

# KNOW WHO YOU'RE VOTING FOR

## KENTUCKY'S 4TH CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT

*A Covenant Personality Analysis: Thomas Massie vs. Ed Gallrein*

Narcissism • Psychopathy • Sociopathy • Servant Leadership • Covenant Qualification

### Miqdash Bethel Covenant Institution

Elder Kepha (Peter) Arcemont, Founder

Pearl River, Louisiana • miqdashbethel@gmail.com • 985-250-9060 • 402-218-9530  
miqdashbethel.org • Published: May 19, 2026 • Kentucky Primary Day

## A LETTER TO THE MEDIA

*Why Personality Matters More Than Policy — And Why This Race Is the Most Important in a Century*

### To Editors, Journalists, and Media Organizations:

You have covered the Kentucky 4th Congressional District primary as a political story: Trump vs. Massie, loyalty vs. independence, MAGA vs. libertarianism. These frames are not wrong. But they miss the deeper story, the one that will define American governance long after this primary is over.

This document asks you to consider this race through a different lens: not the lens of political affiliation, polling margins, or campaign spending totals, but the lens of human character. Of personality. Of the documented behavioral patterns that determine whether a person holding power will serve the people who gave it to them, or serve the forces that purchased it.

We are asking you to take personality seriously as a qualification standard, not as a talking point, not as an attack, but as a science-backed framework that the American people deserve to have applied to every person who asks to represent them.

### Why Personality Is the Foundation of Leadership

---

Every policy position a politician holds is contingent. Positions change with elections, with pressure, with the shifting winds of party loyalty and funding relationships. A candidate who

says today he will cut spending may vote tomorrow to raise the debt limit if his party requires it and his donors expect it. A candidate who runs on national sovereignty may support foreign military adventurism the moment the money behind him demands it.

Personality does not change. It is the constant beneath all the contingencies. It is what determines how a person behaves when no one is looking, when the pressure is extreme, when the personal cost of doing the right thing is highest. The behavioral science on this is not new. It has been peer-reviewed, replicated, and documented across four decades of research by institutions including Oxford University, the American Psychological Association, and researchers whose work is now foundational in clinical psychology and organizational behavior.

Dr. Robert Hare, the world's leading authority on psychopathy, developed the Psychopathy Checklist (PCL-R) specifically because he recognized that charm, confidence, and compelling narrative, the surface features we use to evaluate leaders, are precisely the traits most easily manufactured by individuals who lack genuine character. He found that the higher up the leadership ladder you go, the more likely you are to encounter people whose success is built on those manufactured surfaces rather than on integrity beneath.

Kevin Dutton of Oxford University established in peer-reviewed research that politicians, CEOs, lawyers, and media figures score higher on psychopathic traits than almost any other professional group. This is not because evil people seek power. It is because the qualities that win elections, fearlessness, the projection of certainty, the ability to say anything without guilt, the willingness to destroy opponents, are the same qualities that characterize the Dark Triad personality cluster: narcissism, Machiavellianism, and psychopathy.

Paulhus and Williams, writing in the *Journal of Research in Personality* (2002), identified the single personality trait that correlates with all three Dark Triad components: low Agreeableness on the Big Five (OCEAN) model. The absence of this trait, the inability to genuinely consider the needs and wellbeing of others as equal to one's own, is the bedrock indicator of leadership that will ultimately harm rather than serve the governed.

We therefore ask the media not to dismiss personality analysis as psychological speculation. It is, in fact, the most empirically grounded framework available for evaluating whether a candidate will honor the trust placed in them, or betray it.

## **Why This Framework Must Be Applied Now**

---

The American public has been trained by decades of media coverage to evaluate candidates on three things: party affiliation, policy positions, and fundraising totals. All three of these metrics can be fabricated, purchased, or reversed after election day. Personality cannot.

A candidate who refuses to debate, who will not stand in a public forum and defend his positions, is telling you something about his character that no policy platform can override. A candidate who accepts \$12 million from foreign-interest lobbying organizations and has only one documented policy position, which perfectly aligns with every single one of those funders, is showing you exactly who he serves. These are not political observations. They are personality and behavioral diagnostics.

If the media does not ask these questions, who will? The candidate's campaign will not. The funding organizations certainly will not. The political party will not, because the party's interest is in winning the seat, not in whether the person holding it has the character to serve the

---

people. The most important journalism of our time is not the coverage of what candidates say. It is the examination of who they are.

## DAVID AND GOLIATH

### *The Most Important Congressional Race in a Century*

In the ancient record of Shmuel Aleph (1 Samuel) 17, a young man named David faced Goliath, a giant backed by the full military and political machinery of a superpower. Every instrument of intimidation was deployed: the giant's armor, his weapons, his taunts, the terror of his presence. The entire Israelite army stood paralyzed. Not because they lacked the ability to fight, but because the size of the opposition had convinced them resistance was futile.

David did not win because he was bigger. He won because he was not controlled by fear, not bound by the political calculation of those around him, and not persuaded that the size of his opponent determined the righteousness of his cause.

The Kentucky 4th Congressional District primary is, in structural terms, the most significant David and Goliath contest in American congressional history. On one side: a single eight-term congressman with an MIT engineering degree, a handmade debt-clock pin, and 13 years of votes cast on principle. On the other:

- The sitting President of the United States, who traveled personally to Kentucky to demand Massie's removal and called him a 'pathetic LOSER' and 'sick Wacko'
- AIPAC and its United Democracy Project, the most powerful foreign-interest lobbying infrastructure in American political history
- The Republican Jewish Coalition, Christians United for Israel, and pro-Israel billionaires Paul Singer, John Paulson, and Miriam Adelson
- \$32 million in advertising, the most expensive House primary in American history, with 95% funded by foreign-interest lobby infrastructure

**This is not merely a Republican primary in Kentucky. It is a referendum on whether the American legislative branch can still produce a representative who votes his conscience, and survive the consequences.**

*If the machinery of money and executive power can remove Thomas Massie from Congress, then every legislator in America receives the message: there is no price for compliance, but the price for conscience is your career.*

### Why This Race Is the Most Important in a Century

Congressional primary races are rarely described as historic. This one qualifies by every measurable standard, financial, political, and constitutional.

**Financially:** At \$32 million in advertising spend, this is the most expensive House primary in American history. The prior record was also set by AIPAC, which spent \$14.5 million in 2024 to

remove Democratic Congressman Jamaal Bowman for criticizing Israel's military operations. The pattern across both races is identical: a foreign-interest lobby using American campaign finance mechanisms to remove American legislators who oppose the lobby's foreign policy agenda. Party affiliation is irrelevant. The mechanism is the same.

**Constitutionally:** A representative is elected to represent his constituents, not his party's president, not his donors, and not a foreign government's lobbying arm. Thomas Massie has operated on that constitutional principle for 13 years. The \$32 million deployed against him is an explicit attempt to demonstrate that this principle is no longer viable in the American political system. If it succeeds, every elected representative in Washington will receive that lesson.

**Historically:** The Zionist lobby's influence over American foreign policy has been documented, debated, and largely avoided by mainstream media for decades. This race brings it into the open with a specificity and a dollar figure that cannot be dismissed. AIPAC's own financial disclosures, FEC filings, and Track AIPAC's documented expenditure records (\$11,824,741 in this single race) make the mechanism visible in a way it has rarely been. Whether America's foreign policy in the Middle East reflects the interests of the American people or the interests of a foreign government's lobbying infrastructure is now a question being asked by voters in a small congressional district in Kentucky. It deserves to be asked on every front page in America.

**Spiritually:** The covenant texts that stand as the foundational documents of Judaism, Christianity, and Islam alike are explicit on this question. The prohibition against the bribe that blinds the eyes of the wise (Devarim / Deuteronomy 16:19) and the command not to be partial in judgment (Devarim / Deuteronomy 1:17) are not archaic religious concerns. They are the foundational laws of governance. When \$12 million from a foreign lobby purchases a congressional candidate and deploys AI deepfakes to remove a sitting representative, those covenant laws are being violated in plain sight. People of faith across all three traditions have an obligation to say so.

## What We Are Asking of the Media

---

We are not asking you to take a political position. We are asking three specific things:

- Apply the personality framework in this document to your coverage of political candidates, in this race and in every race. Ask whether the behavioral evidence supports the public narrative. Ask who is funding the campaign and what that funding obligates. Ask why a candidate refuses to debate.
- Report the financial architecture of this race fully and plainly. The \$12 million from foreign-interest lobbying organizations is not a campaign finance technicality. It is the central fact of this election. The American public deserves to see it labeled as what it is.
- Ask the constitutional question this race forces: Can a representative survive in the American system if he votes for his constituents instead of his donors? If the answer is no, then every American who believes in the original purpose of representative governance needs to know it. The media is the only institution positioned to tell them.

## A Final Word to the Press

The story of David and Goliath has endured for three thousand years not because David was powerful, but because he was right. The endurance of that story testifies to something deep in the human conscience: the knowledge that size and money and institutional power do not determine righteousness. They determine the odds. But the odds are not the verdict. This document is submitted to the record, whatever that record shows on May 19, 2026, as a covenant witness to truth. The truth about who Thomas Massie is. The truth about what Ed Gallrein represents. And the truth about what is at stake for a nation that is watching its legislative branch be purchased one primary at a time.

## Why This Study Was Produced

The people of Kentucky deserve to know who they are truly voting for — not who the television tells them they are voting for, and not who a \$32 million advertising campaign wants them to believe. This document applies the same scientific personality frameworks used in our prior political leadership studies — Dr. Robert Hare's Psychopathy Checklist, the Big Five (OCEAN) model, the Dark Triad framework, and the DSM-5 Cluster B indicators — to the documented behavioral record of both candidates in Kentucky's 4th Congressional District primary election of May 19, 2026.

## SECTION I: THE SCIENCE OF PERSONALITY IN POLITICAL LEADERSHIP

*Dr. Robert Hare • Kevin Dutton • Paulhus & Williams • Big Five (OCEAN) • DSM-5 Cluster B*

## Why Politics Attracts Dark Triad Personalities

The documentary *I Am Fishhead* (2011) popularized what academic researchers had already established: corporate and political leadership structures disproportionately attract individuals with Dark Triad personality traits — narcissism, Machiavellianism, and psychopathy — because those traits confer competitive advantage in power-seeking environments. This is not a conspiracy theory. It is a behavioral science finding with peer-reviewed backing across three decades.

Oxford psychologist Kevin Dutton, writing in *The Wisdom of Psychopaths* (2012), identified the professions with the highest rates of psychopathic traits in their practitioners. The top four were: CEOs, lawyers, media personalities, and politicians. The skills that make someone electable — charm, the appearance of conviction, the ability to speak while saying nothing, the absence of guilt over broken promises, the willingness to destroy opponents — are textbook Dark Triad behaviors reframed as leadership qualities.

## The Three Personality Types You Must Know

### 1. Narcissistic Personality Disorder (NPD)

Grandiosity, an excessive need for admiration, a profound lack of empathy, a sense of special entitlement, exploitation of others for personal gain, and explosive rage when challenged or criticized. The critical distinction: narcissists genuinely believe their own mythology. They are emotionally driven by ego protection rather than strategic calculation.

The DSM-5 lists nine clinical criteria for NPD. Five or more constitute a diagnosis. They are:

- Grandiose sense of self-importance — exaggerates achievements and talents, expects recognition as superior without commensurate achievement

- Preoccupied with fantasies of unlimited success, power, brilliance, beauty, or ideal love
- Believes he or she is 'special' and unique, understood only by other high-status people or institutions
- Requires excessive admiration
- Has a sense of entitlement — unreasonable expectations of especially favorable treatment
- Interpersonally exploitative — takes advantage of others to achieve personal ends
- Lacks empathy — unwilling to recognize or identify with the feelings and needs of others
- Often envious of others or believes that others are envious of him or her
- Shows arrogant, haughty behaviors or attitudes

## **2. Antisocial Personality Disorder (ASPD) / Sociopathy**

Persistent disregard for the rights of others, deceitfulness, manipulation, callousness, and impulsivity. Unlike the psychopath, the sociopath can form genuine emotional attachments to a limited group — family, tribe, nation — while treating all outsiders with complete indifference. This makes sociopathic leaders capable of genuine patriotism within their perceived in-group while being ruthless toward those outside it.

## **3. Psychopathy (Dr. Robert Hare's PCL-R Framework)**

The most clinically distinct category. Psychopaths are characterized by predatory calculation, emotional shallowness, the use of charm as a pure tool, the total absence of remorse, and long-range manipulative planning. Dr. Hare estimated approximately 1% of the general population meets the clinical threshold — but studies consistently show the figure in executive and political leadership runs 3 to 4 times higher. In Hare's own words: 'Psychopaths are social predators who charm, manipulate, and ruthlessly plow their way through life, leaving a broad trail of broken hearts, shattered expectations, and empty wallets.'

## **The Big Five (OCEAN) Framework**

---

The Big Five — Openness, Conscientiousness, Extraversion, Agreeableness, and Neuroticism — is the most empirically validated model of personality structure according to the American Psychological Association. The Five-Factor Model has been formally proposed as a foundational framework for DSM-5 personality disorder classification. Critically, peer-reviewed research by Paulhus and Williams (Journal of Research in Personality, 2002) established that the single Big Five factor correlating with ALL three Dark Triad traits — narcissism, Machiavellianism, and psychopathy — is low Agreeableness. This is the primary diagnostic lever.

Research on narcissistic leaders in political settings finds: 'Narcissists focus on their personal interests rather than the state's. When state interests conflict with their interests, they disregard the state for their own needs. The narcissistic leader will believe that what is good for them is good for their country.'

## The Machiavellian Political Placeholder — A Specific Pattern

---

Beyond clinical personality disorders, there is a recognized political behavioral pattern that is not disordered but is nonetheless deeply dangerous to governance: the Machiavellian Placeholder. This is a candidate who:

- Was selected — not self-motivated — to run for a specific strategic purpose
- Derives all leverage from the identity of his sponsors, not from policy positions
- Avoids substantive engagement because engagement would expose the vacuum behind the presentation
- Uses a compelling biographical credential (military service, family legacy, professional achievement) as a substitute for a documented political record
- Would, if elected, vote as instructed by his funding base rather than according to his own judgment

This pattern is distinct from clinical narcissism. It requires no disorder. It is purely structural: a person placed as a vehicle for interests that are not his own and not his constituents'. The behavioral markers are specific, observable, and identical across historical examples.

## SECTION II: THOMAS MASSIE — BEHAVIORAL PROFILE

*Eight-Term Incumbent • MIT Engineer • Kentucky 4th District since 2012*

### Background

---

**Education:** Bachelor of Science, Electrical Engineering (1993) and Master of Science, Mechanical Engineering (1996), Massachusetts Institute of Technology. Master's thesis: haptic technology and virtual touch. Co-founder of a technology startup in Massachusetts before returning to public service in Kentucky.

**Prior Public Service:** Lewis County Judge-Executive, 2010–2012. Elected to Congress in a 2012 special election. Re-elected seven times. Won his 2024 primary with 75.9% of the vote, ran unopposed in the 2024 general election.

**Personal:** Born Huntington, West Virginia. Raised in Appalachian culture in Vanceburg, Kentucky. Father was a beer distributor. Met his wife Rhonda at Lewis County High School. Farmer in addition to public servant — owns and works his own land.

### The Documented Voting Record

---

The most important fact about any representative is not what they say — it is what they do when the door closes and the vote is called. On that measure, Massie has a 13-year documented record of voting on principle regardless of political cost.

- **National Debt:** Massie has consistently opposed deficit spending regardless of which party proposes it. He created the Debt Badge — a wearable real-time display of the U.S. national debt — and has worn it every working day in Washington for years. In 2025, he was one of only two House Republicans to vote against Trump's 'Big Beautiful Bill,' which he publicly called 'a debt bomb ticking' because it raised the debt limit by \$4 trillion. He did this knowing the political consequences.
- **Epstein Files:** In July 2025, Massie introduced a bipartisan discharge petition with Democrat Ro Khanna to force release of the Jeffrey Epstein files — over the White House's objections. The petition passed November 12, 2025. The Epstein Files Transparency Act became law. Massie continued pressing for full release when the DOJ released only partial files, estimating 6 million total pages versus 3.5 million released.
- **Iran War Opposition:** Massie sponsored a War Powers Resolution to stop Trump's strikes on Iran. He has been one of the most vocal Republican critics of the 2026 Iran war, stating publicly that he believes the war was launched partly to distract from the Epstein files.
- **Israel and Foreign Aid:** Massie has voted consistently against military aid to ALL foreign countries — Israel included — as a matter of fiscal principle. He posted publicly: 'Nothing can justify the number of civilian casualties (tens of thousands of women and children) inflicted by Israel in Gaza in the last two years. We should end all U.S. military aid to Israel now.' He also introduced legislation requiring AIPAC to register as a foreign agent.
- **AIPAC Foreign Agent Bill:** As the Israel lobby was spending millions to remove him, Massie introduced legislation requiring AIPAC's lobbyists to register as foreign agents. He stated: 'The lobbying that happens on Capitol Hill should be reported if it's a foreign country, whether it's Great Britain, Australia, Turkey, Qatar, or Israel.'

---

## **Personality Assessment: Big Five (OCEAN) Applied to Massie**

---

Note: Clinical diagnosis requires a licensed professional and direct evaluation. What follows is a behavioral assessment based entirely on documented public record — identical methodology to our Netanyahu analysis.

### **O — Openness: HIGH**

Massie created the public Debt Badge to force fiscal transparency. He pushed for Epstein file transparency against the executive branch's wishes. He sponsors legislation (AIPAC Foreign Agents bill) to expose hidden influence structures. His openness to uncomfortable truths — even when costly — is among the most thoroughly documented behavioral patterns in his record.

### **C — Conscientiousness: HIGH**

Thirteen years of consistent votes against deficit spending regardless of party. He has opposed Republican appropriations bills as readily as Democratic ones. He has worn a real-time national debt display on his lapel for years. This is not performance — it is methodical, principle-driven consistency that has cost him politically.

### **E — Extraversion: MODERATE**

---

Massie is media-present and articulate, but his extraversion does not appear to be identity-driven. He does not require admiration. He has openly acknowledged he may lose this primary — and appears unbothered. This is the inverse of the narcissistic extraversion pattern, which collapses under threat.

### **A — Agreeableness: MODERATE-HIGH (Critical Positive Indicator)**

This is the most diagnostically significant dimension — and here Massie scores positively. Low agreeableness is the single Big Five factor that correlates with all three Dark Triad traits. Massie demonstrates functional agreeableness: he collaborates across party lines (Ro Khanna, bipartisan Epstein push), shows genuine concern for constituent welfare, and does not respond to criticism with personal destruction of the critic. Trump has called him a 'pathetic LOSER,' a 'sick Wacko,' and a 'disaster.' Massie's response has been measured, consistent, and policy-focused — never retaliatory in the personality disorder sense.

### **N — Neuroticism: LOW**

Massie has been under sustained presidential fury — the most powerful man in the world calling for his removal in his home district, with \$14 million in ads against him. His behavioral response has been calm, factual, methodical, and sometimes gently humorous. ('I think Elon Musk gave up on this place. He found it was easier to land rockets backwards than to get this place to cut a dime of spending.') This is not the behavioral signature of emotional instability.

## **NPD Indicators Applied to Massie**

---

Of the nine DSM-5 NPD criteria, Massie's documented record indicates:

- **Grandiosity:** No evidence. He says 'I vote with the party 90% of the time.' Not the statement of a man who believes he is uniquely superior.
- **Entitlement:** No evidence. He has acknowledged he may lose. He does not demand deference.
- **Exploitation of others:** No evidence in 13-year record.
- **Lack of empathy:** No evidence. His foreign policy positions are explicitly grounded in concern for civilian casualties and constituent wellbeing.
- **Rage when challenged:** No evidence. Trump has attacked him relentlessly. His response: policy clarity, not retaliation.

Assessment: Thomas Massie does not present behavioral indicators consistent with Narcissistic Personality Disorder, Antisocial Personality Disorder, or the Machiavellian Placeholder pattern.

## **SECTION III: ED GALLREIN — BEHAVIORAL PROFILE**

*Trump-Endorsed Challenger • Retired Navy SEAL • No Prior Congressional Record*

## **Background**

---

**Military:** Retired Navy SEAL captain. Thirty years of service, including multiple deployments with SEAL Team Six. His military service is documented and legitimate.

**Civilian:** Fifth-generation farmer from Shelby County, Kentucky.

**Political record:** One prior attempt at elected office — lost a Kentucky 7th State Senate race in 2024. No congressional record. Did not complete Ballotpedia's 2026 candidate survey. No documented policy positions on file.

**How he entered the race:** Trump described it himself at a March 2026 rally in Gallrein's district: 'I want to just — give me somebody with a warm body to beat Massie. And I got somebody with a warm body, but a big, beautiful brain and a great patriot.'

---

## The Three Critical Behavioral Flags

---

### Flag 1: The Refusal to Debate

Gallrein declined to debate Massie and skipped county events where both candidates were invited to speak. He did not respond to interview requests from NBC News. He has not completed the Ballotpedia candidate survey. He declined local radio appearances, including from friendly conservative hosts.

This is not the behavior of a candidate with positions and the confidence to defend them. This is the behavior of a candidate who does not have genuine positions — or whose actual positions cannot survive public scrutiny because they belong to his funders, not to him or to Kentucky voters.

### Flag 2: The Funding Architecture

The financial record of this campaign is the most important single piece of evidence in the personality analysis. A candidate who is wholly funded by a specific interest group — to the documented tune of \$12 million, representing approximately 95% of the support behind his campaign per Massie's public accounting — is not an independent actor. His candidacy is, structurally, a function of that funding.

The documented funders behind Gallrein include:

- Republican Jewish Coalition: \$4 million in ads supporting Gallrein
- AIPAC-affiliated United Democracy Project: \$2.6 million
- MAGA KY PAC (funded by Paul Singer, John Paulson, Miriam Adelson): \$2+ million
- Christians United for Israel: six figures on billboard campaign
- Total Israel lobby investment per Track AIPAC: \$11,824,741

The sole documented policy position Gallrein has staked is enthusiastic support for the US-Israel war on Iran. That position perfectly aligns with every single one of his major funders. This is not a coincidence — it is the candidate reflecting the interests of those who placed him.

### Flag 3: The Machiavellian Placeholder Pattern

Ed Gallrein is not, in this analysis, a clinical narcissist. The behavioral indicators for NPD are simply not present in sufficient documented form to assess. What IS present — comprehensively and consistently — is the Machiavellian Placeholder pattern identified in Section I of this document:

- He was selected — not self-motivated — to run for a specific strategic purpose (removing Massie)
- He derives all leverage from the identity of his sponsors — Trump and the Israel lobby — not from policy positions
- He avoids substantive engagement because engagement would expose the vacancy behind the presentation
- He uses a compelling biographical credential — his Navy SEAL service — as a substitute for a documented political record
- He would, if elected, vote as instructed by his funding base — which has spent \$12 million to ensure that outcome

## **Personality Assessment: Big Five (OCEAN) Applied to Gallrein**

---

The honest answer on Gallrein's Big Five profile is that it cannot be fully assessed — because he has refused virtually all public engagement. However, what can be assessed from the available behavioral record is instructive.

### **O — Openness: VERY LOW (Documented)**

Gallrein has refused to debate, refused media interviews, refused county forums, and refused to document his positions publicly. Whatever his internal openness, his behavioral openness score is among the lowest possible for a person seeking public office.

### **A — Agreeableness: UNKNOWN (But Structurally Irrelevant)**

In the Machiavellian Placeholder pattern, personal agreeableness is irrelevant because the candidate is not operating as an independent agent. He is operating as a functional extension of his funding base. Whether Gallrein personally is agreeable or not is secondary to the structural fact that his votes would not represent his personal judgment — they would represent his financial obligations.

### **The Biographical Credential as Substitute**

Military service is honorable. SEAL Team Six service is among the most demanding and dangerous service any American can give. Nothing in this analysis diminishes that.

But military service is not a qualification for legislative judgment. The skills that make a great SEAL — discipline, execution, unit loyalty, willingness to operate within a command structure — are actually, in political terms, warning signs when applied to a legislative candidate who will need to exercise independent constitutional judgment. A legislator's primary obligation is to the Constitution and his constituents — not to command authority. Gallrein has explicitly stated he will be 'shoulder to shoulder with the president.' That is excellent military thinking and deeply flawed legislative thinking.

## **SECTION IV: SIDE-BY-SIDE DIAGNOSTIC COMPARISON**

*Applied Behavioral Analysis • Big Five (OCEAN) • NPD Indicators • Servant vs. Power Leadership*

## Big Five (OCEAN) Comparative Table

| BIG FIVE TRAIT               | NPD PATTERN                        | MASSIE                                  | GALLREIN                                       |
|------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|--|
| <b>O — Openness</b>          | Selective (image only)             | HIGH — transparency, debt data, Epstein | UNKNOWN — no public record                     |
| <b>C — Conscientiousness</b> | High toward personal goals only    | HIGH — 13-yr consistent record          | UNTESTED — single office bid                   |
| <b>E — Extraversion</b>      | Very High (charisma as weapon)     | Moderate — principled, not performative | High — SEAL identity leveraged for recruitment |
| <b>A — Agreeableness</b>     | VERY LOW (key NPD marker)          | Moderate-High — votes at personal cost  | UNKNOWN — refused engagement                   |
| <b>N — Neuroticism</b>       | Low (masked; rage when challenged) | Low — calm under sustained pressure     | UNKNOWN — no public stress record              |

## Full Diagnostic Criterion Comparison

| DIAGNOSTIC CRITERION                     | THOMAS MASSIE          | ED GALLREIN               |
|--|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Grandiosity / Self-Importance            | LOW                    | UNKNOWN                   |
| Transparency / Openness                  | HIGH                   | VERY LOW                  |
| Willingness to Debate / Engage           | HIGH                   | REFUSED                   |
| Independent Policy Positions             | STRONG / DOCUMENTED    | NONE DOCUMENTED           |
| Accountability to Constituents           | DEMONSTRATED           | UNVERIFIED                |
| Foreign Interest Influence               | NONE / RESISTED        | MASSIVE (\$12M+)          |
| Loyalty to Funding Source vs. People     | PEOPLE FIRST           | FUNDERS FIRST             |
| Consistency Under Pressure               | CONSISTENT 13 YRS      | UNTESTED                  |
| Willingness to Challenge Authority       | YES — AT PERSONAL COST | NO EVIDENCE               |
| NPD Indicator: Entitlement               | LOW                    | UNKNOWN                   |
| NPD Indicator: Exploitation of Others    | LOW                    | HIGH (used by lobby)      |
| NPD Indicator: Lack of Empathy           | LOW                    | UNKNOWN                   |
| Machiavellian: Using Charm to Manipulate | LOW                    | HIGH (SEAL identity used) |

|                                      |         |                         |
|--------------------------------------|---------|-------------------------|
| Dark Triad: Absence of Remorse       | LOW     | UNKNOWN                 |
| Servant Leadership vs. Power Seeking | SERVANT | POWER (POSITION-PLACED) |

## SECTION V: THE MONEY TRAIL — WHERE TRUTH IS ALWAYS FOUND

*AIPAC • Republican Jewish Coalition • Paul Singer • John Paulson • Miriam Adelson • \$32 Million Total*

### The Most Expensive House Primary in American History

When evaluating any political candidate, the personality framework is one lens. The financial record is another — and arguably the more reliable one, because money reflects actual intentions in ways that biographical narrative cannot hide.

The Kentucky 4th primary has become the most expensive U.S. House primary in American history, surpassing \$32 million in total advertising spend. It eclipsed the prior record, which was also set by AIPAC — in the 2024 Democratic primary in New York's 16th District, where AIPAC spent \$14.5 million to remove Jamaal Bowman for being a vocal Israel critic. The pattern is clear: party affiliation is irrelevant. The only relevant variable is the candidate's vote on Israel and foreign military aid.

### AIPAC's Own Prior Record — The Pattern Repeated

In 2024, AIPAC spent \$14.5 million to remove a Democratic congressman — Jamaal Bowman — who criticized Israel. In 2026, they are spending nearly that same amount to remove a Republican congressman — Thomas Massie — who criticizes the use of American tax dollars for Israeli military operations. The party is different. The mechanic is identical. The lobby is the same.

AIPAC is not registered as a foreign agent under the Foreign Agents Registration Act (FARA). Thomas Massie has introduced legislation to require exactly that registration. The lobby's response is to spend \$12 million to remove him from office.

### The Funneling Mechanism

Massie explained on The Tucker Carlson Show how AIPAC navigates the limits of direct campaign contributions: 'What we've realised and deduced is that they're funneling money, hard dollars from their donors to Gallrein's campaign through a vendor — Democracy Engine — which allows any donor to support any candidate from any party through its platform.' This mechanism allows individual AIPAC donors to contribute directly to the Gallrein campaign while obscuring the origin of the funds.

### Tucker Carlson's Precise Summary

Tucker Carlson stated it plainly in his interview with Massie: 'You didn't even attack Israel. You're not even hostile to Israel. You're not muttering about the Jews. That's nothing to do with

that at all. You just don't think the US government should be sending money for other countries, right?' Massie confirmed: 'Right. And by the way, that's the position of my constituents.'

The lobby is not spending \$12 million because Massie is anti-Israel. They are spending \$12 million because Massie is anti-foreign-aid — and their primary concern is the perpetuation of U.S. military funding to Israel.

## SECTION VI: COVENANT WITNESS

*Devarim 16:19 • Devarim 1:17 • The Plumb Line of the Servant Leader*

### The Covenant Test of Leadership

---

The Torah's covenant standard for governance is not partisan. It is not conservative or liberal. It is a plumb line: Does this leader serve the people, or does this leader serve power? The two cannot coexist in the same vote, on the same day, on the same issue.

**Devarim (Deuteronomy) 16:19:** 'You shall not pervert justice; you shall not show partiality; and you shall not accept a bribe, for a bribe blinds the eyes of the wise and perverts the words of the righteous.'

**Devarim (Deuteronomy) 1:17:** 'You shall not be partial in judgment; you shall hear the small and the great alike. You shall not be intimidated by anyone, for the judgment is Elohim's.'

These are not verses about ancient Israel. They are the foundational laws of covenant governance — applicable to every nation, every election, every candidate who asks to stand in judgment on behalf of the people.

### The Bribe That Blinds

---

\$12 million is a bribe. It is not a literal brown envelope — it is a structural bribe: money placed in service of a result, which binds the recipient to the expectation of those who paid. The Tanakh's prohibition against accepting a bribe is not merely about corruption in a legal proceeding. It is about the corruption of judgment — the mechanism by which a leader who should be serving the people becomes a servant of the one who paid him.

Ed Gallrein has accepted \$12 million from foreign-interest lobbying infrastructure. Whether or not he understands the full transaction, he is now structurally obligated to that investment. This is what the Torah warned against — not because the donors are of any particular ethnicity or religion, but because money in that quantity purchases judgment. It always does.

### The Servant vs. The Placeholder

---

Thomas Massie has done the opposite of the narcissistic political pattern at every critical juncture: he voted against the national debt increase knowing Trump would retaliate; he pushed for Epstein file transparency knowing the political cost; he opposed the Iran war knowing he would be painted as disloyal. These are the acts of a man who believes he serves the people rather than those in power.

This is the covenant standard for leadership: not perfection, not agreement on every issue, but the willingness to absorb personal cost in service of truth and the welfare of those you represent. Massie has met that standard repeatedly across 13 years. Gallrein has never been tested — and the structure of his candidacy suggests he was not recruited to be tested.

## SECTION VII: COVENANT VERDICT

*The Plumb Line Falls*

### ★ COVENANT VERDICT ★

## THOMAS MASSIE

*is the candidate most qualified to serve Kentucky's 4th District*

The behavioral record is conclusive on five grounds:

1. Massie demonstrates servant leadership: consistent, transparent, and costly to himself.
2. Gallrein demonstrates the primary indicator of the political placeholder: no record, no debate, no documented positions.
3. The \$12 million in foreign-interest funding behind Gallrein is not patriotism. It is the purchase of a vote.
4. Narcissistic and Machiavellian political structures require vessels, not leaders. Gallrein was recruited as a vessel.
5. A man who votes against the national debt bomb, pushes for Epstein file transparency, and opposes foreign wars at great personal cost is not a RINO. He is a rare thing in Washington: a man with a conscience.

*"You shall not pervert justice; you shall not show partiality; and you shall not accept a bribe, for a bribe blinds the eyes of the wise." — Devarim (Deuteronomy) 16:19*

## SOURCES & DOCUMENTATION

*Two or Three Witnesses Standard — Devarim 19:15*

### Primary Sources Consulted

- NBC News — 'Rep. Thomas Massie confronts the full force of Trump's wrath in a Republican primary' (May 18, 2026)

- Axios — 'Inside the wild \$25 million fight to oust top GOP Trump critic Thomas Massie' (May 11, 2026)
- Middle East Eye — 'US congressman says pro-Israel groups behind 95 percent of funding against him' (May 2026)
- Al Jazeera — 'How Thomas Massie came to represent Republican dissent in age of Trump' (May 16, 2026)
- Common Dreams — 'As AIPAC Spends Millions to Oust Him, Massie Unveils Bill Requiring Pro-Israel Lobby to Register as Foreign Agent'
- BIG DATA POLL — Kentucky House District 4 Republican Primary Tracking Poll (May 15, 2026): Massie 50.6%, Gallrein 49.4%
- Ballotpedia — Ed Gallrein candidate profile
- Wikipedia — 2026 United States House of Representatives elections in Kentucky
- Thomas Massie Wikipedia entry — voting record and biography
- Track AIPAC — documented Israel lobby investment in Gallrein's race: \$11,824,741
- Roll Call — 'Thomas Massie says he's ready for pro-Trump onslaught in 2026' (December 8, 2025)
- The Tucker Carlson Show — Massie interview (May 6, 2026)

## Scientific Frameworks Applied

---

- Dr. Robert Hare — Psychopathy Checklist-Revised (PCL-R); Without Conscience (1993)
- Paulhus & Williams — 'The Dark Triad of Personality: Narcissism, Machiavellianism, and Psychopathy'; Journal of Research in Personality (2002)
- Kevin Dutton — The Wisdom of Psychopaths (2012); Oxford University
- American Psychological Association (APA) — Big Five / OCEAN Model; DSM-5 Cluster B Personality Disorder criteria
- I Am Fishhead (2011 documentary) — Dr. Robert Hare, Jon Ronson; The Psychopath Test

---

*This document is published as a covenant witness to truth. It carries no endorsement from any political party, PAC, or candidate campaign. Its sole authority is the documented behavioral record and the covenant standard of Devarim.*

**Miqdash Bethel Covenant Institution • miqdashbethel.org • May 19, 2026**