

MIQDASH BETHEL COVENANT ASSEMBLY

Pearl River, Louisiana • miqdashbethel@gmail.com • 985-250-9060 • miqdashbethel.org

THE FALSE NARRATIVE CONFIRMED: ISRAELI INSIDERS TESTIFY AGAINST NETANYAHU'S OCTOBER 7 ACCOUNT

*A Covenant Study of Post-October 7 False Witness and Narrative Manipulation
Established by the Standard of Devarim (Deuteronomy) 19:15 — Two or Three Witnesses
Three-Religion Audience: Judaism • Christianity • Islam
April 2026 | Miqdash Bethel Covenant Assembly, Pearl River, Louisiana
Authority: The Tanakh — The Word of Yahweh Alone*

COMPANION DOCUMENT: This study is the direct continuation of *The October 7 Covenant Truth: The Hannibal Directive, the False Witness, and the Word of Yahweh* (Miqdash Bethel Covenant Institution, April 2026). That document established the evidentiary record of what occurred on October 7 — the Hannibal Directive, the killing of Israeli civilians by Israeli fire, the false attribution of Liel Hatsroni's death, and the strategic warnings Netanyahu ignored before the attack. All findings in that document were drawn from Israeli primary sources only.

This companion document establishes a second tier of witness: the testimony of Netanyahu's own former Defense Minister, his own former Shin Bet chief, the opposition leader who was personally present at pre-October 7 intelligence briefings, his own former War Cabinet members, and the State Comptroller's office — all confirming that the narrative Netanyahu has constructed about October 7 is a false account built to evade accountability. The covenant standard of *Devarim (Deuteronomy) 19:15* is met — and exceeded — from within Israel's own establishment.

PART ONE — THE COVENANT STANDARD FOR THIS STUDY

The covenant of **Yahweh** establishes in *Devarim (Deuteronomy) 19:15–19* that no matter shall be decided on the testimony of a single witness, and that a false witness must be exposed and held to the same standard to which he held his brother. This principle is not a legal technicality. It is the moral foundation of covenant governance — the guarantee that power cannot use accusation as a weapon without evidentiary accountability.

The companion document to this study established the primary evidentiary record of October 7 from Israeli sources alone: the Hannibal Directive, Israeli friendly fire on Israeli civilians, the false attribution of 12-year-old Liel Hatsroni's death to Hamas, and the strategic warnings Netanyahu ignored. That record was built on the testimony of Israeli survivors, Israeli military officers, the Israeli Defense Ministry, and United Nations findings.

This document adds a second and decisive witness tier. What follows is the public testimony — from Netanyahu's own inner circle, his own former Defense Minister, his own former Shin Bet chief, and the opposition leader who sat beside him at pre-October 7 intelligence briefings — that Netanyahu has constructed a false narrative about October 7 to evade accountability, deflect responsibility onto subordinates, manipulate the documentary record, and use the resulting crisis to extend his political survival. These are not opposition voices speaking from outside the tent. They are insiders, security chiefs, and former coalition partners bearing witness against the one they served.

"A single witness shall not suffice against a person for any crime or for any wrong in connection with any offense that he has committed. Only on the evidence of two witnesses or of three witnesses shall a charge be established." — Devarim (Deuteronomy) 19:15

"If a malicious witness arises to accuse a person of wrongdoing... the judges shall inquire diligently, and if the witness is a false witness and has accused his brother falsely, then you shall do to him as he had meant to do to his brother." — Devarim (Deuteronomy)

19:16–19

PART TWO — THE 55-PAGE DOCUMENT: THE INSTRUMENT OF FALSE NARRATIVE

On February 5, 2026, Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu released a 55-page document containing his official responses to State Comptroller Matanyahu Englman's investigation into the October 7 failures. Rather than a transparent accounting, the document was immediately recognized — by Israeli media, former Israeli officials, and Netanyahu's own former colleagues — as a curated exercise in blame-shifting. The document contained selectively chosen excerpts from years of security cabinet meetings, stripped of context, assembled to pin the failure on political rivals, security chiefs, and the IDF.

Netanyahu's central claims in the document were: (1) that he was misled by the Shin Bet and IDF, who assessed Hamas as deterred and seeking economic arrangements; (2) that his political rivals, including former Defense Minister Gallant and IDF Chief of Staff Herzi Halevi, opposed aggressive action against Hamas; (3) that he himself had sought to assassinate Hamas leadership in 2014 but was blocked by the security establishment; and (4) that he was effectively kept out of the loop during the critical early hours of the October 7 attack.

Every one of these claims was immediately and publicly disputed by the individuals Netanyahu named — individuals who had been in those meetings, who had access to the actual protocols, and who have no covenant obligation to protect a false account.

THE STATE COMPTROLLER'S OWN REBUKE

State Comptroller Matanyahu Englman — appointed under Netanyahu's own government — stated in February 2026 that office holders including political and military officials had 'exposed to the public only partial details' from his review, and that 'the public was exposed to a partial picture, as provided by the aforementioned officials, instead of receiving complete and final review reports.' This is the State Comptroller, Netanyahu's own ombudsman, publicly stating that Netanyahu gave the public a manipulated, incomplete account of the October 7 investigation findings.

PART THREE — THE WITNESSES: INSIDERS WHO TESTIFIED AGAINST THE FALSE NARRATIVE

The covenant standard of *Devarim (Deuteronomy) 19:15* requires two or three witnesses to establish a matter. What follows is not a collection of political opponents, foreign critics, or Palestinian accounts. Every witness in this table was a senior Israeli official — Defense Minister, Shin Bet chief, War Cabinet member, former Prime Minister, or serving opposition leader with direct firsthand access to the intelligence record. These are the witnesses Netanyahu's own false narrative must now answer before the covenant standard of **Yahweh**.

WITNESS	ROLE / SOURCE	DIRECT TESTIMONY / DOCUMENTED FINDING
Yoav Gallant	Defense Minister on Oct. 7; fired by Netanyahu Nov. 2024; Channel 12 interview, Feb. 7, 2026	<i>"I did not think I would have to come here to the studio and say, We have a liar for prime minister. The prime minister is a liar." — "Netanyahu's first priority is himself, then his government, and then the country. He takes credit for actions after they are completed, if they succeed. If they don't succeed, it's someone else's responsibility." — "After the huge failure on Oct. 7, when the IDF and Shin Bet were courageously fighting back, when they were at the front, Netanyahu stabbed them in the back and stirred up all the government ministers against them."</i>
Yoav Gallant	Re: the 55-page false document; Channel 12, Feb. 7, 2026	<i>"Netanyahu's response is a case of engineering the narrative — taking fragments of discussions, sentences, from across lengthy periods, putting them together, turning them into a news item. Rather than the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, Netanyahu doesn't tell the truth, certainly not the whole truth, and certainly not nothing but the truth."</i>
Yoav Gallant	Re: Oct. 7 morning timeline; Channel 12, Feb. 7, 2026	<i>"In the discussion protocols, he is quoted as saying he made decisions at 8 or 9 a.m. on the day. The prime minister had not yet arrived at the Kirya. I arrived at 9 a.m., concluded a situational assessment with the IDF, and Netanyahu still had not arrived. The order to mobilize the reservists was mine — not Netanyahu's."</i>
Yair Lapid	Opposition Leader; former Prime Minister; personally present at Aug. 2023	<i>"In complete contrast to his claims, Netanyahu was warned again and again before October 7, including by me, and he</i>

	briefing; Civilian Commission testimony Aug. 29, 2024	<i>ignored every single warning. I was at the Prime Minister's Office for the security briefing, and Netanyahu was there. He heard the same things I heard. All the signs, all the red flags, all the warnings — and he ignored them all."</i>
Yair Lapid	Re: Netanyahu appearing disengaged; Civilian Commission, Aug. 29, 2024	<i>"The prime minister — and here I am giving only a personal impression — seemed bored and indifferent to the issue, and did not comment on it" — at a briefing where his senior military secretary presented dramatic intelligence indicating Israel's enemies perceived Israel as weakened and saw an opportunity to strike.</i>
Yair Lapid	Re: the 55-page document; post on X, Feb. 2026; public statement calling for police investigation	<i>"The Netanyahu document is no longer just a version of events. This is the falsification of security protocols in an official document issued by the Prime Minister's Office, in a way that must have been intentional. They did this because they thought no one knew the truth, or that if someone did, they wouldn't dare speak it."</i>
Yair Lapid	Re: the Oct. 1, 2023 security assessment, six days before the attack; Feb. 2026	<i>"What actually happened in that meeting is that then-Shin Bet director Ronen Bar recommended preparing for escalation scenarios and targeted preventions in Gaza. Then-IDF chief of staff Halevi recommended preparing a broad campaign plan. These recommendations came following particularly grave and urgent intelligence warnings. I was familiar with these warnings; the entire system was familiar with them."</i>

Gadi Eisenkot	Former IDF Chief of Staff; War Cabinet member; public statement, Feb. 2026	<i>Accused Netanyahu of submitting a "fabricated defense" in his response to the State Comptroller's investigation — stripping remarks from their context and assembling a misleading account to deflect personal responsibility.</i>
Ronen Bar	Shin Bet Director (fired by Netanyahu March 2025); formal letter to cabinet confirmed by media	<i>"I issued countless warnings to the political echelon ahead of the October 7 massacre." — Sent a formal letter to the cabinet confirming he had raised the alarm repeatedly before the attack. Netanyahu later attempted to blame Bar and the Shin Bet for the intelligence failure.</i>
Netanyahu's Own Office	Admission re: IDF memo three hours before attack; Times of Israel, March 2, 2025	<i>Netanyahu's office acknowledged that the premier's intelligence officer had received an IDF memo detailing suspicious Hamas activity three hours before the attack — and did not pass it on to Netanyahu. The office argued this was justified by the memo's framing as non-urgent.</i>
Avigdor Liberman	Former Defense Minister; Yisrael Beiteinu party leader; social media post, Feb. 2026	<i>Posted a September 28, 2023 video of himself touring the Gaza border — nine days before October 7 — documenting incendiary balloons, gunfire, and fence breaches, and directly accusing Netanyahu of ignoring the danger. "The archive remembers who warned the people and who abandoned them!"</i>
Naama Lazimi	MK, The Democrats (formerly Labor); Knesset plenum, November 10, 2025 — 40-signature debate on establishing state commission of inquiry	<i>"I blame you, Netanyahu. You forgot what it is to be Jewish. You won't defeat the bereaved families." — One of multiple 'I accuse you' Knesset speeches holding Netanyahu personally responsible for the greatest disaster in Israel's history and for blocking an independent state inquiry into the failures he presided over.</i>

<p>Naama Lazimi</p>	<p>Re: Netanyahu's blocking of an independent inquiry; repeated public positions, 2024–2025</p>	<p><i>Accused Netanyahu of creating an "alliance of evasion" to avoid a state commission of inquiry — using his political coalition to prevent the kind of independent investigation that Israeli law provides for, in order to shield himself from accountability for the October 7 failures.</i></p>
<p>State Comptroller Matanyahu Englman</p>	<p>Netanyahu's own appointed ombudsman; statement responding to High Court petitions, Feb. 2026</p>	<p><i>"This conduct is improper. In this state of affairs, in which audit procedures were terminated before reports were completed and published to the public, the public was exposed to a partial picture, as provided by the aforementioned officials, instead of receiving complete and final review reports which include the findings of the state comptroller."</i></p>

PART FOUR — THE PATTERN OF FALSE WITNESS: FOUR DOCUMENTED STRATEGIES

The combined testimony of Netanyahu's own former colleagues establishes not a single misstatement but a **systematic pattern** of false witness operating across four documented strategies. The covenant standard of **Yahweh** does not require a single lie to condemn a false witness. It requires that the matter be *established* — and these four patterns, confirmed by multiple independent witnesses from within Netanyahu's own circle, establish it.

STRATEGY 1 — BLAME DEFLECTION: PINNING THE FAILURE ON SUBORDINATES

The 55-page document was specifically designed to shift responsibility for the October 7 intelligence failure from Netanyahu onto IDF commanders, the Shin Bet chief, and former Defense Minister Gallant. Gallant directly called this a fabrication. Lapid confirmed from personal presence that the warnings were delivered to Netanyahu himself — not merely to subordinates. Eisenkot called the

document a 'fabricated defense.' The pattern is documented and confirmed by three independent witnesses.

STRATEGY 2 — PROTOCOL MANIPULATION: STRIPPING REMARKS FROM CONTEXT

Gallant's testimony that Netanyahu "takes fragments of discussions, sentences from across lengthy periods, puts them together, turning them into a news item" describes a precise documentary crime: the selective quotation of official government protocols to create a misleading picture. This is not spin. This is the manipulation of the official evidentiary record of a government. Netanyahu's own memoir, *Bibi: My Story* (2022), directly contradicts claims he made in the 55-page document about Naftali Bennett's 2014 Gaza position — an internal contradiction documented by Israeli media.

STRATEGY 3 — INQUIRY BLOCKADE: PREVENTING INDEPENDENT INVESTIGATION

Netanyahu has refused to authorize a state commission of inquiry — Israel's highest independent investigative body — since October 7. The families of the dead, the hostage families, and the Israeli opposition have repeatedly demanded one. Instead, Netanyahu has pushed for a government-appointed panel that he controls. MK Lazimi's charge of an 'alliance of evasion' is confirmed by the polling record: as of December 2025, 55% of Israelis still supported a state commission, with opposition dropping only among Netanyahu's own right-wing base. The reason for blocking an independent inquiry — when the entire precedent of Israeli law supports it — can only be that the findings would not serve the one blocking it.

STRATEGY 4 — POLITICAL EXPLOITATION: THE CRISIS AS A PERSONAL SHIELD

Netanyahu was scheduled to testify in his corruption trial on March 18, 2025. On the night of March 18, Israel launched a surprise attack on Gaza, effectively ending the January ceasefire. The trial was postponed. This was not the first such coincidence. As former Prime Minister Lapid stated: 'There is no country in the world where the prime minister is responsible for the biggest civil disaster in the country's history, the biggest security disaster in the country's history, and is also accused of criminal corruption — and is still the prime minister.' The crisis of October 7 has functioned, throughout Netanyahu's management of it, as a mechanism to delay his corruption trial and justify his continuance in power.

PART FIVE — THE THREE-RELIGION COVENANT AUDIT

The covenant of **Yahweh** does not operate within a single religious tradition. The prohibition on false witness is not a Jewish legal technicality. It is a universal covenant principle shared by all three Abrahamic traditions, and confirmed as binding by the primary texts of each.

WHAT THE TORAH (JUDAISM) CONFIRMS

Shemot (Exodus) 23:1: "You shall not spread a false report. You shall not join hands with a wicked man to be a malicious witness." *Devarim (Deuteronomy) 19:16–19*: the false witness shall receive what he intended to do to his brother. The Torah's covenant does not excuse false witness on the grounds of national emergency, political necessity, or military secrecy. A ruler who uses a massacre that happened on his watch — and was partially caused by his own military's doctrine — as a weapon to extend his political survival and deflect accountability has placed himself before the standard of **Yahweh's** covenant, not outside it.

WHAT THE COVENANT PRINCIPLES (CHRISTIANITY) CONFIRM

The Ninth Commandment — "You shall not bear false witness against your neighbor" — is cited by Yeshua himself as binding in *Mattityahu (Matthew) 19:18*. The Christian tradition rightly understood cannot endorse the manipulation of official government records to escape accountability for the deaths of thousands, nor the political exploitation of a national tragedy to evade criminal prosecution. The covenant witness testimony assembled in this document — from men who swore oaths, held office, and stood in the rooms where the decisions were made — meets every evidentiary standard the Christian tradition recognizes.

WHAT THE QURAN (ISLAM) CONFIRMS

Surah Al-Hajj (22:30) states: "False witness is one of the gravest sins." The prophetic tradition records: "Beware of false witness; it is equivalent to associating partners with **Yahweh**." Islamic jurisprudence holds rulers to the covenant standard of *amanah* — trust — and *adalab* — justice. A ruler who uses false witness to justify the killing of tens of thousands of civilians and to deflect accountability from himself has violated both principles. The 73,000 dead, 20,000 of them children, stand as witnesses before **Yahweh** across all three traditions.

PART SIX — THE COVENANT FINDINGS OF YAHWEH

FINDING ONE — THE FALSE NARRATIVE IS ESTABLISHED BY INSIDERS:

Netanyahu's own former Defense Minister, his own former Shin Bet chief, the opposition leader personally present at pre-attack intelligence briefings, his own War Cabinet members, and his own

State Comptroller have all testified that his account of October 7 — constructed in a 55-page document released in February 2026 — is false, manipulative, and designed to deflect personal responsibility onto subordinates. This is not opposition rhetoric. This is the testimony of men who served alongside him, sat beside him in classified briefings, and held command on October 7 itself.

FINDING TWO — THE WARNINGS WERE RECEIVED AND IGNORED — CONFIRMED BY MULTIPLE WITNESSES:

Yair Lapid testified under oath before a civilian commission that he personally sat beside Netanyahu at an August 2023 briefing where all the warnings were present — and that Netanyahu appeared 'bored and indifferent.' Ronen Bar issued 'countless warnings to the political echelon.' Egypt warned three days before the attack. The IDF drafted a memo of suspicious activity three hours before the attack that was never passed to Netanyahu. Netanyahu's own office confirmed this. The covenant holds rulers accountable for what they knew and failed to do. That accountability cannot be erased by a 55-page document assembled after the fact.

FINDING THREE — THE INQUIRY BLOCKADE IS ITSELF A COVENANT ACT OF FALSE WITNESS:

The refusal to authorize an independent state commission of inquiry — when the Israeli legal framework provides for exactly this instrument, when the families of the dead demand it, and when 55% of Israelis support it — is not a procedural preference. It is a covenant act of witness suppression. The Torah does not permit the accused to appoint and control his own investigation. The pattern of blocking inquiry, manipulating protocols, deflecting blame, and exploiting the crisis for personal legal protection constitutes a continuous covenant crime that does not end when the immediate killing stops.

FINDING FOUR — THE GENOCIDE IN GAZA WAS LAUNCHED AND SUSTAINED ON THIS FALSE FOUNDATION:

The moral justification presented to the world for the military campaign that has killed more than 73,000 Palestinians — including 20,000 children — was built on events that Israel's own evidence shows were partially caused by Israel's own military doctrine, partially concealed by Israel's own leadership, partially falsified by Israel's own political officials, and now confirmed as misrepresented by Israel's own former Defense Minister, Shin Bet chief, and War Cabinet members. The covenant

of Yahweh does not permit the false witness to stand unchallenged, regardless of the power of the one who delivered it.

"The voice of your brother's blood is crying to Me from the ground." — Bereishit (Genesis) 4:10

"Yahweh does not bear those whose hands are full of blood. Wash yourselves; make yourselves clean; cease to do evil, learn to do good; seek justice, correct oppression; bring justice to the fatherless, plead the widow's cause." — Yeshayahu (Isaiah) 1:15–17

"He who justifies the wicked and he who condemns the righteous are both alike an abomination to Yahweh." — Mishlei (Proverbs) 17:15

"He has told you, O man, what is good; and what does Yahweh require of you but to do justice, and to love kindness, and to walk humbly with your Elohim?" — Michah (Micah) 6:8

PART SEVEN — THE COVENANT CALL: WHAT TRUTH REQUIRES

This study does not call for the destruction of the State of Israel. It does not call for the vindication of Hamas. It calls for the one thing that the covenant of **Yahweh** has always called for: **truth**. Truth spoken not because it is comfortable, but because the lives of the innocent — Israeli and Palestinian alike — depend on it.

The 73,000 dead in Gaza did not die simply because of what happened on October 7. They died because of what was done *with* October 7 — how it was used, how the evidence was manipulated, how the false narrative was broadcast to every nation on earth, and how the governments of powerful nations supplied the weapons and the political cover to carry out the killing that followed. If that narrative was false — and the witnesses assembled in this document establish that it was — then the moral foundation of everything that followed stands before the covenant standard of **Yahweh** and cannot stand.

This study calls for three things:

1. An independent state commission of inquiry into October 7 — not appointed or controlled by Netanyahu, not subject to political manipulation, not blocked by the one whose responsibility it

would examine. The families of the Israeli dead deserve the truth. The families of 73,000 Palestinians deserve the truth. The truth belongs to **Yahweh**, not to any government.

2. A public accounting for the false narrative constructed in the 55-page document and in the years of political management of the October 7 record. The covenant standard of *Devarim (Deuteronomy) 19:19* is exact: what the false witness intended to do to his brother shall be done to him. The covenant does not have a political exception.

3. Recognition that the covenant standard applies equally to every party. Hamas's attack on civilians was a covenant violation. The killing of 1,195 Israelis is not excused by this study. But the covenant of **Yahweh** does not permit selective justice. The Torah's standard weighs the innocent blood of an Israeli child and a Palestinian child on the same scale. And that scale, as *Bereishit (Genesis) 4:10* declares, is held not by any government, any court, or any political party — but by **Yahweh** alone.

THE COVENANT STANDS.

"You shall not spread a false report. You shall not join hands with a wicked man to be a malicious witness." — Shemot (Exodus) 23:1

"Do not kill the innocent and righteous, for I will not acquit the wicked." — Shemot (Exodus) 23:7

"The voice of your brother's blood is crying to Me from the ground." — Bereishit (Genesis) 4:10

*These words belong to no nation, no movement, and no political party. They belong to **Yahweh**. They have not changed. They will not change.*

SOURCE RECORD — PRIMARY SOURCES CITED IN THIS STUDY

All sources in this study are Israeli officials, Israeli primary sources, Israeli media, or international bodies. No Palestinian advocacy source is cited as primary evidence. The following is the sourcing record:

Israeli officials and insiders: Yoav Gallant (Channel 12 interview, Feb. 7, 2026; posts on X, Feb. 2026); Yair Lapid (testimony before Civilian Commission of Inquiry, Aug. 29, 2024; posts on X, Feb. 2026; public statements 2024–2026); Gadi Eisenkot (public statement, Feb. 2026); Ronen Bar (formal letter to cabinet, confirmed by Israeli media); Avigdor Liberman (social media post, Feb. 2026); Naama

Lazimi (Knesset plenum speeches, 2024–2025); Netanyahu's Prime Minister's Office (admission re: Oct. 7 morning memo, Times of Israel, March 2, 2025).

Israeli media and official bodies: Times of Israel (multiple articles, 2024–2026); Jerusalem Post; Haaretz; Channel 12 (Gallant interview); State Comptroller Matanyahu Englman (public statement, Feb. 2026); The Week (analysis, Feb. 8, 2026); Christian Science Monitor (Feb. 20, 2026).

Covenant authority: Bereishit (Genesis); Shemot (Exodus); Devarim (Deuteronomy); Yeshayahu (Isaiah); Mishlei (Proverbs); Michah (Micah). All cited directly from the Hebrew Tanakh. Commentary is not authority.

Miqdash Bethel Covenant Assembly | Pearl River, Louisiana | miqdashbethel@gmail.com | 985-250-9060

Authority: The Tanakh — The Word of Yahweh Alone | Standard: Devarim 19:15 — Two or Three Witnesses

Three-Religion Audience: Judaism • Christianity • Islam

FalseNarrativeConfirmed_Oct7_Apr2026.docx