothers and sisters: **Yahweh** named the descendants of Yishmael (Ishmael) as a great nation (Bereishit 16:10). The covenant witness to the Arab world is not the colonial dispensationalism that funded their displacement. It is the same Tanakh that records Yishmael's birth, **Yahweh's** promise, and Hagar's encounter with the divine messenger at the well — *El Roi*, the Elohim who sees (Bereishit 16:13).

The Palestinian people whose land is being seized under the Greater Israel project are, as the genetic record establishes (81–87% Bronze Age Levantine ancestry), among the most continuous inhabitants of the land. **Yechezkel** 47:22–23 grants them covenant inheritance by right of dwelling. The dispensationalist theology that erases them from the covenant map has no Tanakh warrant. **Yahweh** sees every child whose blood is in the ground of Gaza. **Bereishit** (Genesis) 4:10 has not changed: 'The voice of your brother's blood is crying to Me from the ground.'

We call upon Muslim leadership — in Iran, in the Palestinian territories, in every nation watching — to read the Tanakh alongside us. Not as a Christian text. Not as a colonizer's document. As the covenant record of **Yahweh**, who made a promise to Yishmael, who sees the suffering of his descendants, and who is not mocked.

PART SIX: FOUR COVENANT REQUIREMENTS FOR THIS GENERATION

The covenant standard does not leave the generation without a charge. As Yirmeyahu (Jeremiah) 6:16 speaks to every nation:

Yirmeyahu (Jeremiah) 6:16 — *Thus says Yahweh: Stand by the ways and see and ask for the ancient paths, where the good way is, and walk in it; and you will find rest for your souls. But they said, We will not walk in it.*

This generation must choose. The covenant requires four things:

REQUIREMENT 1 — NAME THE FALSE PROPHECY

Every voice — in every evangelical pulpit, in every media platform, in every government — that has declared divine sanction for civilian bombing, land seizure, or ethnic displacement must be measured by Devarim 18:22. If the outcome does not match the prophecy, the prophecy is not from **Yahweh**. Name it. Withdraw from it. Do not fund it.

REQUIREMENT 2 — RETURN TO THE TANAKH

The Tanakh must be read without Darby. Without Scofield. Without the dispensational grid. Tucker Carlson told his audience to read the four gospels. The Assembly tells the world: read the Tanakh first. Bereishit (Genesis) through Devarim (Deuteronomy). Let **Yahweh** speak in His own voice. The covenant is not complicated. It is not hidden. It is not in the heavens (Devarim 30:11–14). It is in your mouth and in your heart.

REQUIREMENT 3 — APPLY THE INNOCENT BLOOD STANDARD

Every civilian death — in Iran, in Gaza, in Venezuela, in every nation touched by the military operations documented in this session — must be named by the standard of **Shemot** (Exodus) 23:7 and **Bemidbar** (Numbers) 35:33: 'Blood pollutes the land; no atonement can be made for the land for the blood that is shed on it, except by the blood of him who shed it.' Silence before innocent blood is complicity. The institution that says nothing about the Easter morning tweet has become the prophets of Baal, not the prophets of **Yahweh**.

REQUIREMENT 4 — CHOOSE THE ANCIENT PATHS

The 'ancient paths' of Yirmeyahu 6:16 are not a return to medieval Christianity, to rabbinical authority, or to any human institution. They are the covenant paths of the Written Word of **Yahweh** — the same paths walked by Avraham, by Mosheh (Moses), by Yehoshua, by Dawid (David), by the prophets who spoke truth to every political power of their generation. Those paths are accessible today. They require no institution. They require only the willingness to walk in them.

CLOSING COVENANT WITNESS

Tucker Carlson asked on Easter morning what Christianity is without the production studio and the political alliance. He looked at Paula White's fog machine church, at the Easter tweet promising civilian bombing, at the sacrilege of comparing a president to the Messiah — and he said: *there is time to fix this. All is not lost. The first step is submission.*

He is right. And the submission he is describing has a name in the Tanakh. It is *teshuvah* — return. It is not a denominational program. It is not a political platform. It is the oldest call in the Written Word, spoken first by **Yahweh** to Qayin (Cain) before the first murder, and spoken to every generation since: ***turn from the way of blood and return to the covenant of life.***

Michah (Micah) 6:8 — *He has told you, O man, what is good; and what does Yahweh require of you but to do justice, to love kindness, and to walk humbly with your Elohim?*

Yirmeyahu (Jeremiah) 6:16 — *Stand by the ways and see and ask for the ancient paths, where the good way is, and walk in it; and you will find rest for your souls.*

To Tucker Carlson, to Nathan Apffel, to every voice asking what is true in this generation: the ancient paths are open. The plumb line has not moved. The covenant witness of Miqdash Bethel Covenant Assembly is available to every person, in every nation, of every faith tradition, who will stand in the ways and ask.

Miqdash Bethel Covenant Assembly | Pearl River, Louisiana | miqdashbethel@gmail.com | 985-250-9060 | miqdashbethel.org

TEN-QUESTION DISCUSSION GUIDE

1. Tucker Carlson said the Easter morning tweet was 'evil.' What is the Tanakh definition of evil, and does Devarim 18:22 provide a measurable standard for evaluating the voices who supported it?
2. Dispensationalism claims Yehoshua 21:43–45 ('all came to pass') does not mean the Abrahamic land promise was fully fulfilled. Read the text. What does it actually say?
3. Nathan Apffel observed that the institutional church unconsciously tilts its message to protect itself. Which Tanakh prophets addressed this same corruption in their generation? What was the outcome?

4. Tucker said Yeshua sends his disciples to die, not to kill. Where in the Tanakh is this pattern established — a righteous witness who submits to unjust power rather than conquering it?
5. The 'Blessing Doctrine' (Bereishit 12:3) is used to justify unconditional support for the modern Israeli state. Read Bereishit 17:1. What is the condition attached to the Abrahamic covenant? Does the modern state fulfill it?
6. What is the difference between the historical nation of Israel in the Tanakh and the modern political State of Israel established in 1948? Does the Tanakh require one to treat them as identical?
7. Tucker compared dispensationalism to a 'brain virus.' The Assembly compares it to the Talmudic system. What do these two systems have in common structurally? What does the Tanakh say about reading the Written Word through an interpretive grid?
8. Amos 5:18–20 pronounces woe on those who long for the Day of Yahweh. How does this apply to the dispensationalist agenda of engineering end-times events? What does it mean to rush what Yahweh has not authorized?
9. Yechezkel 47:22–23 grants covenant inheritance to the stranger dwelling in the land. How does this text speak to the Palestinian question — and to the Christian Zionist claim that non-Jews have no covenant standing in the land?
10. Tucker said: 'There is time to fix this. The first step is submission.' The Assembly says the first step is teshuvah — return. What would national teshuvah look like in the United States, in Israel, and in Iran, measured by Michah 6:8?

Authority: The Tanakh — The Word of Yahweh Alone | Standard: Devarim 19:15 — Two or Three Witnesses

Miqdash Bethel Covenant Assembly is NOT affiliated with the House of Yahweh out of Clyde/Abilene, Texas.